C/ 00 SC 0 P L # 11 C/ 00 SC 0 $P\mathbf{0}$ L 0 # 68 Anslow. Pete Ciena Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment #84 against D1.0 was accepted, but the part that says "Go through the rest of Page numbering in Clause 60 seems to be off by 2 pages. Please fix it in the next revision the draft ensuring that only change, delete, insert, or replace are used, that each of the draft modification has a corresponding editing instruction and that the text corresponding to SuggestedRemedy each instruction matches the style in the added description." has not been implemented. Per comment "Modify" is not a valid editing instruction. Response Response Status C When Insert is used, the text to be inserted is not shown in underline font. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy There are 51 instances of "Modify" in the draft. Replace these with "Change" except for It seems Clause75 that page numbering is off by 2 pages. Also, pages of 15 and 16 are repeated twice. the instance in the strikethrough footnote to Table 75-10 which is the subject of another comment. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 19 L 5 # 58 For the text associated with the "Insert" editing instruction, show in normal (not underline) font. This applies to 45.2.1.11.a through 45.2.1.11.d, 60.4a, 60.4b, 60.10.4.5a through Sugawa, Jun Hitachi, Ltd. 60.10.4.5d, 75.10.4.4a, 75.10.4.7a, 75.10.4.9a and 75.10.4.12a. Comment Type E Comment Status A In 56.1.3, the style of the text uses underline and strikethrough, so is appropriate to a "10GBASE--PR-D4" should be "10GBASE-PR-D4" Change editing instruction, not Insert. Replace both "Insert" editing instructions with SuggestedRemedy Replace only applies to figures or equations, so the changes to Table 60-2 and Table 75-4 Changes per comment should be "Change" instructions. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 00 SC 0 $P\mathbf{0}$ L 0 # 53 CI 56 P 23 SC 56.1.3 L 10 # 43 Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A Correct improper serial comma use throughout document. Undesireable use of comma in "includes the combination of 1000BASE-PX10-D (Passive Optical Network Downstream 10 km), plus SuggestedRemedy 1000BASE-PX10-U (PON Upstream 10 km)" A. b. c. and d is correct. SugaestedRemedy A, b, c and d is not correct. Delete comma. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. I.41, p.4, I.20, p.11, I.50, p.11, I.1, p.12, I.13, p.18,

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

l.10, p.49, l.4, p.60

> C/ 56 SC 56.1.3

Page 1 of 21 24-09-2012 13:32:29

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P 26 L 1 # 63 C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 22 # 46 Haiduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A In the modified Table 56-3, 1000BASE-PX30-D, 1000BASE-PX30-U, 1000BASE-PX40-D The sentence no longer reads well, since we've added so many PMDs. and 1000BASE-PX40-U list as mandatory (M) presence of Clause 60 1000BASE-PX20 "This clause specifies the following PMDs (including MDI): " blah, blah ", and the single-PMD type. This is clearly incorrect, since PX30 should be listing mandatory 1000BASEmode fiber medium." PX30 PMD, while PX40 should be listing mandatory 1000BASE-PX40 PMD. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move list of PMDs to the end of the sentence, as in: "This clause specifies the single-mode fiber medium and the following PMDs (including Revise Table 56-3 as shown in P8023bk 1209 haiduczenia 1.pdf (changes are marked in red - two new columns and moving M entries into newly added columns accordingly). MDI): " blah, blah Frame source is also provided for convenience. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Change the sentence to: C/ 60 SC 60 P 27 L 1 # 44 "This clause specifies the single-mode fiber medium and the following PMDs (including Brown, Alan Aurora Networks MDI): 1000BASE-PX10-D, 1000BASE-PX10-U, 1000BASE-PX20-D, 1000BASE-PX20-Comment Status A U.1000BASE-PX30-D. 1000BASE-PX30-U. 1000BASE-PX40-D and. 1000BASE-PX40-U." Comment Type Ε missed space It appears that a space is needed in "1000BASE-PX20,1000BASE-PX30". C/ 60 P 27 SC 60.1 L 23 # 45 SuggestedRemedy Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Change to "1000BASE-PX20, 1000BASE-PX30". Comment Type E Comment Status A Response Response Status C Extra space in "1000BASE-PX10-U .". ACCEPT. Note- It is very hard to definitively cite the line reference. It may be 23 or 24. SuggestedRemedy SC 60 P 29 C/ 60 L 32 # 40 Delete extra space Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Response Response Status C Table f Comment Type TR Comment Status A ACCEPT. Reference to "Table f" is incorrect. Two occurances, lines 32 and 34. Related comment: #46 SuggestedRemedy Change references to "Table 60-8d" C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 30 # 47 Response Response Status C Brown, Alan Aurora Networks ACCEPT. Comment Status A Comment Type E The paragraph as written seems disjoint. SuggestedRemedy Break into two paragraphs before "Typically, the 1490 nm band is used to transmit". Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 60 SC 60.1 Page 2 of 21

24-09-2012 13:32:30

C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 34 # 48 Brown, Alan Aurora Networks

Comment Type Comment Status R

The pre-existing text discusses interoperabily, in "A 1000BASE-PX20-D PMD is interoperable with a 1000BASEPX10-U PMD". Do we expect the new PMDs to interop, for example. PX30D interop on same PON with PX30U and PX20U? If so, we should add similar text.

SuggestedRemedy

Task Force discussion may be needed to determine answer to question above, and if positive, to suggest text.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

It seems that "A 1000BASE-PX20-D PMD is interoperable with a 1000BASEPX10-U PMD" is described in existing document because PX20-U specification is exactly the same as PX10-U. But Task Force discussion should be made as needed.

C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 35 # 84 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Status R Comment Type

The text added in Draft 1.1, copied below, was not needed in the past, why is it needed now?

