C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.3 P 142 L 25 Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.6 P 129 L4 # 3 MC Communications Larsen, Wayne DiMinico, Christopher CommScope Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial Т Comment Status A EQ 98-14 redundant frequency range The range should be from 1-2000 MHz, not 2-500 MHz. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the range to 1 MHz to 2000 MHz. delete line in brackets {8 1600<f</=2000} Response Response Status C change {8 1600<f</=2000} to {8 1000<f</=2000} ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Link segment delay starting frequency 2 MHz consistent with BASE-T PHYs. Change: 2 MHz to 500 MHz to: 2 MHz to 2000 MHz Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 98 SC 98.8.1 P 131 L 38 Larsen. Wavne CommScope # 2 Cl 98 P 135 L 22 SC 98.5.4.5.1 Comment Type T Comment Status A DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications The specification of the MDI was not updated correctly based on motion 7 from the September Comment Type T Comment Status A Short Reach meeting. 98.5.4.5.1 Short reach test channel text provided in contribution SuggestedRemedy per Ed note to delete or replace text with an appropriate short reach channel.... Change from SuggestedRemedy see contribution diminico 3bg 01 1114.pdf IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreened) or IEC 60603.7-5 (screened) Response Response Status C change to ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 98.5.4.5.1 Short reach test channels IEC 60603-7-51 (published) with the improved characteristics and frequency extenstions The short reach link segment meeting the transmission requirements in this sepcified in 60603-7-81 (currently CDV draft) subclause are specified to support up to 5 (TBD) meters. Response Response Status C. 98.5.4.5.1.1 Direct attach cable assembly ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The direct attach cable assembly contains balanced twisted-pair terminated in a See comment 119 connector at each end for use as a short reach link segment between MDIs. 98. 5.4.5.1.1.x.x Direct attach cable assembly transmission requirements – (TBD)

TIA and ISO are developing direct attach channel specifications to support short

Editor is directed to utilize the limits in TIA Category 8 specification D 2.0E, Clause 6.4 to specify 98.xxx short reach link segment for the transmission requirements corresponding to the link segment parameters specified in 98.7.2 Link segment transmission parameters i.e., Insertion Loss, Return Loss, NEXT,MDNEXT (PSNEXT), ACRF, MDACRF (PSACRF), delay, delay skew, MDANEXT (PSANEXT), MDAFEXT (PSAACRF). The specifications will be TBD.

reach link seaments.

P 132 C/ 98 SC 98.8.2.1 L 46 # 5 Cl 98 SC 98.8.2.3 P 134 L 45 # 7 Larsen, Wayne CommScope Larsen, Wayne CommScope Comment Type Comment Type Т Comment Status A Т Comment Status R The requirements need to be extended to 2000 MHz. The 6 dB level as a flat plateau might be Thinking this is intended to prevent damage to the PHY itself and other electronic elements, not so much the MDI. This editor's note would be accurte, if it applies to the connector by itself, free of magnitics and Since it is not really an MDI requirement, consider moving it to another place in the standard. PCB mounting. This product is normally produced as an integrated module containing the The editor's note on line 53 alludes to this. conntor and the magnetic isolation coils. For this assembly, the return loss values in equation SuggestedRemedy 98-31 are about right. from: SuggestedRemedy each wire pair of the MDI shall, under all operation conditions, withstand without damage the Delete the editor's note. application of short circuits of any wire to any other wire within the connected 4-pair cable The electronic equipment containing a 40GBASE-T PHY shall, under all operation conditions. Add a new line, speciallying RL of 6 dB, flat plateau, from 500 MHz to 2000 MHz. withstand without damage the application of short circuits of any wire to any other wire within Response Response Status C the connected 4-pair cable ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Delete the editor's note. REJECT. Replace the last line, starting at 400MHz and extend to 2000 MHz; specifying RL of 6 dB, flat - Editor's note referred to the second paragraph ("A 40GBASE-T PHY...") Proposed resolution plateau, from 400 MHz to 2000 MHz. refers to the requirement on the MDI in the first paragraph of the subclause Cl 98 SC 98.8.2.2 P 134 L 1 # 6 See also comments 8 & 109 Larsen. Wavne CommScope C/ 98 SC 98.8.2.3 P 135 L 1 Comment Type Т Comment Status R MDI Larsen, Wayne CommScope The test procedures on this page can be improved. Comment Status R Comment Type Т MDI Specific comments on how to improve them have been provided in the past. Agree with the editor's note at the end of page 134. The wording of this sentence can be improved, and a suggestion is made below. Also agree this requirement should be moved to SuggestedRemedy the isolation section. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C From: REJECT. each wire pair shall withstand without damage a 1000 V common-mode impulse of either Commenter has not provided sufficient information to implement changes in draft. polarity. To: The electronic equipment containing a 40GBASE-T PHY shall withstand without damage a 1000 V common-mode impulse applied to any wire pair, of either polarity. Response Response Status C REJECT. - see comment 109 See also comment 7

paragraph.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 8

Editor's note refers to preceding paragraph on lines 50-52, page 134, not subsequent

Page 2 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:20 PM

P 146 C/ 98 SC 98.12.7 L 15 Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.1 P 124 L 48 # 12 Larsen, Wayne CommScope Larsen, Wayne CommScope Ε Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A PICS т Comment Status R To align with the terminology used in clause 98.7. The IEEE IL formula can be more onerous than the ISO forumula by up to 0.01 dB in the frequency range of about 1-50 MHz. Not sure anything needs to be done about this. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy in table entries LKS6. LKS7, and LKS15, change "FEXT" to "ACRF" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. REJECT. Commenter has not characterized problem to address or suggested remedy. Cl 98 SC 98.12.7 P 146 L 24 # 10 C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.4.1 P 125 L 45 # 13 Larsen, Wayne CommScope Larsen. Wavne CommScope Comment Type Comment Status A PICS Comment Type Comment Status A To align the contents of this table with clause 98.7. The items listed are not included in clause We should fill in something to replace the TBD for (pair-to-pair) NEXT. The equations should 98.7. be chosen to support both the TIA and ISO equations. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete table entries LKS12, LKS13, LKS14, LKS16, LKS17, LKS18, and LKS19. Use the TIA equation for 1-1486 MHz, and the ISO equation from 1486-2000 MHz. These Response Response Status C equations will be provided in a contribution (They are also available from the drafts). ACCEPT. Response Response Status C - PICS to be scrubbed to align with revisions in clause 98 relative to clause 55 (these are some ACCEPT. of them) See larsen 3bg 01 1114.pdf for equation C/ 98 P 133 SC 98.8.2.2 L 15 # 11 Cl 98 P 125 SC 98.7.2.3 L 21 # 14 Larsen. Wavne CommScope Larsen. Wavne CommScope Comment Type Т Comment Status A MDI Comment Type Comment Status A To extend the MDI impedance balance requriement to 2000 MHz. Combine lines 4 and 5 of equation 98-14 into one line. It seems this change was supposed to be implimented in the last cycle but was not implimented SuggestedRemedy for some reason. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change 500 to 2000 in equation 98-32. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C See response comment#1

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

ACCEPT.

