C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.3 DiMinico, Christopher	P <b>142</b> MC Communic	L <b>25</b> ations	# 1	C/ 98 SC 98.8.1 Larsen, Wayne	P <b>131</b> CommScope	L <b>38</b>	# 4
Comment Type ER EQ 98-14 redundant freq	Comment Status D uency range		Editorial	Comment Type T	Comment Status D	based on motion	ML n 7 from the September
SuggestedRemedy delete line in brackets {8 change {8 1600 <f< =200<="" td=""><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td>SuggestedRemedy Change from IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreen</td><td>ed) or IEC 60603.7-5 (screen</td><td>ed)</td><td></td></f<>	,			SuggestedRemedy Change from IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreen	ed) or IEC 60603.7-5 (screen	ed)	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			sepcified in 60603-7-81 (c	• •	ristics and frequ	uency extenstions
C/         98         SC         98.5.4.5.1           DiMinico, Christopher	P <b>135</b> MC Communic	L <b>22</b> ations	# 2	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
per Ed note to delete or re SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status <b>D</b> st channel text provided in cor eplace text with an appropriate		Short Reach	•	P 132 CommScope Comment Status D be extended to 2000 MHz. T	L <b>46</b> he 6 dB level as	# 5 ML s a flat plateau might be
see contribution diminico_ Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN DISCUSS - with commer see contribution diminico_	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. It 98			PCB mounting. This proc	e accurte, if it applies to the co duct is normally produced as a isolation coils. For this assen	n integrated mo	dule containing the
C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.6 Larsen, Wayne	P 129 CommScope	L <b>4</b>	# 3	SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note.			
Comment Type <b>T</b> The range should be from SuggestedRemedy Change the range to 1 Mł	Comment Status <b>D</b> 1-2000 MHz, not 2-500 MHz Hz to 2000 MHz.	<u>.</u>		Add a new line, speciufyin Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	ng RL of 6 dB, flat plateau, from Response Status W	n 500 MHz to 2	000 MHz.
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN Link segment delay startin Change: 2 MHz to 500 M to: 2 MHz to 2000 MHz	ng frequency 2 MHz consister	nt with BASE-T P	HYs.				

C/ 98 S Larsen, Wayne	SC 98.8.2.2	P <b>134</b> CommScope	L <b>1</b>	# 6		<i>CI</i> <b>98</b> Larsen, Wa	SC 98.8.2.3 ayne	P <b>135</b> CommScope	<i>L</i> 1	# 8
Specific co	ocedures on t omments on he	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> his page can be improved. bw to improve them have been	provided in the	past.	MDI	improv the isc	with the editor's n ed, and a sugges lation section.	Comment Status <b>D</b> note at the end of page 134. T tion is made below. Also agr	0	
	oonse ED REJECT.	Response Status W	plement chang	ges in draft		polarit <u>y</u> To: The el	vire pair shall with v. ectronic equipme	stand without damage a 1000 nt containing a 40GBASE-T F impulse applied to any wire pa	PHY shall withsta	and without damage a
Larsen, Wayne Comment Type	• T	P 134 CommScope Comment Status D to prevent damage to the PHY	L <b>45</b> itself and other	# 7	<i>MDI</i> s, not	Proposed PROP - see		Response Status W		
The editor's SuggestedRem from: each wire p application to: The electro withstand w	not really an M s note on line <i>nedy</i> oair of the MD of short circu onic equipmen	IDI requriement, consider movie 53 alludes to this. I shall, under all operation cond its of any wire to any other wire t containing a 40GBASE-T PH e the application of short circui le	itions, withstan within the conr Y shall, under a	d without damage th nected 4-pair cable all operation conditic	he ons,	Suggested in table Proposed	Type E n with the termino Remedy e entries LKS6, LI Response OSED ACCEPT.	P 146 CommScope Comment Status D blogy used in clause 98.7. KS7, and LKS15, change "FE Response Status W	L <b>15</b>	# [9PICS
- Editor's n refers to the	ED REJECT. note referred to	Response Status <b>W</b> to the second paragraph ("A 400 on the MDI in the first paragra 109			olution	98.7. Suggestea Delete Proposed PROP	Type <b>T</b> n the contents of Remedy table entries LKS Response OSED ACCEPT to be scrubbed t	P 146 CommScope Comment Status D this table with clause 98.7. T S12, LKS13, LKS14, LKS16, I Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. o align with revisions in claus	_KS17, LKS18, a	and LKS19.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/         98         SC         98.8.2.2         P 133         L 15         # 11           Larsen, Wayne         CommScope         CommScope         East 10         East 10	C/         98         SC         98.7.2.3         P 125         L 21         # 14           Larsen, Wayne         CommScope         CommScope         CommScope         CommScope
Comment Type         T         Comment Status         D         MDI           To extend the MDI impedance balance requirement to 2000 MHz.         MDI         MDI	Comment TypeEComment StatusDCombine lines 4 and 5 of equation 98-14 into one line.
It seems this change was supposed to be implimented in the last cycle but was not implimented for some reason.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Change 500 to 2000 in equation 98-32. Proposed Response Response Status W	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. See response comment#1
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	C/ 98         SC 98.7.2.4.2         P 126         L 33         # 15           Larsen, Wayne         CommScope
CI 98     SC 98.7.2.1     P 124     L 48     # 12       Larsen, Wayne     CommScope       Comment Type     T     Comment Status     D       The IEEE IL formula can be more onerous than the ISO forumula by up to 0.01 dB in the	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> The IEEE PSNEXT (MDNEXT) requirement is more onerous than the ISO spec by up to 0.0 dB in the frequency range from 1078 MHz to 1100 MHz. Not sure how serious this is, but a remedy is proposed that will overcome it.
frequency range of about 1-50 MHz. Not sure anything needs to be done about this. SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Change the value 1100 to 1078 in two places. Lines 33 and 45.
	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Commenter has not characterized problem to address or suggested remedy.	PROPOSED REJECT. Motion to approve equations refers to zimmerman_3bq_03a_0914.pd illustrating differences and noting negligible effect. Motion #6: Move that 802.3bq accept the equations for PSNEXT and PSACRF on slides 5 & 6 of zimmerman_3bq_03a_0914.pdf as baseline text for the link segment PSNEXT and PSACRF requirements, with editorial license
C/ 98     SC 98.7.2.4.1     P 125     L 45     # 13       Larsen, Wayne     CommScope       Comment Type     T     Comment Status     D	make the equations consistent with 802.3 draft M: George Zimmerman S: Chris Diminico Technical (> 75%)
Comment Type         T         Comment Status         D           We should fill in something to replace the TBD for (pair-to-pair) NEXT. The equations should be chosen to support both the TIA and ISO equations.         D	Y: 18 N: 1 A: 3 MOTION PASSES Commenter has not provided additional information pointing out a problem.
SuggestedRemedy Use the TIA equation for 1-1486 MHz, and the ISO equation from 1486-2000 MHz. These equations will be provided in a contribution (They are also available from the drafts).	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	