This allows certain upgrade possibilities from 10 km to 20 km PONs. Typically, the 1490 nm band is used to transmit away from the center of the network D and the 1310 nm band towards the center U. The suffixes D and U indicate the PMDs at each end of a link which transmit in these directions and receive in the opposite directions. The splitting ratio or reach length may be increased in an FEC enabled link. FEC refers to forward error correction for P2MP optical links and is described in 65.2. The maximum reach length is not limited by the protocol, see 64.3.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the text.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The text is added based on resolution for comment #81 against D1.0 in San Diego, to show all the text described in 60.1.

C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 42 Anslow. Pete Ciena

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

Comment #81 added the missing text from 60.1 to the draft.

However the paragraph starting with "Two optional temperature ranges are defined;" is shown in underline font despite being unchanged.

SuggestedRemedy

Change this paragraph to normal font

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SC 60.1 P 27 C/ 60 1 42 # 85 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Status R Comment Type E

This text (copied below) has alway been the case, why is it needed now? While I can understand the mention of two optional temperature ranges I find the special mention of compliance declaration especially objectionable as this is always the case and need no special mention here, far from the PICS.

"Implementations may be declared as compliant over one or both complete ranges, or not so declared (compliant over parts of these ranges or another temperature range)."

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the text "Implementations may be declared as compliant over one or both complete ranges, or not so declared (compliant over parts of these ranges or another temperature range)."

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The text is added based on resolution for comment #81 against D1.0 in San Diego, to show all the text described in 60.1.

C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 48 # 4 Anslow. Pete Ciena

Comment Type Comment Status A

The editing instruction says "Insert new rows ..." but columns have been added. An insert editing instruction has been used, but the style of the text is appropriate to a change editing instruction.

The footnotes to Table 60-1 are shown in underline font, but most of them are unmodified.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to: "Change Table 60-1 ..." Use normal font for the parts of the footnotes that are unmodified.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 48 # 90

Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type Comment Status A

The editing instructios are incorrect, the insertion is a column:

"Insert new rows in Table 60-1 for 1000BASE-PX30-U, 1000BASE-PX30-D, 1000BASE-

PX40-U, and 1000BASE-PX40-D PMDs, as shown below:"

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "rows" with "columns"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Related comment: #4

C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 29 L 35 # 64

Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The text "This allows certain upgrade possibilities from 10 km to 20 km PONs. Typically. the 1490 nm band is used to transmit away from the center of the network D and the 1310 nm band towards the center U. The suffixes D and U indicate the PMDs at each end of a link which transmit in these directions and receive in the opposite directions. The splitting ratio or reach length may be increased in an FEC enabled link. FEC refers to forward error correction for P2MP optical links and is described in 65.2. The maximum reach length is not limited by the protocol, see 64.3.3." as well as text "Two optional temperature ranges are defined; see 60.8.4 for further details. Implementations may be declared as compliant over one or both complete ranges, or not so declared (compliant over parts of these ranges or another temperature range)." is part of balloted standard and was not changed in this project. As such, it should not be marked with underline.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove underline for the text listed in the body of the comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 60 P 29 SC 60.1.4 L 32 # 14

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A Table f

There is no "Table f".

SugaestedRemedy

Change "Table f" to "Table "60-8e".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See comment #40 resolution.

C/ 60 SC 60.1.4 P 29 L 32 # 59 C/ 60 Sugawa, Jun Hitachi, Ltd. Sugawa, Jun Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Table f Comment Type E In Table 60-2. Receive conditions of 1000BASE-PX40 is described as "Average input optical power < Signal Detect Threshold (min) in Table f at the specified receiver wavelength" But "Table f" is not the correct reference. SuggestedRemedy In Table 60-2. "Table f" should be changed to "Table 60-8e" Response Response Status C Response ACCEPT. ACCEPT. See comment #40 resolution. C/ 60 SC 60.1.4 P 29 L 34 # 15 C/ 60 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Brown, Alan Comment Type T Comment Status A Table f There is no "Table f". SuggestedRemedy Change "Table f" to "Table 60-8e". 10). Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

SC 60.1.4 P 29 L 34 # 60 Hitachi, Ltd.

Comment Status A In Table 60-2. Receive conditions of 1000BASE-PX40 is described as "Average input optical power > Receive sensitivity (max) in Table f with a compliant 1000BASE-X signal input at the specified receiver wavelength"

But "Table f" is not the correct reference

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 60-2.

"Table f" should be changed to "Table 60-8e".

Response Status C

Related comment: #15

SC 60.1.4 P 29 L 48 # 49 Aurora Networks

TR Comment Status R Comment Type

Text is not clear: "The specifications for OMA have been derived from extinction ratio and average launch power (minimum) or

receiver sensitivity (maximum)." This occurs in multiple locations (such as page 33, line

Is derived from A and (B or C)? Is derived from (A and B) or C?

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify meaning, then use comma(s) appropriately to convey meaning.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The meaning of the text is clear by referring to 58.7.6 as described in the subsequent sentence.

To be very exact, it is derived from (A and B) or (A and C) in this case.

