Р Р SC # 482 C/ 00 L C/ 00 SC 0 L # 116 Brown, Matt APM Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A Format Comment Type TR Comment Status A 25G For Figure 113-1, use similar format/syntax for similar figures for other 25G, 40G, and 100G The objectives of the P802.3bg project were changed by motion #32 of the Berlin plenary to PHYs. As an example, see 802.3bx D2.1 Figure 80-1. include: "Support a data rate of 25 Gb/s at the MAC/PLS Service Interface SuggestedRemedy Define a single 25 Gb/s PHY supporting operation on the link segment" For XLGMII use superscript "1". Replace note "*XLGMII" with "NOTE 1--XLGMII is optional". Alternately, this is the only PHY This draft does not include a PHY to satisfy these objectives that states this in this particular diagram. Consider removing this note. SuggestedRemedy Change "FORTY GIGABIT" to "40 GIGABIT" Either: Response Response Status C remove the objectives ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. modify the project PAR and CSD responses to reflect the additional objectives and revise the Figure to be cleaned up to align with style of both 25G/40G/100G and 10GBASE-T PHYs. draft to include a suitable PHY Delete note "XLGMII is optional" with Response Response Status W Change FORTY GIGABIT to 40 GIGABIT ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Р C/ 00 SC 0 # 117 Objectives are removed AND PAR modifications were accidently omitted from motions at Berlin plenary - project CSD Anslow, Pete Ciena modifications were approved. Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Move project PAR for WG approval and progress project documentation at earliest opportunity. All ocurrences of "ordered_set" have been changed to "ordered set" in 802.3bx draft D3.0 C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 L 12 # 251 SuggestedRemedy Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Change all instances of "ordered_set" to "ordered set" throughout the draft. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Response Response Status W Awkward alignment. ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Make left justified rather than left right justified. Also title page 19. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 0 P 1 C/ 00 L 29 # 252 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Didn't edit the bracketed text SuggestedRemedy Replace bracketed text with: for Working Group balloting Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dup of comments 405, 474, 208

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **00** SC **0** Page 1 of 97

5/26/2015 8:39:56 AM

SC 0 P 1 SC 0 P 18 C/ 00 L 32 # 118 C/ 00 L 27 # 255 Anslow, Pete Ciena Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A **Format** The copyright year should be "2015" not "201x", "2014", or "2012" as it is in the various parts of Something crept into the definitions here, a space is needed between the number and title. the draft. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fix FrameMaker definitions. Change the variable "copyright_year" to "2015" in one of the Framemaker files, then with that Response Response Status C file open, in the left hand pane highlight all of the other files in the book and use File, Import, Formats, Deselect All, Variable definitions, Import. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to fix spacing in table of contents. Dup of 451 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dup of comment 388 C/ 00 SC 0 P 23 L 13 # 409 CME Consulting Zimmerman, George P 1 C/ 00 SC 0 L 54 # 388 EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Replace "as appropriate" with direct reference EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A Consider comment generic to editing instructions on the draft Copyright date is not 201x SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "as appropriate" by reference to where row or text is inserted Change to 2015 Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to review draft and replace 'as appropriate' with specific references and instructions. ACCEPT. Dup of comment 118 C/ 00 SC 0 P 25 L 54 # 453 C/ 00 SC 0 P 11 L 9 # 115 **Broadcom Corporation** Frazier, Howard Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A Copyright year is incorrect. Amendments to 802.3 are usually ordered with all of the clauses first and the Annexes second. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to 2015. Move all of the Annexes to be after Clause 113 Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 118

ACCEPT.

SC 0 P3# 114 P **4** C/ 00 L 1 C/ 00 SC 0 L 19 # 254 Anslow, Pete Ciena Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Might want to add an editors note here for the publication editor to insert descriptions of any As correctly indicated on Page 1, this will be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-201x (the outcome of the 802.3bx revision) rather than IEEE Std 802.3-2012. other amendments approved before or at the same SASB meeting (take text from the approved The headers in the draft incorrectly say "Draft Amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2012" amendment front matter). SuggestedRemedy Also, the header for the frontmatter is missing the "P" from "P802.3bg" See comment. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change all of the headers to say "Draft Amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-201x" ACCEPT. Change the frontmatter headers from: "IEEE 802.3bg 40GBASE-T Task Force" to: "IEEE P802.3bg 40GBASE-T Task Force". C/ 00 SC_0 P 6 L 13 # 227 Booth, Brad Microsoft This can be done by changing the odd and even page headers in the Clause 1 file to say ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status A "201x", then with that file open, in the left hand pane highlight all of the other files in the book and use File, Import, Formats, Deselect All, Page layouts, Import. I'm sure that there is a task force chair and an editor for this project whose names are not FirstName SecondName. :-) Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Add the name for the Task Force chair and editor. Also add the names of the 802.3 voters. SC 0 C/ 00 P3L 13 # 253 Response Response Status C Grow. Robert RMG Consulting ACCEPT. Dup of comments 53, 211, 406 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ C/ 00 SC 0 P 65 L 49 # 113 Typically this box uses the expected name of the standard with 201x year. (It would be helpful Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation publication editor to be consistent in use of 201x or 20xx. Though we aren't very close to Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 decade wrap. Punctuation - double periods/full stops at the end of various sentences. Locations include: we will have to start using 20xx in a few years. Page 65, Line 49 SuggestedRemedy Page 66. Line 36 Replace IEEE P802.3bg with IEEE Std 802.3bg-201x (or 20xx). Page 67, Line 14 Page 76. Line 14 Response Response Status C Page 172. Line 1 ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change the occurrences of ".." at the end of the sentences noted above to "." Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

SC 1.3 C/ 01 P 20 L 10 # 452 C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 20 L 8 # 228 Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Booth, Brad Microsoft Comment Type TR Comment Status A Cablingrefs Comment Type TR Comment Status R Cablingrefs The base standard lists ISO/IEC 11801:2002 Amendment 1:2008 and Amendment 2:2010, but Reference to ANSI specification is incorrect. This draft specification must reference an existing this draft lists ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3. Is the latest an Amendment or an Edition? specification or draft specification, not a pending specification. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Check and correct if necessary. Provide the correct reference. Response Status W Response Response Status W Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. Not to change, Edition 3 is an EDITION. It is the draft revision to ISO/IEC 11081:2002 that is Referenced document is a draft specification. in process reported in several liaison reports. C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 15 # 119 C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 20 L 11 # 229 Anslow. Pete Ciena Booth, Brad Microsoft Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Status R Comment Type T Cablingrefs Provide the information as to where in 1.4 the various new definitions should be inserted. Reference to ISO/IEC specification is incorrect. This draft specification must reference an Change the editing instruction accordingly existing specification or draft specification, not a pending specification. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: Provide the correct reference. "1.4.x 40GBASE-T:..." to: "1.4.72a 40GBASE-T:..." "1.4.x Category 8.1 balanced ..." to "1.4.131a Category 8.1 balanced ..." Response Response Status C "1.4.x Category 8.2 balanced ..." to "1.4.131b Category 8.2 balanced ..." REJECT. Replace the single editing instruction: "Insert the following new definitions into the list, in alphanumerical order:" to: Referenced document is a draft specification. "Insert the following new definition into the list after 1.4.72 40GBASE-SR4:" before 1.4.72a and: "Insert the following new definitions into the list after 1.4.131 Category 7A balanced cabling:" C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 20 L7 # 371 Response Response Status C Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies ACCEPT. Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablinarefs Should not reference draft documents

SuggestedRemedy

Add editors note that these two references will be updated before the end of sponsor ballot when the specifications are released.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add editor's note to be included consistent with other IEEE copper standards pointing to ISO/IEC references e.g., 802.3ba. Editorial license to implement.

F7

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Control of the Control of

SC 1.4 C/ 01 P 20 L 20 # 341 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 20 # 475 Lusted, Kent Intel Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. Comment Type Comment Status A Cablingrefs Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs The difference between the definition of Category 8.1 balanced cabling and Category 8.2 The text: "Category 8.1" is incorrect balanced cabling isn't obvious to the casual reader. It looks to me to be the same definition two SugaestedRemedy times. Replace "Category 8.1" with "Category 8" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Consider adding some text to each that helps the reader understand the difference between the two cablings. See comment#230 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 20 # 230 See comment#230 Booth, Brad Microsoft C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 # 244 L 20 Comment Type Comment Status A Cablingrefs TR HESS. DAVE CORD DATA Both Category 8.1 and 8.2 definitions have an editor's note stating that these definitions are forward-looking. There should not be any forward-looking definitions in the draft. The draft must Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs only reference existing information in standards or draft standards. Use correct references in definitions: SuggestedRemedy "Category n" refers to a cabling component, whereas "Class N" refers to the cabling. Correct these definitions to eliminate any requirement for the editor's note. SuggestedRemedy Response Status W Response change: "1.4.x Category 8.1 balanced cabling: Balanced 100 Ù cables and associated connecting ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. hardware whose transmission characteristics are specified up to 2,000 MHz ..." Delete definitions: "1.4.x Category 8.1 balanced cabling components: Balanced 100 Ù cables and associated 1.4.x Category 8.1 balanced cabling: connecting hardware, used in Class I cabling, whose transmission characteristics are specified 1.4.x Category 8.2 balanced cabling: up to 2,000 MHz ..." C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 21 Response Response Status C Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #230. Comment Type T Comment Status A **Format** It is not clear why we say "2,000 MHz" and not rather "2 GHz" SuggestedRemedy Change "2.000 MHz" to "2 GHz" in line 21 and 30 in definition of Category 8.1 and Category 8.2. There is no reason to spell out MHz when the number in GHz is much more readable. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 2000 MHz is used for consistency with the cabling specifications

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **01** SC **1.4**

Remove comma and write as 2 000 MHz per IEEE style guide.

See comment 120

Page 5 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:56 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Control of the Control of

SC 1.4 C/ 01 P 20 L 26 # 407 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 29 # 245 Zimmerman, George **CME** Consulting HESS, DAVE CORD DATA Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs Editors notes on lines 26 and 35 should be marked as being removed prior to publication Use correct references in definitions: "category n" refers to a cabling component, whereas "class N" refers to the cabling. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy insert "(to be removed prior to publication)" after "Editor's Note" on lines 26 & 35. change: Response Response Status C "1.4.x Category 8.2 balanced cabling: Balanced 100 Ù cables and associated connecting ACCEPT. hardware whose transmission characteristics are specified up to 2,000 MHz ..." "1.4.x Category 8.2 balanced cabling components: Balanced 100 Ù cables and associated C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 27 # 238 connecting hardware, used in Class II cabling, whose transmission characteristics are HESS, DAVE CORD DATA specified up to 2,000 MHz ..." Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ E Response Response Status C Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#230. SuggestedRemedy C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 36 # 239 replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC" CORD DATA HESS. DAVE Response Response Status C F7 Comment Type Comment Status A ACCEPT. Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC" C/ 01 # 476 SC 1.4 P 20 L 29 SuggestedRemedy Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. Replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC" Comment Status A Comment Type ER Cablingrefs Response Response Status C This entire paragraph is a duplicate of the text above and is unnecessary ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Remove paragraph and associated editor's note. Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#230.

C/ 01 SC 1.4.x P 20 L 21 # 120 C/ 01 SC 1.5 P 20 L 38 # 231 Anslow, Pete Ciena Booth, Brad Microsoft Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ The IEEE style manual includes: No abbreviations listed. 13.3.2 Numerical values SugaestedRemedy Digits should be separated into groups of three, counting from the decimal point toward the left and right. The groups should be separated by a space, and not a comma, period, or dash. If the Remove 1.5. magnitude of the number is less than one, the decimal point should be preceded by a zero. In Response Response Status C numbers of four digits, the space is not necessary, unless four-digit numbers are grouped in a ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 121 column with numbers of five digits or more. C/ 01 SC 1.5 P 20 L 40 # 121 Consequently, "2,000 MHz" should be "2000 MHz" on line 21 and on line 30. (Note, a comment has been submitted against 802.3bx D3.0 to remove the comma from Anslow, Pete Ciena 1.4.131) Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Also, "Clause 55" should be a cross-reference rather than forest green text on line 23 and line Either include some abbreviations to be added to 1.5 or remove it from the draft SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either include some abbreviations to be added to 1.5 and remove: Change "2,000 MHz" to "2000 MHz" on line 21 and on line 30 ABBR expanded version Make "Clause 55" a cross-reference on line 23 and line 32 [abbreviations use paragraph tag AcrList.ac] or remove 1.5 from the draft entirely Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 1 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 01 SC 1.4.x P 20 L 26 # 78 Add abbreviation to 1.5: ACRF Attenuation to Crosstalk Ratio - Far End Mark, Laubach **Broadcom Corporation** Delete ABBR abbreviation. Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablinarefs SC 1.5 C/ 01 P 20 L 41 # 419 Lines 26 and 34. These EN's aren't clear to me. Do they relate to the EN on Page 173 Line 46 about a future ISO/IEC document revision? Is this a warning that these definitions are going to Zimmerman, George CME Consulting be updated in the future or that they will become representative of TIA and ISO documents after Comment Type Comment Status A **Format** some future date or documentation release? Will these EN's be removed prior to publication? Abbreviation text is a placeholder. Abbreviations missing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Consider removal or update with "(to be removed prior to publication)" and fix clarity/purpose issues. Insert: "Editors Note (to be removed prior to publication): Abbreviations clause here is a placeholder for abbreviations new to this amendment to be added to IEEE Std. 802.3 -Response Response Status W Commenters should comment on and flag new abbreviations to be added" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comments 478 Replace "ABBR" abbreviation entry with: "xGBASE-T BASE-T Ethernet PCS/PMA/PMDs with 1000Mbps or greater speed" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Insert editors note, delete the abbreviation ABBR, and add abbreviations as other comments require.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **01** SC **1.5**

Page 7 of 97

5/26/2015 8:39:56 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Physical Layer and Managem

SC 1.2 C/ 113 P 72 L 10 # 57 C/ 113 SC 113 P 71 L 24 # 122 McDermott, Thomas Fujitsu Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type ER Comment Status A **PCS** Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Figure 113-1 does not show the RS-LPDC FEC PCS sublayer, as shown in figure 81-1 for There are many pieces of text in Clause 113 that are shown green that should be cross-40GBASE-T. references (for example "Clause 78" (2 instances) and "Clause 28" on page 71 and the five green items on page 73) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Revise figure 113-1 to include RS LDPC FEC PCS sublayer. Review every piece of green text in Clause 113 and convert those that exist in the draft to cross-Response Status W Response references. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Revise Figure 113-1 to show 40GBASE-T PCS Response Response Status C See comment 332 ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113 P 71 L 1 # 347 C/ 113 SC 113 P 94 L 1 # 125 Lusted. Kent Intel Anslow, Pete Ciena ER Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A **Format** The title "Physical Coding Sublaver (PCS). Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublaver. Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer, and baseband medium, type 40GBASE-T" While many figures in Clause 113 appear to be editable, so are not. This makes life very states that a PMD sublayer is present in the 40GBASE-T PHY. difficult for the editors of the revision project when figures need to be changed. The IEEE style guide recommends a minimum font size in figures of 8pt. Some figures in However, no PMD is listed in the architectural diagram nor specified in the text of Clause 113. Clause 113 have text with a much smaller size than this that is very difficult to read. SuggestedRemedy Clause 55 title does not use PMD nor it PMD defined in the Clause 55 text Make all of the figures in Clause 113 (with the exception of figures illustrating equations such as Figure 113-38) editable in FrameMaker. This includes Figures 113-8, 113-10, and 113-14. SuggestedRemedy Increase the font size of text in figures that is smaller than 8 pt. Suggest updating the title to align with clause 55. Something like "Physical Coding Sublayer Response Response Status W (PCS). Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublaver and baseband medium, type 40GBASE-ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to review figures for font sizes smaller than 8 pt. Editor to redraw figures as updated making them editable in FrameMaker. Also remove PMD reference in first and second paragraph of Cl 113.1 Response Response Status W C/ 113 SC 113. P 96 L 36 # 76 ACCEPT. Mark, Laubach **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Looking in latest 802.3bx draft, I think the cross-reference should be to Figure 81-4. SugaestedRemedy Correct crossref if needed. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Figure is 82-4 in bx draft 3.0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113. Page 8 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:56 AM

SC 113.1 P 71 C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 13 # 385 C/ 113 L 13 # 64 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ Is some augmentation specified in 113 not "appropriate"? 100ohm SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Remove "appropriate" Use correct format for "100ohm" Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. See comments 123 & 385 Delete: with appropriate augmentation as specified in C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 13 # 478 GraCaSI S.A. Thompson, Geoff Remove period: Page 71 L12 between "cabling as" Comment Type Comment Status A TR Cabling C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 13 # 214 There is no category of cabling mentioned as being required, it would seem that the text should Shariff, Masood CommScope call out Category 8 cabling should be called out. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Cablinarefs Addendum 1 is already encoded into the number ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1 where -1 means Change the text: "category" in this line to "Category 8". addendum 1. Adding addendum to this implies and addendum to this addendum. Also added Response Status W Response Category 8 to the title ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Change: Addendum 1: Specification for 100 ohm Category Cabling with appropriate See comment#214 augmentation as specified in 113.7. C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 13 # 86 To: Specification for 100 ohm Category 8 Cabling with appropriate augmentation as specified in Maguire, Valerie Siemon 113.7. Comment Type Comment Status A Cablinarefs Response Response Status C Standards names and the publication date are not needed in body text if the document is ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. referenced in the Bibliography. SuggestedRemedy Delete -201x Addendum 1: Specification for 100ohm Category Cabling Delete, "-201x Addendum 1: Specification for 100ohm Category Cabling" Response Status C In sentence-Addendum 1: Specification for 100 ohm Category Cabling with appropriate

augmentation as specified in

113.7.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#214

C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 13 # 123 C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 17 # 361 Anslow, Pete Ciena Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ "100ohm" should be "100", space, capital omega I believe these three things are plural "Together, the PCS, PMA and the PMD sublayer" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "100ohm" to "100", space, capital omega Change "sublayer" to "sublayers" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 385 ACCEPT. See comment 274 C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 16 # 274 C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 20 # 65 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Status A Comment Type E EΖ Comment Type TR Comment Status A EΖ The phrase "the type 40GBASE-T PCS, 40GBASE-T PMA, type 40GBASE-T PMD sublayer" No need to mention Cluase 30 here. is redundant. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "the type 40GBASE-T PCS, 40GBASE-T PMA, type 40GBASE-T PMD sublayer" on Replace line 16 with "the type 40GBASE-T PCS, PMA, and PMD sublayer". "Management is specified in Clause 30." Response Response Status C. "Management functions are optionally accessible through the management interface defined in ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Clause 45, or equivalent." Change as commenter describes, except "sublayer" should be "sublayers", per comment 361. Response Response Status W Delete reference to PMD per comment 347 if it is accepted. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 17 # 275 Text is consistent with Clause 55 but that is inconsistent with other 10G clauses. Commenter is encouraged to submit a maintenance request or comment on a revision draft to clause 55 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Status A Comment Type EΖ C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 28 # 225 The "the" before "PMD sublayer" on line 17 is not needed. Booth, Brad Microsoft SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Renive "the" before "PMD sublayer" on line 17. Auto-negotation is hyphenated. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete reference to PMD sublayer in entirety if 347 is accepted. Insert hyphen. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 8 # 384 C/ 113 SC 113.1.1 P 71 L 31 # 483 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Brown, Matt APM Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A ΕZ We finally get "CSMA/CD out of the standard title and yet we need it here? The objectives listed in this subclause are for the project, not for the PHY. In several recent Note that later clauses of Section 6 only use this phrase in the LAN Model figures. amendments a similar objectives subclause has been removed from existing sub-clauses as the new project has new objectives and it becomes difficult to keep this list current and not SuggestedRemedy included in new clauses. As an example see 802.3az (no project objectives in 78), 802.3bi Unless you can demonstrate Carrier Sense Multiple Access/Carrier Detect functionality strike (removed project objectives in 69.1.2), and 802.3by (deleted BASE-R FEC project objectives, this phrase. replaced with summary, no objectives in Clause 105). Response Response Status W The clause should list what is supported, not what was intended (objectives = "something that ACCEPT. one's efforts or actions are intended to attain or accomplish"). If a summary of the features is Recommend commenter submit maintenance or similar comment to Clause 55 on revision draft necessary these should be list as such, not as project objectives. C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 9 # 224 SuggestedRemedy Microsoft Booth, Brad Remove sub-clause 113.1.1. Add a summary of functions if the text in 13.1 is not sufficient. Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Response Response Status W Over-use of defining acronyms, PCS, PMA and PMD are defined in the title and don't need to ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. be redefined in the first paragraph. IEEE editor may catch these also. LATE COMMENT - TASK FORCE TO VOTE ON CONSIDERING SuggestedRemedy Review usage with editor and eliminate excessive definitions. 113.1.1 to be removed See comment 457 Response Status C Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 113 P 71 SC 113.1.1 L 31 Editor to review relative to existing IEEE Std. 802.3 style Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** C/ 113 P 71 SC 113.1.1 L 31 # 457 Comment Type TR Comment Status A Format **Broadcom Corporation** Frazier, Howard Objectives should not be listed anymore. Comment Type Comment Status A TR **Format** SuggestedRemedy We seem to have a new convention in the 802.3 WG of not including the project objectives in Remove 113.1.1 altogether - objectives are recored in project documentation and do not matter the amendment, so this subclause must be deleted. for definition of PHY. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status W

ACCEPT. See comment 457

Delete 113.1.1 Objectives.

Response

ACCEPT.

Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.1.1

Page 11 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:56 AM

P 72 C/ 113 SC 113.1.1 P 71 L 31 # 124 C/ 113 SC 113.1.2 L 7 # 66 Anslow, Pete Ciena Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type E Comment Status A Format Comment Type TR Comment Status A ΕZ XLGMII is never physically implemented. Recent amendments to 802.3 (802.3bi, 802.3bm, 802.3bw, 802.3by) have not included the project objectives in the draft and have removed some that were already there. SuggestedRemedy See 69.1.2 and 80.1.2 in IEEE Std 802.3bj-2014. See 96.1.1 in the compare version of P802.3bw D1.4. Change The 40GBASE-T PHY architecture specified in this standard is referenced to the XLGMII SuggestedRemedy interface, it is recognized that the XLGMII interface need not be physically implemented. Chip Remove 113.1.1 entirely. to chip interfaces based on other IEEE defined 40Gb/s PCS/PMA combinations which translate to XLGMII may be used Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 457 Tο The 40GBASE-T PHY service interface is the XLGMII, which is defined in Clause 81. The C/ 113 SC 113.1.1 P 71 L 40 # 269 40GBASE-T PHY may connect to the 40 Gb/s Attachment Unit Interface (XLAUI) defined in Annex 83B using the PCS defined in Clause 82. Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Clause 28 and 78 are amended by this draft and hence are not external cross-references. Remove "*XLGMII is optional" from Figure 113-1 Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status W ACCEPT. Point the "Clause 28" and "Clause 78" text to the appropriate cross-reference markers. P 72 # 276 This occurs several other times throughout the draft (other examples include but are not limited C/ 113 SC 113.1.2 L 9 to 113.1, 113.1.3, 113.1.3.1, 113.2, 113.2.1, 113.2.1.2). It is suggested that the external cross-Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of references in the draft be checked and updated as appropriate. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Response Response Status C A period "." is missing. ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Add a period "." at the end of line 9. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 72 L 42 # 362 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Is there some good reason to use 10000 Mb/s here rather than 10 Gb/s? It would certainly make the sentence more readable. SuggestedRemedy change 10000 Mb/s to 10 Gb/s Response Response Status C

ACCEPT. Dup of comment 67

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 Page 12 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:56 AM

L 42 # 484 SC 113.1.3 P 72 C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 72 C/ 113 L 45 # 279 Brown, Matt APM Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Per style manual section 13.3.2 "10000" should be "10 000". Words "auxiliary channel bit" and "auxiliary bit" are used inconsistently. Alternately, you can reduce the integer size by using "giga" instead of "mega". SugaestedRemedy Also, it more common and more concise to use "*baud" instead of "*symbols per second". Change "auxiliary channel bit" in the following locations with "auxiliary bit": SuggestedRemedy Change "10000 Mb/s" to "10 000 Mb/s" or "10 Gb/s". Page 72. line 45 Change "3200 Megasymbols per second" to "3 200 Megasymbols per second" or "3.2 Page 76, line 15 Gigasamples per second" or "3.2 Gbaud". Page 76. line 19 Use the same form throughout the clause (e.g., Figure 113-2). Page 90, line 46 Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Same usage occurs in Clause 55 - commenter may wish to file comments in revision or LATE COMMENT - TASK FORCE TO VOTE ON CONSIDERING maintenance P **72** Change format of 10000 to 10 Gb/s C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 L 52 # 458 Change 3200 to 3 200 Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** (maintain Megasymbols per second) Comment Type PCS TR Comment Status A Editor to review clause to maintain consistency Half of footnote 5 is useful infornation that should be moved into the body of the subclause, and C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 72 L 42 # 67 the other half is tutorial information that should not be included in the standard. Marris. Arthur Cadence SuggestedRemedy F7 Comment Type Т Comment Status A Move the sentence "5The DSQ128 symbols are obtained by concatenating two time-adjacent 1D PAM16 symbols and retaining among the 256 possible Change "10000 Mb/s" to "10 Gb/s" Cartesian product combinations. 128 maximally spaced 2D symbols." into the body of the SuggestedRemedy subclause immediately after "(double square 128).". Delete the remainder of the footnote. Change "10000 Mb/s" to "10 Gb/s" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Dup of comment 362 Move the sentence "5The DSQ128 symbols... spaced 2D symbols." into the body of the

subclause as suggested, and retain the remainder in the footnote.

