



# **Frame Control Bit Consolidation “Brave Proposal”**

Frame Adhoc Group



# FAH Participants



Anoop Ghanwani

Chip Paryzek

David James

John Lemon

Li Mo

Marc Holness

Peter Jones

Robert Castellano

Med Belhadj

Italo Busi

Leon Bruckman

# Draft 2.0 Frame Format




Figure 8.1—RPR data frame format

- SO – Strict Ordering
  - Identifies whether a frame receives strict ordering frame consistency check.
  - Recommend encoding (strict, relaxed) states in baseRingControl header
- FF – Flooding Form (2-bit)
  - No\_flood, Uni\_flood, Bi\_flood
  - Do not need to distinguish between Uni/Bi flood. The current RX algorithms or consistency checks do not distinguish between a Uni and Bi flood.
  - A 2-state frame type to indicate (flood, no\_flood) is all that is required
  - Recommend encoding (flood, no\_flood) states in baseRingControl header



# Review of extRing control functions /2



- PS – Passed Source
  - Intended to discard wrapped frames that are wrapped back onto primary ringlet prior to passing the source.
  - A wrapped frame that is wrapped back onto the primary ringlet will be discarded by the source consistency check.
  - This check and associated bit is redundant.
- EF – Extended Frame Format
  - Intended to identify that payload format following the HEC is an extended MAC frame (DA, SA, PT, payload)
  - EF is used by bridges to transmit strict\_mode frames on the ring. This is done in order to perform frame consistency checks based on the transmitting bridge's station address (frame.SSID in frame header).
  - EF also used to support enhanced bridging. Enhanced bridging required EF frame format to encapsulate client addresses in the bridge frame in order to use local addresses for unicast stripping.
  - Encoding of frame.SSID in the extRing control field eliminates the need for extended frame format.

# Proposed Frame Format (Brave Proposal, Option 1)




Figure 8.1—RPR data frame format

Frame format encoding consolidation eliminates non-essential control bits and integrates others into baseRingControl field eliminating the need for special MAC processing requirements of the extRingControl bits in the frame. Also increases the number of contiguous reserve bits for future requirements.



# Proposed Frame Format (Brave Proposal, Option 2)



- Redefining the 8 bit extRingControl field as SSID, eliminates the need for extended frame format.
  - Multiple MAC data frame formats are not needed.
  - Client MAC addresses ALWAYS reside in DA/SA fields of the RPR frame header, regardless whether frame is a local, basic bridged, or enhanced bridged frame.
  - Frame TTL consistency checks are performed using TTL, TTL\_base, SSID. Check is simpler (8bit vs. 48bit), and cleaner from a standard perspective. SSID is only used to do strict mode source consistency check.
  - SSID field in the frame is a static value for all frames transmitted by that station. Stations determine their SSID on startup from topology discovery, and is revalidated during topology changes.
  - Having redundant SSIDs during ring merge is not an issue, because strict traffic is being purged during this time due to context containment anyway until the new topology converges.
  - Also covers enhanced bridging needs in future. Enhanced bridges use a relative addressing based on TTL / TTL\_base for learning and stripping.



# Enhanced Bridging Example



## Enhanced Bridging is Out of Scope

(If you really want to know,  
see the backup slide)



# Proposed Frame Format (Brave Proposal, Option 2)




Figure 8.1—RPR data frame format

Redefining the extRingControl field as an SSID field eliminates the need for extended frame format further simplifying the MAC processing requirements

SSID Encoding  
0 – Null SSID  
1 – Null SSID extended frame format  
2 –255 – SSID value

# Proposed FT / P sub-field modifications

## D2.0

## Brave Proposal

### FT sub-field

- 0 idle
- 1 control
- 2 fairness
- 3 data

### P sub-field

FT = 2, P – parity  
FT != 2, P – **reserved**  
**possible data encoding**

### FT/SOPI sub-field

- 0/0 data flood / relaxed
- 0/1 data flood / strict
- 1/0 control
- 1/1 reserved
- 2/P fairness/idle w/parity
- 3/0 data no\_flood / relaxed
- 3/1 data no\_flood / strict

P bit redefined as:  
SOP bit  
Data – Strict/relaxed  
Fairness – Parity



# Brave Proposal Summary



- Uses D2.0 header format
  - Minor changes in basicRingControl field decoding
  - Consolidates Flooding, and Strict Ordering, control bits into basicRingControl field in logical manner
  - Passed Source function is redundant and not required
- Frees contiguous block of bits in extRingControl field
  - Option 1 - Having 7 contiguous bits provides much more flexibility for defining new control functions in future
  - Option 2 - Defining the extRingControl field as a contiguous frame.SSID field eliminates the need for extended frame format. It also simplifies the strict mode check from a 48-bit to 8-bit check.



# Recommendation



- Recommend Option 2
  - Eliminates extended frame format requirement



# Thank You

# Enhanced Bridging Example

Unicast Frame Transmitted from C1 to C2

Frame directed to intended destination

Frames Stripped at Station 3 based on  $TTL = 0$

Station 3 learns distance to C1 based on  $(TTL\_base - TTL)$

