Draft 1.0 Commen	nts	IEEE P80	2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet com	iments		Task force Review
C/ 82 SC 82.2.8 Seung-Hwan, Kim	8 <i>P</i> 125 ETRI	L 25	# 1	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.7 Seung-Hwan, Kim	2 <i>P</i> 134 ETRI	L 41	# [4
Comment Type E Spelling: Should be	Comment Status X e change 'de-skew' to 'deskew' fo	r consistency.		Comment Type T Should be change 'pe	<i>Comment Status</i> X 31.25' to 'per 31.25 us'.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.4 Seung-Hwan, Kim	4.4 P 122 ETRI	L 725	# 2	C/ 82 SC 82.2.17.3 Seung-Hwan, Kim	3 <i>P</i> 137 ETRI	L 30	# 5
The slash('/') is use	Comment Status X nats and Control Block Formats : ed to seperate and represent two use-R there is no need slash('/') b		n 10GBase-R,	Comment Type T Should be change 'am SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X cnt = 2 *' to 'am_cnt = 4 *'.		
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
	17.2.2 P 131	L 18	# 3	C/ 82 SC 82.2.17.3 Seung-Hwan, Kim	3 <i>P</i> 137 ETRI	L 33	# 6
Seung-Hwan, Kim	ETRI	210	# 5	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Comment Type T Should be change '	Comment Status X 'rx_raw<63>' to 'rx_raw<71>'.			Should be change '2_' SuggestedRemedy	GOOD' to '4_GOOD'.		
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

Draft 1.0 Comments	IEEE P8	302.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Ethern	net com	nments		Task force Review
Cl 82 SC P 122a* Wong, Don Cisco Systems	L	# 7	<i>Cl</i> 01 Anslow, P	SC Peter	1.4	P 23 Nortel Ne	L 20 tworks	# 9
Comment Type ER Comment Status X Figure 82-5 For BlockTypeField 0xb4, 0xcc, 0xd2 & 0xe1, missing o by thin rectangle).	ne more "s	ingle bit" field (marked	using	lefinition 40GBA es that th	SE-R en he fibre a	Comment Status X BASE-SR4 is "IEEE 802.3 coding over four lanes of, lone determines the reac	short reach, multi	
SuggestedRemedy Add "thin rectangle" for BlockTypeField 0xb4, 0xcc, 0xd	2 & 0xe1.		40GB		encoding	E-SR4: IEEE 802.3 Phys over four lanes of multi i		
			Simila	arly re-w	ord 1000	BASE-SR10 definition to):	
Proposed Response Response Status O			100G		R encodir	EE 802.3 Physical Layer of over ten lanes of multi		
Cl 82 SC 82.2.17.3 P 137 Shafai, Farhad Sarance Technol	L 23 ogies	# 8	Proposed	l Respoi	nse	Response Status O		
Comment Type TR Comment Status X			C/ 01	SC	1.4	P 23	L 35	# 10
The state diagram in figure 152-12 shows that after am !am_valid conditions in a fixed window of 4 alignment m to false. Because the window is fixed in time, it is poss conditions may occur and the state machine will remain in one window followed immediately by 3 more !am_val <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Suggested remedy is to make the window "sliding". Tha !am_valid conditions over any four align maker periods, <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> W Apparantly the commenter has commented using Draft clause number and subclause fields have been correcte database.	arker perio ible that up in lock (i.e id condition at is, if there then the an 0.9 with old	ds, then am_lock is set to 6 !am_valid . 3 !am_valid conditions s in the next window). e are four consecutive m_lock is set to false.	using fiber.' Suggeste Re-wi 100G (See Simila "1000 R end	t <i>Type</i> lefinition 100GB. ' This in <i>dRemec</i> ord as: ' BASE- IEEE 80 arly re-w BBASE- coding o se 88.)"	ASE-R e hplies tha dy "100GBA R encodir 02.3, Clau vord 1000 LR4: IEE wer four N	ng over four WDM lanes o use 88.)" GBASE-LR4 definition to:	Physical Layer spe anes, extended lon es the reach. rsical Layer specific in single mode fibe pecification for 100	g reach, single mode cation for 100 Gb/s using r with extended reach.

	ts	IEEE P80	2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Ethernet co	omments		Task force Review
C/ 01 SC 1.4 Anslow, Peter	P 23 Nortel Networks	L 1	# 11	C/ 01 Anslow, P	SC 1.5 eter	P 24 Nortel Netwo	L 5 orks	# 14
Comment Type T The definition of 400 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X GBASE-LR4 is missing				obreviation for	Comment Status X CAUI is expanded as "100Gb, use "Gigabit" rather than "Gb/s		Init Interface" but the
Add the definition as "40GBASE-LR4: IEE	s: EE 802.3 Physical Layer specificat NDM lanes on single mode fiber w			Suggested Chang Proposed	je to "100 Gig	abit Attachment Unit Interface" <i>Response Status</i> O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O		# [20]	<i>Cl</i> 01 Anslow, P	SC 1.5	P 24 Nortel Netwo	L 11 prks	# 15
C/ 01 SC 1.4 Anslow, Peter	P 23 Nortel Networks	L 44	# 12	Comment The al		Comment Status X	avload Unit 3" bi	ut OPU is defined in ITU-
"Virtual Lane: In 400 multiple logical lanes	ual lanes is awkwardly worded: GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R, the F s, these logical lanes are called vir nore of PCS lanes can be multiple; . interface."	tual lanes. The	ey are called virtual		,	channel Payload Unit 3" Response Status O		
togothor at the r mit								
SuggestedRemedy Re-word as: "Virtual Lane: In 400 multiple logical lanes PCS lanes can be m interface."	GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R, the F s, these logical lanes are called vir nultiplexed and carried on a physic	tual lanes sinc	e one or more of the		<i>Type</i> T neath the new	P 25 Nortel Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X note 7 there is a box containin	ng "WARNING A	
SuggestedRemedy Re-word as: "Virtual Lane: In 400 multiple logical lanes PCS lanes can be m interface." Proposed Response	GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R, the F s, these logical lanes are called vir nultiplexed and carried on a physic <i>Response Status</i> 0	tual lanes sinc al lane togethe	e one or more of the r at the PMA	Anslow, P Comment Under above This w Is this	eter <i>Type</i> T neath the new specified valuer varning box is warning to be	Nortel Netwo	orks ng "WARNING A n of the network andard beneath the notes? If s	Any deviation from the ." the notes to Table 4-2. o, this has the effect of
SuggestedRemedy Re-word as: "Virtual Lane: In 400 multiple logical lanes PCS lanes can be m interface." Proposed Response C/ 01 SC 1.4 Anslow, Peter	GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R, the F s, these logical lanes are called vir nultiplexed and carried on a physic <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 23 Nortel Networks	tual lanes sinc	e one or more of the	Anslow, P Comment Under above This w Is this effecti Suggested	eter <i>Type</i> T neath the new specified valu rarning box is warning to be vely removing <i>IRemedy</i>	Nortel Netwo Comment Status X note 7 there is a box containing les may affect proper operation already present in the base state added again part way through	orks ng "WARNING A n of the network andard beneath the notes? If s es except new r	Any deviation from the ." the notes to Table 4-2. o, this has the effect of
SuggestedRemedy Re-word as: "Virtual Lane: In 400 multiple logical lanes PCS lanes can be m interface." Proposed Response C/ 01 SC 1.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E	BBASE-R and 100GBASE-R, the F s, these logical lanes are called vir nultiplexed and carried on a physic <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 23 Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> X ion for "1.4.311 RMS spectral width	tual lanes sinc al lane togethe <i>L</i> 50	e one or more of the r at the PMA # 13	Anslow, P Comment Under above This w Is this effecti Suggested	eter <i>Type</i> T neath the new specified valu varning box is warning to be vely removing <i>IRemedy</i> ve the warning	Nortel Netwo Comment Status X note 7 there is a box containing is may affect proper operation already present in the base state added again part way through the warning from all of the not	orks ng "WARNING A n of the network andard beneath the notes? If s es except new r	Any deviation from the ." the notes to Table 4-2. o, this has the effect of
SuggestedRemedy Re-word as: "Virtual Lane: In 400 multiple logical lanes PCS lanes can be m interface." Proposed Response Cl 01 SC 1.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E The modified definiti should match the ba SuggestedRemedy	BBASE-R and 100GBASE-R, the F s, these logical lanes are called vir nultiplexed and carried on a physic <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 23 Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> X ion for "1.4.311 RMS spectral width	tual lanes sinc al lane togethe <i>L</i> 50 n" is shown in i	e one or more of the er at the PMA # 13 talic font. The font	Anslow, P Comment Under above This w Is this effecti Suggested Remo	eter <i>Type</i> T neath the new specified valu varning box is warning to be vely removing <i>IRemedy</i> ve the warning	Nortel Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X r note 7 there is a box containing the may affect proper operation already present in the base state added again part way through the warning from all of the not g box from below the new note	orks ng "WARNING A n of the network andard beneath the notes? If s es except new r	Any deviation from the ." the notes to Table 4-2. o, this has the effect of

Page 3 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:13 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Cl 45 Anslow, F	SC 45.2.1	P 33 Nortel Network	L 13	# 17	<i>Cl</i> 45 Anslow, P	SC 45.2	.1.6.1	P 34 Nortel Netwo	L 32	# 20
,			15				0.		K5	
use tl CR"	45-3 Note a says he PMD described	Comment Status X "The name "Backplane/Copp in Clause 72, including PHY ers 10GBASE-KR			the re 45–12	ext "and the gister is nov 2a	40G/100G I	mment Status X PMA/PMD extended al "40G/100G PMA/PME		
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggeste	-	t from "and	the 40G/100G PMA/P	MD extended ab	ility register 2" to "and
chang	ge "The name "Ba	ckplane/Copper/TBD" is used						ended ability register"	IND extended ab	
		, 84 or 85, including PHYs de	signated as BA	ASE-KR and BASE-CR"	Proposed	Response	Res	ponse Status O		
Proposea	l Response	Response Status O								
					CI 45	SC 45.2	.1.6.1	P 34	L 33	# 21
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.4	P 33 Nortel Network	L 47	# 18	Anslow, P	eter		Nortel Netwo	rks	
Anslow, F			(5		Comment	Туре Т	Со	mment Status X		
	editing instruction	Comment Status X says "Insert 45.2.1.4.7 and 45 and 45.2.1.4.9 (leaving room			PMA/	PMD types i	t has not ac	Il ignore writes to the I lvertised in the PMA/P ised in three registers	MD status 2 regi	ster." However the
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy				
chang	ge editing instruct	on to "Insert 45.2.1.4.8 and 4	5.2.1.4.9 as fo	llows:"	chang	ge "it has not	advertised	in the PMA/PMD state	us 2 register" to "	it has not advertised"
Proposea	l Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Res	ponse Status O		
CI 45	SC 45.2.1.6. 4	P 34 Nortel Network	L 29	# 19	<i>Cl</i> 45 Anslow, P	SC 45.2 Peter	.3.7	P 51 Nortel Netwo	<i>L</i> 33 rks	# 22
Anslow, F					Comment	Туре Т	Co	mment Status X		
Comment The f	irst sentence is m	Comment Status X odified to be "The PMA/PMD rough 0." However Table 45-			In Tal font	ole 45-87 ne	w rows are	added for bits 3.8.4 ar	nd 3.8.4 but the te	ext is not in underline
Comment The fi selec	irst sentence is m	odified to be "The PMA/PMD					w rows are	added for bits 3.8.4 ar	nd 3.8.4 but the te	ext is not in underline
Comment The fi selec Suggeste	irst sentence is m ted using bits 4 th dRemedy	odified to be "The PMA/PMD	7 uses bits 5 tl		font <i>Suggeste</i>	dRemedy		added for bits 3.8.4 ar	nd 3.8.4 but the te	ext is not in underline

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.17a P 56 Anslow, Peter Nortel Network	L 19 Is	# 23	Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.19a Anslow, Peter	P 61 Nortel Networks	L 3	# 26
Comment Type T Comment Status X This refers to Table 45-96 but the new table is 45-96	а		Comment Type T Com This refers to Table 45-98 but t	nent Status X ne new table is 45-98a		
SuggestedRemedy Change reference to Table 45-96a			SuggestedRemedy Change reference to Table 45-)8a		
Proposed Response Response Status O			Proposed Response Respo	onse Status O		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.18a P 58 Anslow, Peter Nortel Network	L 15	# 24	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.19a.1 Anslow, Peter	P 61 Nortel Networks	L 45	# 27
Comment Type T Comment Status X This refers to Table 45-97 but the new table is 45-97 SuggestedRemedy	а		Comment Type T Com In 45.2.3.19a.1 through 45.2.3. 3.52.x"	nent Status X 19a.8 the text refers to "	bit 3.50.x" whi	ch should be "bit
Change reference to Table 45-97a			SuggestedRemedy Change "bit 3.50." to ""bit 3.52.	in 16 places		
Proposed Response Response Status O			5	nse Status O		
X 45 SC 45.2.3.18a.4 P 60 Inslow, Peter Nortel Network	L 1 s	# 25	<i>Cl</i> 45 <i>SC</i> 45.2.3.20a Anslow, Peter	P 62 Nortel Networks	L 39	# 28
Comment Type T Comment Status X Titles of 45.2.3.18a.4 through 45.2.3.18a.8 refer to th 3.51.9" should be "bit 3.51.8"	e wrong bits and	in 45.2.3.18a.4 "bit	This refers to Table 45-98 but t			0
Titles of 45.2.3.18a.4 through 45.2.3.18a.8 refer to th 3.51.9" should be "bit 3.51.8" <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	ie wrong bits and	in 45.2.3.18a.4 "bit	51	ne new table is 45-99a ASE-R PCS alignment s		2" which should be
Titles of 45.2.3.18a.4 through 45.2.3.18a.8 refer to th 3.51.9" should be "bit 3.51.8" SuggestedRemedy change titles of 45.2.3.18a.4 through 45.2.3.18a.8:	U U	in 45.2.3.18a.4 "bit	This refers to Table 45-98 but t also text contains "Multi-lane B	ne new table is 45-99a ASE-R PCS alignment s		2" which should be
Titles of 45.2.3.18a.4 through 45.2.3.18a.8 refer to th 3.51.9" should be "bit 3.51.8" SuggestedRemedy)")")"	in 45.2.3.18a.4 "bit	This refers to Table 45-98 but t also text contains "Multi-lane B "Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignr	he new table is 45-99a ASE-R PCS alignment s nent status register 4" in 19a S alignment status regi	4 places	
Titles of 45.2.3.18a.4 through 45.2.3.18a.8 refer to th 3.51.9" should be "bit 3.51.8" SuggestedRemedy change titles of 45.2.3.18a.4 through 45.2.3.18a.8: from "Lane 16 lock (3.51.9)" to "Lane 16 lock (3.51.8 from "Lane 15 lock (3.51.3)" to "Lane 15 lock (3.51.7 from "Lane 14 lock (3.51.2)" to "Lane 14 lock (3.51.6))")")")"	in 45.2.3.18a.4 "bit	This refers to Table 45-98 but t also text contains "Multi-lane B. "Multi-lane BASE-R PCS alignr <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change reference to Table 45-9 Change "Multi-lane BASE-R PC alignment status register 4" in 4	he new table is 45-99a ASE-R PCS alignment s nent status register 4" in 19a S alignment status regi	4 places	

Instow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X In Status X (A 52.3.20a.4 'bit 3.51.9' should be "bit 3.53.9' 'bit 3.51.9' should be "bit 3.51.9' to "bit 3.53.1' t	Draft 1.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba	a D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s I	Ethernet co	omments			Task force Review
In 45.2.2.20a.1 through 45.2.3.20a.12 the text refers to 'bit 3.51.x'' which should be 'bit 3.53.x'' In 45.2.3.20a.4 'bit 3.51.9'' should be 'bit 3.53.8'' UggestedRemedy Change 'bit 3.51.1'' should be 'bit 3.53.8'' UggestedRemedy Change 'bit 3.51.1'' to ''bit 3.53.1'' a 23 places and in 45.2.3.20a.4 change 'bit 3.51.9'' to 'bit 3.53.8'' 'roposed Response'' Response Status O C(73 SC 73 P73 L5 # 30 C(73 SC 73.10.1 P75 L22 # 34 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X The So 45.2.3.20a.4 through 45.2.3.20a.8: from Lane 15 aligned (3.53.3)'' to 'Lane 15 aligned (3.53.8)'' from Lane 15 aligned (3.53.3)' to 'Lane 15 aligned (3.53.6)'' from Lane 15 aligned (3.53.3)'' to 'Lane 15 aligned (3.53.6)'' from Lane 15 aligned (3.53.3)'' to 'Lane 15 aligned (3.53.6)'' from Lane 15 alig		# 29	-	-	.12			# 32
In Table 45-30.04* Unit 3.1.5 should be 01/3.3.3 Show whole row for bit 7.48.7 in underline font Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 73 SC 73 P 73 L 5 # 33 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment 5tatus X Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment 5tatus X Format of Note does not conform to style guide Suggested/Remedy Change "3.5.0." to "3.5.3." in 13 places Nortel Networks Suggested/Remedy Change "3.5.0." to "3.5.3." in 13 places Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment 5tatus X Insolow, Peter Nortel Networks O C1 73 SC 73.10.1 P 75 L 22 # 34 Change "3.5.0." to "3.5.3." in 13 places Response Status O C1 73 SC 73.10.1 P 75 L 22 # 34 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks O C1 73 SC 73.10.1 P 75 L 22 # 34 Consolid 45 2.3.20a.4 P 64 L 1 # 31 Comment Type T Comment Status X Titles of 45.2.3.20a.4 through 45.2.3.20a.8 refer to the wong bits Suggested/Remedy Comment Type T Comment Status X	In 45.2.3.20a.1 through 45.2.3.20a.12 the text refers to "bit 3.51.x" which sh	nould be "bit	In Tab	e 45-142 bit	7.48.7 has been		ever the whole	row should be shown in
Ungested/Renedy Change "bit 3.51." to "bit 3.53." in 23 places and in 45.2.3.20a.4 change "bit 3.51.9" to "bit 3.53.8" Proposed Response Response Status O In Table 45-99a in the first column 3.50.x should be 3.53.x Mortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X The PD definition has changed from "represents all of the following that are present: 1000BASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-K	In 45.2.3.20a.4 "bit 3.51.9" should be "bit 3.53.8"			-	h'i 7 40 7 is soul	adha a fa a f		
Cl 73 SC 73 P73 L5 # [3] Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X In Table 45-99a in the first column 3.50.x should be 3.53.x Format of Note does not conform to style guide SuggestedRemedy Chonge "3.50." to "3.53." in 13 places Format of Note does not conform to style guide Cl 73 SC 73.0.1 P73 L5 # [3] Ch 75 SC 73 P73 L5 # [3] Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks K Format of Note does not conform to style guide Ch 73 SC 73.0.1 P73 L5 # [3] Ch 75 SC 73 P73 L5 # [3] SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X To Poposed Response Response Status O Cl 73 SC 73.0.1 P75 L22 # [34] Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks O Comment Type T Comment Status X Titles of 45.2.3.20a.4 through 45.2.3.20a.8 To Pop definition has changed from "represents all of the following that are present: 1000BASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 40GBASE-KX PMA, 40GBASE-CR4, 40GBASE-CR4, 40GBASE-CR4,		oit 3.51.9" to "bit						
Instow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X In Table 45-99a in the first column 3.50.x should be 3.53.x Either change "Note that" to "NOTE-" to make the note informative or change the font of the note to "Text" (10 point) for normative text. SuggestedRemedy Change "3.50." to "3.53." in 13 places Proposed Response Response Status O C/l 45 SC 45.2.3.20a.4 P64 L1 # 31 Nontel Networks Notel Networks Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Titles of 45.2.3.20a.4 P64 L1 # 31 SuggestedRemedy Notel Networks Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X The PD definition has changed from "represents all of the following that are present: 1000BASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX4 PMA, 40GBASE-CX4, 100GBASE-KX4 PMA, 10GBASE-KX4 PMA, 40GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX4 PMA, 40GBASE-KX PMA	Proposed Response Response Status O		-	-			-	# 33
In Table 45-99a in the first column 3.50.x should be 3.53.x SuggestedRemedy Change "3.50." to "3.53." in 13 places Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.20a.4 P 64 L 1 # 31 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.20a.4 P 64 L 1 # 31 C/ 73 SC 73.10.1 P 75 L 22 # 34 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X Titles of 45.2.3.20a.4 through 45.2.3.20a.8 refer to the wrong bits SuggestedRemedy change titles of 45.2.3.20a.4 through 45.2.3.20a.8: from "Lane 16 aligned (3.53.9)" to "Lane 15 aligned (3.53.9)" from "Lane 15 aligned (3.53.9)" to "Lane 15 aligned (3.53.9)" from "Lane 13 aligned (3.53.0)" to "Lane 14 aligned (3.53.9)" from "Lane 13 aligned (3.53.0)" to "Lane 12 aligned (3.53.9)" from "Lane 13 aligned (3.53.0)" to "Lane 12 aligned (3.53.9)" from "Lane 13 aligned (3.53.0)" to "Lane 12 aligned (3.53.4)"		# 30						
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.20a.4 P 64 L1 # 31 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.20a.4 P 64 L1 # 31 C/ 73 SC 73.10.1 P 75 L 22 # 34 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Nortel Networks X Titles of 45.2.3.20a.4 through 45.2.3.20a.8 refer to the wrong bits The PD definition has changed from "represents all of the following that are present: 1000BASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 40GBASE-CR4, 10GBASE-CR10." from "Lane 16 aligned (3.53.3)" to "Lane 15 aligned (3.53.6)" "represents all of the following that are present: 1000BASE-KX PMA, 40GBASE-CR4, 10GBASE-CR10." from "Lane 14 aligned (3.53.2)" to "Lane 14 aligned (3.53.6)" "change to "represents all of the following that are present: 1000BASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 40GBASE-KX PMA, 40GBASE-CR4, 10GBASE-CR10." from "Lane 13 aligned (3.53.0)" to "Lane 13 aligned (3.53.4)" Change to "represents all of the following that are present: 1000BASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 40GBASE-KX PMA, 40GBASE-KX PMA, 40GBASE-CR4 PMA, 10GBASE-CR10 PMA."	In Table 45-99a in the first column 3.50.x should be 3.53.x SuggestedRemedy		Either the not	change "Note te to "Text" (1	0 point) for norm	ative text.	te informative o	or change the font of
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.20a.4 P 64 L 1 # 31 inslow, Peter Nortel Networks Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X Titles of 45.2.3.20a.4 through 45.2.3.20a.8 refer to the wrong bits Comment Status X The PD definition has changed from "represents all of the following that are present: 1000BASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, and 10GBASE-KR PMA." SuggestedRemedy Change titles of 45.2.3.20a.4 through 45.2.3.20a.8: Comment 5 aligned (3.53.8)" Comment 5 aligned (3.53.8)" Comment 5 aligned (3.53.3)" to "Lane 16 aligned (3.53.6)" Comment 5 aligned (3.53.3)" to "Lane 15 aligned (3.53.6)" Comment 5 aligned (3.53.3)" to "Lane 15 aligned (3.53.6)" Change to "represents all of the following that are present: 1000BASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KX PMA, 40GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA,	· · · ·		-		1			# 34
Comment TypeTComment StatusXTitles of 45.2.3.20a.4 through 45.2.3.20a.8 refer to the wrong bitsThe PD definition has changed from "represents all of the following that are present: 1000BASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, and 10GBASE-KR PMA." to "represents all of the following that are present: 1000BASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX, 40GBASE-CX4, 10GBASE-KX4 PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-CR4, 100GBASE-CR10." where some have PMA afterwards and some don't SuggestedRemedy from "Lane 16 aligned (3.53.9)" to "Lane 16 aligned (3.53.6)" from "Lane 13 aligned (3.53.1)" to "Lane 13 aligned (3.53.6)" from "Lane 12 aligned (3.53.0)" to "Lane 12 aligned (3.53.4)"The PD definition has changed from "represents all of the following that are present: 1000BASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-CR4, 100GBASE-CR10." where some have PMA afterwards and some don't SuggestedRemedy Change to "represents all of the following that are present: 1000BASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-KX PMA, 40GBASE-KX PMA, 40GBASE- CX4 PMA, 10GBASE-KX4 PMA, 10GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE-KR PMA, 40GBASE- CR4 PMA, 100GBASE-CR10 PMA."		# 31			Comment	Status X		
	Comment TypeTComment StatusXTitles of 45.2.3.20a.4 through 45.2.3.20a.8 refer to the wrong bitsSuggestedRemedychange titles of 45.2.3.20a.4 through 45.2.3.20a.8:from "Lane 16 aligned (3.53.9)" to "Lane 16 aligned (3.53.8)"from "Lane 15 aligned (3.53.3)" to "Lane 16 aligned (3.53.7)"from "Lane 14 aligned (3.53.2)" to "Lane 14 aligned (3.53.6)"from "Lane 13 aligned (3.53.1)" to "Lane 13 aligned (3.53.5)"		1000B. to "repres 10GBA 100GB Suggested Chang CX4 P	ASE-KX PMA Sents all of the ASE-KX4 PMM GASE-CR10." <i>Remedy</i> e to "represed MA, 10GBAS	A, 10GBASE-KX4 e following that a A, 10GBASE-KR where some hav hts all of the follo E-KX4 PMA, 100	PMA, and 10GI re present: 1000 PMA, 40GBASE e PMA afterward wing that are pre	BASE-KR PMA BASE-KX PMA E-KR4, 40GBA ds and some do esent: 1000BAS	A, 10GBASE-CX4, SE-CR4, on't SE-KX PMA, 10GBASE-
				,		Status O		

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 Anslow, Peter	P 86 Nortel Netwo	L 53 rks	# 35	C/ 80 SC 80.2.3 Anslow, Peter	P 88 Nortel N	L 37 etworks	# 38
an observable intercor	Comment Status X s "The PMD Service Interface nnection port, uses a 4 or 10			Comment Type E This paragraph me could be improved.	Comment Status X		R4. Also, the english
SuggestedRemedy change "when physica	ams the name PPI should be i ally implemented at an observ d as PPI (Parallel Physical In <i>Response Status</i> 0	able interconnec		100GBASE-R refer	to the list of 40G PHY type s" to "The terms 40GBASE 6B data coding method" to <i>Response Status</i> O	-R and 100GBASE-I "based upon the 64	R refer" and change
C/ 80 SC 80.1.4	P 87	L 18	# 36	C/ 80 SC 80.3 Anslow, Peter	P 89 Nortel N	L 46 etworks	# 39
represents a physical	Nortel Netwo Comment Status X C in the port type (e.g. 40GBA medium of shielded balanced physical medium is up to 10 m.	SE-CR4 or 1000	assembly of at least 10	Comment Type E In Table 80-1 the re SuggestedRemedy Change "See 87." t Proposed Response	Comment Status X derence for 40GBASE-LR4 o "See 87.2.1." Response Status O	is only to clause 87	rather than 87.2.1
Either change "at leas or	t" to "up to" in 5 places in this physical" to "represents a por <i>Response Status</i> O		ration over a physical"		3.3 P 115 Nortel N Comment Status X is "Physical Medium Attacl Dependent (PMD) sublayer"	hment (PMD) sublay	# 40
CI 80 SC 80.2.3 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E This contains "implem	P 87 Nortel Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X eentations and the Table 80–1		# 37	SuggestedRemedy	Nedium Attachment (PMD) Response Status O	sublayer" to "Physic	al Medium Dependent
SuggestedRemedy "implementations and Proposed Response	the Table 80–1 specifies" to Response Status O	'implementations	s. Table 80–1 specifies"				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Anslow, Peter	P 133 L 26 Nortel Networks	# 41	C/ 83 SC 83.5 Anslow, Peter	P 152 Nortel Ne	L 14 tworks	# 44
Comment Type T Comment S	Status X		Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
In clause 81.3.4.3 there is a simple de "The variable link_fault is set to indicat	te the value of a received Sequ	uence ordered_set	51	PMDs, the PMA service in vice interface"	terface is specificiec	d only logically." This
when four fault_sequences containing pair of fault sequences separated by le fault_sequences of a different fault value."			SuggestedRemedy change "the PMA se interface is specificie	ervice interface is specificied ad only logically."	d only logically." to "	the PMD service
Simple descriptions for Figure 82–12– alignment marker lock state diagram a the lines of that above would be very h	and Figure 82–15—BER monitor		Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy Add simple descriptions of the state di	iagrams for Figures 82-12, 82-	13 and 82-15	C/ 84 SC 84.2 Anslow, Peter	P 160 Nortel Ne	L 51 tworks	# 45
Proposed Response Response St	tatus O			Comment Status X es in clause 84 are not in th quest<0:3>) as for clauses		
/ 83 SC 83.1.4 nslow, Peter / omment Type E Comment S	P 146 L 41 Nortel Networks	# 42		of the service primitives in c quest<0:3>) as for clauses		e same format (e.g.
		verse and swanned	Proposed Response	, ,		
In Table 83-1 the 100GBASE-R receiv over, but not quite. Swapping 5:10 and		verse and swapped	Fioposed Response	Response Status O		
over, but not quite. Swapping 5:10 and		verse and swapped		Response Status 0		
over, but not quite. Swapping 5:10 and	d 4:10 over would fix this		C/ 84 SC 84.7.4	, Р164	L 49	# 46
over, but not quite. Swapping 5:10 and uggestedRemedy Swap the 5:10 and 4:10 rows in the tal	d 4:10 over would fix this ble			, 	-	# 46
over, but not quite. Swapping 5:10 and suggestedRemedy Swap the 5:10 and 4:10 rows in the tal	d 4:10 over would fix this ble		Cl 84 SC 84.7.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T	P 164 Nortel Ne Comment Status X	tworks	
over, but not quite. Swapping 5:10 and SuggestedRemedy Swap the 5:10 and 4:10 rows in the tal Proposed Response Response St	d 4:10 over would fix this ble	# <u>43</u>	Cl 84 SC 84.7.4 Anslow, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> T This says "Upon cor	P 164 Nortel Ne <i>Comment Status</i> X npletion of training, SIGNAI ust be completed on all land	tworks DETECT shall be	
over, but not quite. Swapping 5:10 and suggestedRemedy Swap the 5:10 and 4:10 rows in the tal proposed Response Response St St 83 SC 83.1.4 nslow, Peter	d 4:10 over would fix this ble <i>tatus</i> O <i>P</i> 146 <i>L</i> 48 Nortel Networks <i>Status</i> X n initial version of the standard	# 43	Cl 84 SC 84.7.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T This says "Upon cor clear that training m The same issue for SuggestedRemedy Change "Upon com	P 164 Nortel Ne <i>Comment Status</i> X npletion of training, SIGNAI ust be completed on all land	tworks DETECT shall be es. DETECT shall be so	set to OK" but it is not et to OK" to "Upon
over, but not quite. Swapping 5:10 and SuggestedRemedy Swap the 5:10 and 4:10 rows in the tal Proposed Response Response St Cl 83 SC 83.1.4 Inslow, Peter Comment Type E Comment S In Table 83-1 Note 1 says "Not used ir as "Not used in this version of the star	d 4:10 over would fix this ble <i>tatus</i> O <i>P</i> 146 <i>L</i> 48 Nortel Networks <i>Status</i> X n initial version of the standard	# 43	Cl 84 SC 84.7.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T This says "Upon cor clear that training m The same issue for SuggestedRemedy Change "Upon com	P164 Nortel Ne Comment Status X npletion of training, SIGNAI ust be completed on all land clause 85.7.4 Deletion of training, SIGNAL_DE	tworks DETECT shall be es. DETECT shall be so	set to OK" but it is not et to OK" to "Upon
over, but not quite. Swapping 5:10 and SuggestedRemedy Swap the 5:10 and 4:10 rows in the tal Proposed Response Response St Cl 83 SC 83.1.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E Comment S In Table 83-1 Note 1 says "Not used in	d 4:10 over would fix this ble tatus O P 146 L 48 Nortel Networks Status X n initial version of the standard ndard"	# 43	Cl 84 SC 84.7.4 Anslow, Peter Comment Type T This says "Upon cor clear that training m The same issue for SuggestedRemedy Change "Upon com completion of training	P164 Nortel Ne Comment Status X npletion of training, SIGNAI ust be completed on all land clause 85.7.4 Deletion of training, SIGNAL_DE	tworks DETECT shall be es. DETECT shall be so	set to OK" but it is not et to OK" to "Upon

C/ 84 SC 84.7.6 Anslow, Peter	P 165 Nortel Network	L 24 ks	# 47	<i>Cl</i> 85 Anslow, Pe	SC 85.7.2	1	P 178 Nortel Network	L 4 S	# 49
	ment Status X			Comment		Comment Si	tatus X		
When in loopback mode this sa requests passed to the transmit	ays "When loopback r itter are shunted direc	tly to the receiv	er, overriding any	The for	mat of the me		ITDATA.reque		INITDATA.indication in
signal detected by the receiver of the transmitter." This text is n data?. This also applies to 85.7.8 SuggestedRemedy Change "are shunted directly" to Change "Note, this bit does not does not affect the state of the to Also make these changes in 85 Proposed Response Response	not entirely clear when to "are sent directly" t affect the state of the transmitter which con	ther the transmi e transmitter." to	itter continues to send o "Note that this bit	PMD_L change PMD_L be 0:9) change PMD_L change	e "message PM JNITDATA.req "message PM JNITDATA.req "message PM JNITDATA.indi "message PM JNITDATA.indi	D_UNITDATA.re uest<0:3>" in two D_UNITDATA.re uest<0:9>" in two D_UNITDATA.in cation<0:3>" in two D_UNITDATA.in cation<0:9>" in two Response St	p places. equest(tx_bit<0 p places (Note, dication (rx_bit wo places (clau dication (rx_bit wo places (clau	:9>)" to "mess the first one h <0:3>)" to "me ise 85.7.3) <0:9>)" to "me	ages as 0:3 where it should essages
C/ 85 SC 85.1	P 171	L 35	# 48	<i>Cl</i> 85 Anslow, Pe	SC 85.7.7 ter		P 179 Nortel Networks	L 30	# 50
Andow Dotor									
	Nortel Network	KS		Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment S	tatus X		
Comment Type T Comm Table 85-1 Note b contains two	ment Status X		be "CGMII"	Clause	85.7.7 is abou I_PMD_transm	t lane-by-lane tra	insmit disable f		ne text discusses ad along the lines of
Comment Type T Comm Table 85-1 Note b contains two SuggestedRemedy	ment Status X		l be "CGMII"	Clause "Globa	85.7.7 is abou I_PMD_transm 86.4.8	t lane-by-lane tra	insmit disable f		
Comment Type T Comm Table 85-1 Note b contains two SuggestedRemedy Change "XLGMII" to "CGMII" in	ment Status X		l be "CGMII"	Clause "Globa clause Suggested Chang optiona PMD_t	85.7.7 is about PMD_transm 86.4.8 <i>Remedy</i> e the first two s al. It allows the ransmit_disabl	t lane-by-lane tra it_disable function entences from "T electrical transm e_i function (whe	Insmit disable f n". This needs The Global_PM itters in each la re i represents	to be change D_transmit_di the to be select the lane numb	
Comment Type T Comm Table 85-1 Note b contains two SuggestedRemedy Change "XLGMII" to "CGMII" in	ment Status X b instances of "XLGMI h two places		l be "CGMII"	Clause "Globa clause <i>Suggested</i> Chang optiona PMD_t 0:9) is in item PMD_t	85.7.7 is about <u>PMD</u> _transm 86.4.8 <i>Remedy</i> e the first two s al. It allows the ransmit_disabl optional and al a) change "the ransmit_disabl	t lane-by-lane tra it_disable function entences from "T electrical transm e_i function (whe	The Global_PM The Global_PM itters in each la re i represents ransmitter in ea ansmit_disable change "the tra	to be change D_transmit_di ne to be selec the lane numb ach lane to be variable" to "a	ed along the lines of isable function is ctively disabled." to "The ber in the range 0:3 or selectively disabled."
Comment Type T Comm Table 85-1 Note b contains two SuggestedRemedy Change "XLGMII" to "CGMII" in	ment Status X b instances of "XLGMI h two places		I be "CGMII"	Clause "Globa clause Suggested Change optiona PMD_t 0:9) is in item PMD_t transm in item	85.7.7 is about [_PMD_transm 86.4.8 <i>Remedy</i> e the first two s al. It allows the ransmit_disabl optional and al a) change "the ransmit_disabl itter associated b) change "ma	t lane-by-lane tra it_disable function entences from " electrical transm e_i function (whe ows the optical t Global_PMD_tra e_i variable" and	The Global_PM itters in each la re i represents ransmit_disable change "the tra e such that" ctrical transmit	D_transmit_di D_transmit_di une to be selec the lane numb ach lane to be variable" to "a ansmitter such ter." to "may se	ed along the lines of isable function is ctively disabled." to "Th ber in the range 0:3 or selectively disabled." a h that" to "the et each
Table 85-1 Note b contains two SuggestedRemedy Change "XLGMII" to "CGMII" in	ment Status X b instances of "XLGMI h two places		l be "CGMII"	Clause "Globa clause Suggested Chang optiona PMD_t 0:9) is in item PMD_t transm in item PMD_t	85.7.7 is about [_PMD_transm 86.4.8 <i>Remedy</i> e the first two s al. It allows the ransmit_disable optional and al a) change "the ransmit_disable itter associated b) change "ma ransmit_disable	t lane-by-lane tra it_disable function entences from " electrical transm e_i function (whe ows the optical t Global_PMD_tra e_i variable" and I with that variable y turn off the ele	The Global_PM itters in each la re i represents ransmit_disable change "the tr: e such that" ctrical transmit ng off the elect	to be change D_transmit_di ane to be selec the lane numb ach lane to be variable" to "a ansmitter such ter." to "may se rical transmitter	ed along the lines of isable function is ctively disabled." to "Th ber in the range 0:3 or selectively disabled." a that" to "the et each er in each lane."