P 76 C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 73 L 19 # 277 C/ 113 SC 113.1.3.1 L 1 # 68 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type т Comment Status R EEE Comment Type ER Comment Status A ΕZ "to signal an end to the LPI mode" seems wrong. Heading depth wrong. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "to signal an end to the LPI mode" on line 19 with Promote to heading 2: "to signal an end of the LPI mode". 113.2 Physical Coding Sublaver (PCS) Response Response Status C Consider promoting "113.1.3 Operation of 40GBASE-T" REJECT. Text is clear as is and is consistent with 802.3 Consider restructuring document to remove split between PCS description in the overview and later in the document. C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 75 L 24 # 363 Response Response Status W Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type Comment Status A FFF Change headings to read "Summary of Physical Coding Sublayer" so as not to be confused with 113.3 which specifies the PCS What is the meaning of the dotted boxes in Figure 113-3? do similar change to other 113.1.3.x titles Same issue with Figure 113-4 pg 82, Figure 113-5 pg 89 SuggestedRemedy C/ 113 SC 113.1.3.1 P 76 L 27 # 459 Explain what these boxes mean or remove. Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Response Response Status C PCS Comment Type TR Comment Status A ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In this paragraph we find a repetition of the text that appeared in footnote 5 on page 72. The last Note 2 explains these are only used if EEE or fast retrain options are enabled. sentence of this paragraph is tutorial in nature and does not belong in the standard. Insert text at end of Note 2: SuggestedRemedy "These are indicated by dotted boxes". Delete the sentence: "The resulting C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 75 / 45 # 398 checkerboard constellation is based on a lattice called RZ2 in the literature (see Forney [B31])." Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Response Response Status C Comment Type Т Comment Status R EΖ ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Move the sentence "The resulting..." to a footnote. In Figure 113-3 Note 1 refers to a "recovered clock arc". Is this synonymous with the recovered_clock signal? C/ 113 SC 113.1.3.1 P 76 L4 # 364 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A Ε EΖ Change "recovered clock arc" to "recovered clock signal" Clause 81 cross reference should be live (Cl 81 is included in the draft) and not forest green. Response Response Status C Same issue for pg 76 ln 44 REJECT. The meaning may be interpreted as same, but arc properly indicates the line on the SuggestedRemedy diagram, whereas signal connotes electrical properties. Per Comment Response Status C Response ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.1.3.1 Page 14 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:56 AM

C/ 113 SC 113.1.4 P 78 L 49 # 365 C/ 113 SC 113.12.1.2 P 187 L 20 # 308 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Why are you using an indirect cross reference here. "Clause 98" should be "Clause 113". "See the PCS reference diagram in 113.2." SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Clause 98" on line 20, page 187 with "Clause 113". Change to "see Figure 113-5" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.12.2 P 187 L 37 # 98 C/ 113 SC 113.11 P 185 L 46 # 237 McClellan, Brett Marvell Brown, Thomas Vitesse Semiconductor Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ TR Comment Type Comment Status R MGMT Loop timing is required, not an option. There is no need to list loop timing under Major The sum of transmit and receive delays shall not exceed 25 600 BT. capabilities/options. Also several PIC line items need to be corrected. SuggestedRemedy The number of BT's of delay should be specified as one number. delete row "*LT Support of loop timing" SuggestedRemedy Correct the sum of transmit and receive delays by specifying one number of BT. page 155 line 16 loop timing is required Response Response Status C delete "(if loop timing is supported)" REJECT. Practice is consistent with 10GBASE-T Phys and allows for implementation flexibility. page 159 line 16 delete "For a PHY that can operate in loop timing mode." C/ 113 SC 113.12 P 186 L 2 # 130 page 172 line 40 delete "and both devices have the same loop timing support," Anslow. Pete Ciena page 173 line 15 F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A delete "and identical loop timing support" The text after "Clause 113" in the title of 113.12 should match the Clause 113 title. page 193 line 21 delete rows containing MF9 and MF10 SuggestedRemedy page 194 line 43 Change: delete "Applicable only if loop timing is supported" "Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 113—Physical Response Response Status W Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer and baseband medium, ACCEPT. type 40GBASE-T" to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 113—Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer, Physical Medium

Dependent (PMD) sublayer, and baseband medium, type 40GBASE-T"

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

132 C/ 113 SC 113.12.2 P 187 L 37 C/ 113 SC 113.2.1.2.1 P 80 L 28 # 71 Anslow, Pete Ciena Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type TR Comment Status A EΖ PMA LINK.indication(link status) states that READY is a value it can take on. But then states *LT Support of loop timing is mandatory, so there shouldn't be a "No []" box that READY is not used by 40GBASE-T SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the "No { }" Removed READY from the list of values link status can PMA LINK.indication can take on. Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT. ACCEPT. See comment 485 for a complete remedy SC 113.2 L 10 C/ 113 P 186 # 131 C/ 113 SC 113.2.2.4.2 P 85 L 4 # 278 Anslow, Pete Ciena Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ The tables that should be on the first page of the PICS have moved to page 187 800 MHz is probably incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move the tables down to the "Date of Statement" row back on to page 186. (It may be that "Keep With Next Pgf" is checked for the heading 113.12.1.2 Protocol summary. Change "800 MHz" with "3.2 GHz". If so, uncheck it (you have to click the check mark twice) and if may fix this.) Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.15 P 98 L 24 # 282 C/ 113 SC 113.2.1.2.1 P 80 L 28 # 485 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of APM Brown, Matt Comment Type Comment Status A PCS Comment Type T Comment Status A F7 The second and third statements "A single XLGMII data transfers is encoded into each block. It If "READY" is not used for 40GBASE-T why is it listed in the 40GBASE-T clause? takes 256 PMA_UNITDATA transfers to send an LDPC frame of data." in the paragraph do not describe the transmit process well. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove READY from the sentence on line 25. Remove READY and description from the list on line 28. Change the second and third statements of the paragraph with the following: Response Response Status C 50 XLGMII data transfers are encoded into an RS-LDPC frame. ACCEPT. Dup of comment 71 It takes 256 PMA UNITDATA transfers to send an RS-LDPC frame of data. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to read, "50 XLGMII data transfers are encoded into an LDPC frame. It takes 256 PMA UNITDATA transfers to send an LDPC frame of data." (note the term LPDC frame is used throughout both Clause 55 and draft clause 113 to represent the framing structure including the uncoded or RS-FEC coded bits)

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Control of the Control of

C/ 113		C/ 113	
Comment Type T Comment Status A The ratio of transfer rates should be "25:128".	Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ Clean up figure 113-10, removing visible edges of boxes.		
SuggestedRemedy Change "25:64" on line 26, page 98 with "25:128".		SuggestedRemedy Clean up figure.	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.		Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
C/ 113		CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 98 L 38 # 284 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of	
Comment Type E Comment Status A ")" is used where "}" should be used.	EZ	Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ There are eight 65-bit blocks.	
SuggestedRemedy Change ")" in the following locations with "}":		SuggestedRemedy Change "four 65-bit blocks" on line 38, page 98 with "eight 65-bit blocks".	
Page 100, line 17 Page 100, line 31 Page 100, line 40		Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.		Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 98 L 41 # [285] Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of	
Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 100 L 3 # [286] Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of		Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ "tx_coded<512:0>" on line 41 should be "tx_xcoded<512:0>". SuggestedRemedy	
Comment Type E Comment Status A Header row is missing in Table 113-3 in page 100. Texts are not at the center in Table 113-3 in page 100. SuggestedRemedy	EZ	Change "tx_coded<512:0>" on line 41, page 98 with "tx_xcoded<512:0>". Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
Add a header row to Table 113-3 in page 100. Center the texts for both of left and right columsn of Table 113-3 in page 100.		Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 98 L 51 # [342] Lusted, Kent Intel	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.		Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ There is a red letter "5". I think it should be black text per the IEEE style guide. SuggestedRemedy Fix if necessary Response Response Status C	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 Page 17 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:56 AM

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 Healey, Adam	P 98 Avago Techno	L 51 ologies	# 270		C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.18 P 102 L 43 # 288 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of	
Comment Type E Comment Type Type Type Type Type Type Type Type	ment Status A			EZ	Comment Type E Comment Status A A period "." is missing.	ΕZ
SuggestedRemedy Revert to default font color (black).				SuggestedRemedy Add a period "." at the end of line 43, page 102.	
Response Response ACCEPT.	nse Status C				Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 99 Ciena	L 24	# 127		Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 103 L 20 # [289] Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of	
Comment Type T Comment Type Table 113-2 has no heading row	nent Status A to clarify what the co	lumns contain		EZ	Comment Type T Comment Status A The number above "PI" symbol is not readable in equation (113-3).	EZ
SuggestedRemedy Add an appropriate heading row Response Response ACCEPT.	nse Status C				SuggestedRemedy Make the number above "PI" symbol to be read as "5" in equation (113-3). Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 226	
Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 Anslow, Pete	P 99 Ciena	L 41	# 128		CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 103 L 20 # 226 Booth, Brad Microsoft	
Comment Type E Comment Type Table 113-3 is not formatted corn. The heading row does not have the The part of Table 113-3 that is or the title. The rows are centred on page 99	ne correct format (is page 100 should ha	ave (continued) in		<i>EZ</i> of	Comment Type E Comment Status A Equation 113-3 is chopped. SuggestedRemedy Fix.	EZ
SuggestedRemedy Correct the formatting of the head Place the cursor at the end of tab "Table Continuation" variable. Thi Make all rows centred.	ling row. le title on page 99. T	hen click on the V		sert	Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 289	

Response Status C

Response ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Physical Layer and Managem

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 103 L 21 # 290 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.21 P 105 L 24 # 292 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ A white space is missing between "output)" and "LDPC-coded bits" on line 24, page 105. The coefficient q 6 of x^6 is missing in equation (113-3). It is not necessary, because q 6 is always 1. SuggestedRemedy Add a white space between "output)" and "LDPC-coded bits" on line 24, page 105. However, it is recommended to include for consistency with figure 113-12. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Add coefficient g_6 in front of x^6 in equation (113-3). Response Response Status C C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.23 P 106 L 31 # 439 ACCEPT. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting SC 113.3.2.2.20 Comment Type E Comment Status A **PCS** C/ 113 P 103 L 36 # 291 References to LDPC framer blocks of purely 65B blocks should now be mixed 512B and 65B Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of blocks. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 SuggestedRemedy ")" is used where ">" should be used. Rename 65B-LDPC framer to block-LDPC framer in 113.3.2.2.23 title & paragraph SuggestedRemedy Change: "betewen the 65-bit width of the 65B blocks and the 4D-PAM16" to "between the mixed 513B and 65B blocks and the 4D-PAM16" (line 31) Change "<(185-i)8 + j)" with "<(185-i)8 + j>". Change "entirely of 64B/65B LDPC-encoded LP IDLE" to "entirely of RS-LDPC encoded Response Response Status C LP IDLE" (line 50, cl. 113.3.2.2.24) ACCEPT. Change "64B/65B encoding technique" to "mixed 512B/513B 64B/65B RS-LDPC encoding used in normal data mode"(p. 130, line 52, cl. 113.4.2.2.1) C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 103 L 45 # 129 Response Response Status C Anslow. Pete Ciena ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Status A EΖ Rename 65B-LDPC framer to block-LDPC framer in 113.3.2.2.23 title & paragraph Comment Type Ε Change: "betewen the 65-bit width of the 65B blocks and the 4D-PAM16" to "zeroes" should be "zeros' "between the mixed 513B and 65B blocks and the 4D-PAM16" (line 31) (See http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html) Change "entirely of 64B/65B LDPC-encoded LP IDLE" to "entirely of RS-FEC and LDPC SuggestedRemedy encoded LP_IDLE" (line 50, cl. 113.3.2.2.24) Change "64B/65B encoding technique" to "mixed 512B/513B 64B/65B RS-FEC and LDPC change "zeroes" to "zeros" encoding used in normal data mode"(p. 130, line 52, cl. 113.4.2.2.1) Response Response Status C ACCEPT. (see comment 332)

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Physical Layer and Managem

280 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.5 P 92 L 28 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.5 P 95 L 7 # 77 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Mark, Laubach **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A **PCS** Regarding "Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): Figure 113-9 shows the full set There is a meaningless mark above "Note: zero-pad replaced with random bits for transmission" in Figure 113-6 around line 28, page 92. of 32 bit block alignments n the anticipation of updating the document to include a 25Gbps rate which may be 32 bit aligned.". First "n" should be "in". Second, is there any technical impact SuggestedRemedy on this specification if Figure 113-9 is left as is and then remove this EN? Remove the mark above the note in Figure 113-6. SuggestedRemedy Remove EN if possible. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.5 P 94 L 3 # 437 Fix 'n' to "in". Purpose of note is to avoid comments deleting extra block alignments until 25GBASE-T PAR is Zimmerman, George CME Consulting resolved. EN to be removed at that time. Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.8 P 96 L 33 # 126 Clean up format of figure 113-8, including aligning with text to show first encoding in 64/65b frames, then 512/513b transcoding, aligning boxes and lines, and pointing to RS parity bits Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Redraw figure with suggested corrections. The note is not formatted correctly. "40Gbps" should be "40 Gb/s" Response Response Status C "zeroes" should be "zeros" ACCEPT. (See http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html) "Transmission" should be "transmission" C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.5 P 94 L 30 # 281 "64 bit alignment" should be 64-bt alignment" Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 Change "Note: " to "NOTE—" where "—" is an em dash (Ctrl-q Shft-q). Apply the paragraph Tag "Note" (9 pt font). Lower left part of Figure 113-8 is blurred. Change: SuggestedRemedy "For 40Gbps Transmission, 64 bit alignment ..." to: Use a higher resolution to import the lower left part of Figure 113-8. "For 40 Gb/s transmission, 64-bit alignment ..." Change "zeroes" to "zeros" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to redraw and generally clean up figure 113-8. See comments ACCEPT. 125 and 437

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.3 P 108 L 37 # 295

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type T Comment Status A EEE

7 LDPC frames is not consistent with 6 LDPC frames on line 51, page 106. lpi_tx_sleep_timer also has duration of 6 LDPC frame periods.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "7 LDPC frames" with "6 LDPC frames" on line 37, page 108.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SLEEP was changed to 6 LDPC frame periods in adoption of graba 3bg 01 0714.pdf

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.3 P 108 L 8 # 293

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type T Comment Status A PCS

The statement "One XLGMII data transfer is decoded from each block." does not describe the PCS receive function well.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the statement "One XLGMII data transfer is decoded from each block." with the following:

50 XLGMII data transfers are decoded from one RS-LDPC frame.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

50 XLGMII data transfers are decoded from one LDPC frame.

Editor to check correct nomenclature for LDPC frame.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.3 P 108 L 9 # 294

Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The XLGMII and PMA sublayer data rate ratio should be 25:128.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "25:64" on line 9, page 108 with "25:128".

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Training

The optional periodic training sequence in this text is identical to the 10GBASE-T periodic training that was added to Clause 55 based on a vendor proposal:

http://www.ieee802.org/3/an/public/nov04/ungerboeck 1 1104.pdf slide 23

However, the same vendor recently reported that the periodic training sequence is not used by any 10GBASE-T device and is not suitable for adapting equalizer and canceller coefficients.

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bq/public/jul14/souvignier_3bq_01_0714.pdf slide 3
If requested by the link partner a local device is required to transmit the periodic training sequence resulting in poor adaptation of echo and NEXT cancellers at the local device.

Further, 10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T share one advertisement bit for the periodic training request from the link partner. Since 10GBASE-T PHY's cannot work with the periodic training, a 10G/40G capable PHY will never advertise the periodic training.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate the optional periodic training sequence.

113.3.4 PMA training side-stream scrambler polynomials remove text:

"Moreover during Auto-Negotiation each transceiver may request the remote transceiver to reinitialize the values of its scrambler state after every 16384 symbol periods, to generate a periodically repeating pattern with repetition period 16384. The initial 33-bit values of the scrambler state shall be generated by combining 0x39A422 for the 22 MSBs and random value SB10-SB0 from Table 113-20 generated by the local device for the 11 LSBs as shown in Figure 113-14."

Figure 113-14

remove text from "n mod 16384 = 0" through "else:"

113.3.5.3 Refresh period signaling

delete the text:

F7

"The training sequence without periodic reinitialization described in 113.3.4 shall be used during the LPI mode, with the scramblers free-running starting in the state PMA_PBO_Exch. If scrambler reinitialization is used for normal training, it shall be disabled and the scramblers shall begin free-running when the PHY Control state diagram is in the state PMA_PBO_Exch and the receiver detects a valid requested transmitter PBO setting (Oct 7 Valid<7> equal to 1)."

113.4.2.5.15 page 141 line 15

change "The training sequence without periodic re-initialization described in 113.3.4 shall be used

during fast retraining, with the scramblers free-running from PCS Reset. If scrambler reinitialization is used for normal training, it shall be disabled and the scramblers shall begin free-running when the PHY Control state diagram enters the PCS_Test state and the variable fr active is FALSE."

to "The training sequence in 113.3.4 shall be used during fast retraining, with the scramblers free-running from PCS Reset."

113.6.1 Support for Auto-Negotiation

page 168 line 38 delete item c)

Table 113-20 in row U20 change text from "LD PMA training reset request"

to either "10GBASE-T LD PMA training reset request" or "This bit is not defined for 10GBASE-T but reserved for future use." depending on resolution to comment on 10GBASE-T periodic

113.12.3 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS)

delete the line items:

PCT19 PMA training scrambler reset

PCT31 Disable scrambler reinitialization

under "PCT30 LPI scrambler" delete the text:

"The training sequence without periodic re-initialization described in 113.3.5 shall be used"

Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See comment 84.

Periodic training sequence for 40GBASE-T was modified during d1.1.1 comment resolution to address issues with 10GBASE-T periodic training.

C/ 113 SC 113.3.5.3 P 114

L 39

370

Remein. Duane

Huawei Technologies

Comment Type

Comment Status A

F7

Apparently October is a very important month for 40G Eth. (does it work during Nov-Sep too?) :-

So I see 51 instance of this undefined abbreviation of Octet. Surely 102 characters won't break the bank and cause an overdraft of characters!

SuggestedRemedy

Change all 51 instance of "Oct" to Octet

There are a few instance of "octet Oct" (ex pg 134 ln 50, pg 134 Ln 17, 26, & 35) which could probably be shortened to Octet

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Commenter may consider this text is also in Clause 55 on revisions of 802.3

And thank you for the laugh after 100 comments on missing or double periods.

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2

ER

P 115

L 20

386

Huawei Technologies

Comment Type

Remein, Duane

Comment Status A

Constants, variables and functions should be using paragraph tag (style) DefinitionList "For the lists of constants, variables, functions, counters, timers, etc. use the Paragraph Tag DefinitionList."

SuggestedRemedy

Use the proper paragraph styles per the current template.

Response ACCEPT. Response Status W

Editor to review draft for proper paragraph styles prior to publication.

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.1 P 115

CME Consulting

L 24

422

Zimmerman, George

Comment Type T

Comment Status A

PCS

F7

EΖ

blocks don't go to LDPC encoder anymore, now they go to the transcoder and framer first

SuggestedRemedy

Change "to the LDPC encoder" to "to the 512B/513B transcoder and block-LDPC framer" (or 65B-LDPC framer if previous comment on 113.3.2.2.23 is not accepted) - in 4 places, EBLOCK_T, LBLOCK_T, LPBLOCK_T, IBLOCK_T

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.2 P 117

L 31

296

Hidaka, Yasuo

Fuiitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type

Comment Status A

Indentation is not correct for line 31 through line 38 in page 117.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following indentation:

One level to line 31, page 117. Two levels to line 33, page 117.

Three levels to line 35 through 38, page 117.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT. See comment 481

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.2 P 117 L 31 # 481 Zhang, Jin Marvell Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ The indentation for fr sigtype does not match other variables. SuggestedRemedy Indent fr sigtype and its definition as per the other variables. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 296 for more detailed remedy L 44 C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.3 P 117 # 387 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Stray colon: "Ifer timer:" This appears to be a common error through the draft; some counters have the colon some do not. SuggestedRemedy Strike all stray colons Regardless of the accepted remedy be consistent throughout the draft. Response Response Status W ACCEPT. SC 113.3.6.4 # 460 C/ 113 P 123 L 27 Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type TR Comment Status A EEE In Figure 113-17 there is a entry tag "E" into the state TX_E, but I can't find an exit tag "E" in either part a or part b of the state diagram. (I note that there is an "E" exit tag in part b of the receive diagram.) SuggestedRemedy Remove the tag "E" from the entry conditions to the state TX E in Figure 113-17. Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

Commenter may wish to pursue comment as maintenance to Clause 55 or as a comment on 802.3bx. This appears to have been introduced in 802.3az-2010, and gone unnoticed until now.

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.4 P 125 L 1 # 462

Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Format

My sympathies to the editor who drew the state diagrams. I know it isn't easy. I observe that the state diagrams look somewhat crowded, with transition conditions overlapping arcs. I think that the diagrams would benefit from being expanded in both dimensions to reduce crowding.

SuggestedRemedy

Expand state diagrams in both dimensions to reduce crowding.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor to review diagram to expand vertically but keep within a single page.

Note that state diagrams are consistent with style and density of 802.3 standard in other clauses. These particular state diagrams are identical to those in clause 55, and are less crowded than others in IEEE Std. 802.3

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.4 P 125 L 34 # 461
Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

In Figure 113-19, two of the arcs exiting from the RX_E state are missing a space in "C+". In fact, this whole state diagram has several instances where a space is missing between an operator and operand. Look for "C+" and "T*".

SuggestedRemedy

Look for "C+" and "T*" and change to "C +" and "T *".

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Figure is identical to that in clause 55 - commenter may wish to file maintenance or comments on revision currently in process

Format

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Control of the Control of

C/ 113 SC 113.3.7.2 P 123 L 17 # 367 C/ 113 SC 113.3.7.2 P 126 L 40 # 368 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EEE Figure 113-20, Figure 113-21, Figure 113-33 have no dashed line while Figure 113-18 does. Misc issues in Figure 113-17 1) There appear to be two different dashed boxes, I assume there only one is intended. All are only for EEE. Presentation should be consistent 2) Transition from TX C to TX D does not connect to TX C state. SuggestedRemedy 3) Dashed line below TX E (around T TYPE(tx raw)=LI) crosses state transition Add a dashed box to Figure 113-20, Figure 113-21, & Figure 113-33 $T_TYPE(tx_raw) = (E + S).$ Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Figurs are identical to that in clause 55 - commenter may wish to file maintenance or 1) Use the same pen for all dashed boxes comments on revision currently in process 2) Connect the line 3) omit the extended leg up from the dashed box (use a simple rectangle) C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.2 P 129 L 45 # 369 While not required it might be easier to read the transition states out of TX_E if the exit paths ran horizontally for a bit and conditions were all above the lines as is done for (T TYPE(tx raw) Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies = LI) exiting TX T state. Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Response Response Status C No reason this cannot be a live reference "45.2.1.7.4" ACCEPT. See comment 297 SuggestedRemedy Make live and remove forest green C/ 113 SC 113.3.7.2 P 123 L 19 # 297 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Dashed lines in Figure 113-17 at the middle left and the bottom left are inconsistent with the C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.5 P 134 L 26 # 106 bottom right and not clear. Lo. William Marvell Semiconductor SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status A FFF Use the same dashed line at the middle left and the bottom left in Figure 113-17 ad the bottom right. Figure 113-26 LPI Disable Time There is no text to describe this variable. Response Response Status C Page 115 line 2 references this but does not contain sufficient details. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 367 for more complete remedy There should at least be some description specifying the PCS behavior when host concurrently exits and re-enters LPI while the LPI disable mechanism is active C/ 113 P 124 SC 113.3.7.2 L 20 # 399 SuggestedRemedy Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Propose deletion of this feature as detailed behavior is not specified. Comment Type Comment Status A Format 1) Change LPI Disable Time in Figure 113-26 back to reserved 2) Delete "with the exception that the InfoField consists Exit condition from TX L. T TYPE(tx raw) = (C + D + E + S + T) is different from the exit of a sequence of 128 zeros except when the PHY wishes to signal the link partner to leave LPI state tx lpi active. These lines should not be connected. mode. " in line 33-34 page 114. SuggestedRemedy 3) Delete lines 1, 2, 3 page 115. Redraw loop tx lpi active line so it does not connect to the exit transition from TX L Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.5 Page 24 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:56 AM

Format

C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.5.13 P 138 L 1 # 463 Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type Comment Status A

In Figure 113-18 there are several polylines that have an arrowhead in between the begining and the end of the polyline, because they were drawn as a series of individual line segments.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the extraneous arrowheads by either changing the end style or redrawing as polylines.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor believe that commenter means Figure 113-28, based on page and description.

