# IEEE 802.17 (Interim) meeting minutes Montreal

# May 19<sup>th</sup> - May 22<sup>nd</sup> 2003

#### Notes

- The agenda for the meeting is posted on the web at <a href="http://www.ieee802.org/17/documents/presentations/may2003/may">http://www.ieee802.org/17/documents/presentations/may2003/may</a> agenda 02.pdf
- All the presentation materials for the meeting are located at the following URL http://www.ieee802.org/17/documents/presentations/may2003/index.htm
- The minutes do not have details of the motions. However, the motion number and the result of the voting on the motion is captured in the minutes.
- Some acronyms used
  - o CRG
  - o WG

0

### Meeting minutes 05/19/2003

8:20 AM – Introduction & Welcome (Mike Takefman)

- 80 members
- 23 members lost voting rights for failing to respond to ballot call
- Patents policy
- Local server at 192.168.0.2
- SSID IEEE
- WEP OFF
- http and ftp site 192.168.0.2
- RPR != Ethernet, marketing folks at member companies should refrain from calling themselves Ethernet based
- 802.17 compliance cannot be claimed by companies yet
- A nasty gram will be sent by IEEE SA to any entity claiming compliance to draft
- 802.17 schedule

8: 44 AM – Agenda (Mike Takefman)

8:47 AM - M: Bob Love, S: John Lemon, Approval of Agenda 2003-5-19-01, Unanimous

- March meeting minutes will be posted on the ftp site in the next couple of days
- Due to lack of quorum, the march meeting minutes cannot be approved in this meeting

8:49 AM – Editors report of 802.17/D2.2 draft/balloting

- 69 Valid ballots
- 33 approvals, 33 disapprovals, 3 absentions
- Ballot fails due to lack of approval
- 1480 valid comments
- David James wins the cheap wine award with 501 comments

9:00 AM – Topology and protection editors report (Jason Fan)

• Determination of edges

9:30 AM – OAM (Leon Brukeman)

10:02 AM - break for 15 mins

10:18 AM – Receive rules (Mike Takefman)

10:30 AM – Echo options (Leon Bruckman)

10:55 AM – Interaction between A1 and A0 (KK Ramakrishnan)

11:28 AM – 2 Gigabit packet PHY (Phil Kruzinski)

- Leon: PHY agnostic so no need to specify all the PHYs
- David: We need the editor to write up
- Harry: Fibre Channel can run at 10Gbps
- Steve Woods:
- John Lemon: Supports David and Leon's view that the PHY definition should be generic

12:00 PM – Lunch break

1:15 PM – VRRP and MAC address extensions (Peter Jones)

1:41 PM – Fairness while being spatially aware (David James)

 $2.00 \, \text{PM} -$ 

# Meeting minutes 05/21/2003

8:00 AM – Seating

8:20 AM – Estimation of STQ size (Jon Shuringa)

8:47 AM – Editor's report

- Introduction : clause 0, 2, 3, 4
  - o 3 rejected

- o 2 deferred
- Clause 1
- PHY clause
- OAM
- MAC and fairness: Joint session

Comments regarding capitalization need not be brought up in the WG excepting for rejected cases. The editors have the license to accept the comments.

Comment 330 – Comment by DVJ

- WG1 proposed by DVJ, Y: 24, N: 1, A: 6, passes
- WG3 proposed by Necdet Uzun, Y: 4, N: 11, A: 14, fails
- WG2 proposed by Mike Takefman, Y: 9, N: 6, A: 16, fails

WG1 passes

9:55 AM - M: Bob Love, S: John Lemon, Motion 2003-5-19-02, Approval of agenda, Unanimous

10:12 AM – break

## Meeting minutes 05/22/2003

8:15 AM – Agenda scrub and seating (Mike Takefman)

