C/ 00 SC 0 P 0L 0 MyBallot # 20 COORDINATION, EDITORIAL Comment Type GR Comment Status D Comment Type E Separate electronic files of figures shall be supplied in TIFF format (unless created in FrameMaker). reference are included below. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 00 SC 0 P 0 L 0 MyBallot # 21 COORDINATION, EDITORIAL Comment Type GR Comment Status D At the time of submission to the IEEE-SASB, or just prior to publication, you will need to supply email address for each member of the Working Group that worked on this standard. This will ensure that all members of the Working Group receive a complimentary PDF of the published standard. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W reference [BxD 2]). PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response

List has been provided to Michelle Turner

C/ <b>01</b>	SC 1.4	P <b>12</b>	L 46	MyBallot #	35
TELLADO, J	OSE	Individual			

Comment Status X

The checkerboard constellation generated from taking the maximally spaced M^2/2 points from 2D-PAM-M is called D\_2 in clasical literature. A more accurate description and a

Replace1.4.xxx DSQ128: A 128 point double square (DSQ) constellation mapping. This constellation is obtained by taking a 2D constellation with 16-level pulse amplitude modulation (PAM16) on each dimension and eliminating half the points to create a checker board pattern. (See IEEE 802.3 Clause 55.) With: 1.4.xxx DSQ128: The 128 point double square (DSQ) constellation used in 10GBASE-T. This constellation is obtained by taking the 256 two-dimensional points generated by the Cartesian product of two one-dimensional 16-level pulse amplitude modulation (PAM16) constellations and eliminating every other point to create a checkerboard lattice. This checkerboard constellation is called D 2 in the literature. For further information on D 2, see reference [BxD 2]. (See IEEE 802.3 Clause 55.)[BxD 2] 'Coset Codes I', D. Forney, page 1132 IEEE Trans. Info. Theory Vol. 34, No 5. Sept 1988.Similarly in (SC 55.1.3.1, page 82, line 25) replace: The DSQ128 symbols are obtained by concatenating two time-adjacent 1D PAM16 symbols and retaining among the 256 possible combinations, 128 maximally spaced 2D symbols. With The DSQ128 symbols are obtained by concatenating two time-adjacent 1D PAM16 symbols and retaining among the 256 possible Cartesian product combinations, 128 maximally spaced 2D symbols. The resulting checkerboard constellation is called D\_2 in the literature (see

Response Status O

P 12 C/ 01 SC 1.4 L **47** MvBallot # 34 TELLADO, JOSE Individual

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The 128 point checkerboard constellation generated from a 2D-PAM16 has been labeled 128D 2 in the literature (e.g. see clasic paper by Forney'88).

SugaestedRemedy

Replace all instances of DSQ128 to 128D 2. This includes SC 1.4. SC30. SC44. SC 45 and SC 55

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa 12 Li 47

Page 1 of 7 4/7/2006 10:24:28 PM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 35 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2 P 54 MyBallot # 3 L 49 MyBallot # 6 L 14 KASTURIA, SANJAY Individual MARRIS, ARTHUR Individual Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X In Table 45.3 of 802.3an, which summarizes the PMA / PMD registers: PMA / PMD Is it appropriate to use 'shall' in 7.1.7 in Table 45-119? This is a status register after all. Control 2 is listed as register 1.6This should be 1.7 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Consider changing these two shalls back to wills. Change 1.6 to 1.7 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2 P 54 MyBallot # 4 L 31 SC 45.2.1.10.3 P 41 C/ 45 L 8 MyBallot # 1 MARRIS, ARTHUR Individual MARRIS. ARTHUR Individual Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type T Comment Status X Delete R/W from bottom of table 45-119 because this condition is not used in the table. This subclause should be deleted because it belongs in 802.3ap backplane Ethernet SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy As above Delete the 1000BASE-KX subclause Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.2.3 P 54 L **55** MyBallot # 12 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.60 P 42 MyBallot # L 32 BOOTH, MR BRAD J Individual KASTURIA, SANJAY Individual Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Incorrect register range. There is a typographical error. MDI/MD-X should read MDI/MDI-XThe same typo occurs SuggestedRemedy on page 43 line 6 Change from "register 7.16 and 7.19" to "registers 7.16 through 7.21". SuggestedRemedy Change MDI/MD-X to MDI/MDI-X Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O MyBallot # 13 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2.3 P 54 L 56 BOOTH, MR BRAD J Individual SC 45.2.7 P 52 MyBallot# Cl 45 L 5 Comment Type E Comment Status X MARRIS, ARTHUR Individual Incorrect register range. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy In Table 45-117 the crossed out sections should be removed from the table. Change "7.19, 7.22 through 7.27" to be "7.19 through 7.27". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Delete the crossed out rows from Table 45-117 Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

