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## Introduction

> One of the solutions to reduce the cost of 100GBASE-LR4 is leveraging DML. But some of the parameters in the optical spec (Table. 88-7, 88-8) would be better to be tweaked for DML use.
$>$ This material shows what the issues are and proposes the remedy of this issue.

## Optical specs in 100GBASE-LR4

| Description | 100 GBASE -LR4 | Unit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Signaling speed per lane (range) | $25.78125 \pm 100 \mathrm{ppm}$ | GBd |
| Lane wavelengths (range) | 1294.53 to 1296.59 <br> 1299.02 to 1301.09 <br> 1303.54 to 1305.63 <br> 1308.09 to 1310.19 | nm |
| Side-mode suppression ratio (SMSR), (min) | 30 | dB |
| Total average launch power (max) | 10.0 | dBm |
| Average launch power per lane (max) | 4.0 | dBm |
| Average launch power per lane ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ (min) | -3.8 | dBm |
| Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA), each lane (max) | 4.0 | dBm |
| Launch power per lane (min) in OMA minus TDP |  |  |
| Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA), each lane (min) | -1.8 | dBm |
| Transmitter and dispersion penalty, each lane (max) | -0.8 | dBm |
| Average launch power of OFF transmitter, each lane (max) | 2.2 (See editors note) | dB |
| Extinction ratio (min) | -30 | dBm |
| RIN 12 OMA (max) | 4.0 | dB |
| Optical return loss tolerance (max) | -132 | $\mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| Transmitter reflectance ${ }^{\text {c (max) }}$ | 12 | dB |
| Transmitter eye mask definition \{X1, X2, X3, Y1, Y2, Y3\} | -12 | TBD |


| Description | 100GBASE-LR4 | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signaling speed per lane (range) | $25.78125 \pm 100 \mathrm{ppm}$ | GBd |
| Lane wavelengths (range) | $\begin{aligned} & 1294.53 \text { to } 1296.59 \\ & 1999.02 \text { t } 1301.09 \\ & 1303.54 \text { to } 1305.63 \\ & 1308.09 \text { to } 1310.19 \end{aligned}$ | nm |
| Receive power, per lane (OMA) (max) | 4.0 | dBm |
| Average receive power, per lane (max) | 4.0 | dBm |
| Damage threshold ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.0 | dBm |
| Average receive power, per lane ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (min) | -10.1 | dBm |
| Receiver reflectance (min) | -26 | dB |
| Receiver sensitivity (OMA), per lane (max) | $-8.1$ | dBm |
| Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA), per lane ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -6.3 | dBm |
| Vertical eye closure penalty, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ per lane | 1.8 | dB |
| Receive electrical 3 dB upper cutoff frequency, per lane (max) | 31 | GHz |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Launch OMA max : } & 4.0 \mathrm{dBm} \\
\text { Launch OMA min : } & -0.8 \mathrm{dBm} \\
\text { Receive OMA min : } & -8.1 \mathrm{dBm}
\end{array}
$$

## Level diagram per lane as per 100GBASE-LR4 baseline


$\checkmark$ The Transmitter launch OMA range is from -0.8 dBm to 4.0 dBm
$\checkmark$ The range of 4.8 dB is 1.66 dB less than that in the 40 GBASE -LR4.(See appendix)

## Very narrow margin in Transmitter launch OMA for 100GBASE - LR4



## Assumptions

Very narrow margin : 0.3dB
Power change over life (+/- 0.5dB)
Power change over the operation temp. in TOSA (+/-1.0dB)
Maximum deviation of MUX insertion loss per lane from the typical value (+/- 0.6dB)
(Temperature dependence, PDL, power change because of LD wavelength drift )
Power change by mating/demating (+/- 0.15 dB )

## What is the issue in 100GBASE-LR4?

> According to the last foil, transmitter launch OMA should be set in between 1.45 dBm and 1.75 dBm .
$>$ If EML based TOSAs are leveraged, the launch OMA might be set in this very narrow range with high cost. Because LD bias current of EA DFB just has to be adjusted precisely.
$>$ However, it is difficult to build the DML-based TOSA into the transceiver because the modulation bandwidth (i.e. relaxation frequency) of laser diode related to its output power closely.

