C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.3 P 142 L 25 Cl 98 SC 98.8.1 P 131 L 38 MC Communications Larsen, Wayne DiMinico, Christopher CommScope Comment Type ER Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X Т EQ 98-14 redundant frequency range The specification of the MDI was not updated correctly based on motion 7 from the September meeting. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy delete line in brackets {8 1600<f</=2000} Change from change {8 1600<f</=2000} to {8 1000<f</=2000} IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreened) or IEC 60603.7-5 (screened) change to Proposed Response Response Status O IEC 60603-7-51 (published) with the improved characteristics and frequency extenstions sepcified in 60603-7-81 (currently CDV draft) Proposed Response Response Status O # 2 Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1 P 135 L 22 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type T Comment Status X Cl 98 SC 98.8.2.1 P 132 L 46 98.5.4.5.1 Short reach test channel text provided in contribution Larsen. Wavne CommScope per Ed note to delete or replace text with an appropriate short reach channel.... Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The requriements need to be extended to 2000 MHz. The 6 dB level as a flat plateau might be see contribution diminico\_3bq\_01\_1114.pdf fine. Proposed Response Response Status O This editor's note would be accurte, if it applies to the connector by itself, free of magnitics and PCB mounting. This product is normally produced as an integrated module containing the conntor and the magnetic isolation coils. For this assembly, the return loss values in equation C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.6 P 129 L 4 98-31 are about right. Larsen. Wavne CommScope SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status X Delete the editor's note. The range should be from 1-2000 MHz, not 2-500 MHz. Add a new line, speciufying RL of 6 dB, flat plateau, from 500 MHz to 2000 MHz. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the range to 1 MHz to 2000 MHz. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 98 SC 98.8.2.2 P 134 L 1 Cl 98 SC 98.8.2.3 P 135 L 1 Larsen, Wayne CommScope Larsen, Wayne CommScope Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status X Т т The test procedures on this page can be improved. Agree with the editor's note at the end of page 134. The wording of this sentence can be improved, and a suggestion is made below. Also agree this requirement should be moved to Specific comments on how to improve them have been provided in the past. the isolation section. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy From: each wire pair shall withstand without damage a 1000 V common-mode impulse of either Proposed Response Response Status O polarity. To: The electronic equipment containing a 40GBASE-T PHY shall withstand without damage a Cl 98 SC 98.8.2.3 P 134 L 45 1000 V common-mode impulse applied to any wire pair, of either polarity. CommScope Larsen, Wayne Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type Т Thinking this is intended to prevent damage to the PHY itself and other electronic elements, not Cl 98 SC 98.12.7 P 146 L 15 so much the MDI. Larsen, Wayne CommScope Since it is not really an MDI requriement, consider moving it to another place in the standard. Comment Type Comment Status X The editor's note on line 53 alludes to this. To align with the terminology used in clause 98.7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy from: each wire pair of the MDI shall, under all operation conditions, withstand without damage the in table entries LKS6, LKS7, and LKS15, change "FEXT" to "ACRF" application of short circuits of any wire to any other wire within the connected 4-pair cable Proposed Response Response Status o

The electronic equipment containing a 40GBASE-T PHY shall, under all operation conditions,

withstand without damage the application of short circuits of any wire to any other wire within the connected 4-pair cable

Proposed Response Response Status O

# 10 Larsen, Wayne CommScope

P 146

Comment Type Comment Status X

SC 98.12.7

To align the contents of this table with clause 98.7. The items listed are not included in clause 98.7.

SuggestedRemedy

Cl 98

Delete table entries LKS12, LKS13, LKS14, LKS16, LKS17, LKS18, and LKS19,

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 10

L 24

Page 2 of 22 10/25/2014 11:03:52 AM

C/ 98 SC 98.8.2.2 P 133 L 15 # 11 Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.3 P 125 L 21 Larsen, Wayne CommScope Larsen, Wayne CommScope Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Type Comment Status X To extend the MDI impedance balance requriement to 2000 MHz. Combine lines 4 and 5 of equation 98-14 into one line. SuggestedRemedy It seems this change was supposed to be implimented in the last cycle but was not implimented for some reason. SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status o Change 500 to 2000 in equation 98-32. Proposed Response Response Status o Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.4.2 P 126 L 33 Larsen. Wavne CommScope # 12 Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.1 P 124 L 48 Comment Type Comment Status X Larsen. Wavne CommScope The IEEE PSNEXT (MDNEXT) requirement is more onerous than the ISO spec by up to 0.02 dB in the frequency range from 1078 MHz to 1100 MHz. Not sure how serious this is, but a Comment Type Т Comment Status X remedy is proposed that will overcome it. The IEEE IL formula can be more onerous than the ISO forumula by up to 0.01 dB in the SuggestedRemedy frequency range of about 1-50 MHz. Not sure anything needs to be done about this. Change the value 1100 to 1078 in two places. Lines 33 and 45. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.4.2 P 126 L 1 Larsen. Wavne CommScope Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.4.1 P 125 L 45 # 13 Comment Status X Comment Type Larsen, Wayne CommScope There is no reason to have both terms "MDNEXT" and "PSNEXT". The text as it is written Comment Type т Comment Status X does not explicitly say that those are the same. Clause .2, titled MDNEXT, seems to give the

We should fill in something to replace the TBD for (pair-to-pair) NEXT. The equations should be chosen to support both the TIA and ISO equations.

