

Potential Objectives for Next-Gen Enterprise Access BASE-T

Nov. 20, 2014

Peter Jones	George Zimmerman	David Chalupsky
Cisco	CME Consulting, Inc.	Intel Corporation

Proposed “noncontroversial” Objectives

- Support full duplex operation only
- Preserve the 802.3 / Ethernet frame format utilizing the 802.3 MAC
- Preserve minimum and maximum Frame Size of current 802.3 standard
- Support Auto-Negotiation (Clause 28)
- Support optional Energy Efficient Ethernet (Clause 78)
- Support local area networks using point-to-point links over structured cabling topologies, ~~including directly connected link segments~~
 - *Should we strike the “directly connected link segments” language used in 40GBASE-T?*
- Do not preclude meeting FCC and CISPR EMC requirements
- *Support PoE (Clause 33, 802.3af, 802.3at and 802.3bt)*

11/20/14 - agree to strike this “including directly connected link segments”

Proposed “meatier” Objectives

Need contributors/contributions to support!

- Support MAC data rates of 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s
 - *Discuss – Can we set these yet? Goals? Need contributions to support!*
- Support a BER better than or equal to 10^{-10} at the MAC/PLS service interface (or the frame loss ratio equivalent)
 - *Discuss 10^{-10} vs 10^{-12} , what is the right objective for this rate at this time? GZ to start. Price/performance discussion.*
- Define a 2.5 Gb/s PHY for operation over
 - *Up to* at least 100m on four-pair Class D (Cat5e) balanced copper cabling
- Define a 5 Gb/s PHY for operation over
 - *Up to* at least 100m on four-pair Class E (Cat6) balanced copper cabling
- ~~Develop any needed enhancements or additional specifications characterization specific to the application for ISO/IEC 11801:2002 Class D and Class E media in conjunction with SC25/WG3 and TIA TR42.~~
 - ~~Discuss— maybe undo the rewording because that last set was agreed cross groups— delegate to those who now the landscape.~~
- ~~Select copper media from ISO/IEC 11801:2002, with any appropriate augmentation to be developed through work of 802.3 in conjunction with SC25/WG3 and TIA TR42~~

11/20/14 – give up on the rewording in favor of the same text that worked before.

Elephant in the Room

- How should we progress on 5Gb/s on four-pair Class D (Cat5e) balanced copper cabling?
- Should we have an additional objective like
 - Define a 5 Gb/s PHY for operation over
 - Up to 100m on four-pair Class D (Cat5e) balanced copper cabling
- Contributions encouraged 😊
 - *(areas needed)?*
 - *Media bandwidth beyond media spec (like 10GBT over cat6)*
 - *AutoNeg*
 - *Channel characterization*
 - *Link Stability*
 - *PoE (do we need any?)*