Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group http://ieee802.org/16 >					
Title	Control Channel FEC parameter change					
Date Submitted	2001-01-16					
Source(s)	Alok GuptaVoice: (858) 458 1400 ext. 133Ensemble Communications, Inc.mailto: alok@ensemblecom.com9890 Towne Centre Dr.mailto: alok@ensemblecom.comSan Diego, 92121 USASan Diego					
Re:	This document is intended for discussion on PHY comments regarding a requested change in the RS block size of the control channel FEC					
Abstract	See above					
Purpose	See above					
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.					
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate text contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.					
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures (Version 1.0) < <u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html</u> >, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, if there is technical justification in the opinion of the standards-developing committee and provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder that it will license applicants under reasonable terms and conditions for the purpose of implementing the standard."					
	Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <mailto:r.b.marks@ieee.org> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, of any patents (granted or under application) that may cover technology that is under consideration by or has been approved by IEEE 802.16. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site <http: 16="" ieee802.org="" ipr="" notices="" patents="">.</http:></mailto:r.b.marks@ieee.org>					

FEC Parameterization for Control Channel information

Alok Gupta Ensemble Communications, Inc.

Currently the IEEE 802.16.1 PHY mode B specifies a (40,20) RS code concatenated with (24,16) inner code for the downlink and uplink maps and control information. The numeric parameters of the RS code where based on a specific MAC/Map message size. As this message size was changed it is required to increase the RS block size to (46,26). An explanation follows.

The downlink map contains pointers to the start of the different modulation fields for the same frame. It is necessary to have the first decoded pointer available before the corresponding data field begins. Assuming symbol clock is used for the RS decoder, the delay through the decoder for a (K+R,K) RS code is 2(R+K) symbol clock cycles (R cycles for Euclidean or Berlekamp algorithm, K+R cycles for Chien search and error value computation and K cycles for transferring the corrected message bytes). The (K+R,K) RS code word block with (24,16) inner code and QPSK modulation has duration of 1.5*8*(K+R)/2 symbol clock cycles. We also need to account for the modem latency. Let us assume the modem latency is x symbols. Then as long as there are at least two RS code words containing the maps and 2(R+K)+x < 6(R+K), we are guaranteed to have the information in first RS code words decoded and available for use before the data field begins. The following diagram summarizes the delay calculations.



Figure 01. Modem and FEC Decoding Delay for the Maps

Thus for Modem and FEC decoding latency reasons as well as for the worst case scenario of having only two RS code words containing the maps, it is necessary that at least the first pointer is part of the first RS codeword.

Following is the structure of first RS codeword for the map.

TC Header 1 1 Byte	MAC Header 7 Byte	Message Type ID 1Byte	PHY Sync Field 4 Byte	Basestation ID 8 Byte	Map Size 2 Bytes	Ist Map Pointer 20 Bit
-----------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------

Figure 02. Structure of Message for the First RS Code Word for the Map

Thus it is obvious that 20 bytes of message length is not sufficient to accommodate the first pointer in the first RS codeword and a minimum of 26 bytes is required. We propose to change the outer code specification to a (46,26) code.