SuggestedRemedy

Use the TIA equation for 1-1486 MHz, and the ISO equation from 1486-2000 MHz. These equations will be provided in a contribution (They are also available from the drafts).

Proposed Response Response Status 0

"MDNEXT". SuggestedRemedy

> Change the title of 98.7.2.4.2 to "Mulitple disturber power-sum near-end crosstalk (PSNEXT) loss (same as the present title of .3). Delete the present clause heading of 98.7.2.4.3, so that the material therein becosmes part of .2. Renumber sub-sequent clauses.

> requriement, and clause .3, titled PSNEXT, seems to give an explanation of how to calculate it

from measured data. Other SDOs use the term "PSNEXT" but they do not use the term

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

# 14

# 15

# 16

C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.4.4 P 127 L 47 # 17 Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.5 P 128 L 53 # 20 Larsen, Wayne CommScope Larsen, Wayne CommScope Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status X We need to fill in something for the TBD for ACRF. The TIA is more onerous than the ISO by The cabling channel will comply with 176 ns at 2000 MHz, but it has an increasing delay as the 0.008 dB at aevery frequency point, based on my calculations. Doesn't make much difference, frequency becomes lower. We need to use an equation. Also, the requirement needs to apply but suggest using the TIA equation for this reason. starting at 1 MHz. not starting at 2. SuggestedRemedy Alternatively, we could specify less than 187 ns at all f from 1-2000 MHz or less than 179 ns replace the TBD on line 47 with the TIA ACRF requirement. It will be provided in a contribution from 10-2000 ns. or can be obtained from the draft. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Use the TIA equation for this. It will be provided in a contribution or can be obtained from the draft. Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.7.2.4.5 P 128 L 23 # 18 CommScope Larsen, Wayne Comment Type Comment Status X SC 0 C/ 00 P I # 21 The equaiton used was the pair-to-pair ACRF equaiton, not the power sum, in error. McClellan, Brett Marvell SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status X In equation 98-24, change 39 to 36, and change 43.1 to 40.1. subclause headers don't match 802.3-2012 for example 45.2.1.66 in draft 1.0 is register 1.129 but in 802.3-2012 it is reg 1.134. 45.2.3.12 Proposed Response Response Status O in draft 1.0 is 3.10.20 in 802.3-2012 it is 3.25. Are the headers in the draft supposed to reference 802.3-2012? or to a later amendment? SuggestedRemedy C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.4.5 P 128 L 14 # 19 check that headers are correct Larsen. Wavne CommScope Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X Comment Type Т There is no reason to have both terms "MDACRF" and "PSACRF". The text as it is written does not explicitly say that those are the same. Clause .5, titled MDACRF, seems to give the Cl 45 P 22 SC 45.2.1.66.2 L 13 # 22 requirement, and clause .6. titled PS ACRF, seems to give an explanation of how to calculate it from measured data. Other SDOs use the term "PSARCF" but they do not use the term McClellan, Brett Marvell "MDACRF". Comment Status X Comment Type SuggestedRemedy 45.2.1.66.2 40GBASE-T LP information valid (1.129.1) Change the title of 98.7.2.4.5 to "Mulitple disturber power-sum equal level far-end crosstalk Adding a new bit for 40G seems unnecessary, can we reuse the 10GBASE-T bit, 1,129.0? (PSACRF) loss (same as the present title of .6). Delete the present clause heading of Otherwise we need to search and replace instances of 1.129.0 and replace with 1.129.1. See 98.7.2.4.6. so that the material therein becosmes part of .2. Renumber sub-sequent clauses. page 23 line 8. Proposed Response Response Status O SugaestedRemedy

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 22

delete bit 1.129.1 and rename 1.129.0 10/40GBASE-T LP information valid

Response Status O

Page 4 of 22 10/25/2014 11:03:52 AM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.12 P 26 L 29 # 23 CI 78 SC 78.4 P 38 L 33 # 26 McClellan, Brett Marvell McClellan, Brett Marvell Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Ε "45.2.3.12 40GBASE-T EEE deep sleep supported (3.20.10)" doesn't match other EEE pages 38 to 41 have unrelated editorial notes capability bit names. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy remove this section change to: Proposed Response Response Status o "45.2.3.12 40GBASE-T EEE supported (3.20.10)" Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 98 SC 98.1.3 P 47 L 10 McClellan, Brett Marvell Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10.6 P 30 L 28 Comment Type Comment Status X McClellan, Brett Marvell "The MASTER-SLAVE relationship may include loop timing. If loop timing is implemented, the Comment Type T Comment Status X SLAVE PHY recovers the clock" Task force should consider making fast retrain mandatory. Loop timing is required if EEE is supported. Task force should consider making loop timing required for 40GBASE-T to eliminate an option that likely will never be used ( as in 10GBASE-SuggestedRemedy T). If made mandatory, delete subclauses SuggestedRemedy 45.2.7.10.6 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.3) 45.2.7.11.10 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.0) If made mandatory, change text to: modify tables accordingly "The MASTER-SLAVE relationship requires. The SLAVE PHY recovers the clock" delete references to fast retrain "option" in Clause 98 modify othere references in Clause 98 as required. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status o CI 78 SC 78.3 P 38 L 1 # 25 Cl 98 SC 98.1.3 P 47 L4 # 28 McClellan, Brett Marvell McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Ε "Table 78-2—Clauses associated with each interface type" symbol period is 312.5ps not 325ps title is incorrect SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change "325" to "312.5" change to: Proposed Response Response Status O "Table 78–2—Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHY" Proposed Response Response Status 0

CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2 P 64  McClellan, Brett Marvell	L <b>20</b>	# 29	Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.19 P75 L 30 # 32  McClellan, Brett Marvell
Comment Type <b>E</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> typo "and split the bits into an two sets"		Comment Type T Comment Status X auxiliary bit should be randomized	
SuggestedRemedy  change "and split the bits into an two sets"  to		SuggestedRemedy add text: "It is highly recommended that the auxiliary bit be randomized."	
"and split the bits into two sets"  Proposed Response Response Status O			Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2 P 64 McClellan, Brett Marvell	L 29	L 29 # 30	CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20 P77 L 36 # 33  McClellan, Brett Marvell  Comment Type E Comment Status X
Comment Type E Comment Status X  "symbol period, T, is 1.25 ns."  needs to be updated			figure 98-13, there is a line covering the text "p2"  SuggestedRemedy  remove line
SuggestedRemedy change "symbol period, T, is 1.25 ns." to "symbol period, T, is 312.5 ps."			Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>			C/ 98
CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2.16 P 73  McClellan, Brett Marvell  Comment Type E Comment Status X  typo "The transcoder construct" and "65- bit"	L 3	# 31	Comment Type T Comment Status X  1.2 us should be 1.12us  SuggestedRemedy change 1.2 to 1.12  Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy change to "The transcoder constructs" and "65-bit"			Cl 98 SC 98.3.4 P 83 L 13 # 35  McClellan, Brett Marvell  Comment Type E Comment Status X
Proposed Response Status <b>O</b>			Figure 98-15 is missing/blank  SuggestedRemedy fix the figure  Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 35

Page 6 of 22 10/25/2014 11:03:52 AM

SC 98.3.5.3 C/ 98 P 87 L 20 # 36 Cl 98 SC 98.3.6.2.5 P 92 L 33 # 39 McClellan, Brett McClellan, Brett Marvell Marvell Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X the proposal lacks the details needed for a specification line 33 and line 38 lpi gr time x 4 SuggestedRemedy should be remove until we have a full baseline or change to editorial note lpi qr time x 6 Proposed Response Response Status o SuggestedRemedy change to lpi\_gr\_time x 6 Proposed Response Response Status o Cl 98 SC 98.3.6.2.3 P 90 L 18 # 37 McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Status X Cl 98 P 105 Comment Type SC 98.4.2.4 L 41 # 40 The 125 us timer should be changed to 125/4 or the effective error rate should be changed McClellan, Brett Marvell from 4E-4 to 1E-4. Comment Type Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy "The receiver shall correct for differential delay variations of up to 50 ns across the wire-pairs." change timer to 31us. 50ns is excessive for a 30 meter channel. similarly change 125us to 31us in other locations SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O change to 15ns Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.3.6.2.2 P 88 L 38 # 38 McClellan, Brett Marvell Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 111 L 39 # 41 Comment Type T Comment Status X McClellan, Brett Marvell "b. CRC8 check is satisfied" Comment Type Comment Status X The CRC check was removed. "PBO=4 (corresponding to a power backoff of 8 dB)." SuggestedRemedy needs to be updated for new PBO table replace with SuggestedRemedy "b. the RS did not have an uncorrectable error" change to TBD until the PBO is selected for initial training Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

P 112 C/ 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 L 11 # 42 Cl 98 SC 98.4.3.1 P 116 L 1 # 45 McClellan, Brett McClellan, Brett Marvell Marvell Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X "the SLAVE shall request a desired PBO level that is within two levels (within 4 dB)" "power backoff (up to 14 dB)" 4dB difference between devices is too large. 14dB is excessive, consider change the max PBO to 6dB Task force should consider reducing the difference or the master selects PBO for both, or both SuggestedRemedy use the smaller backoff setting. change 14dB to 6dB SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O both devices use the smaller backoff setting Proposed Response Response Status o Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.7 P 109 L 53 # 46 McClellan, Brett Marvell Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 112 L 18 # 43 Comment Type Comment Status X McClellan, Brett Marvell "frame error ratio of less than 3.2 X 10-9" Comment Type Т Comment Status X this doesn't match other occurances of frame error ratio of 9.6 X 10-9page 134 line 2, line 28 page 135 line 14 "10ms" and "1ms" absolute times should to be reduced by 4 corresponding to the 4x clock rate SuggestedRemedy Task force should consider reducing initial count settings. change to: "frame error ratio of less than 9.6 X 10-9" SuggestedRemedy also need to change page 158 line 11 change 10ms to 2.5ms Proposed Response Response Status O change 1ms to 250us Proposed Response Response Status O CI 78 SC 78.1 P 73 L 14 # 47 CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George C/ 98 SC 98.4.2.7 P 115 L 9 # 44 Comment Type ER Comment Status X McClellan, Brett Marvell While phy implementations may or may not support EEE, in the standard, EEE as a protocol Comment Type Comment Status X supports the phys. "50 complete guiet-refresh cycles (nominally equal to 512 us)" SuggestedRemedy should be 8.192/4 = 2.048ms reverse edit to read "EEE supports the 100BASE-TX PHY. .... and the 40GBASE-T PHY". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O change 512us to 2.048ms

