IEEE 802.3bk Extended EPON TF 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot comments

/ 00 SC 0 P 0 L 0 # r01-4	C/ 60 SC 60.4a.1 P 38 L 22 # r01-2		
urner, Michelle	Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation		
omment Type ER Comment Status D This draft meets all editorial requirements.	Comment Type E Comment Status D Footnote c has been applied to the descriptions "Side Mode Suppression Ratio" and "RMS		
iggestedRemedy	spectral width (max)", but it only affects these parameters for the 1000BASE-PX30-U column and not the 1000BASE-PX30-D column. The value 30 for the SMSR is straddled across both columns, but this is the only value common to both columns that is straddled.		
pposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Note: Footnote c is shown as applied to "RMS spectral width (max)" in the clean version, but not in the CMP version. Also, the value "see Table 60-8b" is straddled across both colums in the CMP version, but not the clean version. This comment is written assuming		
30 SC 30.5.1.1.17 P 24 L 44 # r01-3	the clean version is correct.		
slow, Peter Ciena Corporation	SuggestedRemedy		
Comment Type E Comment Status D The text has been modified to say "The counters does not increment for other PHY types." The text has been modified to say "The counters does not increment for other PHY types."	Un-straddle the 30 value for SMSR and add a separate 30 for the second column. Apply the "c" footnote to the value 30 and "see Table 60-8b" in the 1000BASE-PX30-U column rather than the description text.		
This should be "The counters do not increment for other PHY types." The same issue in 30.5.1.1.18	Proposed Response Response Status W		
In control locus in constraints	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Change "does" to "do" here and in 30.5.1.1.18			
oposed Response Response Status W	The clean version of the document is used as the base for implementation of this comment. Comment i-04 from ballot on D3.0 was not implemented entirely correctly. The comment will be supplied to the publications Editor for consideration during preparation for publication.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The comment will be supplied to the publications Editor for consideration during			
preparation for publication.	C/ 60 SC 60.4a.1 P 38 L 23 # r01-1		
	Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation		
	Comment Type E Comment Status D		
	In Table 60-8a RMS spectral width (max) is given as "N/A" for 1000BASE-PX30-D. However, the title of Table 60-8b is "1000BASE-PX30-D and 1000BASE-PX30-U transmitter spectral limits" which implies these RMS spectral width limits do apply. Note: the title of Table 60-8b is different in the clean and CMP files. The above is from the clean version.		
	SuggestedRemedy		
	Change the title of Table 60-8b to "1000BASE-PX30-U transmitter spectral limits"		
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
	Restore the correct format of the Table 60-8b caption, as shown in CMP version.		
	The comment will be supplied to the publications Editor for consideration during		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 60	Page 1 of 1
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 60.4a.1	7/4/2013 5:19:11 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			