For committee review of commenter's presentation.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.4.2	P 126	L1	# 40	C/ 98	SC 98.7.2.4.5	P 128	L 23	# 40
		LI	# 16				L <b>Z3</b>	# 18
does not explicitly say the requriement, and clause from measured data. Of "MDNEXT". <i>PuggestedRemedy</i> Change the title of 98.7.2 loss (same as the present	CommScope Comment Status D ve both terms "MDNEXT" and at those are the same. Clause . .3, titled PSNEXT, seems to giv her SDOs use the term "PSNE 2.4.2 to "Mulitple disturber powent title of .3). Delete the present smes part of .2. Renumber sub Response Status W	2, titled MDNE> /e an explanation XT" but they do r-sum near-end clause heading	CT, seems to give the n of how to calculate it not use the term crosstalk (PSNEXT) of 98.7.2.4.3, so that	SuggestedR In equat Proposed R	ype <b>T</b> uaiton used was Remedy tion 98-24, chang esponse DSED ACCEPT. SC <b>98.7.2.4.5</b> yne		•	sum, in error. # 19
The use of multiple distu (802.3ba, 802.3bj). Multipairs) and provides the bichannels provided in the Text is explicit: "To ensure the total NE> loss is specified as the p	rber crosstalk used here is cons iple disturber is related to the si asis for understanding the need text. T coupled into a duplex channe ower sum of individual NEXT lo alculation used here and in othe	gnalling topolog to combine cro t is limited, mult sses."	y (e.g., number of isstalk between duplex iple disturber NEXT	does no requrien from me "MDACI SuggestedR Change (PSACF 98.7.2.4	t explicitly say the nent, and clause easured data. Of RF". Remedy the title of 98.7. RF) loss (same a L6, so that the m	we both terms "MDACRF" and at those are the same. Clause .6, titled PS ACRF, seems to ther SDOs use the term "PSA 2.4.5 to "Mulitple disturber pow as the present title of .6). Delet haterial therein becosmes part of	5, titled MDAC give an explana RCF" but they d ver-sum equal least the present cl	CRF, seems to give the tion of how to calculate it o not use the term evel far-end crosstalk ause heading of
98 SC 98.7.2.4.4	P 127	L 47	# 17	Proposed R	•	Response Status W		
arsen, Wayne	CommScope	L 41	# 17		SED REJECT. ponse comment	#16		
0.008 dB at aevery frequ	Comment Status <b>D</b> ing for the TBD for ACRF. The ency point, based on my calcula A equation for this reason.							
SuggestedRemedy replace the TBD on line or can be obtained from	47 with the TIA ACRF requirem the draft.	ent. It will be pr	ovided in a contribution					
Proposed Response	Response Status W							

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For committee review of referenced contribution.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.5 Larsen, Wayne	P <b>128</b> CommScope	L <b>53</b>	# 20	<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> McClellan,	SC 45.2.1.6 Brett	6.2	P <b>22</b> Marvell	L 13	# 22
Comment Type <b>T</b> The cabling channel wi frequency becomes low starting at 1 MHz, not s Alternatively, we could from 10-2000 ns. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status <b>D</b> I comply with 176 ns at 2000 M rer. We need to use an equatio tarting at 2.	n. Also, the req from 1-2000 M⊢	uriement needs to apply	Comment 45.2.1 Adding Otherv page 2 Suggested	Type <b>T</b> .66.2 40GBASE a new bit for 4 vise we need to 3 line 8. <i>Remedy</i> bit 1.129.1 and	-T LP informa DG seems unr search and re rename 1.129	nt Status <b>D</b> tion valid (1.129. necessary, can w place instances o	e reuse the 10GE	Control/Status bits ASE-T bit, 1.129.0? place with 1.129.1. See valid
Use the TIA equation for draft. Proposed Response	or this. It will be provided in a co Response Status <b>W</b>	ontribution or ca	n be obtained from the	,	OSED ACCEP SC <b>45.2.3.1</b>	Г.	P 26	L <b>29</b>	# 23
PROPOSED ACCEPT Link segment delay sta We need to carefully co	IN PRINCIPLE. ting frequency of 2MHz is consonsider increasing delay require	sistent with BAS ments.	E-T PHYs.	McClellan, Comment	Brett		Marvell	L 29	# <u>23</u> Control/Status bits
> align with cabling sta To align with TIA as co	ed and cabling standards to alig ndards		ns at all fraguancies	capab <i>Suggested</i> chang	lity bit names. Remedy			3.20.10)" doesn't	match other EEE
between 2 MHz and 20				- SEE	OSED ACCEP COMMENT 69	Г.	e Status W		
For committee discuss	on.			<i>Cl</i> <b>45</b> McClellan,	SC 45.2.7.1 Brett	0.6	P <b>30</b> Marvell	L <b>28</b>	# 24
C/ 00 SC 0 McClellan, Brett	<i>P</i> Marvell	L	# 21	<i>Comment</i> Task f			<i>nt Status</i> <b>D</b> ast retrain mand	atory.	Fast Retrair
in draft 1.0 is 3.10.20 in Are the headers in the SuggestedRemedy check that headers are Proposed Response	n draft 1.0 is register 1.129 but 802.3-2012 it is 3.25. draft supposed to reference 802	2.3-2012? or to a	a later amendment?	45.2.7 45.2.7 modify delete <i>Proposed</i> PROF	e mandatory, de 10.6 40GBASE 11.10 40GBAS tables accordir references to fa	-T Fast retrair E-T Fast retra gly st retrain "opti <i>Response</i> F IN PRINCIP	n ability (7.32.3) in ability (7.33.0) on" in Clause 98 e Status <b>W</b>		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 78	SC 78.3	P 38	L <b>1</b>	# 25	C/ 98 SC 98.1.3	P 47	L <b>4</b>	# 28
McClellan, I	Brett	Marvell			McClellan, Brett	Marvell		
	78–2—Clauses a	Comment Status D associated with each interface	type"	Editorial - references	Comment Type E symbol period is 312.	Comment Status D 5ps not 325ps		PMA Genera
Suggested	incorrect Remedy				SuggestedRemedy change "325" to "312	.5"		
change "Table		of the key EEE parameters fo	r supported PH	/11	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCEP	PT.		
PROPO	OSED ACCEPT.				C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.		L <b>20</b>	# 29
CI <b>78</b>	SC 78.4	P 38	L <b>33</b>	# 26	McClellan, Brett	Marvell		
McClellan, I	Brett	Marvell			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		Editoria
Comment T	Туре Е	Comment Status D		Editorial	typo "and split the bit	s into an two sets"		
pages 3	38 to 41 have unr	elated editorial notes			SuggestedRemedy	hite internet to a state		
Suggested remove	Remedy e this section				change "and split the to "and split the bits into			
-	Response OSED ACCEPT. comment 72)	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W		
C/ 98	SC 98.1.3	P <b>47</b>	L 10	# 27	C/ 98 SC 98.3.2. McClellan, Brett	2 P 64 Marvell	L <b>29</b>	# 30
AcClellan, I	Brett	Marvell			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		PMA Genera
	ASTER-SLAVE I	Comment Status D relationship may include loop t	iming. If loop tim	<i>Loop timing</i> ing is implemented, the	"symbol period, T, is needs to be updated			
	E PHY recovers th	e clock" <sup>•</sup> EEE is supported. Task force	should conside	r making loop timing	SuggestedRemedy			
		to eliminate an option that like			change "symbol perio to	od, T, is 1.25 ns."		
Suggested	Remedy				"symbol period, T, is	312.5 ps."		
"The M		nge text to: relationship requires. The SLA s in Clause 98 as required.	VE PHY recove	rs the clock"	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W						
	OSED ACCEPT I comment 51 for oth							