54

Page 2 of 7 4/7/2006 10:24:29 PM

P **57** Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.6 C/ 28B SC 28B.2 P 70 MyBallot # 5 L 12 MyBallot # 8 L 6 BOOTH, MR BRAD J Individual LAW, DAVID J Individual Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Missing period at end of sentence. Subclause 28.2.1.2.2 'Technology Ability Field' now defines the technology ability field as only 7 bits. A0 through A6, with the A7 bit being removed and redefined as Extended Next SuggestedRemedy Page (XNP) bit (see subclause 28.2.1.2.3). Based on this all mention of bit A7 should have As per comment. been removed from Annex 28B. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status 0 Remove all mention of of bit A7 from this Annex. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.7 P 57 L 20 MyBallot # 11 BOOTH, MR BRAD J Individual Comment Status X CI 55 SC 55.1 P 78 MyBallot # 28 Comment Type E L 24 UNGERBOECK, GOTTFRIED Individual Table 45-121 is in the middle of the paragraph. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Move table anchor to end of paragraph to take the table out of the paragraph. Which management? Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Write: The 10GBASE-T Management is specified in Clause 30. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.2 P **62** L 23 MyBallot # 14 BOOTH, MR BRAD J Individual Cl 55 SC 55.1.1 P 78 MvBallot # 29 Comment Type E Comment Status X L 28 UNGERBOECK, GOTTFRIED Individual Change present tense to past tense in two locations. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Change text "mode of operation is selected" to "mode of operation has been selected" in Bad sequence of words. both locations in the paragraph (one for master, one for slave). SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Write: The objectives of 10GBASE-T are as follows. Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 55 SC 55.1.1 P 78 Cl 55 SC 55.4.2.5.4 P 118 L 45 MyBallot # 30 L **12** MyBallot # 27 UNGERBOECK, GOTTFRIED UNGERBOECK, GOTTFRIED Individual Individual Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Support a BER?... for all supported distances and Classes? In the now much simplified startup sequence only one PBO exchange can occur. Moreover, the link partner \*must\* change its current PBO setting to the requested PBO SuggestedRemedy setting. There appears to be no logical necessity for sending 'Next transmitter settings' Write: Achieve a BER of less than or equal to 10^-12 for the link-segment characteristics (next PBO). (Initially, this commenter thought that a link partner may be given more specified for 10GBASE-T. freedom in selecting the next PBO setting). Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Remove 'Next transmitter settings' from the InfoField unless there is a good technical justification for keeping it. Keeping unnecessary provisions in a standard does not "break" CI 55 SC 55.3.2.2.18 P 101 L 49 MyBallot # 33 a standard, but it breaks the confidence of others in the creators of the standard. TELLADO, JOSE Individual Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type DSQ128 has two dimensions/components which are labeled DSQ 1 and DSQ 2 which are Cl 55 SC 55.4.2.5.6 P 118 L 33 MvBallot # 22 PAM16 symbols. UNGERBOECK, GOTTFRIED Individual SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X Since each dimension of the DSQ128 are PAM16 symbols, replace all instances of DSQ128 1 for PAM16 1 and DSQ128 2 for PAM16 2 to indicate the first and second The introduction of two PMA\_state bits in the message field of Draft 3.2 has made component of the DSQ128. Same with DSQ 1 and DSQ 2. retention of three separate message-field bits trans to Coeff Exch, trans to Fine Adjust, and trans to PCS Test unnecessary. A single bit trans to next state is sufficient. The Proposed Response Response Status O next state follows from the PMA state bits. SuggestedRemedy Cl 55 SC 55.4.2.5.1 P 117 L 36 MyBallot # 31 Replace trans to Coeff Exch, trans to Fine Adjust, and trans to PCS Test by one bit trans\_to\_next\_state. (Not even this bit is needed as will be seen in the next comment). UNGERBOECK, GOTTFRIED Individual Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X It is not appropriate to define the eight PBO values under the heading "InfoField notation". and then again in 55.4.5.1 three times! CI 55 SC 55.4.2.5.14 P 121 L 39 MyBallot # 32 SuggestedRemedy UNGERBOECK, GOTTFRIED Individual Delete 55.4.2.5.1 entirely unless more appropriate text can be provided under this heading. Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O The state names PMA\_Training\_Init\_M and PMA\_Training\_Init\_S are unnecessarily long. SuggestedRemedy

