# 1

PSF Power

CI 33	SC 33.2.7.5	P 67	L 19	
Bennett, I	Ken	Sifos Technol	ogies. In	

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is a recommendation that POWER\_UP mode persist for the complete duration of TInrush in section 33.2.7.5 of the existing standard. Commensurately, there is a recommendation against using LEGACY POWER\_UP in section 32.2.4.4. This is because legacy power-up can end POWER\_UP mode prior to the end of PD Inrush.

The result of an early exit of POWER\_UP mode is that current is not limited to the levels in figure 33-13, and inrush current could exceed expected values for a PD, potentially damaging an existing Type 1 or Type 2 PD. Type 3 and Type 4 PSE's could deliver higher currents during PD Inrush in this scenario, increasing the probability of damage to a legacy PD.

The recommendations used in the existing standard have been applied to Type 3 and Type 4 PSE's in the draft. The suggested remedy makes it a requirement for Type 3 and Type 4 PSE's. For reference, the existing text is shown below:

However, for practical implementations, it is recommended that the POWER\_UP mode on a pair set persist for the complete duration of TInrush-2P, as the PSE may not be able to correctly ascertain the conclusion of a PD's inrush behavior.

## SuggestedRemedy

Change the text to:

However, for practical implementations, it is recommended that POWER\_UP mode in Type 1 and Type 2 PSE's persist for the complete duration of Tlnrush-2P, as the PSE may not be able to correctly ascertain the conclusion of a PD's inrush behavior. Type 3 and Type 4 PSE's shall remain in POWER\_UP mode until the Tinrush\_2P period in table 33-11 is met.

### Proposed Response Response Status W

This should be discussed by the group as there was a comment looking to remove this statement completely.

C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.6	P <b>41</b>	L 51	# 3
Beia, Chri	stian	STMicro	electronics	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagram

To cover all the possible cases, and allow maximum design flexibility, the signature variable should also have a definition for a PSE which detected a PD requesting power on a single alternative.

# SuggestedRemedy

To add two more definition of the signature variable:

Valid\_AltA: A Type 3 or Type 4 PSEs has detected a PD requesting power on Alternative A. Valid\_AltB: A Type 3 or Type 4 PSEs has detected a PD requesting power on Alternative B.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

OBE by comment # 229.

CI 33	SC	33.3.7	P 88	L <b>20</b>	# 5
Beia, Chri	stian		STMicro	electronics	
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		Table 33-18
Table	33-18				

The maximum input guaranteed available power for Class 8 PDs cannot be 71.3W, since in a perfectly balanced system it would result into a 0.5\*71.3W/41.1V=0.867A current per pair-set.

This value is larger than Icon-2P min defined at PSE output in Table 33-11. The calculated value for Pclass min and Vport\_PSE\_2P min is: Icon\_2P min= 0.5\*90W/52V=0.865A. So I suggest modifying Pclass\_PD to 71.0W for Class8 which results into 0.5\*71W/41.1V=0.864A.

## SuggestedRemedy

Modify Table 33-18 Item: 4, Parameter: Input guaranteed available average power, Class8 with the following value: Max: 71.0