Table f

C/ 60 SC 60.1.4 P 31 L 32 # 65 C/ 60 SC 60.10.3 P 40 L 29 # 12 Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A Table f Comment Type Comment Status A Broken reference in text: "Average input optical power = Signal Detect Threshold (min) in Item "*INS" has a subclause reference of "60.3.1" in IEEE Std 802.3-2008 and the same in Table f at the specified receiver wavelength" - probably table 60-8e (?) should be the revision D 3.2. referenced? Same in line 34 However, D1.1 has the subclause as "60.4a.1" in normal font (not underlined) which would be an unmarked change. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Per comment Either change the subclause to "60.3.1" or mark it as a change. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In lines 32 and 34 on page 29, the incorrect references to "Table f" are changed to "Table 60-8e" Change the subclause number "60.4a.1" to "60.3.1". Also, change the descriptions for PX10U and PX10D from "60.2" to "60.3". The comment refers to the incorrect page number, and it should be on page 29. Descriptions for PX20U and PX20D seem to have changed from 60.3 to 60.4 in editing process. C/ 60 SC 60.10 P 41 # 76 L 34 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4 P 40 L 41 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A Missing space in line 34 in "1000BASE-PX20,1000BASE-PX30" - before "1000BASE-PX30" Comment #85 against D1.0 was accepted, but the part that says "Include the location of SuggestedRemedy the insertion in each "Insert" editing instruction" has not been fully implemented. Insert the missing space SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C In 60.10.4, change: ACCEPT. "Insert new PICS subclauses 60.10.4.5a, 60.10.4.5b, 60.10.4.5c, and 60.10.4.5d, ..." to: "Insert new PICS subclauses 60.10.4.5a, 60.10.4.5b, 60.10.4.5c, and 60.10.4.5d after Also, spaces are inserted to before "1000BASE-PX30" in the followings: 60.10.4.5 ..." -in line 11 on page 35 Response Response Status C -in lines 8 and 27 on page 36 ACCEPT. -in lines 17, 29 and 34 on page 39 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5c P 41 L 45 # 61 Hitachi, Ltd. Sugawa, Jun Comment Type E Comment Status A Table f In the value/Comments of PX40D2, "Meets specifications in Table f" is described. But "Table f" is not the correct reference. SuggestedRemedy "Table f" should be changed to "Table 60-8e". Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 60

Page 6 of 21 24-09-2012 13:32:30

SC 60.10.4.5c

C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5c P 41 L 46 # 26 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5c P 41 L 52 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Table f Comment Type E Comment Status A There is no "Table f". There is no "Table f". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Table f" to "Table 60-8e". Change "Table f" to "Table 60-8e". Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Related comment: #61 and #77 Related comment: #55 and #77 P 41 1 47 P 43 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5c # 54 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5c / 46 Hitachi, Ltd. Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Sugawa, Jun Comment Type E Comment Status A Table f Comment Type E Comment Status A The Value/Comment of PX40D3 is described as "Meets specifications in Wrong reference in PICS items. Is "Table f" and should be "Table 60-8e" in lines 46, 48, Table f", but "Table f" is not the correct reference. and 52 on page 43 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Table f" should be changed to "Table 60-8e". Fix per comment. Make sure the inserted links are live. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SC 60.10.4.5c Related comment: C/ 60 P 41 L 50 # 55 #61 and #26 Sugawa, Jun Hitachi, Ltd. #54 Comment Type E Comment Status A Table f #55 and #27 The Value/Comment of PX40D4 is described as "If the receiver does not meet the damage requirements in Table f then label accordingly". But "Table f" is not the correct reference.

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

"Table f" should be changed to "Table 60-8e".

Response Status C

C/ 60

27

Table f

Table f

C/ 60 SC 60.4a P 29 L 38 # 5 Anslow. Pete Ciena

Comment Type Comment Status A

The editing instruction says "Insert a new subclause, 60.4a, after the text in 60.4.2, as shown below", but the new subclause should be after Table 60-8 and Figure 60-4.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "Insert a new subclause, 60.4a, after 60.4.2, as shown below" Make equivalent change to editing instruction for 60.4b

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Good point.

Change editing instruction for 60.4b to:

"Insert a new subclause, 60.4b, after 60.4a,2, as shown below"

In resolution, go to page 308 (60.4.2) and show all the content in 60.4.2

C/ 60 SC 60.4a P 30 L 35 # 923

NEC Corporation Tajima, Akio

Comment Status A Comment Type Ε

"The maximum" is written doubly as "The maximum The maximum RMS spectralwidth".

SuggestedRemedy

The maximum RMS spectralwidth

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Change

"The maximum The maximum RMS spectral width"

to

"The maximum RMS spectral width"

C/ 60 SC 60.4a.1 P 29 L 53 # 50 Aurora Networks

Brown, Alan

Comment Type Comment Status R

This paragraph is mixing singular and plural uses (two items as possessive singular "transmitter's") ("Its"). Also, not properly using serial comma (comman missing after "eye"). Also, missing article "the" before "measurement". This occurs in multiple locations, such as page 33 line 14.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite as:

The signaling speed, operating wavelength, spectral width, average launch power, extinction ratio, return loss tolerance, OMA, eye, and TDP of the 1000BASE-PX30-D and 1000BASE-PX30-U transmitters shall meet the specifications defined in Table 60-8a per the measurement techniques described in 60.7. The RIN15OMA of the transmitters should meet the value listed in Table 60-8a per the measurement techniques described in 60.7.7. Editor to use judgement to correct other locations.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment is guite reasonable and much appreciated, but TF believes that the consistency of the descriptions with the existing text in Clause60 takes precedence.

=802.3ah=

60.4.1 Transmit optical specifications

The 1000BASE-PX20-D and 1000BASE-PX20-U transmitter's signaling speed, operating wavelength, spectral width, average launch power, extinction ratio, return loss tolerance, OMA, eye and TDP shall meet the specifications defined in Table 60-6 per measurement techniques described in 60.7. Its RIN150MA should meet the value listed in Table 60-6 per measurement techniques described in 60.7.7.

=P802.3bk=

60.4a.1 Transmitter optical specifications

The 1000BASE-PX30-D and 1000BASE-PX30-U transmitter's signaling speed, operating wavelength, spectral width, average launch power, extinction ratio, return loss tolerance, OMA, eye and TDP shall meet the specifications defined in Table 60-8a per measurement techniques described in 60.7. Its RIN15OMA should meet the value listed in Table 60-8a per measurement techniques described in 60.7.7.

