Proposed Response

C/ 1 C/ FM SC FM P9L 17 # 58 SC₁ P16 L 50 # 69 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communication** Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/various (self for this) Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X late Missing list of participants Editor's note about aligning the scope of the overview may well also apply to the text in the "Introduction" on page 12 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fill in the list of participants of the WG ballot Suggest to add "and Introduction in frontmatter" after "Text of the Overview" Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O Proposed Response SC FM P12 **L8** # 68 C/ FM C/ 1 SC 1.4 P18 L **5** # 70 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/various (self for this) CME Consulting/various (self for this) Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X late We have gotten to the point where saying "the initial version" and "the first revision" and the "second revision" we should just say dates. It will be more relevant, readable, and Use of the word "may" is that it is replaced by "is/are allowed to be", not "can possibly be". Better to use "could" here, to indicate possibility. (2 instances) maintainable. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert "(IEEE Std 802.3.1-2011)" after "the initial version" Replace "may be considered" with "could be considered" on both lines 4 and 14. After "the first revision", insert ", IEEE Std 802.3.1-2013,", and after "this revision", insert ", Proposed Response Response Status O IEEE Std 802.3.1b-202x." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 2 SC 2 P19 L19 # 52 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communication** C/ FM SC FM P12 L9 # 67 Comment Type E Comment Status X Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/various (self for this) The list of normality references contains a lot of tracked changes: underlines and Comment Type E Comment Status X late strikethroughs. The clean version should not have them at all. Not sure that the amendments incorporated into the 802.3-2008 revision have special SuggestedRemedy relevance here (especially as the base standard is left out). Please remove any underline and strikethrough markup in the clean version of the SuggestedRemedy document. They were not present in the published version of 802.3.1-2013. Delete ", which subsumed and superseded IEEE Std 802.3anTM-2006, IEEE Std The same comment applies also to Clause 3. 802.3apTM-

Proposed Response

2007, IEEE Std 802.3agTM-2006, and IEEE Std 802.3asTM-2006"

Response Status O

Response Status O

CI 3 SC 3 P 21 L 64 # 71 Cl 4 SC₄ P 23 L 22 # 61 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/various (self for this) Schreiner, Stephan Rosenberger Hochfrequenztechnik GmbH & Co. KG Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X late Comment Type The link to the IEEE Standards Dictionary Online (both t he hyperlink and the text) are The explanation of EFMCu contains an the abbreviation. Only two abbreviations have incorrect. (they go to the IEEE innovate page, which is IEEE Explore and has no mention another abbreviation in the explanations. EFMCu and SLD. Other abbreviations like LLDP -LLDPDU. MPCP - MPCPDU. OAM - OAMPDU not using the abbreviation in the explanation of the dictionary) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace the link with "https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/browse/standards/dictionary" Change "EFM copper" into "Ethernet in the First Mile copper"; Change "start of LLID delimiter" into "start of logical link identifier delimiter" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 SC 4 CI 4 P 23 1 # 64 Cl 4 SC 4 P 23 L30 # 62 Schreiner, Stephan Rosenberger Hochfrequenztechnik GmbH & Co. KG Schreiner, Stephan Rosenberger Hochfrequenztechnik GmbH & Co. KG Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X MIB is missing GDMO is mentioned as abbreviation, but i could not find it in the document SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Include MIB in the abbreviations Remove GDMO from abbreviations list Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O CI 4 SC 4 P 23 L 9 # 60 SC 4 Cl 4 P 23 L 53 # 63 Schreiner, Stephan Rosenberger Hochfrequenztechnik GmbH & Co. KG Schreiner, Stephan Rosenberger Hochfrequenztechnik GmbH & Co. KG Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Atn is mentioned as abbreviation, but i could not find it as a "stand alone word" in the From my point of view, Mb/s is an unit and not an abbreviation. document. On some places it is used in conjuction with other abbreviations e.g. "efmCuPmeLineAtnCrossing" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either remove "Mb/s" from abbreviations or add "Gb/s" to abbreviations Remove Atn from abbreviations list Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response

