Correction in Implementation of Equation (178A-42) in D1.4 in the COM Matlab Code

COM Commit Request Number 4p8_1

Hossein Shakiba Huawei Technologies Canada April 08, 2025

Introduction

- As explained in D1.4 section 178A.1.10.1, the receiver noise is increased before COM for the MLSD reference receiver is computed to account for implementation penalty
- Equation (178A-42) defines how PSD of this additional noise is calculated:

$$S_{an}(\theta) = g_{an}S_{rn}(\theta) \left| H_{rxffe}(\theta) \right|^2$$
(178A-42)

- This is the same equation on slide 12 in healey_3dj_01_2409.pdf
- In this equation, $S_{rn}(\theta)$ is PSD of the noise due to the receiver input noise (eta_0) at the input of the RxFFE as explained in D1.4 section 178A.1.7.1 and defined by Equation (178A-16):

$$S_{rn}(\theta) = \frac{\eta_0}{2} \sum_{m \text{ integer}} \left| H_{rn} \left(\frac{\theta + 2\pi m}{2\pi T_b} \right) \right|^2$$
(178A-16)
where $H_{rn}(f)$ is $H_r(f) H_{ctf}(f)$.

• Naturally, and correctly so, Equation (178A-42) reflects the noise amplification by RxFFE

The Issue

• Line 2173 of the COM Matlab code (version 480) in the MLSE_U1_c_178A function is the attempt to implement Equation (178A-42):

2173 - S_an=g_an*PSD_results.S_rn.*PSD_results.H_rxffe_2; % healey_3dj_01_2409 slide 12
 2174 - S_ni=PSD_results.S_isi +PSD_results.S_n +S_an; % 178A-40, healey_3dj_01_2409 slide 15

• However, "PSD_results.S_rn", which is calculated by the "get_PSDs" function, is already PSD after RxFFE (Line 2173 double counts RxFFE noise amplification of receiver input noise):



• This change is also consistent with "PSD_results.S_isi" and "PSD_results.S_n" used in the line 2174 (below the line in question), which also correctly assumes PSDs after RxFFE

April 08, 2025

Change

• Change line 2173 to remove multiplication by "PSD_results.H_rxffe_2":

2173 -2174 - S_an=g_an*PSD_results.S_rn; <mark>%.*</mark>PSD_results.H_rxffe_2; % healey_3dj_01_2409 slide 12 S_ni=PSD_results.S_isi +PSD_results.S_n +S_an; % 178A-40, healey_3dj_01_2409 slide 15

Example Outputs

• For one example channel:



- All the performance parameters after MLSE are affected by this error
- For this particular example, the double counting of the RxFFE noise enhancement had dropped delta_COM and COM (after MLSE) by 0.25dB

An Interesting Case

- Without the change, there may be cases that yield a negative delta_COM (due to excessive noise caused by double counting of RxFFE noise amplification) and wrongfully reduce COM
- Indeed, an example was observed:



• Please see Commit Request Number 4p8_2 to address this issue

Thank You ©

Hossein Shakiba Huawei Technologies Canada

April 08, 2025