C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.5.15 P 141 L 5

McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Status A Comment Type TR Training The current text for fast retrain has the THP enabled during the PMA_Coeff_Exch state. During normal link training, the THP is bypassed in PMA Coeff Exch state enabling the receiver to determine the optimal DFE/THP for the link conditions. Allowing the local device to request the

link partner to bypass the THP during fast retrain in the PMA Coeff Exch state will enable the

receiver to determine the optimal DFE/THP for the link conditions.

SuggestedRemedy change "After completing the link failure signal the PHY shall transition to the

PMA_Coeff_Exch state, keep its THP turned on with its previously exchanged coefficients, and send PAM2 signaling within a time period equivalent to 9 LDPC

frame periods."

to "After completing the link failure signal the PHY shall transition to the PMA Coeff Exch state. If the link partner requested THP bypass during fast retrain the PHY will bypass the THP (or set THP coefficients to zero) during the PMA Coeff Exchstate state. Otherwise the PHY will keep its THP turned on with its previously exchanged coefficients, and send PAM2 signaling within a time period equivalent to 9 LDPC frame periods."

Response Status W Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Commenter to provide text to implement a link partner to requesting THP bypass.

note - provided by comment 92

C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.5.6 P 136 L 5 # 298 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type Comment Status A

The right most column of Table 113-10 is narrow to fit the header row in two lines.

SuggestedRemedy

Increase the width of the right most column of Table 113-10.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.4.5.1 P 145 L 30 # 464 Dai, Shaoan Marvell

Comment Type Comment Status A TR **PMA**

Missing a definition for pma reset which appears in Fig 113-29.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following definition:

"pma reset

Allows reset of the PHY Control and Link Monitor state diagrams.

Values: ON or OFF"

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Correction was made during 802.3bx WG balloting to Clause 55.

ΕZ

Comment Type T Comment Status A Cabling

Delay skew does not match Category 8 specs in draft 3.1

SuggestedRemedy

Change: shall not exceed 2.9 ns at all frequencies from 2 MHz to 2000 MHz. It is a further functional requirement that, once installed, the skew between any two of the four duplex channels due to environmental conditions shall not vary more than 3 ns within the above requirement.

To: shall not exceed 4.8 ns at all frequencies from 2 MHz to 2000 MHz. It is a further functional requirement that, once installed, the skew between any two of the four duplex channels due to environmental conditions shall not vary more than 0.5 ns within the above requirement.

The value 4.8 is calculated as follows: 13.5*5/30+2*1.25=4.8

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

To: shall not exceed 4.8 ns at all frequencies from 2 MHz to 2000 MHz. It is a further functional requirement that, once installed, the skew between any two of the four duplex channels due to environmental conditions shall not vary more than 0.5 ns within the above requirement.

C/ 113 SC 113.4.6.1 P149 L 37 # 442

Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Format

In Figure 113-29, all arcs must enter the top of the state and exit from the bottom of the state, but this was not done for the state PMA_INIT_FR.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the arcs so that they enter the top and exit from the bottom of the state PMA INIT FR.

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Figure is identical to that in clause 55, as well as similar in style to many updated at the same time in 802.3az (Clause 78) - commenter may wish to file maintenance or comments on revision currently in process

Cl 113 SC 113.4.6.1 P 149 L 50 # 443

Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A Format

In Figure 113-29, the state diagram has instances where a space is missing between an operator and operand.

SuggestedRemedy

Look for "minwait_timer_done*" and change to "minwait_timer_done *".

Comment Status A

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type

C/ 113 SC 113.4.6.1 P149 L8 # 441

Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Format

In Figure 113-29, the entry tag "I" should not appear on the arc going from the PCS_Data state to the INIT_MAXWAIT_TIMER state but must instead have it's own arc that goes directly into the top of the INIT_MAXWAIT_TIMER state. I realize that this is a crowded diagram.

SuggestedRemedy

Give the entry tag "I" its own arc into INIT MAXWAIT TIMER.

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Figure is identical to Figure in Clause 55, and in the revision draft, without comment, commenter may wish to address with comments on revision or maintenance.

299 C/ 113 SC 113.5 P 154 L 33 C/ 113 SC 113.5.3.2 P 158 L 38 # 343 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Lusted, Kent Intel Comment Type Comment Status A PMA Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ The statement "Common-mode tests use the common-mode return point as a reference." on Title of 113.5.3.2 has a lower case first letter. line 33, page 154 is out of context and not clear. SugaestedRemedy Change "transmitter nonlinear distortion" to "Transmitter nonlinear distortion" There is not definition of the common-mode return point. There is no nearby descriptions about common-mode tests. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dup of comment 416 It should be moved to an appropriate location with a referenct to the defnition of the commonmode return point, or removed. C/ 113 SC 113.5.3.2 P 158 L 47 # 424 SuggestedRemedy CME Consulting Zimmerman, George Remove the statement of "Common-mode tests use the common-mode return point as a Comment Type T Comment Status A reference" on line 33, page 154. **PMA** Equation 113-9, needs to be frequency scaled to get the same SNR due to transmitter Response Response Status C nonlinear distortion as 10GBASE-T, as flagged by editors note. Editor's note has served its ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. purpose. Editor to search for any tests left hanging and reinsert statement there if needed. SuggestedRemedy C/ 113 SC 113.5.2 P 155 L 2 # 415 In Equation 113-9: change f/25 to f/100 Zimmerman, George **CME** Consulting Delete editors note, lines 47-50 Comment Status A ΕZ Response Response Status C Comment Type E ACCEPT. Name of register 1.132 is incorrect relative to clause 45 register name SuggestedRemedy C/ 113 SC 113.5.3.2 P 158 L 47 # 444 Change "(40GBASE-T Control Register)" to "(10G/40GBASE-T test mode register)" Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Response Response Status C PMA Comment Type TR Comment Status A ACCEPT. If the editor's note is correct, then this draft was not ready for WG ballot. SC 113.5.3.2 P 158 L 37 SuggestedRemedy C/ 113 # 416 Zimmerman, George **CME** Consulting If the note is false, then remove it. If it is true, then fix the SFDR and restart the WG ballot, Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. missing capitalization in title See comment 424 SuggestedRemedy replace "transmitter" with "Transmitter"

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT. Dup of comment 343

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4 P 160 L 49 # 425 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.3 P 161 L 32 Zimmerman, George **CME** Consulting Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type Comment Status A Cabling Comment Type TR Comment Status A 113.7 does not specify patch cabling and interconnecting hardware. It specifies the link If the editor's note is correct, then this draft was not ready for WG ballot. segment as a whole. Cabling specifications describe the patch cabling and interconnecting SuggestedRemedy hardware. (same issue exists in clause 55) If the editor's note is incorrect, then remove it. If the editor's note is correct, then "confirm the SuggestedRemedy source-adjustment criteria, measurement points, and levels used with the clamp methodology in Change "using patch cabling and interconnecting hardware that is within the limits specified in this subclause" and restart the WG ballot. 113.7" to "through link segments that are within the limits specified in 113.7". Response Response Status W (consider maintenance request to clause 55 as well). ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Remove editor's note. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. •Include the proposed text in cibula 3bg 02a 0515.pdf as an informative Annex Replace: patch cabling and interconnecting hardware •Update 113.5.4.3 to reference the informative Annex as shown on slide 16 of cibula_3bq_02a_0515.pdf To: cabling Including editors notes to highlight technical issues is consistent with 802.3 practice in working C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.3 P 161 L 22 # 246 group ballots. CORD DATA HESS. DAVE C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.5 P 162 L 33 Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Include all cabling standards designations Comment Type T Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy "both short reach test channels" - there is only one, and it is specified in 113.5.4.6 change: SuggestedRemedy "Category 8 channel" Change "through both short reach test channels" with "through a (short reach) link segment "ISO/IEC Class I / ISO/IEC Class II / TIA Category 8 channel" meeting the requirements specified in 113.5.4.6". Response Status C. Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Overtaken by events. See comment#445. See comment 97. C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.3 P 161 L 22 # 85 Maquire, Valerie Siemon Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Cablinarefs "Category" is usually not capitalized when used mid-sentence.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SuggestedRemedy

Response

Replace "Category" with "category"

Overtaken by events. Text replaced by comment#445.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status C

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.5 Page 28 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

445

426

Shortreach

PMA

Shortreach

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.5 P 162 L 37 # 446 Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation**

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Shortreach

Subject/verb agreement problem in the sentence: "The short reach link segment meeting the transmission requirements in 113.5.4.6 are specified to support up to 5 meters."

SuggestedRemedy

Delete this sentence, and add change the text of 113.5.4.6 to read:

"The short reach cable assembly contains balanced twisted-pair terminated in a connector at each end for use as a short reach link segment of up to 5 meters in length between MDIs."

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with comment#97

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.5 P 162 # 427 L 40 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Register 1.131 (Phy Short reach mode) is misnamed, and also needs 40G inserted in clause 45 definition (45.2.1.64.2)

SuggestedRemedy

Change "PHY short reach register setting" to "PHY short reach mode register setting". Insert text to Clause 45.2.1.64.2, after "The short reach mode of the 10GBASE-T PHY provides a means for operation on a cable plant that has

parametric performance equivalent to 30 m of Class F and Class EA cabling as defined in 55.5.4.5.":

"The short reach mode of the 40GBASE-T PHY provides a means for operation on a link segment that has

parametric performance equivalent to a 5m direct attach cable assembly specified in 113.5.4.6."

Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 97

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6 P 162

Comment Status A

L 42

97

McClellan, Brett Marvell

TR

Shortreach

Subclause 113.5.4.6 Direct attach cable assembly and subclauses 113.5.4.6.1 through 113.5.4.6.14 specify a link segment, not receiver electrical specifications. The appropriate locations for this section is under Subclause 113.7 Link segment characteristics.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Move Subclauses 113.5.4.6 through 113.5.4.6.14 into 113.7.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Move to 113.7 and relable as 'short reach/direct attach link segment specifications'. Check all references to 113.5.4.6 to refer to new subclause under 113.7. Check all references to short reach test mode.

Editorial license to implement.

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6 P 162 L 43 # 447

Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation**

Comment Type Comment Status R Shortreach

Use of the term "direct attach cable assembly" will cause confusion in the industry. The industry generally regards a DAC cable as being constructed of two twin-axial cables, not a short segment of 4 twisted pair.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the subclause heading to be "Short reach cable assembly" and change the text of the subclause to read:

"The short reach cable assembly contains balanced twisted-pair terminated in a connector at each end for use as a short reach link seament of up to 5 meters in length between MDIs."

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Direct attach subclause to remain:

Direct attach usage consistent with definitions in

specifications for 100 Ω Category 8 Cabling (TR42.7-2015-04-04x-Category-

8 d3.1 Copyright.pdf) direct attach: A reduced channel definition that includes plug connectors at the beginning and end of the channel and does not contain connecting hardware within the channel.

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6 P 162 L 43 # 448 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.1 P 163 L 15 # 303 Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type TR Comment Status A Shortreach Comment Type Comment Status A Cabling The description of the short reach cable assembly should not be a subclause of the receiver 2dB on line 15, page 163 is inconsistent with 3dB defined in TR42.7-2015-04-04x, Draft 3.1, electrical specifications. Instead, it should be a subclause of 113.7 Link segment section 6.4.2, line 1444, page 53. characteristics. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the line 15 as follows: Move all of 113.5.4.6 and its subclauses under 113.7. Calculations that result in insertion loss values less than 3 dB shall revert to a requirement of Response Response Status W 3dB maximum. ACCEPT. See comment 97. This is consistent with TR42.7-2015-04-04x, Draft 3.1, Section 6.4.2, line 1444, page 53. Response Response Status C SC 113.5.4.6.1 P 163 L 12 C/ 113 # 301 ACCEPT. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Т Comment Status A Cabling C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.1 P 163 L 2 # 300 B is not defined for f less than 10 MHz. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Change " $10 \le f \le 500$ " on line 12 with " $1 \le f \le 500$ ". The outer most "(" and ")" of equation (113-13) are not necessary. SuggestedRemedy This is consistent with TR42.7-2015-04-04x, Draft 3.1, Table 96, page 79. Remove the outer most "(" and ")" of equation (113-13). Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.1 P 163 L 13 # 302 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.14 P 167 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of L 12 # 469 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type Т Comment Status A Cabling Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ B has large discontinuity at f = 500. Also, the definition of B for f > 500 is inconsistent with TR42.7-2015-04-04x. Correct text: Change PSANEXT to PSAACRF SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "- 0.000605 x sqrt(f)" with "+ 0.000605 x f". Replace PSANEXT with PSAACRF in sentetnce: When the computed PSAACRF value at a certain frequency exceeds 75 dB, the PSANEXT result at that This is consistent with TR42.7-2015-04-04x, Draft 3.1, Table 96, page 79. frequency is for information only. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.14 P 168 L 1 # 240 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.2 P 163 L 25 # 304 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs Comment Status A Cabling Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC" Return loss is not defined for f < 10. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC" Change " $10 \le f \le 25$ " on line 25, page 163 with " $1 \le f \le 25$ ". Response Response Status C This is consistent with TR42.7-2015-04-04x, Draft 3.1, Table 53, page 52. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#243 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.14 P 168 L 14 # 219 Shariff, Masood CommScope C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.4 P 164 L 10 # 216 Comment Status A Comment Type Т Cabling Shariff, Masood CommScope Equation 113-25 needs to be updated to match TIA-568-C.2-1 draft 3.1 ΕZ Comment Type E Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Missing spec for 2000 MHz Change equation 113-25 to SuggestedRemedy PSAACRF => 61-20log(f/100) Change < 2000 to <= 2000 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.2 P 163 L 25 # 215 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.5 P 164 L 33 # 217 CommScope Shariff, Masood Shariff, Masood CommScope Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Type F7 Comment Status A F7 RL for direct attach link segements has duplicate specs at 25 MHz and 1000 MHz Missing spec at 2000 MHz SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change < 2000 MHz to <= 2000 MHz Change <= 25 to < 25 and <= 1000 to < 1000 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Use consistent notation for symbols in equations (e.g., =,>,etc)

1000<f <= 2000 does not include 1000 25<f <= 1000 does not include 25

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.5 P 64 L 27 # 468 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Correct table 113-18 heading SuggestedRemedy Change: NEXT loss TO: MDNEXT loss Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 113.5.4.6.9 P 166 L 18 # 440 C/ 113 CME Consulting Zimmerman, George Comment Status A Comment Type Cabling Description of PSACRF in terms of pair-to-pair ELFEXT is redundant

SuggestedRemedy

Minimize redundancies in 113.5.4.6.x sections.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(1)See comment#472 to correct 113-21

(response to remedy)PSACRF is limit (113-20) and 113-21 is calculation of impairmants to compare against the limit.

Response to add clarification and remove subclause 113.5.4.6.9 (which may be considered a redundancy)

(3-1)Delete subclause text "113.5.4.6.9 Multiple disturber power sum equal level far-end crosstalk (PS ACRF)"

(3-2)Move equation 113-21 before 113-20

(3-3)Move text "PS ACRF is determined by summing the power of the three individual pair-topair differential ACRF values over the frequency range 1 MHz to 2000 MHz as follows in Equation (113–21) after sentence "To ensure

the total FEXT coupled into a duplex channel is limited, multiple disturber ACRF is specified as the power

sum of the individual ACRF disturbers.

SC 113.5.4.6.9 C/ 113 P 166 L 18 # 472 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type ER Comment Status A ΕZ Change variable name EI to ACRF to align with parameter name SuggestedRemedy Change variable name EI to ACRF and in equation 113-21 Response Response Status W ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.6 P 168 L 20 # 401 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Status R Comment Type TR MGMT This para make is sound like CI 45 and MDIO are required for 40G. However CI 45 is optional

I his para make is sound like CI 45 and MDIO are required for 40G. However CI 45 is option for all subsequent clauses.

See related comment against Cl 28D.8 pg 28 ln 12

SuggestedRemedy

Create a cross reference table (for example see 82.3.1 PMD MDIO function mapping Table 82-10 and elsewhere in Section 6 of the Std that lists required variables and their corresponding MDIO registers.

Response Status W

REJECT.

Statement is clear that the functions MAY BE provided by Cl 45, language and definitions are consistent with existing language in Clause 55.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.6 Page 32 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

C/ 113 SC 113.6.1 P 168 L 37 # 417 Zimmerman, George **CME** Consulting

Comment Type Comment Status D

Comment Type TR Comment Status A MGMT

autonegotiation doesn't determine whether the local PHY performs or supports a capability, it is either to ADVERTISE whether the local PHY performs or supports, or, alternatively whether the REMOTE PHY performs or supports, or, alternatively, whether the local PHY performs these functions, not whether it supports them...

SuggestedRemedy

change "determine" to "advertise" in items c, d, and e.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Usage of 'support' is consistent with other clauses of IEEE Std. 802.3

C/ 113 SC 113.6.1 P 170 L 5 Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type Comment Status D **Autonea**

Autonea

In anticipation of 25GBASE-T being added to .3bq project, and allocating two AN bits for 40GBASE-T not currently in D2.0 (fast retrain and repeat train - separate comments to D2.0) and respective AN bits for 25G (4), MC9 would be full (no spare bits). Consider taking a new message code and define AN bits that may be more friendly to modern higher speed PHY types, e.g. 10G/25G/40GBASE-T. Note: Not a part of this comment, but if the comment is accepted, then consider coordinating the effort with overlapping project 802.3bz anticipated PHY types of 2.5G and 5G that may serve 1G/2.5G/5G/10GBASE-T.

SuggestedRemedy

Define a new extended message code (other than MC9) that serves 40GBASE-T AN requirements, along with 10G, 1G, and anticipated 25GBASE-T inclusion.

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Task force to consider proposal along with comments 92 & 105

C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.1 P 168 L 43 # 402 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

This statement requires Cl 45 which is optional for all Eth.

"A 40GBASE-T PHY shall use the management register definitions and values specified in Table 113-19."

SuggestedRemedy

See comment against Cl 113.6 pg 168 ln 20.

Scrub the draft for any statements that require CI 45 and reword to require variables rather than CI 45 registers.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert "When Clause 45 registers are implemented" so that it reads:

"When Clause 45 registers are implemented, a 40GBASE-T PHY..."

C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 P 169 L 24 # 95 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type Comment Status A

xGBASE-T

"10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T formatted Extended Next Page" should be "xGBASE-T technology message Extended Next Page" so that it matches the change made in 28C.11 similary page 169 line 27

"40GBASE-T message page exchange" should be "xGBASE-T technology message Extended Next Page exchange"

SuggestedRemedy

page 169 line 24 change "10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T formatted Extended Next Page" to "xGBASE-T technology message Extended Next Page"

line 27 change "40GBASE-T message page exchange" to "xGBASE-T technology message Extended Next Page exchange"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to "MultiGBASE-T and 1000BASE-T technology message Extended Next page" since new definition does not include 1000BASE-T (see comment 6)

C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 P 170 L 20 # 79

Kim, Yong Broadcom

Presumed 10G values, U20 LD PMA traning reset request, U19 Fast re-train ability, U18 PHY

(description) to be clearer to the readers that those bits are for 10GBASE-T, and not 40GBASE-

T (and not 1000BASE-T, 100BASE-TX, etc). Note: Fast re-train for 40G needs to added (the

Short reach mode, and U17 loop timing ability, should add "10GBASE-T" in their Name

ability being per-PHY ability), and separate comment is submited for that.

Response Status C

Add the word "10BASE-T" to U20, U19, U18, and U17 Names.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

Autoneg Comment Type

C/ 113

Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor

omment Type TR Comment Status A Training 40GBASE-T specifies option to reset training PRBS. However it is not clear such bit is defined in table 113-20

P 170

L 20

107

SuggestedRemedy

Option 1:

In bit U20 rename "LD PMA training reset request" to

"40/10GBASE-T LD PMA training reset request"

SC 113.6.1.2

The rationale of sharing the same bit for both speeds is that any implementation that prefers one way for one speed will most likely prefer the same way for the other speed. There is no need to specify a separate bit for 10G and 40G.

Option 2:

Remove the option to reset PMA training PRBS every frame in 40GBASE-T

Commenter is ok if either option 1 or 2 adopted.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment 84.

Task Force to discuss with 93 & 84

Straw Poll:

Allocate a new autoneg bit (U21) for 40GBASE-T LD PMA training reset request

OR

Remove the option to reset PMA training PRBS every frame in 40GBASE-T

Move to remove the option to reset PMA training PRBS every frame in 40GBASE-T

M: Brett McClellan

S: William Lo

Y: 13

N: 6

A: 17

MOTION FAILS (Technical >= 75%)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 Page 34 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 P 170 L 41 # 80 Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Autonea

U13 - Port Type bit (1 = Multiport device, 0 = single-port device) -- following all the references to 45.2.7.10.3 and 40.5.1.1 and few other references, there is no clarity on what Multiport device is when: Multiport device supports a two technology ability. 40.5.1.1 is clear in the context of 1000BASE-T and solely for 1000BASE-T. 10GBASE-T duplicates these bits and make no clarification on how definition changes (or NOT change) when mixed 1000BASE-T and 10GBASE-T are implemented in the device. Addition of 40GBASE-T to this mix without clarification would be confusing.. i.e. if a device has two ports, one 1G/10GBASE-T and one 10G/40GBASE-T only port(for example), and the 10G/40GBASE-T negotiates at 40GBASE-T on one port, does it set multiport? Also the definition from the 1000BASE-T convevs "PREFERNECE" context, and that is not present in this section (unless you follow nested references). The intent is was to allow favoring multiport device to be MASTER, if so desired. So clarify that, no technical change, and move forward re-using this bit for 40G (or any other ability).

====== for easy reference, 40.5.1.1 copied here ======

(1000BASE-T) 40.5.1.1 table entry states:

Bit 9.10 is to be used to indicate the preference to operate as MASTER (multiport device) or as SLAVE (single-port device) if the MASTER-SLAVE Manual Configuration Enable bit, 9.12, is not set.

Usage of this bit is described in 40.5.2

1=Multiport device 0=single-port device"

SuggestedRemedy

Either a) delete "1= multiport device, and 0 = single-port device) and replace it with direct reference to 40.5.1.1 (and leave the 45.2.7.10.3 reference as is). OR. b) copy the text from bit 9.10 of 40.5.1.1 for U13.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Copy text from bit 9.10 of 40.5.1.1 for U13.

Commenter is advised he may wish to submit a comment on the revision draft for Clause 55 or a maintenance request, since same issue exists in Clause 55.

C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 P 170 L 5 # 105 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

40GBASE-T fast retrain bit not defined in Auto-Negotiation page

SugaestedRemedy

See Lo 3bg 01 0515.pdf for alternate scheme and McClellan 3bg 01 0515.pdf for proposed

Recommend fast retrain and EEE bits to be exchanged in InfoField during training instead of during Auto-Negotiation

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Task Force to consider presentation consider along with comments 92 & 81

84 C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 P 170 L 5 Kim. Yona Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Training

Autoneg

"repeat training" capability as presented in

http://www.ieee802.org/3/ba/public/jul14/souvignier_3bq_01_0714.pdf

was adopted by a motion (in minuites) in

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bg/public/iul14/unconfirmed minutes 3bg 0714.pdf

So unless there were a committee action to reverse this requirement (the commenter is not aware of such) and in which case, this comment is to be withdrawn by the commenter, this ability needs to be defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Please do so (add a 40GBASE-T repeat-train ability).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Allocate a new autoneg bit (U21) for 40GBASE-T LD PMA training reset request Add Editor's note (to be removed prior to publication) that if other PHY projects wish to use the PMA training reset request feature, they may use this same bit.

Cl 113 SC 113.6.1.2 P 170 L 5 # 83

Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Autoneg

Fast re-train for 40GBASE-T needs to added (the ability being per-PHY ability).

SuggestedRemedy

Please do so (add a 40GBASE-T Fast re-train ability).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 79, Task Force to discuss autonegotiation of features and whether bits are joint for 10G/40G or separate.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Autoneg

Advertisement of 40GBASE-T EEE should be moved from the xGBASE-T technology message extended next page exchange to an Infofield message exchange during link training. See presentations: Lo_3bq_01_0515.pdf and McClellan_3bq_01_0515.pdf EEE capability exchange is not necessary prior to the start of link training. Similary 40G fast retrain capability should be part of an Infofield message exchange. By moving these capability exchanges to the Infofield we can free up enough bits in the xGBASE-T technology message to advertise 25G, 2.5G and 5G speeds. Without this change a new technology message will be required for 25G, 2.5G and 5G.

