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### Johannes Specht

**Comment Type:** E  
**Comment Status:** D

- ieie802-ethernet-interface.yang, ieie802-ethernet-interface-half-duplex.yang and ieie802-ethernet-mac-merge.yang contain some enum literals written in quotes, whereas most other enum literals in ieie802-ethernet-interface.yang and ieie802-ethernet-interface-half-duplex.yang, the enum literals all other 802.3.2 YANG modules (ieie802-ethernet-linkoam.yang, ieie802-ethernet-ldp.yang, ieie802-ethernet-pon.yang and ieie802-ethernet-pse.yang), and the enum literals in various YANG modules beyond 802.3.2 are written without quotes.

**Suggested Remedy:**
Remove the enclosing quotes from all enum literals currently written in quotes in ieie802-ethernet-interface.yang, ieie802-ethernet-interface-half-duplex.yang and ieie802-ethernet-mac-merge.yang.

**Proposed Response**

**Response Status:** W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

### Hajduczenia, Marek

**Comment Type:** ER  
**Comment Status:** D

- per completed MEC, "A Word usage subclause shall appear in Clause 1 of the draft. It shall be either 1.3 after 1.2 Purpose; or, if the draft does not contain a Purpose subclause, as 1.2 after 1.1 Scope."

**Suggested Remedy:**
Insert a new subclause 1.3 entitled "Word usage" ahead of the existing subclause 1.3 and renumber all following subclauses.

The content is as follows:

The word shall indicates mandatory requirements strictly to be followed in order to conform to the standard and from which no deviation is permitted (shall equals is required to).

The word should indicates that among several possibilities one is recommended as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others; or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required (should equals is recommended that).

The word may is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (may equals is permitted to).

The word can is used for statements of possibility and capability, whether material, physical, or causal (can equals is able to).

1 The use of the word must is deprecated and cannot be used when stating mandatory requirements, must is used only to describe unavoidable situations.

2 The use of will is deprecated and cannot be used when stating mandatory requirements, will is only used in statements of fact.

**Proposed Response**

**Response Status:** W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

### Ulf Parkholm

**Comment Type:** E  
**Comment Status:** D

- Yang missing Ethernet-MAU definition, line 1 though 6 I breaking the Yang and was removed

**Suggested Remedy:**
Add missing Ethernet-MAU

**Proposed Response**

**Response Status:** W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
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Comment Type: ER

Comment Status: D

Sensitive language used in the draft: master and slave

Suggested Remedy:

Insert a note at the end of 9.1.1.3 with the following text:

NOTE—IEEE Std 802.3, Annex K defines optional alternative terminology for “master” and “slave”.

Proposed Response: PROPOSED ACCEPT.