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P802.	3ba D1.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	omments		Task force Review
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3 Anslow, Peter	P 181 Nortel Networks	L 14	# 51	<i>Cl</i> 86 Anslow, P	SC 86.1 eter	P 199 Nortel Network:	L 22	# 54
	Comment Status X eption of the transmitter specified and does not specify a transmi		ut 85.8.3.3 is the	<i>Comment</i> In Tab	51	Comment Status X bbreviation "Gbd" should be "GBd	1	
SuggestedRemedy	rence to the intended subclause			0	e "Gbd" to "G			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85.8.3 Anslow, Peter	P 181 Nortel Networks	L 22	# 52	<i>CI</i> 86 Anslow, P	SC 86.1 eter	P 199 Nortel Network	L 32	# 55
"96.96969697". Since	Comment Status X al is given in Table 85-4 as "96.9 the UI is the same for these two be the same. Considering the 10 ms appropriate.	clauses, the nur	mber of significant	Gb/s E Suggested Chang	ays "The purp Ethernet is int <i>dRemedy</i> ge to "40 Gb/s	Comment Status X pose of each PHY sublayer is sum roduced in Clause 80." which wou	ld be better	re-arranged
SuggestedRemedy Change all ocurrences clause 85 and three pla	of "96.9697" and "96.96969697" ices in clause 83A)	to "96.969697"	(four places in	Proposed		is summarized in 82.1.4." <i>Response Status</i> 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			<i>Cl</i> 86 Anslow, P	SC 86.6.1 eter	P 208 Nortel Network	L 36	# 56
C/ 85 SC 85.11.1 Anslow, Peter	P 191 Nortel Networks	L 43	# 53		le 86-7 the pa	Comment Status X	「P1a" is at ⊺	P1a wheras the table title
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X PMD of 85.7.1 and" but 85.7.1 i		-	Suggested chang	e table title fr	om "PPI electrical transmit signal ignal input specifications at TP1 a		cations at TP1" to "PPI
change "between the P Proposed Response	MD of 85.7.1 and" to "between the Response Status 0	he PMD of 85.8	and"	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		

Draft 1.0 Comments	3	IEEE P80)2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments		Task force Review
C/ 86 SC 86.6.3 Anslow, Peter	P 209 Nortel Networks	L 53	# 57	<i>CI</i> 84 Sun Hyok	SC 8 , Chang	P 166 Electronics and	<i>L</i> 12 d Teleco	# 64
Comment Type T Comment Status X This says "A signal with power in OMA and average power not within the rang cannot be compliant.". However either condition makes the signal non-complishould be "or" not "and" However either condition makes the signal non-complishould be "or" not "and" StuggestedRemedy Change "in OMA and average" to "in OMA or average" Proposed Response Response Status O				Suggester '40GE	BASE-KR' is wrong	Comment Status X g in the title of Subclause 84.8 be replaced by '40GBASE-KR Response Status O		
C/ 84 SC 1 Sun Hyok, Chang	P 159 Electronics and ⊺	<i>L</i> 12 Геleco	# 62	C/ 86 Chung, H Comment	Туре Т	P 219 ETRI Comment Status X it will be better to insert addtic	L 12	# 68
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ong in the title of Table 84-1.			chang suitab	ge from "Multimod ble," to "Multimode or IEC 60794-3-12	e cables chosen from IEC 60 cables chosen from TIA/EIA 2 may be suitable."	792-2-11 or IE	C 60794-3-12 may be
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy	P 159 Electronics and T Comment Status X BASE-KR' is written at line 14 of Ta		# <u>63</u>		<i>Type</i> T ct typo and insert	P 230 ETRI <i>Comment Status</i> X space between 20 and nm. Cl	L 11 hange from "2	# <u>69</u> 0nm" to "20 nm"
'10GBASE-KR' has to Proposed Response	b be replaced by '40GBASE-KR4' Response Status 0				Response	Response Status O		

Draft 1.0 Comments	S	IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	l 100Gb/s I	Ethernet con	nments		
C/ 4A SC 4A Chung, Hwan Seok	<i>Р</i> 267 ЕТПІ	L 21	# 70	<i>CI 86</i> Chung, Hw	SC 86.1 an Seok	<i>Р</i> 199 ЕТПІ	L 22	# 73
Comment Type T Rephrase sentence f Gb/s and 100 Gb/s o SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X for consistency. Change "For 4 operation,"	0 and 100 Gb/s	operation, " to " For 40	Comment At Tab Suggested	le 86-1, the uni	Comment Status X t for signaling rate should be '0	Bd', not 'Gbo	J'.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 80 SC 80.1.2 Chung, Hwan Seok	<i>Р</i> 85 ЕТВІ	L 3138	# 71	C/ 83A Chung, Hw	SC 83A.3.3 van Seok	Р 283 ЕТRI	L 14	# [74
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment ⁻	Туре т	Comment Status X		
"multimode fiber". A maintain consistency The change should b	of description for MMF in D1.0 s cross the entire document, "mu y, it will be better to change "mu be done in following lines.	Itimode fiber" wa Iti mode fiber" to	as mostly used. So, to	in table in Tabl	e is 10.3125 +- e 83A-1 should n, the +- sign s	ument D1.0, the usual descrpti 100 ppm. So, to maintain cons I be "10.3125 +- 100 ppm" not hould be changed to mathmati	istency, the s "10.3125 GB	ignaling speed per lane d +- 100 ppm". In
Clause 1, page 23, li Clause 80, page 85,	ne 21: multi mode fiber->multim ne 42: multi mode fiber->multim line 31: multi mode fiber->multi line 38: multi mode fiber->multi	node fiber mode fiber		Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy				<i>CI 86</i> Sun Hyok,	SC 1 Chang	P 201 Electronics ar	L 22 d Teleco	# 75
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment [*] 'XLMII'		Comment Status X e 22 below Table 86-2.		
C/ 99 SC 99 Chung, Hwan Seok	<i>P</i> 14 ETRI	L 30	# 72	Suggested 'XLMII'	•	aced by 'XLGMII'		
Comment Type T In page 14, line 30, t	Comment Status X he title 40GBASE-KR should be	e changed to 40	GBASE-KR4.	Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy								

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

Draft 1.0 Comments	Draft 1.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/					and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments		
C/ 86 SC 1 Sun Hyok, Chang	P 201 Electronics an	<i>L</i> 23 d Teleco	# 76	<i>CI 88</i> Sun Hyok,	SC 7 Chang	P 253 Electronics an	L 33 nd Teleco	# 79
	Comment Status X e 23 below Table 86-2.					Comment Status X (Minimum range' is confusing ninimum'.	expression. Be	cause '2m to 30 km' or
SuggestedRemedy 'XLMII' has to be repla	aced by 'XLGMII'			Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O				ating range' is e ating range'.	asier to be understood. 'Minimu	um range' has to	o be replaced by
				Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 87 SC 6	P 230	L 41	# 77					
Sun Hyok, Chang	Electronics an	d Teleco		C/ 87	SC 6	P 230	L 34	# 80
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Sun Hyok,	Chang	Electronics an	nd Teleco	
In Table 87-6, I think ' not 'minimum'. SuggestedRemedy	'Minimum range' is confusing e	xpression. Beca	ause '2m to 10 km' is		34, 'operationa	Comment Status X I range' is written. The term 'op . So, 'operational range' needs		
'Operating range' is ea 'Operating range'.	asier to be understood. 'Minimu	im range' has to	be replaced by	Suggested	,		-	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Ũ	s to be replaced by 'operating Response Status O	range.	
CI 88 SC 6	P 250	L 41	# 78	C/ 88	SC 6	P 250		# 04
Sun Hyok, Chang	Electronics an	d Teleco		Sun Hyok,		Electronics an	L 34	# 81
Comment Type T	Comment Status X				0			
In Table 88-6, I think ' not 'minimum'.	'Minimum range' is confusing e	xpression. Beca	ause '2m to 10 km' is		34, 'operationa	Comment Status X I range' is written. The term 'op . So, 'operational range' in line		
SuggestedRemedy				range'				changed to operating
'Operating range' is ea 'Operating range'.	asier to be understood. 'Minimu	ım range' has to	be replaced by	Suggested	Remedy	a to be replaced by 'operating	rango'	
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			•	Ũ	s to be replaced by 'operating	range.	
				Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		

Page 13 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:14 PM

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet comments				Task force Revie
C/ 88 SC 7 Sun Hyok, Chang	P 253 Electronics an	<i>L</i> 26 d Teleco	# 82	<i>CI 88</i> Hirotaka ,	SC 88.6.1 Oomori	P 250 Sumitomo Elec	L 13 etric	# 84
In line 26, 'operational range the title of Table 88-10. So, range'. SuggestedRemedy 'operational range' has to be	'operational range' in line	e 26 needs to be		good y The ro Severa	vield. pot cause is loca al numbers in Ta	Comment Status X ax and Min transmitter launch C ted at the low launch OMA may able.88-7 and 88-8 need to be r ven in the attached file Oomori_	د and the low ا modified.	
C/ 88 SC 88.7.2 Cole, Chris	P 255 Finisar	<i>L</i> 1	# 83	2) Cha	ange Transmitte ange Transmitte	r launch OMA max from 4.0dBr r average launch power (max) f MA sensitivity from -8.1dBm to	rom 4.0dBm t	o 4.5dBm
Comment Type T C Table 88-12	Comment Status X					required to change as a consec nori_01_1108.pdf	quence of this	. For a full list see slid
A comment has been subm characteristics) to increase for Table 88-12 is to align th 10GBASE-LR (10km) spec.	the max optical power by ne 10GBASE-ER spec (4	v 0.5dB. The pu 0km) with the c	rpose of this comment hanges proposed to the	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
802.3ba when it adopted it a characteristics with the 10ki	as baseline, specifically t			C/ 80 Szczepane	SC 1.4 ek, Andre	P 87 Texas Instrume	L 21 ents	# 85
SuggestedRemedy				Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X		
The following three changes characteristics:	s are proposed for table 8	38-12-100GBAS	E-ER4 receive	"at tea	ast 100m"			
Receive power, per lane OM				Suggested "at lea	<i>dRemedy</i> ist 100m"			
Average receive power, per Damage threshold: 5.0dBm		рав		Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
The SOA overload data pre overload by 0.5dB.	sented in 802.3ba during	this year fully s	supports increasing					

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Draft 1.0 Com	ments
---------------	-------

CI 45 SC 45-9	90 P 52	L 24	# 86	CI 83 SC	6.7	P 155	L 67	# 89
Szczepanek, Andre	Texas Instru	ments		Szczepanek, And	re	Texas Instrum	nents	
Comment Type E	R Comment Status X ses the ability to test a PRBS9 pa	ttern		Comment Type Whilst definin	T na the one	Comment Status X ration of the PRBS error court	nter for the DM/	A the deficiencies of the
	no corrsponding "PRBS9 receive		le" in Table 45-94.	current 10GB	ASE-R fu	nction should be considered.		
SuggestedRemedy						descrambling of the PRBS31 stly to implement.	sequence show	vn in Figure 49-11 is
	was any intention to add PRBS9 ion of it in the PMA clause iether. 3	pattern verificatio	n.	1) The error of	count is 3 part (the F	the number of received erro PRBS tap seperation). So in t		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Any practical counter at a l	implemen	Figure 49-11 requires the ab ntation will have to be implem (create a backlog of increme	ented in paralle	el and increment a
CI 74 SC 7.4.	.5 P 80	L 2	# 87	received). Absolute.com	noliance to	Figure 49-11 at high bits rat	es is not practic	cal
Szczepanek, Andre	Texas Instru	ments			•			
Comment Type E	R Comment Status X					ounters to 40/100G will only o	compound these	e issues
	PHY marks every 8th 64B/66B blo			SuggestedRemed		with a surrow assurates		
This is repeated of	. It also always marks the last bloo on line 31 on the same page	ck in a frame (+7!)		at the cou	or the error counter Inter need only be bit accurat is than 32bits	te at error rates	above say 1e-4, and for
SuggestedRemedy Change to				Proposed Respor	nse	Response Status 0		
"The single lane l	PHY marks every 8th and the last ur lane wording may need the san		an FEC block"					
"The single lane l or similar. The for	PHY marks every 8th and the last ur lane wording may need the san <i>Response Status</i> O		an FEC block"		2.10 re	P 128 Texas Instrum	L 1	# 90
"The single lane l or similar. The for	ur lane wording may need the san		an FEC block"	Szczepanek, And				# 90
"The single lane l or similar. The fo roposed Response	ur lane wording may need the san Response Status O		an FEC block" # <u>88</u>	Szczepanek, And Comment Type	re TR	Texas Instrum	nents	
"The single lane l or similar. The for proposed Response	ur lane wording may need the san Response Status O	ne change. L 4		Szczepanek, And Comment Type	re TR ern genera	Texas Instrum Comment Status X	nents	
"The single lane l or similar. The for Proposed Response (7) 82 SC 2.13 Szczepanek, Andre	ur lane wording may need the san Response Status O 3 P 129 Texas Instru	ne change. L 4		Szczepanek, And Comment Type The test-patte SuggestedRemed	TR TR ern genera	Texas Instrum Comment Status X	nents	
"The single lane I or similar. The for Proposed Response C/ 82 SC 2.1: Szczepanek, Andre Comment Type T There is confusio located. * 82.2.13 says it i	ur lane wording may need the san Response Status O 3 P 129 Texas Instru	L 4 L 4 ments	# <mark>88</mark>	Szczepanek, And Comment Type The test-patte SuggestedRemed	re TR ern genera dy BASE-R p	Texas Instrum Comment Status X ator and checker sub-clauses	nents	
"The single lane I or similar. The for Proposed Response Cl 82 SC 2.13 Szczepanek, Andre Comment Type T There is confusio located. * 82.2.13 says it i * 82.2.15 says it i So which is it SuggestedRemedy compensation for	ur lane wording may need the san <i>Response Status</i> O 3 <i>P</i> 129 Texas Instrum <i>Comment Status</i> X on on this page as to where compe- is an RS sublayer function	<i>L</i> 4 ments ensation for alignr	# 88	Szczepanek, And Comment Type The test-patte SuggestedRemed Use the 10GE	re TR ern genera dy BASE-R p	Texas Instrum Comment Status X ator and checker sub-clauses seudo-random pattern ?	nents	
"The single lane I or similar. The for Proposed Response Cl 82 SC 2.13 Szczepanek, Andre Comment Type T There is confusio located. * 82.2.13 says it i * 82.2.15 says it i So which is it SuggestedRemedy compensation for	ur lane wording may need the san Response Status O 3 P129 Texas Instrum Comment Status X on on this page as to where compe- is an RS sublayer function is a Receive Process function r marker insertion is a PCS transm	<i>L</i> 4 ments ensation for alignr	# 88	Szczepanek, And Comment Type The test-patte SuggestedRemed Use the 10GE	re TR ern genera dy BASE-R p	Texas Instrum Comment Status X ator and checker sub-clauses seudo-random pattern ?	nents	

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

	P 239 L 14	4 # 91	C/ 88 SC 12	P 262	L 20	# 94
Sun Hyok, Chang	Electronics and Teleco)	Sun Hyok, Chang	Electronics a	nd Teleco	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X		Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
dispersion) specification the fiber link. Parameter	nax' is represented to describe the PM b. But 'DGD_max' is not sufficient to g of P(DGD_tot > DGD_max) is needed DGD_max) is the probability that a sy	give the PMD specification of ed. (from the Method 2 of IEC	system must tolerate 'DGD_max' is define	n that 'DGD_max is the maximu '. It is wrong. 'DGD_max' is de d with P(DGD_tot > DGD_max t, exceeds DGD_max. 'DGD_n n of the fiber link.	efined in the Meth	nod 2 of IEC 61282-3. robability that a system
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy			
· =	t > DGD_max) per each lane is need	led in Table 87-13.		max is the maximum different		
Proposed Response	Response Status O		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 87 SC 11	P 239 L 2 Electronics and Telecc		C/ 83 SC 0	Р	L	# 95
Sun Hyok, Chang Comment Type T	Comment Status X	}	Jongyoon, Shin	ETRI		
system must tolerate'. It 'DGD_max' is defined w	at 'DGD_max is the maximum differe is wrong. 'DGD_max' is defined in th ith P(DGD_tot > DGD_max), which is xceeds DGD_max. 'DGD_max' and 'F f the fiber link.	ne Method 2 of IEC 61282-3. s the probability that a system	Comment Type E Change all "sub-laye to "sublayer" in clause & SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X r" 83 to keep consistency with oth	her clauses.	
SuggestedRemedy			ouggoolourlomouy			
	ix is the maximum differential group on replaced by "DGD_max is defined ir		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O					
· · ·			C/ 83 SC 83.1.3 Jongyoon, Shin	<i>P</i> 144 ETRI	L 46	# 96
7/88 SC 12	P 262 L 14				L 46	# 96
C/ 88 SC 12 Sun Hyok, Chang	P 262 L 14 Electronics and Teleco		Jongyoon, Shin Comment Type E	ETRI	L 46	# <u>96</u>
C/ 88 SC 12 Sun Hyok, Chang Comment Type T In Table 88-17, 'DGD_m dispersion) specification the fiber link. Parameter	P 262 L 14 Electronics and Teleco Comment Status X nax' is represented to describe the PM But 'DGD_max' is not sufficient to g of P(DGD_tot > DGD_max) is needed	MD (polarization mode give the PMD specification of ed.(from the Method 2 of IEC	Jongyoon, Shin Comment Type E	ETRI Comment Status X rovides data loopback"	L 46	# <u>96</u>
C/ 88 SC 12 Sun Hyok, Chang Comment Type T In Table 88-17, 'DGD_m dispersion) specification the fiber link. Parameter	P 262 L 1 Electronics and Teleco Comment Status X nax' is represented to describe the PN But 'DGD_max' is not sufficient to g	MD (polarization mode give the PMD specification of ed.(from the Method 2 of IEC	Jongyoon, Shin Comment Type E Change "optionally p to "optionally provide da	ETRI Comment Status X rovides data loopback" ata loopback".	L 46	# <u>96</u>
C/ 88 SC 12 Sun Hyok, Chang Comment Type T In Table 88-17, 'DGD_m dispersion) specification the fiber link. Parameter 61282-3). P(DGD_tot > exceeds DGD_max.	P 262 L 14 Electronics and Teleco Comment Status X nax' is represented to describe the PM But 'DGD_max' is not sufficient to g of P(DGD_tot > DGD_max) is needed	MD (polarization mode give the PMD specification of ed.(from the Method 2 of IEC	Jongyoon, Shin Comment Type E Change "optionally p to "optionally provide da SuggestedRemedy	ETRI Comment Status X rovides data loopback"	L 46	# <u>9</u> 6
Sun Hyok, Chang Comment Type T In Table 88-17, 'DGD_m dispersion) specification the fiber link. Parameter 61282-3). P(DGD_tot > exceeds DGD_max. SuggestedRemedy	P 262 L 14 Electronics and Teleco Comment Status X nax' is represented to describe the PM But 'DGD_max' is not sufficient to g of P(DGD_tot > DGD_max) is needed	MD (polarization mode give the PMD specification of ed.(from the Method 2 of IEC ystem DGD value, DGD_tot,	Jongyoon, Shin Comment Type E Change "optionally p to "optionally provide da SuggestedRemedy	ETRI Comment Status X rovides data loopback" ata loopback".	L 46	# <u>96</u>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 83 SC 83.1.4 Jongyoon, Shin	<i>P</i> 146 ETRI	L 6	# 97	C/ 82 SC 1.4 Ebbers, Jonathan	<i>Р</i> 115 ІВМ	L 37	# 100
Comment Type E	Comment Status X "Logical output Lanes" ".			Comment Type E The 40GBASE-R PC Mtransfers/s, which The 100GBASE-R F	Comment Status X CS has a nominal rate at the P provides capacity for the MAC CS has a nominal rate at the provides capacity for the MAC	data rate of 40 C PMA service inte	Gb/s. rface of 5.15625
				I think Mtransfers/s	should be Gtransfers/s.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy	NCE D DCC has a naminal rate	a at the DMA as	vice
C/ 83 SC 83.5 Jongyoon, Shin	<i>Р</i> 152 ETRI	L 12	# 98	interface of 10.3125 Gb/s. The 100GBA	ASE-R PCS has a nominal rate Mtransfers/s, which provides of SE-R PCS has a nominal rate provides capacity for the MAC	capacity for the M at the PMA servi	IAC data rate of 40 ce interface of 5.15625
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			to			
Need to clarify "40GE	ASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR		Ū		CS has a nominal rate at the F provides capacity for the MAC		Bb/s. The 100GBASE-
for 40GBASE-SR4 ar	and timing specifications of the nd 100GBASE-SR10 interfaces		erface are defined only	PCS has a nominal	rate at the PMA service interfa	ace of 5.15625 Gt /s."	transfers/s, which
for 40GBASE-SR4 ar SuggestedRemedy Change "Note that el- defined only for 40GE to "Note that electrical a	nd 100GBASE-SR10 interfaces ectrical and timing specification BASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR	s." ns of the PMD se R10 interfaces."	ervice interface are	PCS has a nominal	rate at the PMA service interfa	L ace of 5.15625 Gi	transfers/s, which # 101
for 40GBASE-SR4 ar SuggestedRemedy Change "Note that ele defined only for 40GE to "Note that electrical a for 40GBASE-SR4 ar	nd 100GBASE-SR10 interfaces ectrical and timing specification BASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR and timing specifications of the nd 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs."	s." ns of the PMD se R10 interfaces."	ervice interface are	PCS has a nominal provides capacity fo Proposed Response	rate at the PMA service interfa the MAC data rate of 100 Gb <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> IBM	/s."	
for 40GBASE-SR4 ar SuggestedRemedy Change "Note that el- defined only for 40GE to "Note that electrical a for 40GBASE-SR4 ar Proposed Response	nd 100GBASE-SR10 interfaces ectrical and timing specification BASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR and timing specifications of the nd 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs." <i>Response Status</i> O	s." ns of the PMD se R10 interfaces."	ervice interface are erface are defined only	PCS has a nominal provides capacity fo Proposed Response C/ 82 SC 2.4.11 Ebbers, Jonathan Comment Type E "sent" and "received both the encoder (et	rate at the PMA service interfa the MAC data rate of 100 Gb <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> IBM <i>Comment Status</i> X " are pretty ambiguous terms, press path) and decoder (ingre	/s." <i>L</i> especially since ess path). "recei	# 101
for 40GBASE-SR4 ar SuggestedRemedy Change "Note that el- defined only for 40GE to "Note that electrical a	nd 100GBASE-SR10 interfaces ectrical and timing specification BASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR and timing specifications of the nd 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs." <i>Response Status</i> O	s." ns of the PMD se R10 interfaces." PMD service int	ervice interface are	PCS has a nominal provides capacity fo Proposed Response C/ 82 SC 2.4.11 Ebbers, Jonathan Comment Type E "sent" and "received both the encoder (et	rate at the PMA service interfa the MAC data rate of 100 Gb Response Status O P IBM Comment Status X " are pretty ambiguous terms,	/s." <i>L</i> especially since ess path). "recei	# 101
for 40GBASE-SR4 ar SuggestedRemedy Change "Note that eli- defined only for 40GE to "Note that electrical a for 40GBASE-SR4 ar Proposed Response C/ 82 SC Figure Ebbers, Jonathan Comment Type TR It may require as mar 2_GOOD (assuming	and 100GBASE-SR10 interfaces ectrical and timing specification BASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR and timing specifications of the and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs." <i>Response Status</i> 0 13 <i>P</i> 137 IBM <i>Comment Status</i> X ny as 100,000 test_am instance that the location of the Alignme ecked by the PCS AM Lock St	s." ns of the PMD se R10 interfaces." PMD service int <i>L</i> 27 res before the AM ent Marker is in t	ervice interface are erface are defined only # 99 M Lock FSM will reach the last of the 16384	PCS has a nominal provides capacity fo Proposed Response C/ 82 SC 2.4.11 Ebbers, Jonathan Comment Type E "sent" and "received both the encoder (epoor choice of word SuggestedRemedy Change "The /E/ is sent whe received. The /E/ all to "For both the encoder	rate at the PMA service interfates the MAC data rate of 100 Gb. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> IBM <i>Comment Status</i> X " are pretty ambiguous terms, press path) and decoder (ingregiven that it applies also to the prever an /E/ is received. It is a provement of the PCS to propagate received are and decoder, the /E/ is generated when invalid blocks are detected when invalid blocks are detected	/s." <i>L</i> especially since ess path). "receive a Tx path. also sent when inv eived errors." erated whenever	# 101 this is meant to apply to ved" is an especially valid blocks are an /E/ is detected. The
for 40GBASE-SR4 ar SuggestedRemedy Change "Note that ele defined only for 40GE to "Note that electrical a for 40GBASE-SR4 ar Proposed Response C/ 82 SC Figure Ebbers, Jonathan Comment Type TR It may require as mar 2_GOOD (assuming possible locations chr worst-case start-up d SuggestedRemedy Even though the AM_	and 100GBASE-SR10 interfaces ectrical and timing specification BASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR and timing specifications of the and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs." <i>Response Status</i> O 13 <i>P</i> 137 IBM <i>Comment Status</i> X ny as 100,000 test_am instance that the location of the Alignme ecked by the PCS AM Lock St elay? _SLIP function is listed as implicaused by the PCS AM Lock St	s." Ins of the PMD set R10 interfaces." PMD service int <i>L</i> 27 L 27	ervice interface are erface are defined only # 99 M Lock FSM will reach the last of the 16384 is this a reasonable	PCS has a nominal provides capacity fo <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 82 <i>SC</i> 2.4.11 Ebbers, Jonathan <i>Comment Type</i> E "sent" and "received both the encoder (epoor choice of word <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "The /E/ is sent whe received. The /E/ all to "For both the encodd /E/ is also generated	rate at the PMA service interfates the MAC data rate of 100 Gb. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> IBM <i>Comment Status</i> X " are pretty ambiguous terms, press path) and decoder (ingregiven that it applies also to the prever an /E/ is received. It is a provement of the PCS to propagate received are and decoder, the /E/ is generated when invalid blocks are detected when invalid blocks are detected	/s." <i>L</i> especially since ess path). "receive a Tx path. also sent when inv eived errors." erated whenever	# 101 this is meant to apply to ved" is an especially valid blocks are an /E/ is detected. The

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 82	SC 2.10	P 128	L 10	# 102	CI 82	SC 2.8	P 125	L 23	# 105
Ebbers, Jo	onathan	IBM			Ebbers, Jo	onathan	IBM		

Comment Type E Comment Status X

82.2.10 says that the scrambler starts off with a seed loaded from the MDIO registers. This seems to contradict 82.2.6 which says that there is no initial value for the scrambler. We suspect that there is no initial value for regular operation and a defined seed for test operation. Should the specification be more specific on this point?

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify the sentence in 82.2.6, "There is no requirement on the initial value for the scrambler." to "There is no requirement on the initial value for the scrambler for regular operation: test-patterns shall load an initial value from the MDIO registers."

Proposed Response Response Status 0

CI 82	SC	1.6	P 100	L 52	# 103
Ebbers, Jo	onathan		IBM		
<i>Comment</i> Figure		Е	Comment Status X		

"AIIGNMENT LOCK LANE DESKEW" should be "ALIGNMENT LOCK LANE DESKEW".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "AlIGNMENT LOCK LANE DESKEW" to "ALIGNMENT LOCK LANE DESKEW".

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 81	SC 3.1.1	P 100	L 53	# <u>1</u> 04
Ebbers, Jo	onathan	IBM		

Comment Type **T** Comment Status X

For 100G, are we really going to run with TX_CLK and RX_CLK at 1.56GHz? This seems like quite a frequency jump; I'm surprised no consideration was given to expanding the bus width from 4 bytes to 8 or 16. We typically time the cores with 200 ps of margin, but 1.56G only gives us a 640ps cycle time. I think even at 45nm this would be very tight to time.

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify the frequency requirements or allow for a wider MII bus definition.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

CI 82	SC 2.8	P 125	L 23	# 105
Ebbers, Jona	athan	IBM		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

82.2.8 states that the alignment markers are inserted after 16383 66-bit blocks are transmitted. We assume this includes interrupting a data packet and not waiting until an IPG. Since we cannot possibly write over data, is this process handled at the same time and in the same way as clock compensation (idle/OSet insert/delete) in the async crossing? How can we be sure that the MII data presented to the PCS Transmitter will have enough excess bandwidth to allow for AM insertion and clock compensation?

SugaestedRemedv

Provide a more explicit description of the relationship between alignment marker insertion and idle insertion/deletion. Provide a specific minimum inter-frame size for transmitted MII data (from the MAC or RS) to allow for proper AM insertion and +/- 100 PPM clock frequency compensation.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

CI 82	SC Figure 13	P 137	L	# 106
Ebbers, Jon	athan	IBM		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The definition of test am appears to be inadequate. As defined, test am will be true once for every 66-bit block and TEST_AM will be entered very frequently, causing !am_valid to be the exit path from TEST_AM almost every time, causing the FSM to never reach the 2 GOOD state.

SuggestedRemedy

Refine test_am's definition to be less like that of test_sh. After the first detection of a valid AM, test am should be tied to a timer that counts down from 16383 before asserting the next test am.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 82	SC	82.1.3.3	P 115	L 22	# <u>1</u> 07
Marris, Art	thur		Cadence		
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status X		
			use is wrong. Also there is no ust adding confusion.	need to mentio	n the PMD and MDI

SuggestedRemedy

Delete subclause 82.1.3.3.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 107

Page 18 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:14 PM

dRemedy ge "Block Distrit Response SC 82.2.4.3 thur Type T ndant text. Isn't 118 line 32? dRemedy	Comment S of alignment mark ribution" to "Block I <i>Response St</i> .3 Comment S	ker insertion ir Distribution ar <i>tatus</i> 0 P121 Cadence Status X Ist repeating w	L 14	# <u>110</u> larker Insertion" # <u>111</u> y been said in 82.2.4.1
s is no mention of dRemedy ge "Block Distrit Response SC 82.2.4.3 thur Type T ndant text. Isn't 118 line 32? dRemedy	of alignment mark ibution" to "Block I <i>Response St</i> .3 .3 <i>Comment S</i> t this paragraph ju	ker insertion ir Distribution ar <i>tatus</i> 0 P121 Cadence Status X Ist repeating w	L 14	# <u>111</u>
ge "Block Distrit <i>Response</i> SC 82.2.4.3 thur <i>Type</i> T ndant text. Isn't 118 line 32? dRemedy	Response St .3 Comment S t this paragraph ju	P 121 Cadence Status X Ist repeating w	L 14	# <u>111</u>
SC 82.2.4.3 thur <i>Type</i> T ndant text. Isn't 118 line 32? dRemedy	.3 <i>Comment S</i> t this paragraph ju	P 121 Cadence Status X Ist repeating w		-
thur <i>Type</i> T ndant text. Isn't 118 line 32? d <i>Remedy</i>	<i>Comment S</i> t this paragraph ju	Cadence Status X Ist repeating w		-
ndant text. Isn't 118 line 32? dRemedy	t this paragraph ju	ist repeating w	vhat has already	y been said in 82.2.4.1
	e redundant text fr	rom either 82.		
Response	Response St		2.4.1 or 82.2.4.	.3.
SC 80.1.4		P 87 Cadence	L 18	# 112
<i>Type</i> T PHYs need to be distances.	Comment S be able to drive at I		stances while th	he media can be up to
least" to at least"				
change "teast" t	to "least" on line 2	21.		
Response	Response St	tatus O		
at up hre	nsider changing at least" up to at least" hree places o change "teast" <i>ed Response</i>	at least" up to at least" hree places o change "teast" to "least" on line 2	at least" up to at least" hree places o change "teast" to "least" on line 21.	at least" up to at least" hree places o change "teast" to "least" on line 21.

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 80 SC 80.2.3 Marris, Arthur	P 88 Cadence	L 38	# 113	C/ 81 SC 81.3.4 Marris, Arthur	<i>P</i> 108 Cadence	L 17	# 115
not mention 40GBASE SuggestedRemedy Delete The term 40GBASE-R Gb/s such as 40GBAS	Comment Status X as it repeats what is described -LR4 and 'terms' should be 'to refers to a specific family of f E-KR4, 40GBASE-CR4 and 4 mily of Physical Layer impler	erm'. Physical Layer in I0GBASE-SR4.	mplementations for 40 The term 100GBASE-	SuggestedRemedy Consider referencing copy. Something alor "Link fault signalling s	Comment Status X he state diagram in 81.3.4 has sub clause 46.3.4 for link fault ing the lines of: shall be implemented as descr t in lane 0 with the octets in lan	t signalling rather ibed in 46.3.4. Tł	r than having a direct ne four octet sequence
100GBASE-CR10, 100	GBÁSE-SŔ10, 100GBASE-L 00GBASE-R PHY devices sha	R4 and 100GB	ASE-ER4.	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	and 100GBASE-R' refers to I upon 64B/66B data coding r red in Clause 83.			Cl 80 SC 80.1.3 Marris, Arthur Comment Type E	P 86 Cadence Comment Status X	L1	# <u>116</u>
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Punctuation delete comma before	and		
				SuggestedRemedy			
/ 81 SC 81.1.5 arris, Arthur	P 95 Cadence	L 17	# 114	Change "MAC, and"			
omment Type T	Comment Status X			to "MAC and"			
OSI not ISO				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy							
Change "ISO (IEEE)" to "OSI"				C/ 82 SC 82.2.2 Marris, Arthur	P 117 Cadence	L 10	# 117
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type E grammar, independer	Comment Status X nt needs to be an adverb.		
				SuggestedRemedy change to 'independe	ntly'.		
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P80)2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet com	nments		Task force Review
C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 Marris, Arthur	P 85 Cadence	L 45	# 118	C/ 80 SC 80.11 D'Ambrosia, John	P 91 Force10 Net	L 1 works	# 121
Style:	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Clause 80.11 needs to	Comment Status X		
The word "respectively" is SuggestedRemedy	redundant.			SuggestedRemedy 80.11 should be 80.6			
Delete "respectively" Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 80 SC 80.3 Marris, Arthur	P 90 Cadence	L 5	# 119	C/ 99 SC D'Ambrosia, John	P2 Force10 Net	L 8 works	# [122
	Comment Status X			Comment Type E PPI is not listed as a k	Comment Status X eeyword.		
SuggestedRemedy Consider changing to 'me	tre'.			SuggestedRemedy Add PPI to Keywords.			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 82 SC 82.1.1 Marris, Arthur	P 113 Cadence	L 12	# 120				
Comment Type E Punctuation, delete comm	Comment Status X						
SuggestedRemedy Change ', and' to 'and'							
on lines 12 and 13							
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

Draft 1.0 Comment	ts	IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s a	and 100Gb/s Ethernet co	mments		Task force Review
Cl 99 SC D'Ambrosia, John	Р 6 Force10 Net	L 16 tworks	# 123	Frank Chang Task Force Web Ma	aster		
Comment Type E Listing of Editorial To	Comment Status X eam and Officers is incomplete	e.		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Complete list provide	ed below.			Cl 99 SC D'Ambrosia, John	P 9 Force10 Netw	L 17 vorks	# 124
John D'Ambrosia Task Force Chair				Comment Type E Approval of standard June standards boar	Comment Status X Is is listed as 15 September 200 d meeting.	0x. Schedule f	or standard approval at
llango Ganga Task Force Editor-iı Editor, Clauses 1, 4	n-Chief, I, 80, Annexes A, 4A			SuggestedRemedy	ber 200x" to "xx June 2010"		
Mark Gustlin "Logic" Sub-task Fo Editor, Clauses 818				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Chris DiMinico				Cl 99 SC D'Ambrosia, John	P11 Force10 Netw	L	# 125
"Cu" Sub-task Force Editor, Clause 85	e Chair			Comment Type E	Comment Status X	VOING	
Pete Anslow "Optical" Sub-task F Editor, Clause 88	Force Chair				ances where there are wrap-arc re is no space between the clau		
Hugh Barrass				SuggestedRemedy			
	45, Annexes 30A, 30B			•	es and add a space between the	e Clause # and	title text.
Piers Dawe Editor, Clause 86				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Jonathan King Editor, Clause 87							
Ryan Latchman Editor, Annex 83A							
Arthur Marris Editor, Clauses 69,	73, 74, 84, Annexes 69A, 69B	3					

Steve Trowbridge Editor, Clause 83

George Oulundsen Task Force Secretary

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.1.3 P 34 L 25 # 128 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Force10 Networks Force10 Networks Force10 Networks Force10 Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X Note states "Change Table 45-7 for 40Gb/s and 100 Gb/s PMA /PMD type selection," and
then 45.2.1.6.1 is also noted to be changed for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s PMA/PMD type selections. However, 45.2.1.1.3 states "When bits 5 through 2 are set to 0000 the use of a 10G PMA/PMD is selected. More specific selection is performed using the PMA/PMD control 2 register (Register 1.7)" SuggestedRemedy
modify 45.2.1.1.3 to state "When bits 5 through 2 are set to 0000 the use of a >=10G PMA/PMD is selected. More specific selection is performed using the PMA/PMD control 2 register (Register 1.7)"
Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 82 SC 82.1.3.1 P 115 L 1 # 129 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Force10 Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X Bullet C is confusing in relation to what the actual functions in the PCS are, as the Tx PCS and Rx PCS seem to both be capable of adding / deleting idles. SuggestedRemedy Replace bullet c with the following text - Compensation through insertion or deletion of idles for any rate difference caused by the insertion or deletion of alignment markers due to any rate difference between the MII and

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s Ethernet co	omments		Task force Review
C/ 80 SC 80.11 D'Ambrosia, John	P 91 Force10 Netwo	L 1 rks	# 130	C/ 81 SC 81.1 D'Ambrosia, John	Р 93 Force10 N	L 5 etworks	# 133
Comment Type E subclauses not number	Comment Status X red properly - 80.11 should be	80.6		Comment Type E Use of "MII" is ambi	Comment Status X guous.		
SuggestedRemedy renumber 80.11 to 80.6	6			SuggestedRemedy Suggest XLGMII an	d CGMII be used when refer	ring to speed app	propriate MII.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 81 SC 81.1 D'Ambrosia, John	P 93 Force10 Netwo	L 46 rks	# 131	Cl 83 SC 83.1.1 D'Ambrosia, John	P 143 Force10 N	L 22 etworks	# 134
Comment Type E choice of wording	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Wording - A PMA co	Comment Status X onnects to other sublayers.		
interconnection betwee (PHY). The MII is not ir instantiated, rather it ca	is to provide a simple and easing the Media Access Control (Methode to be electrically an logically connect layers with logical interface between the Methode (PHY).	IAC) sublayer	and the Physical Layer	40GBASESR4, 40G PMA can connect d	MA can connect directly to or BASE-LR4, 40GBASE-CR4 irectly to one of the following 0GBASE-ER4, or 100GBAS	or 40GBASE-KI Physical Layers	R4. The 100GBASE-R
and the Physical Layer Proposed Response	(PHY). Response Status O			40GBASE-R PCS.	40GBASE-R PMA is to attac The purpose of the 100GBA		
C/ 82 SC 82.1.4 D'Ambrosia, John Comment Type E	P 115 Force10 Networ Comment Status X	L 30 ˈks	# 132	PMD of choice to th Proposed Response	e 100GBASE-R PCS. Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Reword	as it implies that the two pcs's u es employed by the 40GBASE-						
to							
There is one distinct in Proposed Response	terface employed for each rate Response Status O	of PCS.					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 1.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet comments Task force Review
C/ 83 SC 83.2 P 148 L 44 # 135 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Force10 Networks </th <th>C/ 86 SC 86.1 P 199 L 8 # 137 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Force10 Networks</th>	C/ 86 SC 86.1 P 199 L 8 # 137 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Force10 Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status X Need a space between "isin"	Comment Type E Comment Status X Overview is done in a manner that is inconsistent with other PMD clauses in 802.3ba
SuggestedRemedy change Whether the PMA isin the Tx or Rx direction. to Whether the PMA is in the Tx or Rx direction. Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Put text below and Table 86-2 in front of current "Overview" intro text. This clause specifies the 40GBASE-SR4 PMD and 100GBASE-SR10. In order to form a complete PHY, the desired PMD shall be connected to the appropriate sublayers (see Table 86–1) and with the management functions that are optionally accessible through the management interface defined in Clause 45, or equivalent. Renumber current Table 86-1 to 86-2. Label new Table 86-1 as
Cl 83 SC 83.7 P 156 L 8 # 136 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Force10 Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X registers provide information., not "may provide" SuggestedRemedy change The stringer MDIO exercisity described in Clause 45 describes exercise to the provide to the string of th	Table 86–1—PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 PMDs add row in new table 86-1 for Annex 83A-XLAUI - mark optional under 40G and "na" under 100G add row in new table 86-1 for Annex 83A-CAUI - mark optional under 100G and "na" under 40G Proposed Response Response Status O
The optional MDIO capability described in Clause 45 describes several variables that may provide control and status information for and about the PMA. Mapping of MDIO control variables to PMA control variables is shown in Table 83–3. to The optional MDIO capability described in Clause 45 describes several variables that provide control and status information for and about the PMA. Mapping of MDIO control variables to PMA control variables is shown in Table 83–3.	Cl 86 SC 86.6 P 207 L # 138 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Force10 Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X Recommend creating Annex 86A and moving PPI electrical specifications, as the PPI might eventually be used with PMDs. SuggestedRemedy Move all PPI electrical specifications into Annex 86A.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

Draft 1.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s	and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments Task force Review
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.4 P 285 L 9 # 139 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks	C/ 83 SC 83.3 P 149 L 12 # 142 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Force10 Networks Force10 Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status X Fig 83A-4 is inconsistent with similar diagrams in 802.3	Comment Type ER Comment Status X THe reference to the PMA or PMA stages is inconsistent and can cause confusion.
SuggestedRemedy correct figure. Updated figure to be provided. Proposed Response Response Status Cl 83A SC 83A.3.4.5 P L # 140 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X Fig 83A-7 is inconsistent with similar diagrams in 802.3 SuggestedRemedy correct figure. Updated figure to be provided. Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Reword - Several PMA stages may be required to adapt between the number of VLs emerging from the PCS to the number of lanes required by a particular PMD. For example, a 4-lane interface for 100GBASE-R may involve a 20:10 PMA from the PCS, two 10:10 PMAs on either side of a CAUI for an extender, and a 10:4 PMA which finally interfaces with the PMD. to Several PMA stages may be required to adapt between the number of VLs emerging from the PCS to the number of lanes required by a particular PMD. For example, a 4-lane interface for 100GBASE-R may involve a 20:10 PMA stage from the PCS, two 10:10 PMA stages on both sides of a CAUI for an extender, and a 10:4 PMA stage which finally interfaces with the PMD. An example drawing would be useful.
C/ 82 SC 82.2.2 P 117 L 3 # 141 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type ER Comment Status X Wording of statement: "The PCS comprises the PCS Transmit and PCS Receive processes for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R." implies that a single PCS is defined for both 40G and 100G rates.	C/ 83 SC 83.6.6 P 154 L 39 # 143 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks # Comment Type ER Comment Status X Description of the multi-stage PMA concept is confusing
SuggestedRemedy change sentence to: The 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R PCS's comprise the PCS Transmit and PCS Receive processes for each rate of operation.	SuggestedRemedy At the PMA service interface, the uppermost PMA in a set of one or more stacked PMAs may provide a loopback function. The function involves looping back each input lane of the uppermost Tx PMA to an output lane of the uppermost Rx PMA.
Proposed Response Response Status O	to The uppermost PMA stage in a set of one or more s PMA stages may provide a loopback function. The function involves looping back each input lane of the uppermost Tx PMA stage to an output lane of the uppermost Rx PMA stage.
	Presentation to be provided.
	Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 143

Page 26 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:15 PM

	P 181	L 15	# 144	C/ 86 SC 86.1	n	P 217	L 44	# 146
C/ 85 SC 85.8.3 D'Ambrosia, John	Force10 Netwo		# 144	D'Ambrosia, John	5	Force10 Netw		# 140
The specifications ar 72.7.1.11 with the ex 85.8.3.3 is for signali uggestedRemedy	Comment Status X g sentence is unclear. e summarized in Table 85–4 an ception of the transmitter specifi ng speed range, and is same fo om 85.8.3.3 to correct reference <i>Response Status</i> 0	ied in 85.8.3.3. r -KR.	.7.1.1 through	consistent with 52 SuggestedRemedy organize and nam Change title of 86 Change title of 86 change 86.10.2 to Add 86.10.2 Optic consists of a mate	10 is not done i 14). e in manner con 10 to "Characte 10.1 to "Optical 86.11 al fiber connecti	sistent with 87.12 ristics of the fiber Fiber Cable" on - An optical fibe	and 88.13. optic cabling (cł er connection, a	and 88.13 (which is nannel) s shown in Figure 86- mber of fibers for the
80 SC 80.2.3 Ambrosia, John	P 88 Force10 Netwo	L 10 prks	# 145	PMD type. change 86.10.2.2 change 86.10.22 change 86.10.2.3	.1 to 86.10.2.2 -			
omment Type T Optional XLAUI / CA	Comment Status X JI not shown in Table 80-1.				_			
		ASF-R PMDs si	hould be optional for	Proposed Response	Respons	e Status O		# 147
show columns for 83	A and XLAUI / CAUI. All 40GB/ All 100GBASE-R PMDs shoul		r CAUI and NA for	C/ 01 SC 1.1.3	.2	P 22	L 30	# 147
XLAUI and NA CAUI			r CAUI and NA for	Cl 01 SC 1.1.3 D'Ambrosia, John Comment Type T add "PPI" as a co	Comme	Force10 Netw		# [147