C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.		L <b>3</b>	# 31	C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.24	P <b>80</b>	L <b>45</b>	# 34
McClellan, Brett	Marvell			McClellan, Brett	Marvell		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		Editorial	Comment Type T C	Comment Status D		EEE
typo "The transcoder co and "65- bit"	onstruct"			1.2 us should be 1.12us			
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy change 1.2 to 1.12			
change to "The transcoder constr and "65-bit"	ucts"			Proposed Response Ro PROPOSED ACCEPT.	esponse Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			C/ 98 SC 98.3.4 McClellan, Brett	P 83 Marvell	L 13	# 35
PROPOSED ACCEPT							
C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.		L <b>30</b>	# 32	Comment Type E C Figure 98-15 is missing/blan	Comment Status <b>D</b> k		Editorial
McClellan, Brett	Marvell			SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PCS	fix the figure			
auxiliary bit should be ra	andomized			Proposed Response Re	esponse Status 🛛 🗰		
SuggestedRemedy				PROPOSED ACCEPT.	••		
add text: "It is highly recommend	led that the auxiliary bit be rand	lomized."		C/ 98 SC 98.3.5.3	P 87	L <b>20</b>	# 36
Proposed Response	Response Status W			McClellan, Brett	Marvell		
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Comment Type E C	Comment Status D		EEE
- DISCUSS				the proposal lacks the details		n	
C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.	20 P 77	L 36	# 33	SuggestedRemedy			
McClellan, Brett	Marvell			remove until we have a full ba	aseline or change to edito	rial note	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		Editorial		esponse Status W		
figure 98-13, there is a	line covering the text "p2"			PROPOSED ACCEPT IN P	•		
SuggestedRemedy				- discuss with comment 128			
remove line							
Proposed Response	Response Status W						
PROPOSED ACCEPT							

C/ 98	SC 98.3.6.2.3	P 90	L 18	# 37		C/ 98	SC 98.4.2.4	P 105	L <b>41</b>	# 40
McClellan, E	Brett	Marvell				McClellan,	Brett	Marvell		
Comment T	ype <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		I	PCS	Comment T	Гуре Т	Comment Status D		PMA Receiver
	5 us timer should -4 to 1E-4.	be changed to 125/4 or the	effective error rate	should be changed				rect for differential delay variation 30 meter channel.	ons of up to 50 ns	across the wire-pairs."
SuggestedF	Remedy					Suggested	Remedy			
	timer to 31us, / change 125us to	31us in other locations				change Proposed F	e to 15ns	Response Status W		
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W					•	IN PRINCIPLE.		
	DSED ACCEPT IN SS - with commer					- see o Needs	comment 84 to be consistent			
C/ 98	SC 98.3.6.2.2	P 88	L 38	# 38		Change	e to 17 ns			
McClellan, E	Brett	Marvell				CI 98	SC 98.4.2.5.	14 P 111	L <b>39</b>	# 41
Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status D		I	PCS	McClellan,	Brett	Marvell		
"b. CR(	C8 check is satisfi	ed"				Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status D		PBO Startup
The CR SuggestedF	C check was rem	oved.						g to a power backoff of 8 dB). r new PBO table	II	
replace						Suggested				
		n uncorrectable error"				00		e PBO is selected for initial trai	ining	
Proposed R	Response	Response Status W				Proposed F	Response	Response Status W	-	
PROPC	OSED ACCEPT.					-	, OSED ACCEPT ISS - with comm	, IN PRINCIPLE.		
CI 98	SC 98.3.6.2.5	P <b>92</b>	L 33	# 39						
McClellan, E	Brett	Marvell				CI 98	SC 98.4.2.5.		L 11	# 42
Comment T	ype T	Comment Status D			EEE	McClellan,	Brett	Marvell		
line 33 a	and line 38					Comment T	Гуре Т	Comment Status D		PBO Startup
lpi_qr_ti								est a desired PBO level that is	within two levels	(within 4 dB)"
should l lpi_qr_ti								n devices is too large. sider reducing the difference or	r the master selec	ts PBO for both or both
SuggestedF							e smaller backof			
00	to lpi_gr_time x 6					Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed R		Response Status W				both de	evices use the s	maller backoff setting		
	DSED ACCEPT.	Nesponse Status W				Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
TNOFC						PROP	OSED ACCEP1	,		

Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5. McClellan, Brett	14 P 112 Marvell	L 18	# 43	C/ 98 SC 98.4.2.5 McClellan, Brett	5.7 P 109 Marvell	L <b>53</b>	# 46
Comment Type <b>T</b> "10ms" and "1ms" absolute times should t	Comment Status D to be reduced by 4 correspondi sider reducing initial count setti		Startup	Comment Type TR "frame error ratio of le	Comment Status D	atio of 9.6 X 10-9p	Startu page 134 line 2, line 28
SuggestedRemedy change 10ms to 2.5ms change 1ms to 250us		-		also need to change p	-		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP1 - see comment 90 Change times as comm	-			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF - also recommend co	Response Status W PT. ommenter submit maintenance	request on same s	section in Clause 55
Committee to discuss of				C/ 78 SC 78.1 Zimmerman, George	P <b>73</b> CME Consu	L <b>14</b> Ilting, Inc.	# 47
Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.7 McClellan, Brett Comment Type T "50 complete quiet-refr should be 8.192/4 = 2.0	P 115 Marvell Comment Status D esh cycles (nominally equal to 048ms	L <b>9</b> 512 us)"	# 44 EEE	supports the phys. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D ations may or may not support		
SuggestedRemedy	ms			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W		
change 512us to 2.048				CI 78 SC 78.3	P 74	L1	# 48
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Zimmerman, George	CME Consul	Iting, Inc.	# 40
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 98 SC 98.4.3.1 AcClellan, Brett Comment Type T	P 116 Marvell Comment Status D	L1	# 45 Startup - PBO	Comment Type ER Table 78-2 seems to parameters for suppo	Comment Status D have gotten the title of 78-1. In	-	Editori
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT C/ 98 SC 98.4.3.1 AcClellan, Brett Comment Type T "power backoff (up to 1	P 116 Marvell Comment Status D			Comment Type ER Table 78-2 seems to parameters for suppo SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D have gotten the title of 78-1. In	802.3-2012, it is "S	Editon Summary of the key EEI

