Р SC 0 # 21 P 9 C/ 00 L C/ 28C SC 28C.11 L 2 # 62 McClellan, Brett Marvell Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X name of message code in 28C.11 doesn't include 10GBASE-T subclause headers don't match 802.3-2012 for example 45.2.1.66 in draft 1.0 is register 1.129 but in 802.3-2012 it is reg 1.134. 45.2.3.12 also listed as code 9 in Table 28C-1 doesn't include 10GBASE-T in draft 1.0 is 3.10.20 in 802.3-2012 it is 3.25. Are the headers in the draft supposed to reference 802.3-2012? or to a later amendment? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change message code 9 name from: "10GBASE-T/1000BASE-T Technology message code check that headers are correct (Extended Next Page)" to: "Gigabit BASE-T Technology message code (Extended Next Page)" Proposed Response Response Status O Include 40GBASE-T (Clause 98) in the list of referenced clauses in 28C.11 SC 28.5.4.8 Cl 28 P 8 L 26 # 60 Make appropriate changes to Clauses, 40, 55, and 98 to reflect the name change (see comment on 98.6.2) Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type TR Autoneg requires additional changes: Link fail inhibit timer is defined for 10/100/1000 (SD11) & separately for 10G (SD11a) C/ 28D SC 6 P 9 L 1 # 63 SuggestedRemedy CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman. George Extend definition of SD11a in 28.5.4.8 to include M: 40G (mandatory for 40G) Comment Type TR Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Annex 28D.6, changes for 10GBASE-T needs to also include 40GBASE-T SuggestedRemedy SC 28B.3 P 9 Insert section 28D.7 with same text as 28D.6 and change references to reflect 40GBASE-T C/ 28B L 1 # 61 and Clause 98, including variable 40GigT Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X Add 40GBASE-T to autoneg priority resolution SuggestedRemedy

Add edit to normative Annex 28B, clause 28B.3 to insert 40GBASE-T above 10GBASE-T on

Response Status o

the priority resolution list and renumber list accordingly

P 9 # 64 P 13 C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 L 1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.66 L 3 # 66 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Clause 30, requires minor changes: subclause appears to relate only to register 1.129, although title is amended to add "and 1.130" 1. extending 10G operating margin package to 40G (Table 30-1e "10GBASE-T operating SugaestedRemedy margin package") Delete "and 1.130" from title 2. include 40GBASE-T Clause 98 in 30.3.2.1.2aPhvTvpe and 30.3.2.1.3 aPhvTvpeList 3. Edit 10GBASE-T SNR margin and fast retrain counts to include 40GBASE-T as well Proposed Response Response Status O 4. Add 40GBASE-T to 30.6.1.1.5 aAutoNegLocalTechnologyAbility SuggestedRemedy 1. Change label of column in Table 30-1e to "10G/40GBASE-T operating margin package Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.66.2 P 13 L 13 # 68 (conditional)" CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George 2. Add 40GBASE-T Clause 98 in 30.3.2.1.2aPhyType and 30.3.2.1.3 aPhyTypeList Comment Type Comment Status X 3. Edit 30.5.1.1.19 through 30.5.1.1.22, and 30.5.1.1.24 & 25 to include 40GBASE-T with No need for both a 10GBASE-T LP information valid bit and a 40GBASE-T LP information 4. Add 40GBASE-T to 30.6.1.1.5 aAutoNegLocalTechnologyAbility list valid bit. This also includes Table 45-54 If the new bit for 40GBASE-T is to be kept, paragraph references the wrong (10GBASE-T) bit Proposed Response Response Status O on line 17. SuggestedRemedy SC 3.7 C/ 31B P 9 L 2 # 65 Delete inserted paragraph, and edit paragraph 45.2.1.66.1 10GBASE-T LP information valid (1.129.0) to be "40/10GBASE-T LP information valid" Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X IF the paragraph is not deleted, correct the reference on line 17 to bit 1.129.0 which should be Consider whether 40GBASE-T needs special treatment for PAUSE operation, as 10GBASE-T 1.129.1 did relative to other 10G PHYs. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Discuss - no specific remedy Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.66.2 P 22 L 13 # 22 McClellan, Brett Marvell Cl 45 SC 2.1 P 10 Comment Status X L 20 # 67 Comment Type T Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. 45.2.1.66.2 40GBASE-T LP information valid (1.129.1) Adding a new bit for 40G seems unnecessary, can we reuse the 10GBASE-T bit, 1.129.0? Comment Type ER Comment Status X Otherwise we need to search and replace instances of 1.129.0 and replace with 1.129.1. See Missina "/" page 23 line 8. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to 10GBASE-T/40GBASE-T delete bit 1.129.1 and rename 1.129.0 10/40GBASE-T LP information valid Proposed Response Response Status o Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.66.2**  Page 2 of 22 10/25/2014 11:05:04 AM

