Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group <a href="http://ieee802.org/16">http://ieee802.org/16</a> >		
Title	Email Traffic Model		
Date Submitted	2007-07-06		
Source(s)	Yih-Guang Jan, Yang-Han Lee, Voice: +886-2-2625-2303 Ming-Hsueh Chuang, Hsien-Wei Tseng, E-mail: yihjan@yahoo.com Jheng-Yao Lin, and Chih-Wei Su yhlee@ee.tku.edu.tw		
	Institute for Information Industry		
	7F., No. 218, Sec. 2, Dunhua S. Rd.,		
	Taipei City, Taiwan.		
	Department of Electrical Engineering, Tamkang University 151 Ying-chuan Road, Tamsui, Taipei County, Taiwan 25137, R. O. C.		
	[co-authors added here]		
Re:	IEEE 802.16m-07/080r2– Call for Comments on Draft 802.16m Evaluation Methodology Document		
Abstract	This document contains proposed text for the draft evaluation methodology for IEEE 802.16m technical proposals.		
Purpose	For discussion and approval by TGm		
Notice	This document does not represent the agreed views of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group or any of its subgroups. It represents only the views of the participants listed in the "Source(s)" field above. It is offered as a basis for discussion. It is not binding on the contributor(s), who reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.		
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.		
Patent	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE-SA Patent Policy and Procedures: <a href="http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6">http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6</a> > and		
Policy	<a href="http://standards.ieee.org/guides/opman/sect6.html#6.3">http://standards.ieee.org/guides/opman/sect6.html#6.3</a> .  Further information is located at <a href="http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-material.html">http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-material.html</a> and <a href="http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/">http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/</a> .		

2007/7/6 IEEE C802.16m-07/122

### **Email Traffic Model**

#### References

- [1] Laura A. Dabbish, Robert E. Kraut, Susan Fussell and Sara Kiesler, "Understanding Email Use: Predicting Action on a Message," Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI'05), NY: ACM Press, pp.691-700.
- [2] V. Bolotin, Y. Levy, and D. Liu," Characterizing Data Connection and Messages by Mixtures of Distributions on Logarithmic Scale, ITC 99, Edinburgh
- [3] G., Brasche, B. Walke, "Concepts Services, and Protocols of the New GSM Phase 2+ General Packet Radio Service, IEEE Communications Magazine, August 1997.
- [4] D. Staehle, K. Leibnitz and P. Tran-Gia," Source Traffic Modeling of Wireless Applications," University of Wurzburg, Institute of Information, Research Report Series, Report No. 261, June 2000
- [5] Bong H. Kim, and Y Hur," Application Traffic Model for WiMAX Simulation," POSDATA, Ltd, April 2007.
- [6] M. S. Borella," Source Models of Network Game Traffic", Computer Communications, 23 (4), pp. 403-410.

## 1. Introduction

Traditionally when it is in the development of Internet traffic model it is usually based on the assumption that the Internet traffic flow arrives according to a memory-less Poisson process, which results in the traffic exhibiting the short-term or short range autocorrelation. However, lately it drew people's attention and one found that the aggregated Internet traffic model depicts the long-term autocorrelation, i.e. the autocorrelation function of the traffic remains significant for all lags and it is identified as Self-Similar Process [6]. These Internet traffics statistical distributions can be identified by either Cauchy, or Pareto or Weibull distribution [6]. In this report we will review the characteristics of Email traffic among various Internet traffics and to develop an appropriate Email traffic model identified from these characteristics. In the literature it has not too many references discussing Email traffic. In [2] and [3] they provided the distribution of the Email size, and it is noted that the 90%-tile Email size varies from 80 Kbytes in the model [4] to 250 Kbytes in [3]. And also in [4] it found that Email size can be approximated by a Cauchy distribution function with  $\alpha = 0.8$  and  $\beta = 1.0$ . In the following some general statistics about Email usages are listed or tabulated from the survey conducted at Carnegie Mellon University containing over 1100 Email addresses [1]. The Email traffic model will then be discussed in sequel.

### 2. Basic Email Statistics

The general statistics about email usage in the survey is tabulated in Table 1 [1]. On the average every respondent sent 14 messages per day, read 30 of them and kept over 1300 in their inboxes.

Table 1 General Email Usage Characteristics

Message	Mean (Standard Deviation)	Median (Out of N=121)
Message read per day	30 (17)	25
Message sent per day	14 (12)	13
Number of inbox Message	1336 (2785)	105
Number of Email folders	22 912)	25
Times checking Email per	19 (11)	13
day		

# 3. Message Level Data

 The distribution of messages among the various content types is summarized in Table 2. It is to be noted that it is possible for one message containing one more type. The highest percentage of the message content is to ask for action (34%)

Table 2 Distribution of Message Content Types (one message may contain more than one type)

(* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
Message Content	Percentage		
Action request	34		
Information request	18		
Information Attachment	36		
Status update	21		
Scheduling	14		
Reminder	16		
Social	8		
Other	12		

# 4. Actions on a message

Two possible actions are considered for people taking on a message, namely the *location action* and *reply action*. In the *location action*, it decides people's action on a message to file, delete, or leave the message after processing it. In the reply action, it considers user's response to a message, the user had already replied to, planned to reply, or did not plan to reply a message. The detailed breakdown of the distribution of messages by reply and location actions can be referred to Figure 1.