CI 4 SC₄ P 23 L 58 # 65 CI 5 Schreiner, Stephan Rosenberger Hochfrequenztechnik GmbH & Co. KG Comment Type E Comment Status X MP2PE is mentioned as abbreviation, but i could not find it in the document SuggestedRemedy Remove MP2PE from abbreviations list Proposed Response Response Status 0 CI 5 SC 5 P 4 L 4 # 53 **Charter Communication** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR Comment Status X All references to 802.1AB are outdated. I think, The latest revision I can track is 802.1AB-2016 (https://standards.ieee.org/ieee/802.1AB/6047/) SuggestedRemedy Cl 5 Replace all dated references to 802.1AB with 802.1AB-2016 Proposed Response Response Status O CI 5 SC 5.4 P 29 L 16 # 50 Haiduczenia, Marek Charter Communication Comment Status X Comment Type ER The URLs pointing to the ASCII version of MIBS are outdated during this revision project and should not be listed for now. SuggestedRemedy

Replace all URLs containing http://www.ieee802.org/3/1/public/mib modules ... with editorial note indicating that these will be replaced with actual posted ASCII files once the

Response Status O

standard is approved, at which time the MIB file snapshot can be posted online and linked.

SC 5.4 P 29 L 22 # 51 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communication Comment Status X Comment Type TR The LLDP MIB URLs are dead at the current location, they need to be replaced with the newest ones posted on 802.1 website, especially since 802.1AB needs to be updated to 2016 release SuggestedRemedy Replace LLDP MIBs with the following URLs: any reference to http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/MIBs/LLDP-V2-MIB-200906080000Z.txt with http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/MIBs/LLDP-V2-MIB-201603110000Z.mib any reference to http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/MIBs/LLDP-V2-TC-MIB-200906080000Z.txt with http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/MIBs/LLDP-V2-TC-MIB-201603110000Z.mib Proposed Response Response Status O SC 5.4 P30 L34 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communication Comment Type E Comment Status X The MIB module revision date should be aligned with the standard approval date, when it is approved.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert an editorial note to update the revision date for all modules to match the approval date of the standard. This applies to all MIB modules

Insert an editorial note to update the revision description "REVISION "202307310000Z" -July 31, 2023" to match the approval date of the standard. This applies to all MIB modules These both changes can be done by editorial staff and do not affect the technical content of the MIB

Proposed Response Response Status O CI 5 SC 5.4 P39 L 33 # 49 C/ 9 SC 9 P 252 **L1** # 56 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communication** Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communication Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Incorrect reference. Clause 9 is currently highly inconsistent. Introduction text mentions 1GE-EPON only, while MIB implies 1G-EPON and 10G-EPON as supported. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "30.12.2.1.20" to "30.12.2.1.19", which is the correct reference in IEEE Std 802.3-Since 1G-EPON and 10G-EPON use the same MIB structure (Nx25G-EPON does not), 2022 revise Clause 9 as shown in the p802.3.1.b-d1.0-hajduczenia-1.pdf, with the following Proposed Response Response Status 0 changes shown: - generalize the text of introduction to speak of EPON, defined as 1G-EPON and 10G-**EPON** CI 6 SC 6.1 P77 L 9 # 66 - generalize the MIB modules to cover all EPON variants - update all references mentioning 1G-EPON clauses to cover both 1G-EPON and 10G-Schreiner, Stephan Rosenberger Hochfrequenztechnik GmbH & Co. KG **EPON** alike Comment Type E Comment Status X - update definition of dot3EponFecPCSCodingViolation, which has evolved in 802.3 over time and has not been updated for a long time Missing oxford comma Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy insert oxford comma Proposed Response Response Status O SC 9.4 Cl 9 P324 L 54 # 57 Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communication** Comment Type ER Comment Status X CI 6 SC 6.6 P82 *L* 1 # 55 Stranded reference to 802.1D Hajduczenia, Marek **Charter Communication** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Update to 802.1Q, per Maintenance Request 1383 (see Inconsistent formatting for MIB https://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/maint_1383.pdf) and add a matching editorial SuggestedRemedy note (copy from other locations where the same change was made) When comparing MIB format in 5.5 and 6.6, the text in 6.6 seems to be using extra spacing Proposed Response Response Status 0 between individual lines. Please apply the MIB formatting from 5.5 to all MIBs in the document. Proposed Response Response Status O CI 9 SC 9.6 P324 L 54 # 59 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Reference to 802.1D still exists which per maint-1383 should be updated to 802.1Q SuggestedRemedy Change the 802.1D to 802.1Q

Proposed Response

Response Status O