Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < <u>http://ieee802.org/16</u> >		
Title	Fix broken message flow in HO decision & initiation		
Date Submitted	2005-07-18		
Source(s)	David Xiang, Phillip Barber, Jim Carlo, Duke Dang, Lucy Chen, <u>mailto: dxiang@futurewei.com</u> John Lee		
	HUAWEI		
	Mary Chion, Sean Cai		
	ZTE San Diego		
	Mo-Han Fong		
	Nortel		
Re:	Call for contribution and comments.		
Abstract	Fix broken message flow in HO decision & initiation		
Purpose	Adoption		
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.		
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.		
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < <u>http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html&gt;</u> , including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < <u>mailto:chair@wirelessman.org&gt;</u> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this		

# Fix broken message flow in HO decision & initiation

David Xiang, Phillip Barber, Jim Carlo, Duke Dang, Lucy Chen, John Lee HUAWEI

## **Problem Definition**

There is a problem in HO decision & initiation.

A change to the D8 document on handover race condition mitigation has broken the normal messaging sequencing. More specifically, a change to 6.3.21.2.2 HO decision & initiation, page 178, paragraph 2 was changed to:

If an MS that transmitted a MOB\_MSHO-REQ message detects an incoming MOB\_BSHO-REQ message, it may respond with a MOB\_MSHO-REQ or a MOB\_HO-IND message and ignore its own previous request. A BS that transmitted a MOB\_BSHO-REQ message and detects an incoming MOB\_MSHO-REQ message from the same MS shall ignore its **MOB\_MSHO-REQ [emphasis added]**. A BS that transmitted a MOB\_BSHO-REQ message and detects an incoming MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its an incoming MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its an incoming MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its an incoming MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its an incoming MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its an incoming MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its an incoming MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its an incoming MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its an incoming MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its an incoming MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its an incoming MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its an incoming MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its own previous request.

The change of the message (in bold in the text) from MOB\_BSHO-REQ to MOB\_MSHO-REQ has disastrous results as can be seen in the following diagram.



According to the revised language in D8 (and carried forward in D9), the BS will ignore any MSHO-REQ following a BSHO-REQ. So MS loses the ability to respond to a BSHO-REQ by modifying the selection and submitting a revised selection via a MSHO-REQ. I am sure that this change was made originally to express BS precedence in concurrent MSHO-REQ/BSHO-REQ transmissions. Of course that decision was in error since

there is no requirement that MS even respond to BSHO-REQ or BSHO-RSP, but there is requirement that BS respond to MSHO-REQ. So perception of precedence is really irrelevant. MS messaging is, in fact, independent of BS handover messaging, even if MS uses information obtained from the BS handover messages to construct MS handover messages.

Changing the instance back to BSHO-REQ does not create a problem as the following diagrams demonstrate:



The figure above shows the normal function of the messaging when the race condition constraint is reinstated as BSHO-REQ in place of MSHO-REQ. Note that this was the intended sequence and performance.



The figure above shows same frame transmission (concurrent transmission) of mutual HO-REQ messages. Note that even in this instance, due to the subdivision of the frame into downlink and uplink subframes, the BSHO-REQ always occurs first in time in concurrent transmission. As is shown in the figure, message flow works normally when the race condition constraint is reinstated as BSHO-REQ in place of MSHO-REQ.

In summary, reversion to BSHO-REQ from MSHO-REQ in the condition constraint repairs the message flow to proper function and does not injure performance in any race condition.

### Remedy

Revert language in 6.3.21.2.2 HO decision & initiation, page 178, paragraph 2 back to original text.

#### [Phil Barber 2205-7-18] Revised in harmonization to resolve comments 6133, 6089, 6356

### **Proposed Text Changes**

#### [In 6.3.21.2.2 HO decision & initiation, page 178, lines 5-18, modify paragraph as:]

A handover begins with a decision for an MS to hand-over from a serving BS to a target BS. The decision may originate either at the MS, the serving BS, or on the network. The HO may proceed with a notification through either MOB\_MSHO-REQ or MOB\_BSHO-REQ messages. The HO notification is recommended, but not required. Acknowledgement of MOB\_MSHO-REQ with MOB\_BSHO-RSP of a notification is required. After MS transmits MOB\_MSHO-REQ, MS shall not transmit any MOB\_MSHO-REQ prior to expiration of timer

MS\_handover\_retransmission\_timer. MS shall deactivate timer MS\_handover\_initiation\_timer on MS transmit of MOB\_HO-IND or MS receipt of MOB\_BSHO-RSP.