2007/7/6 IEEE C802.16m-07/122




Figure 1 Distribution of Messages by *Reply* and *Location* Actions (Categories are Mutually Exclusive)

### 5. Email Protocol

The mostly used Email protocols are POP3 and MAPI (Messaging Application Programming Interface) which is supported by Microsoft Outlook and Exchange Server. The MAPI protocol is in the application layer. In the Outlook, each E-mail involves eleven active TCP connections during Email invoking phase, and each Email transaction consists of multiple MAPI segment transactions in series and each MAPI segment is again segmented into smaller segments. The maximum MAPI segment is 16896 bytes and this information is indicated in the first package of a MAPI segment. Outlook finishes the MAPI segment with ACK acknowledgement transmission, while the Exchange server waits for the MAPI segment completion indication packet before sending the next one. The last packet in the MAPI segment set the "PUSH" bit in the TCP packet to transmit all of the packets in the TCP buffer to the application layer at the receiver side [5].

#### 6. Email Model

The Email traffic has the burst profile as other Internet traffics, and it is characterized by ON/OFF states. In the ON-state Email traffics are transmitted and in the Off-state it is in the idle period. When the duration of the ON-state is short compared with the ON-OFF session length, then other new Email traffics (sub-sessions) are read and the elapse time between Emails sub-session read is randomly distributed as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2 Email Traffic Pattern

Based on the Email traffic patterns, we list in the following the parameters governing the Email traffic characteristics with or without attachments in the Emails.

3

5

7

8

9 10

11

1213

1415

16

17

18 19

20

- 6.1 Email Protocol: POP3, MAPI
- 6.2 Number of Sub-sessions in one session
  - 6.2.1 Number of Read sub-sessions: Lognormal distributed with mean
  - $\mu_r = 30$  and standard deviation  $\sigma_r = 17$
  - 6.2.2 Number of Write sub-sessions: Lognormal distributed with mean
  - $\mu_{\rm w}$  = 14 and standard deviation  $\sigma_{\rm w}$  = 12
  - 6.2.3 Read time per sub-session: Pareto distributed with
  - $\alpha_r = 1.1, k_r = 2, m_r = 65$ , mean = 60 seconds, maximum = 63 seconds
  - 6.2.4 Write time per sub-session: Pareto distributed with
  - $\alpha_w = 1.1, k_w = 2, m_w = 125$ , mean = 120 seconds, maximum = 123 seconds
- 6.3 Email average header size: Deterministic, 1 K bytes
- 6.4 Email size without attachment:
  - 6.4.2 Read (Receive): Cauchy distributed, median  $\mu = 22.7$  K bytes, 90%-tile = 80K bytes
  - 6.4.3 Write (Send): Cauchy distributed, median  $\mu = 22.7$  K bytes, 90%-tile = 80K bytes
- 6.5 Email size with attachment:
  - 6.5.2 Read (Receive): Cauchy distributed, median  $\mu = 227$  K bytes, 90%-tile = 800K bytes
  - 6.5.3 Write (Send): Cauchy distributed, mean  $\mu = 227$  K bytes, 90%-tile = 800K bytes
- 21 22

# **Appendix: Some Important Probability Density Functions**

24

23

25

26

A.1 Log-normal Probability Density Function

$$f_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma x}} \exp\left[\frac{-(\ln x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right], \quad x \ge 0$$

- where  $\mu$  is the mean and  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation.
- 28 A.2 Discrete Pareto Probability Density Function

$$f_x = \frac{\alpha k^{\alpha}}{x^{\alpha+1}}, k \le x \le m$$

$$f_x = \left(\frac{k}{m}\right)^{\alpha}, x = m$$

- where  $\alpha$  is the shape factor, and the random variable is interested in the range from k to m.
- 31

30

- 32 A.3 Exponential Probability Density Function
- $f_x = \lambda \ e^{-\lambda x}, \ x \ge 0$
- where  $\lambda$  is the reciprocal of the mean value  $\mu$ , and  $\lambda = 1/\mu$ .
- 35

6 7

9

11

12

14

15

16

18 19

### 1 A.4 Cauchy Probability Density Function

2 
$$f_x = \frac{b/\pi}{(x-a)^2 + b^2}, b > 0$$

where a is the median value and is the location parameter, b is the scale parameter. Mean and standard deviation of Cauchy distribution are not defined. With b= 1, the Cauchy distribution becomes:

$$f_x = \frac{1}{\pi((x-a)^2 + 1)}$$

8 A.5 Extreme Probability Density Function

10 
$$f_x = \frac{1}{b} e^{-\frac{x-a}{b}} e^{-e^{\frac{x-a}{b}}}, b > 0$$

A.6. Uniform Probability Density Function

$$f_x = \frac{1}{b-a}, \quad a \le x \le b$$

A.7. Weibull Probability Density Function

$$f_x = \alpha \beta x^{\beta - 1} e^{-\alpha x^{\beta}}, \quad x > 0$$

Where  $\alpha$  is a scale factor and  $\beta$  is shape factor