8:34 AM – Editor's closing report

- Global section and intro section clause 2,3,4
  - o 40 technical/no-binding comments dealt
  - o 1 rejected
  - o 4 punted to CRGs
  - o 2 to WG
- Intro section (clause 1)
  - o 45 technical dealt
- MAC section (clauses & annexes)
  - o 223 tech comments + 2 punt-int
  - o 21 to WG
- PHY section
  - o 224 tech comments
  - o 2 punts to WG
- Fairness section
  - o 136 comments + 2 punts
  - o 2 punt to WG
- Topology section
  - o 155 tech comments
  - o 4 punt to WG
- OAM section

- o 109 technical comments, 6 rejected
- o 9 punt to WG
- Bridging section
  - o 65 comments dealt
  - o 1 punt to WG
- 18 days for editors to create D2.3
- Ballot period runs from june 16 to july 17
- Comment database posts by july 18
- Plenary starts on july 21
- D2.2 has failed
- Comments can be re-submitted
- WG deadlocks on a comment, it is deemed as rejected by default
- They can then be recirculated with D2.3
- Modification of WG resolution process
  - o Only Accept or Accept-Modified
  - o If none of the proposed resolutions obtain 75%, then the comment is rejected by default
  - o Editors can give a suitably detailed response to go with the resolution
- Clause 0, 2, 3, 4 report (Tom Alexander)
  - o Clause 0
    - 18 comments (9 tech-binding)
    - 2 rejected
    - 2 deferred to WG
  - o Clause 2, 3, 4
    - 53 comments (25 tech-binding)
    - 1 rejected
    - 4 deferred to CRD
  - o Comment 2
    - Accept-Modified
  - o Comment 16
    - Withdrawn
  - o Motion 2003-05-22-03, M: Tom Alexander, S: John Lemon, passes unanimously
- Bridging section (annex E) (Bob Castalleno)
  - o 97 comments (63 tech-binding)
  - o 47 accept/accept-modified
  - o 7 withdrawn
  - o Comment 1361
    - Withdrawn
  - o Motion 2003-05-22-04, M: Robert Castalleno , S: Marc Holness, passes unanimously
- OAM section (clause 11, 12) (Tom Alexander)
  - o Clause 11
    - 129 + 2 punt-in
    - 3 rejected, 1 superseded
    - 8 punted to WG

- o Clause 12
  - 66 coments
  - 3 rejected
  - 1 withdrawn
  - 1 deferred to WG
- o Comment 1029, 1044, 1048,
  - Withdrawn
- Comment 1353
  - Accept
- o Comment 1027
  - WG1: Y: 10, N: 20, A: 1
  - WG2: Y:4, N:20, A: 3
  - Comment is rejected
- o Comment 1031
  - WG1: Y:5, N: 18, A: 8
  - Comment is rejected
- o Comment 945
  - Withdrawn
- Comment 1040
  - WG1: Y: 19, N: 3, A: 9
  - Accept modify
- o Comment 936
  - Withdrawn
- Motion 2003-05-22-05, M: Tom Alexander , S: Leon Bruckman, passes unanimously
- o Motion 2003-05-22-06, M: Tom Alexander, S: Leon Bruckman, MIB technical consistency, passes unanimously

#### 10:11 AM – break

#### 10:26 AM – Resume from break (David James)

- Fairness ad-hoc considerations (David James)
- Clause 1 report
  - Motion 2003-05-22-07, M: David James, S: Tom Alexander, passes unanimously
- PHY section
  - o 284 comments, 244 technical
  - o Rejected 0
  - o Clause 7
    - 1 Deferred to WG
  - o Annex B
    - 1 deferred to WG
  - o Annex C
    - All technical comments addressed
  - o Comment 414
    - WG1: Y: 9, N: 12, A: 8

- Comment is rejected
- o Comment 1087
  - Dup of comment 414
- o Motion 2003-03-22-8, M: Harry Peng, S: Bob Love, passes unanimously
- Motion 2003-03-22-9, M: Harry Peng, S: Bob Love, WG chair and Glenn Parsons to instruct ITU that LAPS value is going to be FE03, passes unanimously