Comment ID 14

Page 3 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:20 PM

CI 98 SC 98.7.2.4.2 P 126 L 33 # 15 Larsen, Wayne CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status R

The IEEE PSNEXT (MDNEXT) requirement is more onerous than the ISO spec by up to 0.02 dB in the frequency range from 1078 MHz to 1100 MHz. Not sure how serious this is, but a remedy is proposed that will overcome it.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the value 1100 to 1078 in two places. Lines 33 and 45.

Response Status C

REJECT. Motion to approve equations refers to zimmerman\_3bq\_03a\_0914.pdf illustrating differences and noting negligible effect. Motion #6: Move that 802.3bq accept the equations for PSNEXT and PSACRF on slides 5 & 6 of zimmerman\_3bq\_03a\_0914.pdf as baseline text for the link segment PSNEXT and PSACRF requirements, with editorial license to make the equations consistent with 802.3 draft

M: George Zimmerman S: Chris Diminico Technical (> 75%)

Y: 18 N: 1 A: 3 MOTION PASSES

Commenter has not provided additional information pointing out a problem.

Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.4.2 P126 L1 # 16

Larsen, Wayne CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status R

There is no reason to have both terms "MDNEXT" and "PSNEXT". The text as it is written does not explicitly say that those are the same. Clause .2, titled MDNEXT, seems to give the requriement, and clause .3, titled PSNEXT, seems to give an explanation of how to calculate it from measured data. Other SDOs use the term "PSNEXT" but they do not use the term "MDNEXT".

### SuggestedRemedy

Change the title of 98.7.2.4.2 to "Mulitple disturber power-sum near-end crosstalk (PSNEXT) loss (same as the present title of .3). Delete the present clause heading of 98.7.2.4.3, so that the material therein becomes part of .2. Renumber sub-sequent clauses.

Response Status C

REJECT.

The use of multiple disturber crosstalk used here is consistent BASE-T and twinaxial PHYs (802.3ba, 802.3bj). Multiple disturber is related to the signalling topology (e.g., number of pairs) and provides the basis for understanding the need to combine crosstalk between duplex channels provided in the text.

Text is explicit:

"To ensure the total NEXT coupled into a duplex channel is limited, multiple disturber NEXT loss is specified as the power sum of individual NEXT losses."

Power summation is a calculation used here and in other SDO's to sum the individual NEXT losses also given in the text.

Laisen, Wayne Commocop

Comment Type T Comment Status A

We need to fill in something for the TBD for ACRF. The TIA is more onerous than the ISO by 0.008 dB at aevery frequency point, based on my calculations. Doesn't make much difference, but suggest using the TIA equation for this reason.

SugaestedRemedy

replace the TBD on line 47 with the TIA ACRF requirement. It will be provided in a contribution or can be obtained from the draft.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor to verify that TIA ACRF specification is in fact more onerous than ISO by a small amount.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 17

Page 4 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:20 PM

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The equaiton used was the pair-to-pair ACRF equaiton, not the power sum, in error.

SuggestedRemedy

In equation 98-24, change 39 to 36, and change 43.1 to 40.1.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.4.5 P128 L14 # 19

Comment Status R

Larsen, Wayne CommScope

There is no reason to have both terms "MDACRF" and "PSACRF". The text as it is written does not explicitly say that those are the same. Clause .5, titled MDACRF, seems to give the requriement, and clause .6, titled PS ACRF, seems to give an explanation of how to calculate it from measured data. Other SDOs use the term "PSARCF" but they do not use the term "MDACRF".

SuggestedRemedy

Change the title of 98.7.2.4.5 to "Mulitple disturber power-sum equal level far-end crosstalk (PSACRF) loss (same as the present title of .6). Delete the present clause heading of 98.7.2.4.6, so that the material therein becomes part of .2. Renumber sub-sequent clauses.

Response Status C

REJECT.

See response comment #16

Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.5 P 128 L 53 # 20

Larsen, Wayne CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The cabling channel will comply with 176 ns at 2000 MHz, but it has an increasing delay as the frequency becomes lower. We need to use an equation. Also, the requriement needs to apply starting at 1 MHz, not starting at 2.

Alternatively, we could specify less than 187 ns at all f from 1-2000 MHz or less than 179 ns from 10-2000 ns.

SuggestedRemedy

Use the TIA equation for this. It will be provided in a contribution or can be obtained from the draft.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Link segment delay starting frequency of 2MHz is consistent with BASE-T PHYs.

Change: The propagation delay of a link segment shall not exceed 176 ns at all frequencies between 2 MHz and 2000 MHz.

To: The propagation delay of a link segment shall not exceed 185 ns at all frequencies between 2 MHz and 2000 MHz

Add editor's note - The delay is reconciled to TIA Category 8 delay at 2 MHz, PHY vendors are encouraged to consider what the lowest frequency they care about delay is (and what the delay is there according to cabling specifications) and submit comments.

C/ **00** SC **0** P L # 21 McClellan. Brett Maryell

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial - references

subclause headers don't match 802.3-2012

for example 45.2.1.66 in draft 1.0 is register 1.129 but in 802.3-2012 it is reg 1.134. 45.2.3.12 in draft 1.0 is 3.10.20 in 802.3-2012 it is 3.25.

Are the headers in the draft supposed to reference 802.3-2012? or to a later amendment?

SuggestedRemedy

check that headers are correct

Response Status C

ACCEPT.- EDITORIAL TEAM TO CHECK HEADERS AND IMPLEMENT

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 21

Page 5 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:20 PM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.66.2 P 22 L 13 # 22 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.10.6 P 30 L 28 # 24 McClellan, Brett McClellan, Brett Marvell Marvell Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status A Control/Status bits Fast Retrain Task force should consider making fast retrain mandatory. 45.2.1.66.2 40GBASE-T LP information valid (1.129.1) Adding a new bit for 40G seems unnecessary, can we reuse the 10GBASE-T bit, 1.129.0? SuggestedRemedy Otherwise we need to search and replace instances of 1.129.0 and replace with 1.129.1. See If made mandatory, delete subclauses page 23 line 8. 45.2.7.10.6 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.3) SuggestedRemedy 45.2.7.11.10 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.0) delete bit 1.129.1 and rename 1.129.0 10/40GBASE-T LP information valid modify tables accordingly delete references to fast retrain "option" in Clause 98 Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status Z ACCEPT. See comment 68 REJECT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.12 P 26 L 29 # 23 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Control/Status bits - DISCUSS WITH COMMENT 52 (DEFERRED UNTIL AFTER LUNCH) "45.2.3.12 40GBASE-T EEE deep sleep supported (3.20.10)" doesn't match other EEE capability bit names. CI 78 SC 78.3 P 38 L 1 # 25 SuggestedRemedy McClellan, Brett Marvell change to: Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Editorial - references "45.2.3.12 40GBASE-T EEE supported (3.20.10)" "Table 78–2—Clauses associated with each interface type" Response Response Status C title is incorrect ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy - SEE COMMENT 69 change to: "Table 78–2—Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHY" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CI 78 SC 78.4 P 38 L 33 # 26 McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Editorial pages 38 to 41 have unrelated editorial notes SuggestedRemedy remove this section Response Response Status C