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Draft 1.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet comments Task force Review
P 23 L 44 # 148 'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks # 148	C/ 30 SC 30. P 27 L 22 # 150 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Force10 Networks Force10 Networks
Comment Type T Comment Status X Parallel Physical Interface (PPI) is not defined.	Comment Type T Comment Status X need to update 30.6.I.1.5 aAutoNegLocalTechnologyAbility
Add Parallel Physical Interface (PPI) - The interface between the Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer and the Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer. (See IEEE 802.3, Clause 86) Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Add 30.6.1.1.5 aAutoNegLocalTechnologyAbility 40GBASE-KR4FD – Full duplex 40GBASE-KR4 as specified in Clause 84 40GBASE-CR4FD - Full duplex 40GBASE-CR4 as specified in Clause 85 100GBASE-CR10FD - Full duplex 100GBASE-CR10 as specified in Clause 85 Proposed Response Response Status 0
	Proposed Response Response Status O
X 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 27 L 22 # 149 'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks	C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P27 L11 # 151
Comment Type T Comment Status X	D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks
30.5.1.1.2 needs to be updated.	Comment Type T Comment Status X
uggestedRemedy	30.3.2.1.2 aPhyType needs updated
Add	SuggestedRemedy
30.5.1.1.2 aMAUType 40GBASE-KR4 – R PCS/PMA over an electrical backplane PMD as specified in Clause 84	add 40GBASE-R Clause 82 40 Gb/s 64B/66B 100GBASE-R Clause 82 100 Gb/s 64B/66B
40GBASE-CR4 – R copper over 8 pair 100-Ohm blanaced cable as specified in Clause 85 40GBASE-SR4 – R fiber over 8 OM3 multi-mode fibers as specified in Clause 86 40GBASE-LR4 – R fiber over 4 wavelengths on single mode fiber as specified in Clause 87	Proposed Response Response Status O
100GBASE-CR4 - R copper over 20 pair 100-Ohm blanaced cable as specified in Clause 85	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.4.8 P 33 L 49 # 152
100GBASE-SR10 - R fiber over 20 OM3 multi-mode fibers as specified in Clause 86 100GBASE-LR4 - R fiber over 4 wavelengths on 10km single mode fiber as specified in	D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks
Clause 88	Comment Type T Comment Status X
100GBASE-ER4 - R fiber over 4 wavelengths on 40km single mode fiber as specified in Clause 88	Note reads to "Insert 45.2.1.4.7 and 45.2.1.4.8 as follows" but the sections are entered in as 45.2.1.4.8 and 45.2.1.4.9
roposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy
	The section #'s are correct per Table 45-6, but the note is incorrect. Ignore note.
	Proposed Response Response Status O

Draft 1.0 Comm	ients		IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet cor	nments		Task force Review
C/ 69 SC 69 . D'Ambrosia, John	.1.3	P 70 Force10 Netw	L 34 vorks	# 153	<i>Cl</i> 74 D'Ambros	SC 74.3 ia, John	Р 79 Force10 Ne	L 21 etworks	# 156
Comment Type 1 Implementors m SuggestedRemedy		<i>ment Status</i> X a different data width	o for 40GBASE-k	(R4.		4-1 only shows F	Comment Status X EC for 10GBASE-R. The c ial and multi-lane PHY. It sh		
Add the following Modify bullet f as	0				Suggester Add F		dification to show 40GBASE	-R and 100GB/	ASE-R layers as well.
		70 for 1000BASE-K Clause 84 for 40GE		10GBASE-KX4,	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	e Respo	onse Status O			C/ 83 D'Ambros	SC 83.1.4 ia, John	P 145 Force10 Ne	L 6 etworks	# <u>1</u> 57
C/ 73 SC 73 . D'Ambrosia, John	.2	P 73 Force10 Netv	L 7 vorks	# 154	Comment Aspec		Comment Status X ayering are incorrect.		
KR4 and 40GBA	reflects 1 Gb/s	ment Status X and 10 Gb/s, and d Gb/s for 100GBAS		40 Gb/s for 40GBASE-	PMA	I / CAUI should	l be marked as optional. 10:10) with optional notes a ional interface.	re actually cond	itional based on
SuggestedRemedy Add Fig 73-1 wit 40 Gb/s and 100		modification : show	location of auto-	negotation sublayer for	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	e Respo	onse Status O							
C/ 73 SC 50 D'Ambrosia, John Comment Type 1		P 73 Force10 Netv ment Status X	L 19 vorks	# 155					
lane for auto-neg		GBASE-KR4, CR4, a	and CR10 is not	indicated.					
SuggestedRemedy Add last paragra	aph of 73.3, as i	modified, per below:							
	-Negotiation ar	e lanes, then lane 0 nd for connection of		PHYs (e.g., 100BASE-					
Proposed Response	e Respo	onse Status O							

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 83 SC 83.1.1 P 143 L 21 # 158 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Force10 Networks Force10 Networks	C/ 87 SC 87.1 P 223 L 12 # 160 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks F
Comment Type T Comment Status X Per the baseline proposal, trowbridge_01_0708, PMA interfaces are abstract, logical, or physical.	Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 87-1 does not include reference to Annex 83A, XLAUI.
SuggestedRemedy Change wording Electrical and timing specifications for the XLAUI and CAUI interfaces based on 10Gb/s per lane signaling are covered in Annex 83A. The PMD service interfaces for 40GBASE- SR and 100GBASE-SR PMD are covered in 86.1.1. Other PMA interfaces are specified as	SuggestedRemedy add row for Annex 83A, XLAUI and mark optional. Proposed Response Response Status O
logical interfaces, and may not be realized physically.	C/ 88 SC 88.1 P 243 L 12 # 161 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Force10 Networks </td
The interfaces for the inputs of the 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R PCS's are defined in an abstract manner and do not imply any particular implementation. The PMD service interfaces for 40GBASE-SR and 100GBASE-SR PMDs are defined in 86.1.1. Other PMD service interfaces are defined logically. For 40GBASE-R PMA's, an interface, known as XLAUI, connecting PMA stages has been defined in Annex 83A. For 100GBASE-R PMA's, an interface, known as CAUI, connecting PMA stages has been defined in Annex 83A. <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status 0	Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 88-1 does not include reference to Annex 83A, CAUI. SuggestedRemedy add row for Annex 83A, CAUI and mark optional. Proposed Response Response Status O
abstract manner and do not imply any particular implementation. The PMD service interfaces for 40GBASE-SR and 100GBASE-SR PMDs are defined in 86.1.1. Other PMD service interfaces are defined logically. For 40GBASE-R PMA's, an interface, known as XLAUI, connecting PMA stages has been defined in Annex 83A. For 100GBASE-R PMA's, an interface, known as CAUI, connecting PMA stages has been defined in Annex 83A. <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status O	Table 88-1 does not include reference to Annex 83A, CAUI. SuggestedRemedy add row for Annex 83A, CAUI and mark optional.
abstract manner and do not imply any particular implementation. The PMD service interfaces for 40GBASE-SR and 100GBASE-SR PMDs are defined in 86.1.1. Other PMD service interfaces are defined logically. For 40GBASE-R PMA's, an interface, known as XLAUI, connecting PMA stages has been defined in Annex 83A. For 100GBASE-R PMA's, an interface, known as CAUI, connecting PMA stages has been defined in Annex 83A.	Table 88-1 does not include reference to Annex 83A, CAUI. SuggestedRemedy add row for Annex 83A, CAUI and mark optional. Proposed Response Response Status C/ 86 SC 86.9 P L # 162
abstract manner and do not imply any particular implementation. The PMD service interfaces for 40GBASE-SR and 100GBASE-SR PMDs are defined in 86.1.1. Other PMD service interfaces are defined logically. For 40GBASE-R PMA's, an interface, known as XLAUI, connecting PMA stages has been defined in Annex 83A. For 100GBASE-R PMA's, an interface, known as CAUI, connecting PMA stages has been defined in Annex 83A. For 100GBASE-R PMA's, an interface, known as CAUI, connecting PMA stages has been defined in Annex 83A.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusOCl 85SC 85.1P 171L 23#D'Ambrosia, JohnForce10 NetworksComment TypeTComment StatusX	Table 88-1 does not include reference to Annex 83A, CAUI. SuggestedRemedy add row for Annex 83A, CAUI and mark optional. Proposed Response Response Status C/ 86 SC 86.9 P L # 162 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Comment Type T Comment Status X the equations driving Figure 86-4 use variables that are TBD, therefore the figure should

# <u>163</u> BD.	being to <i>Suggestedi</i> test on	<i>Type</i> TR ction needs clau ested or are all o Remedy	Comment Status	10 Network: X iguous as to	o whether a	# 166
BD.	This se being te <i>Suggestedi</i> test on	ction needs clar ested or are all o Remedy	rification, as it is amb	iguous as to		single isolated lane is
		a single land be	asis, (joint) presentati	on to be pro	vided	
	Proposed F	Response	Response Status	0		
# 164	C/ 84 D'Ambrosia	SC 84.9	-	•••	L 8	# 167
15 regarding the ecommendation G.709 ny change to the coding	Informa intercol crossta crossta	ative interconnect nect characteri Ik requirements Ik was uncorrela	ct characteristics are stics for 40GBASE-k for 10GBASE-KR w ated. For a multilane	specified, "((R4 are proverse) ere specified	vided in Ani d under the	nex 69B." However, the assumption that all
	Suggested	Remedy				
15 of the statement					count corr	elated & uncorrelated
	Proposed F	Response	Response Status	0		
# 165 arough 72.7.2.5, which here are potential and cabling testing.						
	5 regarding the ecommendation G.709 by change to the coding 15 of the statement # 165 rough 72.7.2.5, which here are potential and cabling testing.	# 164 Cl 84 5 regarding the D'Ambrosia 5 regarding the Information comment The statement of the statement 15 of the statement Suggested in the costa of the statement # 165 165	 # 164 Cl 84 SC 84.9 D'Ambrosia, John Comment Type TR Informative interconnect characteric crosstalk requirements crosstalk requirements crosstalk was uncorrelated sources. 15 of the statement # 165 Trough 72.7.2.5, which here are potential and cabling testing. 	 # 164 Cl 84 SC 84.9 P1 D'Ambrosia, John Force Comment Type TR Comment Status Informative interconnect characteristics are interconnect characteristics for 40GBASE-KR w. crosstalk requirements for 10GBASE-KR w. crosstalk was uncorrelated. For a multilate and uncorrelated sources. SuggestedRemedy provide a multi-lane xtalk specification that is crosstalk sources. Presentation to be provi Proposed Response Response Status 	# 164 Cl 84 SC 84.9 P167 5 regarding the D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks ecommendation G.709 TR Comment Status X interconnect characteristics for 40GBASE-KR4 are processtalk requirements for 10GBASE-KR4 are processtalk was uncorrelated. For a multilane approach of and uncorrelated sources. SuggestedRemedy 15 of the statement provide a multi-lane xtalk specification that takes into accrosstalk sources. Presentation to be provided. # 165 Proposed Response Response Status O	# 164 Cl 84 SC 84.9 P167 L8 5 regarding the D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks 5 commendation G.709 TR Comment Status X Informative interconnect characteristics are specified, "Crosstalk requirements for 10GBASE-KR4 are provided in Americastalk requirements for 10GBASE-KR4 are provided in Americastalk was uncorrelated. For a multilane approach crosstalk will and uncorrelated sources. 15 of the statement SuggestedRemedy # 165 provide a multi-lane xtalk specification that takes into account correct crosstalk sources. Presentation to be provided. <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status O

Draft 1.0 Comments	i	IEEE P8	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s I	Ethernet co	mments		Task force Revie
C/ 85 SC 85.1 D'Ambrosia, John	P 171 Force10 Netwo	L 7 orks	# 168	Cl 83A D'Ambrosia	SC 83A.! a, John	<i>P</i> 280 Force10 Netwo	L 31 orks	# 170
In order to form a com appropriate sublayers optionally accessible t equivalent. SuggestedRemedy change noted sentence	Comment Status X at for the combination of sublayed applete PHY (Physical Layer dev (see Table 85–1) and with the through the management interface the the management interface ce to	ice), a PMD is management ace defined in	functions, which are Clause 45, or	labeled potent blocks <i>Suggested</i>	is an issue wit d "PMA." Whi ial to cause co <i>IRemedy</i> ce Fig 83A-1 w	Comment Status X th Fig 83A-1. The PMA blocks at le some may think this is just a na onfusion, as there are very differe with Fig 83-2, except only shadow <i>Response Status</i> O	aming nome nt functions	enclature, it does have th inherent in these PMA
	85–1) and with the managemer e management interface define <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 183			C/ 88 Alping, Arr Comment and Suggested	<i>Type</i> E Receive functi	P 246 Ericsson AB <i>Comment Status</i> X ions which convey (comma is m	L 44 nissing)	# 174
D'Ambrosia, John	Force10 Netwo		# 105	Chang	e to:and Re	eceive functions, which convey		
includes Rx interferen	Comment Status X receiver characteristics detailed ice tolerance testing specified ir ther a single isolated lane is be id.	72.7.2.1. Th	is is ambiguous, as it	Proposed i Cl 88 Alping, Arr	SC 4.5	Response Status O P 249 Ericsson AB	L 11	# [<u>1</u> 75
SuggestedRemedy test on a single lane b	pasis, (joint) presentation to be p	provided		Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X ct function (upper case letter for	r Signal Dete	ect)
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Suggested Chang Proposed	e to:of the S	SIGNAL_DETECT function Response Status 0		

Draft 1.0 Commen	ts	IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s Ethernet o	comments			Task force Review
Cl 88 SC Table Alping, Arne Comment Type E Transmitter and dis	88-7 P 251 Ericsson AB Comment Status X persion penalty, each lane (max)	L 24	# 176	Cl 83A SC 83A. Alping, Arne Comment Type ER is nominally 96.9	commen	P 283 Ericsson AB t Status X	L 8	# [180
SuggestedRemedy Cgange to: Transmi Proposed Response	itter and Dispersion Penalty (TDP <i>Response Status</i> 0		ax)	SuggestedRemedy Change to:is no (compare to, e.g., Proposed Response	ominally 96.9697 Table 85-4 on pag	DS		
Cl 88 SC 8.10 Alping, Arne Comment Type E jitter and RIN (n SuggestedRemedy Change to:jitter, a Proposed Response		L 43	# 177	Cl 83A SC Tabl Alping, Arne Comment Type ER 96.96969697 (too SuggestedRemedy Change to: 96.969	Commen many significant 7 ps		L 16	# [<u>181</u>
Cl 82 SC 2.8 Alping, Arne Comment Type ER has lots or transiti SuggestedRemedy Change to:has lo Proposed Response	P 125 Ericsson AB Comment Status X ions (spelling error) hts of transitions Response Status O	L 49	# <u>178</u>	(compare to, e.g., Proposed Response Cl 83A SC Tabl Alping, Arne Comment Type ER 96.96969697 (too SuggestedRemedy	Response	Status O P 286 Ericsson AB t Status X	L 34	# [<u>182</u>
SuggestedRemedy	P 259 Ericsson AB <i>Comment Status</i> X ne lane (spelling error) o separate the lane <i>Response Status</i> O	L 4	# <u>179</u>	Change to: 96.969 (compare to, e.g, Proposed Response	Table 85-4 on pa	ge 181) <i>Statu</i> s O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 182

Page 33 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:15 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Cl 88 SC 88.6.1 P 251 L 35 # 183 Cole, Chris Finisar Cole, Chris Finisar Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 88-7-100GBASE-L4A transmit characteristics Transmit eye mask spec to be specified as per eye mask methodology discussions." This specifically referred to using the results of the Statistical Eye data footnote which have now been formalized in the Statistical Eye data footnote which have now been formalized in the Statistical Eye data footnote which have now been formalized in the Statistical Eye data footnote which have now been formalized in the Statistical Eye data footnote which have now been formalized in the Statistical Eye data footnote which have now been formalized in the Statistical Eye data footnote which have now been formalized in the Statistical Eye data footnote which stated framsmitter Optical Waveform measurement procedure as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmit eye mask spec to be specified as per eye mask methodology discussions. This specification TBD can not be completed. Suggested/Remedy Replace TBD in Table 88-7.1 M 10GBASE-L Art 10GBASE-L M 10GBASE-L	e Reviev
Table 88-7-100GBASE-LR4 transmit characteristics Transmit eye mask definition (X1, X2, X3, Y1, Y2, Y3) TBD The adopted 100GBASE-LR4 baseline (cole_0_10208) also had a footnote which stated Tx yee mask spec to be specified as per eye mask methodology discussions. This specification TBD can not be completed. SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD in Table 88-7.100GBASE-LR4 transmit characteristics Transmit eye mask condinates as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmit eye mask condinates as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmit eye mask spec to 10GBASE-LR4 transmit characteristics SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD in Table 88-7.1 Proposed Response Comment Type Comment Status X Transmit eye mask spec to be specified as per eye mask methodology discussions, "This specification TBD can not be completed. SuggestedRemedy Response Status O C/1 88 SC 88.7.1 Proposed Response Response Status X Transmit eye mask definition (X1, X2, X3, Y1, Y2, Y3) TBD The adopted 100GBASE-ER4 transmit characteristics Transmit eye mask definition (X1, X2, X3, Y1, Y2, Y3) TBD The adopted 100GBASE-ER4 to specified as per eye mask methodology discussions, "This specification TBD can not be completed. SuggestedRemedy	35
Replace TBD in Table 88-7 with eye mask coordinates as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Waveform measurement procedure as in Clause 52 Section 52.9.7. Replace TBD in Table 87-7 with eye mask coordinates as in Clause 52, Table 52. Replace TBD in Table 88-7 with eye mask coordinates as in Clause 52 Section 52.9.7. Replace TBD in Table 87-7 with eye mask coordinates as in Clause 52, Section 52.9.7. Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, respectively. Replace TBD in Table 87-7 with eye mask coordinates as in Clause 52, Section 52.9.7. Proposed Response Response Status O O Cl 88 SC 88.7.1 P 254 L 33 # 184 Cole, Chris Finisar Comment Type Comment Status X O Table 88-11-100GBASE-ER4 transmit characteristics Transmitter Optical Waveform measurement procedure as in Clause 52. Replace TBD in Table 88-11.1 Nuth z, Z, X, Y,	have now
Transmitter Optical Waveform measurement procedure as in Clause 52 Section 52.9.7. Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, respectively. Proposed Response Response Status O Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, respectively. Proposed Response Response Status O Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, respectively. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 88 SC 88.7.1 P 254 L 33 # 184 Cole, Chris Finisar Comment Status X Cl 82 SC 82.2.8 P 125 L 24 # 184 Dale 88-11-100CBASE-ER4 transmit characteristics Transmit eye mask coeffinition (X1, X2, X3, Y1, Y2, Y3) TBD The adopted 100GBASE-ER4 baseline (cole_02_0708) also had a footnote which stated "X eye mask spec to be specified as per eye mask methodology discussions." This specifically referred to using the results of the Statistical Eye discussions, which have now been formalized in the Statistical Eye Ad Hoc. Since there is no final concensus recommendation from the Statistical Eye Ad Hoc. Since there is no final concensus recommendation from the Statistical Eye Ad Hoc, the specification TBD can not be completed. Also, the words "alignment" and 'de-skew' are redundant. SuggestedRemedy Add "lane reordering" and delete "alignment" in the	
Cl 88 SC 88.7.1 P 254 L 33 # 184 Cole, Chris Finisar Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 88-11-100GBASE-ER4 transmit characteristics Transmit eye mask definition (X1, X2, X3, Y1, Y2, Y3) TBD The adopted 100GBASE-ER4 baseline (cole_02_0708) also had a footnote which stated "X eye mask spec to be specified as per eye mask methodology discussions." This specifically referred to using the results of the Statistical Eye dd Hoc. Since there is no final concensus recommendation from the Statistical Eye Ad Hoc, the specification TBD can not be completed. SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD in Table 88-11 with eye mask coordinates as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Waveform measurement procedure as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Maveform measurement proceeding as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Maveform measurement procedure as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Maveform measurement proceeding as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Maveform measurement proceeding as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Maveform measurement proceeding as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Maveform measurement proceeding as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Maveform measurement proceeding as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Maveform measurement proceeding as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Maveform measurement proceeding as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Maveform measurement proceeding as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Maveform measurement proceeding as	52.9.7.
Cole, Chris Finisar Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 88-11-100GBASE-ER4 transmit characteristics Ixia Transmit eye mask definition {X1, X2, X3, Y1, Y2, Y3} TBD ER Comment Type ER Comment Status X The dopted 100GBASE-ER4 baseline (cole_02_0708) also had a footnote which stated "Tx eye mask spec to be specified as per eye mask methodology discussions." This specifically referred to using the results of the Statistical Eye discussions, which have now been formalized in the Statistical Eye Ad Hoc. Since there is no final concensus recommendation from the Statistical Eye Ad Hoc, the specification TBD can not be completed. Also, the words "alignment" and "de-skew" are redundant. SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD in Table 88-11 with eye mask coordinates as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Waveform measurement procedure as in Clause 52 Section 52.9.7. Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Add "lane reordering" and delete "alignment" in the sentence : "In order to support de-skew and lane reordering of individual lanes at the receive alignment markers are added periodically to each lane." SuggestedRemedy Add "lane reordering" and delete "alignment" in the sentence : "In order to support de-skew and lane reordering of individual lanes at the receive alignment markers are added periodically to each lane." Proposed Besponse Besponse	
Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 88-11-100GBASE-ER4 transmit characteristics Transmit eye mask definition {X1, X2, X3, Y1, Y2, Y3} TBD Baldwin, Thananya Ixia The adopted 100GBASE-ER4 baseline (cole_02_0708) also had a footnote which stated Transmit eye mask spec to be specified as per eye mask methodology discussions." This specifically referred to using the results of the Statistical Eye discussions, which have now been formalized in the Statistical Eye Ad Hoc. Since there is no final concensus recommendation from the Statistical Eye Ad Hoc, the specification TBD can not be completed. "In order to support alignment" and "de-skew" are redundant. SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD in Table 88-11 with eye mask coordinates as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Waveform measurement procedure as in Clause 52 Section 52.9.7. Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Promsed Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Status D	36
Table 88-11-100GBASE-ER4 transmit characteristics Transmit eye mask definition {X1, X2, X3, Y1, Y2, Y3} TBD The adopted 100GBASE-ER4 baseline (cole_02_0708) also had a footnote which stated "Tx eye mask spec to be specified as per eye mask methodology discussions." This specifically referred to using the results of the Statistical Eye discussions, which have now been formalized in the Statistical Eye Ad Hoc. Since there is no final concensus recommendation from the Statistical Eye Ad Hoc, the specification TBD can not be completed. SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD in Table 88-11 with eye mask coordinates as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Waveform measurement procedure as in Clause 52 Section 52.9.7. Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence,	
Transmit ever mask definition {X1, X2, X3, Y1, Y2, Y3} TBD The adopted 100GBASE-ER4 baseline (cole_02_0708) also had a footnote which stated "Tx ever mask spec to be specified as per ever mask methodology discussions." This specifically referred to using the results of the Statistical Eye discussions, which have now been formalized in the Statistical Eye Ad Hoc. Since there is no final concensus recommendation from the Statistical Eye Ad Hoc, the specification TBD can not be completed. SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD in Table 88-11 with ever mask coordinates as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Waveform measurement procedure as in Clause 52 Section 52.9.7. Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence,	
SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD in Table 88-11 with eye mask coordinates as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Waveform measurement procedure as in Clause 52 Section 52.9.7. Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-W, from second and third	-
Replace TBD in Table 88-11 with eye mask coordinates as in Clause 52, Table 52.12. Add Transmitter Optical Waveform measurement procedure as in Clause 52 Section 52.9.7. Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence, Remove references to 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-W, from second and third sentence,	
	e PCS,
respectively.	
Proposed Response Response Status O	

Draft 1.0 (Comments
-------------	----------

Ixia		# 189
atch one of the encod	rx_coded is a va lings in Table 82	alid alignment marker. 2–2 and it will be ker to expect on which
natch one of the encod	lings in Table 82	alid alignment marker. <i>F</i> 2 and it will be ker to expect on which
sponse Status O		
Ixia omment Status X ck diagram ng function in the rx pat eorder" after "Alignmen re the PCS Receive blo sponse Status O	ent Lock Lande D	Jeskew" block.
nent marker lock state n to Mark G	L 21 e diagram, it app	# 191
ar	comment Status X	Comment Status X Inment marker lock state diagram, it app , am to Mark G

Draft 1.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s					and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments				
Cl 82 SC Baldwin, Thananya	P Ixia	L	# 192	<i>Cl</i> 82 Baldwin, ⊺	SC 82.1.3.3 Thananya	Р 115 Ixia	L 21	# 195	
Comment Type T "UCT" appears in Figu document.	Comment Status X re 82–12—PCS lane lock stat	e diagram but r	not defined in the		s incorrect:	Comment Status X	r		
SuggestedRemedy Define UCT and list it i	n the Abbreviations section.				should read:	ium Dependent (PMD) sublaye	r		
Proposed Response	Response Status O				Response	Response Status O			
C/ 82 SC 82.2.4.3 Baldwin, Thananya	P 119 Ixia	L 34	# 193	<i>C</i> / 83A Mezer, Ar	SC 83A.1 nir	P 281 Intel	L	# [<u>196</u>	
-	Comment Status X Insmit bit ordering has "0 0 0"	between the co	olumns. Should be ""	Comment The X	51	<i>Comment Status</i> X ification is such that:			
SuggestedRemedy Replace "0 0 0" with "				b. The	e channel is norn			t.	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			The q Whos	uestion is:	int is defined right at the receiver in sit to ensure that the receiver in not definition."2	•	the specification defined	
C/ 82 SC 82.2.4.3 Baldwin, Thananya	<i>P</i> 120 Ixia	L 34	# 194	There the sy	e may be a situati /stem does not w	on where each of the compone		he spec. requirements but	
Comment Type E Figure 82–4—PCS Re	Comment Status X ceive bit ordering has "0 0 0" I	between the col	lumns. Should be ""	2. The 3. The	e channel meets e receiver operat	its specifications. es flawlessly with the input sign t receiver input Of transmitter+(al as define		
SuggestedRemedy Replace "0 0 0" with "				actua S <i>uggeste</i>		be different and the system will	not work.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Leave Chan If the receiv In ado	e the normative c ge the transmit te transmitter meet ver.	hannel requirements. est point so that it is tested at th s the requirements, this will ens tranmitter spec. requirements a I definition".	sure a minin	nal input signal for the	
				Proposed	Response	Response Status O			

Draft 1.0 Comments	ft 1.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments				Task force Review		
	P 159 ZTE Corporation	L 14	# 197	C/ 82 SC 82.2.8 Marris, Arthur	P 125 Cadence	L 49	# 200
Comment Type E In table 84-1, Change the 2nd colum	Comment Status X)GBASE-KR4"		Comment Type E change "lots or" to "m SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X nany"		
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response	Response Status O			as above Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
	P 171 ZTE Corporation	L 35	# [198	C/ 83 SC 83.2 Marris, Arthur	P 148 Cadence	L 44	# 201
Comment Type E Change "XLGMII" to "(also in line 36 the same change	Comment Status X			Comment Type E change isin to "is in". SuggestedRemedy As above Proposed Response	Comment Status X Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response	Response Status O			<i>Cl</i> 82 SC 82.2.4.4 Marris, Arthur	P 122 Cadence	L7	# 202
C/ 83A SC 83A.4.3	P 291 ANRITSU	L 28	# 199	Comment Type T Figure 82-5 improven	Comment Status X		
	Comment Status X d be tested under the worst cond should be executed with MLD pa			SuggestedRemedy Remove the slash (/) D3/D4 to D3 D4.	in the middle of the block fora	mt description.	For example change
SuggestedRemedy				Delete redundant row	with block type field 0x4b		
So jitter tolerance test	should be executed with MLD pa	ttern not PRBS.		Width of C5, C6 and	C7 is wrong for block type files	s 0xcc 0xd2 0xe	e1
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		

Draft 1.0 Comments	2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Etherr	net com	ments		Task force Review		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.8 Marris, Arthur	P 126 Cadence	L 32	# 203	<i>Cl</i> 85 Marris, Ar		85.7.4	P 178 Cadence	L 54	# 206
Comment Type T Use of boolean NOT op negation?	Comment Status X perator. Is the use of the bool	ean operator ! a	opropriate for bit vector		ge "1 or		Comment Status X e or zero" to match nomenclat	ure in 45.2.1.9	.5
SuggestedRemedy Consider changing M0 Proposed Response	= !M4 to M4 is the inverse of Response Status O	M0 etc.		Suggeste as ab Proposed	ove		Response Status O		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.12 Marris, Arthur	P 128 Cadence	L 30	# 204	C/ 87 Chung, H ⁱ		87.13 ok	<i>P</i> 239 ETRI	L 15	# 207
Comment Type T Inappropriate use of the SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X			Comment In Tal Suggeste	ole 87-1:		Comment Status X pose DGD_max characteristic	s as "10 ps"	
Change "must reorder"	' to "reorders".			The	datails o	f DGD_m	ax for 40GBASE-LR4 will be	presented in No	ovember plenary.
Also similar problem or	n line 34 but in this case cons	ider using shall.		Proposed	Respon	ise	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O								
C/ 84 SC 84.7.4	P 165	L2	# 205	<i>Cl</i> 88 Chung, H		88-17 ok	<i>P</i> 262 ETRI	L 15	# 208
Marris, Arthur Comment Type T	Cadence Comment Status X		·		ble 87-17		Comment Status X pose DGD_max characteristic 6 ps", respectively.	s for 100GBAS	E-LR4 and 100GBASE-
Change "1 or 0" to "one SuggestedRemedy as above	e or zero" to match nomencla	ture in 45.2.1.9.5	5			DGD_m	ax for 100GBASE-LR4 and 10	0GBASE-ER4	will be presented in
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed		•	Response Status O		

Draft 1.0 Comments	IE	EE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s Ethernet co	Task force Review	
C/ 86 SC 9 Mellitz, Richard	P 217 L Intel Corporation	28 # <u>209</u>	Cl 83A SC 3.3.3 Mellitz, Richard	P 285 L 1 Intel Corporation	# 212
Avoid s-parameter designa 5 SuggestedRemedy Use A for attenuation.	Comment Status X ations and keep loss definition co Response Status O	nsistent in document. Figure 86-	Comment Type ER Avoid s-parameter of SuggestedRemedy Make loss positive of Proposed Response	Comment Status X designations and keep loss definition consiste dB in Figure 83a-4 Response Status O	ent in document.
		1 # 2 <u>10</u> Insistent in document. Figure 86-	Cl 83A SC 3.4.5 Mellitz, Richard Comment Type ER Avoid s-parameter of SuggestedRemedy Make loss positive of Proposed Response	P 288 L 16 Intel Corporation Comment Status X designations and keep loss definition consiste dB in Figure 83a-7 Response Status O	# 213
Proposed Response R	Response Status O		C/ 83A SC 4.1 Mellitz, Richard	P 290 L 11 Intel Corporation	# 214
<i>Cl</i> 83A <i>SC</i> 83A.3.3.3 Mellitz, Richard	P 284 L Intel Corporation	37 # 211	Comment Type ER Avoid s-parameter of	Comment Status X designations and keep loss definition consiste	ent in document.
Avoid s-parameter designa	Comment Status X ations and keep loss definition co	nsistent in document.	SuggestedRemedy Make similar to Ann		
SuggestedRemedy Make loss positive dB			Proposed Response	Response Status 0	
Proposed Response R	Response Status O				

Draft 1.0 Comments	IEEE P80)2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet corr	nments			Task force Review
C/ 83A SC 3.3 P 283 Mellitz, Richard Intel Corpora	L 11 tion	# 215	<i>Cl</i> 83A Mellitz, Ric	SC 2.2 chard		P 282 Intel Corporat	L 11 tion	# 218
Comment Type T Comment Status X Jitter not consistent with electrical characteristics o	f 10GBASE-KR/ 4	40GBASE-KR	<i>Comment</i> Its not	• •		nt Status X Rx compliance	testing without c	letails of context.
SuggestedRemedy Add:			Suggested Define	•	d coordinate	test point throug	h out document	
Max output jitter (peak-to-peak) Random jitter Deterministic jitter			Proposed	Response	Response	e Status O		
Duty Cycle Distortion			C/ 45	SC Table 45	5-96a	P 57	L 1	# 219
Proposed Response Response Status O			Gustlin, Ma	ark		Cisco		
	/ 05	" [242	Comment Table	••		nt Status X 1" since there are	e register 2,3 et	c
X SC 83A.3.4 P 286 Intel Corpora Intel Corpora	L 25 tion	# 216	Table	45–96a—Multi-I	ane BASE-R	PCS alignment	status register b	bit definitions
Comment Type T Comment Status X Receiver compliance not consistent with electrical of 40GBASE-KR	characteristics of	10GBASE-KR/	Suggested Chang	dRemedy ge it to:		Ĵ	Ū	
SuggestedRemedy Use section 69A (Interference tolerance testing)			"Table Proposed			R PCS alignment e Status O	status register	1 bit definitions"
Proposed Response Response Status O								
2/83A SC 4 P 290	L7	# 047	C/ 45 Gustlin, Ma	SC 45.2.3.1 ark	5	P 55 Cisco	L 18	# 220
C/ 83A SC 4 P 290 Mellitz, Richard Intel Corpora		# 217	Comment Currer	<i>Type</i> ER ntly it says:	Commer	nt Status X		
Comment Type T Comment Status X Interconnect definetion not consistent with electrica	l characteristics of	of 10GBASE-KR/			nodology is de	escribed in 49.2.	8"	
40GBASE-KR Annex 69b.			But thi	is should also re	efer to clause	82 for 40/100G.		
uggestedRemedy Utilize style of IL, A, ILD, RL, and ICR in Annex 69t) if parameters ar	e applicable.	Suggested	dRemedy				
			Chang	ne to:				
Proposed Response Response Status			e nang	<i>je</i> te:				
Proposed Response Response Status O			"The te		nodology is de	escribed in 49.2.	8 for 10 Gb/s ar	nd in 82.2.10 for

Page 40 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:16 PM

C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.16	P 56	L 1	# 221	CI 74 SC	C 74.4.2	P 79	L 41	# 222
Gustlin, Mar	rk	Cisco			Gustlin, Mark		Cisco		
Comment T	ype ER	Comment Status X			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X		
	ame is incorrect	, should include 40/100.			Subclause to our PCS	· ·	n is not part of our D1.0) need	ds to be changed	to enable it to hook up
ls: Table 4	5–95—10GBAS	E-R PCS test-pattern error c	ounter register	bit definitions	10 OUT PC3		sublayers.		
SuggestedF	Remedv		Ū				rimitives for the FEC clause	(based on the 16	bit wide parallel bus):
Change	-				FEC (clause a) FEC, UN		ives: quest(tx_data-group<15:0>)		
		0GBASE-R PCS test-pattern	error counter i	register bit definitions			dication(rx_data-group<15:0)	>)	
Proposed R	Response	Response Status O			c) FEC_SIC	SNAL.indica	ation(SIGNAL_OK)		
					Right now the PCS:	his clause	won't hook up to the PCS or	PMA clause. Rig	ht now for the 40/100G
							iestx (x = 0-3 for 40GBASE-F _SIGNAL.indication	R) PMA_UNITDA	TA.indicatex ($x = 0-3$
					We need to PCS/PMA.	add the co	prrect primitives to the FEC c	lause so it hooks	s up to the 40/100G
					SuggestedRem	edy			
					To hook up For 40GBA PMA_UNIT	R and 1000 to the PCS SE-R the p DATA.requ DATA.indio	GBASE-R run one instance of S or PMA sublayers, the follo rimitives are: lestx (x = 0-3) catex (x = 0-3) on	,	
					For 100GB/	ASE-R the	primitives are:		

Draft 1.0 Comments

For 100GBASE-R the primitives are: $PMA_UNITDATA.requestx (x = 0.19)$ $PMA_UNITDATA.indicatex (x = 0-3)$ PMA_SIGNAL.indication

Proposed Response Response Status 0 Task force Review

	2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s Ethernet comments						Task force Review	
81 SC 81.3.5 P 110 Istlin, Mark Cisco	L 51	# 223	Cl 82 Gustlin, Ma		82.1.6	(P 116 Cisco	L 44	# 225
mment Type TR Comment Status X Remove the following:			Comment Remov		TR	Comment Si	atus X		
"81.3.5 PCS MDIO function mapping [Editor's note (to be removed prior to publication) - In:	sert MDIO/MII v	ariable mapping"	to be re	econcil			oublication) -	The primitive d	escriptions below need
Clause 81 has no function mapping.			Anothe clause		ment has	been added to o	clause 74 to n	nake the chang	es so it can connect to
ggestedRemedy			Suggested	IRemed	dy				
oposed Response Response Status O			Proposed I	Respor	nse	Response St	atus O		
82 SC 82.1.6 <i>P</i> 116 Istlin, Mark Cisco	L 6	# 224	<i>Cl</i> 82 Gustlin, Ma		82.2.17.3		P 134 Cisco	L 1	# 226
In figure 82-2 there is a box around the encode and s transmit. Enlarge this box to incorporate the block dis Also enlarge the box labeled PCS recieve in include t lane block lock blocks.	tribution and ali	ngment insertion also.	Comment The PC the FE	<i>Type</i> CS lane C blocl	k marks e			perly with the F	EC block due to how
Historically these boxes tried to include what was par but it was not clear and confuses the issue.	t of what state n	nachine in clause 49,		the cha			e to implemer	nt what is in gu	stlin_01_1108. This will
ggestedRemedy									
oposed Response Response Status O			change bad to	r's note e some ensure	e (to be re	ate machines si			ock marking will likely ed to mark many blocks
			Proposed I	Respor	nse	Response St	atus O		

C/ 74 SC 74.7.	.4.5 P 80) L2	2	# 227	C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.11		^D 52	L 9	# 229
ustlin, Mark	Cisco				Gustlin, Ma	ark	Cis	SCO		
comment Type TR	Comment Status	x			Comment	Type TR	Comment Stat	us X		
	00G will have similar beha FEC blocks, change 40G ad).				100/40	GBASE-R these				3S9 test pattern, but fo PCS (and there can be
uggestedRemedy					Suggested	Remedy				
marks every secor	le lane PHY marks every { nd d the twenty PCS-lane PH						100/40GBASE-R ters for this function		terns are in the P	MA, and add the
					This al	so has to be cor	rrected in table 45-	94.		
PHYs marks every	e PHY marks every 8th 64 y 64B/66B block.	B/66B block, th	e four and t	wenty PCS-lane	Proposed I	Response	Response State	ıs O		
Make the same ch Proposed Response	nange on line 31 of the sar	1 0			C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.13	3	⊳54	L 37	# 230
Toposed Response	Response Status	0			Gustlin, Ma	ark	Cis	SCO		
					•		0			
					Comment	Type TR	Comment Stat	us X		
	.21 P1: Cisco	5 L3	35	# 228	In 100/	51	e pseudo random		n is just sending i	dles scrambled, so
ustlin, Mark	Cisco		35	# 228	In 100/	40GBASE-R the are no seed patte	e pseudo random		n is just sending i	idles scrambled, so
Gustlin, Mark Comment Type TR Remove this subcl	Cisco Comment Status lause. And remove the ed	X tors note saying			/In 100 there a <i>Suggested</i>	40GBASE-R the are no seed patte Remedy	e pseudo random	test patter	, ,	dles scrambled, so
Gustlin, Mark Comment Type TR Remove this subcl section since this i	Cisco Comment Status	X tors note saying			/In 100 there a <i>Suggested</i>	40GBASE-R the are no seed patte <i>Remedy</i> ve the additions	e pseudo random erns needed.	test patter	, ,	idles scrambled, so
Gustlin, Mark Comment Type TR Remove this subcl	Cisco Comment Status lause. And remove the ed is being put in section 82.2	X tors note saying			In 100/ there a <i>Suggested</i> Remov	40GBASE-R the are no seed patte <i>Remedy</i> ve the additions	e pseudo random e erns needed. of 100/40GBSE-R	test patter	, ,	
Gustlin, Mark Comment Type TR Remove this subcl section since this i SuggestedRemedy Remove section 82	Cisco Comment Status lause. And remove the ed is being put in section 82.2	X tors note saying 2.18.			In 100/ there a <i>Suggested</i> Remov	40GBASE-R the are no seed patte Remedy ve the additions Response SC 83.6.7	e pseudo random f erns needed. of 100/40GBSE-R <i>Response State</i>	to this reg us O	, ,	dles scrambled, so # [231
Comment Type TR Remove this subcl section since this i SuggestedRemedy Remove section 82	Cisco Comment Status lause. And remove the ed is being put in section 82.2 2.2.21.	X tors note saying 2.18.			In 100/ there a Suggested Remov Proposed I C/ 83 Gustlin, Ma Comment	40GBASE-R the are no seed patter Remedy ve the additions Response SC 83.6.7 ark Type TR	e pseudo random e erns needed. of 100/40GBSE-R <i>Response State</i> Cis <i>Comment Stat</i>	to this reg us O P155 sco us X	gister.	
Comment Type TR Remove this subcl section since this i SuggestedRemedy Remove section 82	Cisco Comment Status lause. And remove the ed is being put in section 82.2 2.2.21.	X tors note saying 2.18.			In 100/ there a Suggested Remov Proposed I C/ 83 Gustlin, Ma Comment	440GBASE-R the are no seed patter <i>Remedy</i> ve the additions <i>Response</i> SC 83.6.7 ark <i>Type</i> TR Illy adopt the test	e pseudo random e erns needed. of 100/40GBSE-R <i>Response State</i> Cis <i>Comment Stat</i>	to this reg us O P155 sco us X	gister.	# [231
Gustlin, Mark Comment Type TR Remove this subcl section since this i SuggestedRemedy	Cisco Comment Status lause. And remove the ed is being put in section 82.2 2.2.21.	X tors note saying 2.18.			In 100/ there a Suggested Remov Proposed I C/ 83 Gustlin, Ma Comment Official Suggested Remov "[Edito patterm	440GBASE-R the are no seed patter (Remedy ve the additions Response SC 83.6.7 ark Type TR Illy adopt the test (Remedy ve: r's Note (to be re as - the following	e pseudo random f erns needed. of 100/40GBSE-R <i>Response State</i> Cis <i>Comment Stat</i> t pattern strategy t emoved prior to pu	to this reg us O P155 sco us X hat is des ublication):	gister. <i>L</i> 25 cribed here. Dele	# [231