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 98 SC 98.1 Zimmerman, George	P <b>12</b> CME Consult	L <b>28</b> ing, Inc.	# 49	<i>CI</i> <b>98</b> Zimmerma	SC <b>98.1.3</b> In, George	P <b>30</b> CME Consult	L <b>24</b> ing, Inc.	# 52
Comment Type T	Comment Status D O/IEC 11801:2002 is inappro	opriate - should b	Cabling references be to Ed 3 draft	<i>Comment</i> There	21	Comment Status D ntributions to remove fast retra	in	Fast Retrain
	eference to ISO/IEC 11801 E ons for 100ohm Category 8 C Response Status W		SI/TIA-568-C.2-1-201x	Proposed PROP	editors note			
Cl 98 SC 98.1.1 Zimmerman, George	P 28 CME Consult	L <b>34</b> ing, Inc.	# 50	C/ <b>98</b> Zimmerma	SC 98.1.3.1 In, George	P 33 CME Consult	L <b>9</b> ing, Inc.	# 53
Comment Type ER Remove editors notes in SuggestedRemedy Remove editors notes un Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	der objectives Response Status W		Editorial - Discuss	Suggested Delete Proposed	s note flagging th Remedy editors note	Comment Status D e clause has done its job Response Status W TIN PRINCIPLE.		Editorial - Discuss
Cl 98 SC 98.1.3 Zimmerman, George	P <b>30</b> CME Consult	L <b>9</b> ing, Inc.	# 51	<i>Cl</i> <b>98</b> Zimmerma	SC <b>98.1.4</b> n, George	P <b>35</b> CME Consult	<i>L</i> <b>34</b> ing, Inc.	# 54
version. there has been r SuggestedRemedy Remove references to op	-	med 40GBASE- <sup>-</sup> oh. (replace "may	T is technically feasible.	Suggested Replac TXC< Proposed	Ith of TXD, TXC, <i>Remedy</i> ce TXD<31:0> wi 7:0>, and RXC<3	Comment Status <b>D</b> RXD, RXC are incorrect for XI ith TXD<63:0>, RXD<31:0> w 8:0> with RXD<7:0> <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>		<i>Editorial-technical</i> <sup>-</sup> XC<3:0> with

C/ 98 SC 98.3.1 Zimmerman, George	P <b>45</b> CME Consulti	L <b>46</b> na. Inc.	# 55	C/ <b>98</b> Zimmermar	SC <b>98.3.2.2.4</b> n. George	P <b>48</b> CME Consult	L <b>49</b> ina. Inc.	# 58	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D e 45 for XLGMII is incorrect		Editorial - references	Comment 7 Figure referen Suggested Correc Proposed P	Type <b>TR</b> 98-9 needs to be ces to RS coded Remedy t figure 98-9 as d Response	Comment Status D redrawn with corrections - re bits, colors need to be letter o scussed above and delete eo Response Status W	place references or number coded		PCS vith
C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2 Zimmerman, George	P <b>47</b> CME Consulti Comment Status D	L <b>20</b> ng, Inc.	# 56	Cl <b>98</b> Zimmermar	DSED ACCEPT. SC <b>98.3.2.2.7</b> n, George	P 53 CME Consult	L <b>5</b> ing, Inc.	# 59	
Comment Type ER extra "an" SuggestedRemedy delete "an" to rean "into t Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	wo sets." Response Status W		Editorial	and 81 Suggested	ce to 10 Gigabit I .3.4 R <i>emedy</i> e references as a	Comment Status D Ethernet and Clause 46 shou bove Response Status W	ld be 40 Gigabit	Editorial - ref Ethernet and Clau	
C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.2 Zimmerman, George	P <b>48</b> CME Consulti	L 10	# 57		OSED ACCEPT.				
Comment Type E	Comment Status D	-	PCS	C/ <b>28</b> Zimmermar	SC 28.5.4.8 n, George	P 8 CME Consult	<i>L</i> <b>26</b> ing, Inc.	# 60	
relate to LDPC frame err SuggestedRemedy	ncoding defined, and "The Response Status W			Link fa Suggested Extend Proposed I	g requires additic I inhibit timer is d Remedy definition of SD1 Response	Comment Status D nal changes: efined for 10/100/1000 (SD1 1a in 28.5.4.8 to include M: 4 <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE committee	0G (mandatory	or 10G (SD11a)	Autoneg

C/ 28B SC 28B.3	P 9	L 1	# 61	C/ 30	SC 30.2.5	P 9	L 1	# 64
Zimmerman, George	CME Consult	ing, Inc.		Zimmerma	n, George	CME Cons	ulting, Inc.	
	nex 28B, clause 28B.3 to ins		<i>Autoneg</i> above 10GBASE-T on	1. exte margin	e 30, requires min ending 10G operation package")	Comment Status D for changes: ting margin package to 40G Clause 98 in 30.3.2.1.2aPt		
the priority resolution list Proposed Response	and renumber list accordingl <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	y				R margin and fast retrain c 30.6.1.1.5 aAutoNegLocalT		GBASE-T as well
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Suggested		Ũ	0, ,	
	P 9 CME Consult Comment Status D n 28C.11 doesn't include 100 able 28C-1 doesn't include 1	GBASE-T	# 62 Autoneg	(condit 2. Add 3. Edit 10GBA	tional)"   40GBASE-T Cla   30.5.1.1.19 throu ASE-T	nn in Table 30-1e to "10G/ ause 98 in 30.3.2.1.2aPhyT ugh 30.5.1.1.22, and 30.5.1 30.6.1.1.5 aAutoNegLocalT	ype and 30.3.2.1.3 .1.24 & 25 to inclu	aPhyTypeList de 40GBASE-T with
SuggestedRemedy		UODAGE-1		Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
(Extended Next Page)" to	name from: "10GBASE-T/1 ): llogy message code (Extende		shnology message code	C/ <b>31B</b> Zimmerma	SC <b>31B.3.7</b> n, George	P 9 CME Cons	L <b>2</b> ulting, Inc.	# 65
,	Response Status <b>W</b> N PRINCIPLE.			did rela Suggested Discus Proposed I	der whether 40GE ative to other 10G IRemedy as - no specific re Response	medy Response Status W	ment for PAUSE o	peration, as 10GBASE-T
C/ 28D SC 28D.6 Zimmerman, George	P <b>9</b> CME Consult	L 1 ing, Inc.	# 63	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.66	- no specific remedy P 13	L3	# 66
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D	-	Autoneg	Zimmerma	, U	CME Cons	ulting, Inc.	
Annex 28D.6, changes fo SuggestedRemedy	or 10GBASE-T needs to also	include 40GBA	5E-1	Comment subcla		Comment Status D elate only to register 1.129,	although title is am	Control/Status bit ended to add "and 1.130"
	same text as 28D.6 and cha variable 40GigT	nge references t	to reflect 40GBASE-T	Suggested Delete	<i>Remedy</i> "and 1.130" from	n title		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	Response Status W			Proposed I	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1	P 10	L <b>20</b>	# 67	Cl 45	SC 45.2.3.	17	P 17	L <b>40</b>	# 70
Zimmerman, George	CME Consultir	ng, Inc.		Zimmerma	n, George		CME Consul	ting, Inc.	
Comment Type ER Missing "/"	Comment Status D		Editorial		ption says that	a device that do	Status <b>D</b> es not impleme	ent BASE-R, 10GE	<i>Editorial - technica</i> BASE-T, AND (emphasis
SuggestedRemedy Change to 10GBASE-1	/40GBASE-T				40GBASE_T	 n the existing 802	2.3-2012)		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			while t	he bit is for BA	SE-R and 10GB	ASE-T current		mean that a device must
				implen Suggested		e above, as an Al	ND would indica	ate.	
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.66 Zimmerman, George	5.2 P 13 CME Consultir	L 13 ng, Inc.	# 68	••	•	SE-T" to "or 40G	BASE-T"		
Comment Type <b>T</b> No need for both a 10G valid bit. This also inclu	Comment Status D BASE-T LP information valid b udes Table 45-54	it and a 40GBA	Control/Status bits SE-T LP information	Proposed PROP DISCU	OSED ACCEI	Response PT IN PRINCIPL	Status <b>W</b> E.		
If the new bit for 40GB	ASE-T is to be kept, paragraph	references the	wrong (10GBASE-T) bit	C/ 45	SC 45.2.7.	10	P <b>20</b>	L <b>39</b>	# 71
on line 17.				Zimmerma	n, George		CME Consul	ting, Inc.	
SuggestedRemedy				Comment	Туре Е	Comment	Status D		Editoria
	ph, and edit paragraph 45.2.1.6 BASE-T LP information valid"	6.1 10GBASE	-T LP information valid		t (assignment e "is" to "are")	of bits) and verb	(are) should ag	gree - subject is (s	till) singular. (no need to
IF the paragraph is not 1.129.1	deleted, correct the reference c	n line 17 to bit	1.129.0 which should be	Suggested revers		etion of "is" to re	place with "are'	n	
				Proposed			Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			PROP	OSED ACCEI	PT.			
Delete inserted paragra	ph, and edit paragraph 45.2.1.6 BASE-T LP information valid"	6.1 10GBASE	-T LP information valid	CI <b>78</b> Zimmerma	SC <b>78</b> n, George		P 73 CME Consul	L <b>5</b> ting, Inc.	# 72
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.12		L 28	# 69	Comment	,,		Status D		Editoria
Zimmerman, George	CME Consultir	ng, Inc.			•	ate text througho	ut, which needs	s to be cleaned ou	t
Comment Type TR 40GBASE-T EEE deep	Comment Status D sleep is not supported in claus	e 98	Control/Status bits	Suggested Clean	-	ext showing forma	ates for paragra	aphs,etc.	
SuggestedRemedy Delete section 45.2.3.1	2			Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEI	,	Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT - RESOLVED BY COM									