ACCEPT. (see comment 72)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 26

Page 6 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:20 PM

Cl 98 SC 98.	1.3 P 47	L 10	# 27	C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.	2 P <b>64</b>	L <b>29</b>	# 30
McClellan, Brett	Marvell			McClellan, Brett	Marvell		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A  LAVE relationship may include loop	timing If loop timi	Loop timing	Comment Type <b>E</b> "symbol period, T, is	Comment Status A		Editorial
SLAVE PHY reco	vers the clock"	,		needs to be updated	1.20 110.		
Loop timing is required if EEE is supported. Task force should consider making loop timing required for 40GBASE-T to eliminate an option that likely will never be used (as in 10GBASE-				SuggestedRemedy			
T).	ASE-1 to eliminate an option that iii	kely will flevel be t	ised ( as iii 100bASL-	change "symbol perio	od, T, is 1.25 ns."		
SuggestedRemedy				to "symbol period, T, is:	312 5 ps "		
If made mandator				Response	Response Status C		
	LAVE relationship requires. The SL rences in Clause 98 as required.	AVE PHY recover	s the clock"	ACCEPT.	Nooponso Status		
Response	Response Status C			C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.	2.16 P 73	L <b>3</b>	# 31
ACCEPT.	for other references			McClellan, Brett	Marvell		
				Comment Type E	Comment Status A		Editorial
Cl 98 SC 98.2 McClellan, Brett	1.3 <i>P</i> <b>47</b> Marvell	L <b>4</b>	# 28	typo "The transcoder and "65- bit"	construct"		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		PMA General	SuggestedRemedy			
symbol period is 3	312.5ps not 325ps			change to			
SuggestedRemedy				"The transcoder cons and	structs"		
change "325" to "3	312.5"			"65-bit"			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
ACCEL 1.							
Cl 98 SC 98.3	3.2.2 P 64	L <b>20</b>	# 29	CI 98 SC 98.3.2.	2.19 <i>P</i> 75	L <b>30</b>	# 32
McClellan, Brett	Marvell			McClellan, Brett	Marvell		
Comment Type E typo "and split the	Comment Status A bits into an two sets"		Editorial	Comment Type <b>T</b> auxiliary bit should be	Comment Status A randomized		PCS
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
change "and split	the bits into an two sets"			add text:			
to "and split the bits	into two sets"			0 ,	nded that the auxiliary bit be rand	domized."	
Response	Response Status <b>C</b>			Response	Response Status C		
Response	nesponse status C			ACCEPT.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

ACCEPT.

Comment ID 32

Page 7 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:20 PM

Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.2		L <b>36</b>	# 33	C/ 98 SC 98.3.6.2.3		L 18	# 37	
McClellan, Brett	Marvell			McClellan, Brett	Marvell			
Comment Type <b>E</b> figure 98-13, there is a li	Comment Status A ne covering the text "p2"		Editorial	Comment Type <b>T</b> The 125 us timer should from 4E-4 to 1E-4.	Comment Status A  I be changed to 125/4 or the e	effective error rate	PCS should be changed	
SuggestedRemedy remove line				SuggestedRemedy				
Response	Response Status C			change timer to 31us, similarly change 125us t	to 31us in other locations			
ACCEPT.				Response	Response Status C			
Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.2 McClellan, Brett	4 P 80 Marvell	L <b>45</b>	# 34	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL See comment 81	E.			
Comment Type T 1.2 us should be 1.12us	Comment Status A		EEE	Cl 98 SC 98.3.6.2.2 McClellan, Brett	P 88 Marvell	L <b>38</b>	# [38	
SuggestedRemedy change 1.2 to 1.12				Comment Type T  "b. CRC8 check is satis The CRC check was rer			PCS	
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy				
ACCEPT.  C/ 98 SC 98.3.4	P 83	L 13	# 35	replace with "b. the RS did not have a	an uncorrectable error"			
McClellan, Brett	Marvell	£ 13	π <u>33</u>	Response	Response Status C			
Comment Type <b>E</b> Figure 98-15 is missing/	Comment Status A		Editorial	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. replace with "b. the RS-FEC did not have an uncorrectable error"				
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 98 SC 98.3.6.2.5	P <b>92</b>	L 33	# 39	
fix the figure				McClellan, Brett	Marvell			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Comment Type <b>T</b> line 33 and line 38	Comment Status A		EEE	
C/ 98 SC 98.3.5.3  McClellan, Brett	P <b>87</b> Marvell	L <b>20</b>	# 36	lpi_qr_time x 4 should be lpi_qr_time x 6				
Comment Type <b>E</b> the proposal lacks the de	Comment Status A etails needed for a specification		EEE	SuggestedRemedy change to lpi_qr_time x	6			
SuggestedRemedy remove until we have a fe	ull baseline or change to editori	al note		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			
Response	Response Status C							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 128

Comment ID 39

Page 8 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:20 PM

C/ 98 SC 98.4.2.4 P 105 L 41 # 40 Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 112 L 18 # 43 McClellan, Brett McClellan, Brett Marvell Marvell Startup Comment Type Т Comment Status A PMA Receiver Comment Type Comment Status A "The receiver shall correct for differential delay variations of up to 50 ns across the wire-pairs." "10ms" and "1ms" 50ns is excessive for a 30 meter channel. absolute times should to be reduced by 4 corresponding to the 4x clock rate Task force should consider reducing initial count settings. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change to 15ns change 10ms to 2.5ms Response Response Status C change 1ms to 250us ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C - see comment 84 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Needs to be consistent with 98.7.2.6 Change to 17 ns - see comment 90 Cl 98 P 111 No resolution to changing the initial count settings. SC 98.4.2.5.14 L 39 # 41 McClellan, Brett Marvell CI 98 SC 98.4.2.7 P 115 L 9 # 44 Comment Type Т Comment Status A Startup - PBO McClellan, Brett Marvell "PBO=4 (corresponding to a power backoff of 8 dB)." Comment Status A EEE Comment Type Т needs to be updated for new PBO table "50 complete guiet-refresh cycles (nominally equal to 512 us)" SuggestedRemedy should be 8.192/4 = 2.048ms change to TBD until the PBO is selected for initial training SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C change 512us to 2.048ms ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C. Editors note to remain, see comment 87 ACCEPT. PHY vendors encouraged to check margins and come with specific proposed level. Commenter advised similar error exists in Clause 55, and may consider submitting a CI 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 112 L 11 # 42 maintenance request McClellan, Brett Marvell Cl 98 P 116 SC 98.4.3.1 L 1 # 45 Comment Type Comment Status A Startup - PBO McClellan, Brett Marvell "the SLAVE shall request a desired PBO level that is within two levels (within 4 dB)" Comment Type Comment Status R Startup - PBO 4dB difference between devices is too large. Task force should consider reducing the difference or the master selects PBO for both, or both "power backoff (up to 14 dB)" 14dB is excessive, consider change the max PBO to 6dB use the smaller backoff setting. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change 14dB to 6dB both devices use the smaller backoff setting Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT. No savings proposed by eliminating the capability, and maintaining the capability could save power. Additionally, see zimmerman 3bg 3 0714.pdf, PBO up to 8dB can be of use managing receiver dynamic rate, and would also eliminate any need to change startup.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 45