	.7 <i>P</i> 155	L 38	# 232	-	SC 45.2.3.18	a P 59	L	# 235
Sustlin, Mark	Cisco			Gustlin, Mark		Cisco		
Comment Type TF				Comment Typ		Comment Status X		
Add in support for	a PRBS9 pattern.					s are numbered incorrectly, t sed 3.50.x already.	hey should all be	e 3.51.x vs. 3.50 since
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRe	•	seu 5.50.X alleauy.		
	ansmit PRBS31 test pattern (see g., PRBS9 (see 68.6.1) be include				o 3.51.x in this	s table.		
	on each of its output lanes."	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u>j</u>	Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status O		
	nit PRBS31 test pattern (see 49.2.							
	on each of its output lanes. When as a PRBS31 pattern on each of it		test pattern is enabled,	C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.20	a P63	L 5	# 236
0	·	·		Gustlin. Mark	00 4J.2.3.20	a 705 Cisco	<i>L</i> J	# 230
	here else in the clause where it is	appropriate the s	upport for the PRBS9.	Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
roposed Response	Response Status O			In table 4	5-99a, the bits	s are numbered incorrectly, t 3.50.x already.	hey should all be	e 3.53.x vs. 3.50 since
82 SC 82.2	.18 <i>P</i> 134	L8	# 233	SuggestedRe	medy			
Gustlin, Mark	Cisco			Change th	ne numbering	to 3.53.x		
Comment Type TF Change the formation table based formation	at of the PCS management clause	e with one consist	ent with the lastest	Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 81	SC 81.3.4	P 108	L 22	# 237
	e 82.2.18 with the attached docur	ment (gustlin 82	2 18.pdf).	Gustlin, Mark		Cisco		
roposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Typ	oe TR	Comment Status X		
				Remove	nata (ta ha ra	mound prior to publication)	The behavior d	aaribad balaw daaa a
				allow unic		moved prior to publication) -		escribed below does no
C/ 45 SC 45.2		L 54	# 234	operation]"			
ustlin, Mark	Cisco			The beha	vior does not	allow unidirectional operatio	n which is what i	s intended.
_				SuggestedRe		,		
	Jause 45 2 3 2 2 PCS recieve lin				,			
In clause 45, sub paragraph talks a	bout 10GBASE-R using this bit as e same for 40/100GBASE-R.	s a latching low ve	0.02.12.	Pronosad Pag	snonse	Response Status		
In clause 45, sub paragraph talks a This should be the	bout 10GBASE-R using this bit as	s a latching low vi	5151011 01 bit 3.32.12.	Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status O		
In clause 45, subo paragraph talks a This should be the SuggestedRemedy	bout 10GBASE-R using this bit as	s a latening low vi		Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status O		

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

	P 287	L 8	# 238	Cl 80	SC 80.3		P 89	L 54	# 240
Gustlin, Mark	Cisco			Gustlin, Ma	ark		Cisco		
Comment Type TR Comment S	tatus X			Comment	Type TR	Comment S	tatus X		
Currently the BER target is TBD. Char 10-12, but if you have two CAUI/XLAU BER of 10-12, you won't meet the ove interface which typically requires a hig and achievable target.	JI interfaces in se erall goal of 10-12	eries with a PM 2. In addition th	D interface, all with a is a chip to chip	would l clause	be good to ad as well as pu PMD etc).	d in a table and so	ome backgrou	nd on the skew of	eems to me that it constraints in this propriate clause (PCS,
uggestedRemedy					a section bas	ed on the attache	d presentatior	i into clause 80 a	and other appropriate
Change: "The receiver shall operate w reference input signal as defined in 83A.3.4.2"	ith a BER of bet	ter than TBD ir	n the presence of a	Proposed I		Response St	tatus O		
To: "The receiver shall operate with a BEF input signal as	२ of better than 1	0^-15 in the pr	esence of a reference	C/ 73 Meyer, Jeff	SC 73.10.2		P 77 Centellax	<i>L</i> 1	# 241
defined in 83A.3.4.2"				Comment	Туре Е	Comment S	tatus X		
roposed Response Response St	tatus O			wait"	. The senter	mmar comment fo ace should begin w appears on line 9.			amount of time to or the amount of time t
/ 74 SC 74.4.2 ustlin, Mark	P 79 Cisco	L 41	# 239	Suggested Begin t	-	with an article like	"The".		
omment Type TR Comment S Today in clause 74, subclause 74.5.3	it describes the			Proposed I	Response	Response St	tatus O		
This states if the FFC reasons is in les		icross a XLAUI	or CAUI interface	CI 82	SC 82.2.2		P 136 Centellax	L 27	# 242
This states if the FEC recieve is in loc interface, but for 40/100GbE the FEC from the PCS. It would be better if we	defined the beha			Meyer, Jeff	icy		Ochichax		
interface, but for 40/100GbE the FEC from the PCS. It would be better if we case where we just have the XLAUI or	defined the beha			Meyer, Jeff Comment		Comment S			
interface, but for 40/100GbE the FEC from the PCS. It would be better if we case where we just have the XLAUI or	defined the beha r CAUI i/f betwee as sending the ra he FEC block loo	en the PCS and aw unsynchroni ck restoring the	I FEC block. zed bit stream to the 66b blocks, the	Comment What o AND? your co	<i>Type</i> E does the "*" ir However mo ponventions for	<i>Comment S</i> the conditional st pst people use a &	tatus X tatements me or && from w ns. I did see v	hat i have seen. /here the ++ ope	at this is a boolean You might explain rator was explained
interface, but for 40/100GbE the FEC from the PCS. It would be better if we case where we just have the XLAUI or uggestedRemedy Define the FEC loss of lock behavior a PCS. Without FEC lock, and without th	defined the beha r CAUI i/f betwee as sending the ra he FEC block loo ock which is wha	en the PCS and aw unsynchroni ck restoring the	I FEC block. zed bit stream to the 66b blocks, the	Comment What o AND? your co	<i>Type</i> E does the "*" ir However mo proventions for in the docume	<i>Comment S</i> the conditional si st people use a & the state diagram	tatus X tatements me or && from w ns. I did see v	hat i have seen. /here the ++ ope	You might explain
interface, but for 40/100GbE the FEC from the PCS. It would be better if we case where we just have the XLAUI or uggestedRemedy Define the FEC loss of lock behavior a PCS. Without FEC lock, and without th recieve PCS will be down and out of lo	defined the beha r CAUI i/f betwee as sending the ra he FEC block loo ock which is wha	en the PCS and aw unsynchroni ck restoring the	I FEC block. zed bit stream to the 66b blocks, the	Comment What of AND? your co earlier Suggested Add a	Type E does the "*" ir However mo prventions for in the docume Remedy footnote for th	Comment S in the conditional si ost people use a & the state diagram ent. Maybe "*" wa	tatus X tatements me or && from w is. I did see v is explained a explain the "*"	hat i have seen. /here the ++ ope nd I missed it.	You might explain

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Meyer, Jeffrey Centellax Trowbridge, Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type	e, Stephen Alcatel-Lucent
Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Ty	
	Type T Comment Status X
and FPGA vendor cores for the ITU-T V.29 PRBS7 with 1+x^6+x^7 polynomial. It is table? N	I "96 bits" entry for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s include reference to "NOTE 7" below the Note 7 explains that this could be as little as 8 bits in the Rx direction
shorter and quicker to see ISI evolving on a sampling scope. SuggestedR	Remedy
SuggestedRemedy Include r Use the ITU-T V.29 PRBS polynomial	e reference to Note 7 in this table cell
Proposed Re	Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	
C/ 82	SC 82.2.4 P 122 L 12 # 247
C/ 85 SC 85.9.2 P185 L 15 # 244 Trowbridge,	e, Stephen Alcatel-Lucent
Meyer, Jeffrey Centellax Comment Ty	Type TR Comment Status X
you need a constant term for the DC loss. 40G or 1 SuggestedRemedy bytes are	o future use of the Ethernet PCS format, for example if FC in the future were to do a r 100G spec. Since the sequence ordered set only has two values (LF and RF), three are plenty- we don't need 7 bytes.
Insertion loss (d) <= TBD + TBD * sqrt(f) + TBD * f SuggestedR	
Proposed Response Response Status O assumin the latter code 0x5	type 4b should explicitly include the "O" code as in Figure 49-7 (rather than ing a sequence ordered set) and four control characters (always idles in this case) in the half of the 66B block. An alternate solution would be to have 802.3ba use control 1x55 rather than 0x4b and simply send the ordered set which appears once on the ce on the PCS.
Meyer, Jeffrey Centellax Proposed Re	Response Response Status O
Comment Type T Comment Status X In equation (85-6) the power of the NEXT loss is denoted NL(f)i. This is poor notation. Subscripts should not appear after function arguments.	
SuggestedRemedy More appropriate notation would be NLi(f).	

Draft 1.0 Comments	,	IEEE P80)2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s Ethernet co	mments		Task force Review
C/ 01 SC 1.3 Trowbridge, Stephen	P 22 Alcatel-Lucent	L 41	# 248	C/ 82 SC 2.17.2. Estes, Dave	2 <i>P</i> 130 UNH - IOL	L 43	# 251
Comment Type TR Add reference to ITU- for the 40GBASE-LR4	Comment Status X T Recommendation G.694.2 (C) 4 interface	WDM grid) as t	this is now necessary	Comment Type T The spacing of align SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ment markers is incorrectly state	d as 16385 ins	tead of 16384.
SuggestedRemedy				Change 16385 to 16	384		
Add: ITU-T Recommendati wavelength grid	on G.694.2, 2003, Spectral grids	s for WDM app	lications: CWDM	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
after reference to G.6	94.1			CI 82 SC 2.17.2.	2 P 131	L 29	# 252
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Estes, Dave	UNH - IOL		
				Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
C/ 82 SC 2.8 Estes, Dave	<i>P</i> 125 UNH - IOL	L 23	# 249	lock state diagram to	defined similarly to test_sh which o run on every received 66-bit blo es for valid alignment markers.		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			SuggestedRemedy	-		
It is unclear how the A SuggestedRemedy	Alignment markers are inserted v	without changir	ng the PMA clock rate.		s set to true when the Lane desk the PMA to evaluate the next al		
Insert a note indication The number of column	g that columns of Idle will need t ns to delete will be an average o s is just an average since the ali	of 1 column of I	dle for every 16384 MII	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
all lanes at the same		grimerit marke		C/ 82 SC 2.17.2.	4 P 133	L 3	# 253
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Estes, Dave	UNH - IOL		
			" []	Comment Type T am_cnt is currently v	Comment Status X written to use the last 4 block rec	eived.	
C/ 82 SC 2.8 Estes, Dave	<i>P</i> 125 UNH - IOL	L 49	# 250	SuggestedRemedy	n to use a "4*16384 block windo	o/"	
Comment Type E Typo, "or" instead of "	Comment Status X			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy		of transitiona"					
Change "and has lots	or transitions" to "and has lots o	Ji transitions					

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 82 SC 82.2.17.2.4 P 133 L 5 # 254 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL	C/ 82 SC 2.17.3 P 139 L 35 # 257 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL UNH - IOL IOL
Comment Type T Comment Status X am_invalid_cnt is currently written to use a 4 block window.	Comment Type T Comment Status X Figure 82-15 - BER monitor state diagram
SuggestedRemedy Change the definition to use a "4*16384 block window"	The sentence "xus_timer = 31.25 usec for 40GBASE-R or 12.5 usec for 100GBASE-R" is not necessary if xus_timer is defined in subclause 82.2.17.2.5. This sentence does not fully define the timer because it does not include the +1%/-25% tolerance.
Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Remove this sentence.
C/ 82 SC 2.17.2.5 P 133 L 19 # 255 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type T Comment Status X 31.25us_timer and 12.5us_timer are not referenced by the BER monitor state diagram.	C/ 82 SC 2.17.3 P 137 L # 258 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL
Remove 31.25us_timer and 12.5us_time and define xus_timer as "Timer that is triggered every 31.25 us +1%, -25% (for 40GBASE-R) or 12.5 us +1%, -25% (for 100GBASE-R)"	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Figure 82-13 - PCS alignment marker lock state diagram.
Proposed Response Response Status O	There is no valid exit from state INVALID_AM if am_lock <x> = false and am_invalid_coun < 4.</x>
C/ 82 SC 2.17.3 P 138 L # 256 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL	SuggestedRemedy Remove am_lock <x> from the exit condition to transition from state INVALID_AM to TEST_AM, making the exit condition "test_am * am_cnt < 4 * am_invalid_cnt < 4".</x>
Comment Type T Comment Status X Figure 82-14 - PCS deskew state diagram	Proposed Response Response Status O
Using "am_status" as an exit condition from state LOSS_OF_ALIGNMENT is redundant. It is redundant because !am_status is a global transition to the same state.	C/ 82 SC 2.4.3 P 122 L # 259 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL UNH - IOL UNH - IOL IOL
SuggestedRemedy Change the exit condition from LOSS_OF_ALIGNMENT to ALIGN_ACQUIRED to "alignment_valid"	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Figure 82-5 - 64B/66B block formats
Proposed Response Response Status O	The Block Payload descriptions for block types 0xb4, 0xcc, 0xd2, and 0xe1 are incorrect. They do not include enough single bit fields. 0xb4 should have 4 but only 3 are displayed, 0xcc should have 3 but only 2 are displayed, 0xd2 should have 2 but only 1 is displayed, 0xe1 should have 1 but none are displayed.
	SuggestedRemedy
	Add one single bit field to the Block Payload descriptions for block types 0xb4, 0xcc, 0xd2 and 0xe1.

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Task force Review

C/ 86 SC 1 Vanderlaan, Paul	<i>P</i> 199 Nexans	L 16	# 260	C/ 82 SC Healey, Adam	82.2.4.5	P 123 LSI Corporation	L 37	# 263
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X		
Change from: Table 86-1				It may be use encoding def		t out that sequence and signal use 49.	ordered set e	ncoding differs from the
Type A1a.2a (50/125 ìm	n multimode) "OM3"			SuggestedReme	dy			
SuggestedRemedy				Add a note to	b highlight	this difference.		
Change to: Table 86-1 Type A1a.2a (50/125 ìm	n multimode) "OM3 or better"			Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status O		
Indicates higher perform	ning fibers will be suitable			CI 82 SC	82.2.4.3	P 122	L 12	# 264
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Healey, Adam		LSI Corporation		
C/ 86 SC 6.6 Vanderlaan, Paul	<i>P</i> 212 Nexans	L 26	# 261	sequence or	dered set a	Comment Status X be made more clear which con- and which corresponds to a sign ce to Table 82-1.		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			SuggestedReme	dy			
Change From				Add a footno	te to the ta	ble distinguishing the two orde	red set block	formats.
"Effective modal bandwi	idth at 850 nm"			Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy								
Change to: "Minimum Effective mod	dal bandwidth at 850 nm"			CI 82 SC	82.2.5	P 124	L 9	# 265
Indicates higher perform	ning fibers will be suitable			Healey, Adam		LSI Corporation		-
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X		
	P 123	L 37	# 262	language to 4	48.4.2.3. A	correct but could be compacted lot of words are used to describ houldn't be necessary for a use	be the conce	ot of traversing clock
Healey, Adam	LSI Corporation	-	# 202	SuggestedReme	dy			
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Suggest:				
It is not necessary to ha the clause heirarchy.	we two sub-clauses addressin	g ordered sets	at the same level in	transmission	of alignme	nust delete idles or sequence of the markers. If the PCS transmi	t process spa	ins multiple clock
SuggestedRemedy	2.4.10 and 82.2.4.5					erform clock rate compensation ertion of idles."	via the delet	on of idles or sequence
Merge information in 82				Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 82 SC 82.2.15 P 129 L 27 # 266 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation	C/ 82 SC 82.2.17.2.2 P 130 L 51 # 268 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status X Receive process must also insert idles to compensate for removal of alignment markers. Also suggest using similar language as 48.4.2.3 for the concept of clock rate compensation.	Comment Type T Comment Status X What is the difference between deskew_error and !alignment_valid? SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Suggest:	Clarify the difference. If there is no difference, delete deskew_error and substitute !alignment_valid in PCS deskew state diagram (Figure 82–14).
"The receive process must insert idles to compensate for the removal of alignment markers. If the PCS receive process spans multiple clock domains, it may also perform clock rate compensation via the deletion of idles or sequence ordered sets or the insertion	Proposed Response Response Status O
of idles."	Cl 82 SC 82.2.18.4 P135 L14 # 269
roposed Response Response Status O	Healey, Adam LSI Corporation
2/82 SC 82.2.17.3 P 138 L 10 # 267 lealey, Adam LSI Corporation	Comment Type T Comment Status X The data pattern that the PCS transmits to the PMA during loopback is not defined (TBD). SuggestedRemedy Recommend a continuous stream of of 0x00FF data words per Clause 49.
T Comment Status X Per the PCS deskew state diagram (Figure 82–14), the definition of deskew_error in 82.2.17.2 (page 130, line 51), and the use of align_status in the Receive state diagram (Figure 82–17, page 141, line 2), a spurious bit error that occurs during an alignment	Proposed Response Response Status O
marker will supress the receipt of all packets until the next next group of alignment markers	CI 73 SC 73 P73 L1 # 270
arrives, which could be a significant number of packets. Hysteresis should be added to Figure 82-14 to avoid this hair-trigger behavior.	Healey, Adam LSI Corporation
uggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status X
Modify state diagram such that four consecutive deskew_error indications are required to set align_status = FALSE. Due to the hysteresis in PCS alignment marker lock state	Subclause 73.5.1.1 needs to be amended for 40GBASE-KR4, 40GBASE-CR4, and 100GBASE-CR10 to ensure the PHYs exchange DME pages on a common lane.
diagram (Figure 82–13), it seems acceptable to set align_status = TRUE based on the	SuggestedRemedy
single alignment_valid indication.	Amend last sentence of 73.5.1.1 to read: "When the PHY has 10GBASE-KX4, 40GBASE

Proposed Response F

Response Status **O**

KR4, 40GBASE-CR4, or 100GBASE-CR10 capability, DME pages shall be transmitted only on lane 0. The transmitters for unused lanes should be disabled as specified in 71.6.7, <insert appropriate cross-references>."

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

	C/ 85 SC 85.7.1 P 177 L 15 # 273
# SC 84.8.2.1 P 167 L 1 # 271 ealey, Adam LSI Corporation	C/ 85 SC 85.7.1 P 177 L 15 # 273 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation
omment Type T Comment Status X Receiver interference tolerance requirement is unclear. Annex 69A defines a test for a 10GBASE-KR receiver in isolation.	Comment Type T Comment Status X Clause 85 references Clause 72 in multiple places, yet uses a definition of TP1 and TP4 that is inconsistent with definition in Clause 72. This will inevitably lead to confusion.
Does this requirement imply that a single 40GBASE-KR4 lane is tested in isolation? If so, should the unused lanes be terminated by the reference impedance, and what is their operational state (active or quiescent)? If all lanes are to be tested in parallel, are parallel instances of the Annex 69A set-up required, or does a new multi-lane test apparatus need to be defined?	SuggestedRemedyDefine TP1 and TP4 in a manner consistent with their use in Clause 72, or add a note explaining the mapping.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusO
uggestedRemedy A supporting presentation will be provided to compare several approaches to this problem and suggest a direction. roposed Response Response Status O	C/ 82 SC 82.2.12 P 128 L 34 # 274 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation LSI Corporation # 274 Comment Type T Comment Status X This subclause states that "the skew budget that the PCS receiver must support is shown in Table 82–4." The skew budget in Table 82-4 presumes a concatenation of optional
# 85 SC 85.8.4 P 183 L 1 # 272 ealey, Adam LSI Corporation omment Type T Comment Status X "Receiver characteristics are summarized in Table 85–5 and as detailed in 72.7.1.1 through 72.7.2.5 with the exception of the receiver characteristics specified in 85.8.4.1, 85.8.4.2, and 85.8.4.3."	 interfaces and a generous allocation for media skew that may not be present in every compliant implementation. Consider, for example, that a 40GBASE-KR4 PHY has a need for considerably less skew tolerance. By mandating a fixed tolerance, needless latency is introduced for this PHY type. One can expect a demand for low latency interfaces in the marketplace. Also note that the receiver skew tolerance requirements are not defined in Clause 48 whi defines similar deskew functionality.
Subclause 71.7.2.1, Receiver interference tolerance, which references Annex 69A, defines a test for a 10GBASE-KR receiver in isolation. At the same time, subclause 85.8.4.1 states that "the receiver shall operate with a BER 10^(-12) or better when receiving a compliant transmit signal, as defined in 85.8.3, through a compliant cable assembly as defined in 85.9 exhibiting the maximum insertion loss of 85.9.2."	SuggestedRemedy It is sufficent to define the maximum skew contributions for each component of a 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s link leading up to the input of the PCS receiver. These contributions may be summarized in a table (such as Clause 48, Table 48-5) so that the implementer may easi calculate the skew tolerance required for the targeted application. Remove the normative requirement for PCS skew tolerance (including Table 82-4). Proposed Response Response Status O
This implies that all lanes as tested as an aggregate using a cable assembly model spanning TP2 to TP3. Which requirement applies?	
uggestedRemedy	

A supporting presentation will be provided to compare several approaches to this problem and suggest a direction.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Draft 1.0 Comme

Task force Review

C/81 SC 81.3.	4.3 <i>P</i> 109	L 51	# 275	C/ 83A S	C 83A	P 279	L1	# 278
Healey, Adam	LSI Corpor	-	π <u>21</u> 5	Anslow, Peter		Nortel Netwo		т 210
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type	, E	Comment Status X		
	f fault sequences separated by equences of a different fault va		imns and no	clause; i.e.	, Ánnex 83A	—This annex is numbered ir A corresponds to Clause 83." e, Annex 4A		
	onsisent with the Link Fault Sig ot need to arrive in pairs.	Inaling state diagrai	n (Figure 81–9).	SuggestedRen	-			
SuggestedRemedy				Remove th				
	with each fault sequence sepa equences of a different fault va		128 columns and no	Proposed Resp	oonse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			CI 83A S	C 83A.3.3	P 283	L 29	# 279
				Anslow, Peter		Nortel Netwo	orks	
SC 87.1.	1.2.3 P 225	L 23	# 276	Comment Type	e E	Comment Status X		
nslow, Peter	Nortel Netw	works				cification for the Differential (
Comment Type E	Comment Status X					refer to the clause defining the e next row in this table and a		
	to indicate where in clause 83	the effect of receip	t is defined.	SuggestedRen	• •			
Also applies to 88.	1.1.2.3				-	n 83A-1")" to "see 83A.3.3.3	5	
SuggestedRemedy				in the next	row change	"(see "Equation 83A-2")" to	"see 83A.3.3.4"	
Also make this cha	83" to "in 83.3.1.3" ange in 88.1.1.2.3					"(see "Equation 83A-3")" to "(see "Equation 83A-4")" to		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Resp	0	Response Status O		
		L 47	# 277	C/ 83A S	C 83A.3.3.		L 19	# 280
	1.3.3 P 225 Nortel Netw		# 277	C/ 83A S Anslow, Peter	C 83A.3.3.	P 284 Nortel Netwo	-	# 280
Comment Type E	Nortel Netw Comment Status X	works		Anslow, Peter Comment Type	e E	Nortel Netwo	orks	
nslow, Peter Comment Type E	Nortel Netw Comment Status X to indicate where in clause 83	works		Anslow, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> The title of	e E Figure 83A	Nortel Netwo Comment Status X -3 is "Figure 83A–3—Driver of	orks output voltage lin	nits and definitions
Anslow, Peter Comment Type E It would be helpful Also applies to 88.	Nortel Netw Comment Status X to indicate where in clause 83	works		Anslow, Peter Comment Type The title of [SLi <p> ar lane i (i = 0</p>	 E Figure 83A id SLi<n> a</n> i, 1, 2, 3 for 	Nortel Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X -3 is "Figure 83A–3—Driver re the positive and negative XLAUI. For CAUI i = 0:9)]".	orks output voltage lin sides of the diffe	nits and definitions rential signal pair for
nslow, Peter comment Type E It would be helpful Also applies to 88. cuggestedRemedy Change "in Clause	Nortel Netw Comment Status X to indicate where in clause 83 1.1.3.3 83" to "in 83.3.3.3"	works		Anslow, Peter Comment Type The title of [SLi <p> an lane i (i = 0 should not</p>	Figure 83A d SLi <n> a , 1, 2, 3 for be part of th</n>	Nortel Netwo Comment Status X -3 is "Figure 83A–3—Driver re the positive and negative	orks output voltage lin sides of the diffe	nits and definitions rential signal pair for
nslow, Peter omment Type E It would be helpful Also applies to 88. uggestedRemedy Change "in Clause Also make this cha	Nortel Netw Comment Status X to indicate where in clause 83 1.1.3.3 83" to "in 83.3.3.3"	works		Anslow, Peter Comment Type The title of [SLi <p> ar lane i (i = 0 should not SuggestedRen</p>	E Figure 83A Id SLi <n> a I, 1, 2, 3 for be part of the nedy</n>	Nortel Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X -3 is "Figure 83A–3—Driver re the positive and negative XLAUI. For CAUI i = 0:9)]". he figure title.	output voltage lin sides of the diffe The text within th	nits and definitions rential signal pair for le square brackets
Inslow, Peter Comment Type E It would be helpful Also applies to 88. SuggestedRemedy Change "in Clause	Nortel Netw Comment Status X to indicate where in clause 83 1.1.3.3 83" to "in 83.3.3.3"	works		Anslow, Peter Comment Type The title of [SLi <p> ar lane i (i = 0 should not SuggestedRen</p>	E Figure 83A d SLi <n> a 1, 2, 3 for be part of the nedy ext to be a</n>	Nortel Netwo <i>Comment Status</i> X -3 is "Figure 83A–3—Driver re the positive and negative XLAUI. For CAUI i = 0:9)]".	output voltage lin sides of the diffe The text within th	nits and definitions rential signal pair for le square brackets

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 88 SC 88.6.1 P 251 L 48 # 281 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P 87 L 18 # 284 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status X The second Editors Note underneath Table 88-7 beginning "The adopted baseline for 100GBASE-LR4 in anslow_01_0708.pdf had a value of 3.2 dBm" was only relevant before the draft was accepted by the Task Force and should now be deleted. SuggestedRemedy Delete this Editors Note Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type E Comment Status X Several very minor editorial issues in clause 80 collected in to one comment. SuggestedRemedy Change "for e.g." to "e.g." in page 87 lines 18 and 21 Change "concepts of MII:" to "concepts of the MII:" page 94 line 15 Change "implemented DIC" to "implemented the DIC" page 104 line 3 Change "a RXC" to "an RXC" page 106 line 38 Page 111 line 12 external reference to clause 21 should be blue
Cl 99 SC P 21 L 43 # 282 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X It would be useful to add external equations to the list of references marked in dark blue SuggestedRemedy Change "NOTE- Cross references that refer to clauses, tables, or figures not covered by this amendment are highlighted in dark blue." to "NOTE- Cross references that refer to clauses, tables, figures or equations not covered by this amendment are highlighted in dark blue." Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 82 SC 82.1.5 P 115 L 47 # 285 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks 285 Comment Type E Comment Status X Several very minor editorial issues in clause 82 collected in to one comment. SuggestedRemedy Change "PMA service interfaces" to "PMA service interface" page 115 line 47 Change "ide data" to "wide data" page 117 line 9 Change "to 64B/66B block" to "to 64B/66B blocks" page 117 line 10 Change "markers are shown" to "markers is shown" page 126 line 20 Change "for 40GBASE-R PCS:" to "for the 40GBASE-R PCS:" page 126 line 47 External links "21.5" and "14.2.3.2" should be blue page 130 lines 1 and 2
CI 45 SC 45.2.1.81a P 43 L 5 # 283 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Passion Passion Passion Passion Comment Type E Comment Status X X Several very minor editorial issues in clause 45 collected in to one comment. Passion Passion	All blue text in 82.2.18.1 are register numbers which should not be blueBlue text in 82.2.18.4 is a register number which should not be blueProposed ResponseResponse StatusO
SuggestedRemedy Remove underline from Table 45-58a page 43 line 5 Remove underline from Table 45-58b page 44 line 21 Space missing in "status register3" page 61 line 8 Space missing in "Table45-133" page 65 line 13 Proposed Response Response Status O	Cl 83 SC 83.1.1 P 143 L 22 # 286 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks # 286 Comment Type E Comment Status X Two very minor editorial issues in clause 83 collected in to one comment. SuggestedRemedy
	Change "for 40GBASE-SR and 100GBASE-SR PMD" to "for the 40GBASE-SR and 100GBASE-SR PMDs" page 143 line 22 Space missing in "isin" page 148 line 44 Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 84 SC 84.7.6 P 165 L 33 # 287 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks P 165 L 33 P 165 <td< th=""><th>C/ 86 SC 86.1 P 199 L 34 # 289 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Vortel Networks P 199 Nortel Networks</th></td<>	C/ 86 SC 86.1 P 199 L 34 # 289 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Vortel Networks P 199 Nortel Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status X Two very minor editorial issues in clause 84 collected in to one comment.	Comment Type E Comment Status X Several very minor editorial issues in clause 86 collected in to one comment.
SuggestedRemedy Note 2 is in 10 point font rather than the usual 9 point page 165 line 33 External references to clause 21 should be blue page 168 lines 15 and 48 Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Clause 1 should be an internal cross-reference page 199 line 34 Annex A should be an internal cross-reference page 199 line 35 Clause 45 should be an internal cross-reference page 199 line 40 Clause 45 should be an internal cross-reference page 203 line 21 "." missing at the end of the sentence page 209 line 54 Seperator too thick below "Nominal core diameter" page 219 line 22
C/ 85 SC 85.1 P 171 L 10 # 288 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type E Comment Status X Several very minor editorial issues in clause 85 collected in to one comment. SuggestedRemedy	C/ 86 SC 86.6.1 P 208 L 11 # 290 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks
Reference to Clause 45 should be cross-reference page 171 line 10 The dash between 81 and RS should be an em-dash page 171 line 18 The dash between 73 and Auto-Negotiation should be an em-dash page 171 line 30 Change "interface for these" to "interfaces for these" page 172 line 45 Reference to Clause 45 should be cross-reference page 174 line 49 Space missing in "disable 9to" page 175 line 17 The word "Global_" is in 10 point font right side of page 175 line 33 Force the second "PMD" to next line on left side of page 175 line 35 Change "" to "." page 177 line 10 Remove space between "PMD_SIGNAL.indication" and "(SIGNAL_DETECT)" in two places page 178 lines 38 and 39	In Table 86-6 The "TP1a Deterministic Jitter output" min and max values are blank Same issue for Table 86-7 "AC common mode input voltage tolerance" max SuggestedRemedy Make the "TP1a Deterministic Jitter output" min "-" and the Max "TBD" if no values are available Make the "AC common mode input voltage tolerance" max "-" Proposed Response Response Status 0
Change "When a Global_PMD" to "When Global_PMD" page 179 line 24 Change "NOTES 1" to "NOTE1" page 179 line 51 Change "2" to "NOTE2" page 180 line 3 It would be useful to colour external equation references blue (see comment on front matter) page 181 lines 28, 29, 30, 31 also page 183 lines 18 an 19 Set pagination to "Anywhere" to remove blank half page for heading 85.9.1 page 185 line 1 Do Special, Equations, Equations, "shrink wrap" on equation 85-6 to fix cropping page 187 line 26 External reference to clause 21 should be blue page 197 line 11 <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status O	C/ 83A SC 83A.1 P 281 L 6 # 291 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks Porter Nortel Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X Several very minor editorial issues in clause 83A collected in to one comment. SuggestedRemedy Change "example application of XLAUI includes providing lane" to "example application of XLAUI is to provide lane" page 281 line 6 Remove spurious empty paragraph from page 282 line 39 Use the +- symbol (Ctrl-q 1) page 283 line 14 and page 286 line 32 Use Greater than or equal to sign (Ctrl-q 3) and Less than or equal to sign (Ctrl-q #) page 284 line 38, page 285 line 2 and page 288 line 5 Space missing in "10MHz" page 284 line 48
	Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 1.0 Comments	5	IEEE P802	2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	nments		Task force Review
C/ 86 SC 86.7.4.7 Anslow, Peter	7.1 P 216 Nortel Networks	L1	# 292	<i>Cl</i> 83A Anslow, P	SC 83A.3.3 eter	P 283 Nortel Networks	L 32	# 295
"Electrical parameter	Comment Status X ve mask for TP1a and TP4" should s" and not 86.7.4 "Optical parame for TP1a and TP4" clause to 86.7 Response Status 0	ter definitions"	se of 86.7.3	Deterr Also a Table Table Suggestee	AB6-6 There a ministic Jitter" v ipplies to: 83A-2 "Maximu 83A-2 "Maximu dRemedy	um non-EQ Jitter (TJ - ISI)"	ak to peak	or not.
C/ 86 SC 86.6.1 Anslow, Peter	P 208 Nortel Networks	L 10	# 293		change the pa Response	rameter names to include "(pk-pk) Response Status O	" or chang	e the units to be Ulptp
	ter output at TP4"			Table	<i>Type</i> T ble 87-13 the va 52-24 is 10 ps.	P 239 Nortel Networks Comment Status X alue of DGD_max is "TBD". The D This equates to a link PMD coeff ected to give only a small penalty a	ficient of 0.	8 ps/sqrt(km) (assuming
SuggestedRemedy	rameter names to include "(pk-pk) Response Status O	" or change the	e units to be Ulptp	Suggested In Tab	dRemedy ble 87-13 set th nslow_04_1108	e value of DGD_max to 10 ps 3.pdf for more detail. <i>Response Status</i> O		
	P 267 Nortel Networks Comment Status X there is a warning box containing smay affect proper operation of t included again.			CI 88 Anslow, Pr <i>Comment</i> In Tab "TBD"	<i>Type</i> T ble 88-17 the va	P 262 Nortel Networks Comment Status X alues of DGD_max for 100GBASE	L 15	# 297
SuggestedRemedy	ox and change the editing instructi	ons to say that	t the new note 4 is	Suggested Set Do Set Do Set Do Set Do	<i>dRemedy</i> GD_max for 10 GD_max for 10 GD_max for 10	0GBASE-LR4 to 10 ps 0GBASE-ER4 30 km to 10.3 ps 0GBASE-ER4 40 km to 10.3 ps 3.pdf for detailed justification.		

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Etherr	net com	ments		Task force Review
C/ 86 SC 86.4.2 Anslow, Peter	P 205 Nortel Networks	L 1	# 298	<i>CI 80</i> Shafai, Fa	SC : arhad	3	P 89 Sarance Tec	L 35 hnologies	# 301
diagrams in clauses 86 with each other and wi connectors	Comment Status X ersion 0.9 of the draft, some issu 6, 87 and 88. These diagrams s th Figure 86-3 for the symbols u	hould be clear	r and also consistent	would	d on imp d like to s ribed her	suggest tl re. These	Comment Status X ions in FPGAs, I have meas he TBD values for the PCS r delays are specified in table	ound trip delays	
SuggestedRemedy Replace Figures 86-1	87-1 and 88-1 with those showr	in anslow 05	1108 pdf				for 40GBASE-R PCS round a in column 3.	I trip delay to: 11	264 bit time in column
Proposed Response	Response Status O	nin anolow_oo		Chan 2, and	ige the T d 69 pau	BD fields ise quant	a in column 3. a in column 3. is provided in support of this		5328 bit time in column
C/ 82 SC 82.2.8	P 126	L 33	# 299	Proposed	l Respon	nse	Response Status W		
Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networks	200	# 235	The c	comment	ter has us	sed old clause numbers. Cha	anged Clause nu	mber from 150 to 80
used for 40GBASE-R a been generated and ev SuggestedRemedy If different lane marker	raft 0.9 Piers Dawe proposed the and 100GBASE-R. If this is agrivaluated in the accompanying pl rs are agreed for 40GBASE-R fro 108.pdf as the lane markers for <i>Response Status</i> O	eed, suitable la resentation. om 100GBASI	ane markers have		<i>t Type</i> note to Tastandard	eorge E able 86-1 is curren	P 199 OFS Comment Status X : Should we add reference t tly referenced.	L 23 to the TIA-492AA	# 302
				Proposed	l Respon	ise	Response Status O		
and MAC Control layer in table 150-1 to be ch SuggestedRemedy In table 150-1, row 1, o In table 150-1, row 1, o	change 16 to 35.	d the delay thr values for this		Cl 86 Oulundse Comment Remo Suggeste Proposed	t Type ove the v edRemed	eorge E word "with	P 207 OFS Comment Status X ". This appears to be a typo Response Status 0	L 21 ographical error.	# 303
	l is provided in support of this real Response Status W	medy.		Proposed	l Respon	ise	Response Status O		

The commenter has used old clause numbers. Changed Clause number from 150 to 80

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	iments		Task force Review
C/ 86 SC 86.6.5 Oulundsen III, George	<i>Р</i> 211 OFS	L 49	# 304	Cl 86 Oulundser	SC 86.2.2 n III, George	<i>P</i> 203 OFS	L 817	# 307
	Comment Status X that the footnote superscript " erance (pk-pk)" value of 0.40 pographical error.			adopte under	ntly, there are a led kolesar_02_0 stood that the va	Comment Status X ot of TBDs regarding skew cor 508.xls as the MMF cable skew lues could change, but the cor se the values proposed in kole	w spreadsheet in acept of the more	nodel. At that time we del spreadsheet was
SuggestedRemedy				and re		vith the model values where we		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggestee	dRemedy			
C/ 86 SC 86.6.5.1 Oulundsen III, George	P 212 OFS	L 37	# 305	Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			C/ 86	SC 86.10.1	P 218	L 45	# 308
	3: Should we add the TIA-49	2AAAC-A stand	ard to footnote a. The	Oulundser	n III, George	OFS		
IEC standard is already	y referencea.			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response	Response Status O			Task I At tha spread as a s	Force adopted "I t time we unders dsheet was adop tarting point and	y, there are a lot of TBDs regar colesar_02_0508.xls" as the MI tood that the values could char oted. Should we use the values replace the TBD with the mod when discovered.	MF cable skew nge, but the co s proposed in "k	spreadsheet model. ncept of the model colesar_02_0508.xls"
C/ 86 SC 86.10.2.1	P 219	L 34	# 306	Suggester				
Oulundsen III, George	OFS			Repla	ce the TBD for "	Cabling Skew Max" value with		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X 8: Reference is made to TIA	1024440 2002	and the question is		of MMF cable g ar_01_0508.pdf	iven in "kolesar_02_0508.xls". ' for reference.	See the prese	ntation
	c equivalent. The answer is y				Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy								
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							



Given the target distance of 100 meters, we need to evaluate the possibility of eliminating the encircled flux specification. This will likley be a challenging specification to meet over temperature (or even at a single temperature on all lanes) for a parallel optical module. General discussions on the expected impairment in modal bandwidth for an overfilled as opposed to restricted launch into OM3 fiber suggest that eliminating encircled flux may be possible, but further analysis of this question by an ad-hoc group may be necessary.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate the encircled flux specification from Table 86-8 and any other places referenced in these clauses.

Proposed Response	Response Status	0
-------------------	-----------------	---

C/ 87 SC	P L	# 310
Dallesasse, John	Emcore Corporation	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The lane wavelengths used for the 40GBASE-LR4 PMD should be the same as the wavelengths used for the Clause 53 10GBASE-LX4 PMD. This will allow maximum reutilization of laser and optical demultiplexer technologies developed for 10GBASE-LX4. Reducing development costs have a direct impact on the economic feasibility of this project. It would be a mistake to walk away from a technology investment that has been paid for and proven over years of manufacturing. Additionally, the proposed reduction of the channel bandwidth from 13.4 nm (10GBASE-LX4) to 13 nm (40GBASE-LR4) would have some impact on laser yields and consequently cost. In order to allow a 0-70 C module operating range, the lasers need to be in spec from -5 to +85C. Assuming 0.1 nm/C, 9 nm of the band is taken by temperature. Approximately 1.5 nm is allocated for guard bands. Consequently, the window that is being targeted for laser operation at a given temperature is 2.5 nm for the proposed 40GBASE-LR4 versus 2.9 nm for 10GBASE-LX4.

SuggestedRemedy

Change all references for L0, L1, L2, and L3 to match the wavelength specifications in Clause 53 (10GBASE-LX4).

Proposed Response Response Status O

The paragraph quoted has several problems and seems to have no purpose beyond advertisement. Any reader of a document like this will be above such material. 'The 40 and 100 Gigabit Ethernet extends the IEEE 802.3 protocol to operating speeds of 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s. The bit rate is faster and the bit times are shorter—both in proportion to the change in bandwidth while maintaining maximum compatibility with the installed based of IEEE 802.3 interfaces. The minimum packet transmission time has been reduced by a factor of four for 40 Gb/s and ten for 100 Gb/s.'