SuggestedRemedy

See presentations for text and figure changes: Lo_3bq_01_0515.pdf and McClellan_3bq_01_0515.pdf text changes required are as follows:

page 48 line 42

change "Bit 7.32.3 is used to select whether or not Auto-Negotiation advertises the ability to support 40GBASE-T fast retrain."

to "Bit 7.32.3 is used to select whether or not the 40GBASE-T PHY advertises the ability to support 40GBASE-T fast retrain. Fast retrain ability is exchanged during link training. See 113.4.2.5.10."

page 51 line 9 Clause 45.2.7.13 change "113.6.1; U21" to "113.4.2.5.10; Infofield Octet 12 bit 7"

page 51 line 32 Clause 45.2.7.14

change "28.2.3.4.128; U3 / 113.6.1; U24" to "113.4.2.5.10; Infofield Octet 12 bit 7"

NOTE: 28.2.3.4.128 does not exist

page 71 line 26 Clause 113.1

change "Configurations wishing to disable fast retrain on the link may do so by advertising lack of support in Clause 28 AutoNegotiation,thus preventing the link partner from attempting fast retrain and potentially dropping the link."

to "Configurations wishing to disable fast retrain on the link may do so by advertising lack of support in register 7.32, thus preventing the link partner from attempting fast retrain and potentially dropping the link. See 45.2.7.10."

page 78 line 16 Clause 113.1.3.3

change "Support for the EEE capability is advertised during Auto-Negotiation." to "Support for the EEE capability is advertised in the Infofield (Octect 12 bit 7) during the PMA_PBO_Exch state.

page 134 Clause 113.4.2.5 line 4 change "Reserved" to "Reserved / Ability"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 Page 36 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Research Physical Researc

line 26

change "LPI Disable Time" to "Reserved / Ability / LPI Disable Time"

page 137 line 20 Clause 113.4.2.5.10 change

"113.4.2.5.10 Reserved Field

All InfoField fields denoted Reserved in Figure 113-24, Figure 113-25, and Figure 113-26 are reserved for future use. This includes octets Oct11 and Oct12 when Coeff exchange<2>=0. Oct9<3:2> when transition counter is announced and [Oct9<3:0>, Oct10<7:0>] when no transition is announced and no coefficients are exchanged."

"113.4.2.5.10 Ability Field

Ability field (1 octet). Represented by the octet Oct12{EEE Ability<7>. THP Bypass Reguest<6>,Fast Retrain<5>, Reserved<4:0>}. Used to advertise the abilities of the PHY during the PMA PBO Exch state when Message<7:6> = 01.

For every other state, this octet is set to zero and ignored by the link partner. The Ability bits are defined as follows:

Oct12<4:0> = Reserved

Oct12<5> = Fast Retrain

0 = Fast Retrain not supported

1 = Fast Retrain supported

Oct12<6> = THP Bypass Request in PMA Coeff Exchstate

0 = Local device requests link partner not to bypass THP during fast retrain

1 = Local device requests link partner to bypass THP during fast retrain

Oct12<7> = EEE Ability

0 = EEE not supported

1 = EEE supported

113.4.2.5.11 Reserved

All InfoField fields denoted Reserved in Figure 113-24. Figure 113-25, and Figure 113-26 are reserved for future use. This includes octets Oct11 and Oct12 when Coeff exchange<2>=0 and Message<7:6>~= 01. Oct9<3:2> when transition

counter is announced and [Oct9<3:0>, Oct10<7:0>] when no transition is announced and no coefficients are exchanged."

page 139 line 6 Clause 113.4.2.5.14

change "minwait_timer expires. In the PMA_PBO_Exch state,"

To "minwait timer expires. In the PMA PBO Exch state while Infofield Message<7:6> = 01, the PHY advertises EEE and Fast Retrain capability in octet 12 of the Infofield. When both the local device and remote device advertise EEE capability then EEE is supported. When both the local device and remote device advertise Fast Retrain capability then Fast Retrain is supported. In the PMA PBO Exch state."

page 141 line 5 Clause 113.4.2.5.15

change "After completing the link failure signal the PHY shall transition to the PMA Coeff Exch state, keep its THP turned on with its previously exchanged coefficients, and send PAM2 signaling within a time period equivalent to 9 LDPC frame periods." to "After completing the link failure signal the PHY shall transition to the PMA INIT FR state

followed immediately by the PMA Coeff Exch state. If the link partner requested THP bypass for fast retrain the PHY will bypass the THP (or set THP coefficients to zero). Otherwise the PHY will keep its THP turned on with its previously exchanged coefficients, and send PAM2 signaling within a time period equivalent to 9 LDPC frame periods."

page 168 line 39 Clause 113.6.1 delete items d) and e)

page 170 line 6 Clause 113.6.1.2

set U25 to "Reserved, transmit as 0" (was EEE ability)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Consider with Comments 105 & 81 Task Force to consider presentations

Straw Poll:

Accept as is 19

Accept in principle w/o EEE 0

Reject & accept new XNP 4

Reject all 0

Motion to accept comment 92

M: Brett McClellan

S: Jon Lewis

(Technical > 75%)

Y: 24

N: 3

A: 10

MOTION PASSES

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 Page 37 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

Autonea

Cl 113 SC 113.6.1.3 P 171 L 15 # 96

McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Somehow this paragraph originally from Clause 40 lost some important information in the Clause 55 and 113 versions.

Original:

"40.5.1.3 Sending Next Pages

Implementers who do not wish to send additional Next Pages (i.e., Next Pages in addition to those required to perform PHY configuration as defined in this clause) can use Auto-Negotiation as defined in Clause 28 and the Next Pages defined in 40.5.1.2. Implementers who wish to send additional Next Pages are advised to consult Annex 40C."

Also note the change in "implementer" per Maintenance draft 2.1

SuggestedRemedy

change text from

"113.6.1.3 Sending Next Pages

Implementors who do not wish to send additional Next Pages (i.e., Next Pages in addition to those required to perform PHY configuration as defined in this clause) can use Auto-Negotiation as defined in Clause 28."

to

"113.6.1.3 Sending Next Pages

Implementers who do not wish to send additional Extended Next Pages (i.e., Extended Next Pages in addition to those required to perform PHY configuration as defined in this clause) can use Auto-Negotiation as defined in Clause 28. Implementers who wish to send additional Extended Next Pages may do so using the AN XNP transmit registers. See 45.2.7.8."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In addition to suggested remedy, editor to scrub draft for instances of "implementor"

C/ 113 SC 113.6.2 P171 L 38 # 273

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type T Comment Status A xGBASE-T

I do not agree to use abbreviation of xGBASE-T, because there are many xGBASE-T to be defined in near future, and it is not clear which xGBASE-T will be included.

I think it is safe to consider for each description for each technology rather than just using abbreviation.

If we are motivated to use an abbrevation to represent some common abstraction, we should give a clear definition of the abstraction rather than just using abbreviation.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "xGBASE-T" on line 13 thru 15 with "40GBASE-T/10GBASE-T/1000BASE-T".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment 6

C/ 113 SC 113.6.2 P172 L 40 # 418

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Loop timing support is mandatory in 40GBASE-T. "both devices have the same loop timing support" is text left over from 10GBASE-T.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete: "and both devices have the same loop timing support"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.7 P 173 L 36 # 477
Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Thompoon, Coon

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs

through line 45.

The third and fourth sentence of this paragraph are confusing and are an unnecessary addition to the standard global definition in clause 1.4.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove sentences 3 and 4

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

EΖ

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Research Physical Researc

C/ 113 SC 113.7 P 173 L 41 # 247 C/ 113 SC 113.7 P 173 L 44 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA Maguire, Valerie Siemon Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs Comment Type Comment Status A Update ISO/IEC standard. A "casual" reference to the Standard title should not appear here. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy change: Delete, "Category 8 Cabling". "ISO/IEC/TR 11801-9901: Information technology - Generic cabling for customer premises -Response Response Status C Part 9901: Guidance for balanced cabling in support of at least 40 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Overtaken by events. See comment#214. Gbit/s data transmission." C/ 113 SC 113.7 P 173 L 47 "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3: Information technology - Generic cabling for customer premises -Part 1: General requirements," **Broadcom Corporation** Mark, Laubach Response Response Status W Comment Type Comment Status A TR ACCEPT. The Editors note mentions "pending". This raises the question to me of: do we need to pause on 802.3bg until ISO/IEC publication or can we proceed, and if so how far? What is the C/ 113 SC 113.7 P 173 L 42 # 88 technical dependency of Table 113-22 with respect to the planned date of the publication of the Maquire, Valerie Siemon ISO/IEC document? SugaestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A Cablinarefs Please give some reviewers some guidance and update the editors note. Refering to the ISO/IEC/TR 11801-9901 guidelines is problematic in that the channel performance information in this document is only described to 1.6GHz and, thus, is Response Response Status W incompatible with the link segment characteristics defined in 113.7. ISO/IEC/TR 11801-9901 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor's notes deleted in comment#88 guildeines are anticipated to be rolled into ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3 and will be correctly

SuggestedRemedy

referenced to 2GHz.

Replace, "ISO/IEC/TR 11801-9901: Information technology - Generic cabling for customer premises - Part 9901: Guidance for balanced cabling in support of at least 40 Gbit/s data transmission." with "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3".

And, delete Editor's note on lines 46 and 47.

Response Status C Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment 247 addresses document reference change.

Delete Editor's note on lines 46 and 47.

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

SC 113.7.1

Comment Status A The text is incorrect. What is required is not 4 cables of a single twisted pair each. that is implied from the text. What is required is cabling constructed with four pair balance twisted

P 173

L 51

pair cable. SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type TR

C/ 113

Change the text: "4 pairs of balanced cabling" to "4 pair balance cabling"

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Align text with P173-L36 - 40GBASE-T is designed to operate over 4-pair balanced cabling....

Change: The cabling system used to support 40GBASE-T requires 4 pairs of balanced cabling

To: The cabling system used to support 40GBASE-T requires 4-pair balanced cabling....

87

91

479

Cablingrefs

Cablingrefs

Cabling

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Control of the Control of

C/ 113 SC 113.7.1 P 174 L 3 # 480

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Cabling

It says in this line that 40GBASE-T uses "star topology". That is untrue. It uses point-to-point topology as do ALL 802.3 devices which utilize "Link Segments".

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "star" with "point-to-point"

Response Status W Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: a) 40GBASE-T uses a star topology with balanced cabling listed in Table 113–22 used to connect PHY

entities.

To: a) 40GBASE-T uses balanced cabling listed in Table 113-22 in a star topology to connect PHY entities.

C/ 113 SC 113.7.2 P **122** L 0

Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies

Comment Type Comment Status A

Figure 113-16 has variable lfer_timer_done and lfer_time_not_done neither of these are defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to the following to the Ifer timer definition:

When the timer reaches it's terminal count it will set Ifer timer done = TRUE

Change the Ifer timer not done to !Ifer timer done in the Figure

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Same defect exists in Clause 55 for 125us timer states. Commenter is encouraged to consider maintenance or comments on revision for Clause 55

SC 113.7.2 C/ 113 P 174 L 19 # 89 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Cablingrefs

The layout of Table 113-22 is not harmonized with the layout of Table 55-17. As a result, users familiar with the 10GBASE-T table may look at the 40GBASE-T table and mistakeningly believe that only one grade of cabling supports 40GBASE-T. Eliminate this potential for confusion by revising the table to show separate rows for "Class I / Category 8" and "Class II". In addition. the cabling references in column 3 should be updated to align with the name of the reference Standard.

SuggestedRemedy

Column 1:

Cabling

Class I / Category 8

Class II

Column 2:

Supported link segment distances

30 m

30 m

Column 3:

ΕZ

Cabling references

ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3 / ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1-201x

ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement rows in table 113-22 as follows:

Class I / Class II 30 m ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3 Category 8 30 m ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1

C/ 113 SC 113.7.2 P 174 L 23 # 241 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC". Complete "Category 8", should be "TIA Category 8" SuggestedRemedy Table 113-22 Replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC" in 3 places Table 113-22, row 2, column 1 Replace "ISO Class I / Category 8" with "ISO/IEC Class I / TIA Category 8" Response Response Status W ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.7.2.1 P 174 L 40 # 242 CORD DATA HESS, DAVE Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC"

Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC"

C/ 113 SC 113.7.2.3 P 175 L # 220 Shariff, Masood CommScope

Comment Type т Comment Status A

Duplicate specifications at 10, 100, 1000 MHz because of using the <= sign for the upper frequencies in the ranges

SuggestedRemedy

Replace <= at these upper frequencies in the ranges with < in equatin 113-27

Also update equation 113-27 to use the latest TIA-568-C.2-1 draft 3.1 RL equations for consitency with equations other paramters.

1 <= f < 10 19.0 10 <= f < 40 24-5log(f) 16.0 40 <= f < 130 130 <= f < 1000 35-9log(f) 8 dB 1000 <= f <= 2000

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace <= at these upper frequencies in the ranges with < in equatin 113-27 Also update equation 113-27 to use the latest TIA-568-C.2-1 draft 3.1 RL equations for consitency with equations other paramters.

Add Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): The Task Force is awaiting final resolution on this equation 113-27 by ISO/IEC SC25 WG3, expected in September 2015.

C/ 113 SC 113.7.2.3 P 175 L 3 # 305 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A "10" of "log10" should be subscript.

SuggestedRemedy

Use subscript for "10" of "log10" in the following locations:

2 locations in equation (113-27), line 3, page 175 2 locations in equation (113-28), line 28, page 175 2 locations in equation (113-29), line 40, page 175 2 locations in equation (113-30), line 2, page 176 2 locations in equation (113-31), line 28, page 176 2 locations in equation (113-32), line 40, page 176 2 locations in equation (113-33), line 3, page 177 2 locations in equation (113-37), line 15, page 178 2 locations in equation (113-38), line 37, page 178

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

EΖ

Cabling

C/ 113 SC 113.7.2.4.5 P 178 L 28 # 470 C/ 113 SC 113.7.3.1.1 P 180 L 1 # 423 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type T Comment Status A Cablingrefs Change variable name EI to ACRF to align with parameter name Annex 55B does not provide information on the PSANEXT calculation. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change variable name EI to ACRF and in equation 113-38 Delete "Annex 55B provides additional information on identifying the number of adjacent link segments to consider in the PSANEXT calculation." Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SC 113.7.2.4.5 P 178 L 47 C/ 113 # 411 C/ 113 SC 113.7.3.2 P 180 L 45 # 243 CME Consulting Zimmerman, George HESS, DAVE CORD DATA Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs title is incorrect relative to abbreviation and content Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Multiple disturber power sum equal level far-end-crosstalk (PS ACRF)" to "Multiple disturber power sum attenuation to crosstalk ratio, far-end (PS ACRF)" Replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC" Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT. Dup of 473 ACCEPT. Editors to review document for other instances for consistency. C/ 113 SC 113.7.2.4.6 P 178 L 47 # 473 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications C/ 113 SC 113.7.3.2.1 P 180 L 30 # 471 Comment Type T Comment Status A EΖ DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications subclause heading incorrect F7 Comment Type ER Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Change variable name EI to AACRF to align with parameter name Change: 113.7.2.4.6 Multiple disturber power sum equal level far-end crosstalk (PS ACRF) SuggestedRemedy To:113.7.2.4.6 Multiple disturber power sum attenuation to crosstalk ratio. far-end (PS-ACRF) Change variable name EI to AACRF and in equation 113-42 Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT. Dup of 411 ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Control of the Control of

C/ 113 SC 113.7.3.2.1 P 181 L 5 # 412 Zimmerman, George **CME** Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Text says PSANEXT, but is obviously about PSAACRF. SuggestedRemedy

Change, "the PSANEXT result at that frequency is for information only." with "the PSAACRF result at that frequency is for information only."

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.7.4 P 181 L 32 # 413 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type Comment Status A Cablingrefs Use of the ambiguous term "channel"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "on the same channel." to "on the same balanced twisted pair."

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.8.1 P 182 L 3 # 404 Belopolsky, Yakov Bel Stewart Comment Type TR Comment Status R MDI

40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the ISO/IEC 111801 standard that includes Class I and Class II channels and in fact recognizes that components of categories 6a and 7a or better can support such transmission. The IEC 60603-7-81 is not published, very limited technical data is available for such connectors
Connectors with mechanical interface specified in the IEC61076-3-110 have a better balance (no-split pair issues) and support more robust channel transmission performance. Numerous presentations were given to IEEE illustrating the superior transmission performance. The reliance on the only one connector type will result in the limited deployment of the 40GBASE-T technology

Figures 113-40 & 113-41: The informational figures 113-40 and 113-41 are misleading.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove pictures 113-40 and 113-41 Line 6 remove the sentence starting with "These connectors are depicted...."

Line 4 add "Eight -pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 61076-3-110 (published) shall be used as an alternative mechanical interface to the balanced cabling"

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Motion: Add in 113.8.1 "Eight -pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 61076-3-110 (published) may be used as an alternative mechanical interface to the balanced cabling"

M: Val Macguire S: Dave Hess

Y:7 N:15 A:3

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.8.1 Page 43 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Control of the Control of

55 C/ 113 SC 113.8.1 P 182 L 9 McDermott, Thomas Fujitsu Comment Type Comment Status R At this point in time, it appears that all Cat 8 cables are shielded cable. Figures 133-40 and 113-

41, and table 113-23 do not indicate any shield connection point(s).

SuggestedRemedy

Revised both figures and the table to indicate shield connection point(s).

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The figures are meant to depict compatible interfaces. There are many missing details from referenced documents.

C/ 113 SC 113.8.1 P 183 # 466 L 3

Lackner, Hans QoSCom GmbH

Comment Type TR IEC 60603-7-51/81 is not suitable for all applications. It should be possible to use as alternative

Comment Status R

connector IEC 61076-3-110 or 60603-7-82.

SuggestedRemedy

If backward compatibility offered with IEC 60603-7-81 is not required, the interface specified in IEC 61076-3-110 or 60603-7-82 may be used.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Motion: To implement suggest remedy "If backward compatibility offered with IEC 60603-7-81 is not required, the interface specified in IEC 61076-3-110 or 60603-7-82 may be used." M: Val Maguire

S: Yakov Belopolsky

Y:6

N:16

A:2

IEC 60603-7-51/81 shall be used, 113.8.1 MDI connectors

Eight-pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 60603-7-51 (published) with the improved characteristics and frequency extensions specified in IEC 60603-7-81 shall be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling. The plug connector shall be used on the balanced cabling and the jack on the PHY.

SC 113.8.2.1 C/ 113 P 183 L 12 # 221 Shariff, Masood CommScope Comment Type Ε Comment Status A ΕZ Improve MDI RL specifications formatting SugaestedRemedy Format equations on the rows so the frequency ranges and equations line up from top to bottom Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.1 P 183 L 12 # 344 Lusted, Kent Intel Comment Type Comment Status A MDI An illustration of the RL limit given in EQ 113-45 improves readability. SuggestedRemedy Add graphic.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Editor to add figure.

C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.1 P 183 L 12 # 306 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ

The range of f in equation (113-45) is not aligned.

SuggestedRemedy

Aligh the range of f in equation (113-45).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 25 # 307 C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 31 # 414 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ The range of f in equation (113-46) is not aligned. transmission condition is on the relationship, not on the frequency in MHz SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Aligh the range of f in equation (113-46). Move "when the transmitter is transmitting random or pseudo random data." to line 21, so it reads "When the transmitter is transmitting random or pseudo random data, the common-mode-Response Response Status C to-differential mode..... (eg 113-46) ... where f is the frequency in MHz. Test mode 5..." ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 27 C/ 113 # 345 Lusted, Kent Intel C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 42 # 109 Comment Status R Comment Type MDI Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation An illustration of the Insertion Loss limit given in EQ 113-46 improves readability. ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy In subclause 113.8.2.2, the 1st instance of the mixed-mode parameter is correctly defined as "Sdc11". The other two instances (found in Line 42 and Line 46), referring to the reciprocal S-Add graphic. parameter "Scd11", appear to be in error and are inconsistent with the 1st instance. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy REJECT. As indicated in the recommended text on Page 12 of cibula 3bg 02 0115.pdf, change the two EQ 113-46 is 113.8.2.2 MDI impedance balance. Commentor please check comment. instances of "Scd11" in subclause 113.8.2.2 from "Scd11" to "Sdc11." C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 27 # 222 Response Response Status C Shariff, Masood CommScope ACCEPT. EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 49 # 110 Improve equation 113-46 formatting Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status A MDI Format equation so columns line up (maybe use left alignment) Subclause 113.8.2.2 makes reference to two different transmitter states when describing the Response Response Status C impedance balance requirement and the descriptive test method. Lines 31 and 32 state "Test-ACCEPT. mode 5 may be used to generate an appropriate transmitter output.", while Lines 49 and 50 state "... but with the transmitter output disabled." The phrase in Lines 49 and 50 appears to be in error and is inconsistent with other text. SuggestedRemedy As indicated in the recommended text on Page 12 of cibula_3bq_02_0115.pdf, change the text in Lines 49 and 50 from "During the test the PHY is connected to the MDI as in normal operation, but with the transmitter output disabled." to "During the test the PHY is connected to the MDI as in normal operation."

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.2

Response Status C

Page 45 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Research Physical Resea

C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 184 L # 465 Lackner, Hans QoSCom GmbH Comment Type Т Comment Status R MDI

As some values of the channels specified can only be made if shields are used, the MDI connection has to be also a shielded design. When using shields the symmetry mechanisms are different. The values in Formula 113-57 are too high.

SuggestedRemedy

Change in Formula 113-57

48 to 40 and

44 to 35.7

Add to editors note in line 33 that lines 38-54 will be removed prior to publication.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Equation number stated is not valid. Assuming

113-46, the commentor has not provided sufficient information in comment to support suggested remedy to change the draft for either equation 113-46 or the test methods described in L38-L54.

C/ 113 SC 3 P 99 L # 403 Wang, Zhongfeng Broadcom Corp. Comment Type TR Comment Status R **PCS**

Table 113-2

title: Trancoded bocks including control blocks (without leading 0).

Given the trancoding operation shown in Table 113-2, we always move control blocks to the top and dmove at a blocks to the bottom. Since data blocks in original 512B block can be in any row, this operation will involve muxing logic for all 64 bits for every data and control block, which casue extra hardware. In addition, at the receiver side, we need wait until entire 513B data is received before finishing reverse trancoding.

SuggestedRemedy

- 1) We only need swap location of first byte for each data or control block. This leads to much reduced muxing logic.
- 2) We transmit the first bytes of each data and control block immediately after leading 0. Then we transmit the rest 7 bytes for each data and control block. This will save significant processing latency at receiver side.

The aboves changes fully maintain data mapping of original trancoding operation for each data byte. Only data reordering is involved. So there is no performance hurt.

Please see wang's contributions for detailed description.

Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

Attempt at accept-in-principle:

Make changes documented in Text-comments-40G-T-transcoding.pdf, with the following

give Editor license to connect text edit (3) in "comments..." correctly to referenced 'above case with pure data blocks'.