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status o

Proposed Response

Comment ID 47

Page 8 of 22 10/25/2014 11:03:52 AM

CI 78 SC 78.3 P 74 L 1 # 48 Cl 98 SC 98.1.3 P 30 L 9 # 51 CME Consulting, Inc. CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Type Comment Type T Comment Status X ER Comment Status X Table 78-2 seems to have gotten the title of 78-1. In 802.3-2012, it is "Summary of the key EEE There are no known instances of 10GBASE-T implementing the alternate non-loop timed parameters for supported PHY" version, there has been no discussion that non-loop timed 40GBASE-T is technically feasible. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace title of Table 78-2 with "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHY" Remove references to optional loop timing in paragraph. (replace "may include" with "includes", delete "If loop timing is implmeented.", delete sentence beginning with "If loop timing is not Proposed Response Response Status 0 implemented" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 98 SC 98.1 P 12 L 28 # 49 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. SC 98.1.3 CI 98 P 30 L 24 # 52 Comment Type T Comment Status X Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Reference to media in ISO/IEC 11801:2002 is inappropriate - should be to Ed 3 draft Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy There have been no contributions to remove fast retrain Replace reference with reference to ISO/IEC 11801 Edition 3 and ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1-201x SuggestedRemedy Addendum 1: Specifications for 100ohm Category 8 Cabling Delete editors note Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 98.1.1 P 28 L 34 Cl 98 # 50 Cl 98 SC 98.1.3.1 P 33 L 9 # 53 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status X ER Comment Type E Comment Status X Remove editors notes in section Editors note flagging the clause has done its job SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove editors notes under objectives Delete editors note Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 98.1.4 C/ 98 P 35 L 34 # 54 Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.2 P 48 L 10 # 57 CME Consulting, Inc. CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Type TR Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X bit width of TXD, TXC, RXD, RXC are incorrect for XLGMII encoding of 64/65b in 40GBASE-T (and 10GBASE-T) did not provide for clock recovery or relate to LDPC frame errors. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace TXD<31:0> with TXD<63:0>, RXD<31:0> with RXD<63:0>, TXC<3:0> with Delete sentences "The encoding defined...., and "The encoding also...", as shown in strikeout, TXC<7:0>, and RXC<3:0> with RXD<7:0> and delete editors note. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 98 SC 98.3.1 P 45 L 46 # 55 C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.4 P 48 L 49 # 58 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting. Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X cross reference to clause 45 for XLGMII is incorrect Figure 98-9 needs to be redrawn with corrections - replace references to uncoded bits with SuggestedRemedy references to RS coded bits, colors need to be letter or number coded Should point to Clause 81 for XLGMII SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Correct figure 98-9 as discussed above and delete editors note Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2 P 47 L 20 # 56 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2.7 P 53 L 5 # 59 Comment Type Comment Status X Zimmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. extra "an" Comment Type ER Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy reference to 10 Gigabit Ethernet and Clause 46 should be 40 Gigabit Ethernet and Clause 81, and 81.3.4 delete "an" to rean "into two sets." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace references as above Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 28 SC 28.5.4.8 P 8 L 26 # 60 C/ 28D SC 6 P 9 L 1 # 63 CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Status X Autoneg requires additional changes: Annex 28D.6, changes for 10GBASE-T needs to also include 40GBASE-T Link fail inhibit timer is defined for 10/100/1000 (SD11) & separately for 10G (SD11a) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert section 28D.7 with same text as 28D.6 and change references to reflect 40GBASE-T Extend definition of SD11a in 28.5.4.8 to include M: 40G (mandatory for 40G) and Clause 98, including variable 40GigT Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O C/ 28B SC 28B.3 P 9 L 1 C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 9 L 1 # 64 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting. Inc. Zimmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Clause 30, requires minor changes: Add 40GBASE-T to autoneg priority resolution 1. extending 10G operating margin package to 40G (Table 30-1e "10GBASE-T operating SuggestedRemedy margin package") Add edit to normative Annex 28B, clause 28B.3 to insert 40GBASE-T above 10GBASE-T on 2. include 40GBASE-T Clause 98 in 30.3.2.1.2aPhyType and 30.3.2.1.3 aPhyTypeList the priority resolution list and renumber list accordingly 3. Edit 10GBASE-T SNR margin and fast retrain counts to include 40GBASE-T as well 4. Add 40GBASE-T to 30.6.1.1.5 aAutoNegLocalTechnologyAbility Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy 1. Change label of column in Table 30-1e to "10G/40GBASE-T operating margin package P 9 L 2 (conditional)" C/ 28C SC 28C.11 # 62 2. Add 40GBASE-T Clause 98 in 30.3.2.1.2aPhyType and 30.3.2.1.3 aPhyTypeList Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. 3. Edit 30.5.1.1.19 through 30.5.1.1.22, and 30.5.1.1.24 & 25 to include 40GBASE-T with Comment Type E Comment Status X 10GBASE-T 4. Add 40GBASE-T to 30.6.1.1.5 aAutoNegLocalTechnologyAbility list name of message code in 28C.11 doesn't include 10GBASE-T also listed as code 9 in Table 28C-1 doesn't include 10GBASE-T Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change message code 9 name from: "10GBASE-T/1000BASE-T Technology message code P 9 (Extended Next Page)" to: C/ 31B SC 3.7 L 2 # 65 "Gigabit BASE-T Technology message code (Extended Next Page)" Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Include 40GBASE-T (Clause 98) in the list of referenced clauses in 28C.11 Consider whether 40GBASE-T needs special treatment for PAUSE operation, as 10GBASE-T Make appropriate changes to Clauses, 40, 55, and 98 to reflect the name change did relative to other 10G PHYs. (see comment on 98.6.2) SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Discuss - no specific remedy Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 65