Cl 45 # 123 Cl 45 P 26 SC 45.2.1.68.1 P 23 L 8 SC 45.2.3.12 L 29 # 23 Lusted, Kent Intel McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The last sentence references the LP information valid bit 1.129.0 and the TX power backoff "45.2.3.12 40GBASE-T EEE deep sleep supported (3.20.10)" doesn't match other EEE bits. backoff bits are now defined for 10GbT and 40GbT, however, the 1.129.0 bit is now the capability bit names. 10GBASE-T LP information valid bit. Another bit is defined for 40GBASE-T (1.129.1). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change to: Add reference to 1.129.1, which is the 40GBASE-T LP information valid bit. "45.2.3.12 40GBASE-T EEE supported (3.20.10)" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P 17 L 40 # 70 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1.2 P 25 L 10 # 124 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Lusted. Kent Intel Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X ER Description says that a device that does not implement BASE-R, 10GBASE-T, AND (emphasis Link to 98.3.6.3 is to wrong section. Loopback is 98.3.7.3. added) 40GBASE T ... Note that the sentence immediately preceeding it for 10GBASE-T incorrectly references (FYI - same error is in the existing 802.3-2012) 55.3.6.3. The correct 10GBASE-T reference is 55.3.7.3. SuggestedRemedy while the bit is for BASE-R and 10GBASE-T currently, it isn't meant to mean that a device must Point to 98.3.7.3 implement ALL of the above, as an AND would indicate. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy change "and 40GBASE-T" to "or 40GBASE-T" Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45 2 3 12 P 17 L 28 # 69 CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George P **27** Comment Type TR Comment Status X C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.17.4 L 40 # 125 40GBASE-T EEE deep sleep is not supported in clause 98 Lusted. Kent Intel SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X ER Delete section 45.2.3.12 Link to 98.3.6.1 is to wrong section. Variables is 98.3.6.2.2, or least in section 98.3.6.2. The variable hi lfer is not in 98.3.6.1. Proposed Response Response Status O Note that the sentence immediately preceding it for 10GBASE-T incorrectly references 55.3.6.1. The correct 10GBASE-T reference is 55.3.6.2. SugaestedRemedy Point to 98.3.6.2.2 or 98.3.6.2

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 45 # 126 Cl 45 P 31 SC 45.2.3.17.5 P 27 L **52** SC 45.2.7.11.1 L 29 # 127 Lusted, Kent Intel Lusted, Kent Intel Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Added sentences uses "10GBASE-T" but should be "40GBASE-T". Link to 98.3.2.3 is to wrong section. Variable definitions is 98.3.6.2.2, or least in section 98.3.6.2. The variable block\_lock is not in 98.3.2.3. SugaestedRemedy Change to "40GBASE-T" Note that the sentence immediately preceeding it for 10GBASE-T incorrectly references 55.3.2.3. The correct 10GBASE-T reference is 55.3.6.2. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Point to correct section. Cl 45 **SC Table 45-7** P 20 L 21 # 121 Proposed Response Response Status O Lusted, Kent Intel Comment Type Comment Status X C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 20 L 39 # 71 Description adds "40GBASE-T PMA" but the correct type selection should be "40GBASE-T Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. PMA/PMD". Comment Type E Comment Status X Listing PMA/PMD makes it consistent with 10GBASE-T, 1000BASE-T, 100BASE-TX, and subject (assignment of bits) and verb (are) should agree - subject is (still) singular. (no need to other listings in Table 45-7 change "is" to "are") SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "40GBASE-T PMA/PMD". reverse proposed deletion of "is" to replace with "are" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O CI 78 SC 78 P 73 L 5 # 72 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10.6 P 30 L 28 # 24 Zimmerman. George CME Consulting. Inc. McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Clause 78 has template text throughout, which needs to be cleaned out Task force should consider making fast retrain mandatory. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Clean out template text showing formates for paragraphs, etc. If made mandatory, delete subclauses Proposed Response Response Status O 45.2.7.10.6 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.3)

45.2.7.11.10 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.0)

