



Comparison of Cat7_A / Class F_A Channel and 802.3bq Link Segment Specification

Martin Rossbach, Nexans Cabling Solutions

IEEE 802.3 25GBASE-T Study Group

Pittsburgh, USA







May 2015

- Comparison of Class F_A Channel specifications in ISO11801 with the current link segment characteristics in 802.3bq D2.0
 - Current Link Segment specifications developed for 40GBase-T, not 25GBase-T but can serve as good as starting point / “worst case”

- A closer look to the identified gaps
 - Test Data of installed base

- Summary and Recommendation

	802.3bq Link Segment	Cat7 _A / CLASS F _A
Upper Frequency	2000 MHz for 40GBase-T ? MHz for 25G	1 GHz
Length	30m	100m
IL	$0.0856/\text{SQRT}(A_{31})+0.6371*\text{SQRT}(A_{31})+0.0023*A_{31}+1.0285*10^{-7}*A_{31}^2$	$1.05(1.8\sqrt{f}+0.005f+0.25/\sqrt{f})+4*0,02\sqrt{f}$
RL	1<f<10 19dB 10<f<40 24-5*log(f) 40<f<130 16dB 130<f<1000 35-9*log(f) 1000<f<2000 8dB	1<f<10 19dB 10<f<40 24-5*log(f) 40<f<251.2 32-10dB 251.2<f<631 8,0 631<f<1000 36-10*log(f)
NEXT	1<f<440 : $45.3-15*\text{LOG}(f/100))/-20)+(2*10^{((54-20*\text{LOG}(f/100))/-20)}$ 440<f<1486 $45.3-15*\text{LOG}(f/100))/-20)+(2*10^{((39.12-36,14*\text{LOG}f/100))/-20}$	$-20*\text{LOG}(10^{(-0.05*(105.4-15*\text{LOG}(f)))})+2*10^{(-0.05*(116.3-20*\text{LOG}(f)))}$
ACRF	$-20*\text{LOG}((10^{((39-20*\text{LOG}(f/100))/-20)))+(2*10^{((43.1-20*\text{LOG}(f/100))/-20))})$	$-20*\text{LOG}(10^{(-0.05*(95.3-20*\text{LOG}(f)))})+4*10^{(-0.05*(103.9-20*\text{LOG}(f)))}$
ANEXT	1<f<100 85-10*log(f/100) 100<f<1000 85-15*log(f/100)	1<f<100 95-10*log(f) 100<f<1000 105-15*log(f)
AACRF	1<f<2000 61-20*log(f/100)	1<f<1000 92-20*log(f)





*Class FA IL corrected to 30m

**Class FA RL spec'ed for 4 connectors

- GAPS
 - RL
 - Alien Noise
- Question Mark (depends on coding not yet selected)
 - Frequency
- Next Slides provide test data of installed base from main players in Cat7A market (some with higher frequency range)
 - Using available handheld tester for Class FA testing
 - Independent testhouse reports
 - Earlier contributions




IL/RL Data from Manufacturer 1




- Independent test data from Manufacturer 1, 2 different years
- w Long and short 4-Connector Channels

4.2 High frequency transmission test results. Channel #1, from equipment end
RETURN LOSS
Data series #1




312670-03 Fri 27/Nov/2009 10:15:21

4.3 High frequency transmission test results. Channel #3 (15m hrzt), from terminal end
RETURN LOSS
Data series #12




312670-03 Fri 27/Nov/2009 10:25:53

RETURNLOSS-Channel#1-Fromequipmentend.f



Project: 203968-01. Data series: #22. Date: Thu 29/Nov/2012 11:42:37

RETURNLOSS-Channel#1-Fromterminalend.f




Project: 203968-01. Data series: #21. Date: Wed 28/Nov/2012 15:18:38

The channel consists of the following components:

Channel part	Cable type	Length	Connecting hardware
Terminal cord	Flexible cable	3 m	1 plug
Connection TO/CP	Flexible cable	5 m	1 socket, 1 plug
Floor cable	Horizontal cable	82.5 m	2 sockets
Floor cable channel #3, short	Horizontal cable	15 m	2 sockets
Patch cord	Flexible cable	5 m	1 plug, 1 socket
Equipment cord	Flexible cable	2 m	1 plug

- Has been presented earlier to this group
- http://www.ieee802.org/3/NGBASET/public/jan13/bates_01a_0113_ngbt.pdf
- Data picked to be representative of worst case of installed base

CHANNEL – 30M




9

IEEE 802.3 Next Generation BASE-T study group, Phoenix, Jan 2013



CHANNEL – 20M




7


IEEE 802.3 Next Generation BASE-T study group, Phoenix, Jan 2013



- Data reworked with Class F_A RL Limit



- ISO has only 5dB difference in Alien Crosstalk specs for Cat6_A unscreened and Cat7_A, not showing true performance of shielded systems
- Class F_A has a severe Coupling Attenuation requirement (+25dB „Met by Design Rule“); this has been used by manufacturers to design products
- Installed Base is surely better then the Alien Crosstalk requirement of ISO



Class FA typical Alien Next

- Comparison of Class F_A specs and Current Link Segment Specification (for 40GBase-T, but only up to 1 GHz) shows that small gaps exist for A-XT and RL, other parameters are in line or better
- Selected Test data of Installed Base suggest that most of Class F_A installed base is good enough to meet additional requirements above Class F_A spec and frequency range can be slightly extended
- Identified Gaps can be accommodated in various ways, to be decided when upper frequency is defined and coding known
- Move that 30m of category 7_A cabling be incorporated into clause 113.7 of the next IEEE P802.3bq draft for support of 25GBASE-T