=Alan's suggestion=

60.4a.1 Transmitter optical specifications

The signaling speed, operating wavelength, spectral width, average launch power, extinction ratio, return loss tolerance, OMA, eye, and TDP of the 1000BASE-PX30-D and 1000BASE-PX30-U transmitters shall meet the specifications defined in Table 60-8a per the measurement techniques described in 60.7. The RIN15OMA of the transmitters should meet the value listed in Table 60-8a per the measurement techniques described in 60.7.7.

Cl 60 SC 60.4a.1 P 30 L 25 # 16

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A

In table 60-9 (page 35), the minimum Optical return of ODN is more than 20dB for PX10, PX20, PX30 and PX40.

This value in the table 6-8a should be kept same with in table 60-9. The optical return loss (min) of ODN for 1000BASE-PX30-U should be 20 dB.

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Change "TBD" to "20".

Response Status C

C/ 60 SC 60.4a.1 P30 L36 # 51

Brown, Alan Aurora Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Run on sentence.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "," with ";".

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment is quite reasonable and much appreciated, but TF believes that the consistency of the descriptions with the existing text in Clause60 takes precedence.

==802.3ah==

60.4.1 Transmit optical specifications

The maximum RMS spectral width vs. wavelength for 1000BASE-PX20 is shown in Table 60–7 and for

1000BASE-PX20-U in Figure 60–4. The equation used to generate these values is included in 60.7.2. The

central column values are normative, the right hand column is informative.

==P802.3bk==

60.4a.1 Transmitter optical specifications

The maximum The maximum RMS spectral width vs. wavelength for 1000BASE-PX30 is shown in Table 60–8b and for 1000BASE-PX30-U in Figure 60–4a. The equation used to generate these values is included in 60.7.2. The central column values are normative, the right hand column is informative.

==Alan's suggestion==

60.4a.1 Transmitter optical specifications

The maximum The maximum RMS spectral width vs. wavelength for 1000BASE-PX30 is shown in Table 60–8b and for 1000BASE-PX30-U in Figure 60–4a. The equation used to generate these values is included in 60.7.2. The central column values are normative; the right hand column is informative.

C/ 60 SC 60.4a.1 P 32 L 25 # 66 Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Status A "Optical return loss of ODN (min)" for 1000BASE-PX30-U is still TBD.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

Chang ethe "TBD" to "20", following the minimum required value applicable to all other EPON PMD types. There is no reason to use a different value.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Related comment: #16

C/ 60 SC 60.4a.1 P 33 / 17 # 67

Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Remove editorial note - the text and values have been already circulated at least once and generated no negative feedback. Additionally, the values in Table 60-8b and in Figure 60-4a were taken verbatim from 802.3av specification and were never debated.

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 60 SC 60.4a.2 P 31 L 51 # 52 Brown, Alan Aurora Networks

Comment Type Ε Comment Status R

This paragraph is mixing singular and plural uses (two items as possessive singular "receiver's") ("Its"). Improper serial comma use. Missing article. This occurs in multiple locations, such as page 34 line 3.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite as:

The signaling speed, operating wavelength, overload, sensitivity, reflectance, and signal detect of the 1000BASE-PX30-D and 1000BASE-PX30-U receivers shall meet the specifications defined in Table 60-8c per the measurement techniques defined in 60.7.10. The stressed receive characteristics should meet the values listed in Table 60-8c per the measurement techniques

Editor to use judgement to correct other locations.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment is guite reasonable and much appreciated, but TF believes that the consistency of the descriptions with the existing text in Clause60 takes precedence.

Related comment: #50

The comment is quite reasonable and much appreciated, but TF discussions seem necessary since this suggestion would affect the consistency of the descriptions in Clause 60.

60.4.2

"This clause specifies the single-mode fiber medium and the following PMDs (including MDI): 1000BASE-PX10-D, 1000BASE-PX10-U, 1000BASE-PX20-D, 1000BASE-PX20-U,1000BASE-PX30-D, 1000BASE-PX30-U, 1000BASE-PX40-D, and 1000BASE-PX40-U."

"The 1000BASE-PX30-D and 1000BASE-PX30-U receiver's signaling speed, operating wavelength, overload, sensitivity, reflectance and signal detect shall meet the specifications defined in Table 60-8c per measurement techniques defined in 60.7.10. Its stressed receive characteristics should meet the values listed"

Alan's suggestion to 60.4a.2:

"The signaling speed, operating wavelength, overload, sensitivity, reflectance, and signal detect of the 1000BASE-PX30-D and 1000BASE-PX30-U receivers shall meet the specifications defined in Table 60-8c per the measurement techniques defined in 60.7.10. The stressed receive characteristics should meet the values listed in Table 60-8c per the measurement techniques"

==

C/ 60 SC 60.4a.2

P **32**

Kuang, Guohua

86

69

Remein. Duane

Huawei Technologies

L 5

Comment Type E

Comment Status R

This cautionary statement reads wrong, surely receiver are not damaged because direct ONU-OLT connections are not guarented by the spec. If that were the case optical component manufacturese would never be able to make operable receivers.

"The damage threshold included inTable 60–8c does not guarantee direct ONU–OLT connection, which may result in damage of the receiver. If direct ONU–OLT connection is necessary, optical attenuators and/or equivalent loss components should be inserted to decrease receive power below the damage threshold." Similar text exists in 60.4b.2 pg 34 line 10.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read:

"The damage threshold included in Table 60–8c does not guarantee direct ONU–OLT connection. If direct ONU–OLT connection which may result in damage of the receiver is necessary, optical attenuators and/or equivalent loss components should be inserted to decrease receive power below the damage threshold."

Make similar text changes in 60.4b.2 pg 39 line 1.

Response REJECT.

Response Status C

This comment has a point, but current description looks correct because this is "cautionary" statement as the commenter suggests.