Page 9 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:20 PM

P 109 C/ 98 SC 98.4.2.5.7 L 53 # 46 Cl 98 SC 98.1 P 12 L 28 # 49 McClellan, Brett Marvell Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. TR Comment Type T Comment Type Comment Status R Startup Comment Status A Cabling references "frame error ratio of less than 3.2 X 10-9" Reference to media in ISO/IEC 11801:2002 is inappropriate - should be to Ed 3 draft this doesn't match other occurances of frame error ratio of 9.6 X 10-9page 134 line 2, line 28 SuggestedRemedy page 135 line 14 Replace reference with reference to ISO/IEC 11801 Edition 3 and ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1-201x SuggestedRemedy Addendum 1: Specifications for 100ohm Category 8 Cabling change to: "frame error ratio of less than 9.6 X 10-9" Response Response Status C also need to change page 158 line 11 ACCEPT. Response Response Status C REJECT. C/ 98 SC 98.1.1 P 28 L 34 Frame error ratios of 3.2e-9 relate to LDPC frame error ratios at 1e-12 BER and 9.6e-9 frame Zimmerman. George CME Consulting. Inc. error ratios relate to 800 octet Ethernet frame errors Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial - Discuss CI 78 SC 78.1 P 73 L 14 # 47 Remove editors notes in section Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status A FFF Remove editors notes under objectives While phy implementations may or may not support EEE, in the standard, EEE as a protocol Response Response Status C supports the phys. ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy reverse edit to read "EEE supports the 100BASE-TX PHY. .... and the 40GBASE-T PHY". Cl 98 SC 98.1.3 P 30 L 9 # 51 Response Response Status C Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. ACCEPT. Comment Type T Comment Status A Loop timina There are no known instances of 10GBASE-T implementing the alternate non-loop timed Cl 78 SC 78.3 P 74 L 1 # 48 version, there has been no discussion that non-loop timed 40GBASE-T is technically feasible. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting. Inc. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A **Editorial** Remove references to optional loop timing in paragraph. (replace "may include" with "includes", Table 78-2 seems to have gotten the title of 78-1. In 802.3-2012, it is "Summary of the key EEE delete "If loop timing is implmeented,", delete sentence beginning with "If loop timing is not parameters for supported PHY" implemented" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Replace title of Table 78-2 with "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHY" ACCEPT. Loop timing for 40GBASE-T is mandatory Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See Comment 25

SC 98.1.3 SC 98.3.1 C/ 98 P 30 L 24 # 52 Cl 98 P 45 L 46 # 55 CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type Fast Retrain ER Comment Status A Editorial - references There have been no contributions to remove fast retrain cross reference to clause 45 for XLGMII is incorrect SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete editors note Should point to Clause 81 for XLGMII Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. - see comment 27 & 83 CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2 P 47 L 20 C/ 98 SC 98.1.3.1 P 33 L 9 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status A **Editorial** Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial - Discuss extra "an" Editors note flagging the clause has done its job SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy delete "an" to rean "into two sets." Delete editors note Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. (see comment 29) ACCEPT. C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.2 P 48 L 10 # 57 SC 98.1.4 Cl 98 P 35 L 34 # 54 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status A PCS Comment Type TR Comment Status A Editorial-technical encoding of 64/65b in 40GBASE-T (and 10GBASE-T) did not provide for clock recovery or bit width of TXD, TXC, RXD, RXC are incorrect for XLGMII relate to LDPC frame errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace TXD<31:0> with TXD<63:0>, RXD<31:0> with RXD<63:0>, TXC<3:0> with Delete sentences "The encoding defined...., and "The encoding also...", as shown in strikeout, TXC<7:0>, and RXC<3:0> with RXD<7:0> and delete editors note. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.4 P 48 L 49 # 58 C/ 28B SC 28B.3 P **9** L 1 # 61 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status A **PCS** Comment Status A Autonea Figure 98-9 needs to be redrawn with corrections - replace references to uncoded bits with Add 40GBASE-T to autoned priority resolution references to RS coded bits, colors need to be letter or number coded SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add edit to normative Annex 28B, clause 28B.3 to insert 40GBASE-T above 10GBASE-T on Correct figure 98-9 as discussed above and delete editors note the priority resolution list and renumber list accordingly Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 114 ACCEPT. C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.7 P 53 L 5 # 59 C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 9 L 2 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting. Inc. Zimmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial - references Comment Type E Comment Status A Autonea reference to 10 Gigabit Ethernet and Clause 46 should be 40 Gigabit Ethernet and Clause 81, name of message code in 28C.11 doesn't include 10GBASE-T also listed as code 9 in Table 28C-1 doesn't include 10GBASE-T and 81.3.4 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace references as above Change message code 9 name from: "10GBASE-T/1000BASE-T Technology message code Response Response Status C (Extended Next Page)" to: ACCEPT. "Gigabit BASE-T Technology message code (Extended Next Page)" SC 28.5.4.8 P 8 Include 40GBASE-T (Clause 98) in the list of referenced clauses in 28C.11 Cl 28 L 26 # 60 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Make appropriate changes to Clauses, 40, 55, and 98 to reflect the name change Comment Status A Comment Type TR Autoneg (see comment on 98.6.2) Autoneg requires additional changes: Response Response Status C Link fail inhibit timer is defined for 10/100/1000 (SD11) & separately for 10G (SD11a) ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy - see comments 101 & 102 Change message code 9 to: "xGBASE-T Technology message code (Extended Next Page)" Extend definition of SD11a in 28.5.4.8 to include M: 40G (mandatory for 40G) Change "Message Code Description" in Table 28C-1 to "xGBASE-T Technology message Response Response Status C. code (Extended Next Page)" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Include 40GBASE-T (Clause 98) in the list of referenced clauses in 28C.11 Additionally modify table 28-9 in 28.3.2 to define 10GBASE-T link\_fail\_inhibit\_timer properly. Make appropriate changes to Clauses, 40, 55, and 98 to reflect the name change (see comment on 98.6.2)