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 33	SC	33.2.7.8	P 70	L 33	# 6	CI 33	SC	33.3.2	P 76	L <b>7</b>	# 11
Beia, Chr	ristian		STMicroelectro	onics		Beia, Chri	stian		STMicroel	ectronics	
Commen	t Type	TR	Comment Status D		PSE Power	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		PD Types
			e document, also for the Tur ace of the PI.	n off time parag	graph it is needed to			ype 4 are ach Type	e described in the same se	ntence and it is no	ot clear what clesses are
Suggeste	edReme	dy				Suggestee	dReme	dy			
Replace "PI" with "pair set" in the whole paragraph, to read: The specification for TOff in Table 33–11 shall apply to the discharge time from VPort_PSE to VOff of a pair set with a test resistor of 320 kOhm attached to that pair set. In addition, it is recommended that the pair set be discharged when turned off. TOff starts when VPSE drops 1 V below the steady-state value after the pi_powered variable is cleared(see Figure 33–9). TOff ends when VPSE<=VOffmax. The PSE remains in the IDLE state as long as the average voltage across the pair set is VOff. The IDLE state is the state whenthe PSE is not in detection, classification, or normal powering states. Proposed Response Response Status W						Type greate Data I With: Type Layer Type	3 and 1 ar imple Link La 3 PDs o ment bo classif 4 PDs i	Type 4 PE ement bot yer classi operating oth multip ication (so mplemer	sentence: S operating with a maximu h multiple-Event Physical I fication (see 33.6)and adve with a maximum power dra le-Event Physical Layer cl ee 33.6)and advertise a cla t both multiple-Event Physical k Layer classification (see 5)	ayer classificatio ertise a class sign aw corresponding lassification (see lss signature of 4, sical Layer classif	n (see 33.3.5.2)and hature of 4, 5, 6, 7 or 8. to Class 4 or greater 33.3.5.2)and Data Link , 5, 6. ication (see
•	•	ACCEPT.				Proposed PROF			Response Status W		
C/ 33		33.3.8	P <b>94</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 10	OBE	ov com	ment # 2	50		
Beia, Chr	ristian		STMicroelectro	onics			,				
	ble 33-13		Comment Status D a column which describes th			<i>Cl</i> <b>33</b> Beia, Chri		33.3.7	P 87 STMicroel	L <b>28</b> ectronics	# 12
inforr	mation.		tion 33.3.8 for details but the Ilso reference to 33.3.8 but r	5	0		33-18	TR	Comment Status D		Table 33-18
Suggeste	edReme	dy							3-16a the PD Type 4 is only input voltage definition for		
Add	the follow	wing senter	ice after first paragraph of 33	3.3.8:					it to Type 2,3; for classes 5		
reduc	ce TMPS	S_PD in ord	h detect a long first class ev ler to draw a lower standby M n TMPS_PD is higher, and th	/IPS power. In	absence of a long first		ve PD	Type 4 in	to PD type column, rows 1		Item 1 as follows:
Proposed PRO		nse ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Paran	neter Ir	put volta	ge per pair set, Class1   PE ge per pair set, Class2   PE ge per pair set, Class0,3   F	D Type 1,3 PD Type 2,3	

Comment ID 12

Parameter Input voltage per pair set, Class4 | PD Type 1,3 Parameter Input voltage per pair set, Class5 | PD Type 3 Parameter Input voltage per pair set, Class6 | PD Type 3

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Seeboodt, Lennart     Philips       Comment Type     E     Comment Status     D     Editorial       Bulkcomment to consistently reference to ISO/IEC 11801 without year.     We have references on:     -     -       - page 19, line 53     -     -     page 22, line 15     -       - page 22, line 19     -     -     page 22, line 19       - page 23, line 10     -     -	Yseboodt, Lennart     Philips       Comment Type     E     Comment Status     D     PSE State Diagram       Most of the state names have an abbreviated name. This increases complexity. Especially the abbreviation for POWER_DENIED, PD is highly confusing.     SuggestedRemedy       Pick 1 name for a state and do not abbreviate.     Proposed Response     Response Status				
	roposed response response status w				
- page 23, line 32 - page 102, line 27 - page 103, line 33 - page 104, line 45 - page 104, line 49	PROPOSED ACCEPT.           C/ 33         SC 33.2.4.7         P 45         L 8         # 35           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips				
- page 105, line 9 - page 107, line 17 - page 137, line 45 - page 138, line 19	Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE State Diago The overview diagram should not mix container boxes for sub state machines with actual states.				
Replace reference (with year) to "ISO/IEC 11801".	SuggestedRemedy Only show container boxes (dashed) in the overview and the details go in the sub state machines.				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.				
Are references without years ok?	C/33         SC 33.2.5.1         P 52         L 21         # 39           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips				
% 33     SC 33.2.4.6     P 42     L 42     # 31       seboodt, Lennart     Philips	Comment Type E Comment Status D PSE Detec				
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>E</b> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> <i>Editorial</i> " electrical requirements of PSE Type that corresponds to the connected PD Type."	"The PSE shall not be damaged by up to 5 mA backdriven current over the range of V oc as specified in Table 33-4." Voc is not a range, only lists a maximum.				
uggestedRemedy " electrical requirements of a PSE Type that corresponds to the connected PD Type." Proposed Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The PSE shall not be damaged by up to 5 mA backdriven current over the				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	range of 0V to V_oc as specified in Table 33-4."				
Possible OBE by comment # 187	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.				
If 187 not accepted, replace with:	This is text that we are not changing as part of the .3bt project.				
" electrical requirements of the PSE Type that corresponds to the connected PD Type."	This request can be filed as a maintenance request.				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