delete references to fast retrain "option" in Clause 98

Response Status O

modify tables accordingly

SC 78.1 P 73 # 47 Cl 78 L 14 CI 78 SC 78.4 P 38 L 33 # 26 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type E While phy implementations may or may not support EEE, in the standard, EEE as a protocol pages 38 to 41 have unrelated editorial notes supports the phys. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy remove this section reverse edit to read "EEE supports the 100BASE-TX PHY, ..., and the 40GBASE-T PHY". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 88 P 88 L 40 # 84 SC 78.3 # 25 CI 78 P 38 L 1 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Receiver correction for differential delay (50ns) is still the 100m value, inconsistent with delay "Table 78–2—Clauses associated with each interface type" skew spec in 98.7.2.6 (17ns) title is incorrect SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change receiver differential delay varition spec (50ns) to be consistent with 98.7.2.6 change to: preferably by reference to 98.7.2.6 "Table 78–2—Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHY" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.1 P 12 L 28 # 49 CI 78 SC 78.3 P 74 L 1 # 48 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type ER Reference to media in ISO/IEC 11801:2002 is inappropriate - should be to Ed 3 draft Table 78-2 seems to have gotten the title of 78-1. In 802.3-2012, it is "Summary of the key EEE SuggestedRemedy parameters for supported PHY" Replace reference with reference to ISO/IEC 11801 Edition 3 and ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1-201x SuggestedRemedy Addendum 1: Specifications for 100ohm Category 8 Cabling Replace title of Table 78-2 with "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHY" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 98.1.1 P 28 # 50 P 47 Cl 98 L 34 Cl 98 SC 98.1.3 L 10 # 27 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X "The MASTER-SLAVE relationship may include loop timing. If loop timing is implemented, the Remove editors notes in section SLAVE PHY recovers the clock" SuggestedRemedy Loop timing is required if EEE is supported. Task force should consider making loop timing Remove editors notes under objectives required for 40GBASE-T to eliminate an option that likely will never be used (as in 10GBASE-T). Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy If made mandatory, change text to: Cl 98 SC 98.1.3 P 30 L 24 # 52 "The MASTER-SLAVE relationship requires. The SLAVE PHY recovers the clock" modify othere references in Clause 98 as required. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Status X There have been no contributions to remove fast retrain SC 98.1.3 SuggestedRemedy CI 98 P 47 L 4 # 28 Delete editors note McClellan, Brett Marvell Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X symbol period is 312.5ps not 325ps SuggestedRemedy # 51 CI 98 SC 98.1.3 P 30 L 9 change "325" to "312.5" Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type T There are no known instances of 10GBASE-T implementing the alternate non-loop timed version, there has been no discussion that non-loop timed 40GBASE-T is technically feasible. P 33 CI 98 SC 98.1.3.1 L 9 # 53 SuggestedRemedy Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Remove references to optional loop timing in paragraph. (replace "may include" with "includes", Comment Type E Comment Status X delete "If loop timing is implimented.", delete sentence beginning with "If loop timing is not implemented" Editors note flagging the clause has done its job Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Delete editors note Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 98.12.7 P 146 Cl 98 SC 98.1.3.1 P 50 L 22 # 122 CI 98 L 24 # 10 Lusted, Kent Intel Larsen, Wayne CommScope Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X The term "RS(140, 136, 2^11) code" is used without defining what RS is. The 802.3-2012 To align the contents of this table with clause 98.7. The items listed are not included in clause base standard abbreviation list says RS is Reconciliation Sublayer. That doesn't make sense in this section where the text uses "RS-coded bits". RS must mean Reed Solomon. SuggestedRemedy Delete table entries LKS12, LKS13, LKS14, LKS16, LKS17, LKS18, and LKS19. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Please define the use of RS in this section as Reed Solomon, if necessary. Proposed Response Response Status o P 45 CI 98 SC 98.3.1 L 46 # 55 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. CI 98 SC 98.1.4 P 35 L 34 # 54 Comment Type ER Comment Status X Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. cross reference to clause 45 for XLGMII is incorrect Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR bit width of TXD, TXC, RXD, RXC are incorrect for XLGMII Should point to Clause 81 for XLGMII Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Replace TXD<31:0> with TXD<63:0>, RXD<31:0> with RXD<63:0>, TXC<3:0> with TXC<7:0>, and RXC<3:0> with RXD<7:0> SC 98.3.2.2 P 47 Cl 98 L 20 # 56 Proposed Response Response Status O CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Comment Type Comment Status X ER CI 98 SC 98.12.7 P 146 L 15 # 9 extra "an" CommScope Larsen. Wavne SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status X delete "an" to rean "into two sets." To align with the terminology used in clause 98.7. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

in table entries LKS6, LKS7, and LKS15, change "FEXT" to "ACRF"

Response Status o

Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2 P 64 # 29 P 73 L 20 CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2.16 L 3 # 31 McClellan, Brett Marvell McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X typo "and split the bits into an two sets" typo "The transcoder construct" and "65- bit" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change "and split the bits into an two sets" change to "The transcoder constructs" "and split the bits into two sets" and Response Status O Proposed Response "65-bit" Proposed Response Response Status O SC 98.3.2.2 C/ 98 P 64 L 29 # 30 McClellan, Brett Marvell CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2.17 P 58 L 3 # 75 Comment Status X Comment Type E Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. "symbol period, T, is 1.25 ns." Comment Type ER Comment Status X needs to be updated typo on "concantenated" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change "symbol period, T, is 1.25 ns." replace with concatenated "symbol period, T, is 312.5 ps." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.19 P 75 L 30 # 32 CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2.16 P 56 L 4 # 74 McClellan, Brett Marvell CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman. George Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type **E** Comment Status X auxiliary bit should be randomized 65-bit block has extra spacing SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy add text: clean up spacing on lines 4 & 34 "It is highly recommended that the auxiliary bit be randomized." Proposed Response Response Status o Proposed Response Response Status O