SC 31B.3.7 C/ 28D SC 28D.6 P 9 L 1 # 63 C/ 31B P **9** L 2 # 65 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Type T Comment Status A Autoneg Comment Status A Annex 28D.6, changes for 10GBASE-T needs to also include 40GBASE-T Consider whether 40GBASE-T needs special treatment for PAUSE operation, as 10GBASE-T did relative to other 10G PHYs. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert section 28D.7 with same text as 28D.6 and change references to reflect 40GBASE-T Discuss - no specific remedy and Clause 98, including variable 40GigT Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 10GBASE-T is specified as 76 pause quanta bit times, and all 40G PHYs are specified as 118 Insert as section 28D.8, with same text as 28D.6 and change references to reflect 40GBASE-T pause guanta bit times. Since 40GBASE-T latency is equal to 10GBASE-T latency in BT, this and Clause 98, including variable 40GigT should be acceptable. C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 9 L 1 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.66 P 13 L 3 # 66 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status A Management Comment Type E Comment Status A Control/Status bits Clause 30, requires minor changes: subclause appears to relate only to register 1.129, although title is amended to add "and 1.130" 1. extending 10G operating margin package to 40G (Table 30-1e "10GBASE-T operating SuggestedRemedy margin package") Delete "and 1.130" from title 2. include 40GBASE-T Clause 98 in 30.3.2.1.2aPhyType and 30.3.2.1.3 aPhyTypeList 3. Edit 10GBASE-T SNR margin and fast retrain counts to include 40GBASE-T as well Response Response Status C 4. Add 40GBASE-T to 30.6.1.1.5 aAutoNegLocalTechnologyAbility ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy 1. Change label of column in Table 30-1e to "10G/40GBASE-T operating margin package Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 10 L 20 # 67 (conditional)" CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George 2. Add 40GBASE-T Clause 98 in 30.3.2.1.2aPhyType and 30.3.2.1.3 aPhyTypeList 3. Edit 30.5.1.1.19 through 30.5.1.1.22, and 30.5.1.1.24 & 25 to include 40GBASE-T with Comment Type Comment Status A ER Editorial 10GBASE-T Missing "/" 4. Add 40GBASE-T to 30.6.1.1.5 aAutoNegLocalTechnologyAbility list SuggestedRemedy Change to 10GBASE-T/40GBASE-T

Response

ACCEPT.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Response Status C

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.66.2 P 13 L 13 # 68 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P 17 L 40 # 70 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Type ER Comment Status A Control/Status bits Comment Status A Editorial - technical No need for both a 10GBASE-T LP information valid bit and a 40GBASE-T LP information Description says that a device that does not implement BASE-R, 10GBASE-T, AND (emphasis valid bit. This also includes Table 45-54 added) 40GBASE T ... If the new bit for 40GBASE-T is to be kept, paragraph references the wrong (10GBASE-T) bit (FYI - same error is in the existing 802.3-2012) on line 17. while the bit is for BASE-R and 10GBASE-T currently, it isn't meant to mean that a device must SuggestedRemedy implement ALL of the above, as an AND would indicate. Delete inserted paragraph, and edit paragraph 45.2.1.66.1 10GBASE-T LP information valid SuggestedRemedy (1.129.0) to be "40/10GBASE-T LP information valid" change "and 40GBASE-T" to "or 40GBASE-T" IF the paragraph is not deleted, correct the reference on line 17 to bit 1.129.0 which should be Response Response Status C 1.129.1 ACCEPT. Response Response Status C Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 20 L 39 # 71 ACCEPT. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Delete inserted paragraph, and edit paragraph 45.2.1.66.1 10GBASE-T LP information valid (1.129.0) to be "40/10GBASE-T LP information valid" Comment Type E Comment Status A **Editorial** subject (assignment of bits) and verb (are) should agree - subject is (still) singular, (no need to See comment 22 as well change "is" to "are") Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.12 P 17 L 28 # 69 SuggestedRemedy reverse proposed deletion of "is" to replace with "are" Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Response Response Status C Comment Type TR Comment Status A Control/Status bits 40GBASE-T EEE deep sleep is not supported in clause 98 ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy SC 78 P 73 L 5 Cl 78 # 72 Delete section 45.2.3.12 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Response Response Status C Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Clause 78 has template text throughout, which needs to be cleaned out - RESOLVED BY COMMENT 23 SuggestedRemedy Clean out template text showing formates for paragraphs, etc. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 72

Page 14 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:21 PM

Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.		L <b>42</b>	# 73	C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20	P 60	L <b>50</b>	# 77
Zimmerman, George	CME Consulti	ng, Inc.		Zimmerman, George	CME Consulting	ng, Inc.	
Comment Type <b>T</b> Notes in Table 98-1 a	Comment Status A and column on 8B/10B are specif	ic for 10Gbps Et	PCS hernet	Comment Type <b>E</b> Don't need extra annex, edit	Comment Status A tors note has served its pur	pose	PCS
SuggestedRemedy  Remove notes a & c,  Delete column referrir	and replace note b with appropring to 8B/10B code	ate 40G referenc	e	SuggestedRemedy delete editors note asking q			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response F ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2 Zimmerman, George	2.16 <i>P</i> 56  CME Consulti	L4	# 74	Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.3 Zimmerman, George	P 64 CME Consultin	<i>L</i> <b>14</b> ng, Inc.	# [78
Comment Type E 65-bit block has extra	Comment Status A	ng, mc.	Editorial	Only uncorrectable RS error	Comment Status A rs should cause hi_lfer		PCS
SuggestedRemedy clean up spacing on li				SuggestedRemedy change "RS error" to "uncor	rrectable RS error"		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to make it clear we ar	Response Status <b>C</b> re referring to the RS-FEC		
CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2	2.17 <i>P</i> 58 CME Consulti	<i>L</i> <b>3</b> ng, Inc.	# [75	Cl 98 SC 98.3.4 Zimmerman, George	P 66 CME Consultir	<i>L</i> <b>13</b> ng, Inc.	# 79
Comment Type ER typo on "concantenate	Comment Status A		Editorial	Comment Type ER figure 98-15 is missing	Comment Status A		Editorial
SuggestedRemedy replace with concaten	pated			SuggestedRemedy Insert figure 98-15 from clar	use 55. (unchanged)		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response F ACCEPT see comment 3	Response Status <b>C</b> 5		
CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2	2.20 <i>P</i> 59 CME Consulti	<i>L</i> <b>35</b> ng, Inc.	# [76				
Comment Type ER extra "["	Comment Status A		Editorial				
SuggestedRemedy delete hanging "["							
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 79