				-				
C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.3	P 61	L 34	# 47	CI 33	SC 33.2.4.4	P 39	L <b>5</b>	# 99
rseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt	Lennart	Philips		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		Editorial	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		PSE Typ
Section title is "(TBD) A	Autoclass"					ct from a Type 3 PSE in way	ys other than pov	ver (Vpse min, polarity,
SuggestedRemedy					implement 4P).	ent Type 4 PSEs from prov	viding also nower	below class 7
Remove TBD and add	space: "Auto class"			Curre	ntly Table 33-3 re	quires a Type 4 PSE to have		
Proposed Response	Response Status W				ting it to Class 7	and 8.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Suggeste	•			
Remove Space but do	not add space					1, 2 and 4 also for Type 4.		
•	not add space.			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
CI 33 SC 33.3.3.4	P 78	L <b>46</b>	# 65	This g this.	joes to the heart of	of what a Type 4 PSE is. I	would like to hear	r the group's opinion on
rseboodt, Lennart	Philips			uns.				
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		PD State Diagram	See C	Comment # 287.			
	nt the Type 2 PD from drawir	ng more than inru	ush current during the	CI 33	SC 33.2.6	P 56	L <b>4</b>	# 100
PSE's inrush period; see T de	elav in Table 33-18."			Yseboodt		Philips		" 100
SuggestedRemedy				Comment		Comment Status D		PSE Classificati
Change to "T Delay" to	"Tdelay-2P"			Table 33-7, 3rd column title is "Minimum power levels at the output of the PSE (Pclass)".				
Proposed Response	Response Status W					e minimum power at the PS		( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
PROPOSED ACCEPT				The o	utput level at the	PSE PI can be anything be	tween MPS and I	Pclass
						I seem to imply that PSE m		
OBE by comment # 11	2.			Suggeste	dRemedy			
CI 33 SC 33.3.7	P 88	L <b>50</b>	# 75			supported power level at the		
/seboodt, Lennart	Philips				•	s the minimum supported po	ower at the PSE	PI".
Comment Type E	Comment Status X		Pres: Table 33-18		Response	Response Status W		
Table 33-18, Item 9 for	Type 3/4 empty.			PROF	POSED ACCEPT.			
SuggestedRemedy								
Insert TBD.								
Proposed Response	Response Status W							
Waiting for Presentatio	n from Yair.							
Waiting for Presentatio	n from Yair.							

comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       Table         There is a inadvertent content change in Table 33-8 compared to the old table format. Two rows for Type 1 PDs have been swapped.       Image: Type 1 PDs have been swapped.       Image: Type 1 PDs have been swapped.         uggestedRemedy       Change Type 1, PD, Multiple-event, No-DLL from NO to YES       Change Type 1, PD, Multiple-event, DLL from NO to YES       Change Type 1, PD, None, No-DLL from YES to NO         Change Type 1, PD, None, DLL from YES to NO       See yseboodt_Table_33_8_v100.pdf       Image: Type PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Make edits as suggested, but change yes and no to valid and invalid respectively.
Two rows for Type 1 PDs have been swapped. IggestedRemedy Change Type 1, PD, Multiple-event, No-DLL from NO to YES Change Type 1, PD, Multiple-event, DLL from NO to YES Change Type 1, PD, None, No-DLL from YES to NO Change Type 1, PD, None, DLL from YES to NO See yseboodt_Table_33_8_v100.pdf oposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>62</b> Philips	L 1	# 106	C/ <b>33</b> Yseboodt, I	SC <b>33.2.7.2</b> _ennart	P <b>65</b> Philips	L <b>30</b>	# 108
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PSE Power	Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status X		PSE Powe
sets power up. A PD cannot easily meas If the pair sets are not br the 2P power limit (even if it waited for Tdel SuggestedRemedy Introduce a new parame 50ms. A PSE that decides to 4f within Tpud.	ter Tpud (T Pair set Power P power a SS PD will need <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> N PRINCIPLE.	P power. ould draw double r up delay) with a	the inrush, or exceed	transie 30 us. This sta Suggested Option Lower f Type 3 Type 4 Option Increas Type 3 Type 3	nt lasting less the Transients lasting atement is not the Remedy 1 (preferred): the minimum tire 15us 15us 10us 2: the minimum 10uF	ng more than 250 us shall m rue for the higher power clas ne (30us) to: capacitance of PDs to:	neet the V Port_P	
Parameter: Power up de Symbol: Tpud Unit: s Min: Blank Max: TBD PSE Type: 3, 4 Additional Information: \$				Proposed F This sh remedy	ould be discus	Response Status W sed by the group as there ar	e two options liste	d in the suggested

"Editor's Note to be removed before publication: Timing requirements for 4-pair power to be added to this section."

to beginning of section 33.2.7.5

C/ 33	50	33.2.7.7	P 68	1 42	# 440
01 33	30	33.Z.1.1	F 00	L <b>43</b>	# 110
Yseboodt,	Lenna	rt	Philips		
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		PSE Power
upper	bound t	emplate.	ext said that power shall be r	emoved before o	crossing the
	ext say				

"When connected to a single signature PD, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE may remove power from both pair sets if

the current draw exceeds the "PSE lowerbound templateâ€ion either pair set, and shall remove power from

both pair sets if the current draw exceeds the "PSE upper bound templateâ€ion either pair set.

When connected to a dual signature PD, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE may remove power from any pair set that exceeds

the "PSE lowerbound templateâ€and shall remove power fromany pair set that exceeds

the "PSE upperbound templateâ€□

Power may be removed from both pair sets any time power is removed from one pair set."

#### SuggestedRemedy

Note: remedy does 3 things:

- insert space between "fromany"
- add references to Fig 33-14 and Eq 33-7

- change "exceeds" to "equals or exceeds"

"When connected to a single signature PD, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE may remove power from both pair sets if

the current draw exceeds the "PSE lowerbound template" defined in Equation 33-7 and Figure 33-14, on either pair set, and shall remove power from both pair sets if the current draw equals or exceeds the "PSE upper bound template" on either pair set.

When connected to a dual signature PD, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE may remove power from any pair set that exceeds

the "PSE lowerbound template" and shall remove power from any pair set that equals or exceeds the "PSE upperbound template"

Power may be removed from both pair sets any time power is removed from one pair set."

## Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Possible OBE by comment # 238.

"When connected to a single signature PD, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE may remove power from both pair sets if

the current draw meets or exceeds the "PSE lowerbound template" defined in Equation 33-7 and Figure 33-14, on either pair set, and shall remove power from both pair sets before the current draw equals or exceeds the "PSE upper bound template" on either pair

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

set.

When connected to a dual signature PD, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE may remove power from any pair set that meets or exceeds

the "PSE lowerbound template" and shall remove power from a pair set before the current draw equals or exceeds the "PSE upperbound template" on that pair set Power may be removed from both pair sets any time power is removed from one pair set."

C/ 33	SC 33.3.3.4	P 78	L <b>46</b>	# 112
Yseboodt,	Lennart	Philips		
Comment	Туре <b>Т</b>	Comment Status D		PD State Diagram

"A timer used to prevent the Type 2 PD from drawing more than inrush current during the  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PSE}}\xspace's$ 

inrush period; see T delay in Table 33-18."