If an MS that transmitted a MOB\_MSHO-REQ message detects an incoming MOB\_BSHO-REQ message, it shall ignore that MOB\_BSHO-REQ messagemay respond with a MOB\_MSHO-REQ or a MOB\_HO-IND message and ignore its own previous request. A BS that transmitted a MOB\_BSHO-REQ message and detects an incoming MOB\_MSHO-REQ message from the same MS shall ignore its <u>MOB\_MSHO-REQ MOB\_BSHO-REQ</u>. A BS that transmitted a MOB\_BSHO-REQ message from the same MS shall ignore its own previous request.

[In 6.3.21.3.1 SHO decision and initiation, page 187, line 60 through page 188, line 5, modify paragraph as:] The decision to update the Active Set or Anchor BS-begins with a notification by the MS through the MOB\_MSHO-REQ message or by the BS through the MOB\_BSHO-REQ management message. The process of Anchor BS update may begin with MOB\_MSHO-REQ from MS or MOB\_BSHO-REQ from the Anchor BS Acknowledgement of MOB\_MSHO-REQ with MOB\_BSHO-RSP of a notification-is required, but one with MOB\_BSHO-RSP is recommended by not required. After MS transmits MOB\_MSHO-REQ, MS shall not transmit any MOB\_MSHO-REQ prior to expiration of timer MS handover\_retransimssion\_timer. MS shall deactivate timer MS\_handover\_retransmission\_timer on MS transmit of MOB\_HO-IND or MS receipt of MOB\_BSHO-RSP. Process of Anchor BS update may also begin with Anchor switching indication via Fast Feedback channel.

If an MS that transmitted a MOB\_MSHO-REQ message detects an incoming MOB\_BSHO-REQ message, it shall ignore that MOB\_BSHO-REQ messagemay respond with a MOB\_MSHO-REQ or MOB\_HO-IND message and ignore its own previous request. Similarly, aA BS that transmitted a MOB\_BSHO-REQ message and detects an incoming MOB\_MSHO-REQ or MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its own previous request.

[In 6.3.21.3.2 FBSS Decision and Initiation, page 188, line 60 through page 189, line 5, modify paragraph as:] Process of updating Active Set begins with MOB\_MSHO-REQ from MS or MOB\_BSHO-REQ from the Anchor BS. The process of Anchor BS update may begin with MOB\_MSHO-REQ from MS or MOB\_BSHO-REQ from the Anchor BS. Acknowledgement of MOB\_MSHO-REQ with MOB\_BSHO-RSP is required. After MS transmits MOB\_MSHO-REQ, MS shall not transmit any MOB\_MSHO-REQ prior to expiration of timer MS\_handover\_retransmssion\_timer. MS shall deactivate timer MS\_handover\_initiation\_timer on MS transmit of MOB\_HO-IND or MS receipt of MOB\_BSHO-REQ. Process of Anchor BS update may also begin with MOB\_MSHO-REQ from MS or MOB\_BSHO-REQ from the Anchor BS update may also begin with MOB\_MSHO-REQ from MS or MOB\_BSHO-REQ from the Anchor BS update may also begin with MOB\_MSHO-REQ from MS or MOB\_BSHO-REQ from the Anchor BS or it may begin-with Anchor switching indication via Fast Feedback channel.

If an MS that transmitted a MOB\_MSHO-REQ message detects an incoming MOB\_BSHO-REQ message, it shall ignore that MOB\_BSHO-REQ messagemay respond with a MOB\_MSHO-REQ or MOB\_HO-IND message and ignore its own previous request. Similarly, aA BS that transmitted a MOB\_BSHO-REQ message and detects an incoming MOB\_MSHO-REQ or MOB\_HO-IND message from the same MS shall ignore its own previous request.

[In 6.3.21.3.3 Active Set Update for SHO/FBSS, page 189, line 42 through page 189, line 5, modify paragraph as:]

MS actual update of Active Set, as listed in MOB\_BSHO-RSP is recommended, but not required. However,

the actual Active Set chosen by the MS shall be a subset of those listed in MOB\_BSHO-RSP<u>or in</u> <u>MOB\_BSHO-REQ</u> and shall be indicated in MOB\_HO-IND, with SHOFBSS\_IND\_type field in MOB\_HO-IND set to 0b00 (confirm Active Set update).

[Insert new Section 11.7.12.3]

### **<u>11.7.12.3 MS Handover Retransmission Timer</u>**

<u>After a MS transmits MOB-MSHO\_REQ to initiate a handover process, it shall start MS Handover</u> <u>Retransmission Timer and shall not transmit another MOB-MSHO\_REQ until the expiration of the MS</u> <u>Handover Retransmission Timer.</u>

<u>Type</u>	Length	Value	Scope
<u>30</u>	<u>1</u>	frames	REG-RSP

[RemoveT41 timer from Table 342 on page 502, lines 3, 4]

Туре	Length	Value	Scope
<del>MS</del>	<del>T41</del>	Time the MS waits for	MOB_BSHO-RSP message

[Change all instances of 'T4'1to 'MS Handover Retransmission Timer' in the document; including in all Figures]