

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < http://ieee802.org/16 >	
Title	Maintenance Task Group Session #41 Minutes	
Date Submitted	2006-01-12	
Source(s)	Jonathan Labs Wavesat, Inc. 1375 Trans-Canada Hwy Dorval, Qc H9P 2W8 Canada	Voice: +1 514 684 0200 x325 Fax: +1 514 684 0211 mailto:jlabs@wavesat.com
Re:	Maintenance Task Group Session #41 Minutes	
Abstract	Maintenance Task Group Session #41 Minutes	
Purpose	Maintenance Task Group Session #41 Minutes	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html >, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < mailto:chair@wirelessman.org > as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site < http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/notices >.	

Maintenance Task Group Session #41 Minutes

Jonathan Labs

Wavesat, Inc.

Maintenance Task Group
Session #41, New Delhi, India

January 10, 2006

Opened the TG Maintenance discussion for a Maintenance process at 3:30 PM

Reviewed slides IEEE 802.16maint-06/001. Pointed out the two issues seen by the chair is the output of the process and ensuring the scope is observed.

The chair opened the floor for discussion.

Vladimir Yanover spoke favoring a working document of how the issues would change the document.

John Humbert spoke also favoring a working document output.

Sean Cai spoke in favor of simply maintaining a database

Panyooh Joo spoke also in favor of a database as the working document is not official and can generate confusion.

Lei Wang spoke in favor of a working document as it is easier for people, especially those unfamiliar with Commentary, to view.

Jose Puthenkulam spoke in favor of a working document, but suggested it is not updated after every 802.16 meeting but rather after every plenary.

Roger Marks asked to review the process from 802.3. He worked the group through the 802.3 process as shown at <http://ieee802.org/3/maint/index.html>. He pointed out the group cannot accept or reject issues that come in, just compile them, provide feedback to the contributor and help formulate a resolution. The TG chair pointed out that this process can handle out-of-scope issues by providing such feedback to the contributor.

Vladimir suggested that a working document showing the impact of the proposed resolutions of these issues would be still useful so as to see their impact.

A proposal was made to have an adhoc session Wednesday morning from 8:30 to 11:00 to continue discussing and working out the proposed process in order to have something drafted by the closing plenary. The proposal was agreed to by the group and the interested members. Next meeting (an Adhoc) to be held in Board room A.

Meeting broke at 4:45 PM.

January 11, 2006

Ad hoc meeting reopened at 8:30 AM.

Concern over participation in the effort if there is not ballot.

Straw poll for contentious issues.

We can still do a vote on a resolution based on voting rights.

Do informal resolutions.

75% to accept a remedy, also for generating a marked up text.

For less, the issue remains open forcing people to harmonize further.

Allows a company to come back with an updated resolution.

Allow the chair to make the initial call of scope/out-of-scope.

Set the overturn bar at 50% (if 50% of the votes say the issue is in-scope).

Group agreed to authorize the chair to draft up a proposed process to be presented at the closing Plenary.

Ad hoc meeting adjourned at 11:00 AM.