

Stephen Haddock March 9, 1999





Conclusion

The MAC Layer specification for "10 Gig" Ethernet should be:

- Full Duplex only
- Speed Independent
- Unconstrained Distance

Link speed and distance should be completely determined by the Physical Layer specification.





Could we use CSMA/CD at 10 Gig?

- Of course we could.
- We can scale the Carrier Extension concept introduced in 802.3z to accommodate any transmission speed and distance requirement.
- We can extend the Packet Bursting concept introduced in 802.3z to allow multiple packets within the first slot time to minimize carrier extension impact on efficiency.





Should we use CSMA/CD at 10 Gig?

- Of course not.
- Gigabit Ethernet has demonstrated that there is no market demand for shared bandwidth hubs at high speeds.
- Acknowledging that the market can be fickle, there are other ways to provide shared bandwidth hubs that utilize full duplex MAC (e.g. Buffered Distributor).



Is it still 802.3 without CSMA/CD?

- Ethernet/802.3 networks are no longer a single cable, or even a cluster of cables, running at the same speed. They are a collection of links running on different media at different speeds interconnected by hubs, switches, and routers.
- Ethernet's success depends upon providing a simple evolution to higher speed technologies while providing consistent services at all speeds:
 - Connectionless packet transmissions
 - Consistent addressing and frame format
 - No translation, segmentation





What needs to be specified?

- 802.3 Full Duplex operation is very nearly speed and distance independent as currently specified.
 - InterFrame Gap (IFG) and Preamble Size should be specified in bit times. Probably should be managed variables with specified minimum and default values.
 - MAC Control Pause Operation response time should be specified in bit times.
- We should never have to touch the MAC clauses again.