P 48 # 57 P 60 Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.2 L 10 Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20 L 50 # 77 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X encoding of 64/65b in 40GBASE-T (and 10GBASE-T) did not provide for clock recovery or Don't need extra annex, editors note has served its purpose relate to LDPC frame errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy delete editors note asking question Delete sentences "The encoding defined...., and "The encoding also...", as shown in strikeout, Proposed Response Response Status O and delete editors note. Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20 P 77 L 36 McClellan, Brett Marvell Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20 P 59 L 32 # 114 Comment Type Comment Status X Wu. Peter Marvell figure 98-13, there is a line covering the text "p2" Comment Type Т Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Text marked as pending approval remove line SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Request to accept the text with some changes in the presentation of "RS code scheme to protect "un-coded" bits at 40GBASE-T" Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2.24 P 80 L 45 # 34 McClellan, Brett Marvell C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20 P 59 L 35 # 76 Comment Type Comment Status X Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. 1.2 us should be 1.12us Comment Status X Comment Type ER SuggestedRemedy extra "[" change 1.2 to 1.12 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O delete hanging "[" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.4 P 48 L 49 # 58 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Figure 98-9 needs to be redrawn with corrections - replace references to uncoded bits with references to RS coded bits, colors need to be letter or number coded SuggestedRemedy Correct figure 98-9 as discussed above and delete editors note

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.4

Response Status O

Page 9 of 22 10/25/2014 11:05:04 AM

# 59 P 66 Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.7 P 53 L 5 Cl 98 SC 98.3.4 L 13 # 79 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X reference to 10 Gigabit Ethernet and Clause 46 should be 40 Gigabit Ethernet and Clause 81, figure 98-15 is missing and 81.3.4 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert figure 98-15 from clause 55. (unchanged) Replace references as above Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.3.4 P 83 L 13 SC 98.3.2.2.9 P 54 L 42 # 73 CI 98 McClellan, Brett Marvell Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Figure 98-15 is missing/blank Notes in Table 98-1 and column on 8B/10B are specific for 10Gbps Ethernet SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy fix the figure Remove notes a & c, and replace note b with appropriate 40G reference Proposed Response Response Status O Delete column referring to 8B/10B code Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.3.5.3 P 70 L 20 # 128 Graba, Jim Broadcom CI 98 SC 98.3.2.3 P 64 L 14 # 78 Comment Status X Comment Type TR Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. This EEE feature, to allow a PHY to request the link partner to leave LPI mode, has not been Comment Type TR Comment Status X approved by the TF. Only uncorrectable RS errors should cause hi Ifer SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Discuss and vote on the inclusion of this feature. change "RS error" to "uncorrectable RS error" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 98 SC 98.3.5.3 P 87 L 20 # 36 McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Comment Status X the proposal lacks the details needed for a specification SuggestedRemedy remove until we have a full baseline or change to editorial note

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 98 SC 98.3.5.3

Response Status O

Page 10 of 22 10/25/2014 11:05:04 AM

P 71 # 80 P 88 Cl 98 SC 98.3.6.2.1 L 6 Cl 98 SC 98.3.6.2.2 L 38 # 38 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Cross reference is to 10G, Need to add Link Interruption ordered set to XLGMII "b. CRC8 check is satisfied" The CRC check was removed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add Link Interruption Ordered set to XLGMII in Clause 81 similar to 46.3.4 and change replace with reference "b. the RS did not have an uncorrectable error" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 98.3.6.2.2 P 71 # 81 CI 98 L 19 Cl 98 SC 98.3.6.2.3 P 90 L 18 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X 4x change changes bit error rate for hi Ifer cnt, since 125usec now includes 4x the number of The 125 us timer should be changed to 125/4 or the effective error rate should be changed bits from 4E-4 to 1E-4. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change hi Ifer definition to "exceeds 64" alternatively, define in terms of a new term, N\_sym, and make it a constant \* N\_sym so that for change timer to 31us. similarly change 125us to 31us in other locations 40G it comes to 64 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 98.3.6.2.5 C/ 98 P 92 L 33 # 39 C/ 98 SC 98.3.6.2.2 P 71 L 43 # 82 McClellan, Brett Marvell CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Type TR Comment Status X line 33 and line 38 Text refers to 32 bit XGMII words, and needs to be updated to reflect XLGMII lpi gr time x 4 SuggestedRemedy should be change references reflect 64 bit XLGMII word. lpi gr time x 6 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status o change to lpi\_gr\_time x 6 Proposed Response Response Status O