## Relationship between relaxation frequency and output power

## Assumptions:

- Output average power and relaxation frequency are proportional to "IIIth" and square root of "I-Ith" , respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\eta\left(I-I_{t h}\right) \\
& f_{r}=\varsigma \sqrt{I-I_{t h}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- +/-5\% variance in slope efficiency ( $\eta$ ) and in slope value of relaxation frequency ( $\zeta$ )
- IEEE PTL Vol.19, p1436 as a reference of typical value of $\eta$ and $\zeta . ~ \eta=0.46 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{A}$, $\zeta=2.8 \mathrm{GHz} / \mathrm{mA}^{0.5}$



## Requirement for launch OMA margin



## Assumptions:

- LD drive current is suppressed as much as possible in terms of low power consumption.
- Fixed optical coupling loss of TOSA regardless of the bandwidth of laser chip
- Same extinction ratio from the output in any case.

Launch OMA margin shall have more than 1.3 dB if DML based 100 GbE is taken into account. 1.0 dB enhancement of OMA launch margin should be needed.

## Remedy for this issue

$>$ The comment is:
$>$ Transmitter launch OMA margin seems to be too narrow to have good yield. The root cause is located at the low launch OMA max and the low receive OMA sensitivity. The several numbers in Table 88-7 and 88-8 shall be modified.
$>$ The remedy is:
$>$ Transmitter launch OMA max shall be changed from 4.0 dBm to 4.5 dBm
$>$ Transmitter Average launch (max) is changed from 4.0dBm to 4.5 dBm
$>$ Receiver OMA sensitivity shall be changed from -8.1 dBm to -8.6dBm

## Receiver Overload

## Assumptions:

- No splice loss and insertion loss of DEMUX.

$\checkmark$ If less than 0.85A/W of responsivity (max) and over 2.5mAp-p of input current to TIA (max) are assumed, The number of Receive OMA (max) can be changed to 4.5 dBm with some margin.


## Receiver Sensitivity

## Assumptions:

- Shot noise is ignored. (0.1dB degradation due to this factor)
- $0.55 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{W}$ of responsivity as the worst case.
- 20 GHz of 3 dB bandwidth.


Less than 20 pArtHz of input referred noise density is assumed, -8.6 dBm of Receive sensitivity can be achievable even if $0.55 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{W}$ of responsivity

## Level Diagram reflected the proposed remedies


$\checkmark$ The launch OMA range of 5.8 dB is comparable to that in the 40GBASELR4.(See appendix)
$\checkmark$ The values of several parameters in Table 88-7, 88-8 should be reviewed due to these remedies

## Parameters required to change in Table 88-7 and 88-8

| Description | 100GBASE-LR4 | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signaling speed per lane (range) | $25.78125 \pm 100 \mathrm{ppm}$ | GBd |
| Lane wavelengths (range) | $\begin{aligned} & 1294.53 \text { to } 1296.59 \\ & 1299.02 \text { to } 1301.09 \\ & 1303.54 \text { to } 1305.63 \\ & 1308.09 \text { to } 1310.19 \end{aligned}$ | nm |
| Side-mode suppression ratio (SMSR), (min) | 30 | dB |
| Total average launch power (max) | 10.0-10.5 | dBm |
| Average launch power per lane (max) | -4.0- 4.5 | dBm |
| Average launch power per lane ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (min) | $-3.8-4.3$ | dBm |
| Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA), each lane (max) | $-4.0-4.5$ | dBm |
| Launch power per lane (min) in OMA minus TDP ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-1.8 \quad-2.3$ | dBm |
| Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA), each lane (min) | $=0.0$ c -1.3 | dBm |
| Transmitter and dispersion penalty, each lane (max) | 2.2 (See editors note) | dB |
| Average launch power of OFF transmitter, each lane (max) | -30 | dBm |
| Extinction ratio (min) | 4.0 | dB |
| $\mathrm{RIN}_{12} \mathrm{OMA}$ (max) | -132 | $\mathrm{dB} / \mathrm{Hz}$ |
| Optical return loss tolerance (max) | 12 | dB |
| Transmitter reflectance ${ }^{\text {d }}$ (max) | -12 | dB |
| Transmitter eye mask definition $\{\mathrm{X} 1, \mathrm{X} 2, \mathrm{X} 3, \mathrm{Y} 1, \mathrm{Y} 2, \mathrm{Y} 3\}$ | TBD |  |