C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.9 Zimmerman, George	P 54 L 4 CME Consulting, Inc.	<b>2</b> # 73	C/         98         SC         98.3.2.2.20         P 59         L 35         #         76           Zimmerman, George         CME Consulting, Inc.         CME Consult
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Notes in Table 98-1 and column on 8B	Status D	Gbps Ethernet	PCS Comment Type ER Comment Status D Editoria
SuggestedRemedy Remove notes a & c, and replace note Delete column referring to 8B/10B cod Proposed Response Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	le Status <b>W</b>	eference	SuggestedRemedy delete hanging "[" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
DISCUSS C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.16	P 56 L 4	# 74	C/         98         SC         98.3.2.2.20         P 60         L 50         # 77           Zimmerman, George         CME Consulting, Inc.         CME Consulting, Inc.
Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment 65-bit block has extra spacing SuggestedRemedy clean up spacing on lines 4 & 34	CME Consulting, Inc.	Ed	Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       PCS         orial       Don't need extra annex, editors note has served its purpose       SuggestedRemedy       delete editors note asking question         Proposed Response       Response Status       W
Proposed Response Res	Status W	<b>3</b> # 75	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.           C/         98         SC         98.3.2.3         P 64         L 14         # 78           Zimmerman, George         CME Consulting, Inc.         CME
Zimmerman, George Comment Type ER Comment typo on "concantenated" SuggestedRemedy replace with concatenated Proposed Response Response			Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D       Editorial         orial       Only uncorrectable RS errors should cause hi_lfer       SuggestedRemedy       Editorial         SuggestedRemedy       change "RS error" to "uncorrectable RS error"       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Editorial       Editorial       Editorial       Editorial
PROPOSED ACCEPT.			Cl 98       SC 98.3.4       P 66       L 13       # 79         Zimmerman, George       CME Consulting, Inc.           Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       D       Editoria         figure 98-15 is missing       SuggestedRemedy       Insert figure 98-15 from clause 55. (unchanged)           Proposed Response       Response Status       W       W

C/ 98 SC 98.3.6.2.1 Zimmerman, George	P <b>71</b> CME Consultir	L 6	# 80	C/ 98 SC 98.4.2 Zimmerman, George	2 P 85 CME Consulti	L <b>37</b>	# 83
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D Need to add Link Interruptic		Fast Retrain o XLGMII	Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D p timing - make it mandatory	ng, me.	Loop timing
reference	red_set to XLGMII in Clause	- 81 similar to 40	5.3.4 and change		" to "includes", replace "If loop tir th "If the PMA_CONFIG", delet " <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>		
<i>Cl</i> 98 <i>SC</i> 98.3.6.2.2 Zimmerman, George	P <b>71</b> CME Consultir	<i>L</i> 19 g, Inc.	# 81	PROPOSED ACCE DISCUSS - See con	nments 27 & 51	L 40	# 04
Comment Type T	Comment Status D	-	PCS	Zimmerman, George	.4 P 88 CME Consulti		# 84
bits SuggestedRemedy Change hi_lfer definition to alternatively, define in term 40G it comes to 64	s of a new term, N_sym, and <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PRINCIPLE.		stant * N_sym so that for	skew spec in 98.7.2. SuggestedRemedy	erential delay varition spec (50ns) ce to 98.7.2.6 <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Cl 98 SC 98.3.6.2.2 Zimmerman, George Comment Type TR Text refers to 32 bit XGMII SuggestedRemedy change references reflect	P 71 CME Consultin Comment Status D words, and needs to be upo		# <u>82</u> <i>Editorial</i> KLGMII	Cl 98 SC 98.4.2 Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Editors note has don SuggestedRemedy Delete editors note	CME Consultin Comment Status D	L <b>3</b> ng, Inc.	# 85 Editorial
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W		