#### 11:19 AM – Topology and protection editor's report (Jason Fan)

- 302 comments
- 4 punted to WG
- 3 withdrawn
- 0 rejected, 23 superseded
- 129 accepted
- Comment 644
  - o WG1: Y: 11, N: 14, A:6
  - Comment is rejected
- Comment 760
  - o Dup
- Comment 870
  - o Dup of??
- Comment 887
  - o WG1: Y: 19, N: 3, A:5
  - o WG2: Y: 3, N: 19, A: 5
  - o Comment is accept-modify WG1
- Comment 748
  - o WG1: Y: 3, N: 17, A: 10
  - o WG2: Y: 19, N: 3, A: 8
  - o Comment is accept-modify WG2
- Comment 731
  - o Withdrawn
- Motion 2003-05-22-10, M: Jason Fan, S: Jim Kao, passes unanimously

#### 12:21 AM – Lunch break

#### 1:03 PM – Resume

#### 1:04 PM – Clause 9 (Bob Sultan)

- 138 total comments
- 8 rejected
- 15 withdrawn
- 6 superseded
- 9 deferred to WG
- Comment 565
  - o WG1: Y: 4, N: 21, A: 6

- o Comment is rejected
- Comment 620
  - o WG1: Y: 2, N: 12, A: 14
  - o Comment is rejected
- Comment 564
  - Withdrawn
- Comment 542
  - o WG1: Y: 23, N: 2, A: 6
  - o Comment is accept-modified
- Comment 527
  - o WG1: Y: 22, N: 1, A: 7
  - o WG2: Y:2, N: 18, A: 7
  - o Comment is accept-modified WG1
- Comment 526
  - o WG1: Y: 18, N: 12, A: 6
  - o WG2: Y: 4, N: 9, A: 14
  - Comment is accept-modified WG1
- Comment 515
  - Withdrawn
- Comment 513
  - Withdrawn
- Motion 2003-05-22-11, M: Bob Sultan, S: Necdet Uzun, passes unanimously

#### 2:01PM – MAC section (John Lemon)

- 277 comments
- 21 deferred to WG
- Comment 195
  - o WG1: Y: 2, N: 20, A: 5
  - o Comment is rejected
- Comment 204
  - o dup of 2
- Comment 221
  - o WG1: passes unanimous
  - o Accept modified
- Comment 230
  - o Withdrawn
- Comment 266
  - o WG1: Y: 2, N: 15, A: 10
  - o WG2: Y: 14, N: 4, A: 8
  - Accept-modified WG2
- Comment 294
  - o ??
- Comment 306
  - o Withdrawn
- Comment 347

- Accept modified
- Comment 355
  - o WG1: Y: 13, N: 9, A: 6
  - o WG2: Y: 20, N: 1, A: 3
  - Comment is accept modify WG1
- Comment 368
  - Rejected
- Comment 378
  - Withdrawn
- Comment 380
  - o WG1: Y: 7, N: 11, A: 8
  - o WG2: Y: 16, N: 0, A: 8
  - Accept modify WG2
- Comment 381
  - o WG1: Y: 12, N: 13, A: 2
  - o WG2 : Y:17, N: 3, A: 4
  - Comment is accept-modify by WG2
- Comment 402
  - o WG1: Y:, N:, A:, passes unanimously
  - Comment accepted modified by WG1
- Comment 405
  - o Dup of 402
- Comment 407
  - o Accept modified WG1 (passed unanimously)
- Comment 464
  - o WG1: Y: 11, N: 15, A: 3
  - o Comment is rejected
- Comment 468
  - o Rejected duplicate of 644
- Comment 470
  - Chicago style rules
  - o WG1: Y: 23, N: 0, A: 4
  - o WG2: Y: 11, N: 9, A: 7
  - Comment is accept modified by WG1
- Comment 473
  - o Accepted duplicate of 470
- Comment 476
  - o WG1: Y: 4, N: 23, A: 3
  - Comment rejected
- Comment 481
  - o WG1: Y: 1, N: 21, A: 6
  - o WG2: Y: 5, N: 11, A: 10
  - Comment is rejected
- Comment 486
  - Accept-modify unanimously

