



Straw Poll # 1

- I support adopting the proposal in slides 3 to 11 of latchman_02_1112_optx as the baseline for “a re-timed 4-lane 100G PMA to PMA electrical interface for chip to module applications”
- Yes: 24
- No: 15
- Abstain: 28



Straw Poll # 2

- I support adopting the proposal in slides 3 to 11 of latchman_02_1112_optx *with the modification of TBD for all jitter and eye height specifications* as the baseline for “a re-timed 4-lane 100G PMA to PMA electrical interface for chip to module applications”
- Yes: 34
- No: 4
- Abstain: 25



Motion # 1

- Move to adopt the proposal in slides 3 to 11 of latchman_02_1112_optx with the modification of TBD for jitter, eye height and BER specifications as the baseline for “a re-timed 4-lane 100G PMA to PMA electrical interface for chip to module applications”
- Mover: Tom Palkert Seconded: Chris Cole
- Technical $\geq 75\%$
- Yes: 45 No:1 Abstain: 21
- Passes



Motion # 2

- Adopt the following objective:
Specify optional Energy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s operation over fiber optic cables.

- Mover: Gary Nicholl Seconded: Mike Bennett
- Technical $\geq 75\%$
- Yes: 47 No: 0 Abstain: 9
- Passes



Motion # 3: Motion to amend motion # 2

- Adopt the following objective:
Specify optional Energy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s operation over fiber optic cables without disabling the optical transmitter.
- Mover: John Petrilla Seconded: Jonathan King
- Technical $\geq 75\%$
- Yes: 7 No: 41 Abstain: 27
- Fails



Motion # 4

- Move to approve changes to the 5 Criteria responses as noted on page 8 (Broad Market Potential), page 9 (Compatibility), and page 10 (Distinct Identity) of bennett_01_0912_optx.pdf
- Mover: Mike Bennett Seconded: Jeff Maki
- Technical $\geq 75\%$
- Yes: 46 No: 0 Abstain: 9
- Passes



Motion # 5

- Move to approve the change to the project scope as shown on page 7 of bennett_01_0912_optx.pdf
- Mover: Mike Bennett Seconded: Pete Anslow
- Technical $\geq 75\%$
- Yes: 48 No: 0 Abstain: 5
- Passes



Motion # 6

- Move that the Task Force: Submit the amended project documentation to the 802.3 Working Group for approval. Request that the 802.3 Working Group chair submit the amended PAR and 5 criteria responses to the 802 Executive Committee for consideration at the March 2013 Plenary Session.
- Mover: Dan Dove Seconded: Pete Anslow
- Technical $\geq 75\%$
- Yes: 56 No: 0 Abstain: 2
- Passes



Motion # 7

- Move that the Task Force: Approve the Informal Communication (IC_802 3bm_oif_1112) for submission to OIF
- Mover: Dan Dove Seconded: Steve Trowbridge
- Procedural > 50%
- Yes: No: Abstain:
- Passes by voice without opposition



Straw Poll # 3

- I would NOT support a baseline proposal for a SMF PMD based on:
 - a) CWDM
 - b) C-BAND
 - c) DMT
 - d) PSM4
 - e) PAMn
 - f) Would not rule any of the above out

Chicago Rules

a_28 b_30 c_17 d_26 e_21_ f_12__

Room count : 89



Straw Poll # 4

- I would support a baseline proposal for a SMF PMD based on:
 - a) CWDM
 - b) C-BAND
 - c) DMT
 - d) PSM4
 - e) PAMn
 - f) none of the above - rely on LR4 with CAUI-4.

Chicago Rules

a_2 b_10 c_16 d_14 e_21 f_25



Straw Poll # 5

- I would only support a baseline proposal for a SMF PMD based on:
 - a) CWDM
 - b) C-BAND
 - c) DMT
 - d) PSM4
 - e) PAMn
 - f) none of the above - rely on LR4 with CAUI-4.

a_0 b_1 c_6 d_14 e_14 f_23