

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 327
Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

RE: D1.0 Comment #269
The response as it shows up in D2.0 does not satisfactorily addresses my concern expressed in my D1.0 Comment #269.
The rationale provided says that because this (poor) capitalization convention is used outside and we have occasion to use such terms then that is the reason we should adopt such poor conventions within our own standards for all of the terms that we create within our own standards. We can do better

SuggestedRemedy

Implement my original recommendation as expressed in D1.0 comment #269

Response Response Status W

REJECT.
This comment is a restatement of comment #269 D2.0, which was previously rejected and has already been re-circulated.
The comment resolution committee has given this comment due consideration during resolution of D2.0 comments and decided the existing acronym did not raise any concerns in terms of capitalization. MEC on D2.1 also returned no concerns from IEEE staff editor.