C/ 60 SC 60.4a.2

P **34**

L **22**

Hajduczenia, Marek

ZTE Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The value of "Receiver sensitivity OMA (max)" for 1000BASE-PX30-U should be formatted in two lines and it is now in just one.

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"-26.22 (2.39)"

to "-26.22

(2.39)" Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 60 SC 60.4b

P 33

ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The operating range for 1000BASE-PX40 is defined in Table 60-1.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "60-8d" to "60-1".

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 60 SC 60.4b.1

P 33

L 15

L 4

25

Kuang, Guohua

ZTE Corporation

_-- -----

Comment Type T Comment Status A
There is no "spectral width" in Table 60-8d.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "spectral width" to "side mode suppression ratio".

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace "spectral width" with "Side Mode Suppression Ratio (min)".

C/ **60** SC **60.4b.1** P **33** L **27** # 20 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Minimum Channel insertion loss = Average launch power(max) - Average receive power(max)

PX40 DS minimum channel insertion loss is 18dB (in Table 60-1)

Average launch power (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-D is 7 dBm (in Table 60-8d)

Average receive power (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-U is -8 dBm (in Table 60-8e)

So, Minimum Channel insertion loss of PX40 DS = 7-(-8) = 15 dB, it is not meet 18 dB in Table 60-1.

Solution 1:

Increase the OLT average launch power range from 4-7dBm to 4-9 dBm
And reduce the ONU maximum average receive power from -8 dBm to -9 dBm
Correlatively, the maximum damage threshold of ONU can be reduced from -3 to -4 dBm
Minimum Channel insertion loss of PX40 DS = 9-(-9) = 18 dB

SuggestedRemedy

Change the Average launch power (max) for 1000BASE-PX40-D from "7" to "9". See 8023bk ZTE 201208.pdf for details.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Average launch power (max) for 1000BASE-PX40-D is changed from 7 dBm to 10 dBm. No changes to 1000BASE-PX40-U receiver specifications.

Items to explore:

-The use of PX-30 ONU for PX-40 power budget class (verify if -34 dBm sensitivity at the OLT is possible for 1-G upstream)

-Verify if it is possible to lower the minimum channel insertion loss for PX-40, PR-40, and PRX-40 from 18 to 15 dB.

C/ 60 SC 60.4b.1 P 33 L 29 # [17]

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Average launch power of OFF transmitter for 1000BASE-PX10-D, 1000BASE-PX20-D and 1000BASE-PX30-D are defined less than -39 dBm.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Average launch power of OFF transmitter for 1000BASE-PX40-D from "-45" to "-39".

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

A technical discussion in Task Force seems necessary before the final resolution.

C/ 60 SC 60.4b.1 P33 L48 # 24

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A

PX40-U and PX40-D are all used DFB laser. There is no need the note for spectral width.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Note b.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 60 SC 60.4b.1 P35 L33 # 70

Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The value of "Launch OMA (min)" for 1000BASE-PX40-U and 1000BASE-PX40-D should be formatted in two lines and it is now in just one.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "4.78 (3.01)"

to "4.78

(3.01)"

Change

"2.78 (1.90)"

to "2.78

(1.90)"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2 P 34 L 23 # 21 C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2 P 34 L 23 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type Т Comment Status A Minimum Channel insertion loss = Average launch power(max) - Average receive Minimum Channel insertion loss = Average launch power(max) - Average receive power(max) power(max) PX40 DS minimum channel insertion loss is 18dB (in Table 60-1) PX40 DS minimum channel insertion loss is 18dB (in Table 60-1) Average launch power (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-D is 7 dBm (in Table 60-8d) Average launch power (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-D is 7 dBm (in Table 60-8d) Average receive power (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-U is -8 dBm (Table 60-8e) Average receive power (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-U is -8 dBm (in Table 60-8e) So. Minimum Channel insertion loss of PX DS = 7-(-8) = 15 dB, it is not meet 18 dB in So. Minimum Channel insertion loss of PX40 DS = 7-(-8) = 15 dB, it is not meet 18 dB in Table 60-1. Table 60-1. solution 2: Solution 1: Keep the OLT average launch power, reduce the ONU maximun average receive power Increase the OLT average launch power range from 4-7 dBm to 4-9 dBm And reduce the ONU maximum average receive power from -8 dBm to -9 dBm from -8 dBm to -11 dBm. Minimum Channel insertion loss of PX DS = 7-(-11) = 18 dB Correlatively, the maximum damage threshold of ONU can be reduced from -3 to -4 dBm Minimum Channel insertion loss of PX40 DS = 9-(-9) = 18 dB SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change Average receive power (max) for 1000BASE-PX40-U from "-8" to "-11". See 8023bk ZTE 201208.pdf for details. Change the Average receive power of 1000BASE -PX40-U from "-8" to "-9". See 8023bk ZTE 201208.pdf for details. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Related comment: #20 C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2 P 34 / 23 # 18 Related comment: #20 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2 P 34 L 24 Comment Type Comment Status A Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Minimum Channel insertion loss = Maximum Average launch power - Average receive Comment Type T Comment Status A PX40 US minimum channel insertion loss is 18dB (in Table 60-1). If Changed Average receive power (max) for 1000BASE-PX40-U from "-8" to "-11". Correlatively, the maximum damage threshold of 1000BASE-PX40-U should be changed

Maximum Average launch power of 1000BASE-PX40-U is 7 dBm (in Table 60-8d)

Average receive power of 1000BASE-PX40-D is -8 dBm (in Table 60-8e)

So, minimum channel insertion loss for PX40 US = 7 - (-8) = 15 dB, it is not meet the 18 dB in table 60-1.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the Average receive power of 1000BASE -PX40-D from "-8" to "-11".