Extends? will be wrong when .3ba is rolled into the base standard. 'bandwidth' is wrong term. 'while maintaining maximum compatibility with the installed based of IEEE 802.3 interfaces' There is very little compatibility with the installed based of IEEE 802.3 interfaces intended (and none spelled out in the objectives). 'packet transmission time' means? For links up to 10 and 40 km, transmission time is substantially determined by the speed if light, not the MAC rate. 'factor of four' as compared with what?

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the paragraph. Anyone who thinks it leaves a void can bring in something better next time.

Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 80 SC 80.2.3	P 88	L 23	# 312
Dawe, Piers	Avago Techn	ologies	

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Clause 74 FEC is applicable to all these port types. Whether we like it or not, it can be applied. At least as far as error detection, it should be mandatory for 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10. I expect it will turn out to be a practical necessity for 100GBASE-ER4.

SuggestedRemedy

Make Clause 74 FEC mandatory for 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10, optional for all other port types in this table. The distinction between mandatory FEC detection and mandatory FEC correction can be explained elsewhere.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 80 SC 80.2.3 Dawe, Piers	P 88 Avago Techn	L 23 ologies	# 313	<i>CI</i> 80 Dawe, Piers	SC 80.3 s	<i>Р</i> 89 Аvago Т	L 23 echnologies	# 315
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	-		Comment 1	Type T	Comment Status X	-	
	n unnecessary burden on front	-side ports. See	another comment.	MAC C	ontrol PAUSE	E can't be used with long li		
	s under '73', Auto-negotiation M tion (if we keep that name) O o Revise 82.2.20. Response Status O			the MA out for the nor operation	C wants to kn a particular lir ninal maximu	th. At each higher MAC ratiow the round trip latency, nk. Even with this table, for m latency is not exceeded quirement while meeting a iant'.	it should use Ping o or many port types th because 'A PMD w	r similar method to find it here is no guarantee that hich exceeds the
				Suggested	Remedy			
C/ 80 SC 80.2.6	P 89 Avago Techn	L 14	# 314		MD. Delete 8	ws for 40GBASE-LR4 PM 7.2.1 and 88.2.1, change		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X	ologico		Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
What is the difference SuggestedRemedy	per the service interface defini e/issue? elete this and similar notes. <i>Response Status</i> O	tions specified in	1.2.2 .'	to PMD <i>Suggestedi</i> Add a o	ulti-lane subla and PMA en Remedy column in ns. column in bit	Comment Status X ayers, these time units are gineers. Consider deleting one of times, change 'bit time' to Response Status O	confusing. 'bit time the two 'Maximum' c 'MAC bit time'.	
				11000001	looponoo			
				<i>Cl</i> 80 Dawe, Piers	SC 80.3	<i>Р</i> 89 Аvago T	L 44 echnologies	# 317
			Comment 7 TBDs	Гуре Т	Comment Status X			
				Suggestedl Accept	-	Round-trip delay limit for	40GBASE-SR4 and	100GBASE-SR10.

C/ 81	SC 81.3.1.3	P 102	L 7
Dawe, Pie	ers	Avago Techr	nologies
<i>Comment</i> Some		Comment Status X wn are impossible with the he	ex values given.
Suggester Remo	,	ow '0xFF' and above '0x00'.	Also Fig. 81-6, 81-7.
Proposed	Response	Response Status O	
C/ 81	SC 81.3.4	P 108	L 22

C/ 81	SC 81.3.4	P 108	L 22	# 319
Dawe, Piers		Avago Technolog	jies	-

Comment Type **T** Comment Status X

Decide once and for all whether to allow 'unidirectional' operation at 40 and 100G. Per conversation at last meeting, it seems it's possibly helpful for an unprotected link, probal harmful for a protected link. Will there be unprotected managed 40G or 100G Ethernet links?

SuggestedRemedy

Decide and write it down. If we do allow unidirectional, the bad Hamming distance of the Sequence ordered sets might be worth changing.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

CI 82 SC 82.1.1 Dawe. Piers

P146 Avago Technologies

L1

320

Comment Type Е Comment Status X

Draft says 'The terms 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R are used when referring generally to Physical Layers using the PCS defined here.' There should be nothing rate-specific in the PCS clause; these are generically useful PCSs that could be re-used at faster VL rates in future. The PCSs could be thought of as 'R4' and 'R20'.

SuggestedRemedy

No urgent need to rename them, but it's worth adding a sentence to say that one uses 4 PCS lanes and the other uses 20 PCS lanes, here in the Scope.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Cl 82	SC 82.1.1	P 113	L 23	# 321
Dawe, Pie	ərs	Avago Techn	ologies	
Commen	t Type T	Comment Status X		
		at the PMA level.' The media he PMA. Also, there could be		
Suggeste	dRemedy			
medi		OGBASE-R and 100GBASE-I that the sublayers below the		
Proposed	l Response	Response Status O		
01.74	00 74 7 4 5		1.40	# [000
C/ 74	SC 74.7.4.5	P79	L 46	# 322
Dawe, Pie	ers	Avago Techn	ologies	
Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status X		
		aken by FEC is spent four wa		asically a CRC -coding as non-l

KR4, 100GBASE-ER4, we do (or should) allow FEC for its error detection as well. But when a particular link is up and running, a receiver that is happy with its received BER can switch the correction off, with no need for handshaking with the transmitter. This still gives excellent error detection, and remains compatible with PCS error indication. In principle this could be done lane by lane but the remedy below treats all the lanes as a group. There is another comment for Clause 74.

SuggestedRemedy

Add sentence 'For reduced power, latency and complexity, in some circumstances the FEC decoder detects errors but does not attempt to correct them. These circumstances are explained in the relevant PMD clauses e.g. Clause 84 to Clause 88.' I intend to provide a short presentation showing the difference between error detection and error correction.

Proposed Response Response Status 0 Task force Review

318

C/ 80 SC 80.2	2.3 <i>P</i> 88	L 45	# 323	CI 82	SC 82.2.8	P 127	L 6	# 326
Dawe, Piers	Avago Techr	nologies		Dawe, Piers		Avago -	Fechnologies	
Comment Type T Good introductory	Comment Status X y material overlooked in 82.1.3.				PCSs are dis		lane rate. In future	we will consider using one
SuggestedRemedy Either add senten	nce here 'The functions of the PCS	S, FEC, PMA, PN	/ID and AN sublayers	for a 4-v	ide PCS sho	rates, and quite likely co uld be distinct from a 20		r 200G. The lane markers
	n 82.1.3.' or move 82.1.3. into 80		,	SuggestedF	-	rikara far tha 1 wide 100		to Analow has the markers
Proposed Response	Response Status O				esentation.	arkers for the 4-wide 400	BASE-R PCS. Pe	ete Anslow has the markers
				Proposed R	esponse	Response Status)	
CI 82 SC 82.1		L 6	# 324					
Dawe, Piers	Avago Techr	nologies		CI 82	SC 82.2.9	P 127	L 44	# 327
Comment Type T				Dawe, Piers		Avago -	Fechnologies	
Missing sublayers SuggestedRemedy	5			Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status	(
Proposed Response	ses summarizing the FEC and AN Response Status O	sublayers.		remotel gate del	like 2 bits of ay, most of w	Dynamic Skew (if 'bits' i hich is correlated lane to	means UI). There of lane (giving mayb	
C/ 82 SC 82.2	2 <i>P</i> 116	L 48	# 325	5% over				s, which might change by 25 UI at 10G, 1 MAC BT
	2 P 116 Avago Techr		# 325	5% over	temperature 2.5 MAC BT	and humidity: that's 20 p		
Dawe, Piers	Avago Techr		# 325	5% over for 40G SuggestedF	temperature 2.5 MAC BT emedy	and humidity: that's 20 p	os. Total 25 ps (0.2	
Dawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> T This PCS is extre	Avago Techr	nologies costs a lot of unn	ecessary time going	5% over for 40G SuggestedF	temperature 2.5 MAC BT emedy PCs dynamic	and humidity: that's 20 p for 100G).	ps. Total 25 ps (0.2	
Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This PCS is extre through it with a fi SuggestedRemedy	Avago Techr <i>Comment Status</i> X emely like the Clause 49 PCS. It of ine toothcomb to find where there	nologies costs a lot of unn are differences a	ecessary time going and where there are not.	5% over for 40G SuggestedF Change Proposed R	temperature 2.5 MAC BT emedy PCs dynamic esponse	and humidity: that's 20 p for 100G). skew output limit to 25 <i>Response Status</i>	ps. ps.	25 UI at 10Ğ, 1 MAČ BT
Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This PCS is extre through it with a fi SuggestedRemedy	Avago Techr <i>Comment Status</i> X emely like the Clause 49 PCS. It of ine toothcomb to find where there bolause listing the similarities and	nologies costs a lot of unn are differences a	ecessary time going and where there are not.	5% over for 40G <i>SuggestedF</i> Change	temperature 2.5 MAC BT emedy PCs dynamic	and humidity: that's 20 p for 100G). s skew output limit to 25 <i>Response Status</i> (<i>P</i> 282	ps. ps.	
Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This PCS is extre through it with a fi SuggestedRemedy Please add a sub	Avago Techr <i>Comment Status</i> X emely like the Clause 49 PCS. It of ine toothcomb to find where there bolause listing the similarities and	nologies costs a lot of unn are differences a	ecessary time going and where there are not.	5% over for 40G, SuggestedF Change Proposed R	temperature 2.5 MAC BT emedy PCs dynamic esponse SC 83A.2	and humidity: that's 20 p for 100G). s skew output limit to 25 <i>Response Status</i> (<i>P</i> 282	ps.	25 UI at 10Ğ, 1 MAČ BT
Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This PCS is extre through it with a fi SuggestedRemedy Please add a sub yourself by makin	Avago Techr <i>Comment Status</i> X emely like the Clause 49 PCS. It of ine toothcomb to find where there bolause listing the similarities and on any it informative.	nologies costs a lot of unn are differences a	ecessary time going and where there are not.	5% over for 40G, SuggestedF Change Proposed R C/ 83A Dawe, Piers Comment T The prin standard cards of need to	temperature 2.5 MAC BT emedy PCs dynamic esponse SC 83A.2 ype TR hary purpose dised and inte similar. Like	and humidity: that's 20 p for 100G). c skew output limit to 25 <i>Response Status</i> <i>P</i> 282 <i>Avago</i> <i>Comment Status</i> of the nAUI spec is the s properable spec for plugg XFI (part of XFP), it need	ps. ps. Control 25 ps (0.2 ps. Control 25 ps (0.2 Control 25 ps (0.2 C	# 328 # 328
Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This PCS is extre through it with a fi SuggestedRemedy Please add a sub yourself by makin	Avago Techr <i>Comment Status</i> X emely like the Clause 49 PCS. It of ine toothcomb to find where there bolause listing the similarities and on any it informative.	nologies costs a lot of unn are differences a	ecessary time going and where there are not.	5% over for 40G, SuggestedF Change Proposed R C/ 83A Dawe, Piers Comment T The prin standard cards of need to	temperature 2.5 MAC BT emedy PCs dynamic esponse SC 83A.2 ype TR hary purpose lised and inte similar. Like define the con ponnector.	and humidity: that's 20 p for 100G). c skew output limit to 25 <i>Response Status</i> <i>P</i> 282 <i>Avago</i> <i>Comment Status</i> of the nAUI spec is the s properable spec for plugg XFI (part of XFP), it need	ps. ps. Control 25 ps (0.2 ps. Control 25 ps (0.2 Control 25 ps (0.2 C	# 328 # 328 wec at 10G: to provide a eiver modules into line ector into account (does not
Dawe, Piers Comment Type T This PCS is extre through it with a fi SuggestedRemedy Please add a sub yourself by makin	Avago Techr <i>Comment Status</i> X emely like the Clause 49 PCS. It of ine toothcomb to find where there bolause listing the similarities and on any it informative.	nologies costs a lot of unn are differences a	ecessary time going and where there are not.	5% over for 40G, SuggestedF Change Proposed R C/ 83A Dawe, Piers Comment T The prin standard cards of need to to the co SuggestedF Use the	temperature 2.5 MAC BT emedy PCs dynamic esponse SC 83A.2 ype TR hary purpose dised and inte similar. Like define the con nector. emedy six TP compl	and humidity: that's 20 p for 100G). c skew output limit to 25 <i>Response Status</i> <i>P</i> 282 <i>Avago</i> <i>Comment Status</i> of the nAUI spec is the s properable spec for plugg XFI (part of XFP), it need	ps. Total 25 ps (0.2 ps. 2 2 <i>L</i> 19 Fechnologies 4 same as the XFI sp ing retimed transce ids to take a conne d define the compl 66.7.1, relegate the	# 328 # 328 bec at 10G: to provide a eiver modules into line ector into account (does not iance points with reference

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Task force Review

	_								
C/ 83A SC 83A.:		L14	# 329	C/ 83	SC 83	P1	-	L 10	# 332
Dawe, Piers	Avago Techr	nologies		Dawe, Pie		0	o Technologi	es	
Comment Type TR				Comment	51	Comment Status			
	nese jitter specs allow the two co be wanted when connecting e.g					ortable PMA stages' but I all the missing possibili			
SuggestedRemedy		,		rows	could be shall	ower. I don't think we sh	nould talk abo	out 'initial v	ersion of the standard':
	ecifications to be sure they do.	This may mean t	hat the specs on the		is very old, ar will use more	nd we have not yet made of this table	e any promis	es that ther	e will be a version
	eceive side differ. See presenta			Suggeste					
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			00	-	ly the 'prime factors'. Fo	or 40G. that's	4:2. 2:1. 1	:2. 2:4., 1:1. 2:2. 4:4.
				Say ir	n main text, no	ot just a table note, that I	PMAs such a	as 4:1 and 1	I:4 may be made
C/ 83 SC 83.1.	1 P 143	L 23	# 330			h the intermediate (in th s a bit differently to how			
Dawe, Piers	Avago Techr	nologies			Response	Response Status			,
Comment Type T	Comment Status X					,			
	PMA interfaces are specified as I	ogical interfaces	, and may not be		00.00			1.0	" [200
1, , ,	.' This looks like a prohibition.			<i>CI</i> 83 Dawe, Pie	SC 83	P1	46 o Technologi	L 6	# 333
SuggestedRemedy				,		5	0	63	
timing specification	1A interfaces are specified as log ns.' ?	gical interfaces, v	vithout electrical or	Comment Are th	51	Comment Status lanes or just lanes?	X		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Suggeste	dRemedv				
				?	,				
C/ 83 SC 83	P 143	L1	# 331	Proposed	Response	Response Status	0		
Dawe, Piers	Avago Techr	nologies							
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			C/ 83	SC 83.1.2	2 <i>P</i> 1	43	L 30	# 334
sub-layer				Dawe, Pie			o Technologi		" 004
SuggestedRemedy				Comment	Type T	Comment Status	Ũ		
To match base doo	cument, sublayer. Search and re	eplace, 18 instan	ces.			always virtual.			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Suggeste	dRemedy				
				I think	we should re	name 'virtual lane' to 'P	CS lane' throu	ughout.	
				Proposed	Response	Response Status	0		

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Task force Review

C/ 83 SC 83.3.1.1 P 150 L 6 # 335 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies	C/ 83 SC 83.6.2 P 153 L 31 # 338 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status X PMA_UNITDATA.inputx (input_bit_lane_x) SuggestedRemedy PMA_UNITDATA.inputx (input_bit_lane_x) i.e. without the space. Same in following subclauses. Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type T Comment Status X Other Tx PMA Dynamic Skew tolerance should not have unnecessary padding, as compensating the last couple of UI with analog circuitry costs power. I believe CEI have a 1.5 UI limit for 'Relative Wander' (their term for Dynamic Skew). 'bits/VL' would need explaining. SuggestedRemedy Make this 150 ps (which is 1.5 UI at 10 GBd). Don't quote bits/VL. Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 82 SC 82.1.6 P 116 L 29 # 336 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies Avago Technologies	
Comment Type E Comment Status X PMA_UNITDATA.indicate	C/ 83 SC 83.6.6 P 154 L 43 # 339 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies
SuggestedRemedy PMA_UNITDATA.indication Search and replace, 10 instances Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type T Comment Status X Lane mapping in loopback: as fibre-optic PMDs can't do loopback, one wants the PMA loopback to occur near the bottom of any tree of PMAs (e.g. this from 48.3.3 'NOTE—The signal path that is exercised in the Loopback mode is implementation specific, but it is recommended that this signal path encompass as much of the circuitry as is practical.' A
	2 ⁻ n-1 PRBS spread across 4 lanes is four 2 ⁻ n-1 PRBSs, so I think we can still validate working silicon if the lanes get mixed up. Although if the silicon is faulty, it may be harder to know which lane is at fault.
Cl 83 SC 83.6.2 P 153 L 28 # 337	working silicon if the lanes get mixed up. Although if the silicon is faulty, it may be harder
Cl 83 SC 83.6.2 P 153 L 28 # 337 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies	working silicon if the lanes get mixed up. Although if the silicon is faulty, it may be harder to know which lane is at fault.
Cl 83 SC 83.6.2 P 153 L 28 # 337 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies # 337 Comment Type T Comment Status X What does 'Tx PMA implemented synchronously with PCS' mean? For PMA implemented together with PCS, or integrated with PCS, surely the spec is 'Not applicable'? SuggestedRemedy For a Tx PMA receiving from the PCS, I believe 25 ps (which is 0.25 UI at 10 GBd) is	working silicon if the lanes get mixed up. Although if the silicon is faulty, it may be harder to know which lane is at fault. SuggestedRemedy Expect and allow the lanes to be repositioned in loopback.
Cl 83 SC 83.6.2 P 153 L 28 # 337 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies 337 Comment Type T Comment Status X What does 'Tx PMA implemented synchronously with PCS' mean? For PMA implemented together with PCS, or integrated with PCS, surely the spec is 'Not applicable'? SuggestedRemedy For a Tx PMA receiving from the PCS, I believe 25 ps (which is 0.25 UI at 10 GBd) is adequate: see another comment for explanation.	working silicon if the lanes get mixed up. Although if the silicon is faulty, it may be harder to know which lane is at fault. SuggestedRemedy Expect and allow the lanes to be repositioned in loopback. Proposed Response Response Status O CI 85 SC 85.9.2 P185 L17 # 340
Cl 83 SC 83.6.2 P 153 L 28 # 337 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies 337 Comment Type T Comment Status X What does 'Tx PMA implemented synchronously with PCS' mean? For PMA implemented together with PCS, or integrated with PCS, surely the spec is 'Not applicable'? SuggestedRemedy For a Tx PMA receiving from the PCS, I believe 25 ps (which is 0.25 UI at 10 GBd) is adequate: see another comment for explanation.	working silicon if the lanes get mixed up. Although if the silicon is faulty, it may be harder to know which lane is at fault. SuggestedRemedy Expect and allow the lanes to be repositioned in loopback. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 85 SC 85.9.2 P185 L17 # 340 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status X Specification range for cable insertion loss is not adequate at either end. SFP+ Annex E

CI 85 SC 85.1 P 171	L 30	# 341	C/ 85	SC 85.9	P 184	L 2	# 343
Dawe, Piers Avago Tech	hnologies		Dawe, Piers	6	Avago Techr	nologies	
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Auto-negotiation is an unnecessary burden on the copper links, and should not appear on front-pane		sary for these	clear er	y good that TP	Comment Status X 1, TP2 TP3 TP4 are position ley are exactly with respect to	the connector.	While for some
uggestedRemedy Delete Auto-negotiation from Clause 85. Remove table showing which port types could use Auto-ne Detection (see below), and which could use Train Formalize and extend 'Parallel Detection' (73.7.4.	egotiation proper, which ning.	h could use Parallel	used to active e <i>SuggestedF</i>	infer the perfo elements like tra Remedy	parameter measurements or rmance right next to the conr ansmitters and receivers, in g reference losses between ea	nector, For meas general this cann	urements of nonlinear ot be done.
properly specified Link Negotiation based on the p Negotiation. See presentation.			86: this parame	includes speci eter specs, whe	fying the loss between PMD are de-embedding is viable, g s can be assessed using eith	and TP2 in 85.8. ive the equivalen	3.1 Fig 85-3. For the S
roposed Response Response Status O			Proposed R	Response	Response Status O		
7/ 85 SC 85.8.2 P 18 awe, Piers Avago Tech	L 3 hnologies	# 342	<i>Cl</i> 86 Dawe, Piers	SC 86.2.1	Р 202 Avago Techr	L 44 nologies	# 344
<i>I</i> understand that 10 m is extremely challenging. bursts not just single errors, endangering mean ti			•	ng the propose	Comment Status X ed delay limits.		
Do investigations to quantify the level of difficulty. reasonable lengths of PCB traces give a channel defined for 10GBASE-KR in 802.3ap Annex 69B? complete condition for as low-BER link? Define a length and cable electrical spec above w the distance objective for Clause 85.	First, can a reasonat within the high confide Second, is that an a	ble 10 m cable with ence region as adequate or		the proposed of bit-times' twic t.	delay limits. If we continue to e. Now that reviewers have <i>Response Status</i> O		
roposed Response Response Status O			<i>Cl</i> 86 Dawe, Piers	SC 86.2.2	Р 203 Avago Techr	L 10 nologies	# 345
			Comment T Proposi	<i>ype</i> T ing skew limits	Comment Status X		
			SuggestedF	Remedy			
					o		
			PMD T>		nedium add, 200 ps PMD Rx		ps from PMA, 100 ps) ps returned to PMA.

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s ar	d 100Gb/s Et	hernet com	nments		Task force Review
<i>Cl</i> 86 <i>SC</i> 86.4.1 Dawe, Piers	P 204 Avago Techno	L 30 ologies	# 346	<i>Cl</i> 86 Dawe, Piers	SC 86.6.1	P 208 Avago Techno	L 11 logies	# 349
Comment Type T Editor's note	Comment Status X			Comment Ty Determin		Comment Status X ec or 99% jitter spec? Also at	PPI receive sid	le.
SuggestedRemedy See Anslow presentation	on and comment, remove edi	itor's note		SuggestedRe ?	emedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status O		
C/ 86 SC 86.5	P 207	L 18	# 347	C/ 86	SC 86.7.3	P 215	L1	# 350
Dawe, Piers	Avago Techno	ologies		Dawe, Piers		Avago Techno	logies	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Ty		Comment Status X		
Note to clause editor: o lane by lane signal det	check that 'There are no lane ect function.	assignments' is	compatible with e.g.	SFF-843	1 D3.1 with a	e voltage, Termination mismate ppropriate modifications (this is 2802.3ba co-located interim)		
SuggestedRemedy						002.30a co-located intening		
Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status 0				from SFF-84	31 D3.1 with appropriate modif ed before the P802.3ba co-loc		not issued at time of
				Proposed Re		Response Status 0	,	
C/ 86 SC 86.6.3 Dawe, Piers	P 210 Avago Techno	L 6 ologies	# 348					
Comment Type T	Comment Status X				SC 1.3	P 22	L 52	# 351
Have we allowed enough				Dawe, Piers		Avago Techno	logies	
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Ty		Comment Status X		
	owed enough for 100 m of fib	ore and a reason	able number of			he maintenance work to remove this by a 'change'	e all references	s to ANSI/EIA/IIA-455-
connectors, remember than the measured cor	ing that with a restricted laund nector loss. Reduce the nun ist Table 86-13, fill in TBDs in	ch, the actual co nbers in the mini	nnector loss is less imum column by 0.1 dB	SuggestedRe In the dra 'Change	emedy aft replace the following	reference Laser Diodes.'		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0				,	the 'insert' list, FOTP-127-A—Basic Spectral (Characterizatio	n of Laser Diodes.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments			Task force Review
C/ 01 SC 1.3 Dawe, Piers	P 22 Avago Techno	L 45 ologies	# 352	<i>Cl</i> 86 Dawe, Pie	SC 86.10.1	P 2 Avago	1 8 Technolog	<i>L</i> 45 gies	# 355
Comment Type T Co Another reference for the list	<i>mment Status</i> X (not sure if it's a norma	ative or informat	ve reference)	<i>Comment</i> Skew		<i>Comment Status</i> sustlin is 45 UI (4.5 ns			
SuggestedRemedy Add G.709				Suggested If this	-	sit the stress assump	tions in the	e skew mod	el.
Proposed Response Res	ponse Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status	0		
C/ 86 SC 86.9 Dawe, Piers	P 217 Avago Techno	L 28 ologies	# 353	<i>Cl</i> 86 Dawe, Pie	SC 86.10.2.1		I 9 Technolog	<i>L</i> 27 gies	# 356
Comment Type T Co	mment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	х		
Need a channel S-parameter	equation					le loss seems pretty	gross, muc	h higher th	an the uncabled fibre
SuggestedRemedy					Is it still that bad?	?			
One way to develop one wou trace lengths, but the SFP+ e			ratio of recommended	Suggested?	aRemedy				
Proposed Response Res	ponse Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status	0		
C/ A SC A Dawe, Piers	P 265 Avago Techno	L 14	# 354	<i>Cl</i> 86 Dawe, Pie	SC 86.10	P 2 '	I 9 Technolog	L 3	# 357
	mment Status X	ologico		Comment		Comment Status		gieo	
As we are not doing the main		ve all references	to ANSI/EIA/TIA-455-		51	tor's notes on this pa			
127-1991, we can't do this by normative references, so no l	•			Suggested			-		
SuggestedRemedy					ult the experts and	·	_		
Delete 'Change B8 as follows	Lasers Diodes.'			Proposed	Response	Response Status	0		
Proposed Response Res	ponse Status O								
				<i>Cl</i> A Dawe, Pie	SC A	P 20 Avago	5 5 Technolog	L 21 gies	# 358
				Comment SFP+	<i>Type</i> E D3.1 should be a	Comment Status available	х		
				S <i>uggested</i> Updat	dRemedy e reference Bx2				

C/ A SC A Dawe, Piers	P 266 Avago Techno	L 1 blogies	# 359	Cl 83A SC 83A.3.3 Dawe, Piers	P 283 Avago Tecl	L 7 nnologies	# 362
Comment Type E Blank page	Comment Status X			Comment Type T If you have stated the period' is slang.	Comment Status X e signalling rate there is no n	eed to give the u	nit interval, and 'Baud
SuggestedRemedy Continue learning how	to stop Frame from doing this	5!		SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			in Table 83A-1.	nding Baud period is nomina	illy 96.96969697	ps.' and the similar row
	P 281	L 16	# 360	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Dawe, Piers	Avago Techno	-		C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3	.3 P 284	L 42	# 363
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Dawe, Piers	Avago Tecl	nnologies	-
Isn't it quite feasible to comply to both at once	o interoperate between a nAUI	lane and an XF	spec part? Even to	Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy					ne Return Loss limits in Figur Ir scale with loss being positi		
Unless this is not so, s XFP document.	say that this spec is similar to Response Status O	XFI (part of XFP), add reference for	be plotted in log linea reconciled similar to t Just because anothe	r scale with loss limits in Figure the definition or plots in base r clause did or didn't use a lo a another clause didn't use S	ive. The definition spec 802.3-2008 og frequency scal	n or formatting to be 3 Annex 69B'. e does not tie our
Unless this is not so, s XFP document.		XFI (part of XFP), add reference for	be plotted in log linea reconciled similar to t Just because anothe hands. Just because	r scale with loss being positi the definition or plots in base r clause did or didn't use a lo	ive. The definition spec 802.3-2008 og frequency scal	n or formatting to be 3 Annex 69B'. e does not tie our
	Response Status 0 P 283	L 21), add reference for # <u>361</u>	be plotted in log linea reconciled similar to t Just because anothe hands. Just because using S-parameters. SuggestedRemedy	r scale with loss being positi the definition or plots in base r clause did or didn't use a lo	ve. The definition e spec 802.3-2008 bg frequency scale -parameters does	n or formatting to be 3 Annex 69B'. e does not tie our sn't preclude us from
Unless this is not so, s XFP document. Proposed Response Cl 83A SC 83A.3.3 Dawe, Piers	Response Status O	L 21		be plotted in log linea reconciled similar to t Just because anothe hands. Just because using S-parameters. SuggestedRemedy Do the right thing for	ar scale with loss being positi the definition or plots in base r clause did or didn't use a lo e another clause didn't use S	ve. The definition e spec 802.3-2008 bg frequency scale -parameters does	n or formatting to be 3 Annex 69B'. e does not tie our sn't preclude us from
Unless this is not so, s XFP document. Proposed Response Cl 83A SC 83A.3.3 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Table too narrow	Response Status O P 283 Avago Techno Comment Status X	L 21		be plotted in log linea reconciled similar to t Just because anothe hands. Just because using S-parameters. SuggestedRemedy Do the right thing for be welcome.	ar scale with loss being positi the definition or plots in base r clause did or didn't use a lo another clause didn't use S our circumstances. S-paran <i>Response Status</i> O	L 23	n or formatting to be 3 Annex 69B'. e does not tie our sn't preclude us from
Unless this is not so, s XFP document. Proposed Response Cl 83A SC 83A.3.3 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Table too narrow SuggestedRemedy Resize LH column to c	Response Status O P 283 Avago Techno Comment Status X	L 21		be plotted in log linea reconciled similar to t Just because anothe hands. Just because using S-parameters. SuggestedRemedy Do the right thing for be welcome. Proposed Response Cl 83A SC 83A.3.4 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T	ar scale with loss being positi the definition or plots in base r clause did or didn't use a lo another clause didn't use S our circumstances. S-paran <i>Response Status</i> O	ve. The definition e spec 802.3-2008 og frequency scale -parameters does neters are good.	n or formatting to be 3 Annex 69B'. e does not tie our sn't preclude us from Vertical grid lines would
Unless this is not so, s XFP document. Proposed Response Cl 83A SC 83A.3.3 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Table too narrow SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P 283 Avago Techno Comment Status X	L 21		be plotted in log linea reconciled similar to t Just because anothe hands. Just because using S-parameters. SuggestedRemedy Do the right thing for be welcome. Proposed Response CI 83A SC 83A.3.4 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T As one of these lines SuggestedRemedy	ar scale with loss being positi the definition or plots in base r clause did or didn't use a lo e another clause didn't use S our circumstances. S-paran <i>Response Status</i> O 5 <i>P</i> 288 Avago Tecl <i>Comment Status</i> X is the same as a line in Fig and put the four limits (three t	ve. The definition e spec 802.3-2008 og frequency scale- parameters does neters are good.	a or formatting to be 3 Annex 69B'. e does not tie our sn't preclude us from Vertical grid lines woul # <u>364</u>

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Task force Review

C/ 83A SC 83A.3.4.5	P 286 Avago Technol	L 48 ogies	# 365	<i>CI</i> 45 Dawe, Pier	SC 45.2.1	P 29 Avago Tech	L 6 nologies	# 368	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X	09.00		Comment		Comment Status X			
'non-EQ Jitter (TJ - ISI)' 1	There's no definition of what of 'ISI'. I suspect if I saw o			The de PMA n proxy i other v	evice address nay now be se s used. Even vith the preser	structure of Clause 45 dates f parate, they cannot always be then, one loses the ability to at allocation of MMDs to regis ny port, with multiple possible	e managed as a s control each one i ters. Also, there o	ingle MMD unless a independent of the can be multiple	
roposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested	Remedy				
2/83A SC 83A.5 lawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> T Like a PMA or PCS claus	P 291 Avago Technol <i>Comment Status</i> X e, nAUI is completely on a s	-	# <u>366</u>	(the ne to disti 40G/10	ext available is nguish betwee DOG PMAs fro n PMA, but th	the PMD with device address 8) to PMA. Use a register wi en multiple PMDs of the same m 1 to 8, put the new stuff in a ere could be two sorts like the <i>Response Status</i> O	thin address 8 as port. Copy the o 8. I believe a nAL	an addressing scheme Id stuff relevant to JI interface can count	
doesn't need environment uggestedRemedy Delete the subclause		Ū		C/ 99 Dawe, Pier	SC 99	P 4 Avago Tech	L 49 nologies	# 369	
	Response Status O			Comment I doub Suggested	t that errata fo	Comment Status X r all the world's standards are	available at this l	JRL.	
7 88 SC 88.1 awe, Piers	P 243 Avago Technol	L 21 ogies	# 367	Chang	e 'all other sta	ndards' to 'all other IEEE star	ndards'		
omment Type T Won't 100GBASE-ER4 si suitably low BER reliably?	Comment Status X uffer from SOA noise and w	ill benefit from	FEC to achieve a	Proposed I	Response	Response Status O			
uggestedRemedy				C/ 85	SC 85.1	P 171	L 32	# 370	
Add FEC to Table 88-1, at least as an option, and I suspect mandatory for 100GBASE–ER4. Do more investigation to find out if it needs be mandatory: maybe only for the longest links. Proposed Response Response Status O				Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status X The copper-cable receivers are expected to rely even more on long DFE than Backplane Ethernet, and so when errors happen, moderately long error bursts are very probable. Thi overwhelms the CRC's error-detecting guarantee. These port types do not go into closed					
				system	ns as Backpla	ne Ethernet ports do, so the s t acceptance rather than the s	tandard has to tak	ke responsibility for	
				Suggested	-				
				detecti	on. This is sig	rror detection must be manda gnificantly less onerous than r g errors is a step beyond dete	equiring mandato	ry full FEC error	

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Task force Review

C/ 99 SC 99	Р3	L 8	# 371		SC 84.8	P 166	L 16	# 374
Dawe, Piers	Avago Techno	ologies		Dawe, Piers		Avago Techn	ologies	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	oe TR	Comment Status X		
conciously						which says 'the PMD sublayer ure 72-1. The electrical path fr		
SuggestedRemedy consciously				from TP4	to the rece	iver block, will affect link perfor used to verify conformance to	mance and the i	measured values of
Proposed Response	Response Status O			that a boa expected	ard from vei to interope	his path be carefully designed.' ndor A, a backplane from B and rate reliably, because each of t	another board	from C can be as much of the shared
CI 99 SC 99	P 4	L 5	# 372			e pleases. This is not an interc me ICs. Is this what we want?	perability spec,	it's just an
Dawe, Piers	Avago Techno	ologies		SuggestedRe	medy			
Comment Type E .Section	Comment Status X			the conne	ctors (Clau	e: make it into a proper interope se 86 will have to do much of t or accept that it's not a proper	hat work anyway	
Frame option to stop : Line 18, change 'of th Line 23, use new .3av	Gb/s over a line break. Use no s being split from Gb/. e IEEE Std 802.3 standard witl / clause numbers (75 to 77, 75. ration point-to-multipoint' to 'ope	n' to 'of IEEE S A, 75B, 75C, 7	td 802.3 with' 6A)	Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O							
C/ 99 SC 99 Dawe, Piers	P 10 Avago Techno	L 49 blogies	# 373					
Comment Type E There is a newer vers	Comment Status X							
SuggestedRemedy Ask P802.3av for it								
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

2/45 SC 45.2.1.84	P 45	L 28	# 375	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.8	4 P 45	L 15	# 376		
Dawe, Piers	Avago Techn	ologies		Dawe, Piers	S	Avago Tech	inologies			
Comment Type TR Com The moderate power taken by generation), error detection (Cf 64B/66B and error marking. A error correction; all the rest is s correction and optional PCS er approaching 10 m, we need FE scenarios e.g. 40GBASE-KR4, detection as well.	RC checking), error c significant fraction o traightforward. Most ror marking. In some C for its excellent er	orrection, and re f the power and f of the latency is e scenarios e.g. ror detection cap	e-coding as non-FEC complexity goes in a taken by error a copper cable pability. In other		shorter name tion, which ma Remedy ?	Comment Status X than 'Backplane/Copper/TBl y evolve over the months an Response Status 0		ing neutral as to		
But when a particular link is up can switch the correction off, w gives excellent error detection,	ith no need for hands and remains compa	shaking with the tible with PCS er	transmitter. This still ror indication. In	<i>Cl</i> 74 Dawe, Piers	SC 74.8	P 81 Avago Tech	L 25 inologies	# 377		
principle this could be done lan				Comment 7	Type T	Comment Status X				
group. There is another comment for Clause 74, and a short presentation. SuggestedRemedy Add another register bit in Table 45-61, 1.170.2					PMA/PMD register names ('Backplane FEC') do not match Clause 45 ('Backplane/Copper/TBD FEC') in this draft. The former is too specific, the latter is too long. Need a shorter name: something neutral as to application, which may evolve over the months and years.					
A read of 1 in this bit indicates but not correcting received error	xxx FEC error correction disable ability A read of 1 in this bit indicates that the xxx FEC sublayer is able to operate while detecting but not correcting received errors.									
RO Insert new 45.2.1.84.1 xxx FEC When read as a one, bit 1.170. while detecting but not correcti	2 indicates that the >	xx FEC decoder	is able to operate	Proposed F	Response	Response Status O				
xxx FEC decoder is not able to Add another register bit in Tabl 1.171.2	operate while detect			<i>Cl</i> 69 Dawe, Piers	SC 69.1.1 s	P 69 Avago Tech	L 11 Inologies	# 378		
FEC error correction disable A write of 1 to this bit configures the xxx FEC decoder to operate while detecting but not correcting received errors. R/W						Comment Status X ex Physical Layer signaling sy are which Physical Layer sign				
Insert new 45.2.1.85.1 10 Gb/s This bit instructs the xxx FEC or received errors (see 74.7.4.5)		SuggestedRemedy Change 'is extended to include' to 'includes', three times.								
When bit 1.171.2 written as a one, if 1.171.1 is one, the xxx FEC decoder shall operate while detecting but not correcting received errors (see 74.7.4.5). When bit 1.171.2 is written as a zero, the xxx FEC decoder shall either correct as well as detect received errors according to 74.7.4.5, or neither detect nor correct, as determined by bits 1.170.0 and 1.171.0.					Response	Response Status O				
The default value of bit 1.171.2	is zero.									