Straw Poll: Y: 8 N: 11

No consensus to make change

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 113

Page 46 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

Cl 28 SC 28.3 P 23 L 5 # 374 Cl 28 SC 28.3 P 23 L 6 # 134 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ 28 instances of "as appropriate" are inappropriate. You need to tell the staff editors what they State where the new variable should be inserted. are to do and not do what they think is appropriate. Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "as appropriate" to "as shown below" or "as follows" or similar wording that does not Change the editing instruction to: leave it to the editor's desecration. "Insert new variable definition into 28.3.1 below 10GigT as follows:" Remove the underline from the new text. Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 409. The editor shall not desecrate the standard. ACCEPT. See comment 409 CI 28 SC 28.3 P 23 L 6 CI 28 SC 28.3.1 P 23 L 5 # 408 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 "Insert row in clause 28.3.1 as appropriate." should not reference "clause", we typically indicate where to insert row reference subclauses just by number SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy replace "as appropriate" with "following row for 10GigT" Strike "clause" in line 6 Response Response Status C Response Status C Response ACCEPT. See comment 409. ACCEPT. See comment 409 CI 28 SC 28.3.1 P 23 L 6 # 257 CI 28 SC 28.3 P 23 L 6 **RMG** Consulting Grow. Robert Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 What is appropriate. Looking at P802.3/D3.0, this list of variables appears to be random. I Editorial instruction should be more precise: "Insert row in clause 28.3.1 as appropriate." expect alphanumerical order would be appropriate, and will submit a comment against P802.3/D4.0 to make this section alphanumerical ordered. Also, format does not match base SuggestedRemedy document. Change to read: "Insert row in 28.3.1 under ."10GigT;" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change editing instruction to be Insert the following in the first variable list in alphanumerical ACCEPT. See comment 409 order. Additionally, it appears that the semicolon should be followed by a tab rather than a space (please use same format as is used in the base, the list is also slightly indented on the left). Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 409. Insert in order consistent with revision draft Format same as base on indentation

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **28** SC **28.3.1** Page 47 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

135 Cl 28 SC 28.3.2 P 23 L 14 Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.8 P 23 L 39 # 137 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A ΕZ Editing instruction is not clear. Editing instruction is not clear. There are no paragraphs in the subclause. SuggestedRemedy It is usual to show the heading row of tables being changed Change the editing instruction to: SuggestedRemedy "Change the indicated row in Table 28–9 as follows (unchanged rows not shown): Change the editing instruction to: Response Response Status C "Change the row for SD11 in the table in 28.5.4.8 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" ACCEPT. Show the heading row for the table. Response Response Status C CI 28 SC 28.5 P 23 L 28 # 136 ACCEPT. Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ CI 28 SC 28.5.4.8 P 23 L 43 # 133 Anslow, Pete Ciena Editing instruction does not say where the new row is to be inserted. It is usual to show the heading row of tables being changed. ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status A Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" "28.3.2" should be a cross-reference SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: "Insert a row for *40G at the end of the table in 28.5.3 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Make "28.3.2" a cross-reference Show the heading row for the table. Response Response Status C Remove the underline from the new row. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC Table 26-9 P 23 Cl 28 L 13 # 259 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Cl 28 SC 28.5.3 P 23 L 32 # 264 Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Again, what is appropriate, don't make the publication editor guess. Also a problem with line 27 EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A and line 39. The option "*40G" is defined but is not used. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In all locations replace "appropriate." with "as follows:". Editor is requested to search for Add "40G:M" to the "Status" column for item SD11 and "!40G:M" to the "Status" column for appropriate and make all editing instructions precise. Better to use instructions like: Change the indicated row of Table x-x as follows, Insert the following in numeric order. item SD10. Response Response Response Status C Response Status W ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Dup of comment 258, see comment 409

SC Table 26-9 Cl 28 P 23 L 13 # 258 CI 28A SC 28A P 25 L 1 Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A ΕZ Again, what is appropriate, don't make the publication editor guess. There are no chanegs in Annex 28A SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Insert row in Table 28-9 in alphabetic order. Remove Annex 28A or implement changes required to support 40GBASE-T Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT. See comment 409. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove Annex 28A (dup of comments 138, 375, 248, 260, 263) C/ 28A SC P 25 L 1 # 263 P 25 C/ 28A SC n/a L 1 # 375 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ There are no obvious changes to Annex 28A. If there are no changes (as indicated by Editors Instructions) then the clause should be SuggestedRemedy excluded from the draft. If you anticipate changes then why are you in WG Ballot when you are Remove Annex 28A from the draft amendment. clearly not technically complete? SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Remove Annex 28A from Draft. ACCEPT. (dup of comments 5, 138, 375, 248, 260) Response Response Status W P 25 C/ 28A SC 0 L 1 # 248 ACCEPT. (dup of comments 5, 138, 248, 260, 263) Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** C/ 28B SC 28B L 5 P 26 # 139 Comment Status A Comment Type TR EΖ Anslow, Pete Ciena Annex 28A does not belong in this draft amendment because there are no changes being made Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 SuggestedRemedy The title of Annex 28B is missing Delete Annex 28A from this draft amendment. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status W Add the title of Annex 28B: "IEEE 802.3 Selector Base Page definition" ACCEPT. (dup of comments 5, 138, 375, 260, 263) Response Response Status C SC 28A L 1 C/ 28A P 25 # 138 ACCEPT. Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ There are no editing instructions shown for Annex 28A and there are no changes shown SuggestedRemedy Either add editing instructions and show changes or remove Annex 28A from the draft. Response Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove Annex 28A (dup of comments 5, 375, 248, 260, 263)

CI **28B** SC **28B**

Page 49 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Research Physical Resea

C/ 28B SC 28B.3 P 26 L 9 # 390 C/ 28C SC 28C P 27 L 11 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status A Autoneg Why are you not placing this at the end of the list so that the staff editor does not have to "renumber other bullets"? "multigigabit", "multiple Gigabit", and "xGBASE-T" - which one is to be used? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make the addition item "k)" and remove the instruction to renumber. "xGBASE-T: designates jointly 1000BASE-T, 10GBASE-T, and 40GBASE-T" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. List is the priority order of technologies, highest speeds go first. Add new definition to 1.4 defining MultiGBASE-T as "Specific BASE-T Ethernet C/ 28B SC 28B.3 P 26 L 9 # 140 40GBASE-T (Clause 113)" Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A F7 xGBASE-T 15 1 NGBASE-T 1 10 To use a "change" editing instruction would require the rest of the list to be included in the draft X10GBASE-T 0 SuggestedRemedy nGBASE-T 19 2 Change the editing instruction to: PAM16BASE-T 0 "Insert a new item a) "40GBASE-T full duplex" at the top of the list in 28B.3 as follows and GBASE-T 37 SPEC10GBASE-T 1 > 10 renumber the other items:" Remove the underline from the new item. 10G/xBASE-T 83 MULTIGBASE-T 100 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Move: Resolve Comment 6 as: MultiGBASE-T".

6 xGBASE-T

It is not clear xGBASE-T is and where it is defined. There are two ways it seems to be defined

If we want to use "xGBASE-T" in the document, it should be defined in Clause 1 as follows:

PCS/PMA/PMDs at speeds in excess of 1000Mbps, including 10GBASE-T (Clause 55) and

Definition of MultiGBASE-T added to the definitions section, to specifically include 40GBASE-T and 10GBASE-T. References on lines 13 thru 15 changed to "1000BASE-T and

Change references to MultiGBASE-T Technology Message Code to be "MultiGBASE-T and 1000BASE-T Technology Message Code"

Editor to review draft and change all references from xGBASE-T to MultiGBASE-T.

M: Shadi AbuGhazaleh S: Hossein Sederat

Y: 28 N: 0 A: 5 (TECHNICAL >= 75%) MOTION PASSES

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Physical Layer and Management Physical Research Physical

P 27 C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 11 # 309 C/ 28C SC 28C.11 L 21 # 410 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A xGBASE-T Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ I do not agree to use abbreviation of xGBASE-T, because there are many xGBASE-T to be Missing reference to 40GBASE-T by name defined in near future, and it is not clear which xGBASE-T will be included. SugaestedRemedy Insert "(40GBASE-T)" after "Clause 113". I think it is safe to consider for each description for each technology rather than just using abbreviation. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dup of comment 310 If we are motivated to use an abbrevation to represent some common abstraction, we should give a clear definition of the abstraction rather than just using abbreviation. C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 21 SuggestedRemedy **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Change "xGBASE-T" on line 11, page 27 with "40GBASE-T/10GBASE-T/1000BASE-T". Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Change "xGBASE-T" on line 16, page 27 with "40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T". Unnecessary serial comma in "Clause 55 (10GBASE-T), and Clause 113" Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove serial comma in "Clause 55 (10GBASE-T), and Clause 113" See comment 6 Response Response Status C C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 # 82 L 1116 ACCEPT. Broadcom Kim. Yona C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 21 # 310 Comment Type TR Comment Status A xGBASF-T Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Change to make MC9 to be a generic does not work (i.e. change to xGBASE-T) from 10GBASE-T and 1000GBASE-T), because it implies that all future xxBASE-T would use this. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Secondary part of this comment is 1000BASE-T is not noted anywhere as 1GBASE-T. "Clause 55" is followed by "(10GBASE-T)", but "Clause 113" is not followed by "(40GBASErequiring careful changes everywhere apppropriate to indicate 1000BASE-T == 1GBASE-T. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert "(40GBASE-T)" after "Clause 113". Just revise to reflect what is actually being done. Response Change to: Response Status C Line 11 - 40GBASE-T/10GBASE-T/1000BASE-T ACCEPT. Dup of comment 410 Line 16 - 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T, and 1000BASE-T. C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 21 Response Status W # 141 Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Anslow, Pete Ciena xGBASE-T replaced with MultiGBASE-T, to be specifically defined term Comment Status A Comment Type EΖ Generic reference to MC9 to be 1000BASE-T and MultiGBASE-T "Clause 55" should be a cross-reference See comment 6 SuggestedRemedy Make "Clause 55" a cross-reference Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **28C** SC **28C.11** Page 51 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

Response

C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 21 # 454 Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type Comment Status A Autonea It appears that the 802.3bz 2.5G/5G project may also use XNP, so this text change should be coordinated with 802.3bz to avoid conflicting editing instructions. SuggestedRemedy Coordinate with 802.3bz on text for 28C.11. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Editor will keep track of changes in 802.3bz when any are adopted as text. C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 22 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Missing serial comma in "40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T" SuggestedRemedy Change "40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T" to "40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T>>,<< and 1000BASE-T" Response Response Status C. ACCEPT. Dup of 142 C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 22 # 142 Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ The IEEE Editorial Style Manual includes: "In a series of three or more terms, use a comma immediately before the coordinating conjunction (usually and, or, or nor)." Consequently, "... that 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T abilities..." should have an extra comma after "10GBASF-T" SuggestedRemedy Change: "... that 40GBASE-T. 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T abilities..." to: "... that 40GBASE-T. 10GBASE-T. and 1000BASE-T abilities..."

with the added comma in underline font.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT. Dup of 8

P 28 C/ 28D SC 28D.8 L 10 # 69 Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type Ε Comment Status A ΕZ Missing space SuggestedRemedy 28D.8 Extensions required for Clause 113 (40GBASE-T) rather than: 28D.8Extensions required for Clause 113 (40GBASE-T) Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dup of 262 C/ 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 10 # 10 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type T Comment Status R Autonea Newly added text in 28D.8 contains many statements about mandatory and required functions. It is not clear whether these are expected to be testable (and have PICS) or not. SuggestedRemedy Consider making statements about mandatory / required features into "shall" statements, if they are not covered elsewhere. Add PICS if new "shall" statements are added. For example: "Auto-Negotiation is mandatory for 40GBASE-T" might be converted into "A 40GBASE-T PHY shall use Auto-Negotion per XXX", where XXX contains reference where Auto-Negotion is defined.

REJECT. New text is consistent with existing text for 10GBASE-T which states substantially the same mandatory and required functions, resulting in no confusion.

The commenter may consider comments on the revision or maintenance drafts.

Response Status C

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Physical Layer and Management Physical Research Physical

C/ 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 12 # 400 C/ 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 8 # 143 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status A MGMT Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ This statement implies CI 45 (which is optional in it's entirety) is required: 28D.8 is not a paragraph "requires additional MDIO registers" Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" This also applies to other instances in the draft (such as 113.6.1.1 pg 168 ln 43 which also SuggestedRemedy implies Cl 45 registers are required). Change the editing instruction to: "Insert 28D.8 after 28D.7 as follows:" SuggestedRemedy Remove the underline from the new subclause. Create a cross reference table (for example see 82.3.1 PMD MDIO function mapping Table 82-Response Response Status C 10 and elsewhere in Section 6 of the Std that lists required variables and their corresponding ACCEPT. MDIO registers. Response Response Status W SC 28D.9 C/ 28D P 28 L 10 # 455 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. **Broadcom Corporation** Frazier, Howard Change "requires" to "defines" Comment Type Comment Status R **Format** and add "for use when Clause 45 is implemented." after "registers". The practice that was introduced by 100BASE-T2 of providing a long list of extensions for each Editor to review other instances and make similar changes. new BASE-T PHY is getting out of hand, and will become worse with the future additions of 25G, 2.5G and 5G. Many of the extensions apply to all of the BASE-T PHYs introduced C/ 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 24 # 144 starting with 100BASE-T2. Rather than instantiating a new long list of extensions for 40GBASE-Anslow. Pete Ciena T. it would be better to present this information in tabular form. F7 SugaestedRemedy Comment Type F Comment Status A "45.2.7" on line 24 and "28.3.1" on line 26 should be cross-references Replace 28D.4, 28D.5, 28D.6 and 28D.8 with a new subclause 28D.4 that presents all of the extensions for BASE-T PHYs in a table that is easily extensible to include future BASE-T PHYs. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status W Make "45.2.7" on line 24 and "28.3.1" on line 26 cross-references REJECT. Response Response Status C Text is consistent with existing base standard style and practices. Practice describes what capabilities the new PHY requires for those unfamiliar with older PHYs, which is useful. ACCEPT. Commentor fails to provide replacement text. Commenter may wish to address this on a full 802.3 scale through maintenance or 802.3bx. C/ 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 # 9 L 24 Haiduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** # 265 C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 29 L 10 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Reference to 45.2.7 and 28.3.1 should be made live and not marked in green. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy Make the ruling and shading of Table 30-1e consistent with IEEE P802.3/D3.0. Make links to 45.2.7 and 28.3.1 live, and make sure they are marked in black. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Contact the Editor-in-Chief of IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) for the ruling and shading ACCEPT. information and reformat accordingly. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 Page 53 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

311 C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 29 L 12 C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 29 L 7 # 372 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A **Format** While the Edition Instruction indicate there are changes in the COLUMN HEADER (which The updated cell in the header row of Table 30-1e which contains "10G/40GBASE-T Operating Margin package (condi-" is not big enough, and some texts are not visible. should be marked) of Table 30-1e there are none apparent. Also the Table has some Bold borders which are not in the original Table and should be Same problem in page 30 and 31. removed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the Editing Instruction to more accurately describe the change or remove the Editing Make the header row taller to include the whole text. Instruction and Table 30-1e. Apply same changes to page 30 and 31 as well. Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Change editing instruction to read "Change column header of '10GBASE-T Operating Margin Package...' to read '10G/40GBASE-T Operating Margin Package...' as shown " Check borders and align with current table in revision draft C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 29 L 13 # 70 Marris. Arthur Cadence C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1 P 31 L 42 # 391 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Column heading not completely vusuble ΕZ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Subclause reference in editors instruction is incorrect: Correct: "Change text of 30.3.2.1.2a include 40GBASE-T." 10G/40GBASE-T Operating Margin package (condi-Similar problem in "Change text of 30.3.2.1.3a include 40GBASE-T." Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change instructions to: "Change text of 30.3.2.1.2 to include 40GBASE-T as shown" C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 29 L 14 # 266 "Change text of 30.3.2.1.3 to include 40GBASE-T as shown" Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A F7 ACCEPT. The column heading for the 10G/40GBASE-T Operating Margin package has become too long and "(conditional)" qualification word-wraps into oblivion. SuggestedRemedy Increase the height of heading row so that the heading text fits.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Research Physical Researc

L 42 P 32 C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1 P 31 # 145 C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 L 14 # 250 Anslow, Pete Ciena Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Editing instruction is "Change text of 30.3.2.1.2a include 40GBASE-T." Clause number should be a cross reference. The referenced subclause has a spurious "a" at the end. SugaestedRemedy Replace text with cross reference. Also line 54. Same issue for 30.3.2.1.3 SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Remove the spurious "a" from the subclause references on page 31 line 42 and also on page ACCEPT. 32 line 27 C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 32 L 9 # 147 Response Response Status C Anslow, Pete Ciena ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 31 L 42 # 146 In several of the changed subclauses in Clause 30 there is text shown in forest green which Ciena Anslow, Pete should be cross-references Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy Comment Type The editing instructions for 30.3.2.1.2, 30.3.2.1.3, 30.5.1.1.19, 30.5.1.1.20, 30.5.1.1.21, Make the following cross-references: 30.5.1.1.22, 30.5.1.1.24, and 30.5.1.1.25 are all: page 32. line 9 "Clause 55" "Change text of 30.x.x.x.x include 40GBASE-T." which should be "to include" rather than page 32, line 49 "Clause 55" page 33, line 29 "Clause 45" "include" page 33, line 30 "45.2.1.66" SuggestedRemedy page 33, line 43 "Clause 45" In the editing instructions for 30.3.2.1.2, 30.3.2.1.3, 30.5.1.1.19, 30.5.1.1.20, 30.5.1.1.21, page 33, line 44 "45.2.1.67" 30.5.1.1.22, 30.5.1.1.24, and 30.5.1.1.25 change: page 34, line 2 "Clause 45" "include" to "to include" page 34, line 3 "45.2.1.68" page 34, line 15 "Clause 45" Response Response Status C page 34, line 16 "45.2.1.69" ACCEPT. page 34, line 29 "45.2.1.79.2" page 34, line 42 "45.2.1.79.1" C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 31 L 47 # 267 page 35. line 7 "30.2.5" Healey, Adam Avago Technologies page 35, line 16 "Annex 28B" page 35. line 18 "Annex 28B" Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 page 35. line 20 "Annex 28B" Use paragraph style consistent with IEEE P802.3/D3.0 for all attributes changed in this clause. page 35, line 30 "Clause 55" page 35, line 47 "Clause 28" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Contact the Editor-in-Chief of IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) for the paragraph style information and reformat accordingly. ACCEPT. Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 Page 55 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

428 Cl 45 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.19 P 33 L 28 SC 45 P 37 L 3 # 148 Zimmerman, George **CME** Consulting Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Text reads for the 10/40GBASE-T PMA, as though the PMA defined does both rates. Meaning This editor's note from the 802.3 template should not have been included in the draft. should be 10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T PMA. occurs in 30.5.1.1.19, 20, 21, 22. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's note. Replace "10/40GBASE T PMA" with "10G or 40GBASE-T PMA" in 4 places. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. CI 45 SC 45 P 37 L 3 # 376 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.24 P 34 L 29 # 429 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Strike the bracketed text as indicate by the following note in the template: Missing reference to clause 113 40GBASE-T fast retrains, same issue on lines 29 "[Notes for editors (not to be included in the published draft - not even D1.0!)" (30.5.1.1.24) and 42 (30.5.1.1.25) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy per comment replace "and 55.4.5.1" with "55.4.5.1 and 113.4.5.4" in two places. Response Response Status W Response Response Status C. ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P 37 L 11 SC Table 30-1e C/ 30 P 29 L 13 # 249 Mark, Laubach **Broadcom Corporation** Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A ER EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A xGBASE-T "as appropriate" does not give explicit instructions to the IEEE editors. Insert has caused a text wrap that is not shown. Also a problem for second and third pages of SuggestedRemedy There are other locations where adding speeds to the name may become a problem like in the Replace all uses of "as appropriate" in this clause with explicit instruction to the IEEE editors. PICS where non-breaking spaces have not been used resulting in a name split with only a Response Response Status W single letter in the last line. For example 10G/25G/40G, increases row height would eliminate ACCEPT. even more data rows below

SuggestedRemedy

Perhaps something like xG (as used in other locations) might be better than a list of speeds. This will require a search and selective replace of 10G/40G.

the headings. The guick solution of increasing row height to allow all text to show in one line is

Response Status W

probably not the best for long term purposes.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use newly defined term MultiGBASE-T for header See comment 6 for definition of MultiGBASE-T.

Editor to review tables for spacing and row height issues.

Cl 45 Cl 45 P 37 SC 45.2.1 P 37 L 12 # 149 SC 45.2.1 L 26 # 312 Anslow, Pete Ciena Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Status A Comment Type E EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ The editing instruction for Table 45-3 is unclear. Subclause numbers 45.2.1.71 thru 45.2.1.83 for register 1.134 through 1.147 in Table 45-3 are not correct. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: "Change the identified rows in Table 45–3 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Change subclause numbers as follows: Register subclause Response Status C Response 1.134 45.2.1.67 ACCEPT. 1.135 45.2.1.68 1.136 45.2.1.69 SC 45.2.1 P 37 Cl 45 L 14 # 38 1.137 45.2.1.70 1.138 45.2.1.71 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** 1.139 45.2.1.72 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ 1.140 45.2.1.73 1.141 45.2.1.74 With the addition of 40GBASE-T, middle column "register name" has multiple rows spanning 1.142 45.2.1.75 two lines, e.g. "10G/40GBASE-T SNR operating margin channel A", where just a single letter is placed in the second line 1.143 45.2.1.76 1.144 45.2.1.77 SuggestedRemedy 1.145 through 1.146 45.2.1.78 Extend the width of the middle column to make sure that "10G/40GBASE-T SNR operating 1.147 45.2.1.79 margin channel A" (for example) Response Response Status C Also, consider checking font for "10G/40GBASE-T" - it seems to be larger than anything else ACCEPT. in the table. Response Response Status C CI 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P 39 L 37 # 378 ACCEPT. Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies ER Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 37 L 17 # 150 Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Anslow, Pete Ciena Are you change rows or inserting rows (only one row is shown in the table)? "Change and insert rows in Table 45–16 as appropriate." Comment Type Comment Status A F7 SuggestedRemedy All of the entries in the "Subclause" column of Table 45-3 are in forest green but they should be Change Editing Instruction to read: cross-references. "Change row in Table 45-16 as shown." Also, the subclause numbers for register 1.134 through to the end are incorrect. 45.2.1.71 to 45.2.1.83 should be 45.2.1.67 to 45.2.1.79, respectively. Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Make all of the entries in the "Subclause" column of Table 45-3 cross-references.

Correct the subclause numbers for register 1.134 through to the end.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12.10 SC 45.2.1.12 P 39 L 37 # 14 P 40 L 2 # 377 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A ΕZ Editorial instruction in line 37 is not precise enough. Subclause number is incorrect: 45.2.1.12.10 40GBASE-T ability (1.13.6) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Change and insert rows in Table 45–16 as appropriate." to "Change definition of bit Change to 45.2.1.12.9a in heading and Editing Instruction. 1.13.6 as shown below" (See P802_3xx_D0p1_version_2p3 pg 15 ln 31 for conventions) Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P 39 L 37 # 157 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12.10 P 40 L 3 # 15 Anslow, Pete Ciena Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 The editing instruction is: "Change and insert rows in Table 45–16 as appropriate." but there is New subclause 45.2.1.12.10 should be marked as 45.2.1.12.9a and inserted after 45.2.1.12.9 no inserted row that exists today. Renumbering is done later on by the staff editor when documents are merged. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: "Change the row for 1.13.6 in Table 45–16 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT. ACCEPT. See comment 477 CI 45 SC 45.2.1.12.10 P 40 L 1 # 158 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 37 L 50 # 151 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Status A F7 Comment Type F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time The editing instruction for Table 45-7 is unclear. re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. SuggestedRemedy Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" Change the editing instruction to: SuggestedRemedy "Change the identified row in Table 45-7 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Change the editing instruction to: Response Response Status C "Insert 45.2.1.12.9a after 45.2.1.12.9 as follows:" ACCEPT. Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.1.12.9a Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 38 L 13 # 153 P 40 L 11 # 102 Anslow, Pete Ciena McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A xGBASE-T Clause 45 registers and bits should be renamed from '10G/40GBASE-T' to 'xGBASE-T' for The changes to Table 45-7 are not shown correctly. The base standard (P802.3bx D3.0) has: simplification and in anticipation of supporting 25G, 2.5G and 5G which will use the same 10011x = reserved for future useregisters. NOTE: Annex 28C has already been modified to use xGBASE-T. See page 27 line 1 0 0 1 0 1 = 40GBASE-ER4 PMA/PMD 16 Clause 55 was also changed, see page 55. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the draft to show: Replace '10G/40GBASE-T' with 'xGBASE-T' in register and register bit names. 10011x1 = reserved for future useReplace only in register names and bit names but not in descriptions that include a listing of where the x is in strikethrough and the following 1 is underlined speeds. e.g. do not replace on page 46 line 40. 1 0 0 1 1 0 = 40GBASE-T PMA/PMD Example locations: 45.2.1.62 page 40 lines 11, 13, 23, 28, 41, 45, 49, 51 all underlined Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 1 0 0 1 0 1 = 40GBASE-ER4 PMA/PMD Replace 10G/40GBASE-T with "MultiGBASE-T" as commenter describes. in normal font See comment 6 for definition of MultiGBASE-T Response Response Status C Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62 P 40 L 13 # 159 ACCEPT. Anslow, Pete Ciena Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 38 L 48 # 154 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Ε Anslow. Pete Ciena This is showing a change from: Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Ε "The assignments of in the 10GBASE-T status register is shown in Table 45-54." Footnote a to Table 45-7 is "aR/W = Read/Write, RO = Read only" not as shown. "The assignments of bits in the 10G/40GBASE-T status registers are shown in Table 45-54." SuggestedRemedy However, the change to "registers are" is not appropriate as there is still only one register. Same issue in 45.2.1.65, 45.2.7.10 (without change being shown in underline), 45.2.7.11. Change footnote a to: "aR/W = Read/Write, RO = Read only" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Leave the text as "register is" in 45.2.1.62, 45.2.1.65, 45.2.7.10, and 45.2.7.11 Also, leave as "All the bits in the 10G/40GBASE-T AN status register are read only..." in ACCEPT. 45.2.7.11 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "registers" to "register" as in comment. Verb remains "are" because subject of sentence is not "register", but is "assignments"

(assignments ARE shown).