Correlatively, the Damage threshold (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-D should be reduced from "-3" to "-6" dBm.

See 8023bk ZTE 201208.pdf for details.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Related comment: #20

Change the maximum damage threshold of 1000BASE-PX40-U from "-3" to "-6".

Response Response Status C

See 8023bk ZTE 201208.pdf for details.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Related comment: #20

from -3 to -6 dBm

SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2 Page 13 of 21 24-09-2012 13:32:30

19

30

C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2 P 34 L 24 # 29 C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2 P 36 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A If reduced the 1000BASE-PX40-U maximum average receive power from -8 dBm to -9 dBm The value of "Receiver sensitivity OMA (max)" for 1000BASE-PX40-U and 1000BASE-Correlatively, the maximum damage threshold of ONU should be changed from -3 to -4 PX40-D should be formatted in two lines and it is now in just one. Same for "Stressed receive sensitivity OMA (max)" for both PMDs dBm. See 8023bk ZTE 201208.pdf for details. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "-31.22 (0.76)" Change damage threshold for 1000BASE-PX40-U from "-3" to "-4". to Response Response Status C -31.22 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. (0.76)Related comment: #20 Change "-29.22 (1.20)" C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2 P 34 L 24 # 28 "-29.22 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation (1.20)" Comment Type T Comment Status A If Change the Average receive power of 1000BASE -PX40-D from "-8" to "-11". Change Correlatively, the Damage threshold (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-D should be reduced from "-"-30.22 (0.95)" 3" to "-6" dBm. "-30.22 See 8023bk_ZTE_201208.pdf for details. (0.95)" SuggestedRemedy Change the Damage threshold (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-D from "-3" to "-6". Change "-28.22 (1.55)" Response Status C Response to ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "-28.22 (1.55)" Related comment: #20 Response Response Status C C/ 60 P 34 SC 60.4b.2 L 36 # 22 ACCEPT. Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A Power in dBm = $10 \times LOG10$ (Power in mW), -28.22 dBm = 1.51 uW.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Change Stressed receive sensitivity OMA (max) for 1000BASE-PX40-U from "-28.22(1.55)"

Response Status C

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

to "-28.22(1.51)".

C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2

L 27

71

Page 14 of 21 24-09-2012 13:32:30

C/ 60

SC 60.7.2

C/ 60 SC 60.5 P 35 L 6 # 87 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Appears to be a dash (removed space?) instead of a white space. 1000BASE-PX20,-1000BASE-PX30, Same issue in table 60-9 title. SuggestedRemedy Please don't remove the spaces, they are needed. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. It indicates a removed space, and a space is inserted. Related comment: #76 C/ 60 SC 60.5 P 37 / 17 # 73 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type ER Comment Status A Table 60-9 becomes a bit akward to read with "Upstream" and "Downstream" in written in vertical manner. Suggest to replace "Upstream" with "US" and "Downstream" with "DS" and attach footnote to the first instance of US and DS expanding them to full word. Then "US" and "DS" can be written horizontally and not vertically. See Table 75B-1 for an example of how it should be done. SuggestedRemedy Similar change is suggested for Table 60-1, for Transmit Direction parameter Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Good point. C/ 60 SC 60.5 P 37 L 40 # 72 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status A

missing space in "PX10, PX20,PX30, and PX40", between "PX20" and "PX30"

Response Status C

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

Insert the missing space per comment

Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A Missing space in "Table 60-6, and Table 60-8a" - before "and". Also, probably missing also reference to Table 60-8d - TDP is also specified for PX40 PMD. SuggestedRemedy Change the text in line 22 to read "specified in Table 60-3, Table 60-6, Table 60-8a, and Table 60-8d, and described in 58.7.9" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 60.9.4 P 41 C/ 60 L 29 # 75 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status A Missing space in line 29 in "PX20,1000BASE-PX30, and 1000BASE-PX40", before "1000BASE-PX30" SuggestedRemedy Insert the missing space Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 60 SC Table 60-8d P 33 L 49 # 924 Taiima, Akio **NEC Corporation** Comment Type T Comment Status R The notation of "Chirp" has broader meaning. SuggestedRemedy Add "Wavelength" at the beginning of the sentence. Response Response Status C REJECT. TF discussions seem necessary. The comment is quite reasonable and much appreciated, but this change would cause an

inconsistency throughout the 802.3 standard document. The text "Transmitter is a single

longitudinal mode device. Chirp is allowed such that the total optical path penalty does not exceed that found in Table 75B–2." in Table 75-8 is already balloted and approved.

P 39

L 22

74

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 60 SC Table 60-8d Page 15 of 21 24-09-2012 13:32:30

Cl 75 SC 75.1.2 P 43 L 15 # 88 CI 75 SC 75.1.3 P 45 L 31 # 78 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A Appears to be a missing comma between 1:16 and 1:32 in "split ratios of at least 1:16 Words "Extended power budget class" should be written in italics, following the format 1:32, and 1:64, ..." used in previous 3 bullets Additionally, missing "." at the end of line 32. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add the comma to read: "ssplit ratios of at least 1:16, 1:32, and at least 1:64, ..." Fix the style for the selected words and add missing ".". Response Response Status C Response Status C Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Change to read: Related comment: #7 "split ratios of at least 1:16, 1:32, and 1:64,.." CI 75 SC 75.2.1.1 P 47 L 17 Anslow. Pete Ciena # 6 CI 75 SC 75.1.3 P 45 L 18 Comment Type E Comment Status A Anslow, Pete Ciena The editing instruction says: "Modify the structure of Table 75-2 in 75.2.1.1, as shown Comment Status A Comment Type Ε below:" The editing instruction says: "Add a new bullet on extended power budget class in 75.1.3, Modify isn't a valid editing instruction - another comment proposes to change this to a as shown below:" "Change" editing instruction. "Add" is not a valid editing instruction. The style of the text is appropriate to a change Saying "the structure" is confusing as text has been added. editing instruction. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Change Table 75-2 in 75.2.1.1, as follows:" Change to: Make the equivalent change to the editing instruction for Table 75-3 "Change 75.1.3 to add a new bullet on extended power budget class, as follows:" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Cl 75 SC 75.4.1 P 49 L 10 Cl 75 SC 75.1.3 P 45 L 31 ZTE Corporation Kuang, Guohua Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4 is missing. The added power budget class doesn't have the same text format as the existing ones. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "10GBASE-PR-D4 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D2." Change "Extended power budget class" to italic font. to "10GBASE-PR-D4, 10/1GBASE-PRX-D2 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4.". Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl **75** SC **75.4.1** Page 16 of 21 24-09-2012 13:32:30

