Cl **55**   *SC* **55.4.6.5**   *P* **217**   *L* **34**   # 1

Anslow, Peter   Ciena

Comment Type   **E**   *Comment Status*   **D**

Comment 9 against D 2.3 was not fully implemented

SuggestedRemedy

In the editing instruction "Insert a new subclause 55.4.6.5, containing Figure 55-27b, after
subclause 55.3.6.4, , as shown below"
"subclause 55.3.6.4, , as" should be "subclause 55.4.6.4, as"

Proposed Response   *Response Status*   **Z**

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl **78**   *SC* **78.4.2.1**   *P* **254**   *L* **53**   # 2

Abbott, John   Corning Incorporated

Comment Type   **E**   *Comment Status*   **R**

In the sentence "Should there be a discrepancy between a state diagram …." There should
not be any discrepancies between diagram and text. Can you give an example of a
discrepancy?

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Should there be a discrepancy..." to "Should there appear to be a discrepancy ..."

Response   *Response Status*   **C**

REJECT.

This comment is on unchanged text and therefore is out of scope. This phrase is used
throughout 802.3 in subclauses that specify state diagram conventions.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected   RESPONSE STATUS: O/open   W/written   C/closed   U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 1 of 1

Comment ID # **2**   4/8/2010 9:30:10 AM