Draft 1.0 Commer	ts	IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	l 100Gb/s	Ethernet con	nments			Task force Review
C/ 80 SC 80.1.: Dawe, Piers	B P 86 Avago Tech	L 5	# 379	Cl 87 King, Jon	SC 87.11	F	P 239 inisar	L 16	# 381
SuggestedRemedy Use upper and low	Comment Status X clauses should do things prope er case as normal, e.g. change Also in following clauses. Response Status O		LAYERS' to 'LAN	Limiti Optic cablir keep	ble 87-13, Optica ng factor here is al return loss 26 ng channel chara	dB or greater is co acteristics; with a cround trip coher	BD dB ions leading onsistent wit transmitter r	h Clause 52 10G eflectance of -12	ference at the receiver. BASE-ER Fibre optic dB max, this would rox 0.25dB
C/ 80 SC 80.1.: Dawe, Piers	B P 86 Avago Tech	L 36 Inologies	# 380	Suggeste Last	dRemedy ow of Table 87-	13 becomes			
Comment Type E	Comment Status X	dn't matter, we wo	ouldn't say it.	•	al return loss (m	,			
SuggestedRemedy Delete					ow of Table 87-		-10		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			•	al return loss (m Response	in) 26 26 26 Response Sta			
				<i>CI</i> 86 King, Jon	SC 86.10.2. athan		P 219 inisar	L 43	# 382
				Comment The T	51	Comment Sta 2.1 are inconsiste		tandard cabling	nodel shown in Fig 86-5
				Make The r 1.5 d and s inser loss p provid	naximum link dis B total connectic plice loss. For e ion per connection o ded the	on xample, this alloca	ode fiber are ation suppor tions with di	e calculated base ts 2 connections fferent loss char	ed on an allocation of

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Draft 1.0 Comments	IEEE P80	2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s Etherne	t comments		Task force Review	
Cl 87 SC 87.7.2 P 233 King, Jonathan Finisar	L 42	# 383	C/ 86 SC 86 King, Jonathan	. 7.4.7 <i>P</i> 215 Finisar	L 50	# 385	
Comment Type T Comment Status X paragraph requires a valid 40GBASE-R signal pattern to be used. (the note in 87.7.1 says test patterns are not v also applies to 88.8.2 SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy	sk measurement details missing. 02.3aq (Clause 68.6.5) describing		e mask measurements.	
add text to end of paragraph:			CI 87 SC 87	.7.5 P 234	L 37	# 386	
' valid 40GBASE-R signal, or test pattern re	erenced in Table 87-1	0.'	King, Jonathan	Finisar			
similar remedy for 88.8.2 Proposed Response Response Status O			Comment Type	is undefined			
CI 87 SC 87.7.1 P 233	L 31	# 384	SuggestedRemedy				
King, Jonathan Finisar			Add wording ext	racted from Editors note (p234 lin	e 42ff), and refere	ence to G959.1 :	
Comment Type T Comment Status X No Table of Test Patterns			that the ratio of	passband ripple shall be limited t the power in the lane being meas reater than 20 dB (See G959.1 An	ured to the sum of		
also applies to Clause 88			. –				
SuggestedRemedy			and remove Edi				
Insert table similar to Table52–21—Test patter	ns in clause 52		Proposed Response	e Response Status O			
into section 87.7.1 and 88.8.1							
with: Pattern 1 TBD Pattern 2 TBD Pattern 3 PRBS31b PRBS31c							
and notes under table as:							
aThis pattern is defined in TBD. bThis is the test-pattern checker defined in 49. cThis is the test-pattern checker defined in 50.							
Proposed Response Response Status O							

Draft 1.0) Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Task force Review			
<i>CI 87</i> King, Jonatl	SC 87.7.1	P 233 Finisar	L 36	# 387	C/ 86 King, Jona	SC 86.6.1 athan	Р 208 Finisar	L 14	# 389
		Comment Status X y TBD, this is a general state ers	ment about test	patterns used for	<i>Comment</i> Table Eye n	86-6	Comment Status X s: X1,X2,Y1,Y2 and conditions	contain TBDs	
also ap	oplies to 88.8.2				Use S	SFP+MSA mask	and coordinates for TP1		
SuggestedF New tex	Remedy ext for Note				Suggeste Use S	-	and coordinates for TP1		
	- Although test pa 0GBASE-R signa	atterns are designed to emula als.	ate system opera	ation, they do not form		nask coordinates ition becomes <	s: X1,X2,Y1,Y2 become 0.12, 5e-5 hit rate.	0.33, 95, 350	
Proposed R	Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
<i>CI</i> 87 King, Jonatl	SC 87.7.2	P 233 Finisar	L 42	# 388	C/ 86 King, Jona	SC 86.6.1 athan	P 208 Finisar	L 42	# 390
Sugges This va	esolution is TBD st use 0.1nm alue is small eno	Comment Status X ugh to allow accurate waveler ly available OSAs	ngth measureme	ent, and is readily	2	86-7 nask coordinates	Comment Status X s: X1,X2,Y1,Y2 and conditions and coordinates for TP1	contain TBDs	5.
					Suggeste				
SuggestedF	oplies to 88.8.2 <i>Remedy</i> e TBD with 0.1nn	n			Eye n		and coordinates for TP1 s: X1,X2,Y1,Y2 become 0.12, 5e-5 hit rate.	0.33, 95, 350	
Proposed R	Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
					C/ 86 Petrilla, Jo	SC 86.7.4.6	P 215 Avago Techno	L 43 blogies	# 391
					Comment There accep	e is a proposal fo	Comment Status X r Table 86-8 to use the Tx eye 6.7.4.6 can be deleted.	mask as the	aggregate test. If
					Suggester If prop	dRemedy	6-8 to use the Tx eye mask as	the aggregat	e test is accepted, delete
						Response	Response Status O		

Comment ID # 391

Page 73 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:18 PM

Draft	1.0	Comments
-------	-----	----------

C/ 86	SC 86.4.2	P 204	L 47	# 392	CI 86	SC 86.6.2	P 209	L 23	# 395
Petrilla, John	n	Avago Techn	ologies		Petrilla, Jo	ohn	Avago Tech	nologies	
Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
199, line	30, the term,	r ten, is introduced and used n + 1, is used and is more su		s. Previously, page	(OMA) and Extinction	for entries Average launch p ratio show only one significa have two significant digits.		
SuggestedRe	,				Suggeste		nave two significant digits.		
Except fo	or page 199, re	eplace all instances of the ph	rase, the four or	ten, with n + 1.		-	e the entries for Average laur	och nowor Optica	Modulation Amplitude
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status 0					ratio to show two significant		
					Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
CI 86	SC 86.2.2	P 203	L 10	# 393					
Petrilla, John	ı	Avago Techn	ologies		C/ 86	SC 86.6.3	P 209	L 52	# 396
Comment Ty	rpe T	Comment Status X			Petrilla, Jo		Avago Tech	-	
		ot defined nor does there app			Comment		Comment Status X		
		ial in the logical domain, whe				51	"power in OMA" in the sente	noo "A cignol with	a nawar in
is not inc		ctrical or optical it appears in	nportant to defin	e skew such that jitter			ower not within the ranges giv		
							deleted from Table 86-8 or is		
SuggestedRe	•	ant sub aloung to aloung 96	7 auch that iittar	is not conturad in the	Suggeste	dRemedy			
	ew measurem easurement.	ent sub-clause to clause 86.	7 such that jitter	is not captured in the	00		e, A signal with power in OMA	and average pov	ver not within the
Proposed Re		Response Status O			range		be compliant, to, A signal with		
					Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 86	SC 86.6.1	P 208	L 37	# 394					
Petrilla, John	ı	Avago Techn	ologies		C/ 86	SC 86.6.3	P 210	L 11	# 397
Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status X			Petrilla, Jo		Avago Tech		# 397
		entry for Total Jitter tolerance	at TP1a has a v	value of 0.3. This has			0	noiogies	
		or jitter since it permits a rang			Comment		Comment Status X		
		wo significant digits.					aracteristic, "Optical Modulati deleted from Table 86-8 or is		
SuggestedRe	,			<i>.</i>	Suggeste			č	
In Table	86-7, change	the min entry for Total Jitter t	tolerance at TP1	a from 0.3 to 0.30.	00		the characteristic, "Optical M	odulation Amplitu	de (OMA), each lane"
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status O					m Table 86-8 or is changed to		
					Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
							•		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft	1.0	Comments
-------	-----	----------

C/ 86	SC 86.6.4	P 210	L	# 398	C/ 86	SC 86.7.4.7.1	P 216	L 3	# 401
Petrilla, Jo	ohn	Avago Techn	ologies		Petrilla, Jo	ohn	Avago Techr	nologies	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
	only a single sigr	entries for "Damage threshold nificant digit and lack sufficier		power at receiver input"	this m optica	hask has an absolu I eye mask, the ve	Table 86-8 to use the Tx ey te values for the vertical co rtical dimensions are fixed	oordinate, the sei	ntence "Unlike the
00	,	Values entries for "Damage	threshold" and	"Average power at	Ũ	r applicable			
		v at least two significant digits			Suggeste				
Proposed	Response	Response Status 0			the se		8 to use the Tx eye mask a optical eye mask, the vert		
<i>Cl</i> 86 Petrilla, Jo	SC 86.7.4.3	P 215 Avago Techn	L 28 ologies	# 399	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X			C/ 86	SC 86.6.1	P 208	L 11	# 402
		Table 86-8 to replace OMA		ate test. If accepted	Petrilla, Jo	ohn	Avago Techr	nologies	
subcla	ause 86.7.4.3 cai	n be deleted or labeled as inf	ormative.		Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
	proposal for Tabl	e 86-8 to replace OMA with a	an aggregate te	st is accepted, deleted	Table	86-6, has blank e	ntries for TP1a Determinist ces of units for TJ and DJ s		d units of UI. There
		6.7.4.3 as informative.			Suggeste	dRemedy			
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			the U	nits column entry t	eterministic Jitter output, en o UI pk-pk. Check other Ta ite, change UI to UI pk-pk.		
<i>CI</i> 86 Petrilla, Jo	SC 86.7.7.4	P 215 Avago Techn	L 32 ologies	# 400		Response	Response Status O		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X							
		Table 86-8 to use the Tx eye 5.7.4.4 can be deleted.	e mask as the a	ggregate test. If	<i>Cl</i> 86 Petrilla, Jo	SC 86.6.1	Р 208 Avago Techr	L 14 nologies	# 403
Suggested	dRemedy				Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
	oosal for Table 86 ause 86.7.4.4.	6-8 to use the Tx eye mask a	s the aggregate	e test is accepted, delete		ole 86-6, there's a	TBD for eye mask coordina	ate X2 and anoth	er in the Conditions
Proposed	Response	Response Status 0			Suggeste	dRemedy			
							e TBD for eye mask coord		
					Proposed	Response	Response Status O		

C/ 86 SC 86.6.1	P 208	L 42	# 404	CI 86	SC 86.6.2	P 209	L 36	# 406
Petrilla, John	Avago Techno	logies		Petrilla, Joh		Avago Tech	nologies	
Comment Type TR In Table 86-7 there's column. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X a TBD for Eye mask coordinate	X2 and anothe	r in the Conditions	coordin	e 86-8, the ent ates which are	Comment Status X ry for Transmitter eye mask of a not required, does not label es in the Type and Value colu	the coordinates a	s Specification values
55	e the TBD for eye mask coordin	ate X2 with 0.25	5 and delete the TBD in	Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed Response	nn or replace it with a reference <i>Response Status</i> O			Specifi coordin for Y1	cation values (ates, split the (againing using	neader row to label the Trans See Tables 86-6 & 7 as exan remaining coordinates into tw g Tables 86-6 & 7 as example 5 as the value for X2 and 176	nples.), delete X3 ro rows, one for X rs), replace the TE	, Y2 and Y3 1 & X2 and the other 3D and enter 0.225 as
C/ 86 SC 86.6.2 Petrilla, John Comment Type TR	P 209 Avago Techno Comment Status X	L 24 logies	# 405	for X1 a there is masks	& X2 and uW as no applicable where Y1 is a	as units for Y1 and add a refe figure in subclauses 86.6.2 o n absolute value, create a new erwise appropriate.	rence to subclaus or 86.7.4.7 (nor 83	e 86.7.4.7. Since 8A.3.3.5) for Tx eye
Amplitude(OMA), Ag	naracteristics, Optical Modulatior ggregate signal parameter, and F mask as the aggregate signal pa	RIN12OMA can		Proposed F		Response Status O		
	or label as informative the char ggregate signal parameter, and			<i>CI</i> 86 Petrilla, Joh	SC 86.6.4	P 210 Avago Techi	L 35 nologies	# 407
	gregate signal parameter.			Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status X		
Proposed Response	Response Status O					e column entries are TBD for a osure penalty, and Stressed e		ed receiver sensitivity in
				Suggested	Remedy			
				In Tobl	0 96 10 ohon	wa Malua asluma TDD fan Chu		
						penalty to 1.67, and Stressed		nsitivity in OMA to -5.4 7.

C/ 86 SC 8	6.6.5	P 211	L 29	# 408
Petrilla, John		Avago Techno	ologies	
		ent Status X Eye mask coordina	ate X2 and anot	her in the Conditions
SuggestedRemedy In Table 86-11,		for Eye mask coord	linate X2 from T	BD to 0.50 and either
		for Eye mask coord column or change		

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

Draft 1.0 Comments)2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s Eth	d 100Gb/s Ethernet comments				
<i>Cl</i> 86 SC 86.6.5 Petrilla, John	P 211 Avago Techn	L 52 ologies	# 409	<i>Cl</i> 80 Ganga, Ilango	SC 80.1.4	Р 87 Intel	L 21	# 412
column. SuggestedRemedy In Table 86-12, change	Comment Status X a TBD for Eye mask coordin the TBD for Eye mask coor conditions column or change Response Status O	dinate X2 from TI	BD to 0.50 and either	Comment Typ Typo: cha SuggestedRe per comn Proposed Re	ange to "at le e <i>medy</i> nent	Comment Status X aast" Response Status O		
	P 212	L 34	# 410	<i>Cl</i> 82 Ganga, Ilango	SC 82.2.21	P 139 Intel	L 35	# 413
SuggestedRemedy	Avago Techn Comment Status X a TBD for Allocation for pena the TBD for Allocation for pe	lties.		Comment Typ instead o documen SuggestedRe Per comr	f usec, use t t. emedy	Comment Status X he "micro" symbol for microse	cond. See pa	age 10 for symbols used in
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status O		
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.87 Ganga, Ilango	b P 48 Intel	L 12	# 411	Ganga, Ilango		P 148 Intel	L 44	# 414
Comment Type E repetition of lanes lanes SuggestedRemedy per comment	Comment Status X s, delete "lanes"			Comment Typ typo char SuggestedRe per comn	nge to "PMA emedy	Comment Status X is in"		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status O		

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P80)2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s Ethernet cor	Task force Review		
C/ 83 SC 83.5 Ganga, Ilango	P 152 Intel	L 14	# 415	C/ 80 SC 80.3 Ganga, Ilango	P 89 Intel	L 25	# 418
Comment Type E typo, change to "specif	Comment Status X			Comment Type ER Change "PHY implen	Comment Status X nentors" to "PHY implementation	ons"	
line 23, typo change to SuggestedRemedy per comment	"adjascent"			SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
C/ 83 SC 83.6.2 Ganga, Ilango	P 153 Intel	L3	# 416	Cl 85 SC 85.7.1 Ganga, llango Comment Type E double period (). dei	P 177 Intel Comment Status X	<i>L</i> 10	# 419
Comment Type E to be consistent change also on line 8, change t				Line 14, typo: change SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy per comment				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 85 SC 85.10 Ganga, llango	P 191 Intel	L 17	# 420
<i>Cl</i> 00 SC 0 Ganga, Ilango	P 4 Intel	L 29	# [417	<i>Comment Type</i> E line 17: typo, change line 24: typo, change	Comment Status X to "differential" to "transmitter"		
Comment Type ER IEEE 802.3az: Replace	Comment Status X	clause/annex nur	nber used by EEE.	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy	endment includes changes to			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

Draft 1.0 Commen	nts	IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s Ethernet c	omments		Task force Review
C/ 85 SC 85.11 Ganga, Ilango	.2 P 195	L 6	# 421		5.4 P 259 Intel	L 6	# 425
Comment Type E typo, change to "co	Comment Status X			Comment Type E typo, change to "se	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy per comment			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 87 SC 87.7. 1 Ganga, Ilango	1 P 233 Intel	L 36	# 422	CI A SC Ganga, Ilango	P 265 Intel	L 12	# 426
Comment Type E double period (), E SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response	Comment Status X Delete one period at the end of th Response Status O	e Note.		SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ge to "Alphabetical" e, change to "2008."		
				per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 87 SC 87.7.5 Ganga, Ilango	5.4 P 236 Intel	L 7	# 423		3 P 271	L 21	# 427
Comment Type E typo, change to "se SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X eperate"			Ganga, Ilango <i>Comment Type</i> E typo, change to "to	Intel <i>Comment Status</i> X lerance"		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy per comment			
C/ 88 SC 88.8.1 Ganga, Ilango	1 P 256 Intel	L 34	# 424	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X Delete a period at end of note.						
SuggestedRemedy							
Proposed Response	Boononoo Statua						

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 427

Page 79 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:19 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Task force Review

CI 00 SC 0	P 1	L 2	# 428	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.86	P 47	L 2	# 431
Ganga, Ilango	Intel			Ganga, Ilango	Intel		
Page3, Line 8: Typo, c	Comment Status X bo, change "Amendement" to change "conciously" to "conso	iously"		Comment Type E Double period (), delete SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X e a period		
page 3, line 10: typo, c page 3, line 37, typo, c	change consecuively to conse change to "superseded"	cutively		As per comment			
	riods, remove one period at e	end of sentence		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 45 SC 45.2.3	P 48	L 10	# 432
As per comment				Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type E Table 45-82 is incomplet shown here.	Comment Status X te - there are more element	s in the base do	cument that are not
				SuggestedRemedy Show table elements fro	m the base document or el	ipses where bloc	sks are ommitted.
C/ 01 SC 1.1.3.2	P 22	L 22	# 429				
Ganga, Ilango	Intel			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E "CGMII is is": delete or	Comment Status X						
SuggestedRemedy As per comment				Cl 74 SC 74.7.4.5 Barrass, Hugh	P 79 Cisco	L 39	# 433
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type E The editor's note i sno lo	Comment Status X onger required.		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.8	P 35	L 9	# 430	SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note			
Ganga, Ilango	Intel			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E Fix typo "usee" to "use	Comment Status X						
SuggestedRemedy Per comment							
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Ethernet con	nments			Task force Review	
C/ 82 SC 82.2.8 Barrass, Hugh	P 124 Cisco	L 26	# 434	<i>CI 82</i> Barrass, H	SC 82.2.9 Hugh	(P 126 Cisco	L 47	# 437	
Comment Type E It's not really a "regula	Comment Status X ar 66-bit block" since it doesn't	use a defined 6	4B/64B code.	<i>Comment</i> "on la	<i>Type</i> E ne 0 bits 0 to 65	Comment Sa are sent"	tatus X			
SuggestedRemedy Change "regular 66-bi	it block" "specially defined 66-I	oit block"		This p	paragraph writter	n by Yoda was				
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Chang	ge to a more tra	ditional word orde	er			
				Suggeste	dRemedy					
C/ 82 SC 82.2.8 Barrass, Hugh	P 125 Cisco	L 49	# 435	Chang	ge					
Comment Type E "that looks random an	Comment Status X ad has lots or transitions"								a lane 2 bits 132 to 197 to 329 are sent etc."	
	s typo, this phrase does not se	em right - what	does it mean to "look	to						
randon?" SuggestedRemedy									ts 132 to 197 are sent re sent on lane 0 etc."	
Change "that looks ra and irregular with mar	ndom and has lots or transition ny transitions"	ns" to "that is de	fined to be balanced	With	similar changes	to the following p	aragraph for	100G.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response St	atus O			
C/ 82 SC 82.2.9 Barrass, Hugh	P 126 Cisco	L 46	# [436	<i>Cl</i> 82 Barrass, F	SC 82.2.10 lugh		P 128 Cisco	L	# 438	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X d word - especially when it is fo	ollowed by "seria	l"	<i>Comment</i> "send	51	Comment Si e" implies that the		t as a vector.		
SuggestedRemedy Replace "parallel" with	n "separate"			Suggestee Chang	2					
Proposed Response	Response Status O			it sen	ds 4 bits (for 400	GBASE-R) or 20	bits (for 1000	GBASE-R) of tes	t pattern at a time	
				to						
					ds the test patte ns (for 100GBA		data streams	(for 40GBASE-F	R) or 20 separate data	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 81 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:19 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1	P 29	L 15	# 439	C/ 73 SC 73.5.1	P 73	L 27	# 441
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
	ne/Copper/TBD" is particularly it. It doesn't need to be perfect re required.				withstanding, the paragraph n e following paragraph regardin		
All of the usage in 80	2.3ba is BASE-R copper so th	at usada saams	to be the most	SuggestedRemedy			
	be some small exceptions for n			Delete the editor's n	ote and the paragraph in the e	xisting draft. Repl	ace with:
copper may not use t	s) but these can be covered w he same registers, but that brid			Change text as follo	ws (underlines & strikeouts wil	need to be adde	d by the editor):
it. SuggestedRemedy					ransmitted by local devices ca s; using 1, 4 or 10 lanes.	pable of operating	g in 1 Gb/s, 10Gb/s,
Change "Backplane/C	Copper/TBD" to "BASE-R copp	er"		73.5.1.1 DME electri	ical specifications		
Table 45-3 and all rel	ated 45.2.1 register definitions	i.		VO.0.1.1 DIME CICCU			
The factoria halos T		the second share	····) T he conductor of the	Change text as follow	WS:		
beginning of each reg	able 45-3 can be retained (with gister definition should mimic the second		ige). The verbage at the	transmitting DME pa	eristics shall meet the specification of the specif		
Remove the editor's r				73–1 at TP4 while re	eceiving DIME pages.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O				HY, DME pages shall be trans d be disabled as specified in 7		ne 0. The transmitter
C/ 45 SC 45.2.7 Barrass, Hugh	P 65 Cisco	L 46	# 440	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			C/ 74 SC 74.4.2	P 79	L 34	# 442
	BD" is ugly. This needs to be			Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
802.3ba, but also nee	eds "Backplane" for the other b	ackplane function	ons.	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
				<i>,</i> ,	suggests - a diagram is neede	d.	
		105 D C		SuggestedRemedy			
•••		ASE-R Conner"	in Table 45-133 and in	,			
•••	Copper/TBD" to "Backplane, B			Delete the editor's n	ote after doing what it says		
	Copper/TBD" to "Backplane, B. Response Status 0			Delete the editor's n Proposed Response	ote after doing what it says. <i>Response Status</i> 0		

Draft	1.0	Comments
-------	-----	----------

CI 74 SC 74.8	P 81	L 11	# 443	CI 82	SC 82.2.9	P 126	L 42	# 445
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			Barrass, H	lugh	Cisco		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
All of the register r	names need to change to match	Clause 45.				r bits of transmit data at a tin	•	the PCS is sending a 4
SuggestedRemedy				Dit Veo	ctor. I his is not ti	ne case, it is sending 4 datas	streams.	
Change the registe changed by anothe	er names for all the registers in T er comment).	able 74-1 to mat	ch Clause 45 (may be	Also,	is there a reason	why "four" is spelt out and "2	20" is not?	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggestee	dRemedy			
				Chang	ge "sends four bi	s of transmit data at a time"	to "sends four d	ata streams"
CI 82 SC 82	P 112	L1	# 444	Also c	hange "sends 20) bits of transmit data at a tim	ne" to "sends two	enty data streams"
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X							
	uces most of Clause 49 without a	any reference to	that clause. There are	C/ 82	SC 82.2.10	P 128	L1	# 446
	ns why this is a bad idea.			Barrass, H		Cisco		
	e definition of the 64B/66B PCS t & 100G. This may cause proble			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
planning to reuse	parts of their 10GBASE-R design es will not easily be noticed. This	s for 40G or 100	G. Subtle differences	The T Claus		rators description is incomple	ete - when comp	ared to the source in

between the clauses will not easily be noticed. This may be particularly difficult for developers of multi-rate implementations (e.g. 4 x 10G that also supports 40G - or other combination silicon development).

It also wastes time reviewing and commenting on pages of specification that are already in the standard. Not to mention that LOAs may have to be resubmitted for IP that is already in Clause 49.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite the clause so that copied text is referenced and only the changes and additions are included in this clause.

The commenter will supply complete text if required (based on the existing Clauses 49 and 82).

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

It does not describe how the seed is placed in the scrambler, inverted etc.

SuggestedRemedy

The full text of 49.2.8 needs to be copied in, then the references to the square wave and PRBS sequences removed.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Draft 1.0 Comment	S	IEEE P8	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet co	mments			Task force Review
C/ 85 SC 85.11. DiMinico, Christopher	2 <i>P</i> 195 MC Commun	L 6 nications	# 447	C/ 85 DiMinico,	SC Christopher		P 184 MC Communi	L 6 ications	# 449
Version 0.3 - Oct. 2, Pluggable (CXP) Int Cables, & Transceiv SFF-8642 which has SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ne CXP connector currently spe 2008 "120 Gb/s 12x Small For erface Specification for Cables, ers". Replace SFF-8092 with th been the stated intent (diminic ace SFF-8092 with SFF-8642. <i>Response Status</i> 0	m-factor , Active ne IBTA selected		asser <i>Suggeste</i> Edito accep paran	te Table 85–6– mbly TBD value <i>dRemedy</i> r to update Tab	-Cable assem s and addition le 85–6—Cabl mbly TBD valu	ns/deletions of cat	ole assembly pa	istics' summary with
C/ 00 SC DiMinico, Christopher Comment Type TR	P MC Commun Comment Status X	<i>L</i> nications	# 448	Comment	51	Commei	P 177 MC Communi Int Status X	L 22 ications	# 450
Provide TBD values	for 85.10 Transmitter and rece). Add TBD to equation as con			Figure <i>Suggeste</i> Add c Figure	e 85–2 to provid d <i>Remedy</i> channel test/refe e 85–2.	de channel de erence points	TP0 and TP5 to	on points for tes	ts and/or references.
differential controlled impedance printed of Insertion Loss(f) =(<br 20*((f*10^6)^2+-1.2E for all frequencies fr Insertion Loss(f) rep	tion loss (in dB with f in MHz) for incuit boards for each differenti 0.2032)*[20*log(e)*(2.00E-05*s E-30*(f*10^6)^3)] TBD dB form 100 MHz to 6000 MHz. resents 8 inches (0.2032 m) of ect and dielectric properties as	al lane shall be: sqrt(f*10^6)+1.1E the maximum fi	E-10*(f*10^6)+3.2E- tted attenuation (Amax)	Proposed	l Response	Response	e Status O		

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Draft	1.0	Comments
-------	-----	----------

C/ 85 SC 85.7.1	P 177	L 5	# 451	C/ 85	SC 85.9.4	P 186	L 46	# 453
DiMinico, Christopher	MC Communi	ications		DiMinico, Cl	nristopher	MC Commu	nications	
Comment Type TR Co. Add text for inclusion of TPO a SuggestedRemedy Delete text: The 40GBASE-C transmitter and receiver block to include the transmiter and insertion loss and the cable assembly inser	R4 and 100GBASE-CF ks receiver differential cor	R10 channel is o		specific individu	NEXT and MDI ations The us al impairments sis to limit cros	Comment Status X NEXT to be used in the ICR e of independent limit lines f are not uniquely distinguish stalk in relation to insertion	or each disturber ned i.e., they are	is unnecessary as the
Add text:The 40GBASE-CR4 transmitter (TP0) and receive to include the transmiter and insertion loss and the cable assembly inser TP0 and TP5 are reference p implemented system. Proposed Response Res	er blocks (TP5) receiver differential cor rtion loss as illustrated	ntrolled impedar in Figure 85–2.		187. (3) and fou coupled page 18 Since fo PMDs, 1	Add text under or ten receive into a receive 7 our or ten trans he NEXT that	page 186. (2)Delete equation r 85.9.4.1 Differential Near- e lanes are used to transfer lane will be from the four or mit and four or ten receive lan is coupled into a receive lan 3-20 page 187.(6) Delete lir	End Crosstalk: Si data between PM ten transmit lane anes are used to e will be from the	nce four or ten transmit Ds, the NEXT that is s. (4) Delete lines 8-9 transfer data between four or ten transmit
CI 85 SC	P 183	L 49	# 450	Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O		
C/ 85 SC DiMinico, Christopher	MC Communi	-	# 452					
Add channel subclause befor Rx_pcb IL) under channel sub	bclause to provide hieri							
consistent with channel/link to SuggestedRemedy	spology.							
 (1)Add channel subclause be >>85.x Channel The 40GBASE-CR4 and 1000 receiver blocks to include the printed circuit board insertion Figure 85-2. (2)Delete page 191, line 16-3 channel subclause 85.x 	GBASE-CR10 channel transmitter and receiv loss and the cable ass	l is defined betw ver differential co sembly insertion	veen the transmitter and ontrolled impedance loss as illustrated in					

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 85 SC 85.9.5 P 188 L 30 # 454	C/ 85 SC 85.9.x P 190 L 45 # 456			
DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications	DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications			
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Define FEXT and MDFEXT to be used in the ICR calculation and remove individual limit	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Add cable assembly ICR specification to limit the total multi-disturber			
specifications The use of independent limit lines for each disturber is unnecessary as the individual impairments are not uniquely distinguished i.e., they are combined on a power sum basis to limit crosstalk in relation to insertion loss. In addition, ELFEXT is unnecessary	cable assembly crosstalk noise. Add TBD to equation as contributions from IL and power sum crosstalk to ICR under consideration.			
as ICR enables crosstalk to insertion loss tradeoff.	SuggestedRemedy Add new subclause below 85.9.x Cable assembly power sum differential crosstalk >>85.9.x Cable assembly insertion loss to crosstalk ratio (ICR)			
SuggestedRemedy				
(1)Delete lines 30-54 page 188. (2)Delete lines 1-5 page 189.(3)Add text line 31 page 188>> Since four lanes or ten lanes are used to transfer data	The cable assembly insertion loss to crosstalk ratio (ICR) is the ratio of the cable assembly insertion loss to the total cable assembly crosstalk loss determined using Equation (89.xx).			
between PMDs, the FEXT that is coupled into a data carrying lane will be from the three other lanes or nine other lanes in the same direction.	ICR(f) = -IL(f) + PSXT(f) (TBD) dB			
 (5)Replace ELFEXT with FEXT 85.9.5.2 Multiple Disturber Far-End Crosstalk (MDFEXT) loss and globally. (6)Delete lines 8-9 page 189. (7)Delete lines 13-54 page 189.(7)Delete lines 15-43 page 190. Proposed Response Response Status O 	Add text: Assuming ICR is computed at N uniformly-spaced frequencies fn spanning the frequency range 100 MHz to 5156.25 MHz, ICRfit may be computed using Equations (85-x) through (85-x); utilize Equations (69B–19) through (69B–23. Add text: ICRfit shall be greater than or equal to ICRmin as defined by the following			
C/ 00 SC P L # 455 DiMinico. Christopher MC Communications	equation:Add TBD to equation as contributions from IL and power sum crosstalk to ICR under consideration.			
DiMinico, ChristopherMC CommunicationsComment TypeTRComment StatusX	Add equation: ICRfit(f)>/=ICRmin(f)=23.3-18.7*LOG((f*10^6)/(5*10^9))-2.5 (TBD) dB			
Define total power sum crosstalk to be used in the ICR calculation.	Add Figure to illustrate insertion loss to crosstalk ratio limit.			
SuggestedRemedy Add subclause line 45 page 190 85.9.x Cable assembly power sum differential crosstalk	Note: 2.5 dB of the 3 dB signal-to-noise ratio penalty related to insertion loss deviation embodied in 802.3ap ICRmin is applied as 2.5 dB ICRmin margin to account for reduction in ILD penalty for CR4 and CR10			
Add text below new subclause: The combined multi-disturber FEXT and multi-disturber NEXT, specified as the power sum of MDFEXT and MDNEXT, is determined using Equation (85-XX).	Proposed Response Response Status O			
Add power sum equation (85-XX) for total power sum crosstalk calculated from MDFEXT and MDNEXT.				
Proposed Response Response Status O				

85 SC P 185 L 50 # 4 Marine Objective NO Comparison # 4	C/ 85 SC 85.9.2 P185 L 14 # 458
MC Communications	DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Add cable assembly ILD specifications to limit cable assembly ILD.Add TBD to contributions from IL and power sum crosstalk to ICR under consideration.	Provide values for TBDs in cable assembly insertion loss (85-1) for sqrt(f) and f. Remove
Add cable assembly ILD specifications to limit cable assembly ILD.Add TBD to	uation as Provide values for TBDs in cable assembly insertion loss (85-1) for sqrt(f) and f. Remove 1/sqrt(f) term. Add TBD cable assembly insertion loss equation as contributions from IL and power sum crosstalk to ICR are still under consideration. SuggestedRemedy Replace TBDs with values in (85-1) Add TBD to equation as contributions from IL and power sum crosstalk to ICR under consideration. Insertion Insertion Loss (f) = 0.192749*sqrt(f)+0.001494*f TBD dB
	for 4000 MHz = f </= 10000 MHz<br Figure 85–5—Minimum cable assembly return loss (informative) to be provided in
	attachment. Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 85 SC 85.10 Chalupsky, David	P 191 Intel Corp.	L 16	# 460	<i>Cl</i> 73 Chalupsky	SC 73.6.4 , David	P 73 Intel Corp.	L 49	# 462
Comment Type E typo: "differential" is r SuggestedRemedy Change "diferential" t Proposed Response	Comment Status X nisspelled as "diferential" in 85. o "differential" Response Status O	10 section head	ding.	In Tab coppe We sh Propo variab	is unnecessary ole 45-3 (PMA/PI r registers shoul nould continue th se combining KI les as indicated	R4 and CR4 Technology Abili	y set the precede as feasible. ty fields, priority r	nt that backplane and resolution, and state
C/ 74 SC 74.8 Chalupsky, David	P 81 Intel Corp.	L 11	# [461	media meida	is backplane or may actually be	be set. In this case the the un copper. The Priority Resolut a bakplane, so the result wo d it doesn't matter. Combine	ion Table says to ould be to indicat	pick CR4, but the
	Comment Status X ames are "Backplane" but they s just a reminder that resolving t				73-1: Rename b	it A3 "40GBASE-KR4/CR4" g A5 to reserved.	Reclaim the rem	aining bits by naming
SuggestedRemedy Apply resolution of Ta	able 45-3 "Backplane/Copper/TI	BD" naming iss	ue to Table 74-1.			CR4 and KR4 into the same r		
Proposed Response	Response Status O	Ū		two ex	tisting varable na ption to "represe	GKR4 and 40GCR4 into the s ames, or make a combined na ints that the 40GBASE-KR4 c	ame like "40GCK	R4". Change the
						finition of single_link_ready: c 0GCKR4] = OK" as appropria		
				into th descri name "Backj	e same bit, sinc ption to read " for this bit can b plane/Copper/TE	oclause 45.2.7.12.2): combine e autoneg cannot distiguish. s negotiated to perform 40GE e resolved in the future to be BD" names that need to be re	Suggest using bi BASE-KR4 or 40 consistent with t	it 5. Change the bit 5 GBASE-CR4" (The he
				Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

	1 P 75	L 17	# 463	C/ 80 SC 80.1.1	P 85	L 15	# 465
Chalupsky, David	Intel Corp.			Dudek, Mike	JDSU		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
	on between CX4 and KX4 in aut			typo			
that it is up to the sys	ine 17-18 already indicate sthat stem implementer to distiguish h that we should remove CX4 st	KX4 form CX4 as	the PHY cannot.	SuggestedRemedy Change "based" to	"base"		
the PHY cannot distin	guish parallel detected KX4 from	n CX4.		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy							
10GBASE-CX4" inse	er sentence "Additionally, parall ert "Parallel detection of 10GBA Type to 10GBASE-KX4 in the m	SE-CX4 should b	be indicated by setting	<i>Cl</i> 80 SC 80.1.4 Dudek, Mike	P 87 JDSU	L 18	# 466
	age 76 line 8: delete the variablete line with "link_status_[10GC		CX4.	Comment Type T The wording in this	Comment Status X	ables are not co	mpliant.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy	a physical medium of" to "r		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 .7 Dudek, Mike	76 P 39 JDSU	L 33	# 464	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
sense to be changing	Comment Status X ng changed in this draft (includin g this subclause if Clause 72 PM le PMD 10GBASE-KR (ie Claus	MD's are the only	ones being used and	<i>Cl</i> 83 SC 83.1.4 Dudek, Mike	P 146 JDSU	<i>L</i> 1	# 467
	MD's than 10GBASE-KR). Othe	erwise the ISO re		Comment Type T	Comment Status X ne 1 implies that only the items	in table 83-1 are	supportable Howey
other clauses should					cample PMA variants". A 2 lan	e solution I believ	
other clauses should uggestedRemedy reference other claus	ses besides clause 72 on line 36			100G and might be		e solution I believ	
other clauses should SuggestedRemedy reference other claus items besides 10GB/	ses besides clause 72 on line 36 ASE-KR (and change it's title). /			100G and might be SuggestedRemedy	used in the future.		ve is supportable at
other clauses should SuggestedRemedy reference other claus	ses besides clause 72 on line 36 ASE-KR (and change it's title). /			100G and might be SuggestedRemedy Either include all the 1 to "Table 83-1 sur		ble 83-1 or chang	ve is supportable at ge the sentence on lin

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P80	2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s a	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet o	comments		Task force Review
C/ 83 SC 83.6.7 Dudek, Mike	P 155 JDSU	L 39	# 468	C/ 85 SC 85.1 Dudek, Mike	P 171 JDSU	L 22	# 471
Comment Type T It would be highly desir SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X able to include the prbs9 fund	tion as suggeste	d in the TBD note	Comment Type T There is a problen optional	Comment Status X n in Table 85-1. XLAUI isn't applie	cable to 100GBA	ASE-CR, but CAUI is
Add the PRBS9 test pa	ittern.			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Either label the 83 appropriate chang	A row as XLAUI/CAUI or insert ar es.	additional row	for CAUI and make the
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 83 SC 83.6 Dudek, Mike	<i>P</i> 156 JDSU	L 3	# 469	C/ 85 SC 85.7	.4 P178	L 44	# 472
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Dudek, Mike	JDSU	L 77	# 472
I agree that 8ones follo	wed by 8 zeros is a good cho	ice		Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Implement the 8one 8 z	zero and remove the TBD's			Cables are remov Fail if the link is br	able (not like backplanes). What roken.	will cause Signa	al Detect to become
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy			
				good. If it won't t	I create system reset then an info hen change the function to include pletion of start up protocol.		
C/ 84 SC 84.8 Dudek, Mike	P JDSU	L 166	# 470	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X						
2	ore crosstalk in a KR4 system	than in a KR sys	stem.	C/ 85 SC 85.1		L 42	# 473
SuggestedRemedy	additional proportally and inclu	da tham in ahan	rad analog . In the	Dudek, Mike	JDSU		
meantime add an edito	additional crosstalk and inclu rs note saying "Editors note t stalk in the KR4 system is ur	be removed pri	or to pulication. The	Comment Type T Connectors can't i	Comment Status X meet the requirements of both style	le 1 and style 2.	
Proposed Response	Response Status O	-		SuggestedRemedy Change "(Style 1)	and 85.11.1.2 (Style 2)" to "(Style	le 1) or 85.11.1.:	2 (Style 2)"
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		

CI 86	SC 86.5	P 207	L 21	# 474
Dudek. M	like	JDSU		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status X

Although there are no requirements on the physical location of the various lanes within the group of lanes there is a requirement for knowing which fibers in the MTP are used for Tx. which are used for Rx and which are not used.

SugaestedRemedv

insert the word "electrical" so that the sentence becomes ".... where the electrical lanes are physically "

insert two subsections.

"86.5.1 Optical lane assignments for 40GBASE-SR4

Although the location of lanes within the group of Tx lanes is not required, it is necessary to define the positions of the Tx lanes and Rx lanes within the ribbon fiber connector. Figure xxx shows the location.

86.5.2 Optical lane assignments for 100GBASE-SR10

Although the location of lanes within the group of Tx lanes is not required, it is necessary to define the positions of the Tx lanes and Rx lanes within the ribbon fiber connector. Figure yyy shows the location."

Figure xxx to be as in INF-8438i figure 20 with the following changes. Title becomes 40GBASE-SR MDI optical receptacle and channel orientations. Replace the row saying Transmit and recieve Channel rows with xxxx. Add an additional row with "Unused positions" and place XXXX in the middle 4 positions.

Figure yvy to say "TBD. Editors note to be removed prior to publication The figure will show the fibers at the edge of a 12 fiber ribbon as unused positions (ie fiber numbers 1 and 12 are unused.) "

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 00 SC Р L JDSU

Dudek, Mike

Comment Type Е Comment Status X

It would be good to label Table 86-6 with "at TP1a" at the end of the title.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 86	SC 86.6.1	P 208	L 11	# 476
Dudek, N	1ike	JDSU		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Di in the Tx has been shown by the SFF8431 committee to be a poorer predictor of link performance than DDPWS and DDJ

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the Deterministic Jitter Output rows in Table 86-6 and Table 86-7 with two rows. "TP1a Data Dependent Jitter Output Max TBD

"TP1a Data Dependent Pulse Width Shrinkage Output Max TBD

Add "editors note to be removed prior to publication. Max values of DDJ and DDPWS are TBD, however for comparison SFF8431 has DDJ max 0.1UI and DDPWS max 0.05UI."

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 86 S	SC 86-6	P 208	L 11	# 477
Dudek, Mike		JDSU		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status X

In order to ensure that reflections don't overally degrade performance, the differential return loss of the host needs to be specified. To control EMI the common mode return loss of the host also needs to be specified.

SuggestedRemedy

Add rows to Table 86-6 after AC common mode.

"Differential output reflection coefficient, SDD22 Max see 86.6.1.1 Differential Output common mode reflection coefficient, SCC22 Max -6dB 10MHz to 2.5GHz. -3dB 2.5GHZ to 11.1GHz"

Change title and text of 86.6.1.1 to say "SDD11 at TP1 and SDD22 at TP1a" (ie 2 places)

Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

475

Comment ID # 477

Page 91 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:20 PM

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 86 SC 86.6.2 Dudek, Mike	<i>P</i> 209 JDSU	L 23	# 478	C/ 86 SC 86.6.5 Dudek, Mike	P 211 JDSU	L 50	# 480
power is less importa input average power is much more than is explicitly. SuggestedRemedy Add extra rows to Ta Peak Power Max 3d To this row in table 8	Comment Status X e in general limited by the peak ant). As the spec stands the r at infinite extinction ratio with t s likely to happen in practice. ables 86-8, 86-9, 86-10. Bm. (no min) 36-8 add a footnote. Peak Pow eye diagram see 86.7.4.7	eceiver has to co he allowed eye n We should limit t	pe with the maximum nask overshoot. This he peak power	loss of the host needs reflection coefficient o <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add rows to Table 86- "Differential input refle Reflected Differential	Comment Status X t reflections don't overally deg t to be specified. To control f f the host also needs to be sp 12 after Deterministic jitter to ection coefficient, SDD11 Max to common mode conversion, of 86.6.5.1 to say "SDD22 at " Response Status 0	EMI the differenti becified. lerane : see 86.6.5.1 , SCD11 Max -10	al to common mode dB 10MHz - 11.1GHz
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 86 SC 86.7.2	P 214 JDSU	L 34	# 481
Cl 86 SC 86.6.5 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T It is good to be expli SuggestedRemedy Add at TP4a to the t Proposed Response	P 211 JDSU Comment Status X cit at what test point the specifi itle of Table 86-12 Response Status O	L 41 cations apply	# <u>479</u>	fixed number of ones The budgeting for the OMA for the Rx is the for the Tx while square pattern and square wa wishes to use prbs9 for for the differences the	Comment Status X o ensure reproducible measure and zeros should be used for link assumes that the different optical loss (average power). e wave is used for the Rx this ave pattern will not always give or production test the vendor so guard band being based on h	the measurement ince between the If the prbs9 is up may no longer b the same answ should guard ban	hts of OMA, and RIN. OMA for the Tx and the used to measure OMA e true as the prbs9 rer. (If a vendor d his measurements
				all three rows in stand	nts of OMA and RIN patterns ard font. Remove the editor alics" instead of "The second	preference note.	