# 83 Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.2 P 85 L 37 C/ 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 112 L 11 # 42 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X "the SLAVE shall request a desired PBO level that is within two levels (within 4 dB)" remove option on loop timing - make it mandatory 4dB difference between devices is too large. SuggestedRemedy Task force should consider reducing the difference or the master selects PBO for both, or both Change "may include" to "includes", replace "If loop timing is implemented and the use the smaller backoff setting. PMA CONFIG..." with "If the PMA CONFIG...". delete sentence beginning with "If loop timing SuggestedRemedy is not implemented..." both devices use the smaller backoff setting Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 98 SC 98.4.2.4 P 105 L 41 # 40 C/ 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 112 L 18 # 43 McClellan, Brett Marvell McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Type Comment Status X "The receiver shall correct for differential delay variations of up to 50 ns across the wire-pairs." 50ns is excessive for a 30 meter channel. "10ms" and "1ms" absolute times should to be reduced by 4 corresponding to the 4x clock rate SuggestedRemedy Task force should consider reducing initial count settings. change to 15ns SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status o change 10ms to 2.5ms change 1ms to 250us Proposed Response Response Status O SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 111 C/ 98 L 39 # 41 McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type T Comment Status X C/ 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 94 L 48 # 87 "PBO=4 (corresponding to a power backoff of 8 dB)." Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. needs to be updated for new PBO table Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Editors note has done its job - PAM 2 Infofield margin is greater than it was for 10GBASE-T at change to TBD until the PBO is selected for initial training 100m. Proposed Response Response Status o SuggestedRemedy Delete editors note Proposed Response Response Status O

# 90 Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 95 L 17 Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.7 P 109 L 53 # 46 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X relation of time to transition counter is incorrect because of 4x symbol rate. Conversion to allow "frame error ratio of less than 3.2 X 10-9" longer time would require rework of infofield format to allow longer transition counter this doesn't match other occurances of frame error ratio of 9.6 X 10-9page 134 line 2, line 28 page 135 line 14 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy delete reference to time (10ms, line 17) and (1ms, line 18) also, page 102, lines 28 & 29, change to: "frame error ratio of less than 9.6 X 10-9" also need to change page 158 line 11 DISCUSS - this may have implications relative to prior decision on startup time. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status o C/ 98 SC 98.4.2.7 P 115 L 9 # 44 Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 96 L 34 # 91 McClellan, Brett Marvell Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type T "50 complete quiet-refresh cycles (nominally equal to 512 us)" should be 8.192/4 = 2.048ms Table 98-10 - we may want to revisit Recommended times, especially average times. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change 512us to 2.048ms Propose Chair charter an ad hoc to come back with proposals before the next meeting. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O P 116 C/ 98 SC 98.4.3.1 SC 98.4.2.5.15 P 97 L 1 # 45 Cl 98 L 3 # 85 McClellan, Brett Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Marvell Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "power backoff (up to 14 dB)" Editors note has done its job 14dB is excessive, consider change the max PBO to 6dB SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete editors note change 14dB to 6dB Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

# 89 P 108 Cl 98 SC 98.4.3.1 P 99 L 14 Cl 98 SC 98.4.6.3 L 24 # 93 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X References in note 2 point to 10GigT link status variables reference to "scaled insertion loss equation" is incorrect. There is no longer a scaled insertion loss equation in 98.7, and the explanatory remark is not relevant. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy replace with 40GigT variables Delete "and have been computed using the scaled insertion loss equation in 98.7" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.5.3.2 P 114 L 51 # 94 # 88 CI 98 SC 98.4.3.1 P 99 L 3 CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Scale transmitter linearity for frequency power backoff set size is incorrect (left over from prior version) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Discuss. Nominally this was related to distortion of the far-end signal and for safety should be Change "approximately 6 dB steps" to "approximately 2 dB steps" > 33 dB (10dB better than threshold SNR) across the band to Nyquist. But, this is definitely an overkill safety margin and may be too high? (52 dB out to 200 MHz, then rolling off) Proposed Response Response Status O Scale frequency (25 becomes 100MHz), and put a "TBD" next to it, unless there is convergence on an alternate proposal. Cl 98 SC 98.4.5.2 P 104 L 13 Proposed Response Response Status O Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status X C/ 98 P 116 SC 98.5.3.4 L 17 # 95 time associated with 50 complete quiet refresh signal periods is incorrect Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status X Change to 512usec, or, better, define a term, N sym (proportional # symbols/sec) so that for 40G it is 512usec. Figure 98-39 has mirrored y-axis label, and title still says "(update)" Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy fix v-axis on Flaure 98-39 Transmit PSD, and delete the word "(update)" from title Proposed Response

Response Status o

# 96 Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.1 P 117 L 1 C/ 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1 P 118 L 28 # 115 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Belopolsky, Yakov Bel Stewart Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X BER is after LDPC and RS decoding which is in the PCS this isn't mentioned IEC/ISO TR 11801-99-01 Guidance for balanced cabling in support of at least 40 Gbit/s data transmission recognizes Classes I and II, and correspondingly components of categories 8.1 SuggestedRemedy or 8.2 can be utilized for a Short Reach Test Channel. insert ", after LDPC and RS decoding, " between 10\-12 and "and sent to the XLGMII" SuggestedRemedv Proposed Response Response Status 0 Replace "Category 8.1" with "Category I or Category II component Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.4 P 118 L 4 # 97 CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George C/ 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1 P 135 L 22 Comment Type Comment Status X MC Communications DiMinico. Christopher 5 meters is probably not the right shortening to account for 2.5dB insertion loss at 40GBASE-T Comment Type T Comment Status X frequencies. Also, desire to be independent of both the test equipment and the transmission 98.5.4.5.1 Short reach test channel text provided in contribution rate suggests the "helpful commentary" is less than helpful. per Ed note to delete or replace text with an appropriate short reach channel.... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete "by approximately 5m" see contribution diminico\_3bq\_01\_1114.pdf Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status o C/ 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1 P 118 L 21 # 98 Cl 98 SC 98.6.1.1 P 119 L 8 # 99 Zimmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Type ER Comment Status X TIA has defined a direct attach cord channel, reflected in the draft, unaccepted text Editors note has served its purpose, accept text in section. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Accept the text inserted or alternate text referencing the TIA Category 8 direct attach channel. Delete editors note and accept text. Delete the editors note. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O