Page 15 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:21 PM

C/ 98 SC 98.3.6.2.1 P 71 L 6 # 80 Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.2 P 85 L 37 # 83 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Type T Comment Status A Fast Retrain Comment Status A Loop timing Cross reference is to 10G, Need to add Link Interruption ordered\_set to XLGMII remove option on loop timing - make it mandatory SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add Link Interruption Ordered set to XLGMII in Clause 81 similar to 46.3.4 and change Change "may include" to "includes", replace "If loop timing is implemented and the PMA CONFIG..." with "If the PMA CONFIG...", delete sentence beginning with "If loop timing reference is not implemented..." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. DISCUSS - See comments 27 & 51 # 81 C/ 98 SC 98.3.6.2.2 P 71 L 19 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting. Inc. C/ 98 SC 98.4.2.4 P 88 L 40 # 84 Comment Type T Comment Status A PCS Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. 4x change changes bit error rate for hi\_lfer\_cnt, since 125usec now includes 4x the number of Comment Type TR Comment Status A PMA Receiver bits Receiver correction for differential delay (50ns) is still the 100m value, inconsistent with delay SuggestedRemedy skew spec in 98.7.2.6 (17ns) Change hi Ifer definition to "exceeds 64" SuggestedRemedy alternatively, define in terms of a new term, N sym, and make it a constant \* N sym so that for Change receiver differential delay varition spec (50ns) to be consistent with 98.7.2.6 -40G it comes to 64 preferably by reference to 98.7.2.6 Response Status C Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Editorial license to make the reference generalizable with symbol rate. See comment 40 Cl 98 SC 98.3.6.2.2 P 71 L 43 # 82 Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.15 P 97 L 3 # 85 CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A Editorial Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial Text refers to 32 bit XGMII words, and needs to be updated to reflect XLGMII Editors note has done its job SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change references reflect 64 bit XLGMII word. Delete editors note Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 85

Page 16 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:21 PM

C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.3 P 125 L 21 # 86 Cl 98 SC 98.4.3.1 P 99 L 14 # 89 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial ER Comment Status A Editorial Equation 98-14 says "log" without showing it is a base-10 logarithm reference to "scaled insertion loss equation" is incorrect. There is no longer a scaled insertion loss equation in 98.7, and the explanatory remark is not relevant. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "log f" to "log\_10 f" in equation 98-14 consistent with IEEE style Delete "and have been computed using the scaled insertion loss equation in 98.7" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 94 L 48 # 87 Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 95 L 17 # 90 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status R Startup - PBO Comment Type Comment Status A Startup Editors note has done its job - PAM 2 Infofield margin is greater than it was for 10GBASE-T at relation of time to transition counter is incorrect because of 4x symbol rate. Conversion to allow longer time would require rework of infofield format to allow longer transition counter SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete editors note delete reference to time (10ms, line 17) and (1ms, line 18) Response Response Status C also, page 102, lines 28 & 29, REJECT. See comment 41 DISCUSS - this may have implications relative to prior decision on startup time. Response Response Status C CI 98 SC 98.4.3.1 P 99 L 3 # 88 ACCEPT. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. - see comment 43 Comment Status A Comment Type TR Editorial SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 96 C/ 98 L 34 # 91 power backoff set size is incorrect (left over from prior version) Zimmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status D Startup Change "approximately 6 dB steps" to "approximately 2 dB steps" Table 98-10 - we may want to revisit Recommended times, especially average times. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Propose Chair charter an ad hoc to come back with proposals before the next meeting. Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 91

Page 17 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:21 PM

C/ 98 SC 98.4.5.2 P 104 L 13 # 92 Cl 98 SC 98.5.3.4 P 116 L 17 # 95 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Type Comment Status D EEE ER Comment Status A Editorial time associated with 50 complete quiet refresh signal periods is incorrect Figure 98-39 has mirrored y-axis label, and title still says "(update)" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to 512usec, or, better, define a term, N\_sym (proportional # symbols/sec) so that for fix v-axis on Flgure 98-39 Transmit PSD, and delete the word "(update)" from title 40G it is 512usec. Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status Z ACCEPT. REJECT. Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.1 P 117 L 1 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status A PMA Receiver Cl 98 SC 98.4.6.3 P 108 L 24 # 93 BER is after LDPC and RS decoding which is in the PCS this isn't mentioned Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status A Editorial insert ", after LDPC and RS decoding, " between 10^-12 and "and sent to the XLGMII" References in note 2 point to 10GigT link status variables Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. replace with \_40GigT variables Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.4 P 118 L 4 # 97 Response Response Status C Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. ACCEPT. Comment Type T Comment Status A PMA Receiver Cl 98 SC 98.5.3.2 P 114 L 51 # 94 5 meters is probably not the right shortening to account for 2.5dB insertion loss at 40GBASE-T CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George frequencies. Also, desire to be independent of both the test equipment and the transmission rate suggests the "helpful commentary" is less than helpful. Comment Type T Comment Status A PMA Transmitter SuggestedRemedy Scale transmitter linearity for frequency Delete "by approximately 5m" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Discuss. Nominally this was related to distortion of the far-end signal and for safety should be > 33 dB (10dB better than threshold SNR) across the band to Nyquist. But, this is definitely an ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. overkill safety margin and may be too high? (52 dB out to 200 MHz, then rolling off) Delete "This can be accomplished by...5m."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Scale frequency (25 becomes 100MHz), and put a "TBD" next to it, unless there is

Response Status C

convergence on an alternate proposal.

Retain editors note flagging the issue

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response

C/ 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1 P 118 L 21 # 98 Cl 98 SC 98.6.2 P 122 L 2 # 101 CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status A Short Reach Comment Status A Autoneg TIA has defined a direct attach cord channel, reflected in the draft, unaccepted text Technology message code name is specific to 10G/1000BASE-T. need a new name that can apply also to 40GBASE-T. See comment on 28C.11 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Accept the text inserted or alternate text referencing the TIA Category 8 direct attach channel. Change name to "Gigabit BASE-T Technology message code (Extended Next Page)" Delete the editors note. Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 2 See comments 62 & 102 C/ 98 SC 98.6.1.1 P 119 L 8 # 99 "xGBASE-T Technology message code" (also need to change references in Clause 55.6.2 and in Clause 40) Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Editorial Comment Type ER Comment Status A CI 98 SC 98.6.2 P 123 L 9 # 102 Editors note has served its purpose, accept text in section. CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status A Autoneg Delete editors note and accept text. Editors note has served its purpose Response Status C Response SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Delete editors note Response Response Status C CI 98 SC 98.6.1.2 P 119 L 48 # 100 ACCEPT. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status A Editorial C/ 98 P 123 SC 98.6.2 L 24 # 103 Editors note has served its purpose CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status A Loop timing Delete editors note optional loop timing - make it mandatory Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. fix references on lines 24, delete sentence beginning with "In the situation where one link partner supports..." through the sentence ending with "was not resolved." Response Response Status C ACCEPT. - see comments

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 103

Page 19 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:21 PM

CI 98 SC 98.7 P 124 L 3 # 104

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status R Cabling references

Reference to "additional requirements specified in this subclause" is dated to 10GBASE-T running on cat 6. any link segment meeting the "requirements specified in this subclause" should work.