C/         98         SC         98.7.2.3         P 125         L 21         # 86           Zimmerman, George         CME Consulting, Inc.         CME Consulting, Inc.         Employed Science         Employ	C/         98         SC         98.4.3.1         P         99         L         14         #         89           Zimmerman, George         CME Consulting, Inc.         CME Consulting, Inc.         Image: Consult, Imag
Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       D       Editorial         Equation 98-14 says "log" without showing it is a base-10 logarithm       SuggestedRemedy       Editorial         SuggestedRemedy       Change "log f" to "log_10 f" in equation 98-14 consistent with IEEE style       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       V       V       V       V	Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       D       Editorial         reference to "scaled insertion loss equation" is incorrect. There is no longer a scaled insertion loss equation in 98.7, and the explanatory remark is not relevant.       Editorial       Editorial         SuggestedRemedy       Delete "and have been computed using the scaled insertion loss equation in 98.7"       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       V       V       V       V
Cl 98     SC 98.4.2.5.14     P 94     L 48     # 87       Zimmerman, George     CME Consulting, Inc.     PBO Startup       Comment Type     T     Comment Status     D     PBO Startup	C/         98         SC         98.4.2.5.14         P         95         L         17         #         90           Zimmerman, George         CME Consulting, Inc.
Editors note has done its job - PAM 2 Infofield margin is greater than it was for 10GBASE-T at 100m. SuggestedRemedy Delete editors note Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. DISCUSS - with comment 41	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D       Startup         relation of time to transition counter is incorrect because of 4x symbol rate. Conversion to allow longer time would require rework of infofield format to allow longer transition counter       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       delete reference to time (10ms, line 17) and (1ms, line 18) also, page 102, lines 28 & 29,       DISCUSS - this may have implications relative to prior decision on startup time.
C/         98         SC         98.4.3.1         P         99         L 3         #         88           Zimmerman, George         CME Consulting, Inc.         CME Consulting, Inc.         Employed	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. - see comment 43
Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D       Editorial         power backoff set size is incorrect (left over from prior version)       SuggestedRemedy       Editorial         SuggestedRemedy       Change "approximately 6 dB steps" to "approximately 2 dB steps"       Froposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       From the status       PROPOSED ACCEPT.       From the status       Comment Status       Comme	Cl 98       SC 98.4.2.5.14       P 96       L 34       # 91         Zimmerman, George       CME Consulting, Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       Startup         Table 98-10 - we may want to revisit Recommended times, especially average times.       SuggestedRemedy       Startup         Propose Chair charter an ad hoc to come back with proposals before the next meeting.       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       V       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       V

C/ 98 SC 98.4.	5.2 <i>P</i> 104	L 13	# 92	CI 98 SC 98.	5.3.4 <i>P</i> 116	L 17	# 95
Zimmerman, George	CME Consult	ing, Inc.		Zimmerman, George	CME Consult	ing, Inc.	
Comment Type TR time associated wit	Comment Status <b>D</b> h 50 complete quiet refresh signal	periods is incorre	EEE		R Comment Status D mirrored y-axis label, and title still sa	ays "(update)"	Editorial
SuggestedRemedy Change to 512used 40G it is 512usec. Proposed Response PROPOSED REJE	c, or, better, define a term, N_sym <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> ECT.	(proportional # sy	mbols/sec) so that for	SuggestedRemedy fix y-axis on Flgur Proposed Response PROPOSED AC	re 98-39 Transmit PSD, and delete t <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> CEPT.	the word "(update	e)" from title
C/ 98 SC 98.4.		L 24	# 93	Cl 98 SC 98. Zimmerman, George	5.4.1 <i>P</i> 117 CME Consult	L <b>1</b> ing, Inc.	# 96
Zimmerman, George Comment Type <b>TR</b> References in note	CME Consult Comment Status D 2 point to 10GigT link status varial	-	Editorial	Comment Type <b>T</b> BER is after LDP SuggestedRemedy	RComment StatusDC and RS decoding which is in the I	PCS this isn't me	PMA Receiver
SuggestedRemedy replace with _40Gi Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status W			insert ", after LDF Proposed Response PROPOSED AC	-		
C/ 98 SC 98.5.		L 51	# 94	C/ 98 SC 98. Zimmerman, George	5.4.4 P 118 CME Consult	L <b>4</b> ing, Inc.	# 97
Zimmerman, George Comment Type T Scale transmitter lir SuggestedRemedy	CME Consult Comment Status D nearity for frequency	ing, Inc.	PMA Transmitter	frequencies. Also	Comment Status <b>D</b> bly not the right shortening to accou b, desire to be independent of both to "helpful commentary" is less than h	he test equipmer	
Discuss. Nominall > 33 dB (10dB bett	y this was related to distortion of th er than threshold SNR) across the in and may be too high? (52 dB ou	e band to Nyquist.	But, this is definitely an	SuggestedRemedy Delete "by approx Proposed Response	imately 5m" Response Status W		
Scale frequency (2 convergence on an	5 becomes 100MHz), and put a "T alternate proposal.	BD" next to it, un	less there is	, ,	CEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status W EPT IN PRINCIPLE.						

C/ 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1	P 118	L <b>21</b>	# 98	C/ 98	SC 98.6.2	P <b>122</b>	L <b>2</b>	# 101	
Zimmerman, George	CME Consulti	ng, Inc.		Zimmerma	n, George	CME Consult	ing, Inc.		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		Short Reach	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		Autoneg	
	attach cord channel, reflected	l in the draft, una	accepted text			code name is specific to 10G/1 E-T. See comment on 28C.11	000BASE-T. ne	eed a new name that can	
SuggestedRemedy	an alterna da ta da afana a s'a a da			Suggested					
Delete the editors note.	or alternate text referencing th	e TIA Category	8 direct attach channel.	00		abit BASE-T Technology messa	age code (Extend	ded Next Page)"	
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Response Status W			
PROPOSED ACCEPT I DISCUSS - with comme				DISCI	JSS - with comr	T IN PRINCIPLE. nents 62 & 102 eferences in Clause 55.6.2 and	t in Clause 40)		
C/ 98 SC 98.6.1.1	P 119	L 8	# 99	C/ 98	SC 98.6.2	P 123	L 9	# 102	
Zimmerman, George	CME Consulti	ng, Inc.		Zimmerma		CME Consult	-	# 102	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D		Editorial						
Editors note has served i	ts purpose, accept text in sec	tion.			<i>Type</i> ER s note has serve			Autoneg	
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested					
Delete editors note and a	ccept text.			00	editors note				
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed Response Response Status W					
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				PROPOSED ACCEPT.					
C/ 98 SC 98.6.1.2	P 119	L <b>48</b>	# 100	- (outcome depends on discussion of comments 62&101)					
Zimmerman, George	CME Consulti	ng, Inc.		C/ 98	SC 98.6.2	P 123	L 24	# 103	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D		Editorial	Zimmerma		CME Consult		" 105	
Editors note has served i	ts purpose			Comment	Tvpe T	Comment Status D	0,	Loop timing	
SuggestedRemedy		optional loop timing - make it mandatory							
Delete editors note				Suggested	Remedy				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			fix refe	erences on lines	24, delete sentence beginning rough the sentence ending with			
				Proposed	Response	Response Status W			
				-	OSED ACCEP	Т.			