# 100 P 123 Cl 98 SC 98.6.1.2 P 119 L 48 Cl 98 SC 98.6.2 L 9 # 102 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Editors note has served its purpose Editors note has served its purpose SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete editors note Delete editors note Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.6.2 P 122 L 2 # 101 Cl 98 SC 98.7 P 124 L 3 # 104 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Technology message code name is specific to 10G/1000BASE-T. need a new name that can Reference to "additional requirements specified in this subclause" is dated to 10GBASE-T apply also to 40GBASE-T. See comment on 28C.11 running on cat 6. any link segment meeting the "requirements specified in this subclause" should work. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change name to "Gigabit BASE-T Technology message code (Extended Next Page)" replace "Class I 4-pair balanced cabling that meets the additional requirements specified in this Proposed Response Response Status O subclause" with "Class I or other 4-pair balanced cabling that meets the requirements specified in this subclause". Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.6.2 P 123 L 24 # 103 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Cl 98 SC 98.7 P 124 L 39 # 116 optional loop timing - make it mandatory Belopolsky, Yakov Bel Stewart SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status X fix references on lines 24, delete sentence beginning with "In the situation where one link 40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the partner supports..." through the sentence ending with "was not resolved." ISO/IEC 111801 standard that specially supports 40G, that standard includes Class I and Class II channels and, in fact, recognizes that components of category 6a and 7a or better Proposed Response Response Status O can support such transmission. The statement that 40GBase is designed to operate over Class I cabling is incorrect SuggestedRemedy remove the "Class I" or replace with Class I or Class II

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the ISO/IEC 111801 standard that specially supports 40G, that standard include Class I and Class II channels and in fact recognizes that components of categories 6a and 7a or better can support such transmission . The statement t that 40GBase is designed to operate over Class I cabling is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

remove the " Class I" or replace with "at least Class I"

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 98 SC 98.7.1 P 124 L 24 # [105

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"additional requirements" relative to class I? I don't think we have any

SuggestedRemedy

delete "additional" - scrub document for other instances

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 98 SC 98.7.2 P 124 L 28 # 129

Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Consider whether Subclause 98.7.2 should include link segment transmission parameters appropriate for shielded cabling system characteristics.

### SuggestedRemedy

Discuss adding coupling attenuation and/or other characteristics as a transmission parameter(s) for shielded link segments.

Clause 98.7 states that 40GBASE-T is designed to operate over ISO/IEC 11801 Class I 4-pair balanced cabling, and defines a link segment based upon copper media specified by ISO/IEC JTC1/SC25/WG3 and TIA TR42.7. The corresponding draft specifications, PN-568-C.2-1, Draft 2.0c (to be published as ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1) and ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 25 DTR 11801-99-1 both include transmission requirements related to shielded implementations. The 40GBASE-T link segment should reflect those requirements and, of course, identify them as applying to shielded link segments.

Proposed Response Status O

CI 98 SC 98.7.2 P124 L 3042 # 118

Belopolsky, Yakov Bel Stewart

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the ISO/IEC 111801 standard that specially supports 40G, that standard include Class I and Class II channels and in fact recognizes that components of categories 6a and 7a or better can support such transmission . The statement t that 40GBase is designed to operate over Class I cabling is incorrect

Table 98.18 is incorrect

### SuggestedRemedy

line 30 remove the "Class I" or replace with "at least Class I'
Line 42 Table 98.18 remove Category 8 replace with ISO/IEC Classes I or II

Proposed Response Response Status O

P 142 Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.1 P 124 L 48 # 12 Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.3 L 25 Larsen, Wayne CommScope DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X The IEEE IL formula can be more onerous than the ISO forumula by up to 0.01 dB in the EQ 98-14 redundant frequency range frequency range of about 1-50 MHz. Not sure anything needs to be done about this. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy delete line in brackets {8 1600<f</=2000} change {8 1600<f</=2000} to {8 1000<f</=2000} Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.7.2.3 P 125 L 21 Proposed Response Response Status O Larsen, Wayne CommScope Comment Type Comment Status X C/ 98 P 125 SC 98.7.2.4.1 L 45 # 13 Combine lines 4 and 5 of equation 98-14 into one line. Larsen, Wayne CommScope SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X We should fill in something to replace the TBD for (pair-to-pair) NEXT. The equations should Proposed Response Response Status O be chosen to support both the TIA and ISO equations. SuggestedRemedy Use the TIA equation for 1-1486 MHz, and the ISO equation from 1486-2000 MHz. These Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.3 P 125 L 21 # 86 equations will be provided in a contribution (They are also available from the drafts). Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X ER Equation 98-14 says "log" without showing it is a base-10 logarithm SuggestedRemedy CI 98 SC 98.7.2.4.1 P **125** L 46 # 106 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Change "log f" to "log 10 f" in equation 98-14 consistent with IEEE style Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type TR Comment Status X pair-to-pair NEXT loss is unspecified (equation 98-15) SuggestedRemedy Specify pair-to-pair next loss consistent with MDNEXT loss in 98.7.2.4.2 Proposed Response Response Status O