- Comment 333
  - Withdrawn
- 4:20 PM Motion 2003-05-22-12, M: John Lemon, S: Steve Wood, editorial motion, passes unanimously
- 4:22 PM Motion 2003-05-22-13, M: Mike Takefman, S: David James, move to authorize MT and DVJ to produce replacement text for portions of clause6 related to receive processing. Resultant text to be distributed via the reflector for comments and discussion. Final text to be delivered to clause 6 editors for inclusion in draft 2.3. Any unresolved comments to be flagged with an editor's note. Passes unanimously
- 4:31 PM Motion 2003-05-22-14, M: Tom Alexander, S: John Lemon, Move to authorize the chief editor and the editorial team to create P802.17/D2.3 based on P802.17/D2.2 and the instructions contained within those comment resolutions ... Passes unanimously
- 4:35 PM discussion of the 802.17 schedule
- 4:45 PM September meeting proposed locations
  - Calgary 14
  - Boulder 15
  - Toronto 8
  - San Diego 24
  - New Delhi 14
  - Istanbul 15
  - Cleveland 3
  - Minneapolis -5
  - Phoenix 11
  - Las Vegas 21
  - Manhattan 7

Chicago rules for voting. MT will hand the sorted list to Face-to-Face events.

5:06 PM – Motion 2003-05-22-15, M: Bob Love, S: Tom Alexander, Motion to adjourn, passes unanimously

Date / Time: 3/10/03 3:12 PM Moved By: Vinay Bannai Motion:	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By: Bob Lo	Motion #: 1
Move to approve the meeting meeting in Kauai, as published minutes_1111102_d02.pdf		•
Type (Technical or Administrative):	Procedural	50% needed to pass
Voting: For: 999 Against: 0 Abstain (or 0 Result (Passed or failed): PASSED	Other type): 0	100.0% in favor
Subsidiary Motions:	] - -	

Date / Time: 3/13/0 Moved By: Mike Takefn Motion:	03 11:20 AM nan	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By: Dav	Motion #: 8 vid James
Move to resolve copresentation and to modify their section	o authorize tl	ne section 1, 6,	
Type (Technical	or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: 42 Against: Result (Passed or failed	0 Abstain (or C	Other type): 4	100.0% in favor
Subsidiary Motion	ons:		

	_	
Date / Time: 3/13/03 11:24 AM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	Motion #: 8a
Moved By: Mike Takefman	Seconded By: David	James
Motion:		
Move to table motion 8 until su	ch time as	
		7
Type (Technical or Administrative)	: Procedural	<u>50%</u> needed to pass
Voting:		
For: 9 Against: 280 Abstain (or 0	Other type): 6	3.1% in favor
Result (Passed or failed): FAILED	]	
Subsidian/ Motions:	٦	
Subsidiary Motions:	_	
	-	

Date / Time: 3/13/03 11:29 AM Moved By: Leon Bruckman Motion:	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM Seconded By:	,
Move to resolve comments 3 presentation and to authorize modify their sections of the that the first timer lower bounds.	e the section 1, draft accordingl	6, 11 editors to
Type (Technical or Administrat	rive): Procedural	50% needed to pass
Voting: For: 7 Against: 7 Abstain Result (Passed or failed): FAILEI	(or Other type): 27	50.0% in favor
Subsidiary Motions:		

Date / Time: 3/13/03 Moved By: Tom Alexande Motion:	12:44 PM r	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By: Joh	Motion #:	9
Move to adopt all reresolved by comme contributions as neumresolved editorial	nt resolution cessary, and	n ad-hoc, included to grant edito	ding requests for	
Type (Technical or	Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass	s
Voting: For: 999 Against: Result (Passed or failed):	0 Abstain (or C	Other type): 0	100.0% in favor	
Subsidiary Motions	S:			