C/ 98         SC 98.7         P 124         L 3         # 104           Zimmerman, George         CME Consulting, Inc.         Employed and the second and the	C/         98         SC         98.7.2.4.2         P 126         L 14         # 107           Zimmerman, George         CME Consulting, Inc.
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       Cabling references         Reference to "additional requirements specified in this subclause" is dated to 10GBASE-T running on cat 6. any link segment meeting the "requirements specified in this subclause" should work.       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       replace "Class I 4-pair balanced cabling that meets the additional requirements specified in this subclause" with "Class I or other 4-pair balanced cabling that meets the requirements specified in this subclause".	Comment Type       ER       Comment Status D       Editorial         equations 98-16, 98-17, 98-18 say "Ig" rather than "log"       SuggestedRemedy       Editorial         SuggestedRemedy       change equation to read "log_10" consistent with IEEE style       Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The basis for the link segment specification is not for all "4-pair balanced cabling"; Class I is used. Page 141, L18 provide language to "support" other cabling that meets the requirements of 98.7.	C/       98       SC       98.8.1       P 131       L 39       # 108         Zimmerman, George       CME Consulting, Inc.       CME Consulting, Inc.         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       D       MDI         section does not implement resolution of motion 12 at September interim: "Move that 802.3bq include the RJ-45 as reflected in IEC 60603-7-51 (published) with the improved characteristics
CI 98       SC 98.7.1       P 124       L 24       # 105         Zimmerman, George       CME Consulting, Inc.       CME Consulting, Inc.         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         "additional requirements" relative to class I? I don't think we have any         SuggestedRemedy         delete "additional" - scrub document for other instances         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED REJECT.         See	<ul> <li>(apologies of the editor - I made this edit and it must have gotten lost in a crash)</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy         <ul> <li>Replace first sentence ("Eight pin") with: "Eight-pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 60603-7-51 with improved characterstics and frequency extensions specified in IEC 60603-7-81 (currently in CDV draft) shall be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Proposed Response Response Status W         <ul> <li>PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.             <ul> <li>see comment 4</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>
98.7.2.2 Differential characteristic impedance. In addition, like to keep the "additional" untill we reach closure on link segment specifications. Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.4.1 P 125 L 46 # 106 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status D pair-to-pair NEXT loss is unspecified (equation 98-15) SuggestedRemedy Specify pair-to-pair next loss consistent with MDNEXT loss in 98.7.2.4.2 Proposed Response Response Status W	Cl 98       SC 98.8.2.3       P 134       L 50       # 109         Zimmerman, George       CME Consulting, Inc.        MDI         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       D       MDI         The requirement "A 40GBASE-T PHY shall be able to sustain" relates to the PHY not just the MDI - as such it is misplaced.       MDI       MDI         SuggestedRemedy       Move lines 50 - 54 on page 134 and 1-3 on page 135 to 98.5.1 Isolation requirements, or, optionally, add a new clause after 98.5.1 to speak to this requirement. delete editors note       Proposed Response       Response Status       W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 99 SC Zimmerman, George	P 3 CME Consul	L <b>36</b> ting, Inc.	# 110	C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20 P 59 L 32 # 114 Wu, Peter Marvell				
Comment Type E	Comment Status D of amendment. (802.3bq)		Editorial	Comment Type T Comment Status D PCS Text marked as pending approval				
SuggestedRemedy Substitute 802.3bq for 80	)2.3xx (global)			SuggestedRemedy Request to accept the text with some changes in the presentation of "RS code scheme to protect "un-coded" bits at 40GBASE-T"				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT II Substitution will happen a	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. at appropriate phase of editin	ng.		Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. - discuss with presentation				
Cl 99 SC Zimmerman, George	P 4 CME Consul	L <b>26</b> ting, Inc.	# 111	C/ 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1 P 118 L 28 # 115 Belopolsky, Yakov Bel Stewart				
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D ears incomplete, 802.3bj, po d and include all relevant on		Editorial	Comment Type TR Comment Status D Cabling reference IEC/ISO TR 11801-99-01 Guidance for balanced cabling in support of at least 40 Gbit/s data transmission recognizes Classes I and II ,and correspondingly components of categories 8.1 or 8.2 can be utilized for a Short Reach Test Channel.				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W see comment 120			SuggestedRemedy Replace "Category 8.1" with "Category I or Category II component				
Cl 99 SC Zimmerman, George Comment Type ER Table of Contents is a pla	P 2 CME Consul Comment Status D aceholder	L <b>1</b> ting, Inc.	# 112 Editorial	Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED REJECT.       - Short reach test channel text is to be "deleted or replaced" per Ed Note: "Need to delete or replace text below with an appropriate short reach channel, ideally referencing TIA or ISO specs". See contribution diminico_3bq_01_1114.pdf for short reach test channel replacement text.				
SuggestedRemedy Fix production of book so Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Table of Contents is genera Response Status W	ated correctly		-Also, even in the existing text, ISO nomenclature is Category 8.1 or Category 8.2 for components. The nomenclature of "Class" refers to channel requirements, not the components referenced in the clause.				
Cl <b>99</b> SC Zimmerman, George	P CME Consul	L <b>3</b> ting, Inc.	# 113					
Comment Type E Amendment should be : b	Comment Status D		Editorial					
SuggestedRemedy Substitute in at appropria	te phase of editing							
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 98 SC 98.7 Belopolsky, Yakov	P <b>124</b> Bel Stewart	L <b>39</b>	# 116	<i>Cl</i> <b>98</b> Belopolsky,	SC <b>98.7.2</b> Yakov	P <b>124</b> Bel Stewart	L 3042	# 118
Comment Type TR 40GBASE-T is intended ISO/IEC 111801 standa Class II channels and, can support such transr Class I cabling is incorre SuggestedRemedy remove the " Class I" or Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. The language used in 98 segment meets the requi 98.7.1 Cabling system of	Comment Status D to operate over the cabling that rd that specially supports 40G, in fact, recognizes that compor hission . The statement that 400 act replace with Class I or Class II <i>Response Status</i> W 3.7 allows for other classes to b irements of 98.7. Reference to	that standard in nents of catego Base is design e supported if th Class II is given	cludes Class I and ry 6a and 7a or better ed to operate over e link in Table 98–18.	Comment 1 40GBA ISO/IE II chan suppor cabling Table 9 Suggested/ line 30 Line 42 Proposed F	Type         TR           SE-T is intended         SE-T is intended           C 111801 stand         stand           hels and in fact         such transmissis           is incorrect         sincorrect           88.18 is incorrect         sincorrect           Remedy         remove the " Classical operations"           Table 98.18 reference         Seconse	Comment Status <b>D</b> ed to operate over the cabling that dard that specially supports 40G recognizes that components of sion . The statement t that 40GE	, that standard ir categories 6a a Base is designed Class I' SO/IEC Classes	nclude Class I and Class and 7a or better can I to operate over Class I s I or II
balanced cabling with a	nominal impedance of 100 ohm link segment meets the requirer <i>P</i> 124 Bel Stewart	Operation on o						
ISO/IEC 111801 standa II channels and in fact re	Comment Status <b>D</b> to operate over the cabling that rd that specially supports 40G, ecognizes that components of o on . The statement t that 40GB	that standard in ategories 6a ar	clude Class I and Class nd 7a or better can					
SuggestedRemedy								
remove the " Class I" or	replace with "at least Class I"							
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. See response to comme	Response Status W nt#104 and comment#117							