# 16 Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.4.2 P 126 L 1 C/ 98 Larsen, Wayne CommScope Comment Type Comment Status X There is no reason to have both terms "MDNEXT" and "PSNEXT". The text as it is written does not explicitly say that those are the same. Clause .2, titled MDNEXT, seems to give the requirement, and clause .3, titled PSNEXT, seems to give an explanation of how to calculate it from measured data. Other SDOs use the term "PSNEXT" but they do not use the term "MDNEXT". SuggestedRemedy Change the title of 98.7.2.4.2 to "Mulitple disturber power-sum near-end crosstalk (PSNEXT) loss (same as the present title of .3). Delete the present clause heading of 98.7.2.4.3, so that the material therein becosmes part of .2. Renumber sub-sequent clauses. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 CI 98 SC 98.7.2.4.2 P 126 L 14 # 107 CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Comment Type Comment Status X ER equations 98-16, 98-17, 98-18 say "lg" rather than "log" SuggestedRemedy change equation to read "log 10" consistent with IEEE style Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.7.2.4.2 P 126 # 15 L 33 Larsen, Wayne CommScope

Comment Type Comment Status X

The IEEE PSNEXT (MDNEXT) requirement is more onerous than the ISO spec by up to 0.02 dB in the frequency range from 1078 MHz to 1100 MHz. Not sure how serious this is, but a remedy is proposed that will overcome it.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the value 1100 to 1078 in two places. Lines 33 and 45.

Proposed Response Response Status O SC 98.7.2.4.4 P 127 L 47 # 17

Larsen, Wayne CommScope

Comment Type Comment Status X

We need to fill in something for the TBD for ACRF. The TIA is more onerous than the ISO by 0.008 dB at aevery frequency point, based on my calculations. Doesn't make much difference, but suggest using the TIA equation for this reason.

SuggestedRemedy

replace the TBD on line 47 with the TIA ACRF requirement. It will be provided in a contribution or can be obtained from the draft.

Proposed Response Response Status o

SC 98.7.2.4.5 P 128 L 14 # 19

Larsen, Wayne CommScope

Comment Type Comment Status X

There is no reason to have both terms "MDACRF" and "PSACRF". The text as it is written does not explicitly say that those are the same. Clause .5, titled MDACRF, seems to give the requirement, and clause .6. titled PS ACRF, seems to give an explanation of how to calculate it from measured data. Other SDOs use the term "PSARCF" but they do not use the term "MDACRF".

SugaestedRemedy

Change the title of 98.7.2.4.5 to "Mulitple disturber power-sum equal level far-end crosstalk (PSACRF) loss (same as the present title of .6). Delete the present clause heading of 98.7.2.4.6, so that the material therein becomes part of .2. Renumber sub-sequent clauses.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.4.5 P 128 L 23 # 18

Larsen, Wayne CommScope

Comment Type Comment Status X

The equaiton used was the pair-to-pair ACRF equaiton, not the power sum, in error.

SugaestedRemedy

In equation 98-24, change 39 to 36, and change 43.1 to 40.1.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.5 P 128 L 53 # 20 C/ 98 SC 98.8.1 P 131 L 39 # 108 Larsen, Wayne CommScope Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X section does not implement resolution of motion 12 at September interim: "Move that 802.3bg The cabling channel will comply with 176 ns at 2000 MHz, but it has an increasing delay as the frequency becomes lower. We need to use an equation. Also, the requriement needs to apply include the RJ-45 as reflected in IEC 60603-7-51 (published) with the improved characteristics and frequency extensions specified in 60603-7-81 (currently CDV draft) as an MDI interface" starting at 1 MHz, not starting at 2. Alternatively, we could specify less than 187 ns at all f from 1-2000 MHz or less than 179 ns (apologies of the editor - I made this edit and it must have gotten lost in a crash...) from 10-2000 ns. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace first sentence ("Eight pin...") with: "Eight-pin connectors meeting the requirements of Use the TIA equation for this. It will be provided in a contribution or can be obtained from the IEC 60603-7-51 with improved characteristics and frequency extensions specified in IEC 60603-7-81 (currently in CDV draft) shall be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling. draft. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.7.2.6 P 129 L 4 CI 98 SC 98.8.1 P 131.132 L # 119 Larsen, Wayne Belopolsky, Yakov CommScope Bel Stewart Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X TR The range should be from 1-2000 MHz, not 2-500 MHz. 40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the ISO/IEC 111801 standard that specially supports 40G, that standard include Class I and Class SuggestedRemedy Il channels and in fact recognizes that components of categories 6a and 7a or better can Change the range to 1 MHz to 2000 MHz. support such transmission. The reference to IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreened) and IEC 606-7-5 (screened) is not correct Proposed Response Response Status O The use of unscreened connectors in the 2000MHz transmission is not supported by technical evidence known to the commenter. The informational pictures 98-41 and 98-42 are misleading. The information on the SC 98.8.1 P 131 # 4 CI 98 L 38 recognized connectors is contained in the IEC/ISO TR 11801-99-01 Larsen, Wayne CommScope (An animal with four legs is not always a horse) Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The specification of the MDI was not updated correctly based on motion 7 from the September remove pictures 98-41 and 98-42 meetina. Line 39 remove "IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreened) and IEC 606-7-5 (screened)" replace with SuggestedRemedy "connectors recognized by IEC/ISO TR 11801-99-01" Change from preferred text: "connectors categories 8.1 or 8.2 recognized by IEC/ISO 11801 IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreened) or IEC 60603.7-5 (screened) Line 41 remove the sentence starting with "These connectors are depicted... Proposed Response Response Status O change to

