

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Maintenance #10 (Revision) 3rd Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

CI 01 SC 1.4.118 P 74 L 39 # r03-1
 Rolfe, Benjamin Blind Creek Associate

Comment Type GR Comment Status R

There is still too much information for a definition in Clause 3. Normative information does not belong in clause 3. Comply with the 2012 IEEE Style Manual.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete everything after "MHz" in the first sentence.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The WG chair rules that this comment is a restatement of comments #r02-2 and #r02-3 submitted during the 2nd recirculation that have already been recirculated.

CI 01 SC 1.4.118 P 74 L 46 # r03-2
 Rolfe, Benjamin Blind Creek Associate

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

I am still unsatisfied with the resolution to previous comments on this clause. There is STILL too much information. This is simple to fix, by complying with the requirements of the IEEE Style manual and 802 PandP:

""Each definition should be a brief, self-contained description of the term in question and shall

not contain any other information, such as requirements or elaborative text." [2012 IEEE Standards Style Manual]. Please remove "other information" and "requirements" that are stated here as part of the definition, and move that material to appropriate normative clauses. Definitions are (a) informative, and (b) go into the GLOBAL IEEE glossary.

This clause contains NORMATIVE text, it also references other clauses in the standard.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove everything after but: "Balanced 100 ohm cables and associated connecting hardware whose transmission characteristics are specified up to 16 MHz"

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The WG chair rules that this comment is a restatement of comments #r02-2 and #r02-3 submitted during the 2nd recirculation that have already been recirculated.

CI 01 SC 1.4.118 P 74 L 39 # r03-3
 Rolfe, Benjamin Blind Creek Associate

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The definition is still in violation of the IEEE-SA requirements. The reason for rejecting the prior comments is invalid. IEEE-SA and 802 procedure requires compliance with the 2012 IEEE Standards Style Manual. The current definition contains NORMATIVE information in a non-normative clause, which is wrong no matter how you explain it.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix it. Delete everything after the first "MHz" in the first sentence, and everything AFTER the first sentence.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The WG chair rules that this comment is a restatement of comments #r02-2 and #r02-3 submitted during the 2nd recirculation that have already been recirculated.

CI 30 SC 30.3.3.2 P 398 L 45 # r03-4
 Rolfe, Benjamin Blind Creek Associate

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Have "EXTENSION" twice (but spelled correctly this time).

SuggestedRemedy

Delete extra "EXTENSION" or find a place where one is missing and move it.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The WG chair rules that this comment is out of scope as the comment is on material that is not open to comment during this recirculation.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Maintenance #10 (Revision) 3rd Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

CI **64** SC **64.3.6** P **330** L **11** # **r03-5**
Rolfe, Benjamin Blind Creek Associate

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R**

"MPCPDUs" s/b "MPCPDU"? In the field descriptions sometimes uses it singular and other times plural. I believe singular is correct.

Suggested Remedy

de-s where appropriate.

Response Response Status **U**

REJECT.

The WG chair rules that this comment is out of scope as the comment is on material that is not open to comment during this recirculation.

This comment however will be passed to the publication editor for consideration during publication preparation.

CI **00** SC **0** P **0** L **0** # **r03-6**
Turner, Michelle

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A**

This draft meets all editorial requirements.

Suggested Remedy

Response Response Status **U**

ACCEPT.

Thank you.