SuggestedRemedy

replace "Class I 4-pair balanced cabling that meets the additional requirements specified in this subclause" with "Class I or other 4-pair balanced cabling that meets the requirements specified in this subclause".

Response Status C

REJECT.

The basis for the link segment specification is not for all "4-pair balanced cabling"; Class I is used.

Page 141, L18 provide language to "support" other cabling that meets the requirements of 98.7.

Comment Type T Comment Status D

"additional requirements" relative to class I? I don't think we have any

SuggestedRemedy

delete "additional" - scrub document for other instances

Proposed Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

See

98.7.2.2 Differential characteristic impedance.

In addition, like to keep the "additional" untill we reach closure on link segment specifications.

Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.4.1 P125 L 46 # 106

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

pair-to-pair NEXT loss is unspecified (equation 98-15)

SuggestedRemedy

Specify pair-to-pair next loss consistent with MDNEXT loss in 98.7.2.4.2

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See comment#13

Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.4.2 P126 L14 # 107

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial

equations 98-16, 98-17, 98-18 say "lg" rather than "log"

SuggestedRemedy

change equation to read "log\_10" consistent with IEEE style

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 98 SC 98.8.1 P131 L 39 # 108

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

section does not implement resolution of motion 12 at September interim: "Move that 802.3bq include the RJ-45 as reflected in IEC 60603-7-51 (published) with the improved characteristics and frequency extensions specified in 60603-7-81 (currently CDV draft) as an MDI interface"

(apologies of the editor - I made this edit and it must have gotten lost in a crash...)

SuggestedRemedy

Replace first sentence ("Eight pin...") with: "Eight-pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 60603-7-51 with improved characteristics and frequency extensions specified in IEC 60603-7-81 (currently in CDV draft) shall be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

- see comment 119

MDI

C/ 98 SC 98.8.2.3 P 134 L 50 # 109 C/ 99 SC P 2 L 1 # 112 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Status A Editorial The requirement "A 40GBASE-T PHY shall be able to sustain" relates to the PHY not just the Table of Contents is a placeholder MDI - as such it is misplaced. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fix production of book so Table of Contents is generated correctly Move lines 50 - 54 on page 134 and 1-3 on page 135 to 98.5.1 Isolation requirements, or, Response Response Status C optionally, add a new clause after 98.5.1 to speak to this requirement. delete editors note ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status Z Р Cl 99 SC L 3 # 113 REJECT. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Comment Type E Comment Status A **Editorial** Amendment should be: bq, not X DISCUSS - see also comments 7 & 8 SuggestedRemedy Substitute in at appropriate phase of editing P 3 C/ 99 SC L 36 # 110 Response Response Status C Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A Editorial Draft needs to add letters of amendment. (802.3bg) Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20 P 59 L 32 # 114 SuggestedRemedy Wu, Peter Marvell Substitute 802.3bq for 802.3xx (global) Comment Type Comment Status A **PCS** Response Response Status C Text marked as pending approval ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Substitution will happen at appropriate phase of editing. Request to accept the text with some changes in the presentation of "RS code scheme to protect "un-coded" bits at 40GBASE-T" SC C/ 99 P 4 L 26 # 111 Response Response Status C Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial Approve already incorporated text, replace figure 98-9 (page51) with page 6 of presentation List of amendments appears incomplete, 802.3bj, possibly others missing (wu\_3bq\_01\_1114.pdf), and add pad-bit definition from page 10 of presentation ("The added 0000 at C4 SuggestedRemedy [3:0] will be omitted. ") to draft. Check amendments listed and include all relevant ones Response Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

ACCEPT. - see comment 120

Comment ID 114

Page 21 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:21 PM

P 118 C/ 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1 L 28 # 115 Belopolsky, Yakov Bel Stewart

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Cabling references

IEC/ISO TR 11801-99-01 Guidance for balanced cabling in support of at least 40 Gbit/s data transmission recognizes Classes I and II and correspondingly components of categories 8.1 or 8.2 can be utilized for a Short Reach Test Channel.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "Category 8.1" with "Category I or Category II component

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

- Short reach test channel text is to be "deleted or replaced" per Ed Note: "Need to delete or replace text below with an appropriate short reach channel, ideally referencing TIA or ISO specs". See contribution diminico\_3bq\_01\_1114.pdf for short reach test channel replacement text.
- -Also, even in the existing text, ISO nomenclature is Category 8.1 or Category 8.2 for components. The nomenclature of "Class" refers to channel requirements, not the components referenced in the clause.

Cl 98 SC 98.7 P 124 L 39 # 116 Bel Stewart

Belopolsky, Yakov

Cabling references

40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the ISO/IEC 111801 standard that specially supports 40G, that standard includes Class I and Class II channels and, in fact, recognizes that components of category 6a and 7a or better can support such transmission. The statement that 40GBase is designed to operate over Class I cabling is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type TR

remove the " Class I" or replace with Class I or Class II

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

Comment Status D

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

The language used in 98.7 allows for other classes to be supported if the link segment meets the requirements of 98.7. Reference to Class II is given in Table 98–18.

#### 98.7.1 Cabling system characteristics

The cabling system used to support 40GBASE-T requires 4 pairs of ISO/IEC 11801 Class I balanced cabling with a nominal impedance of 100 ohm. Operation on other classes of cabling may be supported if the link segment meets the requirements of 98.7.

# 117 C/ 98 SC 98.7.1 P 124 L 2324 Belopolsky, Yakov **Bel Stewart** 

Comment Type Comment Status D TR

Cabling references

40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the ISO/IEC 111801 standard that specially supports 40G, that standard include Class I and Class Il channels and in fact recognizes that components of categories 6a and 7a or better can support such transmission. The statement t that 40GBase is designed to operate over Class I cabling is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

remove the " Class I" or replace with "at least Class I"

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

See response to comment#104 and comment#117

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Cabling references Comr

Cl 98

Belopolsky, Yakov Bel Stewart

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

MDI

# 119

40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the ISO/IEC 111801 standard that specially supports 40G, that standard include Class I and Class II channels and in fact recognizes that components of categories 6a and 7a or better can support such transmission . The statement t that 40GBase is designed to operate over Class I cabling is incorrect

Table 98.18 is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

line 30 remove the "Class I" or replace with "at least Class I' Line 42 Table 98.18 remove Category 8 replace with ISO/IEC Classes I or II

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

See response to comment#104 and comment#117

40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the ISO/IEC 111801 standard that specially supports 40G, that standard include Class I and Class II channels and in fact recognizes that components of categories 6a and 7a or better can support such transmission .

P 131.132

L

The reference to IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreened) and IEC 606-7-5 (screened) is not correct. The use of unscreened connectors in the 2000MHz transmission is not supported by technical evidence known to the commenter.

