

# P802.17 Editors' Report

Tom Alexander  
Chief Editor, P802.17

# Agenda

- Comment resolution track summary
- Process for disposition of comments
- Tentative draft schedule

# Comment Resolution Tracks

- Section 1: Overview
  - 100 technical and 69 editorial comments dealt with; all resolved
- Section 2: MAC Reference Model
  - 456 technical comments dealt with by CRG; 448 resolved
  - 8 carried over to WG
  - All technical comments handled by CRG, including carryovers
  - No draft text for Clause 6 (MAC Datapath)
- Section 3: PHY, Ethernet RS, SONET/SDH RS, Clock Synchronization
  - All 28 technical comments reviewed and resolved

# Comment Resolution Tracks

- Section 4: MAC Fairness
  - all 101 technical comments dealt with by CRG; 97 resolved
  - 4 carried over to WG
- Section 5: Topology Discovery, Protection
  - No draft text or comments for topology discovery
  - 35 technical comments resolved
- Section 6: OAM, Management, MIB
  - 44 technical comments resolved
- Section 7: Bridging Annex
  - 9 technical comment dealt with; 13 forwarded to WG (actually, to the BAH)

# Process

- Motions to adopt proposals for open clauses
  - Clause 6 (MAC Datapath) and Clause 10 (Topology Discovery)
- Each section will be dealt with in turn
  - Most contentious first: order is 4, 2, 7, 3, 5, 1, 6, 0
- Editor will present summary of resolutions by ad-hoc
- Single motion to accept ad-hoc group's resolutions to resolved comments as a bucket
  - Any WG member can request that any comment be broken out of the bucket and debated by WG as a whole
  - Ad-hocs to resolve issues raised by comments authorized at this time (by acceptance of comments)
- Comments sent by ad-hoc to WG will then be debated and resolved
  - Chair may limit debate in the interests of progress
- Authorize creation of D1.0 based on instructions

# What if there is no consensus?

Failure to adopt a resolution for any comment will result in that comment being carried over to the next meeting

Failure to adopt a resolution for at least one comment in a particular clause will result in no changes to that clause

Failure to authorize editors to create Draft 1.0 based on accepted comment resolutions will result in Draft 0.1 being circulated again without changes

# Draft Creation/Posting Schedule

(Tentative, subject to revision based on workload)

- ~3 weeks for Editors to create D1.0 from accepted comments
  - Draft clauses sent to Chief Editor by June 1
- ~1 week for Chief Editor to clean up, review and assemble D1.0
  - Draft 1.0 ready by June 9, posted on June 10
- Comment period runs from June 10 to June 23
  - 2 weeks to review draft and post comments
- Comment database posted for review and proposed resolutions by June 24
  - ~2 weeks to review comments, propose resolution
  - CRD frozen July 3, posted July 4
- Plenary meeting starts July 8

Note that if draft text is not voted in for both missing clauses (Clause 6, Clause 10), then we will go to D0.3 instead of D1.0

# Clause 0 Comments Report

Tom Alexander  
Chief Editor, P802.17



# Clause 0 Comments

- Submitted to Clause 0: 14 comments, 2 deferred to WG
  - Nomenclature & consistency
  - RPR objectives
  - Flooding techniques, ringlet selection
  - Frame size
  - State machines
- Punted to Clause 0 by other clauses: 6 comments, all resolved
  - Numbering of pages
  - Notation and nomenclature
  - Bit ordering