Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group http://ieee802.org/16 >		
Title	Suggested EVM modifications		
Date Submitted	2007-11-05		
Source(s)	John Humbert Sprint	Voice: +1 816-210-9611 E-mail: John.J.Humbert @ sprint.com	
		* <http: affiliationfaq.html="" faqs="" standards.ieee.org=""></http:>	
Re:	Proposed Modification to IEEE 802.16m-037r1		
Abstract	This document proposes changes to the modeling scenarios in the 802.16 EVM		
Purpose	Adopt this contribution to modify section 2.3		
Notice	This document does not represent the agreed views of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group or any of its subgroups. represents only the views of the participants listed in the "Source(s)" field above. It is offered as a basis for discussion. It is not binding on the contributor(s), who reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.		
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contributic and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.		
Patent Policy	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE-SA Patent Policy and Procedures: http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6 and http://standards.ieee.org/guides/opman/sect6.html#6.3 . Further information is located at http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-material.html and		

Proposed Modifications to IEEE 802.16m-07-037R1 section 2.3

John Humbert Sprint

Purpose:

From the table in section 2.3 it is unclear if the SRD performance numbers must be met using the baseline scenario, baseline + Macro Cell, or just the Macro Cell scenario. To clarify the situation this proposed text change makes the Urban Macrocell optional and adds information needed to simulation FDD systems.

This is consistent with the way that the absolute numbers were derived in the SRD.

It also places an emphasis on optimizing system performance for larger cell sizes and higher mobility speeds.

Other updates to the NGMN simulation scenario have been made based on some of more recent activities within NGMN.

Proposed Change:

[Editors note: replace section 2.3 with the following]

The following table summarizes the test environments and associated assumptions and parameters that are required for system level simulations. For purposes of FDD system evaluation, a TBD the FDD test scenario shall be used. Proponents are required to present performance results for both mandatory test scenarios defined in Table 1.

Scenario/ Parameters	Baseline Configuration (Calibration & SRD)	NGMN Configuration	Urban Macrocell <u>FDD</u> <u>Test</u> Configutration	
Requirement	Mandatory	Optional *	Mandatory for FDD proposals	
Site-to-Site Distance	1.5 km	0.5 km	1 <u>.5</u> km	
Carrier Frequency	2.5 GHz	2.5 GHz	2.5 GHz	
Operating Bandwidth	10 MHz for TDD	10 MHz for TDD	10 MHz for TDD / 5-10 MHz per uplink and downlink for FDD	

BS Height	32 m	32 m	32 m	
BS Tx Power per sector	46 dBm	46 dBm	46 dBm TDD 43 dBm FDD	
MS Tx Power	23 dBm	23 dBm	23 dBm	
MS Height	1.5 m	1.5 m	1.5 m	
Penetration Loss	10 dB	20 dB	10 dB	
Path Loss Model	Loss (dB) = 130.62+37.6log ₁₀ (R) (R in km) **	Loss (dB) = 130.62+37.6log ₁₀ (R) (R in km) **	$\frac{\text{Loss (dB)} =}{130.62+37.6\log_{10}(\frac{\text{R})}{(\text{R in km})}}$ (R in km) **Referto Section 3.2.3.1	Formatted: Swedish (Sweden)
Lognormal Shadowing Standard Deviation	8 dB	8 dB	8 dB	
Correlation Distance for Shadowing	50m	50m	50m	
Mobility	0-120 km/hr	3 km/hr	0-120 km/hr	
Channel Mix	ITU Ped B 3 km/hr – 60% ITU Veh A 30 km/hr – 30% ITU Veh A 120 km/hr – 10%	All others ITU Ped B All others ITU Ped B All why 60% ITU Veh A All others ITU Ped B All others ITU Veh A	ITU Ped B 3 km/hr – 60% ITU Veh A 30 km/hr – 30% ITU Veh A 120 km/hr – 10%TBD	Formatted: English (U.S.)
Spatial Channel Model	ITU with spatial correlation (Refer to Section Error! Reference source not found.)	ITU with spatial correlation (Refer to Section 3.2.9)SCM for 3 km/hr only case All others ITU with spatial correlation (Refer to Section Error! Reference source not found.)	ITU with spatial correlation (Refer to Section Error! Reference source not found.) Urban Macrocell CDL (Refer to Table 9 in Section 3.2.5.1) with spatial correlation (Appendix A)	
EVM	[30 dB]	N/A	f30 dB 1	

Table 1: Test Scenarios

[Editors Note: add the Urban Macrocell column the table in appendix J]

Scenario/ Parameters	Urban Macrocell		
Requirement	Mandatory Optional		
Site-to-Site Distance	1 km		
Carrier Frequency	2.5 GHz		
Operating Bandwidth	10 MHz for TDD / 5 MHz per uplink and downlink for FDD		
BS Height	32 m		
BS Tx Power per	46 dBm TDD		
sector	43 dBm FDD		
MS Tx Power	23 dBm		
MS Height	1.5 m		
Penetration Loss	10 dB		
Path Loss Model	Refer to Section 3.2.3.1		
Lognormal Shadowing Standard Deviation	8 dB		
Correlation Distance for Shadowing	50m		
Mobility	0-120 km/hr		
Channel Mix	TBD		
Spatial Channel Model	Urban Macrocell CDL (Refer to Error! Reference source not found. in Section Error! Reference source not found.) with spatial correlation (Appendix A)		
EVM	[30 dB]		

Formatted: English (U.S.)