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Draft 1.0 Comments	IEEE P8	802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s E	thernet com	ments		Task force Review
C/ 86 SC 86.7.3.1 P 2 Dudek, Mike JDSU	-	# 482	<i>Cl</i> 86 Dudek, Mik	SC 86.7.4.6	P 215 JDSU	L 45	# 485
Comment Type T Comment Status Missing definition of AC common mode volt			Comment 7 We nee		Comment Status X est pattern is on the channels	s not under test	
SuggestedRemedy Copy the section from SFF8431 D.15 with e Proposed Response Response Status	0	ove SFP+ references.		e sentence. "Th SE-R encoded	ne pattern on the lanes not ur data. <i>Response Status</i> O	nder test should	be prbs31 or valid
Cl 86 SC 86.7.3.2 P 2 Dudek, Mike JDSU Comment Type TR Comment Status Missing Test procedure for Termination mis SuggestedRemedy Copy the section from SFF8431 D.16 Proposed Response Response Status	X match.	# 483	Suggested Change	<i>ype</i> TR stand that the c Remedy the max value	P 219 JDSU <i>Comment Status</i> X hromatic specifications for O of the zero disperions wavel dispersion slope max line to	ength from 1320	0nm to 1316nm.
C/ 86 SC 86.7.4.1 P 2 Dudek, Mike JDSU		# 484	Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type T Comment Status It is bad practice to specify things in two pla			<i>CI</i> 87 Dudek, Mik	SC 87.6.1 e	P 231 JDSU	L 30	# 487
SuggestedRemedy Delete the test pattern description "appropri with "pattern defined in Table 86-15.		of sentence" and replace	Transm	specification fo litter to tolerate	Comment Status X r the receiver reflection of -26 a 12dB reflection. The cable tolerance to 20dB reflection v	e is limited to 26	dB return loss at any
Do the equivalent at line 39.Proposed ResponseResponse Status	0		RIN20 reflection to 20dE	e optical return on line 28. Ch on is 20dB and 3 for optical retu he optical retun	loss tolerance from 12dB to 2 ange RIN12 to RIN20 in 87.7 between "exception" and "th in loss in table 87-11 on page loss in table 87-13 page 239 <i>Response Status</i> O	.7 page 236 line at" on page 236 235 line 17, an	20 and insert "that the 6 line 21, change 12db

Page 93 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:20 PM

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments		Task force Review
C/ 87 SC 87.6.1 Dudek, Mike	P 231 JDSU	L 13	# 488	<i>Cl</i> 87 Dudek, M	SC 87.7.5.1 like	P 235 JDSU	L 4	# 491
power is less importar input average power a is much more than is	Comment Status X n general limited by the peak nt). As the spec stands the re at infinite extinction ratio with t likely to happen in practice. N ested value equates to the Ma	eceiver has to co he allowed eye n We should limit t	pe with the maximum hask overshoot. This he peak power	Suggeste Chan	e are multiple diffe	Comment Status X erent jitter measurements. n 0.2UI" to "Total Jitter less t Response Status 0	han 0.2UI".	
SuggestedRemedy								
Add extra rows to Tab	les 87-7, and 87-8,			C/ 83A	SC 83A.3.3.2	2 P 284	L 22	# 492
Peak Power Max 4.5d	Bm. (no min)			Dudek, M	ike	JDSU		
as measured on the e	7-7 add a footnote. Peak Pow ye diagram see 86.7.4.7	ver is the maximu	um value of the power		sition time appear	Comment Status X s to be the same as rise/fall f ng here and in table 83A-1, a		are the same they should
Proposed Response	Response Status O			•••	0	e" to "Rise/fall time" in the tit	le of this subc	lause and in the first
C/ 87 SC 87.7.5.4 Dudek, Mike	<i>P</i> 236 JDSU	L7	# 489		l Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X							
wrong spelling				C/ 83A	SC 83A.3.3.5		L 18	# 493
SuggestedRemedy				Dudek, M		JDSU		
change sererate to se Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment misal	t Type E ignment of label	Comment Status X		
	Response Status U				dRemedy			
				00		d 1-X2 to line up with the dot	ed lines.	
C/ 87 SC 87.7.2 Dudek, Mike	P 233 JDSU	L 42	# 490	Proposed	l Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type T It is bad practice to sp	Comment Status X becify things in two places.							
SuggestedRemedy Change "using a valid 10."	40GBASE-R signal" with "usi	ng the test patte	rn defined in table 87-					
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

Draft 1.0 Comments IEEE P802.3ba D1.	40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments Task force Review
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.4 P 286 L 41 # 494 Dudek, Mike JDSU	C/ 83A SC 83A.4.2 P 290 L 43 # 497 Dudek, Mike JDSU
Comment Type T Comment Status X In table 83A-2 it would be good to reference the rise/fall test methodology	Comment Type T Comment Status X An eye mask that does not state at what probability it is to be met has led to confusion in the past.
SuggestedRemedy Add footnote d to the Rise/fall time row. Footnote d to say "Rise and Fall times a in 83A.4.4	Suggested Damachy
Proposed Response Response Status O	or state the probabilities in Sections 83A.4.2 and 83A.3.3.5
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.4.4 P 287 L 52 # 499 Dudek, Mike JDSU	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type T Comment Status X	C/ 83A SC 83A.3.5 P 289 L 40 # 498
There is still a lot of energy at frequencies below 50MHz. Having an unconstrain	
loss at one end of the trace and only 12dB return loss at the other end can lead to signal distortion.	Arge Comment Type T Comment Status X
SuggestedRemedy	The Interconnect characteristics deserve their own section, not a subsection of the receiver (and partly in measurement methods) and are missing return loss
Change 50MHz to 10MHz here and in equation 83A-3 (page 288 line 4)	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	Make 83A.3.5 into 83A.4 (and relabel 83A.4) Move present section 83A.4.1 and Figure 83A-9 into this new section. Rename present 83A.4.1 to "Interconnect Loss"
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.4.5 P 288 L 8 # 490 Dudek, Mike JDSU	Add to the Characteristic Impedeance editors note (page 289 line 49) "and return loss specifications"
Comment Type TR Comment Status X This section is describint SCD11 which is not common mode input return loss	Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy Change the title of the section to "Reflected differential to common mode convers	n. Cl 87 SC 87.7.6 P 236 L 14 # 499
Proposed Response Response Status O	Dudek, Mike JDSU
	Comment Type T Comment Status X It is bad practice to specify things in two places.
	SuggestedRemedy Change "using TBD test pattern or a valid 40GBASE-R signal" with "using the test pattern defined in table 87-10."
	Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 87 SC 87.12 Dudek. Mike	P 239 JDSU	L 18	# 500	<i>Cl</i> 88 Dudek, Mil	SC 88.6.1	P 251 JDSU	L 32	# 503
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment		Comment Status X		
The channel characte	eristics for max channel insertion of wavelength it would be g			With a Transi	specification for mitter to tolerate	or the receiver reflection of -26 e a 12dB reflection. The cable tolerance to 20dB reflection	e is limited to 26d	B return loss at any
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	IRemedy			
dispersion (min). Th 1337.5nm.	annel insertion loss (max), Pos ne footnote to say. Over the w			RIN12 "that t	to RIN20 on lin	loss tolerance from 12dB to 2 ne 30. Change RIN12 to RIN 20dB and" between "exception turn loss (min) for LR4 in Tab	20 in 87.8.7 page n" and "that" on p	e 259 line 16 and inse bage 259 line 18, Alse
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	•	Response Status O	ie 00-13 to 200b.	
C/ 88 SC 88.4.1	P 247	L 26	# 501					
Dudek, Mike	JDSU	220	# 301	CI 88	SC 88.7.2	P 254	L 30	# 504
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Dudek, Mi	ke	JDSU		_
51	the reader to explicitly point ou	ut that there are	no electrical specs for	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
the 25G PMD service	e interface in this document. (See also Anslow	/_05_1108.pdf)			or the receiver reflection of -26		
SuggestedRemedy						e a 12dB reflection. The cable to the cable to the cable to 20dB reflection to 20dB refle		
	of the note on figure 88-2 to "S entation of the PMD service int			Suggested	lRemedy	loss tolerance from 12dB to 2		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			RIN12 in 87.8 and "ti	to RIN20 on lir 3.7 page 259 lin nat" on page 25	the 28. And if my comment 35 the 16 and insert "that the reflect 59 line 18. Also add a sentend the relevant table. Also change	is not accepted (ction is xdB and" ce at the end of th	Change RIN12 to RIN between "exception" his sentence. The
C/ 88 SC 88.4.4	P 248	L 45	# 502		B in Table 88-1	5		
Dudek, Mike	JDSU			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type T The Signal Detect do than a valid link will s	Comment Status X bes not need to be guaranteed to supply. This level is the stress	to be OK when t ed sensitivity no	he input signal is less t the sensitivity.					
SuggestedRemedy Insert the word "stres	ssed" in front of receiver on line	e 44 in table 88-4	ŀ.					
Proposed Response	Response Status O							
	•							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 88 SC 88.6.1 P 251 L 19 # 505 Dudek. Mike JDSU	C/ 88 SC 88.8.2 P 256 L 40 # 507
Dudek, Mike JDSU Comment Type T Comment Status X Optical receivers are in general limited by the peak power of the input signal (Average power or OMA is less important). As the spec stands the receiver has to cope with	Comment Type T Comment Status X It is bad practice to specify things in two places.
maximum input average power with the maximum OMA and the allowed eye mask overshoot. This is much more than is likely to happen in practice and is also restric the maximum OMA at lower average powers. We should limit the peak power expli and relax the maximum OMA value. (The suggested value equates to a maximum O 4.5dBm with a maximum Average power of 4.5dBM, or an ER of 4.7 at 4.5dBm aver power).	SuggestedRemedy ng Change "using a valid 40GBASE-R signal" with "using the test pattern defined in table 88- tly, 14." MA of Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy Add an additional row in tables 88-7,88-8, with	C/ 88 SC 88.8.5.1 P257 L 51 # 508
Peak Power Max 6.3dBm. (no min) Increase the Maximum OMA to 5.5dBm.	Dudek, Mike JDSU Comment Type T Comment Status X There are multiple different jitter measurements.
To the peak power row in table 87-7 add a footnote. Peak Power is the maximum v the power as measured on the eye diagram see 88.8.8	ue of SuggestedRemedy Change "Jitter less than 0.2UI" to "Total Jitter less than 0.2UI".
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 88 SC 88.7.1 P 254 L 19 # 506 Dudek, Mike JDSU	C/ 87 SC 88.8.5.4 P 259 L 4 # 509
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Optical receivers are in general limited by the peak power of the input signal (Average power or OMA is less important). As the spec stands the receiver has to cope with	
maximum input average power with the maximum OMA and the allowed eye mask overshoot. This is much more than is likely to happen in practice and is also restric the maximum OMA at lower average powers. We should limit the peak power expli	SuggestedRemedy Change sereate to separate
and relax the maximum OMA value. (The suggested value equates to a maximum C 4.0dBm with a maximum average power of 2.4dBM without overshoot,	
SuggestedRemedy Add an additional row in tables 88-11 and 88-12 with	
Peak Power Max 4.8dBm. (no min)	

Increase the Maximum OMA to 5.0dBm.

To the peak power row in table 87-11 add a footnote. Peak Power is the maximum value of the power as measured on the eye diagram see 88.8.8

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

	ents	IEEE P80)2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s Eth	ernet comm	ients		Task force Review
<i>Cl</i> 88 SC 88.8 Dudek, Mike	3.6 P 259 JDSU	L 11	# 510	C/ 83A S Dudek, Mike	SC 83A.3.3	P 283 JDSU	L 7	# 513
•	Comment Status X to specify things in two places.					Comment Status X Baud period. The tolera nificant digits	ance of the signa	ling rate is +/-100ppm
SuggestedRemedy Change "using aT defined in table 88	IBD test pattern or a valid 40GBA 8-14."	SE-R signal" with	"using the test pattern	SuggestedRei Round the	-	o 6 significant figures her	e and in tables 8	3A-1 and 83A-2
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status O		
C/ 88 SC 88.1 Dudek, Mike	12 P 262 JDSU	L 21	# 511	C/ 83A S Dudek, Mike	SC 83A.3.3	P 283 JDSU	L 28	# 514
dispersion are a f which the values SuggestedRemedy Add a footnote to	acteristics for max channel insertion unction of wavelength it would be in table 87-13 apply. Channel insertion loss (max), Pos The footnote to say. "Over the w	good to note the sitivie dispersion (wavelength range for	pre-emph SuggestedRei Add footn in 83A.4.4	asis the value <i>medy</i> ote d to the Ris	e good to reference the ris depends greatly on the ex se/fall time row. Footnote	act methodology	<i>.</i>
1310.19nm." Remove the edito				Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Kolesar, Paul	SC 86.10.2.3	P 220 CommScop	L 6 ne	# 515
		L 23		 Comment Type TR Comment Status X The MPO connector is the form of choice on cabling infrastructure supporting connectivity. It is also the connector selected in MSAs like the QSFP and SN 				
	A.1.1 P 281 JDSU	L Z 3	# <u>5</u> 12	connectivi	ity. It is also th	e connector selected in N	ISAs like the QS	FP and SNAP12. Unlike
Dudek, Mike Comment Type T I think that XLAUI the model				connectivi past stanc is virtually specificati interface t	ty. It is also the dardization per uncontested in on of the MPC ype 7-4 permit	e connector selected in M ods where two-fiber conn in the array connectivity sp to terminate the cabling a s from 2 to 24 fibers. It is	NSAs like the QS ector forms were bace. This permi at the MDI. Note s expected that the	FP and SNAP12. Unlike hotly debated, the MPC ts straight forward that the proposed his may be further
Dudek, Mike Comment Type T I think that XLAUI the model	JDSU Comment Status X			connectivi past stand is virtually specificati interface t defined to 40GBASE	ity. It is also the dardization per uncontested in on of the MPC ype 7-4 permit be fiber-count	e connector selected in M ods where two-fiber conn in the array connectivity sp to terminate the cabling a s from 2 to 24 fibers. It is specific. This specificity 2 fiber type. It may be eit	NSAs like the QS ector forms were bace. This permi at the MDI. Note expected that th is already possib	FP and SNAP12. Unlike hotly debated, the MPC ts straight forward that the proposed his may be further bel in the cans of
Dudek, Mike Comment Type T I think that XLAUI the model SuggestedRemedy	JDSU Comment Status X			connectivi past stand is virtually specificati interface t defined to 40GBASE 100GBAS <i>SuggestedRep</i> Add the for The connectivity	ity. It is also the dardization per uncontested i on of the MPC ype 7-4 permit be fiber-count E-SR10 is def medy belowing: ector type term	e connector selected in M ods where two-fiber conn in the array connectivity sp to terminate the cabling a s from 2 to 24 fibers. It is specific. This specificity 2 fiber type. It may be eit	ISAs like the QS ector forms were bace. This permi at the MDI. Note s expected that th is already possib her 12 or 24 fiber MDI shall meet th	FP and SNAP12. Unlike hotly debated, the MPO ts straight forward that the proposed his may be further bel in the cans of rs as the MSA for he specifications of IEC

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 515

Page 98 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:21 PM

C/ 86 SC	86.2.2	P 203	L 13	# 516	C/ 86	SC 86.10.2.1	P 219	L 10	# 519
Kolesar, Paul		CommScope			Kolesar, Pa	ul	CommScope		
	_	_					_		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The maximum skew and dynamic skew for the multimode fiber medium are TBDs that require values. The values suggested are calculated using the skew model adopted by the TF in May 2008 found in kolesar_02_0508.xls with the default worst-case parameters at a link length of 300 m to allow for the possibility of extended reach technologies. Engineering the de-skew circuits to handle this amount of skew will permit support for possible future enhancements. Note that the skew value suggested here three times larger than that suggested in another comment submitted against line 46 of page 218 (table 86-17) wherein the channel distance is explicitly defined as a 100 m maximum.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the existing sentence with:

The delays through the medium shall match to within 13.6 ns and do not change by more than 20.3 ns including the effects of varying launch conditions and operating wavelength.

Proposed Response	Response Status	0	

C/ 86	SC 86.10.1		P 218	L 46	# 517
Kolesar, F	Paul		CommScope		
_		-			

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Cabling skew value is presently TBD and needs to be defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace TBD with 4.5. This value is consistent with the worst-case value for a 100 m link as determined using the MM skew model kolesar 02 0508.xls.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

CI 86	SC 86.10.2	P 219	L 2	# 518
Kolesar, P	aul	CommScope		

Comment Type **T** Comment Status X

The insertion loss measurment referenced in under revision and has passed CVD ballot and is entering FDIS stage. The methods have been renamed. Method 2 is becoming the method of Annex A.

SugaestedRemedv

Replace "Method 2" with "Annex A".

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The present specification references a fiber specification as if it were a cabling specification. This can be remedied by referencing the cabling specifications for ribbon and multifiber cable forms, and also stating that the fiber contained within these cable shall meet the OM3 fiber performance code. The presently referenced cable specs are inappropriate, as the first is for simplex and duplex indoor cable, and the second for premises outdoor cable.

SuggestedRemedv

Replace:

The 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 fiber optic cabling shall meet the requirements of IEC 60793-2-10 and the requirements given in Table 86–18, where they differ. Multimode cables chosen from [Editor's note (to be removed prior to publication) - Insert additional reference for multiway cable if appropriate], IEC 60794-2-11 or IEC 60794-3-12 may be suitable.

With:

The 40GBASE-SR4 and 100GBASE-SR10 fiber optic cabling shall meet the requirements of IEC 60794-2-21 or IEC 60794-2-31. The fiber contained within these cables shall meet the requirements of IEC 60793-2-10 type A1a.2.

Proposed Response	Response Status	0

C/ 86	SC 86.10.2.1	P 210	L 29	# 520
Kolesar,	Paul	CommScope		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The dispersion characteristics quoted have been superseded. The third edition of IEC 60793-2-10 published in 2006 adjusted the characteristics to more closly reflect that actual dispersion characteristics of 50um fibers. Requiring the fiber to meet IEC 60793-2-10 makes repeating the dispersion characteristic in table 86-18 redundant. But if these specs must be repeated, then they should be in harmony with the IEC spec.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the zero dispersion wavelength value with: 1295 < lambda0 < 1340

Replace the dispersion slope value with: < 0.105 for 1295 nm < lambd0 < 1310 < 0.000375(1590 - lambda0) for 1310 nm < lambda0 < 1340 nm

Note: All the above < symbols should be "less than or equal to" symbols.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 520

Page 99 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:21 PM

	nments		IEEE P80)2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s Etherne	t comments	6		Task force Review
Cl 73 SC Valliappan, Mages	10.1 sh	P 76 Broadcom	L 40	# 521	C/ 74 SC 7.4 Ofelt, David	4.5	P 79 Juniper Netw	L 49 orks	# 524
For KR4/CR4 PCS&MAC ar	re in a diffe	Comment Status X elementations where PMD&Al erent device separated by an to access link status from th	XLAUI interface		51		nment Status X se of sucessful decod	ling the decode	"
SuggestedRemed	dy				"In case of suce or possibly	essful decoding	g, the decoder"		
The best rem dont know ho		n-band indication of link statu be done.	s through the X	LAUI interface, but I		sucessful deco	ding, the decoder"		
Will submit a	presentati	on if suitable solution is availa	able.		Proposed Response		oonse Status O		
Proposed Respor	•	Response Status 0							
	2.3.20a	P 62	L 37	# 522	C/ 82 SC 2.4 Ofelt, David	4.10	P 123 Juniper Netw	L 41 orks	# 525
Ofelt, David	2.J.20a	Juniper Networ	-	# 522	21		nment Status X		
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X			Sentence unclea	ar			
In 20a.1 - The In table 45-99 be "3.53".	e are refere ere are refe 9a - The bi	te errors. nces to "register 2" that shou erences to bit "3.51" that shou mumbers references in the ta 20a.3 reference "3.51" and ir	uld be "3.53" able are listed a	s "3.50", they should	SuggestedRemedy	acter for the s	nate equence ordered_set ing to specify the con		′ will be used."
SuggestedRemed	dy				Proposed Response	e Res	oonse Status O		
		to "register 2" to "register 4" to "3.51" to "3.53"							
Proposed Respon	nse	Response Status O							
	1.3	P 70	L 20	# 523					
		Juniper Networ	N3						
Ofelt, David Comment Type	E	Juniper Networ Comment Status X I is the wrong font size :).							
Ofelt, David Comment Type	E "MDI" labe	Comment Status X							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 525

Page 100 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:21 PM

C/ 82 SC 2.12 P 128 Ofelt, David Juniper Networks	L 38 # 526	C/ 83 SC 2 Ofelt, David	Р 148 Juniper Network	L 4 is	# 528
Comment Type E Comment Status X People sometimes assume that designing in a large ske be good to add some clarifying text.	w buffer will add latency. It would	Comment Type E Figure 83-4	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Add something like:		Every variable in the fig SuggestedRemedy Add a label to define "v	ure is defined except for "v".		
A design that allows for a large amount of skew tolerance latency. Latency due to skew only occurs due to the diff between the source and destination. The path with the l smallest skew buffer.	erential delay between all paths	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response Response Status O		C/ 83 SC 3 Ofelt, David	P 149 Juniper Network	L 10 is	# 529
C/ 82 SC 2.17.2.2 P 131 Dfelt, David Juniper Networks Comment Type E Comment Status X bit number is wrong- rx_raw is 72 bits wide, but the descent	L 18 # 527	p and q seem to always of a given PMA.	Comment Status X p, q, and x, y to be inconsisten be the the number of links on	the RS/FEC fa	
OLD:		an Rx PMA with y input lanes and x output lane	ere "A Tx PMA with x input lar s" but then in 83.3.1 and 83.3.2 ut lane count - this is direction	2, x is always us	·
SuggestedRemedy		an Rx PMA with y input lanes and x output lane count and y as the outp Then in figure 83-4 and	s" but then in 83.3.1 and 83.3.2	2, x is always us independent. assigned to virt	sed as the input land ual lanes (e.g.
SuggestedRemedy OLD: Vector containing one MII transfers. RXC<0> through RX rx_raw<7>, respectively. RXD<0> through RXD<63> are rx_raw<63>,	from rx_raw<8> through <c<7> are from rx_raw<0> through</c<7>	an Rx PMA with y input lanes and x output lane count and y as the outp Then in figure 83-4 and 83.6.2), m and n are us of the current bit. SuggestedRemedy Make the variable usag and output lane counts	s" but then in 83.3.1 and 83.3.2 ut lane count - this is direction in the text that deals with bits a	2, x is always us independent. assigned to virt e count and "x" rould be to have n-specific coun	sed as the input lan ual lanes (e.g. is used for the offse e the generic input its as "p" and "q". x

Draft 1.0 Comments	6	IEEE P8	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet	comments			Task force Reviev
C/ 82 SC 82.2.21 Dfelt, David	P 137 Juniper Netwo	<i>L</i> 30 rks	# 530	<i>Cl</i> 45 Vijayarag	SC havan, Divy	a	P 58 Altera Corp.	L	# 533
to declare alignment from VALID_AM to T	Comment Status X tate diagram is confusing (at lea lock after 2 or 4 alignment bloc EST_AM if am_cnt < 4, but if an ve declare that we have alignment	ks. The state d m_cnt is two, th	iagram has a back arc en it exits to the	- Tab	: 58, 63 le 45		nent Status X		
There does not seem intent that way.	n to be any text description of th	e process, so l	can't double-check the	–97a: - Tab		lue should be 3	.51 not 3.50		
SuggestedRemedy				-99a	register va	lue should be 3	.53 not 3.50		
If the state diagram is add some descriptive	s in error (should be am_cnt==4 e text to 82.2.12 to describe the her case would be good.			•••	<i>dRemedy</i> /s compare	to 2 or 4, but no	ot both.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	l Response	Respor	nse Status O		
C/ 83 SC 6.7 Dfelt, David	P 155 Juniper Netwo	L 47 rks	# 531	<i>Cl</i> 82 Vijayarag	SC Fig havan, Divy	ure 82-13 a	P 137 ♂ Altera Corp.	L 27	# 534
Comment Type T Response to the Edit	Comment Status X	BIST logic repo	rt errors per lane		<i>Type</i> T		nent Status X ment marker state m	achine	
that capture the lane lane.	need to provide a error counter number of the first lane to see			Alway	dRemedy ys compare I Response	to 2 or 4, but no Respor	ot both. nse Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O				00.00		D 4 07 1		" 505
X 82 SC 82.2.4.	5 <i>P</i> 122	L 12	# 532	<i>CI</i> 82 Vijayarag	SC 82. havan, Divy	-	P 127 ď Altera Corp.	L 5	# 535
'ijayaraghavan, Divya	Altera Corp.			Comment	t Type T	R Comm	ent Status X		
Comment Type T Block Types 4b and s block type 55.	Comment Status X 55 have the same format in the	64b/66b table (figure 82-5). Typo in	corre	10: 2d and ction? dRemedy	de are not inver	sions of each other.	Which is ri	ght and which needs
SuggestedRemedy				Fix in	correct valu	e			
,	5. Does not apply to 8 byte alig	nment.		Proposed	l Response	Respor	nse Status O		

Draft 1.0 Comments	IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s Ethernet com	OGb/s Ethernet comments		
C/ 85SC 11P 192Fogg, MichaelTyco Electronics	L # <u>536</u>	C/ 85 SC 9 Fogg, Michael	P184 L Tyco Electronics	# 539	
Comment Type T Comment Status X Figures 85-10 and 85-11 Add Figure SuggestedRemedy		Comment Type T Figure 85-6 Replace TBD values w they are redundant	Comment Status X with actual limit numbers, and remove EL	FEXT and MDELFEXT as	
Figures to be provided on supporting documents Proposed Response Response Status O		SuggestedRemedy Values to be supplied Proposed Response	with supporting documents Response Status O		
Cl 85 SC 7 P 193 Fogg, Michael Tyco Electronics Comment Type T Comment Status Table 85-7 Add values SuggestedRemedy Add values from QSFP Specification, to be provided in s Proposed Response Response Status O	L # <u>537</u>		P 185 L Tyco Electronics Comment Status X specific values for cable assembly (TP-1 turing (TP-0 to TP-5?) orting document Response Status O	# 540	
Cl 85 SC 7 P 177 Fogg, Michael Tyco Electronics Comment Type T Comment Status X Figure 85.2 Location of TP-1 and TP-4 SuggestedRemedy Recommend either placing two new test points TP-0 and (per nicholl_01_0708.pdf) or to move TP-1 and TP-4 a si 2dB @ 5.1625GHz) Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O		SuggestedRemedy	P186 L Tyco Electronics Comment Status X r cable assembly and cable assembly wi in supporting document Response Status O	# 541	

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Task force Review

	L	# 542	C/ 85 SC 7	P 189	L	# 546
Fogg, Michael Tyco Electronics			Fogg, Michael	Tyco Electronics		
Comment Type T Comment Status X Replace TBD values for NEXT with specific values SuggestedRemedy			Comment Type T Remove MDELFEXT - I Remove Figure 85-7 Remove Figure 85-8	Comment Status X Jse ICR specification		
Values to be provided from supporting documents			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response Response Status O						
			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 85SC 9P 187Fogg, MichaelTyco Electronics	L	# 543				
Comment Type T Comment Status X			C/ 85 SC 10	P 191	L	# 547
Replace TBD values on MDNEXT with specific values			Fogg, Michael	Tyco Electronics		
SuggestedRemedy			Comment Type T Replace Trace Loss (TE	Comment Status X 3D from Nicholl_01_0708.pdf) with	h specific values	
Values to be provided from supporting documents			SuggestedRemedy		n specific values	
Proposed Response Response Status O				ss with Diminico Subgroup		
			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	L	# 544	. ,	,		
Fogg, Michael Tyco Electronics			C/ 00 SC	Р	L	# 548
Comment Type T Comment Status X			Fogg, Michael	Tyco Electronics	_	
Figure 85-6 Remove or add specific values			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy			Add Figures 85-14 and	85-15		
Add values from supporting documents			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response Response Status O			Add mating face views t Figure 6.2 (Plug) and 6.	from the SFF-8632 (referenced by 3 (Receptacle)	/ 8092)	
			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Cl 85 SC 9 P188	L	# 545				
Fogg, Michael Tyco Electronics		-				
Comment Type T Comment Status X Remove ELFEXT values (Use ICR)						
SuggestedRemedy						
Proposed Response Response Status O						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Task force Review

C/ 85 SC 11 Fogg, Michael	P 196 Tyco Electroni	L	# 549	<i>Cl</i> 84 SC 8.2.1 Ghiasi, Ali	P 167 Broadcom	L 3	# 552
Comment Type T Add lane to MDI conr	Comment Status X nector pin mapping			Comment Type TR Comment S Learning KR specifications weakness	the current inte		
SuggestedRemedy Table to be provided	in supporting documentation			comprehensive since there is no grou SuggestedRemedy	ip delay or phas	se info in the ch	annel
Proposed Response	Response Status O			For 40GBase-KR4 replace magnitude channel	e response of F	ig 69B.2 with pເ	ulse response of the
				Proposed Response Response S	Status O		
C/ 83 SC 2 Ghiasi, Ali	P 148 Broadcom	L 44	# 550	C/ 84 SC 9	P167	L 7	# 553
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Ghiasi, Ali	Broadcom	L 1	# 555
No space between is	and in			Comment Type TR Comment S	Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Add space				Lnformative channel in 69B has no pl specifications are proposed to be use			jor weakness when K
au opuoo							
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy Please fix the problem as KR is not th Fig 69B.2 or better provide pulse resp			e group delay info for
·	Response Status O P 29 Broadcom	L 2	# [551	Please fix the problem as KR is not the	oonse for the ch		e group delay info for
Proposed Response Cl 45 SC all Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	P 29			Please fix the problem as KR is not th Fig 69B.2 or better provide pulse resp Proposed Response Response Cl 85 SC 7.1	Status O		e group delay info for # <u>554</u>
Proposed Response Cl 45 SC all Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR MDIO base on 1.5 V	P 29 Broadcom Comment Status X			Please fix the problem as KR is not th Fig 69B.2 or better provide pulse resp Proposed Response Response S Cl 85 SC 7.1 Ghiasi, Ali	P 177 Broadcom	nannel	
Proposed Response Cl 45 SC all Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR MDIO base on 1.5 V SuggestedRemedy Suggest to use JESE characteristics for 40	P 29 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X HSTL logic in CL 45 is outdated D8-14A-01 duplicate table 45-65 0/100 GbE	l and often requ	uire extra power source.	Please fix the problem as KR is not th Fig 69B.2 or better provide pulse resp Proposed Response Response Cl 85 SC 7.1	Ponse for the ch Status O P 177 Broadcom Status X	L 33	# 554
CI 45 SC all Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR MDIO base on 1.5 V SuggestedRemedy Suggest to use JESE characteristics for 40 Vdd - Supply Voltage Vih - Input high voltage VIL - Input low voltage	P 29 Broadcom Comment Status X HSTL logic in CL 45 is outdated D8-14A-01 duplicate table 45-65 D/100 GbE e 0.9 to 1.1 V ge 0.65*Vdd to Vdd+0.2	l and often requ MDIO electrica	uire extra power source.	Please fix the problem as KR is not th Fig 69B.2 or better provide pulse resp Proposed Response Response S Cl 85 SC 7.1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Comment S	P 177 Broadcom Status X Please provide	L 33 definition for TF	# <u>554</u> P1 and TP4
Cl 45 SC all Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR MDIO base on 1.5 V SuggestedRemedy Suggest to use JESE characteristics for 40 Vdd - Supply Voltage Vih - Input high voltage Vih - Input high voltage Voh - Output high vol Vol - Output high vol Vol - Output low volta Ci - Input capacitace CL - Bus loading - 47	P 29 Broadcom Comment Status X HSTL logic in CL 45 is outdated D8-14A-01 duplicate table 45-65 D/100 GbE e 0.9 to 1.1 V ge 0.65*Vdd to Vdd+0.2 ge -0.2 to 0.35*Vdd ltge at loh=-2 mA, 0.75*Vdd (max) - 10 pf	l and often requ MDIO electrica n)	uire extra power source.	Please fix the problem as KR is not th Fig 69B.2 or better provide pulse resp Proposed Response Response S Cl 85 SC 7.1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Comment S There is no definition of TP1 or TP4, SuggestedRemedy TP1 definition - Any interconnect may	P 177 Broadcom Status X Please provide to be used betwee neters of Table	L 33 definition for TF een the SR4 or 85-4 are met. r SR10 receive	# <u>554</u> P1 and TP4 SR10 transmit functio
Proposed Response Cl 45 SC all Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR MDIO base on 1.5 V SuggestedRemedy Suggest to use JESE characteristics for 40 Vdd - Supply Voltage Vih - Input high voltag VIL - Input low voltag Voh - Output high voltag Voh - Output high voltag Vol - Ouput low voltag Ci - Input capacitace	P 29 Broadcom Comment Status X HSTL logic in CL 45 is outdated D8-14A-01 duplicate table 45-65 D/100 GbE e 0.9 to 1.1 V ge 0.65*Vdd to Vdd+0.2 ge -0.2 to 0.35*Vdd ltge at loh=-2 mA, 0.75*Vdd (max) - 10 pf	l and often requ MDIO electrica n)	uire extra power source.	Please fix the problem as KR is not th Fig 69B.2 or better provide pulse resp Proposed Response Response S C/ 85 SC 7.1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Comment S There is no definition of TP1 or TP4, SuggestedRemedy TP1 definition - Any interconnect may and TP1 as long as transmitter param TP4 definition - The interconnect from SDD21(dB) >= (-0.007 - 0.1684*SQR	P 177 Broadcom Status X Please provide to be used betwee neters of Table on TP4 to SR4 on T(f) - 0.0617*f)	L 33 definition for TF een the SR4 or 85-4 are met. r SR10 receive	# <u>554</u> P1 and TP4 SR10 transmit functio

C/ 83A SC 2.2 P 282 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom	L 20	# 555	C/ 85 SC 8.3 Ghiasi, Ali	P 181 Broadcom	L 36	# 558
,						
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Transmitt and Receive function are missing from Fig	~ 02 ^		Comment Type TR	Comment Status X d RJ measured jitter are jitter PI		d not valid for iittor
	y 03A		distribution which a		Dr dependent ar	iu not valiu for jitter
uggestedRemedy Please add transmitt and receive function to Fig 83/	^		SuggestedRemedy			
roposed Response Response Status O	7			of 0.025 UI (RMS) per IEEE CL J per method of FC-PI4 A.1.3.1		ttern
			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
CI 83A SC 3.2 P 282	L 53	# 556				
Shiasi, Ali Broadcom			C/ 85 SC 8.3	P 181	L 38	# 559
Comment Type TR Comment Status X			Ghiasi, Ali	Broadcom	- 00	
Missing definition of loss between transmitt and receive for transmitt and receive compliance points	eive complinace	points, add definition	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	d is part of datar	miniatia iittar avaant
uggestedRemedy				n is classified to be 0.035 UI and n of DCD does not capture patte		
Transmitt Compliance Point - Any interconnect may			SuggestedRemedv			
Transmitt Compliance Point - Any interconnect may transmitt funciton and Transmitt Compliance Point a Table 83A-1 are met.				e DCD with PWS (Pulse Width per FC-PI-4 Annex A.1.3.2 using		0.1 UI value.
transmitt function and Transmitt Compliance Point a Table 83A-1 are met. Receive Compliance Point - The interconnect from t XLAUI/CAUI receive function including AC coupling SDD21(dB) >= (-0.007 - 0.1684*SQRT(f) - 0.0617*f) f is given in GHz.	as long as transm the Receive Com SDD21 respons	nitter parameters of apliance Point to the	Puropose to repalac PWS is measured p Proposed Response	er FC-PI-4 Annex À.1.3.2 using Response Status O	PRBS9 pattern	
transmitt function and Transmitt Compliance Point a Table 83A-1 are met. Receive Compliance Point - The interconnect from t XLAUI/CAUI receive function including AC coupling SDD21(dB) >= (-0.007 - 0.1684*SQRT(f) - 0.0617*f) f is given in GHz. SDD21 loss a Nyquist is 0.7 dB and 0.2 larger than	as long as transm the Receive Com SDD21 respons	nitter parameters of apliance Point to the	Puropose to repalac PWS is measured p Proposed Response	er FC-PI-4 Annex À.1.3.2 using Response Status O P 208		0.1 UI value. # <u>560</u>
transmitt function and Transmitt Compliance Point a Table 83A-1 are met. Receive Compliance Point - The interconnect from t XLAUI/CAUI receive function including AC coupling SDD21(dB) >= (-0.007 - 0.1684*SQRT(f) - 0.0617*f) f is given in GHz. SDD21 loss a Nyquist is 0.7 dB and 0.2 larger than	as long as transm the Receive Com SDD21 respons	nitter parameters of apliance Point to the	Puropose to repalac PWS is measured p Proposed Response C/ 86 SC 1 Ghiasi, Ali	per FC-PI-4 Annex À.1.3.2 using Response Status O P 208 Broadcom	PRBS9 pattern	
transmitt function and Transmitt Compliance Point a Table 83A-1 are met. Receive Compliance Point - The interconnect from t XLAUI/CAUI receive function including AC coupling SDD21(dB) >= (-0.007 - 0.1684*SQRT(f) - 0.0617*f) f is given in GHz. SDD21 loss a Nyquist is 0.7 dB and 0.2 larger than	as long as transm the Receive Com SDD21 respons	nitter parameters of apliance Point to the	Puropose to repalac PWS is measured p Proposed Response Cl 86 SC 1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	er FC-PI-4 Annex À.1.3.2 using Response Status O P 208 Broadcom Comment Status X	PRBS9 pattern	# 560
transmitt function and Transmitt Compliance Point a Table 83A-1 are met. Receive Compliance Point - The interconnect from t XLAUI/CAUI receive function including AC coupling SDD21(dB) >= (-0.007 - 0.1684*SQRT(f) - 0.0617*f) f is given in GHz. SDD21 loss a Nyquist is 0.7 dB and 0.2 larger than Proposed Response Response Status O	as long as transm the Receive Com SDD21 respons SFP+ loss.	hitter parameters of apliance Point to the e shall be	Puropose to repalac PWS is measured p Proposed Response Cl 86 SC 1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR PWS (Pulse Width	per FC-PI-4 Annex À.1.3.2 using Response Status O P 208 Broadcom	PRBS9 pattern	# 560
transmitt funcition and Transmitt Compliance Point a Table 83A-1 are met. Receive Compliance Point - The interconnect from t XLAUI/CAUI receive function including AC coupling SDD21(dB) >= (-0.007 - 0.1684*SQRT(f) - 0.0617*f) f is given in GHz. SDD21 loss a Nyquist is 0.7 dB and 0.2 larger than Proposed Response Response Status O	as long as transm the Receive Com SDD21 respons	nitter parameters of apliance Point to the	Puropose to repalac PWS is measured p Proposed Response C/ 86 SC 1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR PWS (Pulse Width parameters.	er FC-PI-4 Annex À.1.3.2 using Response Status O P 208 Broadcom Comment Status X	PRBS9 pattern	# 560
transmitt function and Transmitt Compliance Point a Table 83A-1 are met.Receive Compliance Point - The interconnect from t XLAUI/CAUI receive function including AC coupling SDD21(dB) >= (-0.007 - 0.1684*SQRT(f) - 0.0617*f) f is given in GHz. SDD21 loss a Nyquist is 0.7 dB and 0.2 larger than Proposed ResponseProposed ResponseResponse StatusCl 85SC 9.3P 186 Ghiasi, AliBroadcom Comment TypeTRComment StatusX	the Receive Com SDD21 respons SFP+ loss.	hitter parameters of apliance Point to the e shall be	Puropose to repalac PWS is measured p Proposed Response Cl 86 SC 1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR PWS (Pulse Width parameters. SuggestedRemedy Puropose to add PV	er FC-PI-4 Annex À.1.3.2 using Response Status O P 208 Broadcom Comment Status X	PRBS9 pattern <i>L</i> 12 s missing from ta	# 560
transmitt funcition and Transmitt Compliance Point a Table 83A-1 are met.Receive Compliance Point - The interconnect from t XLAUI/CAUI receive function including AC coupling SDD21(dB) >= (-0.007 - 0.1684*SQRT(f) - 0.0617*f) f is given in GHz. SDD21 loss a Nyquist is 0.7 dB and 0.2 larger than Proposed Response Response Status OCl 85SC 9.3P 186Ghiasi, AliBroadcomComment TypeTRComment Status X Cable return loss is missing, please add cable return	the Receive Com SDD21 respons SFP+ loss.	hitter parameters of apliance Point to the e shall be	Puropose to repalac PWS is measured p Proposed Response Cl 86 SC 1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR PWS (Pulse Width parameters. SuggestedRemedy Puropose to add PV	ver FC-PI-4 Annex À.1.3.2 using <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 208 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X Shrinkage) a critical parameter i VS (Pulse Width Shrinkage) with	PRBS9 pattern <i>L</i> 12 s missing from ta	# 560
transmitt funcition and Transmitt Compliance Point a Table 83A-1 are met.Receive Compliance Point - The interconnect from t XLAUI/CAUI receive function including AC coupling SDD21(dB) >= (-0.007 - 0.1684*SQRT(f) - 0.0617*f) f is given in GHz. SDD21 loss a Nyquist is 0.7 dB and 0.2 larger than Proposed ResponseProposed ResponseResponse StatusCl 85SC 9.3Cl 85SC 9.3Schiasi, AliBroadcomComment TypeTRComment StatusX	the Receive Com SDD21 respons SFP+ loss.	hitter parameters of apliance Point to the e shall be	Puropose to repalad PWS is measured p Proposed Response Cl 86 SC 1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR PWS (Pulse Width parameters. SuggestedRemedy Puropose to add PV PWS is measured p	ver FC-PI-4 Annex À.1.3.2 using <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 208 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X Shrinkage) a critical parameter i VS (Pulse Width Shrinkage) with ver FC-PI-4 Annex A.1.3.2 using	PRBS9 pattern <i>L</i> 12 s missing from ta	# 560

Draft 1.0 Comments	IEEE P8	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s I	Ethernet con	nments		Task force Review
Cl 85 SC 9.4.2 P 1 Ghiasi, Ali Broad		# 561	<i>CI 85</i> Ghiasi, Ali	SC 8.3	P 181 Broadcom	L 25	# 564
Comment Type TR Comment Status NEXT has large high frequncy component b		s limited 6 GHz.	<i>Comment</i> To gur		Comment Status X ablity a transmitter compliance	test method is	required.
SuggestedRemedy Increase NEXT frequncy range to 11 GHz o GHz.	r show there is no impa	ct limiting NEXT to 6	Suggested Purpos respon	se to use softwa	are method of IEEE 802.3 CL 6	88 TWDP which	uses cable impulse
Proposed Response Response Status	0		Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
Cl 83 SC A.3.2 P 2 Ghiasi, Ali Broad		# 562	<i>Cl</i> 86 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 6.1	P 208 Broadcom	L 12	# 565
Comment Type TR Comment Status PWS (Pulse Width Shrinkage) a critical para performance is missing from lis tof paramet	ameter on transmitter hi	gh frequncy		51	Comment Status X RJ measured jitter are jitter PD not dual-dirac.	PF dependent a	nd not valid for jitter
SuggestedRemedy Puropose to add PWS (Pulse Width Shrinka PWS is measured per FC-PI-4 Annex A.1.3				ce RJ with UJ o	f 0.025 UI (RMS) per IEEE CL of 0.15 UI per method of FC-P		PSBS 9 pattern
Proposed Response Response Status	0		Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
Cl 85 SC 8.3 P 1 Ghiasi, Ali Broad		# 563	<i>Cl</i> 83A Ghiasi, Ali	SC 3.3	P 283 Broadcom	L 35	# 566
Comment Type TR Comment Status With faster processes 24 ps transition time					Comment Status X RJ measured jitter are jitter PD not dual-dirac.	PF dependent a	nd not valid for jitter
SuggestedRemedy Suggest to change 24 ps to 20 ps			Suggested	IRemedy			
Proposed Response Response Status	0				ed jitter add UJ of 0.025 UI (RI of 0.17 UI per method of FC-P		
			Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0		

Draft 1.0 Comments	6	IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s I	Ethernet cor	nments		Task force Review
<i>Cl</i> 83A <i>SC</i> 3.3 Ghiasi, Ali	P 282 Broadcom	L 27	# 567	<i>Cl</i> 85 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 9.2	P 185 Broadcom	L 15	# 571
Comment Type TR With faster processes	Comment Status X 24 ps transition time starting to	be an issue		Comment Group	51	Comment Status X on are necessary to gurantee of	cable interopera	blity
SuggestedRemedy Suggest to change 24	4 ps to 20 ps			Suggested Either	•	p delay or the cable pulse resp	onse	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 8.3 Ghiasi, Ali	P 181 Broadcom	L 25	# 568	C/ 85 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 8.3	P 181 Broadcom	L 31	# 572
Comment Type TR Currently table 85-4 o far with it!	Comment Status X only has transmitter off level whi	ch is 30 mV and	d you wouldn't go that		ntial input retur	Comment Status X n loss is TBD		
SuggestedRemedy	defintion of IEEE CL 68.6.2 with	n min value of 3	60 mV		se to use SDD2	2 per equation 83A-1		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 85 SC 8.3 Ghiasi, Ali	<i>P</i> 181 Broadcom	L 28	# 569	<i>Cl</i> 85 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 8.4	P 183 Broadcom Comment Status X	L 17	# 573
Comment Type TR Differential Output ret	Comment Status X				put differential	p-p level of of 1200 mV is not of CRxx serve the front panel ma		
SuggestedRemedy Purpose to use SDD1	1 per equation 83A-1			Suggested Reduc	<i>Remedy</i> e max input lev	el to 850 mV		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 85 SC 8.3 Ghiasi, Ali	P 181 Broadcom	L 31	# 570					
Comment Type TR Common mode Outpu	Comment Status X ut return loss is TBD							
SuggestedRemedy Purpose to use SCC1	1 per equation 83A-2							
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 573

Page 108 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:21 PM

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet cor	nments		Task force Review
C/ 85 SC 7.1 Ghiasi, Ali	P 177 Broadcom	L 20	# 574	<i>Cl</i> 85 <i>SC</i> 9.1 Ghiasi, Ali	P 185 Broadcom	L 16	# 577
has the full provision t SuggestedRemedy Add badrate of 3.125 of 20 ps and max valu	Comment Status X upport KX, KX4 and KR. CR4, o support another IEEE803.3a GBd to line 22. Duplicate Tran e of 130 ps . voltage p-p 800 mV to 1200 m	k (CX4) nsition time line		Comment Type TR 3.125 GBd operation SuggestedRemedy Add insertion loss lim Proposed Response	Comment Status X insertion loss missing it from from 54-3. Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 86 SC 4.2 Ghiasi, Ali	P 204 Broadcom	L 51	# 578
C/ 85 SC 8.4 Ghiasi, Ali	P 183 Broadcom	L 21	# <u>5</u> 75	Comment Type TR Transmit function is n	Comment Status X nissing AC coupling		
Comment Type TR Since CR4/CR10 does damage threshold SuggestedRemedy Remove 1600 mV dar	Comment Status X s not interface with KX there is	not no reason t	to have 1600 mV	SuggestedRemedy Transmit function incl Proposed Response	lude AC coupling. Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Cl 86 SC 86.4.3 Ghiasi, Ali	P 205 Broadcom	L 29	# 579
C/ 85 SC 8.4 Ghiasi, Ali	P 183 Broadcom	L 9	# 576		Comment Status X ing from receive function		
Comment Type TR Support for CX4 is mis which is simialr to CX4	Comment Status X ssing from the table. 802.3ap a 4.	lready has sup	port for KX4 operation	SuggestedRemedy Receive function inclu Proposed Response	ude AC coupling. Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy Add Signaling rate of 3	3.125 GBd to table 85-5.						