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Research Physical Researc

EΖ

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 15 # 160 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A

Editing instructions usually use the term "paragraph" only when particular paragraphs are being modified.

Same issue for 45.2.1.64, 45.2.1.66, 45.2.1.67, 45.2.3.12, 45.2.3.13, 45.2.3.13.1, 45.2.3.13.4, 45.2.3.13.5, 45.2.3.14.1, 45.2.3.14.2, 45.2.3.14.3, 45.2.7.10, 45.2.7.11.1, 45.2.7.11.2

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction for 45.2.1.62.1 to: "Change text of 45.2.1.62.1 to include 40GBASE-T."

Likewise, change "paragraph" to "text" in the editing instructions for 45.2.1.64, 45.2.1.66, 45.2.1.67, 45.2.3.1.2, 45.2.3.13, 45.2.3.13.1, 45.2.3.13.4, 45.2.3.13.5, 45.2.3.14.1, 45.2.3.14.2, 45.2.3.14.3, 45.2.7.10, 45.2.7.11.1, 45.2.7.11.2

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 17 # 313 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type Comment Status R

MGMT

The definition of a new field of 1.129.1 is confused and mixed with the definition of an existing field of 1.129.0.

The same problem in Table 45-54.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 45.2.1.62.1 as follows:

45.2.1.62.1 40GBASE-T LP information valid (1.129.1)

When read as a one, bit 1.129.1 indicates that the startup protocol defined in 113.4.2.5 has been completed, and that the contents of bits 1.130.11:0, 1.131.15:10, 1.145.14:8, 1.146.14:8, and 1.146.6:0, which are established during the startup protocol, are valid. When read as a zero, bit 1.129.1 indicates that the startup process has not been completed, and that the contents of these bits that are established during the startup protocol are invalid. A 40GBASE-T PMA shall return a value of zero in bit 1.129.1 if PMA link status=FAIL.

45.2.1.62.2 10GBASE-T LP information valid (1.129.0)

When read as a one, bit 1.129.0 indicates that the startup protocol defined in 55.4.2.5 has been completed, and that the contents of bits 1.130.11:0, 1.131.15:10, 1.145.14:8, 1.146.14:8, and 1.146.6:0, which are established during the startup protocol, are valid. When read as a zero, bit 1.129.0 indicates that the startup process has not been completed, and that the contents of these bits that are established during the startup protocol are invalid. A 10GBASE-T PMA shall return a value of zero in bit 1.129.0 if PMA link status=FAIL.

Change Table 45-54 as follows:

Bit(s) Name Description 1.129.15:2 Reserved (same as before) 40GBASE-T LP information valid (same as 1.129.0) 1.129.1 10GBASE-T LP information valid (same as before) 1.129.0

Response Response Status C

REJECT. There is only one link partner at a time so the functionality of LP information valid is combined into one bit for 10G & 40GBASE-T (see comment 316)

Cl 45 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 18 # 389 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 26 # 161 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type т Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ The editing instruction is "Change title and rows and insert row in Table 45–54 as appropriate." Disagreement in bit designation: Header: 45.2.1.62.1 "... (1.129.0)" The table shows only one of the two rows of Table 45-54 and this is the same as in the base Text" "bit 1.129.1" standard. Table 45-54 "1.129.0" The title in the base standard is "10GBASE-T status register bit definitions", so the underlined SuggestedRemedy space between "10G/40GBASE-T" and "status" is not correct. Change text to "1.129.0" so it agrees with header and table. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change the editing instruction to: ACCEPT. "Change the title of Table 45-54 as follows:" Leave the title with changemarks and remove the body of the table. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 26 # 60 Remove the underline from the space between "10G/40GBASE-T" and "status" Marris, Arthur Cadence Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Type T Comment Status A EΖ Editing instruction is wrong as only the table's title has ben changed. P 40 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.63 L 39 # 314 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Change Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Change title and rows and insert row in Table 45-54 as appropriate. The text above title of 45.2.1.63 refers to 45.2.1.67. Change title of Table 45-54 as follows. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change the reference to "45.2.1.67" with a reference to "45.2.1.63". ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 # 379 L 26 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.63 P 40 / 39 # 393 Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Editing instruction is very confusing Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ "Change title and rows and insert row in Table 45-54 as appropriate." Editing Instruction and header number disagree: SuggestedRemedy "Change title 45.2.1.67 to include 40GBASE-T. Change to read: 45.2.1.63 10G/40GBASE-T pair swap and polarity register (Register 1.130)" "Change title in Table 45-54 as shown. SuggestedRemedy Might also want to drop the actual table which is not being changed as has been done in subsequent sections. Change 45.2.1.67 to 45.2.1.63 Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Change to read "Change title in Table 45-54 as shown." Delete table, and show only title

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.63** Page 61 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:57 AM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.64.1 P 41 C/ 45 P 41 L 13 # 16 SC 45.2.1.64.1 L 13 # 316 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Т Comment Status R **MGMT** Missing space "are defined in 113.4.2.5 and 113.4.5.1" Only existing LP information valid bit 1.129.0 is referred. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "are defined in 113.4.2.5and 113.4.5.1" to "are defined in 113.4.2.5>> << and 113.4.5.1" Change "If LP information valid bit, 1.129.0, is set to one" with "If either 10GBASE-T LP information valid bit, 1,129.0, or 40GBASE-T LP information valid bit, Response Response Status C 1.129.1. is set to one". ACCEPT. Response Response Status C REJECT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.64.1 P 41 L 13 # 315 Since there can only be one LP at a time, there is only one LP information valid bit. (see Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.64.1 P 41 L 13 # 162 A white space is missing between "113.4.2.5" and "and". Anslow. Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Change "113.4.2.5 and" with "113.4.2.5 and". Space missing in "... are defined in 113.4.2.5and ..." Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change to "... are defined in 113.4.2.5 and ..." C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.64.1 P 41 L 13 # 430 Response Response Status C Zimmerman, George CME Consulting ACCEPT. Comment Status A Comment Type E EΖ missing space between 113.4.2.5 and "and" SuggestedRemedy insert space after "113.4.2.5"

Response

ACCEPT.

Response Status C

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Physical Layer and Management Physical Research Physical

Cl 45 Cl 45 P 37 SC 45.2.1.66 P 41 L 34 # 17 SC 45.2.1.7 L 52 # 39 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status R Format Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ Is there any reason for separating 10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T when in other locations we ".PMA/PMD status 2 register (Register 1.8)" contains "." at the beginning of heading used "10G/40GBASE-T" to designate them together? SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "." in the heading. Change "10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T PMAs" to "10G/40GBASE-T PMAs" Also, remove "," at the start of editorial instruction on page 38 line 53, page 39 line 21 Similar change on page 41, line 43; page 41, line 52; page 42, line 6 Response Status C Response Similarly, "10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T" should be changed to "10G/40GBASE-T" on page 42. ACCEPT. line 31. line 39. There are also similar instances in 45.2.3.13.4, 45.2.3.13.5, 45.2.3.14 and following Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P 38 L 52 # 392 subclauses where entries for 40GBASE-T were added. Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ REJECT. Stray period in front of Editing Instruction: Rule is that when text refers to a jointly used control or status bit or register (or other joint ".Insert row in Table 45-9 as appropriate." functional unit) 10G/40G (or xG) is used. When PMAs are referred to, they are specific and distinct, for example, a 10GBASE-T PHY may or may not have a 40GBASE-T functionality -SuggestedRemedy there is no such thing as a single PMA capable of 10G & 40G operation defined in 802.3 (although devices may be built that implement both 10G and 40G PMAs) Strike the errant period. Response Response Status C Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7 P 37 L 52 # 268 ACCEPT. Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε SC 45.2.1.7.4 C/ 45 P 38 L **53** # 155 Superfluous "." in the heading. Similar issue for 45.2.1.8 (p39/l21). Anslow. Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Remove extra ".". The editing instructions for Table 45-9, Table 45-10, and Table 45-12 are unclear. The place the new rows are to be inserted should be included. Response Response Status C Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7 P 37 L 52 # 152 Change the editing instructions to: "Insert a row for 40GBASE-T below the row for 40GBASE-FR in Table 45-9 as follows Anslow. Pete Ciena (unchanged rows not shown):" E F7 Comment Type Comment Status A "Insert a row for 40GBASE-T below the row for 40GBASE-FR in Table 45-10 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" The title of 45.2.1.7 starts with a "." Same issue for 45.2.1.8 and 45.2.3.9 "Insert a row for 40GBASE-T below the row for 40GBASE-FR in Table 45-12 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" (from the autonumber format?) , respectively. SuggestedRemedy Remove the underline from the new rows. Remove the spurious "." from the titles of 45.2.1.7, 45.2.1.8, and 45.2.3.9 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. CI 45 Page 63 of 97

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC **45.2.1.7.4**

5/26/2015 8:39:58 AM

Cl 45 Cl 45 P 42 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P 38 L 53 # 11 SC 45.2.1.79.1 L 30 # 420 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Editorial instruction "Insert row in Table 45–9 as appropriate." is not precise enough. Missing reference for fr rx counter and fr tx counter in 40GBASE-T, clause 113 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change editorial instruction to read: "Insert row in Table 45–9 under 40GBASE-FR entry" insert after 55.4.5.1 references in both line 30 (45.2.1.79.1) and 38 (45.2.1.79.2): "for 10GBASE-T, and 113.4.5.4 for 40GBASE-T." Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P 39 L 10 # 12 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.8 P 39 L 21 # 99 **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Ε Editorial instruction "Insert row in Table 45–10 as appropriate." is not precise enough. extra period before 'PMD' SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change editorial instruction to read: "Insert row in Table 45–10 under 40GBASE-FR entry" remove extra period before 'PMD' Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.78 P 42 L 16 # 163 SC 45.2.1.8.1 C/ 45 P 39 L 23 # 156 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ The title of Table 45-58 in the base standard is: "10GBASE-T skew delay register bit The draft includes a heading for 45.2.1.8.1 above the editing instruction for Table 45-12. definitions" so the "definitions" has got lost However, this table is in 45.2.1.8 and not 45.2.1.8.1, so the heading is not required. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy add "definitions" in normal font to the end of the title for Table 45-58 Remove the heading for 45.2.1.8.1 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

Cl 45 # 13 Cl 45 P 43 SC 45.2.1.8.1 P 39 L 25 SC 45.2.3.1.2 L 7 # 166 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Editorial instruction "Insert row in Table 45–12 as appropriate." is not precise enough. The IEEE Editorial Style Manual includes: "In a series of three or more terms, use a comma immediately before the coordinating SuggestedRemedy conjunction (usually and, or, or nor)." Reconcile Change editorial instruction to read: "Insert row in Table 45–12 under 40GBASE-FR entry" Consequently, "... the 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T or the 10GBASE-R mode ..." should have an extra comma after "10GBASE-T" Response Status C Response SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change: SC 45.2.3 Cl 45 P 42 L 44 # 164 "... the 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T or the 10GBASE-R mode ..." to: "... the 40GBASE-T. 10GBASE-T. or the 10GBASE-R mode ..." Anslow, Pete Ciena with the added comma in underline font. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Response Response Status C The editing instruction for Table 45-119 is unclear. ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy P 44 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13 L 39 # 349 Change the editing instruction to: "Change the rows for registers 3.32 and 3.33 in Table 45-119 as follows (unchanged rows not Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies shown):" Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Response Response Status C Editing Instructions should be consistent: ACCEPT. "Change paragraph of 45.2.3.13 ..." Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P 42 L 50 # 165 Same issue in 45.2.3.13.1, 45.2.3.13.4, 45.2.3.13.5, & 45.2.3.14 Anslow. Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A Change to read: "Change title and paragraph of 45.2.3.13 ..." Both of the entries in the "Subclause" column of Table 45-119 are in forest green but they should be cross-references. Response Response Status C Also, the subclause numbers are incorrect. ACCEPT. Register 3.32 is defined in 45.2.3.13 Register 3.33 is defined in 45.2.3.14

Make both of the entries in the "Subclause" column of Table 45-119 cross-references to the

Response Status C

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

correct subclause numbers

Cl 45 P 44 # 22 Cl 45 P 46 SC 45.2.3.13 L 46 SC 45.2.3.14 L 20 # 174 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type ER Comment Status A MGMT Comment Type Ε Comment Status A ΕZ Some of the marked change make little sense: "BASE-R, and 10GBASE-T, or 40GBASE-T", The editing instruction for Table 45-129 is unclear. or "when the BASE-R PCS or the 10GBASE-T or the 40GBASE-T PCS " Also, the insertion in the table title has not been underlined SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "when the BASE-R PCS or the 10GBASE-T or the 40GBASE-T PCS " to read "when Change the editing instruction to: the BASE-R PCS, 10GBASE-T, or the 40GBASE-T PCS " - use proper markup "Change the title and identified rows in Table 45-129 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Change "BASE-R, 10GBASE-T, or 40GBASE-T" - use proper markup show "/40G" in the table title in underline font. Response Response Response Status W Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SC 45.2.3.13 *L* 1 SC 45.2.3.14 Cl 45 P 45 # 172 Cl 45 P 46 L 22 # 350 Anslow, Pete Ciena Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 The editing instruction for Table 45-128 is unclear. Title for Table 45-129 does not appear to have change marking (may just be a frame issue but Also, the table title has been changed should be fixed) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: Show mark-up in title. "Change the title and identified rows in Table 45-128 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SC 45.2.3.14 P 46 C/ 45 L 22 # 23 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13 P 45 L 10 # 431 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ "Change title and rows in Table 45–129 as appropriate." - no change in title is shown. Table 45-128 description of bit 3.32.12 should insert new text before "PCS", not after. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Show change in title of Table 45-129 Change "0 - BASE-R or 10GBASE-T PCS or 40GBASE-T receive..." to Response Response Status C ""0 - BASE-R or 10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T PCS receive..." ACCEPT. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 # 24 Cl 45 P 47 SC 45.2.3.14 P 46 L 25 SC 45.2.3.14.3 L 19 # 432 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type Т Comment Status R Format Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ In Table 45-129, there are multiple instances of "10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T". Following Missing reference to clause 113. other changes in Clause 45, text "10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T " should be "10/40GBASE-T" SugaestedRemedy since the statements are applicable to 10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T alike Change, "Ifer count variable in 55.3.6.2 for 10G/40GBASE-T." to SuggestedRemedy "Ifer count variable in 55.3.6.2 for 10GBASE-T and in 113.3.6.2.2 for 40GBASE-T." Change "10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T" to "10G/40GBASE-T in Table 45-129. Consider Response Response Status C applying similar changes in other locations in Clause 45, where similar text exists. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 366 Response Response Status C REJECT. See comment 17 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14.4 P 47 L 30 # 25 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** SC 45.2.3.14.3 Cl 45 P 47 L 16 # 108 Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation missing "for" in newly added text "and in 113.3.6.2 40GBASE-T" Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy Punctuation - The title of the subclause is missing a space. Change "and in 113.3.6.2 40GBASE-T" to "and in 113.3.6.2 >>for<< 40GBASE-T" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change "45.2.3.14.3 BER(3.33.13:8)" to "45.2.3.14.3 BER (3.33.13:8)", inserting a space between "BER" and "(3.33.13:8)" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 29 # 167 ACCEPT. Anslow, Pete Ciena SC 45.2.3.14.3 P 47 C/ 45 L 19 # 366 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies The heading for 45.2.3.7 appears just above Table 45-123, but Table 45-123 (related to the PCS control 2 register) is in 45.2.3.6 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy Ifer count is referred to as a variable, while it is defined as a counter a counter Insert the heading: The same issues appears in 45.2.3.14.4 pg 47 ln 29 for errored_block_count 45.2.3.6 PCS control 2 register (Register 3.7) and move Table 45-123 to be below the new heading, leaving Table 45-124 in 45.2.3.7 SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C change "defined by the Ifer_count variable" ACCEPT. "defined by counter Ifer count" Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 38 # 394 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 51 # 169 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Format Change marking in Table 45-123 indicate that the "2 1 0" at top of description are being added Correctly formatted tables do not allow the table title to be on a different page from the table (they are underlined). This is not the case. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use the correct IEEE table format (in the Table Designer pod, set "Title" to "Above Table"). Remove underlining of the "2 1 0" header. Check that this is the case for all Clause 45 tables. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Align with the 802.3bx resolution of similar comment. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7.6 P 44 L 10 # 170 After review of the current 802.3 revision draft (802.3bx) and the text of IEEE 802.3-2012, the Anslow. Pete Ciena "2 1 0" header in the base standard is underlined, not to indicate a change, but to make it clear Comment Type Comment Status A F7 that these are column headers identifying bits in a multi-bit code, consistent with use in the base standard – hence the underlining should be retained. Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. C/ 45 # 18 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 49 Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.3.7.5a after 45.2.3.7.5 as follows:" Eitorial instruction "Change row and insert row in Table 45-124 as appropriate." is not precise Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.3.7.5a enough Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change "Change row and insert row in Table 45–124 as appropriate." to read "Change definition of bits 3.8.9:7 in Table 45-124 as show below" SC 45.2.3.7.6 Cl 45 P 44 L 10 # 395 Response Response Status C. Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 49 # 168 An inserted subclause after 45.2.3.7.5 should be designated 45.2.3.7.5a not 45.2.3.7.6 per Anslow. Pete Ciena Inserted text should not be underlined. Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type SuggestedRemedy The editing instruction for Table 45-124 is unclear. Change to 45.2.3.7.5a in header and Editing Instruction. SuggestedRemedy Remove underline Change the editing instruction to: Response Response Status C "Change the identified reserved row in Table 45-124 and insert a new row for bit 3.8.6 below it ACCEPT. as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Remove the underline from the new row as it is associated with an "insert" editing instruction.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ **45** SC **45.2.3.7.6** Page 68 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:58 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Control of the Control of

Cl 45 SC 45.2. Hajduczenia, Marek	3.7.6 <i>P</i> 44 Bright House	L 12 Networks	# 19	Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9 Cibula, Peter	P 44 Intel Corporation	<i>L</i> 18	# 111
	Comment Status A 2.3.7.6 should be marked as 45.2 abering is done later on by the states			SuggestedRemedy Change "45.2.3.9 .EE	Comment Status A a stray period/full stop in the subo E control and capability (Register 3 20)", removing the period before E	3.20)" to "45.	EZ 2.3.9 EEE control and
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Cl 45 SC 45.2. Remein, Duane	3.9 <i>P</i> 44 Huawei Tech	L 17 nnologies	# 396	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.9 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 44 Ciena	L 19	# 171
Comment Type E Errant period: "45.2.3.9 .EEE"	Comment Status A		EZ		Comment Status A for Table 45-125 is unclear. not changed, so should not be un	derlined	EZ
Also you are not changing rows but a row SuggestedRemedy Remove period Change Editing Instruction to "Change row in"				Remove the underline Response	struction to: reserved row in Table 45–125 as from "3.20.7" and "RO" Response Status C	follows (uncl	nanged rows not shown):"
Response	Response Status C			ACCEPT.			
ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.	3.9 P 44	L 18	# 100	Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9 Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House Ne	L 20 etworks	# 20
McClellan, Brett	Marvell		_	Comment Type E	Comment Status A	oropriate " is	not precise enough
Comment Type E extra period before SuggestedRemedy delete extra period l	pefore EEE		EZ	Eitorial instruction "Change rows in Table 45–125 as appropriate." is not precise enough SuggestedRemedy Change "Change rows in Table 45–125 as appropriate." to read "Change definition of bit 3.20.7 in Table 45-125 as shown below" Response Response C			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT.			

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Physical Layer and Management Physical Research Physical

Cl 45 # 173 Cl 45 P 44 SC 45.2.3.9.8 P 44 L 33 SC 45.2.3.9.8 L 35 # 348 Anslow, Pete Ciena Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time Should be 45.2.3.9.7a not 45.2.3.9.8 in both header and Editing Instruction. re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. SuggestedRemedy Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" per comment SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.3.9.7a after 45.2.3.9.7 as follows:" ACCEPT. Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.3.9.7a C/ 45 SC 45.2.7 P 47 L 37 # 351 Response Response Status C Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9.8 P 44 L 33 # 317 The title of the table does not appear to be changed: Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of "Change title and rows in Table 45-200 as appropriate." Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type There appear to be several references in the table to 45.2.7.10 The new paragraph 45.2.3.9.8 for 3.20.7 should be inserted after 45.2.3.9.4. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to read: Change the reference to "45.2.3.9.7" on line 33 with "45.2.3.9.4". "Change rows in Table 45-200 as shown." Remove excess references. Change the subclause number "45.2.3.9.8" on line 35 with "45.2.3.9.5". Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.7 P 47 L 37 # 175 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9.8 P 44 L 35 # 21 Anslow. Pete Ciena Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A F7 The editing instruction for Table 45-200 is unclear. New subclause 45.2.3.9.8 should be marked as 45.2.3.9.7a and inserted after 45.2.3.9.7 that Also, the entries in the subclause column need fixing exists today. Renumbering is done later on by the staff editor when documents are merged. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: Update the editorial instruction accordingly. "Change the identified rows in Table 45-200 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Remove the spurious forest green text from the row for 7.32 Response Response Status C Make 45.2.7.11 in the 7.33 row a cross-reference ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Cl 45 P 47 # 26 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7 L 43 SC 45.2.7.10 P 48 L 30 # 380 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Register 7.32 in Table 45–200 seems to have multiple subclause references "45.2.7.1045. Editing Instructions pointing to incorrect subclauses and headers missing section numbers: 2.7.1045.2.7. "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.3 ..." "40GBASE-T capability (7.32.11)" which are repeated entries for "45.2.7.10" "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.6 ..." SuggestedRemedy 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.3) Replace existing subclause reference with "45.2.7.10" marked in black and make it live. "Change title 45.2.7.10.6. Re-number to 45.2.7.10.8." Response Response Status C 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.1) ACCEPT. 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.1) SuggestedRemedy SC 45.2.7 Cl 45 P 47 L 46 # 27 Change to: Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.4 as shown." Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ "45.2.7.10.4a 40GBASE-T capability (7.32.11)" Subclause 45.2.7.11 exists in this draft and should be marked in black. bla bla bla SuggestedRemedy "45.2.7.10.4b 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.3)" Mark "45.2.7.11" in black and make link live. bla bla bla Response Response Status C "Change title 45.2.7.10.6. (renumbered due to above);" ACCEPT. 45.2.7.10.6 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.1) Response Response Status W ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 48 L 32 # 29 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Missing numbers for headings on page 48, lines: 32, 39, 50, SuggestedRemedy Insert number 45.2.7.10.3a on page 48, like 32 Insert number 45.2.7.10.5a on page 48, like 39 (7.32.3 goes before 7.32.2 and not after it) Change editorial instruction "Change title 45.2.7.10.6. Re-number to 45.2.7.10.8." to read "Change title 45,2,7,10,6 as shown below" Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI **45** SC **45.2.7.10** Page 71 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:58 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Research Physical Researc

Cl 45 # 28 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 48 L 9 SC 45.2.7.10.4 P 48 L 30 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial instruction "Change title and rows and insert rows in Table 45–207 as appropriate." is Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time not precise enough re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. "after 45.2.7.10.3" should be "after 45.2.7.10.4" SuggestedRemedy The new subclause titles should match the name in Table 45-207. Change "Change title and rows and insert rows in Table 45-207 as appropriate." to read "Bit 7.32.11 is to be used ..." is inappropriate wording. "Change rows 7.32.11:3 and 7.32.11.1 in Table 45-207 as shown below." The new subclause for bit 7.32.3 goes immediately below that for bit 7.32.11. Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 176 Change the first editing instruction to: Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 48 L 9 # 176 "Insert 45.2.7.10.4a and 45.2.7.10.4a after 45.2.7.10.4 as follows:" Remove the underline from the new subclauses. Anslow. Pete Ciena Change the first new subclause title to be: Comment Type Comment Status A F7 "45.2.7.10.4a 40GBASE-T ability (7.32.11)" The editing instruction for Table 45-207 is unclear. Change ""Bit 7.32.11 is to be used ..." to ""Bit 7.32.11 is used ..." Delete the editing instruction: Also, the text in the reserved row has been changed in 802.3bx D3.0 "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.6 and re-number remaining clauses accordingly." SuggestedRemedy Change the second new subclause title to be: Change the editing instruction to: "45.2.7.10.4b 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.3)" "Change the reserved row and the row for 7.32.1 in Table 45-207 and insert new rows for Response Response Status C 7.32.11 and 7.32.3 above and below the reserved row, respectively as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" ACCEPT. Delete ", writes ignored" from the reserved row. Remove the underline from the two new rows (insert editing instruction). CI 45 SC 45.2.7.10.5 P 48 L 30 Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Response Response Status C. ACCEPT. See comment 28 Comment Type Comment Status A The new two paragraphs for 7.32.11 and 7.32.3 should be inserted after 45.2.7.10.4 and the clause numbers are missing. SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.3" on line 30 with "Insert two new paragraphs after 45.2.7.10.4".