Cl 75 SC 75.4.1 P 49 L 18 # 38 CI 75 SC 75.4.2 P 50 L 21 # 922 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Nishihara, Susumu NTT Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status A 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4 is missing in Table 75-5. In Table75-6, Receiver sensitivity OMA (max) for 10GBASE-PR-D4 should be -28.22 (1.51) instead of -28.22 (1.26). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "10GBASE-PR-D2. 10GBASE-PR-D4. 10/1GBASE-PRX-D2" in Table 75-5 Per comment. to "10GBASE-PR-D2, 10GBASE-PR-D4, 10/1GBASE-PRX-D2, 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4". Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. CI 75 SC 75.4.1 P 49 L 49 # 39 CI 75 SC 75.4.2 P 50 L 22 ZTE Corporation Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Kuang, Guohua Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A Parameters in Table 75B-1 are defined for PR10, PR20, PR30 and PR40, and in Table Power in dBm = $10 \times LOG10$ (Power in mW), -28.22 dBm = 1.51 uW. 75B-2 are defined for PRX10, PRX20, PRX30 and PRX40. SuggestedRemedy The note a in Table 75-5 should be changed to "Chirp is allowed such that the total optical Change the Receiver sensitivity OMA (max) for 10GBASE-PR-D4 from "-28.22(1.26)" to "path penalty does not exceed that found in Table 75B-1 and Table 75B-2". 28.22(1.51)". SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change "Table 75B-2" to "Table 75B-1 and Table 75B-2". ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Related comment: #922 P 50 Cl 75 SC 75.4.2 L 39 # 79 CI 75 SC 75.4.2 P 50 L 17 # 56 Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Sugawa, Jun Hitachi, Ltd. Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type Т Comment Status A The value "4" in line 39 was not modified under this project and should not be marked with In Table 75-6. underline The value of the damage threshold is 1dB higher than the value of the average receive power(max) in 10GBASE-PR-D1, 10GBASE-PR-D3, etc. SuggestedRemedy But the value of the damage threshold(max) in 10GBASE-PR-D4 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4 Remove the formatting of the value "4" in line 39 is 4dB higher than the value of average receiver power(max).

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

I think the damage threshold of -5dBm is feasible for APD receiver, but I'm afraid that the

change the value of the damage threshold(max) in 10GBASE-PR-D4 from "-5" to "-8".

Response Status C

damage threshold is specified as unnecesarrily high value.

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

Cl 75 SC 75.4.2

Response Status C

Page 17 of 21 24-09-2012 13:32:30

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Table 75-7 seems to be a waste of space, in the draft 2012 spec edition it had some value, here it is just a new way to create an indirect reference (go see here, which says go see there ...).

I realize there are a lot of references to table 75-7 but if we decided to move all parametric values out of the table it seems kind of mean hearted to keep it in just because we con't want to finish the job.

Same comment on Table 75-9 pg 53

SuggestedRemedy

Add editorial note to remove the table and replace it with the following text.

"PMD reveice chaaristice for 10/1GBASE-PRX-D1 are the same as 1000BASE-PX10D found in Table 60-5.

PMD reveice chaaristice for 10/1GBASE-PRX-D2 are the same as 1000BASE-PX20D found in Table 60-8.

PMD reveice chaaristice for 10/1GBASE-PRX-D3 are the same as 1000BASE-PX30D found in Table 60-8c.

PMD reveice chaaristice for 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4 are the same as 1000BASE-PX40D found in Table f."

Editor to remove all references to Table 75-7 and replace with appropriate reference per above text.

May need to move notes from table also.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Modify Table75-7 per "8023bk_1209_remein_01.pdf". Apply same change to Table75-9.

 C/ 75
 SC 75.4.2
 P 51
 L 31
 # 80

 Hajduczenia, Marek
 ZTE Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A Table f

Wrong reference in "same as 1000BASE–PX40–D receive parameters (see Table f)" - "Table f" should be "Table 60-8e"

SuggestedRemedy

Fix per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 75 SC 75.4.2 P51 L32 # 13

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A Table f

Table 75-7 for 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4 says "(see Table f)"

SuggestedRemedy

Correct this cross reference.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Related comment: #80

Cl 75 SC 75.4.2 P51 L 32 # 36

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A Table f

There is no "Table f".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Table f" to "Table 60-8e".

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Related comment: #80

C/ 75 SC 75.4.2 P51 L6 # 57

Sugawa, Jun Hitachi, Ltd.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In Table75-7, the spcification of 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4 is described as "same as 1000BASE-PX40-D receive parameters (see Table f)". But "Table f" is not the correct reference.

SuggestedRemedy

"Table f" should be changed to "Table 60-8e".

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See comment #80 resolution.

