# Economic Feasibility for NG 100G SMF Objective

Paul Gavrilovic, Gary Nicholl, Mark Nowell, Matt Traverso Cisco Systems

Next Generation 100Gb/s Optical Ethernet SG Newport Beach, January 24-25, 2012

#### Introduction

- This contribution builds upon nowell\_01\_1111, and provides a relative cost analysis for different next generation 100G SMF solutions
- nowell\_01\_1111 concluded that a "step function reduction" in system cost is needed to justify a new SMF PMD
- A straw ballot held in Atlanta provided some insight into the Study Group's definition of 'step function reduction'
  - 25% cost of 100GBASE-LR4

Strawpoll 3 (Chicago rules)A: I would be interested in a PMD supporting a 500m reach at 75% the cost of 100GBASE-LR4B: I would be interested in a PMD supporting a 500m reach at 50% the cost of 100GBASE-LR4C: I would be interested in a PMD supporting a 500m reach at 25% the cost of 100GBASE-LR4A:1B:10C:40

# **Solutions Analyzed**

Туре	# Fibers	λ's / Fiber	Mux	Length/ Ch Loss	Comments
CFP LR4	1	4	LWDM	10km / 6.3 dB	100GBASE-LR4 in CFP, 802.3ba
CFP2 LR4	1	4	LWDM	10km / 6.3 dB	100GBASE-LR4 in CFP2, 802.3ba
CWDM-nR4 (*)	1	4	CWDM	2km / ? dB	4x25G $\lambda$ , uncooled, CWDM.
Ribbon-nR4 (*)	4	1	N/A	500m / ? dB	4x25G ribbon fiber, MPO connector.
PAM-n	1	1	N/A	2km / 4dB	Single wavelength, mutli-level PAM. Both PAM-8 and PAM-16 analyzed

(\*) Based on anderson\_01\_1111

## **Cost Model Methodology**

- Complete optical module cost was analyzed for each solution, factoring in:
  - Component BOM (number / cost of components)
  - Assessment of manufacturing / assembly complexity
  - Test
- In some cases there are implementation options or uncertainties on assumptions (yield) in which case we provide a range
- Data and assumptions are based on publicly available information, component cost data and syndicated research

# Module Cost Analysis - Example

Transceiver	CFP LR4 (circa	a 2012)	CFP2 LR	<b>X</b> 4	CFP2 PAM	
Blocks	Description	Cost	Description	Cost	Description	Cost
Tx (TOSA)	4x discrete EML	1	4xDML, integrated w/MUX in TOSA	0.32	Multiple Implementations	0.18 - 0.02
Rx (ROSA)	Integrated ROSA	1	Integrated ROSA	1	PIN/TIA	0.35
Opt Mux	Thin film	1	Integrated in TOSA	N/A	None Required	N/A
Opt Dmux	Thin film, Integrated in ROSA.	N/A	Integrated in ROSA	N/A	None Required	N/A
Serdes	10x10→4x25 Gb gearbox (CMOS)	1	4x25 Gb CDR (CMOS)		PAM Serdes	
Laser driver IC	4x25 Gb EML driver	1	4x25 Gb DML driver			N/A (*)
Misc	PCBA, housing, connector, IC, etc	1	PCBA, housing, connector, IC,etc		PCBA, housing, connector, IC,etc	
Assembly /Test	Assembly/test time and yield	1	Assembly/test time and yield		Assembly/test time and yield	
Module Cost		1		0.46		0.23-0.13

• Detailed cost analysis was performed on all aspects driving module cost

- Only Tx/Rx optics details shown above as tend to be dominate cost driver
- (\*) Some implementations may include an external modulator driver

## **Module Cost Summary**

	CFP-LR4	CFP2-LR4	CWDM-nR4	Ribbon-nR4	PAM-n
	(10km)	(10km)	(2km)	(500m)	(2km)
Relative Cost of Module	1	0.46	0.37 <sup>1</sup>	0.29 <sup>1</sup>	0.23-0.13 <sup>2</sup>

Notes:

- (1) Based on Tx optics data from anderson\_01\_1111 (extended to include complete module cost)
- (2) Analysis included both PAM-8 and PAM-16, and a range of implementation options
- All, except CFP LR4, assume a 4x25G retimed electrical interface
- Module cost only. Does not include cost of fiber.

#### **Module Cost versus Distance**



## **Cost Trend Expectations**

- Expect all of the solutions to follow a similar cost trend to 10GE optics over the past decade
- Once a PMD reaches the 'optimum' form factor, the cost really starts to drop due to high volume and mfg maturity...
  - e.g. SFP+ for 10G
- All solutions presented offer a better roadmap to optimum form factor than 802.3ba 100GBASE-LR4
  - Roadmap to CFP4 or better, with high port density

### **General Observations on Analysis**

- CFP/CFP2 following known and expected cost reduction (with volume and time). Consistent with data already presented.
- CWDM incremental cost reductions leveraging wider wavelength grid and packaging optimization
- 4x25G Ribbon attractive cost reduction Cisco has concern over new cable type in data center application - adoption potential unclear.
- PAM8/16 attractive cost reduction primarily due to reduction on optics component count/mfg complexity – also compatible with existing DC cabling

#### **Summary**

- All solutions presented offer cost savings over 100GBASE-LR4
- Our analysis shows that a single wavelength (PAM) solution provides very attractive cost savings
- PAM cost savings primarily due to reduction on optics component count / mfg complexity

"Reduction of number of components is key to achieve the lowest cost solution for data center application"

Source: anderson\_01\_1111

 Recommend the SG consider adding a SMF objective that allows a PAM based implementation

## Thoughts on 5 Criteria ...

- We believe 5 Criteria can be satisfied for a PAM based SMF objective:
  - TF presentations this week
  - EF this presentation
  - DI different SMF reach and application from 100GBASE-LR4
  - Compatibility consistent with 802.3ba architecture also compatible with current DC cabling
  - BMP at projected cost factors, believe significant DC adoption will happen