

IEEE 802.3ax (IEEE P802.1AX) D1.1 Link Aggregation Comments

Cl 99 SC P L # 3

Grow, Bob

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Insufficient front matter
WG participant box needs to be expanded, something I don't remember how to do.

SuggestedRemedy

See attached file

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.
This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft. It does though fulfill a D1.0 accepted comment not implemented in D1.1. Add and properly format front matter

Cl 99 SC 99 P 1 L 11 # 14

Dawe, Piers

Avago

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Metropolitan Area Networks

SuggestedRemedy

metropolitan area networks

Response Response Status C

REJECT.
This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft. This is consistent with other 802.1 standards and a form of the unabreviated name of the Sponsor, thus the present capitalization was used on the PAR title.

Cl 6A SC P 125 L 1 # 6

Booth, Brad

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Is all of 6A being deleted?

SuggestedRemedy

If yes, strike the heading and (normative) sub-heading. Otherwise, 6C sub-headings should be 6A.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
With deletion of other annexes, the headings should all be 6A.

Cl 99 SC P 134 L # 2

Reintjes, Maurice

Mindspeed

Comment Type E Comment Status R

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the 86 occurrences of "Behaviour" with "Behavior". Both British and American English spelling is acceptable, however brevity should trump word choice. Please use the one which has a shorter length to save paper and storage space

Response Response Status C

REJECT.
This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft.

In IEEE Std 802.3 the spelling 'behaviour' is used throughout MIB clauses and their associated Annexes, and in any references to the behaviours defined there. Since ISO/IEC 10165-4:1991 is an ISO standard it uses the spelling 'behaviour' and to meet this externally defined template we need to use the same spelling. In all other instances the spelling 'behavior' is used.

Cl 3 SC 3.2 P 14 L 36 # 1

Anslow, Pete

Nortel Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Clause 3.2 appears to define an agent with the same text as for clause 3.12 for "frame". This seems to be either totally spurious or a placeholder for some other definition which is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Either delete the definition or replace it with the intended one.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See comment #9

Cl 03 SC 3.2 P 14 L 36 # 9

Dawe, Piers

Avago

Comment Type E Comment Status A

agent is not frame

SuggestedRemedy

?

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
There is an editorial error that has two definitions for agent. The incorrect (cut and paste, partially edited) definition will be deleted.

IEEE 802.3ax (IEEE P802.1AX) D1.1 Link Aggregation Comments

CI 03 SC 3.8 P 15 L 12 # 10
 Dawe, Piers Avago
 Comment Type T Comment Status A
 conforms to the ISO/IEC 15802-3: 1998 [ANSI/IEEE 802.1D, 1998 Edition].
 SuggestedRemedy
 conforms to ISO/IEC 15802-3: 1998 [ANSI/IEEE 802.1D-1998]. Note that dates here and in reference list do not match: which do you want?
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Add missing reference to 15802-3.

CI 03 SC 3.22 P 16 L 6 # 11
 Dawe, Piers Avago
 Comment Type T Comment Status A
 conforms to the ISO/IEC 10038 [ANSI/IEEE 802.1D-1998]
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the "the". Date both references or neither. Add references to reference list (dated or not).
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Remove the date from 802.1D, remove the, "the"

CI 05 SC 5.1.3 P 20 L 37 # 13
 Dawe, Piers Avago
 Comment Type E Comment Status R BRC
 "LLC (LOGICAL LINK CONTROL) OR OTHER MAC CLIENT" is in 7 point while rest of figure is 8 point. ALL CAPS is not good style
 SuggestedRemedy
 Please change to 8 point or larger, upper and lower case as appropriate
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft. The risks of introducing an error outweigh any benefit.

CI 5 SC 5.2.1 P 24 L 1 # 4
 Booth, Brad
 Comment Type E Comment Status R
 Figure 5-4 and 5-5 are in the middle of text. Same also seems to apply to Figures 5-10 to 5-13.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change formatting to move out of the text.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft. See comment #5.

CI 99 SC 99 P 3 L 12 # 12
 Dawe, Piers Avago
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Please don't split URLs
 SuggestedRemedy
 Keep on one line: two URLs on this page
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 99 SC 99 P 3 L 3 # 8
 Dawe, Piers Avago
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks, Link Aggregation
 SuggestedRemedy
 Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks, Link Aggregation (3 changes)
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE 802.3ax (IEEE P802.1AX) D1.1 Link Aggregation Comments

CI 06 SC 6.2.5 P 304 L 12 # 17
 Dawe, Piers Avago
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Object Name Object Type Operations Supported
 SuggestedRemedy
 Object name Object type Operations supported
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft.

CI 5 SC 5.5.3.2 P 81 L 14 # 5
 Booth, Brad
 Comment Type E Comment Status R
 Formatting issue. Bullet h) on a separate page
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fix formatting to keep bullet h) with related text and Figures 5-32 and 5-33 after the list.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft. The commentor is not commenting on the non compare document, the page breaks and figure locations look just fine in the non-compare document and if not, the publication editor will fix during publication preparation.

CI 06 SC 6.1 P 87 L 13 # 21
 Dawe, Piers Avago
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 "IEEE 802.1AX Management": I didn't find a definition for this so why the capital M?
 SuggestedRemedy
 IEEE 802.1AX management (or add to definitions)
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft, but will be accepted.

CI 06 SC 6.1 P 87 L 15 # 7
 Dawe, Piers Avago
 Comment Type E Comment Status A BRC
 "Layer Management": in ISO/IEC 7498-1:1994 this term is in lower case. There is a definition but it's not very specific nor does it contradict the natural meaning of the words.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to lower case
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft.

CI 06 SC 6.2.5 P 91 L 11 # 16
 Dawe, Piers Avago
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Mandatory and Optional...
 SuggestedRemedy
 ... should not take capitals
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft.

CI 06 SC 6.2.5 P 91 L 25 # 15
 Dawe, Piers Avago
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 oAggregator (6.3.1) seems to be in bold while other similar items are not
 SuggestedRemedy
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft. Editor will check FrameMaker source.

IEEE 802.3ax (IEEE P802.1AX) D1.1 Link Aggregation Comments

Cl 06 SC 6.2.5 P 91 L 5 # 20

Dawe, Piers Avago

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Link Aggregation Capabilities

SuggestedRemedy

Link aggregation capabilities

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft.

Cl 06 SC 6.2.5 P 91 L 7 # 19

Dawe, Piers Avago

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Why are the package columns headed "DTE"?

SuggestedRemedy

Would "Package" be more useful?

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft. The packages are related to a DTE, rather than to other possible devices defined in Std 802.3. The table may grow in the future to include other devices than DTE.

Cl 06 SC 6.2.5 P 91 L 9 # 18

Dawe, Piers Avago

Comment Type E Comment Status R

The package columns don't have to be so cramped

SuggestedRemedy

Resize to contents?

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This text is out of scope as it is not related to the changes in the draft. These tables tend to grow with addition of other packages, the more compact format also reduces problems with paralax.