---

*Cl* **83A**  *SC* **83A3.3.3**  *P* **283**  *L* **11**  # 647
Li, Mike — Altera

*Comment Type* **TR**  *Comment Status* **D**

Pulse width jitter (PWJ) is needed at about 8Gbps or above to aviod jitter amplification (JA) due to the lossy channel. If PWJ is not defined and bounded, nXAUI link will break in the presence of large PWJ.

*SuggestedRemedy*

PWJ needs to be defined and specified. I suggest that 802.3ba adopt the definition and vaule similiar to these of Fibre Channel 8X and PCIe Gen 3.

*Proposed Response*  *Response Status* **W**

[Editor's note: commenter used tilde character to indicate "about or approx",  this has been replaced with "about 8Gbps" since tilde is a special character used as delimiter by the comment tool"]

---

*Cl* **83A**  *SC* **83A.3.4**  *P* **286**  *L* **4647**  # 648
Li, Mike — Altera

*Comment Type* **TR**  *Comment Status* **D**

The frequency spectrum content needs to be specified. Otherwise one may use a easy spectrum jitter input (e.g., low frequency dominanted) to pass the receiver tolerance test, while such a receiver will fail in the presence of worst case jitter input spectrum (e.g., high-frequency DCD, ISI, Xtalk, or RJ) in real-world.

*SuggestedRemedy*

A technical proposal is needed and approved to address this important aspect for Rx.

*Proposed Response*  *Response Status* **O**

---

*Cl* **83A**  *SC* **83A**  *P* **280**  *L* **1**  # 649
Li, Mike — Altera

*Comment Type* **TR**  *Comment Status* **D**

BER for the nAUI link needs to be defined

*SuggestedRemedy*

A proposal on the BER for nXAUI is needed and approved.

*Proposed Response*  *Response Status* **O**

---

*Cl* **83A**  *SC* **83A.3.4**  *P* **286**  *L* **48**  # 650
Li, Mike — Altera

*Comment Type* **TR**  *Comment Status* **D**

Non-Eq jitter is NOT (TJ-ISI) and needs to be well-defined, and (TJ-ISI) needs to be removed.

*SuggestedRemedy*

remove TJ-ISI for non-EQ jitter and spell-out and exactly what is No-EQ jitter e.g., DCD, PJ, BUJ, RJ).

*Proposed Response*  *Response Status* **O**

---

*Cl* **83A**  *SC* **831.3.3**  *P* **283**  *L* **11**  # 651
Li, Mike — Altera

*Comment Type* **TR**  *Comment Status* **D**

Transmitter equlization is not defined. As such channel jitter will be specificaed with the assumption that ISI is not compensated. This will eat the DJ margin of Tx and Rx while most of them today have the equlization capabilities.

Not defining equlization will result in expensive nXAUI specification, with ready silicon equlization unused.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Technical proposal is needed and approved to determine what type of equlization is best suitable for nXAUI channel (Tx, Rx, Tx+Rx) in terms of cost and performance.

*Proposed Response*  *Response Status* **O**

---

*Cl* **83A**  *SC* **83A.3.3**  *P* **283**  *L* **11**  # 652
Li, Mike — Altera

*Comment Type* **TR**  *Comment Status* **D**

Jitter transfer function (JTF) is not defined for Tx jitter definition/testing. This will grossly oversetimate the jitter, leaving the jitter margin created by clock and data receovery (CDR) unused, resulting in expensive nXAUI specification.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Technical proposal for JTF asscoated with CDR is needed and approved.

*Proposed Response*  *Response Status* **O**

---

TYPE: TR/technical required  ER/editorial required  GR/general required  T/technical  E/editorial  G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched  A/accepted  R/rejected     RESPONSE STATUS: O/open  W/written  C/closed  U/unsatisfied  Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 1 of 1
*Comment ID #* **652**
11/5/2008  2:14:41 PM