Use PMA Training M and PMA Training S.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

CI 55 SC 55.4.2.5.14 P 122 L 15 MyBallot # 23
UNGERBOECK, GOTTFRIED Individual

Comment Type T Comment Status X

In Draft 3.2 the purpose of the transition counter has been reduced to the irrevocable announcement of state transitions. To announce a state transition it suffices to insert in the InfoFields a non-zero transition\_count, which is decreased by one in successive InfoFields. The three message-field bits trans\_to\_..., or an equivalent single bit trans\_to\_next\_state, are not needed. The state transition should be defined to occur immediately after the InfoField containing transition\_count = 1. The InfoField of the next PMA training frame should then exhibit the new PMA\_state bits and transition\_count should be zero and remain zero until the next state transition is announced (subtle distinction: transition\_counter is a state variable; transition\_count is a sub-field of the InfoFields; the two objects are not the same; transition\_counter always exists; transition\_count exists only within an InfoField).

## SuggestedRemedy

(a) Eliminate trans\_to\_Coeff\_Exch, trans\_to\_Fine\_Adjust, and trans\_to\_PCS\_Test from the mes-sage field.(b) Define in 55.4.5.1 state variable transition\_counter as follows:transition\_counterA 10-bit counter variable whose value is communicated to the remote PHY in the transi-tion\_count subfield of InfoFields when in transition-counter format. To announce a state transition to the link partner, the PHY sets transition\_counter to a non-zero value. The value is decremented by one after each transmission of an InfoField until the value zero is reached. The announced state transition occurs immediately after transmission of the In-foField containing transition\_count = 1.Values: 0 - 2^9? (See further comment).

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 55 SC 55.4.5.1 P 126 L 18 MyBallot # 26
UNGERBOECK, GOTTFRIED Individual

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The variables loc\_rcvr\_status and loc\_SNR\_margin have similar meanings, indicating readiness of the local receiver for allowing PHY Control continue to the next sequential state. loc\_SNR\_margin is used only in states PMA\_Training\_Init\_M/S and is not communicated to the link partner. loc\_rcvr\_status is used only in state PCS\_Test and is communicated via message-field bit loc\_rcvr\_status to the link partner (remark: same name for a state variable and a bit-field in the InfoField). A more uniform concept should be adopted as given below. This not only simplifies the standard, but also improves testability and handling of error situations.

## SuggestedRemedy

Retain only loc\_rcvr\_status and use the following definition.loc\_rcvr\_statusThis 1-bit variable indicates whether the local receiver operates correctly with sufficient SNR margin to continue to the next state or states. The variable is always communicated to the link partner in message-field bit loc\_rcvr\_status. When entering a new state, loc\_rcvr\_status shall be reset to NOT\_OK even if the local receiver operates correctly. Setting loc\_rcvr\_status to OK represents an affirmative action that confirms (or reconfirms) readiness of the local receiver for a transition to the next state.Values: OK or NOT\_OK (simpler NOK).

