



Clause 52 status

David Kabal

Clause 52 statistics

- 250 comments + 2 new comments + 1 motion
- 15 TR comments
- 137 technical comments (incl. ~20 upgrades)
- 100 editorial comments

0 unresolved comments



Big tickets and comments

- Making channel insertion loss normative (#52002)
- Technical feasibility (#152)
 - Vipul Bhatt leading technical feasibility ad hoc
- Unallocated margin (#129)
 - Removed from budget calculations
- Removing PMD loopback (#10)
- Rise/fall time (10GBASE-SR/SW) (#66)
 - Kept at 35 ps, changed link lengths (not objectives)



ITU letter

- ITU letter not answered
- Drafting letter up to next meeting
- Jonathan to draft letter indicating we will answer next meeting



Call for more information

- Comment #365 (Juergen Rahn)
- Summary:
 - Making a transceiver cost-effective but still meet the 40 km objective...
 - Change the channel insertion loss for 10GBASE-ER/EW from 13 dB to 11 dB



PMD Motion #1: Editorial license

Authorize the clause 52 editor to resolve "editorial" comments against clause 52 (accept editor-suggested resolutions) and make other editorial changes to the next draft as required.

Moved: David Kabal

Second:

802.3 Y: N: A:

All Y: N: A:

Acclamation



Thanks

- Reviewers: Peter Ohlen, Mike Dudek, Tom Lindsay, Piers Dawe, Petar Pepeljugoski
- Editorial reviewers: Rhett Brikovskis, Ben Brown
- The late night crowd...