Table f

Cl 75 SC 75.5.1 P 53 L 39 # 81 CI 75 SC 75.5.2 P 55 L 23 # 35 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A Text on RMS spectral width seems incorrect as written right now: "The maximum RMS Power in dBm = $10 \times LOG10$ (Power in mW), -27.59 dBm = 1.74 uW. spectral width vs. wavelength for 10/1GBASE-PRX-U1, 10/1GBASE-PRX-U2, and SuggestedRemedy 10/1GBASE-PRX-U3 PMDs are shown, respectively, in Table 60-4, Table 60-7 and, and Table 75-10 Table 60-8b, and in Table 60-4. " - there is reference to Table 60-4 which is Change the Receiver sensitivity OMA (max) for 10GBASE-PR-U4 and 10/1GBASE-PRXnot correct U4 from "-27.59(1.12)" to "-27.59(1.74)". Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy Modify the text in lines 39 - 42 by removing the statement ", and in Table 60-4" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C SC 75.6.2 CI 75 P 56 L 19 # 32 ACCEPT. Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation CI 75 SC 75.5.1 P 53 # 33 Comment Type T Comment Status A L 41 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation There is no "Table 60-8f". Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Change "Table 60-8f" to "Table 60-8e". "Table 60-4" is listed twice. Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status C ACCEPT. Change "Table 60-8b, and in Table 60-4" to "and Table 60-8b". Response Response Status C Cl 75 SC 75.6.2 P 56 L 19 # 82 ACCEPT. Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status A Related comment: #81 Incorrect reference: is "Table 60-8f" and should be "Table 60-8e" Cl 75 SC 75.5.2 P 54 L 22 # 9 Similar problem on page 65, line 21 Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A Per comment For the deleted Table 75-10, footnote "a" has some spurious extra text in strikethrough Response Response Status C font: "Modify Table 75-11 as shown below:" ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Remove the spurious text. Related comment: #32

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Cl 75 SC 75.6.2 P 56 L 22 # 31

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A

There is no "Table 60-8f".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Table 60-8f" to "Table 60-8e".

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 75 SC Table 75-8 P 52 L 34 # 925

Tajima, Akio NEC Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"3.0 dB - TDP" is efffective in the case of PR-U1 and PR-U2. In the case of PR-U4, the amount is "2.0 dB- TDP".

SuggestedRemedy

"3.0 dB - TDP" for 10GBASE-PR-U1 and 10GBASE-PR-U2 and "2.0 dB- TDP" for 10G-BASE-PR-U4.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 75A SC 75A.1 P 63 L 8 # 10

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The editing instruction says "Modify the text in 75A.1 as follows:"

Modify isn't a valid editing instruction - another comment proposes to change this to a "Change" editing instruction.

Only some of the text in 75A.1 is shown

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:

"Change the third and the last paragraphs in 75A.1 as follows:"

and show the whole of the text of those paragraphs.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Note:

Show the original text in 75A.1, in 802.3av, in resolution

CI 75B SC 75B.1 P67 L16 # 83

Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Missing space in "PRX30,and PRX40", before "and"

Similar problem in line 25, same page

Similar problem in line 51, page 68

Similar problem in line 9, page 71 (two instances)

Similar problem in line 9, page 69, in text "1000BASE-PX30-U,10GBASE-PRX-U1"

SuggestedRemedy

Insert missing space.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 75B SC 75B.2.2 P67 L13 # 89

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status R

The statement below is somewhat incorrect. While the WDM cannot be used in the standard because of the overlap compliant ONUs could certainly be multiplexed with WDM. For example an ONU operating at 1265nm +-5nm could be WDM'd with another that operates at 1275nm +-5nm, both ONUs would be fully compliant.

"The 1260-1360 wavelength band and the 1260-1280 wavelength band overlap, thus WDM channel multiplexing cannot be used to separate the two data rates for 1000BASE-PX10-U, 1000BASE-PX20-U, 1000BASE-PX30-U compliant ONUs and 10GBASE-PRX-U1, 10GBASE-PRX-U2, 10GBASE-PRX-U3 compliant ONUs."

SuggestedRemedy

Change "thus WDM channel multiplexing cannot be used to separate the two data rates" to "thus WDM channel multiplexing cannot be used to specify separattion of the two data rates"

Response Status C

REJECT.

The original text reads fine. The standard defines the maximum width of wavelength ranges which need to be supported. While more narrowband solutions are possible and compliant with the standard, we typically do not list all possible combination of such more stringent implementations in the specification. Moreover, the text is informative only and does not affect in any way interoperability between individual devices.

Cl 99 SC 99 P 15 L 1 # 42 Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Comment Type ER Comment Status A Page 15 occurs twice in this document with distinct content. Same problem for page 16. SuggestedRemedy Correct page numbering. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 99 P 15 L 23 # 2 C/ 99 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Two spaces missing in editing instructions description in "andreplace" and "existingmaterial." SuggestedRemedy insert two spaces Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC 99 P 3 L 15 # 62 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status A The text in the box says: " Media Access Control (MAC) service interface and management parameters to support time synchronization protocols" and described P802.3bf project and not P802.3bk SuggestedRemedy Replace the selected text with "Physical Layer Specifications and Management

Parameters for Extended Ethernet Passive Optical Networks"

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 99 SC 99 P5 L 51 # 41

Brown, Alan Aurora Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status R

As of 1 January 2012 IEEE is no longer accepting requests for intelligence.

As of 1 January, 2012, IEEE is no longer accepting requests for interpretations. Do IEEE, 802, or 802.3 have improved text for this section? It seems to me that we should state the fact.

SuggestedRemedy

If no other suggested text, add

"As of 1 January 2012, IEEE no longer accepts requests for interpretations of IEEE standards. Refer to the IEEE page on Standards Interpretations for more information." Note- "Standards Interpretation" should be a hyperlink to URL http://standards.ieee.org/findstds/interps/.

Response Response Status C
REJECT.

The Frontmatter is the responsibility of the 802.3 WG chair and the IEEE staff. The comment will be provided to them to enhance the Frontmatter.