Date / Ti	me: 3/13/03 12:45 PM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	Motion #: 10
	By: Robert Castellano	Seconded By: Joh	n Lemon
Motion:			
Section reques	to adopt all resolutions to on, as resolved by comme sts for contributions as r e for all unresolved edito	ent resolution ac necessary, and to	d-hoc, including o grant editorial
	Type (Technical or Administrative)	): Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: Result	999 Against: 0 Abstain (or (Passed or failed): PASSED	Other type): 0	100.0% in favor
	Subsidiary Motions:		

Date / Ti	me: 3/13/03 1:24 PM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	Motion #: 11
Moved	l By: Bob Sultan	Seconded By: John	Lemon
Motion:			
Section reques	to adopt all resolutions to adopt all resolutions to a resolved by comments to for contributions and sary, and to grant editor	ent resolution ad-	hoc, including an ad-hoc as
editor	ial comments if any.		
	Type (Technical or Administrative	e): Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: Result	999 Against: 0 Abstain (or (Passed or failed): PASSED	Other type): 0	100.0% in favor
	Subsidiary Motions:		

Date / Time	e: 3/13/03 3:04 PM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	Motion #: 12
Moved B	y: Jason Fan	Seconded By: Jin	ı Kao
Motion:			
Move to	adopt all resolutions	to comments on	the Protection
	, as resolved by comr		
	s for contributions as		•
•		• •	
license	for all unresolved edi	torial comments	ii aliy.
Т	ype (Technical or Administrativ	ve): Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting:			
For: 99	99 Against: 0 Abstain (d	or Other type): 0	100.0% in favor
Result (F	Passed or failed): PASSED	<u> </u>	
S	Subsidiary Motions:		
		<del> </del>	

Date / Time	e: 3/13/03 3:57 PM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	Motion #:	13
Moved E	By: Glenn Parsons	Seconded By: Leon	Bruckman	
Motion:				<del></del>
Move to	adopt all resolutions to	o comments on th	ne OAM Section	on.
	lved by comment resolu			
	_	•	•	
	utions as necessary, an	•	ai iiceiise ior a	111
unresol	lved editorial comments	ir any.		
-			I 750/ 1.14	
	Type (Technical or Administrative):	: Technical	75% needed to	pass
Voting:				
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	99 Against: 0 Abstain (or 0	Other type): 0	100.0% in favor	
<u> </u>	Passed or failed): PASSED	]		
•	,	_		
5	Subsidiary Motions:			
		]		
		]		

Date / Tir	ne: 3/13/03 5:29 PM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	Motion #: 14
Moved	By: Harry Peng	Seconded By: Bob I	_ove
Motion:			
as rescontrib	to adopt all resolutions olved by comment resolutions as necessary, a plyed editorial commen	olution ad-hoc, incl and to grant editori	uding requests for
	Type (Technical or Administrativ	/e): Technical	75% needed to pass
<u> </u>	999 Against: 0 Abstain (d (Passed or failed): <b>PASSED</b>	or Other type): 0	100.0% in favor
	Subsidiary Motions:		

Date / Ti	me: 3/13/03 6 d By: John Lemon	::08 PM (I	mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By: R		Motion #:	15
Motion:		_				
159, 1	that we adress 69, 116, 93, 122	, 129, 154, <i>1</i>	155, and 166	in bu	ılk after a 20	148,
	e discussion or accept/reject v ents.	•	• •			l 
	Type (Technical or A	Administrative):	Procedural		50% needed to	pass
Voting: For: Resul	24 Against: 4 t (Passed or failed):	Abstain (or Ot	her type): 5		85.7% in favor	
	Subsidiary Motions:					

Date / Ti Moved Motion:	me: 3/13/03 6:12 F I By: Robert Castellano	` ,.	onded By: David	Motion #: 15a James
148, 1 minut	that we address co 59, 169, 116, 93, 12 e discussion on th en on each comme es.	22, 129, 154, e scope and	155, and 166 purpose of	in bulk after a 20 Clause 1. Vote to
	Type (Technical or Admir	nistrative):	Procedural	50% needed to pass
Voting: For: Result		stain (or Other ty AILED	pe): 9	20.0% in favor
	Subsidiary Motions:			