Insert clause number "45.2.7.10.6" at the beginning of line 40.

Insert clause number "45.2.7.10.5" at the beginning of line 32.

Insert clause number "45.2.7.10.8" at the beginning of line 48.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

accordingly." on line 38.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.10.5

Remove the note of "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.6 and re-number remaining clauses

Page 72 of 97

5/26/2015 8:39:58 AM

177

318

EΖ

EΖ

Cl 45 # 319 Cl 45 P 49 SC 45.2.7.10.5 P 48 L 36 SC 45.2.7.11 L 34 # 433 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type т Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Reference to bit 7.32.12 should be 7.32.11. typo - "in" shoiuld be "is" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "7.32.12" on line 36 with "7.32.11". change "40GBASE-T in contained" to "40GBASE-T is contained" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Dup of comment 180 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.10.6 P 48 L 46 # 178 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 49 L 6 # 352 Ciena Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Anslow, Pete Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type EΖ Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time Stray period. re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. ".Change title and rows in Table 45-208 as appropriate." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: "Change the title of 45.2.7.10.6 as follows:" strike. add "45.2.7.10.6" to the beginning of the modified title. Response Status C Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 30 for more complete response. ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 49 # 30 L 6 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 49 L 20 # 179 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial instruction ".Change title and rows in Table 45-208 as appropriate." is not precise In the row for bit 7.33.0 in Table 45-208, "7.33.0" and "RO" have not changed, so should not be enough. underlined. Also, ", writes ignored" has been removed in 802.3bx D3.0 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change ".Change title and rows in Table 45-208 as appropriate." to "Change title of Table 45-Remove the underline from "7.33.0" and "RO" 208 and definition of rows 7.33.8:2, 7.33.1, and 7.33.0 as shown below." Remove the strikethtough text ", writes ignored" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 352 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.2 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.1 P 49 L 34 # 180 P 49 L 49 # 181 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ "for 40GBASE-T in contained" should be "for 40GBASE-T is contained" The text of 45.2.7.11.2 is truncated SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "for 40GBASE-T in contained" to "for 40GBASE-T is contained" Reinstate the remainder of the text Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dup of comment 433 ACCEPT. C/ 45 P 50 CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.6 P 50 SC 45.2.7.11.10 L 16 # 184 L 1 # 353 Remein, Duane Anslow, Pete Ciena Huawei Technologies Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type E EΖ E EΖ Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time Inserted para numbering incorrect re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.11.6 ..." "55.4.2.5.15" should be in forest green. "45.2.7.11.7 Link partner 40GBASE-T capability (7.33.8)" SuggestedRemedy "45.2.7.11.9 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.0)" Leave the numbering of 45.2.7.11.8 as it is. "45.2.7.11.10 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.1)" Change the editing instruction to: SuggestedRemedy "Change the title and text of 45.2.7.11.8 as follows:" Apply character tag "External" to "55.4.2.5.15" Change 45.2.7.11.7 to 45.2.7.11.6a Change 45.2.7.11.9 to 45.2.7.11.7a Response Response Status C Change 45.2.7.11.10 to 45.2.7.11.7b ACCEPT. See comments 353, 31, 33 Response Response Status C ACCEPT, See comments 31, 33, 184 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.10 P 50 L 16 # 33 Bright House Networks Haiduczenia. Marek Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 49 L 51 # 182 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Anslow. Pete Ciena Editorial instruction "Change paragraph 45.2.7.11.8 and re-number to 45.2.7.11.10 in Comment Type Comment Status A F7 consideration of newly inserted 45.7.11.7 and 45.2.7.11.9." is incorrect Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. SuggestedRemedy "after 45.2.7.11.6" should be "after 45.2.7.11.7" Change "Change paragraph 45.2.7.11.8 and re-number to 45.2.7.11.10 in consideration of Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" newly inserted 45.7.11.7 and 45.2.7.11.9." to read "Change title and content of 45.2.7.11.8 as SuggestedRemedy shown below." Change the editing instruction to: Response Response Status C "Insert 45.2.7.11.7a after 45.2.7.11.7 as follows:" ACCEPT. See comments 353, 184 Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.7.11.7a Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.7.11.7** Page 74 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:58 AM

Cl 45 Cl 45 P 50 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 49 L 51 # 320 SC 45.2.7.11.7 L 3 # 321 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ New paragraph for 7.33.8 should be inserted after 45.2.7.11.7 instead of after 45.2.7.11.6. "in" after "bit 7.1.6" does not make sense. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "in" after "bit 7.1.6". Change reference to "45.2.7.11.6" on line 51 in page 49 with "45.2.7.11.7". Response Response Status C Change clause number "45.2.7.11.7" on line 1 in page 50 with "45.2.7.11.8". ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 193 Response Response Status C Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 50 L 4 # 421 ACCEPT. CME Consulting Zimmerman, George Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 50 / 1 # 31 Comment Status A Comment Type T MGMT **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Incorrect bit referenced in paragraph Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 SuggestedRemedy Subclause 45.2.7.11.7 should be 45.2.7.11.6a - any renumbering necessary is typically done by Change 7.33.11 to 7.33.8 staff editor when merging with base document Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change 45.2.7.11.7 to 45.2.7.11.6a in editorial instruction (page 49. line 51) and in title - page 50, line 1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.9 P 50 L 7 # 183 Response Response Status W Anslow, Pete Ciena ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comments 353, 184 Comment Type Comment Status A Ε EΖ Bit 7.33.0 should come after bit 7.33.1 in the draft. Change 45.2.7.11.8 to 45.2.7.11.7a in clause title. No change to editorial instruction. Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 50 L 3 # 434 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: F7 Comment Type Comment Status A "Insert 45.2.7.11.9 after 45.2.7.11.8 as follows:" typo "in is" Remove the underline from the new subclause and move both the editing instruction and subclause below "10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.1)" SuggestedRemedy Response delete "in" Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 32 Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 193

Cl 45 # 32 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.9 P 50 L 7 SC 45.2.7.13 P 50 L 29 # 185 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ Editorial instruction "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.11.7 and re-number remaining clauses Spurious "." at the start of the text. accordingly and in consideration with newly inserted 45.7.11.7." is not precise enough. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change ".This" to "This" Since we are inserting a new subclause at the end covering register 7.33.0, instruction ought to Response Response Status C read as follows: "Insert new paragraph 45.2.7.11.9 as shown below." - anything else is ACCEPT. Dup of comments 34, 101 unnecessary Response Status C Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P 50 L 29 # 34 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 183 **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.9 P 50 L7 # 322 Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Unnecessary "." at beginning of page 50, line 29 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 SuggestedRemedy New paragraph for 7.33.0 should be inserted after the paragraph for 7.33.1. Remove "." SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change line 7 through 23 in page 50 as follows: ACCEPT, Dup of comments 185, 101 Change paragraph 45.2.7.11.8 and re-number to 45.2.7.11.9 and insert new paragraph Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P 50 L 29 # 101 45.2.7.11.10 in consideration of newly inserted 45.2.7.11.8. McClellan, Brett Marvell 45.2.7.11.9 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.1) Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ extra period before 'This' When read as a one, bit 7.33.1 is used to indicate that the link partner has the ability to support SuggestedRemedy the 10GBASE-T fast retrain capability as specified in 55.4.2.5.15. When read as a zero, bit 7.33.1 indicates that the PHY lacks the ability to support the 10GBASE-T fast retrain capability. delete extra period before 'This' Response Response Status C 45.2.7.11.10 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.0) ACCEPT. Dup of comments 34, 185 When read as a one, bit 7.33.0 is used to indicate that the link partner has the ability to support the 40GBASE-T fast retrain capability as specified in 113.4.2.5.15. When read as a zero, bit C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P **51** L 1 7.33.0 indicates that the PHY lacks the ability to support the 40GBASE-T fast retrain capability. Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Response Response Status C Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, See comment 183 Imprecise editorial instruction "Change rows in Table 45–210 as appropriate.." SuggestedRemedy Change "Change rows in Table 45–210 as appropriate.." to read "Change row 7.60.9 in Table 45-210 as shown below." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 186

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.7.13** Page 76 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:58 AM

L 1 Cl 45 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P 51 # 186 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 16 # 36 Anslow, Pete Ciena Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ The editing instruction for Table 45-210 is unclear. Editorial instruction "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.13.9 and re-number remaining clauses Also, the text in the reserved row has been changed in 802.3bx D3.0 accordingly." is imprecise SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: Change "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.13.9 and re-number remaining clauses "Change the row for 7.60.9 in Table 45-210 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" accordingly." to read "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.13.4 as shown below." Delete ", writes ignored" in strikethrough font from the reserved row. Change 45.2.7.13.4 to 45.2.7.13.4a Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 355 for more complete remedy Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P 51 / 1 # 354 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 16 # 355 Remein, Duane Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Only changing one row and excessive use of periods Incorrect inserted para number: "Change rows in Table 45-210 as appropriate.." "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.13.9 and re-number remaining clauses accordingly. 45.2.7.13.10 40GBASE-T EEE supported (7.60.9)" Excessive use of underlined (not needed for inserts) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "Change row in Table 45-210 as appropriate." Change 45.2.7.13.9 to 45.2.7.13.4 in Editing Instruction Response Response Status C Change 45.2.7.13.10 to 45.2.7.13.4a in header ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 186 Remove underlining Response Response Status C C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 16 # 323 ACCEPT. Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 23 # 356 New paragraph for 7.60.9 should be inserted after 45.2.7.13.4 instead of after 45.2.7.13.9. Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Only changing one row: Change reference to "45.2.7.13.9" on line 16 with "45.2.7.13.4". "Change rows in Table 45-211 ..." Change clause number "45.2.7.13.10" on lin 18 with "45.2.7.13.5". SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change rows to row ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 355 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 188

Cl 45 P 51 # 37 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 L 23 SC 45.2.7.14 P 51 L 24 # 188 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A ΕZ Incorrect editorial instruction "Change rows in Table 45–211 as appropriate." Table 45-211 is in 45.2.7.14 but this heading is missing. The editing instruction for Table 45-210 is unclear. SuggestedRemedy When "Reserved" is deleted, the Name column will be blank. Change "Change rows in Table 45–211 as appropriate, to read "Change row 7.61.9 in Table 45-The text in the reserved row has been changed in 802.3bx D3.0 211 as shown below." "28.2.3.4.128" does not exist Footnote a should be "RO = Read only" Response Status C Response SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 188 Add a heading for 45.2.7.14. Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 70 # 187 Change the editing instruction to: "Change the row for 7.61.9 in Table 45-211 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Anslow, Pete Ciena Add "40GBASE-T EEE" to the Name column Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Delete ". writes ignored" in strikethrough font from the reserved row. Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time Correct the cross-reference to Clause 28 Change footnote a to "RO = Read only" re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. Bit 7.60.9 should be described after bit 7.60.10 (45.2.7.13.4) Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change the editing instruction to: Cl 45 SC 45.5 P 52 "Insert 45.2.7.13.4a after 45.2.7.13.4 as follows:" L 14 # 435 Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.7.13.4a Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Response Response Status C. Comment Type **E** Comment Status A EΖ ACCEPT. Missing references on AM61 and AM62 SuggestedRemedy Insert cross references to 45.2.7.10, 10G/40GBASE-T AN control register. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Physical Layer and Managem

Cl 45 L 4 Cl 45 SC 45.5 P 52 # 190 SC 45.5 P 52 L 9 # 191 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ The editing instructions are not appropriate. Many of the cross-references in 45.5 are shown in green, but should be active crossreferences. SuggestedRemedy In 45.5.3.2, "45.2.1.8" is the "PMD transmit disable register". "45.2.1.12" seems to be a better Change 45.5.3.2 editing instruction to: place to point to as this is where the 40GBASE-T ability bit resides. "Insert a new row for *40T below the row for *10T in the table in 45.5.3.2 as follows (unchanged In 45.5.3.9, there should be entries in the subclause column for AM61 and AM62. rows not shown):" SuggestedRemedy Remove the underline from the new row. In 45.5.3.2, change "45.2.1.8" to "45.2.1.12" and make it a cross-reference. Change 45.5.3.6 editing instruction to: In 45.5.3.6. make "45.2.3" a cross-reference. "Change the row for *CT in the table in 45.5.3.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" In 45.5.3.7, make "45.2.13" (2 instances) and "45.2.14" (2 instances) cross-references. Change 45.5.3.7 editing instruction to: In 45.5.3.8. make "45.2.7" a cross-reference. "Change the rows for RM15, RM16, and RM37 through RM40 in the table in 45.5.3.7 as follows In 45.5.3.9, make "45.2.7.11.1" a cross-reference and add cross-references to "45.2.7.10" to (unchanged rows not shown):" the AM61 and AM62 rows. Change 45.5.3.8 editing instruction to: "Change the row for *AT in the table in 45.5.3.8 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Response Response Status C Change 45.5.3.9 editing instruction to: ACCEPT. "Change the row for AM51 in the table in 45.5.3.9 and insert new rows for AM61 and AM62 at the end of the table as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.2 P **52** L 4 # 189 Remove the underline from the AM61 and AM62 rows. Anslow. Pete Ciena Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A F7 ACCEPT. Headings in 45.5 are missing and table heading rows are not shown Cl 45 SC 45.5 P 52 L 4 # 357 SuggestedRemedy Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Add headings for: Comment Status A Comment Type EΖ 45.5.3 45.5.3.2 More rows of "rows" that should be rows or "row" 45.5.3.6 Pg In 45.5.3.7 52 4 45.5.3.9 52 13 Show the heading rows for the various tables. 53 1 Response Response Status C Isn't editing CI 45 a pain? This too shall pass :-) ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy per comment

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Research Physical Researc

Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P 52 L 30 # 192 Cl 55 SC 55 P 55 L 1 Anslow, Pete Ciena McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type TR Comment Status A RM16 says "Loopback bit returns zero when operating at 10 Gb/s with port In November the Maintenance task force considered a maintenance request to remove the type selections other than 10GBASE-R or 10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T". Now that 40GBASE-10GBASE-T periodic training. The task force forwarded the request to the 802.3bq task force T has been added, "at 10 Gb/s" is no longer correct. for consideration. SuggestedRemedy http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/maint_1266.pdf Change "when operating at 10 Gb/s" to "when operating at 10 Gb/s or 40 Gb/s" http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision history.html#REQ1266 Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Implement the changes to Clauses 45 and 55 as detailed in http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/maint_1266.pdf as part of 802.3bq. Cl 47 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 50 L 3 In addition, in 55.4.2.5.15 Fast retrain function # 193 delete text "The training sequence without periodic re-initialization described in 55.3.4 shall be Anslow. Pete Ciena used during fast retraining, with the scramblers free-running from PCS Reset. If scrambler re-EΖ Comment Status A Comment Type initialization is used for normal training, it shall be disabled and the scramblers shall begin freerunning when the PHY Control state diagram enters the PCS. Test state and the variable This says "The bit is only valid when page receive bit 7.1.6 in is set to one." "The bit" would be better as "Bit 7.33.8" fr active is FALSE." "page receive bit 7.1.6" should be "page received bit 7.1.6" Response Response Status C spurious "in" after "7.1.6" ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy C/ 55 SC 55.6.2 P 55 L 13 Change: "The bit is only valid when page receive bit 7.1.6 in is set to one." to: Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of "Bit 7.33.8 is only valid when page received bit 7.1.6 is set to one." Comment Type Comment Status A Response Response Status C

I do not agree to use abbreviation of xGBASE-T, because there are many xGBASE-T to be defined in near future, and it is not clear which xGBASE-T will be included.

I think it is safe to consider for each description for each technology rather than just using abbreviation.

If we are motivated to use an abbrevation to represent some common abstraction, we should give a clear definition of the abstraction rather than just using abbreviation.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "xGBASE-T" on line 13 thru 15 with "40GBASE-T/10GBASE-T/1000BASE-T".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 6 See also comments 82, 95, 92, 102, 273, 309, 324

ACCEPT. See comment 321

103

324

xGBASF-T

Training

SC 78.1 Cl 78 P 57 L 3 # 325 Cl 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 8 # 456 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Changed text in 78.1 does not exist in P02.3bx draft D3.0. Missing space in "the10GBASE-T PHY". SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Remove line 3 through 9 in page 57 (i.e. change to text in 78.1). Change to "the 10GBASE-T PHY". Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 41 CI 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 48 # 486 CI 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 8 # 41 APM Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Brown, Matt Comment Status A EEE Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type ER EΖ Missing a comma. Also, "for" should not be delete without altering the rest of the sentence. Text "For operation over twisted-pair cabling systems, EEE supportsmay be supported by the 100BASE-TX PHY, the 1000BASE-T PHY, the 10GBASE-T PHY, and the 40GBASE-T PHY," SuggestedRemedy does not exist in 802.3bx D3.0 Change: SuggestedRemedy Except for BASE-T<For> PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement Where does this text come from? It is not part of P802.3bx text that is being balloted. the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported; deep sleep and fast wake. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To: Text was removed during revision process. "Except for BASE-T PHYs. for PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that Remove cited text to align with 802.3bx D3.0 implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake." CI 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 9 # 233 Booth, Brad Microsoft

Or alternately #1:

For PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater, with the exception of the 40GBASE-T PHY, that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake.

Or alternately #2:

For BASE-R PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Resolved in comment 56.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 41

Missing a space between "the" and "10GBASE-T'

Comment Status A

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

Add space.

EΖ

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Physical Layer and Managem

SC 78.1 Cl 78 P 57 L 9 # 104 Cl 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 33 # 74 McClellan, Brett Marvell Mark, Laubach **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Status A Comment Type E EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ "<XREF>" text present: missing space after 'the' Page 57 Line 33 SuggestedRemedy Page 57 Line 51 add missing space after 'the' Page 58 Line 2 Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 41 Resolve or remove. Response Response Status C CI 78 P 57 L 11 SC 78.1.3.3.1 # 358 ACCEPT. See comment 194 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Status A Comment Type EΖ CI 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 34 # 194 No need to include text that is not changing: Anslow, Pete Ciena "Change text in clause 78.1.3.3.1 as follows:" Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ There are also thee stray "<XREF>" marks in this section (ln 34, 51 & pg 58 ln 2). The cross-reference to "Figure 78-3" is showing as "<XREF>Figure 78-3". SuggestedRemedy This is because the "FigNumber" cross-reference format used in Clause 78 is "<XREF>Figure\ Change to read: <\$paranumonly>" and this has been pasted into a clause file other than the original Clause 78 "Change 7th paragraph in clause 78.1.3.3.1 as follows:" file which does not have an "XREF" character tag defined. Remove the first 6 paras: "When the start of "Assert LPI" encoding on the xMII is detected, SuggestedRemedy No data frames are lost or corrupted during the transition to or from the LPI mode." Remove stray "<XREF>"s The easiest way to fix this is to highlight the cross-reference, open the Cross-reference pod, Edit Format, delete the "XREF" from the start of the Definition, Done, Internal Cross-Remove unchanged Figure 78-4 and WARNING following. References, Update, Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. See comment 74 CI 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 11 # 42 CI 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 34 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Marris. Arthur Cadence Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Text in 78.1.3.3.1 is modified only in line 48 - if there are no other changes, remove all unmodified text and updat editorial instructions accordingly. Fix cross reference SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Per comment Delete "<XREF>" and elsewhere in the document Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comments 74, 194. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 358 for more complete remedy Editor to search pdf for remaining XREFS after implementing fix.

EEE

FFF

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This wording seems excessively broad and may lead to problems in the future: "Except for BASE-T PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s ..."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:

"Except for 40GBASE-T, PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s ..." (don't forget to include the stricken "For")

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. (see comment 56)

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The distinction of optional or mandatory support for deep sleep and fast weke is very confusing and not clear.

For instance, for the first sentence, changing "For PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability" with "Except for BASE-T PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement EEE capability" may be wrong, because the qualifier is changed in a wrong way.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the first sentence of the paragraph starting on line 48, page 57 as follows:

Except for BASE-T PHYs, PHYs with an operating speed of 40Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability may support two modes of LPI operation: deep sleep and fast wake.

Add two columns to Table 78-1 to indicate whether the deep sleep support and the fast wake support are mandatory or optional for each PHY or interface type.

Unfortunately, I am not familiar enough with EEE to give specific changes to Table 78-1, but I believe it helps to make it clear.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 56.

Since this is the only exception to the deep sleep rule, a table would be redundant and not add value to the existing content. Further, all EEE is optional so there are no mandatory capabilities, a table with optional and mandatory capabilities if an optional capability were implemented would likely add confusion.

 CI 78
 SC 78.1.3.3.1
 P 57
 L 48
 # 56

 McDermott, Thomas
 Fujitsu

 Comment Type
 ER
 Comment Status
 A
 EEE

This wording is confusing, it is difficult to determine which modes are optional and required for the various different interface types and speeds.

SuggestedRemedy

Recommended text: For Base-T PHYs with an operating speed of 10Gb/s or less that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake...

Then insert: For Base-T PHYs with an operating speed of 40Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability, LPI deep sleep is optional and fast wake is mandatory ... or whatever was intended.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

No BASE-T PHYs currently support fast wake. Intent was 40GBASE-T is exempted from that requirement in 78.1.3.3.1

Change page 57, line 48: from:

"Except for BASE-T<For> PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake."

To:

"Except for BASE-T PHYs, for PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake."

Insert "Except for BASE-T PHYs", on page 58, line 4 so it reads: "Except for BASE-T PHYs, fast wake support is mandatory for PHYs with an operating speed of 40Gb/s or greater that implement EEE."

See comments 486

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Physical Layer and Managem

Cl 78 SC 78.1.4 P 58 L 27 # 327 Cl 78 SC 78.1.4 P 58 L 35 # 195 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Clause title is not correct. The inserted rows in Tables 78-1, 78-2, and 78-5 should not be underlined as they are associated with "Insert" editing instructions. SuggestedRemedy The font used for these inserted rows is incorrect. Change the clause title of 78.1.4 with "PHY types optionally supporting EEE". Also, the title of Table 78-2 is "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHYs or Response Response Status C interfaces" not "Clauses associated with each interface type" ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P 58 L 30 In Tables 78-1, 78-2, and 78-5 remove the underline from the inserted rows. # 328 Re-apply the "CellBody" paragraph tag to the inserted rows (Times New Roman 9pt). Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Correct the title of Table 78-2 to "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHYs or Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type interfaces" Caption and header row of Table 78-1 are incorrect and inconsistent. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. See comments 43, 271, 272, 328, 329 Change "each interface type" in Table 78-1 caption with "each PHY or interface type". SC 78.1.4 CI 78 P 58 L 35 # 271 Change "PHY type" in header row of Table 78-1 with "PHY or interface type". Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Response Status C Response Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 195 for a more complete response. Per IEEE P802.3/D3.0, the title of Table 78-1 is "Clauses associated with each PHY or interface type" and the heading of the first column is "PHY or interface type". The font of the CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P 58 # 43 inserted body row should be changed to match to table it will be added to. L 34 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** SuggestedRemedv Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Update the table per the comment. TExt inserted intl Table 78-1 seems to be a different font style than the remainder of the table. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. See comment 195 for a more complete response Apply proper font style to Table 78-1. CI 78 SC 78.2 P 58 L 44 # 272 Same issue applies to Table 78-2 and in Table 78-5 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Response Response Status C Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 195 for a more complete response Per IEEE P802.3/D3.0, the title of Table 78-2 is "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHYs or interfaces" and the heading of the first column is "PHY or interface type". The font of the inserted body rows should be changed to match to table it will be added to. SugaestedRemedy Update the table per the comment. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 195 for a more complete response

SC 78.2 L 44 P 61 Cl 78 P 58 # 329 C/ 80 SC 80.1 L 11 # 61 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A ΕZ Caption and header row of Table 78-2 are incorrect. No editing instruction for Figure 80.1 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change caption of Table 78-2 with "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHYs Insert editing instruction "Replace Figure 80-1 with the following:" or interfaces". Response Response Status W Change "Protocol" in header row with "PHY or interface type". ACCEPT. Dup of comment 381 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 195 for a more complete response C/ 80 SC 80.1 P 61 L 20 # 234 Booth, Brad Microsoft Cl 78 SC 78.5 P 59 L 10 # 40 Comment Type Comment Status A **Format** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Figure 80-1 should be cleaned up to improve readability. Plus, a few corrections are required. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy Stray ":" Remove note 2 from the AN in the 40GBASE-T PHY (AN is mandatory). Remove the brackets SuggestedRemedy on the right side of both the 40GBASE-R and 40GBASE-T stack, and create separation between bracket and 100GBASE-R stack to help indicate that PHY applies to all the sublayers Remove stray ":" between the xMII and the MDI. Remove the XLGMII label and arrow from the 40GBASE-R, and Response Response Status C add arrow from XLGMII label for 40GBASE-T to point to the 40GBASE-R. ACCEPT. Make similar fixes to Figure 81-1. CI 78 SC 78.5 P 59 L3 # 44 Response Response Status C Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** ACCEPT. Comment Type T Comment Status R Format C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 10 # 436 "10GBASE-T PHY and 40GBASE-T PHY" - in other locations, we used "10G/40GBASE-T PHYs" Zimmerman, George CME Consulting SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Change "10GBASE-T PHY and 40GBASE-T PHY" to "10G/40GBASE-T PHYs" and then Missing editing instruction modify verbs to match accordingly. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Insert editing instruction prior to Figure 80-1: REJECT. See comment 17 Change Figure 80-1 as follows: Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 381

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 11 # 381 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ No indication that Figure 80-1 is new. SuggestedRemedy Add editing instruction before figure: "Replace Figure 80-1 with the following." Response Response Status W ACCEPT. Dup of comment 61 C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 24 # 197 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type

There is no editing instruction associated with Figure 80-1.

