



# FEC line rate

---

**Ryan Hirth**

**Sept, 2006**

# FEC Overhead

---

- FEC requires extra parity information which may be carried by one of two means:
- Clock rate may increase for parity overhead to maintain 10Gbps MAC data rate
  - or
- MAC data rate may decrease for parity overhead to maintain 10.3125 Gbps line rate

# Clock Rates

---

- Irrational clock rate are not good!
  - Loss of commonality to 10GE
  - Non standard SERDES rates
  - Limited supply of oscillators – must be synthesized
  - Difficult to perform lab testing
  - Example frequency for a pure RS(255,239) is  
 $10.3125 * 255/239 = 11.0028765690377$  Ghz or  
90.8853238268 ps (period)

# Throughput

---

- Reduced throughput
  - Parity utilizes bandwidth that data would normally use.
  - Network congestion may occur between 10GE uplink and 10GEAPON
  - Throughput is already reduced due to MPCP and OAM messages and guard bands (upstream only)
  - Efficiency of line encoding with FEC is better than 802.3ah frame encoding
  - Example of throughput with a RS(255,239) code:  
 $10.0 \text{ Gbps} * 239/255 = 9.3725\text{Gbps}$

# Throughput

---

- FEC does not create a new congestion problem
- In EPON throughput is reduced due to
  - MPCP messages
  - OAM messages
  - Discovery window (upstream only)
  - Burst-mode overhead: guard band, on/off times, sync times (upstream only)
- Parity is simply an additional overhead component
  - Efficiency of line encoding with FEC is better than 802.3ah frame encoding
  - Example of throughput with RS(255,239) code: 10.0 Gbps \*  $239/255 = 9.3725\text{Gbps}$
- Effective MAC data rate must be reduced to accommodate various overhead components, including FEC parity overhead.

## Conclusion

---

- The loss of throughput is a better choice as long as the overhead consumed is not excessive.
- 10GEPON needs commonality with 10GE to keep cost down.