This also applies to Type 3 and 4.

#### SuggestedRemedy

"A timer used to prevent a Type 2, 3 or 4 PD from drawing more than inrush current during the PSE's inrush period; see T delay-2P in Table 33-18 "

inrush period; see T delay-2P in Table 33-18."

This OBEs the editorial comment to change T delay to T delay-2P

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.		

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>88</b> Philips	L <b>48</b>	# 114	C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	.4 <i>P</i> 91 Philips	L <b>5</b>	# 116
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X		Pres: Table 33-18	Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		PD Powe
well as in 4P mode	pe 1 and 2 was 5uF. This nur changing Cport to Cport_2P, a		-	exceed		0	
	ange their capacitance whethe 1, 2 I would suggest this:	er they are 4P or	2P powered and we	allowed if the total in	tent (I Port_ac ) superimpose ոթսt or equal to P Class_PD max.		nt level (I Port_dc ) is
Type 1,2 in 4P mode Type 3,4 in 2P mode Type 3,4 in 4P mode,	=> 5uF(min) at the PI (total) => 5uF(min) at the PI (total) => 5uF(min) at the PI (total) Single Sig => 5uF(min) at the Dial Sig => 5uF(min) on eac ort_2P back to Cport.			SuggestedRemedy "At any static voltag 6 or class 8 PDs, th P Class_PD max fo Peak operating pow		ating condition, with	n the exception of class
Proposed Response Waiting for Presentat	Response Status W				ge at the PI, class 6 or class 8	PDs in operating	condition, the peak
C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>89</b> Philips	L 15	# 115	cycle. Peak operatir	PI for more than T CUT min,	as defined in Table	e 33-11 and 5% duty
Comment Type T Von and Voff are TBE SuggestedRemedy There is no reason to	Comment Status D o for Type 3 and 4.	N Types	Table 33-18	allowed if the total ir	tent (I Port_ac ) superimpose nput or equal to P Class_PD max,		· _ /
Use Von = 42V for T Use Voff = 30V for Ty Proposed Response	ype 1-4.	и турсэ.		Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEP	т.						

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.4 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>91</b> Philips	L <b>22</b>	# [117	C/ 33 Yseboodt,	SC 33.4.9.1.4 Lennart	d P 107 Philips	L <b>45</b>	# 120
Comment Type <b>T</b> "The maximum I Port following equation: Iportmax = Pclass_P This disallows extend SuggestedRemedy "The maximum I Port operating V Port_PD shall be defined by th Iportmax = Pclass_P	Comment Status <b>D</b> value for all operating V Port_ D / Vport_PD (A) (33-11)" ded power by limiting the curre value for all PDs except those range,	ent. e in Class 6 or C "	lass 8, over the	Comment "PSAI values using result PSAI This i Suggested Make Proposed	Type <b>T</b> NEXT loss for 10G s determined the equations sho in NEXT loss values number of 67dB d dRemedy consistent whiche Response	Comment Status X BASE-T capable Midspan own in Table 33-20a for all greater than 67 dB shall re bes not seem to match with	specified frequen vert to a requirem h Table 33-20a.	cies. Calculations that ent of 67 dB minimum."
shall be defined by th Iportmax = Pclass_P where Iportmax is the n Vport_PD-2P(min) is	D / Vport_PD-2P(min) (A) (3 naximum DC and RMS input of the minimum static input volta	current age at PD PI			<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> D_DLLMAX_VALU	P 116 Philips Comment Status D IE, class 8 is listed as 900 power of 99.9W, but via p		# 121 DLL
Pclass_PD is the max Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	kimum power, P Class_PD ma Response Status W T.	ax, as defined in	Table 33-18"	Suggestee	is the best/only w Remedy	ay to negotiate higher pow	ver than 90.	
superseded by IEC 62 In the following places - page 95, line 45 - page 95, line 49 - page 95, line 50 - page 95, line 53 - page 96, line 34 - page 97, line 22 SuggestedRemedy	Philips Comment Status D nge reference to IEC 60950-1 2368-1.			Proposed	Response POSED ACCEPT.	/ALUE / Class 8 = 999 Response Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W T.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