The informational pictures 98-41 and 98-42 are misleading. The information on the recognized connectors is contained in the IEC/ISO TR 11801-99-01

( An animal with four legs is not always a horse)

#### SuggestedRemedy

remove pictures 98-41 and 98-42

SC 98.8.1

Line 39 remove " IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreened) and IEC 606-7-5 (screened)" replace with "connectors recognized by IEC/ISO TR 11801-99-01"

preferred text: "connectors categories 8.1 or 8.2 recognized by IEC/ISO 11801

Line 41 remove the sentence starting with "These connectors are depicted...

### Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Resolve with comment 4. 108

In accordance with Motion#12 during 40GBASE-T Task Force September 9-10, 2014. Revise 98.8.1 to include IEC references.

From: Eight-pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreened) or IEC 60603-7-5 (screened) shall be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling. To: Eight-pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 60603-7-51 (published) with the improved characteristics and frequency extensions specified in IEC 60603-7-81 shall be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling.

Update figures if necessary to represent the referenced connectors.

Add Editor's Note below text: At the September 2014 meeting, the following motion was adopted, resulting in the text above: "Move that 802.3bq include the RJ-45 as reflected in IEC 60603-7-51 (published) with the improved characteristics and frequency extensions specified in 60603-7-81 (currently in CDV draft) as an MDI interface"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 119

Page 23 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:21 PM

P C/ 99 SC L 28 # 120 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.68.1 P 23 L 8 # 123 Lusted, Kent Intel Lusted, Kent Intel Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Editorial ER Control/Status bits IEEE Std 802.3bj-2014 now exists. Add a reference The last sentence references the LP information valid bit 1.129.0 and the TX power backoff bits. backoff bits are now defined for 10GbT and 40GbT. however, the 1.129.0 bit is now the SuggestedRemedy 10GBASE-T LP information valid bit. Another bit is defined for 40GBASE-T (1.129.1). Add 802.3bi and the relevent supporting text. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Add reference to 1.129.1, which is the 40GBASE-T LP information valid bit. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cl 45 **SC Table 45-7** P 20 L 21 # 121 Accomodated by comment #22, no change required Lusted. Kent Intel Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1.2 P 25 L 10 # 124 Comment Type Comment Status A **Editorial** Lusted, Kent Intel Description adds "40GBASE-T PMA" but the correct type selection should be "40GBASE-T PMA/PMD". Comment Type Comment Status A Editorial - references ER Link to 98.3.6.3 is to wrong section. Loopback is 98.3.7.3. Listing PMA/PMD makes it consistent with 10GBASE-T, 1000BASE-T, 100BASE-TX, and other listings in Table 45-7 Note that the sentence immediately preceding it for 10GBASE-T incorrectly references SuggestedRemedy 55.3.6.3. The correct 10GBASE-T reference is 55.3.7.3. Change to "40GBASE-T PMA/PMD". SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Point to 98.3.7.3 ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 98.1.3.1 CI 98 P 50 L 22 # 122 Recommend Commenter submit maintenance request on 10GBASE-T reference Lusted. Kent Intel C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.17.4 P 27 L 40 # 125 Comment Type Comment Status A **Editorial** Lusted, Kent Intel The term "RS(140, 136, 2^11) code" is used without defining what RS is. The 802.3-2012 base standard abbreviation list says RS is Reconciliation Sublayer. That doesn't make sense Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial - references in this section where the text uses "RS-coded bits". RS must mean Reed Solomon. Link to 98.3.6.1 is to wrong section. Variables is 98.3.6.2.2, or least in section 98.3.6.2. The variable hi Ifer is not in 98.3.6.1. SuggestedRemedy Note that the sentence immediately preceeding it for 10GBASE-T incorrectly references Please define the use of RS in this section as Reed Solomon, if necessary. 55.3.6.1. The correct 10GBASE-T reference is 55.3.6.2. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Point to 98.3.6.2.2 or 98.3.6.2 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. - Edit first usage in line 49 to read: Response Response Status C. "comprising 3 RS-encoded (Reed-Solomon-encoded) bits and 4 LDPC-encoded"... ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 125

Page 24 of 25 11/4/2014 3:38:21 PM

Cl 98

Cibula, Peter

SugaestedRemedy

P **27** Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17.5 L 52 # 126 Lusted, Kent Intel

ER Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Т

SC 98.7.2

Comment Status D Consider whether Subclause 98.7.2 should include link segment transmission parameters appropriate for shielded cabling system characteristics.

Link to 98.3.2.3 is to wrong section. Variable definitions is 98.3.6.2.2, or least in section 98.3.6.2. The variable block lock is not in 98.3.2.3.

Note that the sentence immediately preceding it for 10GBASE-T incorrectly references 55.3.2.3. The correct 10GBASE-T reference is 55.3.6.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Point to correct section.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Recommend Commenter submit maintenance request on 10GBASE-T reference

C/ 45 P 31 # 127 SC 45.2.7.11.1 L 29 Lusted, Kent Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial

Editorial - references

Added sentences uses "10GBASE-T" but should be "40GBASE-T".

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "40GBASE-T"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 98 SC 98.3.5.3 P 70 L 20 # 128

Graba, Jim Broadcom

FFF Comment Status A Comment Type TR

This EEE feature, to allow a PHY to request the link partner to leave LPI mode, has not been approved by the TF.

SuggestedRemedy

Discuss and vote on the inclusion of this feature.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

- discussed with comment 36

reference graba 3bg 01 0714.pdf slides 5&6 and presentation graba 3bg 01 1114.pdf

Chair to form ad hoc to prepare text and analyze corner cases for consideration in draft 1.2 commenters asked to resubmit comments on draft 1.1 with proposed text and state machines to define.

Discuss adding coupling attenuation and/or other characteristics as a transmission parameter(s) for shielded link segments.

P 124

Intel Corporation

L 28

# 129

Clause 98.7 states that 40GBASE-T is designed to operate over ISO/IEC 11801 Class I 4-pair balanced cabling, and defines a link segment based upon copper media specified by ISO/IEC JTC1/SC25/WG3 and TIA TR42.7. The corresponding draft specifications, PN-568-C.2-1, Draft 2.0c (to be published as ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1) and ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 25 DTR 11801-99-1 both include transmission requirements related to shielded implementations. The 40GBASE-T link segment should reflect those requirements and, of course, identify them as applying to shielded link seaments.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Coupling attenuation measurements (laboratory) are used to characterize cabling electromagnetic immunity and not directly related to transmission parameters (i.e., the link segment transmission parameters) and transmission performance (SNR). The link segment alien crosstalk specifications indirectly characterizes cabling electromagnetic immunity as well as providing basis for transmission performance (SNR). In addition, 802.3bg references both ISO/IEC Class I and TIA Category 8 in which cabling characteristics related to the shielding performance are specified as well as other specifications not directly related to system performance (SNR). 802.3bg does not specify to characterize the link segment transmission performance.