# Analysis of comments on D2.3

Editorial Staff 802.3an

(650) 704 7686 skasturia@teranetics.com

#### Introduction

- Draft 2.3 has been online; sympathy goes to
  - Brad Booth for Clause 1, 30 & 44
  - Eric Lynskey for Clause 28 & 55.6
  - Mike McConnell for Clause 45
  - Jose Tellado for PCS and PMA sections
  - Sandeep Gupta for the PMA Electrical
  - Chris DiMinico for the Link Segment
  - Terry Cobb for the MDI and environmental specification
- The draft has been updated from D2.2
- We have ~147 comments (96 are new)
  - 5 are new TRs, ~21 are Ts
  - 2 are new ERs, ~68 are Es

10/17/2005

### Clarification on commenting instructions

- For subclause, put in the full descriptions, e.g., 55.7.1 rather than 7.1
- For subclause, when identifying figures/tables/equations, do also put in the associated subclause number
  - For example, put: 55.7.1 Figure 55-1 do NOT just put: Figure 55-1
- PLEASE FOLLOW THIS TO AVOID MISCLASSIFICATION

Please respect the scope of the ballot

10/17/2005 10GBASE-T

3

#### Comment stats by sections

- On clause 28:
  - T: 1, E: 1
- On clause 30/30A:
  - E: 4
- On clause 45:
  - E: 14
- On clause 55:
  - TR: 5 new, T: 18, ER: 2 new, E: 41
    - On overview: 7 E
    - On PCS: 3 (T: 1, E: 2)
    - On PMA: 18 (TR: 5, T: 9, E: 5)
    - On PMA electricals: none
    - On Management: 8 (T: 1, E: 7)
    - On link segment: 14 (T: 2, ER: 2, E: 10)
    - On MDI: 2 E
    - On Delay: 1 E
    - On PICS: 11 (T: 4, E: 7)
- On whole draft 99: 5 E

10/17/2005

## Carryover from last meeting

- We have a motion to make loop timing a requirement which was tabled from the last meeting
  - There is a presentation by Scott Powell on why loop timing should be optional

10/17/2005 10GBASE-T