Cl 98 SC 98.8.1 P 131,132 L # 119	C/ 99 SC P L 28 # 120
Belopolsky, Yakov Bel Stewart	Lusted, Kent Intel
Comment Type TR Comment Status D M 40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the	Comment Type E Comment Status D Editor IEEE Std 802.3bj-2014 now exists. Add a reference
ISO/IEC 111801 standard that specially supports 40G, that standard include Class I and Class II channels and in fact recognizes that components of categories 6a and 7a or better can support such transmission.	SuggestedRemedy Add 802.3bj and the relevent supporting text.
The reference to IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreened) and IEC 606-7-5 (screened) is not correct The use of unscreened connectors in the 2000MHz transmission is not supported by technical evidence known to the commenter.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
The informational pictures 98-41 and 98-42 are misleading. The information on the recognized connectors is contained in the IEC/ISO TR 11801-99-01	C/ 45 SC Table 45-7 P 20 L 21 # 121
	Lusted, Kent Intel
( An animal with four legs is not always a horse)	Comment Type E Comment Status D Editor
SuggestedRemedy remove pictures 98-41 and 98-42 Line 39 remove " IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreened) and IEC 606-7-5 (screened)" replace with	Description adds "40GBASE-T PMA" but the correct type selection should be "40GBASE-T PMA/PMD".
"connectors recognized by IEC/ISO TR 11801-99-01" preferred text : "connectors categories 8.1 or 8.2 recognized by IEC/ISO 11801	Listing PMA/PMD makes it consistent with 10GBASE-T, 1000BASE-T, 100BASE-TX, and other listings in Table 45-7
Line 41 remove the sentence starting with "These connectors are depicted	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W	Change to "40GBASE-T PMA/PMD".
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolve with comment 4	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
In accordance with Motion#12 during 40GBASE-T Task Force	C/ 98 SC 98.1.3.1 P 50 L 22 # 122
September 9-10, 2014. Revise 98.8.1 to include IEC references. From: Eight-pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreened) or IEC	Lusted, Kent Intel
60603-7-5 (screened) shall be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling.	Comment Type E Comment Status D Editor
To: Eight-pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 60603-7-51 (published) with the improved characteristics and frequency extensions specified in IEC 60603-7-81 shall be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling. Update figures if necessary to represent the referenced connectors.	The term "RS(140, 136, 2^11) code" is used without defining what RS is. The 802.3-2012 base standard abbreviation list says RS is Reconciliation Sublayer. That doesn't make sense in this section where the text uses "RS-coded bits". RS must mean Reed Solomon.
Motion #12 (Motion #7 reconsidered): Move that 802.3bq include the RJ-45 as reflected in IEC 60603-7-51 (published) with the improved characteristics and frequency extensions specified in 60603-7-81 (currently in CDV	SuggestedRemedy Please define the use of RS in this section as Reed Solomon, if necessary.
draft) as an MDI interface M: Jerry Chiang S: Peter Wu Technical (> 75%)	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Y: 16 N: 5 A: 2 MOTION PASSES	- Edit first usage in line 49 to read: "comprising 3 RS-encoded (Reed-Solomon-encoded) bits and 4 LDPC-encoded"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.68.1	P <b>23</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 123	C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.17.	5 P 27	L <b>52</b>	# 126
Lusted, Kent		Intel			Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel		
bits. back	entence reference off bits are now d	Comment Status <b>D</b> as the LP information valid efined for 10GbT and 40G a valid bit. Another bit is de	bT. however, th	he 1.129.0 bit is now the		98.3.2.3 is to wro	Comment Status <b>D</b> ng section. Variable definitio ock_lock is not in 98.3.2.3.	ons is 98.3.6.2.2,	Editorial - references or least in section
Proposed Res PROPOSI	nce to 1.129.1, w ponse F ED REJECT. No	hich is the 40GBASE-T LF Response Status W o change needed if comme	nt #22 is accept	ted.	55.3.2 Suggested Point t Proposed	.3. The correct 10 <i>IRemedy</i> to correct section. <i>Response</i>	mediately preceeding it for GBASE-T reference is 55.3 Response Status W		rrectly references
CI 45 S Lusted, Kent	SC 45.2.3.1.2	P <b>25</b> Intel	L 10	# 124	-	OSED ACCEPT. mmend Commente	r submit maintenance reque	est on 10GBASE-	T reference
Comment Type Link to 98.		Comment Status <b>D</b> section. Loopback is 98.3	.7.3.	Editorial - references	C/ <b>45</b> Lusted, Ke		Intel	L <b>29</b>	# 127
	The correct 10GE <i>nedy</i>	ediately preceeding it for 10 BASE-T reference is 55.3.7		prrectly references	Suggested	I sentences uses "	Comment Status D 10GBASE-T" but should be	"40GBASE-T".	Editoria
	ED ACCEPT.	Response Status W	on 10GBASE-	T reference	Proposed PROF	Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
	SC 45.2.3.17.4	P 27	L <b>40</b>	# 125	C/ <b>98</b> Graba, Jim	SC 98.3.5.3	P <b>70</b> Broadcom	L <b>20</b>	# 128
		Intel Comment Status D section. Variables is 98.3. 3.6.1.	6.2.2, or least ir	<i>Editorial - references</i> n section 98.3.6.2. The		EE feature, to allow red by the TF.	Comment Status <b>D</b> w a PHY to request the link p	partner to leave L	EEE PI mode, has not been
		ediately preceeding it for 19 BASE-T reference is 55.3.6		prrectly references	Discu: Proposed		inclusion of this feature. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>		
SuggestedRen Point to 98 Proposed Res	3.3.6.2.2 or 98.3.6	.2 Response Status W			PROF - disc	OSED ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.		
•	ED ACCEPT.	kesponse Status W							

CI 98	SC 98.7.2	P 124	L <b>28</b>	# 129
Cibula, Peter	r	Intel Corporation		

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Consider whether Subclause 98.7.2 should include link segment transmission parameters appropriate for shielded cabling system characteristics.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Discuss adding coupling attenuation and/or other characteristics as a transmission parameter(s) for shielded link segments.

Clause 98.7 states that 40GBASE-T is designed to operate over ISO/IEC 11801 Class I 4-pair balanced cabling, and defines a link segment based upon copper media specified by ISO/IEC JTC1/SC25/WG3 and TIA TR42.7. The corresponding draft specifications, PN-568-C.2-1, Draft 2.0c (to be published as ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1) and ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 25 DTR 11801-99-1 both include transmission requirements related to shielded implementations. The 40GBASE-T link segment should reflect those requirements and, of course, identify them as applying to shielded link segments.

#### Proposed Response Response Status W

#### PROPOSED REJECT.

Coupling attenuation measurements (laboratory) are used to characterize cabling electromagnetic immunity and not directly related to transmission parameters (i.e., the link segment transmission parameters) and transmission performance (SNR). The link segment alien crosstalk specifications indirectly characterizes cabling electromagnetic immunity as well as providing basis for transmission performance (SNR). In addition, 802.3bq references both ISO/IEC Class I and TIA Category 8 in which cabling characterizes related to the shielding perofrmance (SNR). 802.3bq does not specify to characterize the link segment transmission performance.