Page 11 of 22 10/25/2014 11:03:52 AM

SC 45.2.1.66 C/ 45 P 13 L 3 # 66 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.12 P 17 L 28 # 69 CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Status X subclause appears to relate only to register 1.129, although title is amended to add "and 1.130" 40GBASE-T EEE deep sleep is not supported in clause 98 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete "and 1.130" from title Delete section 45.2.3.12 Proposed Response Response Status o Proposed Response Response Status o Cl 45 SC 2.1 P 10 L 20 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17 P 17 L 40 # 67 # 70 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Missing "/" Description says that a device that does not implement BASE-R, 10GBASE-T, AND (emphasis added) 40GBASE T ... SuggestedRemedy Change to 10GBASE-T/40GBASE-T (FYI - same error is in the existing 802.3-2012) Proposed Response Response Status O while the bit is for BASE-R and 10GBASE-T currently, it isn't meant to mean that a device must implement ALL of the above, as an AND would indicate. SuggestedRemedy Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.66.2 P 13 L 13 # 68 change "and 40GBASE-T" to "or 40GBASE-T" Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Status X No need for both a 10GBASE-T LP information valid bit and a 40GBASE-T LP information valid bit. This also includes Table 45-54 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 20 L 39 # 71 If the new bit for 40GBASE-T is to be kept, paragraph references the wrong (10GBASE-T) bit Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. on line 17. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy subject (assignment of bits) and verb (are) should agree - subject is (still) singular. (no need to Delete inserted paragraph, and edit paragraph 45.2.1.66.1 10GBASE-T LP information valid change "is" to "are") (1.129.0) to be "40/10GBASE-T LP information valid" SuggestedRemedy reverse proposed deletion of "is" to replace with "are" IF the paragraph is not deleted, correct the reference on line 17 to bit 1.129.0 which should be 1.129.1 Proposed Response Response Status o

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Comment ID 71

Page 12 of 22 10/25/2014 11:03:52 AM

CI 78 SC 78 P 73 L 5 # 72 Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20 P 59 L 35 # 76 CME Consulting, Inc. CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status X ER Comment Status X Clause 78 has template text throughout, which needs to be cleaned out extra "[" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Clean out template text showing formates for paragraphs.etc. delete hanging "[" Proposed Response Response Status o Proposed Response Response Status o Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.9 P 54 L 42 # 73 Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20 P 60 L 50 # 77 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status X Notes in Table 98-1 and column on 8B/10B are specific for 10Gbps Ethernet Don't need extra annex, editors note has served its purpose SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove notes a & c, and replace note b with appropriate 40G reference delete editors note asking question Delete column referring to 8B/10B code Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.3 P 64 L 14 # 78 CI 98 SC 98.3.2.2.16 P 56 L 4 # 74 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Only uncorrectable RS errors should cause hi Ifer 65-bit block has extra spacing SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change "RS error" to "uncorrectable RS error" clean up spacing on lines 4 & 34 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.3.4 P 66 L 13 # 79 C/ 98 SC 98.3.2.2.17 P 58 L3 # 75 Zimmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman. George Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X figure 98-15 is missing typo on "concantenated" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert figure 98-15 from clause 55. (unchanged) replace with concatenated Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 79

Page 13 of 22 10/25/2014 11:03:52 AM

C/ 98 SC 98.3.6.2.1 P 71 L 6 # 80 Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.2 P 85 L 37 # 83 CME Consulting, Inc. CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Type TR Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Status X Cross reference is to 10G, Need to add Link Interruption ordered\_set to XLGMII remove option on loop timing - make it mandatory SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add Link Interruption Ordered set to XLGMII in Clause 81 similar to 46.3.4 and change Change "may include" to "includes", replace "If loop timing is implemented and the PMA CONFIG..." with "If the PMA CONFIG...", delete sentence beginning with "If loop timing reference is not implemented..." Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O # 81 C/ 98 SC 98.3.6.2.2 P 71 L 19 P 88 Cl 98 SC 88 L 40 # 84 Zimmerman. George CME Consulting. Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type TR 4x change changes bit error rate for hi\_lfer\_cnt, since 125usec now includes 4x the number of Receiver correction for differential delay (50ns) is still the 100m value, inconsistent with delay bits skew spec in 98.7.2.6 (17ns) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change hi Ifer definition to "exceeds 64" alternatively, define in terms of a new term, N sym, and make it a constant \* N sym so that for Change receiver differential delay varition spec (50ns) to be consistent with 98.7.2.6 -40G it comes to 64 preferably by reference to 98.7.2.6 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 98 SC 98.3.6.2.2 P 71 L 43 # 82 Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.15 P 97 L 3 # 85 CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Text refers to 32 bit XGMII words, and needs to be updated to reflect XLGMII Editors note has done its job SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change references reflect 64 bit XLGMII word. Delete editors note Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O