Proposed Response Response Status 0

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

/ 85 SC 9.3	P 186	L 3	# 580	C/ 86	SC 1	P 199	L 21	# 583
hiasi, Ali	Broadcom			Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom		
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status X		
that prevents the hos host is equal to the te	HOST NEXT is accounted for t having excessive NEXT. If th est board the cable are tested v will be double counting of NEX	e amount of NE with then the cur	XT and FEXT for the ent methodology hold.	52. The	ese products w	products will be developed dua ill be able to operate longer and to the reach with Ref to CL 52	nd on leacy OM	
	of noisy noisy host NEXT and			SuggestedF	-			
estimated.					f the transmitte 3 fibre would be	r and receiver are compliant t	o IEEE 10GBas	e-S CL 52.5 the reac
uggestedRemedy				Proposed R		Response Status 0		
To eliminated the cas and 85-6 equations.	se of noisy host, the host NEXT	and FEXT mus	t also meet 85-4, 85-5,	r Toposed N	esponse	Response Status 0		
roposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 86	SC 6.1	P 208	L 38	# 584
				Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom		
/ 85 SC 7.1	P 177	L 33	# 581	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status X		
hiasi, Ali	Broadcom					RJ measured jitter are jitter PD	OF dependent ar	nd not valid for jitter
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			distribut	tion which are	not dual-dirac.		
	CR10 are between TP4 and Ch 10 defining the AC coupling in		from leagacy KR,		e RJ with UJ of	0.025 UI (RMS) per IEEE CL of 0.15 UI per method of FC-F		PSBS 9 pattern
uggestedRemedy AC coupling need to l	be between TP3 and MDI			Proposed R		Response Status O		
roposed Response	Response Status 0							
				<i>Cl</i> 86 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 9	P 217 Broadcom	L 30	# 585
/ 86 SC 1 hiasi, Ali	P 199 Broadcom	L 21	# 582	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status X		
				Max and	d min loss betw	veen PMA IC and TP1a and T	P4a are listed a	s TBD
omment Type TR	Comment Status X		E or 4 10ChE por Cl	SuggestedF	Remedy			
52. These products v	products will be developed dua will be able to operate longer and to the reach with Ref to CL 52	nd on leacy OM	1 and PM2 fibres. A	Min loss	S	6169*SQRT(f) - 0.5855*f)		
uggestedRemedy					(2/6 - 2*f/6) is in GHz			
	er and receiver are compliant t	o IEEE 10GBas	e-S CL 52.5 the reach			assumes the HCB PCB loss	at Nyquist is <=	1.0 dB
on OM3 fibre would b				Proposed R	lesponse	Response Status O		
roposed Response	Response Status O							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s an	d 100Gb/s Ethe	rnet con	nments		Task force Review
<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 7.1 Ghiasi, Ali	P 177 Broadcom	L 30	# 586	C/ 85 SC Ghiasi, Ali	9.3 [°]	P 186 Broadcom	L 10	# 589
Comment Type TR Max and min loss betw work if there is 10 dB I	Comment Status X veen PMA IC and TP1a and TI oss on the PCB	P4a are not def	ined, the link will not	Comment Type Cable asser Differential	mbly returr	Comment Status X n loss does not specify if it is SC	C or SDD bu	t I am assuming it is
SuggestedRemedy Loss from PMA functio 0.0788 -0.6169*SQRT Min loss SDD>=(2/6 - 2*f/6)	on to TP1a and loss from TP4a (f) - 0.5855*f)	a to PMA functio	on is SDD21<=(-	SuggestedRem Propose to Proposed Resp	use SDD2	2/SDD11 per equation 83A-1 Response Status 0		
Where is in GHz The maximum SDD21 Proposed Response	assumes the HCB PCB loss a Response Status 0	at Nyquist is <=	1.0 dB	<i>Cl</i> 85 SC Ghiasi, Ali	C 8.4	P 182 Broadcom	L 50	# 590
C/ 86 SC 6.5 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR With stacked connecto	P 211 Broadcom Comment Status X or -6 dB SCC can not be met v	L 19 vhich could elim	# <u>587</u>	SuggestedRem Puropose to	edy o use the p attenuator	Comment Status X now to test the receiver for comp ulse response from the 10 m ca in the Fig 69A-1. In Fig 69A-1 T	ble assembly	
SuggestedRemedy Propose the following SCC22<= (-12 + 2 8*f)	SCC2 mask from 0.01 to 2.5 GHz and (-5.	2+0.08*f) from	2.5 to 11.1 GHz	Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 85 SC Ghiasi, Ali	C 8.4	P 183 Broadcom	L 16	# 591
Cl 85 SC 9.2 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Cable assembly is mis SuggestedRemedy	P 185 Broadcom Comment Status X ssing common mode return los	L 10 s parameter.	# [<u>588</u>]	SuggestedRem	edy use min re	Comment Status X nt on the min receive signal eceive VMA of 180 mV diff p-p p <i>Response Status</i> O	per definition (of IEEE CL68.6.2.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Draft 1.0 Comments	IEEE P802	2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s Ethernet	comments		Task force Review
C/ 86 SC 6.5 P 211 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom	L 27	# 592	C/ 88 SC 3 Ghiasi, Ali	P 246 Broadcom	L 17	# 595
Comment Type TR Comment Status X MJSQ method of DJ and RJ breakdown is only valid reported can even be 0 for cases the actual high freq SuggestedRemedy Replace DJ with 99% probability jitter with symbol J2 Proposed Response Response Status O			Comment Type T PMD loopback fu SuggestedRemedy Please add PMD Proposed Response			
Cl 86 SC 6.5 P 211 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom	L 49	# 593	Cl 83A SC 3.3 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type T	P 283 Broadcom R Comment Status X	L 33	# 596
Comment Type TR Comment Status X MJSQ method of DJ and RJ breakdown is only valid reported can even be 0 for cases the actual high freq SuggestedRemedy			Error rate for the SuggestedRemedy	Total jitter not defined		
Replace DJ with 99% probability jitter with symbol J2 Proposed Response Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
			C/ 83A SC 3.4	P 286	L 46	# 597
CI 87SC 4.4P 228Ghiasi, AliBroadcomComment TypeTRComment StatusX	L 27	# 594		Broadcom R Comment Status X Total jitter not defined		
PMD loopback function is missing SuggestedRemedy				ned at BER 1E-15 with value of 0.6	4 UI	
Please add PMD loopback function Proposed Response Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
			C/ 83A SC 3.4 Ghiasi, Ali	<i>P</i> 286 Broadcom	L 41	# 598
			Comment Type T With faster proce	R Comment Status X ss 24 ps is becoming limits the des	sing options	
			SuggestedRemedy Change 24 ps Ri	se/Fall time to 20 ps		
			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		

Page 112 of 123 10/31/2008 1:24:22 PM

	S	IEEE P802	2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s E	hernet com	nments		Task force Review
C/ 83A SC 3.3 Ghiasi, Ali	P 283 Broadcom	L 12	# 599	<i>Cl</i> 83A Ghiasi, Ali	SC 3.4	P 286 Broadcom	L 33	# 602
Comment Type TR Transmitt compliance	Comment Status X e not yet defined			ر <i>Comment T</i> ر please r	<i>pe</i> ER eplace +- with	Comment Status X symbol		
	asi_01_0708 min and max loss c f s4p file cn be included in the dra			SuggestedR Replace Proposed Re	with the fram	e symbol Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O				SC 3.3.4	P 284	1 50	" [222
C/ 83A SC 3.4	P 286	L 1225	# 600	<i>Cl</i> 83A Ghiasi, Ali	SC 3.3.4	P 284 Broadcom	L 50	# 603
Ghiasi, Ali	P 200 Broadcom	L 1 223	# 600	Comment Ty	rpe TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X				rence impeda mode section	nce for differential return loss າ	measuremen	t is 100 ohms in the
Inteference tolerance	etest not yet defined			SuggestedR	emedy			
	asi_01_0708 min and max loss c				hange to "The ment is 25 of	e reference impedance for cor Ims.	nmon mode s	-parameters
TP1 must have maxi	est setup followed by a limiting A imum jitter as defiend in table 83 =0.42 UI, Inteference generator the e 83A-2	A-1. Pre-emhasa	ais can be adjusted	Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status O		
	Response Status 0			<i>Cl</i> 85 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 8.4	P 182 Broadcom	L 50	# 604
Proposed Response				e e . ,		Diodacom		
				Comment Ty		Comment Status X		
C/ 83A SC 3.3	P 283	L 14	# [601	Comment Ty KR can	, not operate ov	Comment Status X ver 10 m of 24 AWG cable wh		
Cl 83A SC 3.3 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type ER	P 283 Broadcom Comment Status X	L 14	# 601	Comment Ty KR can host PC	, not operate ov B loss. KR st gy we should	Comment Status X	ago and with	improved process and
C/ 83A SC 3.3 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type ER please replace +- wit	P 283 Broadcom Comment Status X	L 14	# [601	Comment Ty KR can host PC technolo	, not operate ov B loss. KR st gy we should ce loss.	Comment Status X ver 10 m of 24 AWG cable wh andard was devloped 3 years	ago and with	improved process and
Ghiasi, Ali <i>Comment Type</i> ER	P 283 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X th symbol	L 14	# 601	Comment Ty KR can host PC technolo PCB tra SuggestedR Assumir based o	, not operate ov B loss. KR st gy we should ce loss. <i>emedy</i> Ig PMA IC los n diminico res	Comment Status X ver 10 m of 24 AWG cable wh andard was devloped 3 years	ago and with orter than 10m C loss are Nyquis	improved process and or have unreasonable quist is 4.5 dB then t need to be increasaed

C/ 86 SC 6.5 P 211 L 24 # 605	Cl 01 SC 1.4 P 23 L 22 # 607
Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom	Ganga, Ilango Intel
omment Type TR Comment Status X Total jitter at TP4 is 0.7 UI which is the same as SFP+ single channel. The SR4/SR10 optics are more relax than SR optics but the SerDes tolerance is the same.	Comment Type T Comment Status X Add 40GBASE-LR4 to the definitions list in 1.4
uggested Remedy	SuggestedRemedy
The Total Jitter at TP4 for SR4 and SR10 should be 0.65 UI. Since CR4/CR10 TJ are 0.28	Insert the following text at line 22:
UI if the optical link does not close then TJ in table 86-6 and 86-7 are suggested to be reduced to 0.28 UI	1.4.x 40GBASE-LR4: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 40 Gb/s using 40GBA R encoding over four WDM lanes, long reach, single mode fiber. (See IEEE 802.3, Clau 87.)
roposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
/ 83 SC 83.4 P 151 L 44 # 606 Ambrosia. John Force10 Networks	C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.14 P 27 L # 608
	Ganga, Ilango Intel
omment Type T Comment Status X	
The first contance of this clouce is	Comment Type T Comment Status X
The first sentence of this clause is	Comment Type T Comment Status X update the text in 30.5.1.1.44 (802.3-2008) for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s:
The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and	update the text in 30.5.1.1.44 (802.3-2008) for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s:
The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and the uppermost PMA in a set of one or more stacked PMAs (possibly including an extender sub-layer). An extender sub-layer was not been defined by the baseline, though the XLAUI / CAUI can	update the text in 30.5.1.1.44 (802.3-2008) for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s: SuggestedRemedy Change following text in 30.5.1.1.44 aFECmode after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: or FEC enable bit in 10/40/100GBASE-R FEC control register (see 45.2.1.85).;
The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and the uppermost PMA in a set of one or more stacked PMAs (possibly including an extender sub-layer). An extender sub-layer was not been defined by the baseline, though the XLAUI / CAUI can be perceived in this fashion.	update the text in 30.5.1.1.44 (802.3-2008) for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s: SuggestedRemedy Change following text in 30.5.1.1.44 aFECmode after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS:
The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and the uppermost PMA in a set of one or more stacked PMAs (possibly including an extender sub-layer). An extender sub-layer was not been defined by the baseline, though the XLAUI / CAUI can	update the text in 30.5.1.1.44 (802.3-2008) for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s: SuggestedRemedy Change following text in 30.5.1.1.44 aFECmode after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: or FEC enable bit in 10/40/100GBASE-R FEC control register (see 45.2.1.85).;
The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and the uppermost PMA in a set of one or more stacked PMAs (possibly including an extender sub-layer). An extender sub-layer was not been defined by the baseline, though the XLAUI / CAUI can be perceived in this fashion. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Suggested rewording - The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and	update the text in 30.5.1.1.44 (802.3-2008) for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s: SuggestedRemedy Change following text in 30.5.1.1.44 aFECmode after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: or FEC enable bit in 10/40/100GBASE-R FEC control register (see 45.2.1.85).;
The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and the uppermost PMA in a set of one or more stacked PMAs (possibly including an extender sub-layer). An extender sub-layer was not been defined by the baseline, though the XLAUI / CAUI can be perceived in this fashion. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Suggested rewording - The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and the uppermost PMA in a set of one or more stacked PMAs, as well as between stages in a stacked PMA.	update the text in 30.5.1.1.44 (802.3-2008) for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s: SuggestedRemedy Change following text in 30.5.1.1.44 aFECmode after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: or FEC enable bit in 10/40/100GBASE-R FEC control register (see 45.2.1.85).; Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.15 P 27 L # 609
The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and the uppermost PMA in a set of one or more stacked PMAs (possibly including an extender sub-layer). An extender sub-layer was not been defined by the baseline, though the XLAUI / CAUI can be perceived in this fashion. InggestedRemedy Suggested rewording - The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and the uppermost PMA in a set of one or more stacked PMAs, as well as between stages in a stacked PMA. Presentation to be provided	update the text in 30.5.1.1.44 (802.3-2008) for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s: SuggestedRemedy Change following text in 30.5.1.1.44 aFECmode after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: or FEC enable bit in 10/40/100GBASE-R FEC control register (see 45.2.1.85).; Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.15 P 27 L # 609 Ganga, llango Intel Comment Type T Comment Status X
The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and the uppermost PMA in a set of one or more stacked PMAs (possibly including an extender sub-layer). An extender sub-layer was not been defined by the baseline, though the XLAUI / CAUI can be perceived in this fashion. <i>aggestedRemedy</i> Suggested rewording - The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and the uppermost PMA in a set of one or more stacked PMAs, as well as between stages in a stacked PMA. Presentation to be provided	update the text in 30.5.1.1.44 (802.3-2008) for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s: SuggestedRemedy Change following text in 30.5.1.1.44 aFECmode after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: or FEC enable bit in 10/40/100GBASE-R FEC control register (see 45.2.1.85).; Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.15 P 27 L # 609 Ganga, Ilango Intel Comment Type T Comment Status X update text in 30.5.1.1.15 aFECCorrectedBlocks for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s
The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and the uppermost PMA in a set of one or more stacked PMAs (possibly including an extender sub-layer). An extender sub-layer was not been defined by the baseline, though the XLAUI / CAUI can be perceived in this fashion. UggestedRemedy Suggested rewording - The PMA Service Interface exists between the PMA client (the PCS or FEC sub-layer) and the uppermost PMA in a set of one or more stacked PMAs, as well as between stages in a stacked PMA. Presentation to be provided	update the text in 30.5.1.1.44 (802.3-2008) for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s: SuggestedRemedy Change following text in 30.5.1.1.44 aFECmode after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: or FEC enable bit in 10/40/100GBASE-R FEC control register (see 45.2.1.85).; Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.15 P 27 L # 609 Ganga, llango Intel Comment Type T Comment Status X update text in 30.5.1.1.15 aFECCorrectedBlocks for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s SuggestedRemedy

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P80)2.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	100Gb/s	Ethernet com	ments			Task force Review
<i>Cl</i> 30 SC 30.5.1.1 . Ganga, Ilango	16 P 27 Intel	L	# 610	<i>Cl</i> 30 Ganga, Ila	SC 30.3.2.1. :	3 P2 Intel	27	L 21	# 613
Comment Type T update text in 30.5.1.1	Comment Status X 16 aFECUnCorrectableBlocks	s for 40 Gb/s an	d 100 Gb/s	Comment Add a	51	Comment Status te for 40GBASE-R a		SE-R to aPH	YTypeList
SuggestedRemedy change text after BEH/	AVIOUR DEFINED AS as follo	ws:		Suggeste Insert	-	ibutes to the end of	the list APPR	OPRIATE S	SYNTAX:
	OGBASE-R or 40GBASE-R o This counter will not incremen					2 40 Gb/s multilane 32 100 Gb/s multilar			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Also	hange the Note a	at the end of 30.3.2.	1.3 (IEEE Sto	802.3-2008	3) as follows:
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3. Ganga, Ilango	5 P 284 Intel	L 41	# 611	when	—At 10 Gb/s, 40 reporting the pos ne PHY could be.	sible types	he ability of th	ne PMD mus	t be taken into account
in the base standard (I The Return Loss limits with loss being positive	Comment Status X loss definition and plots to be EEE Std 802.3-2008, Annex 6 in Figure 83A–4 and Figure 8 (See 69B.4.5)	9B)		·	Response	Response Status			
SuggestedRemedy									
Proposed Response	Response Status O								
C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1 ./ Ganga, Ilango	2 P 27 Intel	L 15	# 612						
Comment Type TR Add appropriate attribu	Comment Status X te for 40GBASE-R and 100GB	BASE-R							
SuggestedRemedy Insert the following attr	ibutes to the end of the list AP	PROPRIATE S	YNTAX:						
	2 40 Gb/s multilane 64B/66B 32 100 Gb/s multilane 64B/66I	3							
Proposed Response	Response Status O								

Draft 1.0 Comments

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

Cl 30 Ganga, Ila	SC 30.5.1 ango	.1.2	P 27 Intel	L 22	# 614	C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.4 P 27 L # 615 Ganga, Ilango Intel
Comment	Type TR		nment Status X 30.5.1.1.2 aMAUTyp	be and add 40G ar	nd 100G list	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Update the text in 30.5.1.1.4 (802.3-2008) for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s:
Suggeste	dRemedy		.UType attribute list a			Change following text in 30.5.1.1.4 aMediaAvailable after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS:
40GE 40GE 2 Claus 40GE as sp 40GE in Cla 40GE PMD 100G 100G PMD 100G Speci 100G PMD	BASE-R Multila BASE-KR4 400 ecified in Clau BASE-CR4 400 ecified in Clau BASE-SR4 400 as specified in BASE-R Multi BASE-R Multi BASE-R Multi BASE-R Multi BASE-R Multi BASE-R Multi BASE-R Multi BASE-R4 10 as specified in BASE-LR4 10 as specified in BASE-LR4 10 as specified in BASE-LR4 10 as specified in BASE-LR4 10 as specified in Clause BASE-LR4 10 as specified in BASE-R4 10 as specified in BASE-LR4 10 as specified in Clause BASE-LR4 10 as specified in BASE-CR10 1 as specified in BASE-LR4 10 as specified in BASE-LR4 10 as specified in BASE-CR10 1 as specified	ne R PCS BASE-R F BASE-R F BASE-R F Clause 87 00GBASE-R Clause 87 00GBASE-F Clause 88 00GBASE-F Clause 80 00GBASE-F clause 80 000BASE-F clause 80	PMA as specified in PCS/PMA over an ele PCS/PMA over 4 land PCS/PMA over 4 land PCS/PMA over 4 WD CS/PMA as specified i -R PCS/PMA over 4 WD R PCS/PMA over 1 R PCS/PMA over 4 W R PCS/PMA over 4 W R PCS/PMA over 4 W n Clause 88 first paragraph after er BEHAVIOUR DEF -X, 1000BASE-XHD,	Clause 82 over u ectrical backplane e shielded copper e OM3 multimode DM lane long reach in Clause 82 over 0 lane shielded co 0 lane OM3 multin WDM lane long rea WDM lane long rea MDM lane extended BEHAVIOUR DEI	ndefined PMD PMD as specified in balanced cable PMD fiber PMD as specified a single mode fiber undefined PMD opper balanced cable node fiber PMD as ach single mode fiber ed long reach single FINED AS	SuggestedRemedy Change following text in 30.5.1.1.4 aMediaAvailable after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: Any MAU that implements management of Clause 28 or Clause 73 Auto-Negotiation will map remote fault indication to MediaAvailable "remote fault." Change following text in 30.5.1.1.4 aMediaAvailable after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS in last paragraph: 10/40/100GBASE-R PCS Latched high BER status bit (45.2.3.12.2) Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5 P27 L # 616 Ganga, Ilango Intel Comment Type TR Comment Status X Update attribute 30.6.1.1.5 aAutoNegLocalTechnologyAbility for 40G and 100G PHY types SuggestedRemedy Insert the following to the list after 10GBASE-KRFD: 40GBASE-CR4FD Full duplex 40GBASE-KR4 as specified in Clause 84 40GBASE-CR10FD Full duplex 100GBASE-CR1 as specified in Clause 85 100GBASE-CR10FD Full duplex 100GBASE-CR10 as specified in Clause 85 Change the text after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS as follows: Change the text after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS as follows:
The e 10GB	numerations 1	000BASE	-X, 1000BASE-XHD, 0GBASE-R and 1000	, 1000BASE-XFD,	10GBASE-X,	Change the text after BEHAVIOUR DEFINED A This indicates the technology ability of the local and Clause 73.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 1.0 Comments

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 30B SC 30B.2 ASN.1 P 270 L 15 # 617 Ganga, Ilango Intel Intel <t< th=""><th>C/ 30B SC 30B.2 ASN.1 P 270 L 16 # 618 Ganga, Ilango Intel</th></t<>	C/ 30B SC 30B.2 ASN.1 P 270 L 16 # 618 Ganga, Ilango Intel
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Update 30B.2 ASN.1 module for CSMA/CD managed objects to add 40G and 100G PHY types	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Update 30B.2 ASN.1 module for CSMA/CD managed objects to add 40G and 100G PHY types
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Insert following 3 lines to the list "AutoNegTechnology::= ENUMERATED" as follows: Insert after 1000GBASE-TFD: 40GBASE-KR4 (822),40GBASE-KR4 PHY as defined in Clause 84 40GBASE-CR4 (823),40GBASE-CR4 PHY as defined in Clause 85 100GBASE-CR4 (8211),100GBASE-CR10 PHY as defined in Clause 85	Insert following lines to the list after "TypeValue::= ENUMERATED" as follows: Insert after 10GBASE-T: 40GBASE-R (821) Multilane R PCS/PMA as specified in Clause 82 over undefined PMD 40GBASE-KR4 (822) 40GBASE-R PCS/PMA over an electrical backplane PMD as specified in Clause 84 40GBASE-CR4 (823) 40GBASE-R PCS/PMA over 4 lane shielded copper balanced cable PMD as specified in Clause 85 40GBASE-SR4 (824) 40GBASE-R PCS/PMA over 4 lane OM3 multimode fiber PMD as specified in Clause 86 40GBASE-LR4 (825) 40GBASE-R PCS/PMA over 4 WDM lane long reach single mode fiber PMD as specified in Clause 87
Proposed Response Response Status O	100GBASE-R (8210) Multilane R PCS/PMA as specified in Clause 82 over undefined PMD 100GBASE-CR10 (8211) 100GBASE-R PCS/PMA over 10 lane shielded copper balanced cable PMD as specified in Clause 85 100GBASE-SR10 (8212) 100GBASE-R PCS/PMA over 10 lane OM3 multimode fiber PMD as specified in Clause 86 100GBASE-LR4 (8213) 100GBASE-R PCS/PMA over 4 WDM lane long reach single mode fiber PMD as specified in Clause 88 100GBASE-ER4 (8214) 100GBASE-R PCS/PMA over 4 WDM lane extended long reach single mode fiber PMD as specified in Clause 88 <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status 0

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 30B SC 30B.2 Ganga, llango	P 270 Intel	L 17	# 619	C/ 80 SC 80.2.6 P 89 L 11 # 620 Ganga, Ilango Intel	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X module for CSMA/CD manag	ed objects to add	40G and 100G PHY	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Service interface specification method and notation:	
SuggestedRemedy Insert following lines	to the list PhyTypeValue::= EN e list after 2BASE-TL	NUMERATED:		For all the service interfaces used in 802.3ba follow the defintion used in 1.2.2 and be consistent with service interfaces used in the base specification (IEEE 802.3-2008) This comment applies to Clause 82 to Clause 88	
	lause 82 40 Gb/s multilane 64 -Clause 82 100 Gb/s multilane			In the base specification the only the parameters used in the primitive is a vector, non- the primitives are vectors. Whereas in 802.3ba the primitive is defined as a vector wit a single parameter. This is inconsistent with the base standard (IEEE Std 802.3-2008)	h just
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Change the service interface definition in 802.3ba to be consistent with the base stand For example the PMD service interface in Clause 86 is defined as follows: PMD_UNITDATA.request<0(tx_biti), i=0n or in otherwords PMD_UNITDATA.request0(tx_bit0) PMD_UNITDATA.request1(tx_bit1) PMD_UNITDATA.request1(tx_bit1) Instead define the primitives with parameter as vectors as in 802.3-2008 PMD_UNITDATA.request(tx_bit<->) or in otherwords PMD_UNITDATA.request(tx_bit, tx_bit2, tx_bit1, tx_bit0)	ard
				SuggestedRemedy Change service interface definition in 802.3ba to be consistent with the base specifica (IEEE Std 802.3-2008). Make this change globally to Clauses 80 through 88 and remo the editorial notes. For example the PMD_UNIDATA.request primitive in PMD service interface will be redefined as follows: PMD_UNITDATA.request(tx_bit <n:0>) or in otherwords PMD_UNITDATA.request(tx_bit<n>, tx_bit2, tx_bit1, tx_bit0)</n></n:0>	

Draft 1.	0 Comments		IEEE P8	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s ar	nd 100Gb/s Ethernet co	nments		Task force Revie
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			C/ 83 SC 83.1.4 CHANG, Frank	P 146 Vitesse	L 4	# 624
C/ 88	SC 88.6.1	P 251	L 3	# 621	Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
CHANG, F	Frank	Vitesse				MA stage examples become i		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X				40GBASE-R transmit (& Rece ASE-R transmit (& Receive).	eive), or 4(5) inpu	its to 1 outputs to cov
What	is the inherent re	ason to use ER of 4dB, whicl	h seems oviouls	y odd?	SuggestedRemedy			
Suggested	Remedy				Suggest take them o	ut from the table.		
00	st to change ER n power numbers	as 3.5dB or 6dB which look r accordingly).	nore realistic. (n	eed to re-calculate the	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
		/Hz is tough, suggest -128dB	/Hz.		C/ 83 SC 83.6	P 152	L 3435	# 625
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			CHANG, Frank	Vitesse	L 3433	# 025
					Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
C/ 88 Chang, F	SC 88.6.3 Frank	P 253 Vitesse	L 13	# 622	appropriate to define	not to define optical modules w the possible numbers of input		
Comment	Туре т	Comment Status X			100GBASE-R with 2	1.		
	le 88-9, Allocatic ase-LR signal cha	on for penalties is too optimist annel specs.	tic, which is not	conparable to even	SuggestedRemedy Suggest to take it ou	t.		
Suggested	Remedy				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
00	est to consider ad hin 3.5 to 4dB.	lding the extra xtalk spenalty,	which should le	t the total penalties to		-		
Proposed	Response	Response Status 0			C/ 83 SC 1.3 CHANG, Frank	P 144 Vitesse	L 47	# 626
CI 88	SC 88.7.1	P 254	L 25	# 623	Comment Type T Feel "provide test ge	Comment Status X neration and detection" not suf	ficient.	
CHANG, F	Frank	Vitesse			SuggestedRemedy			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X				uild-in-self-test (BIST) function	with test pattern	generator and
ER=8	dB sound odd as	compare with prevailing TX s	specs.		checkor"		toot pation	genorator and
Suggested	Remedy				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
		assumed, suggest ER=8.2d c ge RIN <-132dB/Hz to -128d						

Response Status 0

Proposed Response

Draft 1.0 Comments	5	IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s	Ethernet cor	nments		Task force Review
C/ 83 SC 83.2 CHANG, Frank	P 148 Vitesse	L 44	# 627	C/ 86 CHANG, I	SC 86.1 Frank	P 199 Vitesse	L 16	# 630
Comment Type T Dono't feel "Where the function.	Comment Status X e PMA isin the TX or RX direc	tion" is enough t	o cover loopback		ake Fiber type C	Comment Status X DM3 clear.		
SuggestedRemedy Suggest such change or bidirectional (for the	e sth like "Whether the PMA is e sake o loopback)".	unidirectiona in	the TX or RX direction,	Sugg Minim	<i>dRemedy</i> est to be consis num modal BW <i>l Response</i>	tent with Clause 52.5 10GBASE @850nm. Response Status 0	E-S definition, ir	dicating 2000MHz.km
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Toposou	Response			
	P 154 Vitesse	L 34	# 628	<i>CI</i> 86 CHANG, I	SC 86.82 Frank	P 209 Vitesse	L 15	# 631
Comment Type TR In 83.6.6, PMA loopba functions. SuggestedRemedy Suggest to define two	Comment Status X ack mode should support lines winds of loopback. in addition le loopback as 2nd option.	·		Suggeste Sugg - Extr - Add - ORL	 86-8 need mor dRemedy est to edit the for a row for signali Average lanch tolerance shou 	ng speed as 4/10 x 10.3125GB power, each lane MIN specs as Ild be MAX, not min, specs.	TBD	
Proposed Response Cl 83 SC 83.6.7 CHANG, Frank Comment Type TR Agree with Editor com	Response Status O P 155 Vitesse Comment Status X mment on PRBS31 pattern is to	L 39	# 629	- Add	TDP specs as	set to -128dB/HZ (-132dB/Hz wo TBD. Response Status 0		yiela)
SuggestedRemedy	patterns like PRBS7, PRBS9	-	etc in the text. (PRBS9	C/ 86 CHANG, I	SC 86.6.6 Frank	P 212 Vitesse	L 34	# 632
is well established in I Proposed Response				comp	ation for penalty	Comment Status X state TBD, which should be 8.3 BSE-SR should come related to		
				Suggeste Pls cl	-			
				Proposed	l Response	Response Status 0		

Draft 1.0 Comments		IEEE P80	02.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and	d 100Gb/s Ethernet co	mments		Task force Review
C/ 87 SC 87.6.3 CHANG, Frank	P 231 Vitesse	L 2	# 633	C/ 88 SC 88.7.2 CHANG, Frank	P 255 Vitesse	L 21	# 636
Comment Type TR edits in table 87-8,	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR In Table 88-12, RX r	Comment Status X	specs.	
	hould be MAX, not min specs. specs as conition for SRS.			SuggestedRemedy Change RX reflectar Proposed Response	nce as MAX specs. Add Stress Response Status O	s eye jitter as co	ondition to SRS test.
roposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ 88 SC 88.7.3 CHANG, Frank	<i>P</i> 256 Vitesse	L 12	# 637
2/ 87 SC 87.6.3 HANG, Frank	P 233 Vitesse	L 2	# 634	Comment Type TR In Table 88-13, the p penalty than 30km.	Comment Status X penalties for 40km sound too o	ptimistic, which	should show larger
Comment Type TR In Table 87.9, Allocatio while LR4 is only 2.3dE	Comment Status X on for penalties sound too opting with xtlk.	mistic. 10GBase	-L allocate 3.2dB	SuggestedRemedy The penalties for 40	km should be 0.5dB higher tha _ is too pessimistic, keeping in		
SuggestedRemedy Suggest to consider 4-/	4.2dB, and change RX param	eters in Table 8	7-8 accordingly.	Proposed Response	Response Status O		very light link budget.
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						
		L 24	# [005	C/ 83A SC 83A.1 CHANG, Frank	P 281 Vitesse	L 3	# 638
		L 24	# 635	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	P 252 Vitesse						ite te substance fear and or
HANG, Frank omment Type TR		scs. Also need S	tress eye jitter specs	MMF module with no	or CAUI is just for chip-to-chip on-retimed interface. For optica I be interface connecting optica	al 4x25g SMF o	r 4x10g X40 modules,
HANG, Frank	Vitesse Comment Status X ctance should not be MIN spe	cs. Also need S	tress eye jitter specs	MMF module with no	on-retimed interface. For optica	al 4x25g SMF o	r 4x10g X40 modules,
CHANG, Frank Comment Type TR In Table 88-8, RX refle as condition for SRS te SuggestedRemedy - Change RX reflectance	Vitesse Comment Status X ctance should not be MIN spe est.		tress eye jitter specs	MMF module with no CAUI or XLAU could SuggestedRemedy Suggest the change The purpose of the 2	on-retimed interface. For optica I be interface connecting optica	al 4x25g SMF o al modules to he lexible chip-to-o	r 4x10g X40 modules, ost oard. chip internection as well

Draft 1.0 Comments

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

C/ 82 SC 82.1.6	P 116	L 20	# 639	C/ 83 SC 83.2	P 147	L 4	# 642
Ganga, Ilango	Intel			Ganga, Ilango	Intel		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
typo in the block diag	gram, change "AIIGNMENT" to	"ALIGNMENT"			irectional and contains both Tr		
					rate RX PMA and a TX PMA is se. In some references in this of		
SuggestedRemedy					cludes both TX PMA and RX P		inplied both for e.g
per comment				So instand of referrin	g this as RX and TX PMA, sim	nhu dofina tha DI	MA oo o oinglo blook
Proposed Response	Response Status O			which includes both	Transmit and Receive function S/PMA/PMD which are all bidir	s. This medthod	ology is consistent wit
C/ 83 SC 83.6.6	P 154	L 39	# 640	SuggestedRemedy			
Ganga, Ilango	Intel				single block which includes be		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X				as single PMA block with TX connects to p input lanes and c		
• •	e "uppermost" PMA in the stack	nrovides loopha	ck function. It is		put lanes and p output lanes.		
	he is the "uppermost", on the link			with the RX function.			
Aloo in a stastict DA	14 where the DNA's are server	ad loop bools in	desirable is both	Also Change Fig 83-	4 to illustrate both TX and RX	functions	
	IA where the PMA's are separated E.g MAC/PCS/PMA implemented impl			000			
PMA/FEC/PMA/PMI					e TX function can use PMA_L indication in the following man		t and the RX function
SuggestedRemedy				USE FIMA_UNIDATA.	indication in the following man		
Clarify the term "upp	permost" PMA in 83.6.6.				r data flowing from MAC to ME	DI	
				PMA_UNIDATA.requ PMA_UNIDATA.requ			
				FINA_UNIDATA.lequ			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Receive direction	<i></i>		
				PMA_UNIDATA.india PMA_UNIDATA.india			
CI 99 SC	Р	L	# 641				
Ganga, Ilango	Intel			Signal indication	Cara la		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			PMA_SIGNAL.indica PMA_SIGNAL.indica			
	nentation conformance statemer	ot (DICS) proform	as to the end of the		llion_out		
Clauses 82 to 88 and		ונ (דוכס) פוטוטווו		So this can be consis primitives	stently mapped to the request	and indication of	PMD primitives or FE
SuggestedRemedy				Accordingly, update	the text description and primitiv	ve definitions in 8	33.3
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

IEEE P802.3ba D1.0 40Gb/s and 100Gb/s Ethernet comments

	C/ 45 SC 2.3.12.3 P 54 L 23 # 645 Nicholl, Gary Cisco					
	Comment Type TR Comment Status X In keeping with nicholl_02_0508 and the follow-up discussion at the Munich meeting I would like to request that the size of the BER be increased from 6 bits to at least 24 bits.					
Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy I will be providing a contribution in Dallas with a suggested remedy Proposed Response Response Status O					
C/ 83 SC 1.3 P 144 L 36 # 643 Nicholl, Gary Cisco	C/ 45 SC 2.3.12.4 P 54 L 30 # 646 Nicholl, Gary Cisco					
Comment Type T Comment Status X I would like to see a PMA line loopback (by which I mean data loopback from/to the PMD service interface) as a mandatory requirement. This is something that was not included in the original 802.3ae spec (10GE), but is widely implemented and used by the industry (primarily for PMD compliance testing).	Comment Type TR Comment Status X In keeping with nicholl_02_0508 and the follow-up discussion at the Munich meeting I would like to request that the size of the Errored Block counter be increased from 8 bits at least 24 bits.					
SuggestedRemedy I will be making a contribution in Dallas to propose a remedy.	SuggestedRemedy I will be providing a contribution in Dallas with a suggested remedy.					
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O					
C/ 88 SC 6.2 P 252 L 26 # 644 Nicholl, Gary Cisco						
Comment Type T Comment Status X Do we need to specify what BER the Receiver sensitivity (OMA) paramter is specified for ? I am assuming that it is BER=10-12 (same as stressed receiver sensitivity) ?						
We also need to clarify is this is the raw BER on the line or whether it is the effective BER after the error multiplication of the scrambler is taken into consideration (in which case the BER on the line is a factor of 3 less than specified). If it is indeed the former then we need to specify a way that it can be tested as this was an issue that came up in 10GE testing.						
SuggestedRemedy One possible solution would be to define an unframed PRBS test mode with no 64/66B encoding or scrambling enabled, to be used for testing all of the PMD optical parameters. However I am not sure how this would work for a MLD based interface (which needs the 64/66B encoding and MLD lane markers to operate) ?						
Proposed Response Response Status O						