| Description | 100GBASE-LR4 | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signaling speed per lane (range) | $25.78125 \pm 100 \mathrm{ppm}$ | GBd |
| Lane wavelengths (range) | $\begin{aligned} & 1294.53 \text { to } 1296.59 \\ & 1299.02 \text { to } 1301.09 \\ & 1303.54 \text { to } 1305.63 \\ & 1308.09 \text { to } 1310.19 \end{aligned}$ | nm |
| Receive power, per lane (OMA) (max) | -4.0- 4.5 | dBm |
| Average receive power, per lane (max) | -4.0- 4.5 | dBm |
| Damage threshold ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $5.0-5.5$ | dBm |
| Average receive power, per lane ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (min) | -10.1-10.6 | dBm |
| Receiver reflectance (min) | -26 | dB |
| Receiver sensitivity (OMA), per lane (max) | 8.1-8.6 | dBm |
| Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMA), per lane ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -6.3- -6.8 | dBm |
| Vertical eye closure penalty, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ per lane | 1.8 | dB |
| Receive electrical 3 dB upper cutoff frequency, per lane (max) | 31 | GHz |

## Conclusion

> DML based 100GbE transceiver is attractive from the low cost and low power consumption view points. but the launch OMA margin which is calculated from Draft 1.0 is too narrow for the transceiver.
> This very narrow margin comes from the low launch OMA and low receive OMA sensitivity.
$>$ The essential remedy for this issue is as following
$>$ Transmitter launch OMA (max) each lane shall be changed to 4.5 dBm
$>$ Receiver sensitivity (OMA) per lane (max) shall be changed to -8.6 dBm
> However, several parameters in Table 88-7 and 88-8 shall be also changed derivatively due to these remedies.

## BACK UP

Parameters required to change derivatively by the remedies

## The following parameters shall be changed derivatively in Table 88-7

1) Average launch power per lane (max)
$\rightarrow$ According to 4.5 dB of 'OMA each lane (max)' and the view point of the eye-safety, this number shall be changed to 4.5 dBm
2) Total launch power (max)
$\rightarrow$ According to 1), this number shall be changed to 10.5 dBm
3) Launch power per lane in OMA minus TDP $\rightarrow$ According to the last slide, this number shall be changed to -2.3 dBm

Parameters required to change derivatively by the remedies

## The following parameters shall be changed derivatively in Table 88-7 (cont.)

4) OMA each lane (min)
$\rightarrow$ According to the proposed level diagram, this number shall be changed to -1.3dBm

## The following parameters shall be changed derivatively in Table 88-8

1) Average receive power, per lane (max)
$\rightarrow$ This number shall be as same number as 'Average launch power per lane (max)'. So, it shall be changed to 4.5 dBm
2) Damage Threshold
$\rightarrow$ This number shall be changed to 5.5 dBm . Before, at 5.0 dBm threshold, this number is 1 dB up from the average receive power, per lane (max)

## Level diagram per lane as per 40GBASE-LR4 baseline (ER=5.0dB)



## Margin in Transmitter launch OMA for 40GBASE - LR4