C/ 98 SC 98.7.2.3 P 125 L 21 # 86 Cl 98 SC 98.4.3.1 P 99 L 14 # 89 CME Consulting, Inc. CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status X ER Comment Status X Equation 98-14 says "log" without showing it is a base-10 logarithm reference to "scaled insertion loss equation" is incorrect. There is no longer a scaled insertion loss equation in 98.7, and the explanatory remark is not relevant. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "log f" to "log\_10 f" in equation 98-14 consistent with IEEE style Delete "and have been computed using the scaled insertion loss equation in 98.7" Proposed Response Response Status o Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 94 L 48 # 87 Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 95 L 17 # 90 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Editors note has done its job - PAM 2 Infofield margin is greater than it was for 10GBASE-T at relation of time to transition counter is incorrect because of 4x symbol rate. Conversion to allow longer time would require rework of infofield format to allow longer transition counter SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete editors note delete reference to time (10ms, line 17) and (1ms, line 18) Proposed Response Response Status O also, page 102, lines 28 & 29, DISCUSS - this may have implications relative to prior decision on startup time. CI 98 SC 98.4.3.1 P 99 L 3 # 88 Proposed Response Response Status O Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Cl 98 SC 98.4.2.5.14 P 96 L 34 # 91 power backoff set size is incorrect (left over from prior version) Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X Change "approximately 6 dB steps" to "approximately 2 dB steps" Table 98-10 - we may want to revisit Recommended times, especially average times. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Propose Chair charter an ad hoc to come back with proposals before the next meeting. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 98 SC 98.4.5.2 P 104 L 13 # 92 Cl 98 SC 98.5.3.4 P 116 L 17 # 95 CME Consulting, Inc. CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Type TR Comment Type Comment Status X ER Comment Status X time associated with 50 complete quiet refresh signal periods is incorrect Figure 98-39 has mirrored y-axis label, and title still says "(update)" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to 512usec, or, better, define a term, N sym (proportional # symbols/sec) so that for fix v-axis on Flaure 98-39 Transmit PSD, and delete the word "(update)" from title 40G it is 512usec. Proposed Response Response Status o Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.1 P 117 L 1 # 93 C/ 98 SC 98.4.6.3 P 108 L 24 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting. Inc. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X BER is after LDPC and RS decoding which is in the PCS this isn't mentioned References in note 2 point to 10GigT link status variables SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy insert ", after LDPC and RS decoding, " between 10^-12 and "and sent to the XLGMII" replace with \_40GigT variables Proposed Response Response Status o Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 98 SC 98.5.4.4 P 118 L 4 # 97 CI 98 SC 98.5.3.2 P 114 L 51 # 94 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X 5 meters is probably not the right shortening to account for 2.5dB insertion loss at 40GBASE-T Scale transmitter linearity for frequency frequencies. Also, desire to be independent of both the test equipment and the transmission rate suggests the "helpful commentary" is less than helpful. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Discuss. Nominally this was related to distortion of the far-end signal and for safety should be > 33 dB (10dB better than threshold SNR) across the band to Nyquist. But, this is definitely an Delete "by approximately 5m" overkill safety margin and may be too high? (52 dB out to 200 MHz, then rolling off) Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Scale frequency (25 becomes 100MHz), and put a "TBD" next to it, unless there is

Response Status o

convergence on an alternate proposal.

Proposed Response

SC 98.5.4.5.1 C/ 98 P 118 L 21 # 98 Cl 98 SC 98.6.2 P 122 L 2 # 101 CME Consulting, Inc. CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X TIA has defined a direct attach cord channel, reflected in the draft, unaccepted text Technology message code name is specific to 10G/1000BASE-T. need a new name that can apply also to 40GBASE-T. See comment on 28C.11 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Accept the text inserted or alternate text referencing the TIA Category 8 direct attach channel. Change name to "Gigabit BASE-T Technology message code (Extended Next Page)" Delete the editors note. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 98 SC 98.6.1.1 P 119 L 8 # 99 Cl 98 SC 98.6.2 P 123 L 9 # 102 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting. Inc. Zimmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Editors note has served its purpose, accept text in section. Editors note has served its purpose SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete editors note and accept text. Delete editors note Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.6.1.2 P 119 L 48 # 100 CI 98 SC 98.6.2 P 123 L 24 # 103 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Editors note has served its purpose optional loop timing - make it mandatory SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete editors note fix references on lines 24, delete sentence beginning with "In the situation where one link partner supports..." through the sentence ending with "was not resolved." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