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The current definition of transition\_counter is loaded with details on the use of the transition counter by MASTER and SLAVE. This description should better be given elsewhere.

SuggestedRemedy

Describe how transition\_counter is employed by MASTER and SLAVE in section 55.4.2.5.14 Startup sequence.

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **128** Li **7**  Page 5 of 7 4/7/2006 10:24:30 PM

Cl 55 SC 55.4.5.1 P 128 Cl 55 SC 55.7.3.1.2 P 153 L 15 MyBallot # 25 L 40 MyBallot # 16 UNGERBOECK, GOTTFRIED KOEMAN, HENRIECUS Individual Individual Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Transition counter is represented with 10 bits only to support the MASTER's initial value of The PS ANEXT constants depend on "length" and not on "distance". 2<sup>9</sup> = 512. For an initial value of 511 9 bits would be sufficient. Such nasty little bit wastes SuggestedRemedy can make joyful readers of a supposedly well conceived IEEE standard only angry. Replace in the column header of Table 55-14 "distance" with "length". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Reduce transition counter and InfoField subfield transition count to 9 bits, hence: Values: 0 - 511. --- Alternatively, keep 10 bits and provide additional flexibility. Instead of a fixed initial value of 2<sup>9</sup> for the MASTER and a matching value of >2<sup>6</sup> for the responding SLAVE, let the values corresponding to 2<sup>9</sup> and 2<sup>6</sup> be determined during Auto Cl 55 SC 55.7.3.2.1 P 155 MyBallot # 17 L 10 Negotiation, with values up to 1023. KOEMAN, HENRIECUS Individual Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X The scaling is based on length which is independent of frequency. All formulas show parameters with a frequency response property as having a "(f)". One such parameter is in CI 55 SC 55.5.2 P 134 MvBallot # 9 fact IL in equation (55-29), which does not have such frequency dependency shown. It may BOOTH, MR BRAD J Individual in fact be simpler to take just one value @ 250 MHz to avoid slightly varying ratios. There is no practical change in requirements as a result of this proposed change. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Table 55-8. Table text font is too large. Include in equation (55-29) the ratio of DisturbedILN@250MHz and SuggestedRemedy CoupledLengthILi.i.N@250MHz. (I could not format using subscripting - I hope this is clear!) Reduce font size. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 55 SC 55.7.3.2.2 P 157 MvBallot # 18 L 21 P 149 CI 55 SC 55.7.2.4.4 L 23 MyBallot # 15 KOEMAN, HENRIECUS Individual KOEMAN, HENRIECUS Individual Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type E The PS AELFEXTEXT constants depend on "length" and not on "distance". The explanation provided is unneeded, and the presence is in fact inconsistent: for other SuggestedRemedy parameters the ratio of input and output is not discussed or shown. The text contains also Replace in the column header of Table 55-16 "distance" with "length". the possible issue that peak voltage is not appropriate; instead signal power is to be used. The only equation that is needed is the one that computes the ELFEXT. There is no Proposed Response Response Status O practical change in technical requirements or contents. SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Replace lines 23 through 44 with: ELFEXT is defined in Equation (55-17) as ELFEXT(f) = FEXT loss(f) - SLS Loss(f) (dB) where FEXT loss(f) is the measured FEXT frequency

response SLS Loss is the insertion loss of the disturbed channel in dB.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Li 21

Page 6 of 7 4/7/2006 10:24:30 PM

CI 55 SC 55.7.3.3 P 162 L 16 MyBallot # 19

KOEMAN, HENRIECUS Individual

Comment Status X Equation (55-48) is the incorrect reference. (Oversight!) Should be (55-51).

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

Instead of (55-48) use (55-51).

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 55.8 P 164 CI 55 L 1 MyBallot # 10

BOOTH, MR BRAD J Individual

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Top of page break associated with 55.8.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to make text continuous.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.