

Proposed CSD responses for EMS Study Group

Mark Nowell (Cisco), John D'Ambrosia (Futurewei, U.S. Subsidiary of Huawei), David Ofelt (Juniper/HPE), Eugene Opsasnick (Broadcom), Gary Nicholl (Cisco), Kapil Shirkande (Marvell), David Law (HPE), Adee Ran (Cisco), Kent Lusted (Synopsys)

IEEE 802.3 Criteria for Standards Development (CSD)

The IEEE 802 Criteria for Standards Development (CSD) are defined in Clause 14 of the IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee (LMSC) Operations Manual. The criteria include project process requirements (“Managed Objects”) and 5 Criteria (5C) requirements. The 5C are supplemented by subclause 4.5 ‘Criteria for Standards Development’ of the ‘IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Working Group Operations Manual’.

The following are the CSD Responses in relation to the IEEE P802.3^{dt} PAR

Items required by the IEEE 802 CSD are shown in Black text and supplementary items required by IEEE 802.3 are shown in blue text.

Managed Objects

Describe the plan for developing a definition of managed objects. The plan shall specify one of the following:

- a) The definitions will be part of this project.**
 - b) The definitions will be part of a different project and provide the plan for that project or anticipated future project.**
 - c) The definitions will not be developed and explain why such definitions are not needed.**
-
- The definition of protocol independent managed objects, to be included in Clause 30 of IEEE Std 802.3, will be part of this project.

Coexistence

A WG proposing a wireless project shall prepare a Coexistence Assessment (CA) document unless it is not applicable.

- a) Will the WG create a CA document as part of the WG balloting process as described in Clause 13? (yes/no)**
- b) If not, explain why the CA document is not applicable.**

- No. A CA document is not applicable because the proposed project is not a wireless project.

Broad Market Potential

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall have broad market potential. At a minimum, address the following areas:

- a) Broad sets of applicability.
 - b) Multiple vendors and numerous users.
- With the rise of **Artificial Intelligence** (AI) and **Machine Learning** (ML) workloads, defining **metadata** service extensions for Ethernet will support a wide range of potential applications which will help Ethernet address markets such as, but not limited to, AI/ML.
 - Presentations have been submitted to the study group that illustrate the market adoption of Ethernet in AI/ML applications will be predicated on using **metadata** services to support such features as Link Layer Retry (LLR) or **Credit-Based Flow Control** (CBFC).
 - Broad adoption will be enhanced by defining an extensible, interoperable approach to support **metadata** services for Ethernet.
 - There has been wide attendance and participation in the study group by subject matter experts familiar with the needs of end users, equipment manufacturers and component suppliers. It is anticipated that there will be sufficient participation to effectively complete the standardization process.
 - At the Call for Interest, held at the July 2025 Madrid Plenary, 40 individuals from 26 affiliations indicated they would support this project.

Compatibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard should be in conformance with IEEE Std 802, IEEE 802.1AC, and IEEE 802.1Q. If any variances in conformance emerge, they shall be thoroughly disclosed and reviewed with IEEE 802.1 WG prior to submitting a PAR to the Standards Committee.

- a) Will the proposed standard comply with IEEE Std 802, IEEE Std 802.1AC and IEEE Std 802.1Q?
 - b) If the answer to a) is “no”, supply the response from the IEEE 802.1 WG.
 - c) **Compatibility with IEEE Std 802.3**
 - d) **Conformance with the IEEE Std 802.3 MAC**
- As an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3 the proposed project shall comply with IEEE Std 802, IEEE Std 802.1AC and IEEE Std 802.1Q.
 - As an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3, the proposed project will conform to the full-duplex operating mode of the IEEE 802.3 MAC.
 - By utilizing the existing IEEE Std 802.3 MAC protocol, this proposed amendment will maintain compatibility with the installed base of Ethernet nodes.
 - The definition of protocol independent managed objects, to be included in Clause 30 of IEEE Std 802.3, will be part of this project.

Distinct Identity

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of a distinct identity. Identify standards and standards projects with similar scopes and for each one describe why the proposed project is substantially different.

Substantially different from other IEEE 802.3 specifications/solutions.

- The proposed amendment will be the first IEEE 802.3 standard to define metadata services.
- The proposed amendment to the existing IEEE 802.3 standard will be formatted as a collection of new clauses, making it easy for the reader to select the relevant specification.

Technical Feasibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence that the project is technically feasible within the time frame of the project. At a minimum, address the following items to demonstrate technical feasibility:

- a) Demonstrated system feasibility.
 - b) Proven similar technology via testing, modeling, simulation, etc.
 - c) **Confidence in reliability.**
-
- The proposed project will build on the array of Ethernet component and system design experience, and the broad knowledge base of Ethernet network operation.
 - System and component vendors have provided features using proprietary mechanisms similar to the metadata services to be defined by the proposed project.
 - The reliability of Ethernet components and systems can be projected in the target environments with a high degree of confidence.

Economic Feasibility

Each proposed IEEE 802 LMSC standard shall provide evidence of economic feasibility. Demonstrate, as far as can reasonably be estimated, the economic feasibility of the proposed project for its intended applications.

Among the areas that may be addressed in the cost for performance analysis are the following:

- a) Known cost factors.
 - b) Balanced cost factors.
 - c) Consideration of installation costs.
 - d) Consideration of operational costs (e.g., energy consumption).
 - e) Other areas, as appropriate.
-
- The cost factors for Ethernet components and systems are well known.
 - The proposed project is not expected to alter these cost factors.
 - Installation cost is expected to be not different than current installation cost.
 - Network design, installation and maintenance costs are minimized by preserving network architecture, management, and software.
 - In consideration of operational costs associated with power consumption, the project will have negligible impact.