P 124 C/ 98 SC 98.7 L 3 # 104 Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.4.2 P 126 L 14 # 107 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type T Comment Type Comment Status X ER Comment Status X Reference to "additional requirements specified in this subclause" is dated to 10GBASE-T equations 98-16, 98-17, 98-18 say "Ig" rather than "log" running on cat 6. any link segment meeting the "requirements specified in this subclause" SuggestedRemedy should work. change equation to read "log 10" consistent with IEEE style SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status o replace "Class I 4-pair balanced cabling that meets the additional requirements specified in this subclause" with "Class I or other 4-pair balanced cabling that meets the requirements specified in this subclause". Cl 98 SC 98.8.1 P 131 L 39 # 108 Proposed Response Response Status O Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Status X C/ 98 SC 98.7.1 P 124 L 24 # 105 section does not implement resolution of motion 12 at September interim: "Move that 802.3bg Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. include the RJ-45 as reflected in IEC 60603-7-51 (published) with the improved characteristics and frequency extensions specified in 60603-7-81 (currently CDV draft) as an MDI interface" Comment Type T Comment Status X "additional requirements" relative to class I? I don't think we have any (apologies of the editor - I made this edit and it must have gotten lost in a crash...) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy delete "additional" - scrub document for other instances Replace first sentence ("Eight pin...") with: "Eight-pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 60603-7-51 with improved characterstics and frequency extensions specified in IEC 60603-Proposed Response Response Status O 7-81 (currently in CDV draft) shall be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling. Proposed Response Response Status o Cl 98 SC 98.7.2.4.1 P 125 L 46 # 106 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Cl 98 SC 98.8.2.3 P 134 L 50 # 109 Comment Type TR Comment Status X CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George pair-to-pair NEXT loss is unspecified (equation 98-15) Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy The requirement "A 40GBASE-T PHY shall be able to sustain" relates to the PHY not just the Specify pair-to-pair next loss consistent with MDNEXT loss in 98.7.2.4.2 MDI - as such it is misplaced. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Move lines 50 - 54 on page 134 and 1-3 on page 135 to 98.5.1 Isolation requirements, or, optionally, add a new clause after 98.5.1 to speak to this requirement. delete editors note Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 109

Page 18 of 22 10/25/2014 11:03:53 AM

SC C/ 99 P 3 L 36 # 110 Cl 98 SC 98.3.2.2.20 P 59 L 32 # 114 CME Consulting, Inc. Zimmerman, George Wu, Peter Marvell Comment Type E Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Status X Draft needs to add letters of amendment. (802.3bq) Text marked as pending approval SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Substitute 802.3bg for 802.3xx (global) Request to accept the text with some changes in the presentation of "RS code scheme to protect "un-coded" bits at 40GBASE-T" Proposed Response Response Status o Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 99 SC P 4 L 26 # 111 Cl 98 SC 98.5.4.5.1 P 118 L 28 # 115 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Belopolsky, Yakov Bel Stewart Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Status X List of amendments appears incomplete, 802.3bj, possibly others missing IEC/ISO TR 11801-99-01 Guidance for balanced cabling in support of at least 40 Gbit/s data SuggestedRemedy transmission recognizes Classes I and II and correspondingly components of categories 8.1 Check amendments listed and include all relevant ones or 8.2 can be utilized for a Short Reach Test Channel. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Replace "Category 8.1" with "Category I or Category II component Proposed Response Response Status O SC C/ 99 P 2 L 1 # 112 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. C/ 98 SC 98.7 P 124 L 39 # 116 Comment Type ER Comment Status X Belopolsky, Yakov **Bel Stewart** Table of Contents is a placeholder Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Fix production of book so Table of Contents is generated correctly 40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the ISO/IEC 111801 standard that specially supports 40G, that standard includes Class I and Proposed Response Response Status o Class II channels and, in fact, recognizes that components of category 6a and 7a or better can support such transmission. The statement that 40GBase is designed to operate over Class I cabling is incorrect C/ 99 SC P L 3 # 113 SugaestedRemedy Zimmerman, George CME Consulting. Inc. remove the " Class I" or replace with Class I or Class II Comment Type E Comment Status X Proposed Response Response Status O Amendment should be: bq, not X SuggestedRemedy Substitute in at appropriate phase of editing

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status o

Proposed Response

Comment ID 116

Page 19 of 22 10/25/2014 11:03:53 AM

C/ 98 SC 98.7.1 P 124 L 2324 # 117 Belopolsky, Yakov Bel Stewart Comment Type TR Comment Status X 40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the ISO/IEC 111801 standard that specially supports 40G, that standard include Class I and Class

Il channels and in fact recognizes that components of categories 6a and 7a or better can support such transmission. The statement t that 40GBase is designed to operate over Class I cabling is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

remove the " Class I" or replace with "at least Class I"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 98 SC 98.7.2 P 124 L 3042 # 118 Belopolsky, Yakov Bel Stewart

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the ISO/IEC 111801 standard that specially supports 40G, that standard include Class I and Class Il channels and in fact recognizes that components of categories 6a and 7a or better can support such transmission. The statement t that 40GBase is designed to operate over Class I cabling is incorrect

Table 98.18 is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

line 30 remove the "Class I" or replace with "at least Class I' Line 42 Table 98.18 remove Category 8 replace with ISO/IEC Classes I or II

Proposed Response Response Status o

SC 98.8.1 Cl 98 P 131.132 L # 119 Belopolsky, Yakov Bel Stewart

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the ISO/IEC 111801 standard that specially supports 40G, that standard include Class I and Class Il channels and in fact recognizes that components of categories 6a and 7a or better can support such transmission.

