Backplane Ethernet Objectives, Goals, Non-Goals, and Considerations

802.3 BPE Study Group Interim Meeting Week of January 11th, 2003

Yong Kim



CFI Suggested Focus and Scope (paraphrased and reformatted)

Technical Focus

- System environment
 - Electrical, EMI and power
 - Channel model to provide easy migration path
- Speed
 - 1000BASE-X and XAUI have no shared negotiation capability
 - Clause 37 is for optics
 - Clause 28 is for copper, but not spec'ed for backplanes
- Challenges are technically feasible
 - Create a specification that binds all the concepts together
 - Reference existing technologies or standards

Possible Future Work Possible Future Work

- Speed
 - Increasing data rate per lane
 - Lane aggregation
- Layer 2 enhancements
 - Priority based flow control for traffic types (IPC, SAN, LAN, Comm)
 - Fail-over or automatic protection switching
- Other?
 - Good topic for a Study Group to consider



Early Tasks

- What Problem are we trying to solve?
- Objectives
- Goals and non-goals
- Considerations (and trade-off with compatibility)



Problem to solve

- The lack of standardized use of SerDes as THE "MDI" on Ethernet backplanes.
 - Rate negotiations
 - Lane negotiations
 - Fault-tolerance, resiliency (e.g. 2N, N+1, N-1)
- "Roadmap" for SerDes
 - GE: 1.25 Gb/s (4B/5B)
 - -2.5GE: 3.125 Gb/s (8B/10B), and now consider
 - -5GE: 6.25 Gb/s (8B/10B)
 - 10GE: 1x.xx Gb/s (left intentionally blank)



Objectives

Compatibility to existing SerDes that 802.3 use

- Auto-detection of 1.25G and 3.125G
- Compatible with lane 0 constraint in 3.125G/XAUI (if lane redundancy is considered).

Fastest to standardization

Blade Server mass deployment has started.



Goals & Non-Goals

Goals

- Auto-negotiation on SerDes
- Management on SerDes
- Resiliency on SerDes, e.g. APS Switching
- Minimize changes to the PCS

Non-Goals

Changes to the MAC



Considerations

- Any MAC changes effect installed base, so avoid it.
 - Ethernet backward compatibility
 - Leverage main-stream Ethernet volume
- Following require mandatory MAC Change, therefore DO NOT Consider – in this study group.
 - Lane aggregation in combination with fail-over
 - Priority based flow control for traffic types (IPC, SAN, LAN, Comm)
- Pent-up demand for MAC changes should be forwarded to 802.3.

