

# HSSG "Speed" Ad Hoc

IEEE Plenary – Montreal, July 1999

Walter Thirion

Level One Communications, Inc.

wthirion@level1.com

# Speed AD HOC Goal

- Decide between 10 Gb/s and ~10 Gb/s
- Attempt to reach consensus
- Formulate HSSG objective motion that has high likelihood of passing (75%)

# Status

- Significant discussion narrowed choice to two candidates
  - 10.000 Gb/s
  - 9.58464 Gb/s
- Several straw polls within ad hoc show divided support

# Straw Poll Results

	9.58464	10.0000	Both	Other
1 <sup>st</sup> Vote	4	6	2	1
2 <sup>nd</sup> Vote	6	9	1	0
3 <sup>rd</sup> Vote	18	16	0	1
4 <sup>th</sup> Vote	15	27	0	NA

# Why 9.58464 is correct

- Enables easier, cheaper direct connection to WAN infrastructure without unduly penalizing performance
- Significant amount of research has already been done for this speed
- 9.58464 is a *magic number* in that it is the data rate for the payload in the SONET system
- Interfaces for adapting 10.000 Gb/s to installed base of lit WANs will make 10 Gig more expensive and complex, thereby limiting scalability

# Why 10.000 is correct

- Need 10.0 Gb/s PHY that meets general Ethernet cost parameters
  - Adopting 9.58 is 1<sup>st</sup> step in adopting SONET PHY with associated higher overhead and enables feature creep
  - Current OC-192 PHYs are too expensive for the Ethernet market
- Speed <10.0 inhibits aggregating lower speed links
- 10.00 Gb/s is integral multiple of system clock used in 10/100/1000
- Changing historical steps of 10x opens Pandora's box of issues on marketing and product acceptance

# General Concerns

- Widespread *incorrect* assumption that line code is somehow tied to speed selection
  - 10.000 Gb/s  $\Rightarrow$  8B/10B code  $\Rightarrow$  12.5 Gbaud line rate
  - 9.58464  $\Rightarrow$  Scrambling  $\Rightarrow$  <10 Gbaud line rate
- Picking *new* non-standard (i.e.. Non-OC192) line rate will cause delay, increase risk and further fragment component market
- Copper ad hoc may want to add another speed
- Operations and maintenance support may be needed to support WAN application

# Options If No Decision

- Don't go forward with PAR
- Have objective requiring delayed decision
- Support both data rates
- Split into two projects with two PARS
- No objective now—delay decision