The curly brackets associated with the left hand two stacks have no labels.

The AN block in the 40GBASE-T stack has note 2 applied: "NOTE 2—CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE", but there is only one PHY type and the AN layer is not shown as optional in Table 80-2 or Figure 113-1.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an editing instruction: "Replace Figure 80-1 as follows:"

Remove the curley brackets associated with the left hand two stacks in Figure 80-1.

Remove note 2 fron the AN block in the middle stack in Figure 80-1

Response Status C Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comments 234, 381

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 37 # 332

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type Comment Status A PCS

A new abbreviation for "RS-LDPC" is not defined.

SugaestedRemedy

Add a definition of "RS-LDPC" as follows"

RS-LDPC = REED-SOLOMON LOW-DENSITY PARITY CHECK

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use existing 802.3 defined abbreviations, RS-FEC and LDPC, as follows:

Change RS-LDPC PCS in Figures 80-1 and 81-1 to "40GBASE-T PCS"

In 113.3.2.2 (p. 80, line 44) change "mixed 513B-65B-RS-LDPC encoding" to "mixed 513B-65B-RS-FEC-LDPC encoding"

In Figure 113-7 (p. 93) change "RS-LDPC received frame" to "Received frame" and change "RS-LDPC decoded frame" to "FEC-decoded frame" (since LDPC and RS-FEC are already called out in the figure)

Insert in 80.1.4 after line 49, "40GBASE-T uses a combination of Reed-Solomon-FEC (RS-FEC) and low density parity check (LDPC) FECs in its physical coding sublaver that is mapped to a 128 double-square (DSQ128) constellation for transmission on 4-pair, twisted-pair copper cabling."

See comments 200 and 439

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 6 # 45 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks**

Comment Status A

No editorial instructions for changes to Figure 80–1—Architectural positioning of 40 Gigabit and 100 Gigabit Ethernet

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Insert editorial instruction above Figure 80-1 as follows "Replace Figure 80-1 with the figure shown below"

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comments 61, 381

EΖ

SC 80.1.4 C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 7 # 330 C/ 80 P 61 L 47 # 198 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Text associated with an Insert editing instruction should not be underlined. (strictly, this would Title of clause is not correct. result in underlined text being inserted into the standard.) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the title of 80.1.3 with "Relationship of 40 Gigabit and 100 Gigabit Ethernet to the ISO Remove the underline from the inserted text in 80.1.4 OSI reference model". Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. P 61 C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 L 9 # 331 C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P 61 L 49 # 333 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ A period is missing. Phrase "for transmitting 40GBASE-T over" is odd and inconsistent with other paragraphs in the same clause. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a period "." at the end of line 9. Change "for transmitting 40GBASE-T over" with "for 40Gb/s operation over". Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SC 80.1.3 C/ 80 P 61 L 9 # 196 C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P 61 L 49 # 235 Anslow, Pete Ciena Booth, Brad Microsoft Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ The point of the list in 80.1.3 is to define the locations where the data-path widths are cannot be Twisted-pair should be hyphenated. changed by the implementation. Each element in the existing list states what the width at that location is. SuggestedRemedy Add hyphen. Check draft for other occurrences. Also, as this is associated with an Insert editing instruction it should not be underlined. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change to: "k) The MDI as specified in Clause 113 for 40GBASE-T uses a 4 lane data path." Remove the underline Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P 62 L 1 # 199 C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P 62 L 10 # 200 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Word missing in "using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding for transmission balanced twisted-pair" The Green font to denote an external cross-reference is not appropriate for an editing instruction since, by definition, the thing it refers to is in the draft! SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding for transmission over balanced twisted-pair" Use the normal font for "Table 80-1" on line 1, "Table 80-2" on line 16 and also for "Table 80-2" Response Response Status C on line 50. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Change ACCEPT. "40 Gb/s PHY using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding for transmission balanced twisted-pair structured cabling systems" to C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P 62 L 1 # 334 "40 Gb/s PHY using RS-FEC and LDPC encoding over balanced twisted-pair structured cabling systems (see Clause 113)" Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Comment Status A F7 (see comment 487) 40GBASE-ER4 is added to Table 80-1 in P802.3bx. C/ 80 P 62 L 16 SC 80.1.5 # 73 SuggestedRemedy Mark, Laubach **Broadcom Corporation** Change "between 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-KR4" with Comment Type Comment Status A "between 40GBASE-ER4 and 100GBASE-KR4". ER Update editing directive for better clarity, as multiple rows are being inserted as well a the single Response Response Status C right most column. ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P 62 L 10 # 487 Change: "Insert the following row after 40GBASE-LR4 and rightmost end column to Table 80-2 (existing PHY entries in new column are blank)" APM Brown, Matt to: "Change: "Insert the following rows after 40GBASE-LR4 and rightmost single end column to Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Table 80-2 (existing PHY entries in new column are blank)"1 Missing Clause reference. Response Response Status W Missing "over" between "transmission" and "balanced". ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To be consistent with the other descriptions in this table "for transmission" should be "over". See comment 201 SuggestedRemedy C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 16 # 335 Change: 40 Gb/s PHY using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding for transmission balanced twisted-pair structured Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of cabling systems Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Ε To: 40 Gb/s PHY using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding over balanced twisted-pair structured cabling 40GBASE-ER4 is added in P802.3bx. systems (see Clause 113) SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change "after 40GBASE-LR4" on line 16 with "after 40GBASE-ER4". ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 200 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 201

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 Page 88 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:58 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Research Physic

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 16 # 488 C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 16 # 201 Brown, Matt APM Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Incorrect and incomplete editing instruction. Since you are showing the whole table with As the changes to Table 80-2 have not been marked, a "Replace" editing instruction is more changes a change instruction rather than insert instruction is required. Also, the reference point appropriate than an Insert. The "113" clause number in the rightmost heading should be a cross-reference for the new row is incorrect. The ruling between the headings for the 40GBASE-FR PMD and 40GBASE-T PCS/PMA/PMD SuggestedRemedy columns is the wrong thickness Change: SuggestedRemedy Insert the following row after 40GBASE-LR4 and rightmost end column to Table 80-2 (existing PHY entries in new column are blank) Change the editing instruction to "Replace Table 80-2 as follows:" Make the "113" clause number in the rightmost heading a cross-reference Change Table 80-2, inserting a new row for 40GBASE-T and a new column for 40GBASE-T Make the ruling between the headings for the 40GBASE-FR PMD and 40GBASE-T PCS/PMA/PMD, as follows: PCS/PMA/PMD columns "Very thin" Response Response Status C Underline all items in the new row and new column. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C See comments 335, 488, 382, 73, 336, 46, 359 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 201 And remove "/PMD" from 40GBASE-T entry if comment 347 is accepted C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 25 # 336 C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 16 # 382 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Status A Comment Type EΖ Comment Status A Comment Type F7 Vertical border line in the header row of Table 80-2 between columns 89 and 113 is thick. This Editing Instruction is unclear at best and possible misleading: "Insert the following row after 40GBASE-LR4 and rightmost end column to Table 80-2 (existing SugaestedRemedy PHY entries in new column are blank)" Use thin line for the vertical border line in the header row of Table 80-2 between columns 89 Note that the "row after 40GBASE-I R4" is 40GBASE-FR4 NOT 40GBASE-TI and 113. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C. Change to read: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 201 "Insert a bottom row and rightmost end column to Table 80-2 (existing PHY entries in new column are blank)" C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 46 # 46 Remove unchanged rows in table OR use Mark-up text in table. Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Response Response Status W EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 201 Row with 40GBASE-T in Table 80-2 should nbe marked with underline. SuggestedRemedy Per comment The same observation applies to Table 80-5, Table 80-1 Response Response Status C REJECT. Table 80-2 is now replaced, so no underline. See comment 134 for use of underline, only "change" uses that. Table 80-1 is an insert instruction, as is Table 80-5.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 Page 89 of 97

5/26/2015 8:39:58 AM

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 Remein, Duane	P 62 Huawei Techn	L 50 nologies	# 359		C/ 80 SC 80.4 Hidaka, Yasuo	P 63 Fujitsu Labora	L 17 tories of	# 337	
Comment Type E Excessive use of forest	Comment Status A green text "Table 80-2"			EZ	Comment Type E Reference to 1.4.110 is	Comment Status A updated in P802.3bx.			EZ
SuggestedRemedy change color to black					SuggestedRemedy Change reference to "1	.4.110" on line 17 with "1.4.117	7".		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI Apologies from a red-g	Response Status C LE. See comment 202 for a moreen colorblind editor.	re complete remedy				Response Status C E. See comment 203 for a mo	·		
C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 Anslow, Pete	P 62 Ciena	L 52	# 202		C/ 81 SC 81.1 Marris, Arthur	P 65 Cadence	L 10	# 62	
Comment Type E The note is not formatte	Comment Status A		EZ	Comment Type ER Incorrect editing instruct SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A			EZ	
SuggestedRemedy Change "Note: " to "NO Apply the paragraph Ta Make "Clause 28" a cro		sh (Ctrl-q Shft-q).			Change Change Figure 81-1 as To Replace Figure 81-1 as				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				Also fix key at bottom o	f Figure 81.1 Response Status W			
C/ 80 SC 80.4 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 63 Ciena	L 16	# 203		ACCEPT.	Nesponse Status ₩			
Comment Type E	Comment Status A primatted as table footnotes.			EZ	Cl 81 SC 81.1 Lusted, Kent Comment Type ER It isn't clear from the ed	P 65 Intel Comment Status A iting instructions on line 7 as to	L 10 o what is changir	# 346	EZ
SuggestedRemedy Format the footnotes at In footnote b change "1 add footnote c					Furthermore, when con	ntain any underlined text, striked npared to Figure 81-1 in P802.3 e title is missing (i.e. NOTE 1, 2	3bx Draft 3.0, mu	uch of the text betw	reen
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				SuggestedRemedy	ns and add align missing text v		from P802.3bx D3.	.0.

C/ 81 SC 81.1 P 65 L 33 # 338 C/ 81 SC 81.1 P 65 L7 # 360 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status A **PCS** Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Definition of RS-LDPC is missing. I believe this is a replacement not a change (no changes indicated in figure): "Change Figure 81-1 as follows:" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add definition of RS-LDPC as follows: Change Editing Instruction to: "Replace Figure 81-1 with the following:" RS-LDPC = REED-SOLOMON LOW-DENSITY PARITY CHECK Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 204 for a more complete remedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 332, removing RS-LDPC as an abbreviation from the text and using existing RS-C/ 81 SC 81.1 P 65 L 7 # 204 FEC and LDPC abbreviations. Anslow, Pete Ciena SC 81.1 C/ 81 P 65 L 33 # 489 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Brown, Matt APM A "Change" editing instruction isn't appropriate here. The AN block in the 40GBASE-T stack has note 2 applied. If it was visible, this would be: Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ "NOTE 2—CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE", but there is only one PHY type and the Figure 81-1, many notes are not visible as it appears line line spacing was change to 3x instead AN layer is not shown as optional in Table 80-2 or Figure 113-1. The acronym expansions and notes in the figure have an inappropriate format. Also spacing between stacks is not equal. The spacing of the three stacks id uneven. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fix note spacing. Change the editing instruction to: "Replace Figure 81-1 as follows:" Fix spacing between each of the PHY stacks such that they appear equal. Remove note 2 from the AN block in the middle stack in Figure 81-1 Fix format of the acronym expansions and notes in the figure. Response Response Status W Change the position of the three stacks to be even and centred on the blocks above. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C LATE COMMENT - TASK FORCE TO VOTE ON CONSIDERING ACCEPT. C/ 81 SC 81.1 P 65 # 47 L 6 C/ 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 46 # 48 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Editorial instruction is unclear "Change Figure 81-1 as follows:" - there are no changes marked Editorial note is incorrect: "Change 81.1.7.3 for carrier indication definition:" in Figure 81-1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Change 81.1.7.3 for carrier indication definition:" to "Change 81.1.7.3 as follows" Change editorial note from "Change Figure 81-1 as follows:" to "Replace Figure 81-1 with figure shown below" or explicitly show changes (in red) in Figure 81-1 and then leave the Response Response Status C editorial note alone. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 204

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **81** SC **81.1.7.3** Page 91 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:58 AM

58 C/ 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 48 C/ 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 52 # 339 McDermott, Thomas Fujitsu Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A ΕZ Double negative text is confusing. Reference to Figure 81-10a is not correct. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Recommend revising to: Change reference to "Figure 81-10a" on line 52 with "Figure 81-13". Response Response Status C 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s operation supports full duplex operation only. The RS never generates ACCEPT. See comment 205 for a more complete remedy this primitive for PHYs unless they support either EEE or Link Interruption. ... Response Response Status C C/ 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 52 # 205 ACCEPT. Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ C/ 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 49 # 63 Marris, Arthur Cadence "in Figure 81-10a" should be "in Figure 81-13" and it should be in green font. Comment Type T Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy Text should be reworded for clarity and there is an extra full stop. Change "in Figure 81-10a" to "in Figure 81-13" and apply the character tag "External" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Change "The RS never generates this primitive for PHYs that do not support either EEE or Link Interruption.." C/ 81 SC 81.3.4 P 66 L 6 # 49 Τo **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek "The RS never generates this primitive for PHYs that support neither EEE nor Link Interruption." Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Response Response Status C Editorial instruction imprecise: "Change 81.3.4 add Link Interruption Sequence ordered set ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 58 definition:" SuggestedRemedy C/ 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 49 # 223 Change "Change 81.3.4 add Link Interruption Sequence ordered set definition:" to "Insert a Booth, Brad Microsoft new pagaraph after paragraph number 4 in 81.3.4, as shown below." F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Double period at end of paragraph. Insert a new editorial instruction above Table 81–5 as follows: "Change Table 81-5 by adding a new row for Link Interruption, as shown below." SuggestedRemedy Fix. Remove teh rest of text from 81.3.4. Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

50 C/ 81 SC 81.3.4.1 P 67 L 1 C/ 81 SC 81.3.4.2 P 68 L 36 # 52 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ In 81.3.4.1, only fault sequence, last seq type, link fault, and seq type are modified - the rest Editorial instruction is missing for text pn page 68 stays the same. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert the following editorial instruction on page 68, line 35: "Change second and third Change editorial instruction from "Change 81.3.4.1 to include Link Interruption in paragraph in 81.3.4.2. as shown below." fault_sequence, last_seq_type, link_fault, and seq_type Response Response Status C variables" to "Change definitions of variables: fault sequence, last seq type, link fault, and ACCEPT. sea type in 81.3.4.1 as shown below." Remove all variables apart from fault_sequence, last_seq_type, link_fault, and seq_type C/ 81 SC 81.3.4.2 P 68 L 46 # 383 Response Status C Response Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ C/ 81 SC 81.3.4.2 P 67 # 75 L 46 The combination of Editing Instruction and included figure are confusing. "Change 81.3.4.2 State Diagram to include Link Interruption under conditions for variable Mark, Laubach **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 Is the figure changed or not? It doesn't look like it. I went and pulled out the latest 802.3bx Section 6 draft to review if Figure 81-11 has any SuggestedRemedy changes. I didn't see any. If that is the case, perhaps updating the editing directive to focus on Change Editing Instruction to read: paragraph text only? "Change the text of 81.3.4.2 to include Link Interruption under conditions for variable link fault SuggestedRemedy as shown." As per comment. Remove is unchanged Figure 81-11 Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Editing instruction to focus on text per comment. See comment 51 for a more complete remedy C/ 81 SC 81.5.3.7 P 69 L 5 # 340 C/ 81 SC 81.3.4.2 P 67 L 48 # 51 Fuiitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type T Comment Status A EΖ The clause numbers on line 5 are not correct. Text on page 67, lines 49-53 has not been modifed and should not be shown. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the description on line 5 as follows: Remove text n page 67. lines 49-53 Show changes in Figure 81–11 in red. Insert the new subclause 81.5.3.7 for Link Interruption after 81.5.3.6 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 81 SC 81.5.3.7 Page 93 of 97 5/26/2015 8:39:59 AM

SC C/ 81.5 SC 81.5.3.7 P 69 L 12 # 206 Cl 99 P 19 L 46 # 212 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ The editor's note refers to "IEEE P802.3bj and IEEE P802.3bk" which will both be superseded 81.3.1.2 TXC<7:0> (transmit control) does not define detection of Link Interruption. The entries in the Subclause column for both rows and "Table 81-5" should be a crossamendments by the time P802.3bq is published. reference (not green). SuggestedRemedy The item numbering does not follow the numbering practice for the rest of this PICS. Change: SuggestedRemedy "(e.g., IEEE P802.3bj and IEEE P802.3bk)" to: "(e.g., IEEE P802.3bn and IEEE P802.3bw)" Change "81.3.1.2" to "81.3.4". Make the entries in the Subclause column for both rows and "Table 81-5" cross-references. Response Response Status C Change item "LINT" to "LINT1" ACCEPT. Change item "LINT1" to "LINT2" Response Response Status C SC C/ 99 P 3 L 13 # 209 ACCEPT. Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 CI 99 SC P 1 L 10 # 207 Anslow, Pete Ciena In the second editor's note. "Amendment title (SHALL match PAR)" should be replaced by the exact wording of the amendment title from the PAR. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy The "X" was removed from "Amendment X:" in the 802.3 template in February 2014 In the second editor's note, replace "Amendment title (SHALL match PAR)" with the exact SuggestedRemedy wording of the amendment title from the PAR. Change "Amendment X:" to "Amendment:" on page 1, line 10 and also on page 19, line 3 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SC P 4 C/ 99 L 24 # 210 SC P 1 # 208 Cl 99 L 29 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ There is a spurious "IEEE 802.3 will continue to evolve." on line 24 Also, the summary of other amendments that are likely to be published before 802.3bg (at least "[review/balloting stage]" should be replaced with the stage that the draft is at. IEEE Std 802.3bw-201x) should be added here SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "[review/balloting stage]" to "Working Group ballot recirculation" Remove "IEEE 802.3 will continue to evolve." on line 24 Response Response Status C Add the summary of other amendments that are likely to be published before 802.3bg (at least IEEE Std 802.3bw-201x). ACCEPT. Dup of comments 405, 252, 474 Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

SC P3C/ 99 P 6 L 13 # 211 C/ 99 SC 0 L 1 # 449 Anslow, Pete Ciena Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A ΕZ Change: I believe that we agreed that this would be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-201x. FirstName SecondName, IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Chair SuggestedRemedy FirstName SecondName, IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Editor-in-Chief Change year of base standard in the header to be 201x. to the text appropriate for this project SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status W Change: ACCEPT. FirstName SecondName, IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Chair FirstName SecondName. IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Editor-in-Chief Cl 99 SC 0 P3L 13 # 450 to the text appropriate for this project Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ ER ACCEPT. Dup of comments 53, 227, 406 Missing title of amendment. CI 99 SC 0 P 1 L 29 # 474 SuggestedRemedy Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. Provide complete title of amendment in the boxed text "This introduction is not part of..." Comment Type Comment Status A Response Response Status W EΖ The text "[review/balloting stage]" is intended to be edited for each draft to actually indicate what ACCEPT. the use is of this particular draft. Cl 99 SC₁ P 1 L 29 # 405 SuggestedRemedy CME Consulting Zimmerman, George "[review/balloting stage]" to read: "Working Group 1st recirculation." for the next draft. Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Response Response Status C front matter is missing balloting stage text ACCEPT. Dup of comments 405, 252, 208 SuggestedRemedy C/ 99 SC 0 P 18 L 28 # 451 Replace "[review/balloting stage]" by "Working Group ballot" Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Response Response Status C Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ACCEPT, Dup of comments 252, 474, 208 Missing space between subclause number and subclause heading in table of contents at line 28 and below on this page. SuggestedRemedy

Insert space.

Response Status C.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 255

Response

CI 99	SC 1	P 6	L 13	# 406		C/ 99	SC Table o	f Contents	P 11	L 27	# 236
Zimmerma	an, George	CME Consulting				Brown, Tho	omas		Vitesse Semi	conductor	
Comment Missir		Comment Status A air and task force editor in chief and	designation (of task force	EZ	Comment T Under	-		nt Status A is listed 1000 xG	BASE-T	EZ
"David "Georg	ce "FirstName S d Chalupsky IEE ge Zimmerman I	secondName IEEE P802.3xx" with: E P802.3bq" as Task Force Chair EEE P802.3bq" as Task Force Edi	tor-in-Chief			Suggestedi I believ	,	ould replace 10	000 xGBASE-T v	with 1000BASE-T	г
Response		Response Status C				Response	PT IN PRINCIP	•	e Status C		
Cl 99	SC 99	P 6	<i>L</i> 1	# 467		Edit to	title of 28.C.11	removed 10GI	BASE-T and 100 ble of contents g		G to make this the
Law, David	d	HP				CI A	SC A		P 21	<i>L</i> 1	# 213
Comment	,,	Comment Status A			EZ	Anslow, Pe	te		Ciena		
Please include the working group balloter list supplied in the file <ieee_p802d3bq_wg_names.pdf>.</ieee_p802d3bq_wg_names.pdf>					Comment 7			nt Status A ries to be added t	to Annex A or rer	EZ move it from the draft	
Suggested See co	dRemedy omment.					Suggested	Remedy	0 . ,			
Response ACCE		Response Status C				and "[E	include some bi 3x1] Name—Titl ove Annex A fro	e."		to Annex A and r	emove the editor's note
Cl 99	SC 99	P 6	L 14	# 53		Response		Response	e Status C		
Hajduczen		Bright House Net	= =	# 53			PT IN PRINCIP mment 256	PLE. Dup of co	mments 232, 256	6, 54, 373.	
	nment Type	EZ	C/ A	SC A		P 21	<i>L</i> 1	# 232			
Suggested						Booth, Brad	t		Microsoft		
00	•	e Chair and Chief Editor for the pro	iect			Comment 7	Туре Е	Commer	nt Status A		EZ
Response Response Status C					No bibliography						
•		ments 211, 227, 406				SuggestedRemedy					
						Remov	re Annex A				
						Response		Response	e Status C		
							PT IN PRINCIP mment 256	LE. Dup of co	mments 54, 256,	, 213, 373.	

CI A SC A P 21 L 1 # 54 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks	CI Annex SC P 25 L 1 # 260 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
Comment Type E Comment Status A There is no reason to have Annex A if there are not entries	Z Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ There does not appear to be any modifications to this Annex.
SuggestedRemedy Remove Annex A - there is no content.	SuggestedRemedy Remove Annex 28A from the FrameMaker book.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dup of comments 232, 256, 213, 373. See comment 256	Response Response Status C ACCEPT. (dup of comments 5, 138, 375, 248, 263)
Cl A SC n/a P21 L1 # 373 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	CI Annex SC P 26 L 8 # 261 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Annex A should not be included if there are no changes to it.	Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ This should be an insert instruction.
SuggestedRemedy Remove Annex A	SuggestedRemedy Insert new list item a) and re-letter following list items.
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dup of comments 232, 256, 213, 54. See comment 256	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
C/ Annex SC P 21 L 1 # 256	C/ Annex SC 28D.8 P 28 L 10 # 262 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A E2	Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ
Looks like the FrameMaker book is messed up.	SuggestedRemedy Fix FrameMaker definition.
SuggestedRemedy Delete Annex A at this point from the FrameMaker book.	Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C	ACCEPT. See comment 69
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. (Dup of comments 232, 54, 213, 373.) Add Editor's note (to be removed prior to publication): This clause is a placeholder for bibiliographic entries, and is to be deleted if none are added by the end of the WG ballot phase.	CI Annex SC 28D.8 P 28 L 10 # 112 Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation
	Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ Punctuation - The title of the subclause is missing a space.
	SuggestedRemedy Change "28D.8Extensions required for Clause 113(40GBASE-T)" to "28D.8 Extensions required for Clause 113(40GBASE-T)", inserting a space between "28D.8" and "Extensions"
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ Annex Page 97 of 97

5/26/2015 8:39:59 AM