IEC 60603-7-51 (published) with the improved characteristics and frequency extenstions

Response Status o

sepcified in 60603-7-81 (currently CDV draft)

Cl 98 SC 98.8.2.1 P 132 L 46 Larsen, Wayne CommScope Comment Type т Comment Status X The requriements need to be extended to 2000 MHz. The 6 dB level as a flat plateau might be fine. This editor's note would be accurte, if it applies to the connector by itself, free of magnitics and PCB mounting. This product is normally produced as an integrated module containing the conntor and the magnetic isolation coils. For this assembly, the return loss values in equation 98-31 are about right. SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's note. Add a new line, speciallying RL of 6 dB, flat plateau, from 500 MHz to 2000 MHz. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.8.2.2 P 133 L 15 # 11 Larsen, Wayne CommScope Comment Type Comment Status X To extend the MDI impedance balance requriement to 2000 MHz. It seems this change was supposed to be implimented in the last cycle but was not implimented for some reason. SuggestedRemedy Change 500 to 2000 in equation 98-32. Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.8.2.2 P 134 L 1 # 6 Larsen, Wayne CommScope Comment Type Comment Status X Т The test procedures on this page can be improved. Specific comments on how to improve them have been provided in the past.

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

CI 98 SC 98.8.2.3 P 134 L 45 # 7 Larsen, Wayne CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Thinking this is intended to prevent damage to the PHY itself and other electronic elements, not so much the MDI.

Since it is not really an MDI requriement, consider moving it to another place in the standard. The editor's note on line 53 alludes to this.

#### SuggestedRemedy

from:

each wire pair of the MDI shall, under all operation conditions, withstand without damage the application of short circuits of any wire to any other wire within the connected 4-pair cable to:

The electronic equipment containing a 40GBASE-T PHY shall, under all operation conditions, withstand without damage the application of short circuits of any wire to any other wire within the connected 4-pair cable

Proposed Response Status O

CI 98 SC 98.8.2.3 P 134 L 50 # 109

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The requirement "A 40GBASE-T PHY shall be able to sustain" relates to the PHY not just the MDI - as such it is misplaced.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Move lines 50 - 54 on page 134 and 1-3 on page 135 to 98.5.1 Isolation requirements, or, optionally, add a new clause after 98.5.1 to speak to this requirement.

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC P 2 Cl 98 SC 98.8.2.3 P 135 L 1 # 8 Cl 99 L 1 # 112 Larsen, Wayne CommScope Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Agree with the editor's note at the end of page 134. The wording of this sentence can be Table of Contents is a placeholder improved, and a suggestion is made below. Also agree this requirement should be moved to SugaestedRemedy the isolation section. Fix production of book so Table of Contents is generated correctly SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O From: each wire pair shall withstand without damage a 1000 V common-mode impulse of either polarity. To: C/ 99 SC P 3 L 36 # 110 The electronic equipment containing a 40GBASE-T PHY shall withstand without damage a Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. 1000 V common-mode impulse applied to any wire pair, of either polarity. Comment Type Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Draft needs to add letters of amendment. (802.3bq) SuggestedRemedy Р SC C/ 99 L 28 # 120 Substitute 802.3bg for 802.3xx (global) Lusted. Kent Intel Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Ε Comment Status X IEEE Std 802.3bj-2014 now exists. Add a reference SC P **4** C/ 99 L 26 # 111 SuggestedRemedy CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Add 802.3bj and the relevent supporting text. Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O List of amendments appears incomplete, 802.3bj, possibly others missing SuggestedRemedy Cl 99 SC Р L3 # 113 Check amendments listed and include all relevant ones Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X Amendment should be : ba. not X SuggestedRemedy

Substitute in at appropriate phase of editing

Response Status O