Date / Time: 3/13/03 7:14 PM Moved By: John Lemon Motion:	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By: Raj Sl	Motion #:	16
Accept commenters suggested 105, 109, 110, 112, 148, 159, 169, 166.	•		
Type (Technical or Administrative)	: Technical	75% needed to	pass
Voting: For: 8 Against: 13 Abstain (or Result (Passed or failed): FAILED	Other type): 14	38.1% in favor	
Subsidiary Motions:			

Date / Tir Moved Motion:	me: 3/13/03 7:14 PM By: David James	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By: Necde	Motion #: 17
	commenters suggested 09, 110, 112, 148, 159, 16	•	
	Type (Technical or Administrative)	): Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: Result	14 Against: 8 Abstain (or (Passed or failed): <b>FAILED</b>	Other type): 12	63.6% in favor
	Subsidiary Motions:		

Date / T Move Motion:	ime: 3/13/03 7:24 PM d By: Necdet Uzun	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By: Ste	Motion #: 18 eve Plote
Accer	ot commenters suggest	ted remedy for co	mment 166.
	Type (Technical or Administrat	ive): Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: Resul	999 Against: 0 Abstain (Passed or failed): <b>PASSE</b>	(or Other type): 0	100.0% in favor
	Subsidiary Motions:		

Date / Time	e: 3/13/03 7:26 PM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	Motion #: 19
Moved B	y: David James	Seconded By: Tom	Alexander
Motion:			
Move to	adopt all resolutions to	o comments on t	he Intro Section
(Clauses	s 1 and Annex A), as re	solved by comm	ent resolution ad-
1 <sup>-</sup>	luding requests for cor	_	
grant ec	ditorial license for all un	resolved editoria	al comments if
any.			
			_
Т	ype (Technical or Administrative)	: Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting:			
For: 99	99 Against: 0 Abstain (or 0	Other type): 0	100.0% in favor
<u></u>	Passed or failed): PASSED	]	
_		- -	
S	ubsidiary Motions:	_	
		1	
		4	

Date / Time:	3/13/03 9:50 PM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	Motion #:	20
Moved By:	David James	Seconded By: Necde	t Uzun	
Motion:				
current te	e resolution of commer chnical specification rer using the tables in dvjC	nains unchanged b	ut will be	
Тур	pe (Technical or Administrative)	: Technical	75% needed to pa	SS
Voting: For: 999 Result (Pas	Against: 0 Abstain (or 0 ssed or failed): PASSED	Other type): 0	100.0% in favor	
Sub	osidiary Motions:			

Date / Time:	3/13/03 9:40 PM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	Motion #: 21
Moved By: J	John Lemon	Seconded By: Steve	Wood
Motion:			
as resolve contribution	dopt all resolutions do by comment resolutions ons and authorize all united to the control of th	lution ad-hoc, incl ny ad-hocs as nec	uding requests for essary, and to
Туре	e (Technical or Administrative	e): Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: 999 Result (Pass	Against: 0 Abstain (or sed or failed): PASSED	Other type): 0	100.0% in favor
Subs	sidiary Motions:		

Date / Time:	3/13/03 10:01 PM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	Motion #: 22
Moved By:	Tom Alexander	Seconded By: John H	awkins
Motion:			
Move to a	uthorize the Chief Editor	r and the editorial te	am to create
P802.17/D	2.2 based on P802.17/D2	2.1 and the instructi	ons contained
within tho	se comment resolutions	and motions that h	ave been formally
	at the March 2003 meeti		_
1	comment period and wor		
Тур	oe (Technical or Administrative):	Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting:			
For: 999	Against: 0 Abstain (or 0	Other type): 0	100.0% in favor
Result (Pa	ssed or failed): PASSED		
Sul	bsidiary Motions:	1	
		1	
		7	