The reference to IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreened) and IEC 606-7-5 (screened) is not correct The use of unscreened connectors in the 2000MHz transmission is not supported by technical evidence known to the commenter.

The informational pictures 98-41 and 98-42 are misleading. The information on the recognized connectors is contained in the IEC/ISO TR 11801-99-01

( An animal with four legs is not always a horse)

SuggestedRemedy

remove pictures 98-41 and 98-42

Line 39 remove " IEC 60603-7-4 (unscreened) and IEC 606-7-5 (screened)" replace with "connectors recognized by IEC/ISO TR 11801-99-01"

preferred text: "connectors categories 8.1 or 8.2 recognized by IEC/ISO 11801

Line 41 remove the sentence starting with "These connectors are depicted...

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 99 SC Ρ L 28 # 120

Lusted, Kent Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status X

IEEE Std 802.3bj-2014 now exists. Add a reference

SuggestedRemedy

Add 802.3bj and the relevent supporting text.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 45 SC Table 45-7 P 20 L 21 # 121 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.1.2 P 25 L 10 # 124 Lusted, Kent Intel Lusted, Kent Intel Ε Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Type Comment Status X Description adds "40GBASE-T PMA" but the correct type selection should be "40GBASE-T Link to 98.3.6.3 is to wrong section. Loopback is 98.3.7.3. PMA/PMD". Note that the sentence immediately preceeding it for 10GBASE-T incorrectly references Listing PMA/PMD makes it consistent with 10GBASE-T, 1000BASE-T, 100BASE-TX, and 55.3.6.3. The correct 10GBASE-T reference is 55.3.7.3. other listings in Table 45-7 SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Point to 98.3.7.3 Change to "40GBASE-T PMA/PMD". Proposed Response Response Status o Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17.4 P 27 L 40 # 125 C/ 98 SC 98.1.3.1 P 50 L 22 # 122 Lusted. Kent Intel Lusted. Kent Intel Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Link to 98.3.6.1 is to wrong section. Variables is 98.3.6.2.2. or least in section 98.3.6.2. The The term "RS(140, 136, 2^1) code" is used without defining what RS is. The 802.3-2012 variable hi lfer is not in 98.3.6.1. base standard abbreviation list says RS is Reconciliation Sublayer. That doesn't make sense in this section where the text uses "RS-coded bits". RS must mean Reed Solomon. Note that the sentence immediately preceding it for 10GBASE-T incorrectly references 55.3.6.1. The correct 10GBASE-T reference is 55.3.6.2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please define the use of RS in this section as Reed Solomon, if necessary. Point to 98.3.6.2.2 or 98.3.6.2 Proposed Response Response Status o Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.17.5 P 27 L 52 # 126 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.68.1 P 23 L 8 # 123 Lusted. Kent Intel Lusted. Kent Intel Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Link to 98.3.2.3 is to wrong section. Variable definitions is 98.3.6.2.2. or least in section The last sentence references the LP information valid bit 1.129.0 and the TX power backoff 98.3.6.2. The variable block lock is not in 98.3.2.3. bits. backoff bits are now defined for 10GbT and 40GbT. however, the 1.129.0 bit is now the 10GBASE-T LP information valid bit. Another bit is defined for 40GBASE-T (1.129.1). Note that the sentence immediately preceeding it for 10GBASE-T incorrectly references 55.3.2.3. The correct 10GBASE-T reference is 55.3.6.2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add reference to 1.129.1, which is the 40GBASE-T LP information valid bit. Point to correct section. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 126

Page 21 of 22 10/25/2014 11:03:53 AM

SC 45.2.7.11.1 C/ 45 P 31 L 29 # 127 Lusted, Kent Intel Comment Type ER Comment Status X Added sentences uses "10GBASE-T" but should be "40GBASE-T". SuggestedRemedy Change to "40GBASE-T" Proposed Response Response Status o Cl 98 SC 98.3.5.3 P 70 L 20 # 128 Graba, Jim Broadcom Comment Status X Comment Type TR This EEE feature, to allow a PHY to request the link partner to leave LPI mode, has not been approved by the TF. SuggestedRemedy Discuss and vote on the inclusion of this feature. Proposed Response Response Status O CI 98 SC 98.7.2 P 124 L 28 # 129 Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X Consider whether Subclause 98.7.2 should include link segment transmission parameters

SuggestedRemedy

Discuss adding coupling attenuation and/or other characteristics as a transmission parameter(s) for shielded link segments.

Clause 98.7 states that 40GBASE-T is designed to operate over ISO/IEC 11801 Class I 4-pair balanced cabling, and defines a link segment based upon copper media specified by ISO/IEC JTC1/SC25/WG3 and TIA TR42.7. The corresponding draft specifications, PN-568-C.2-1, Draft 2.0c (to be published as ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1) and ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 25 DTR 11801-99-1 both include transmission requirements related to shielded implementations. The 40GBASE-T link segment should reflect those requirements and, of course, identify them as applying to shielded link segments.

Proposed Response Response Status O

appropriate for shielded cabling system characteristics.