Date / Time: 3/13/03 10:02 PM Moved By: Mike Takefman Motion:	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By: To	Motion #: 23 m Alexander
Move to approve interim session July 2003 IEEE 802 Plenary session to make decisions to progress	ssion and to pre	eauthorize the WG
Type (Technical or Administrative)	: Procedural	50% needed to pass
Voting: For: 999 Against: Abstain (or Result (Passed or failed): PASSED	Other type):	100.0% in favor
Subsidiary Motions:		

Date / Time: 3/13/03 10:07 PM Moved By: Tom Alexander Motion:	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By: Steve \	Motion #: 24 Wood
Move to remove all references to "Etl the names of the PHYs used by RPR. PHY types to "PacketPHY" and speci std. 802.3-2002 and 802.3ae-2002 with draft. No technical content is to be in granted to make the text consistent.	Rename the present fy them with reference h exceptions as alread	y named "Ethernet" es to subclauses of dy noted in the
Type (Technical or Administrative)	: Technical	75% needed to pass
Voting: For: 30 Against: 3 Abstain (or 0 Result (Passed or failed): PASSED	Other type): 6	90.9% in favor
Subsidiary Motions:		

Date / Tir Moved	ne: 3/13/03 1 By: Bob Love	0:30 PM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By: Kshiti	Motion #: 24a j Kumar
Motion:				
Move to	defer motion 24	until the nex	t meeting	
	Type (Technical or A	Administrative):	Procedural	50% needed to pass
Voting:				
For:	8 Against: 26	Abstain (or C	Other type): 5	23.5% in favor
Result	(Passed or failed):	FAILED		
	Subsidiary Motions:		]	
	,			

			_		
Date / Ti	me: 3/13/03 10:53	PM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)		Motion #: 24b
Moved	By: Collier Buffington		Seconded By: K	shitij K	umar
Motion:					
Move to	postpone motion 24	indefinit	ely.		
	Type /Technical or Admir	niotrotivo):	Dropodural		EOO/ panded to page
	Type (Technical or Admir	nistrative).	Procedural		50% needed to pass
Voting:					
For:	8 Against: 25 Ab	stain (or C	Other type): 6		24.2% in favor
Result		AILED	]		
	-		•		
	Subsidiary Motions:				

Date / Til Moved Motion:	me: 3/13/03 11:15 PM I By: John Lemon	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By: Collie	Motion #: 25 er Buffington
Thank to commit	the performance committee to the tee.	for their past effort ar	nd retire the
	Type (Technical or Administrative	e): Procedural	50% needed to pass
Voting: For: Result	21 Against: 3 Abstain (o (Passed or failed): PASSED	r Other type): 11	87.5% in favor
	Subsidiary Motions:		

Date / Tin	ne: 3/13/03 11:19 PM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM)	Motion #:	26
Moved By: Bob Love		Seconded By: Collier Buffington		
Motion:				
To adjo	urn			
	Type (Technical or Administrative)	Procedural	50% needed to p	ass
	999 Against: 0 Abstain (or (Passed or failed): PASSED	Other type): 0	100.0% in favor	
	Subsidiary Motions:			

Date / Tir Moved Motion:	ne: By: Marc Holness	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By:	Motion #:
mecha	to approve the Protection Inism to allow active adja Iru being entered and exi	cent stations to	•
	Type (Technical or Administrative):	Procedural	50% needed to pass
Voting: For: Result	42 Against: 0 Abstain (or 0 (Passed or failed): Withdrawn	Other type): 4	100.0% in favor
	Subsidiary Motions:		

Date / Time: Moved By Motion:	3/13/03 12:0 Bob Love	00 AM	(mm/dd/yy hh:mm AM/PM) Seconded By:	Motion #:
particulars of 75% approvious to the comments	of the changes hav al before being offi	e NOT been cially incorp plicit details	to the 802.17 working d specified by the Workin orated into the draft (Nosas to how to change the	ng Group will require a ote: any resolution of
Ту	pe (Technical or Adr	ministrative):[	??	50% needed to pass
Voting: For: Result (Pa	<del>-</del>	Abstain (or O <b>Vithdrawn</b>	ther type):	0.0% in favor
Su	ıbsidiary Motions:			