rseboodt, Le	SC <b>33.2.3</b>	P <b>32</b> Philips	L 31	# 124	C/ <b>33</b> Yseboodt	SC 33.5.1.1.	.4 P 111 Philips	L 16	# 126
comment Typ		Comment Status D		Editorial	Comment		Comment Status D		Managemer
Table 33-	The other polari Possible confus - does it mean the	new pinout configuration ty configuration is named ion can occur now when he specific polarity config t configuration ?	I 'Alternative B'. referring to 'Alter		suppo	"When read orted by the PSE read as '10', bits	ble is not yet 4P aware. as '01', bits 11.3:2 indicate . When s 11.3:2 indicate that only PS		
SuggestedRe Rename Alternativ Proposed Re PROPOS	- or to the pinou We need a distinguish medy Alternative B' refers medy Alternative B' to S for Straight X for Cross Other option: Alternative B = e B(X) => Alternative sponse F ED ACCEPT IN	t configuration ? nct name for the "Alterna to which pins are used ir 'Alternative B(S)' in the th => Alternative B(N) N ative B(R) R for Reve Response Status W	tive B" polarity co ndependent from nird column of Ta for Normal rsed	polarity. ble 33-2a.	the P provid 11.3: corre never deter Suggeste Repla suppo the P Alterr provid 11.3: and F corre	SE. Where the option ded, setting bits 1 to '01' shall force 2 to '10' shall force PSE to use only If bit 12.0 is one, sponding value: ' A and '10' = B. T 'be assigned. Reading bits 11. mine the presence of the PSE Cont <i>dRemedy</i> ace by: "When read as '10', bits SE. When read as '10', bits SE. When read as '10', bits SE. When read as '11', bits SE. Where the option ded, setting bits 11. to '01' shall force 2 to '10' shall force 2 to '10' shall force SE Pinout Altern If bit 12.0 is one, sponding value: '	n of controlling the PSE Pinot 11.3:2 a the PSE to use only PSE P ce the PSE Pinout Alternative B. , writing to these register bits 01' = The combinations '00' and '1 3:2 returns an unambiguous ce rol register." 01', bits 11.3:2 indicate that only PS 1', bits 11.3:2 indicate that only PS 1', bits 11.3:2 indicate that b vorted by the PSE. n of controlling the PSE Pinot 1.3:2 a the PSE to use only PSE P ce the PSE Pinout Alternative B. :2 to '11' shall allow the PSE hative B simultaneously. , writing to these register bits	out Alternative thro inout Alternative A shall set mr_pse 1' for bits 11.3:2 a result of '01' or '1 only PSE Pinout A E Pinout Alternation th Pinout Alternation out Alternative thro inout Alternative A to use both PSE shall set mr_pse	alternative to the re reserved and will 0' that may be used to Iternative A is ve B is supported by ative A and Pinout ough these bits is A and setting bits Pinout Alternative A

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Johnson, Peter	P <b>62</b> Sifos Technolo	L 51	# 130
2/ 33 SC 33.2.4.4 P 35 L 20 # 129		Comment Status X	Jyles	PSF Powe
ohnson, Peter Sifos Technologies	Item 5, Inrush-2P, allows 4	pair PSE's to limit current	to 450mA PER	PAIR SET as
comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       4PID         The state machine variable "maintain_4pair_power" can be reset as a result of 3 possible events including LLDP message (e.g. "PD does not want 4-pair power"), enforcement of class power draw (power policing to class?), and "vendor discretion".       As this is an interoperability specification, how is a PD designer to know what constitutes "vendor discretion"? For example, if a PSE can remove power from some flavor of dual signature (or dual load) PD, how does the PD designer know to design a PD where this won't happen?         Furthermore, there is no possible recipe by which to verify the integrity of the PSE's decision nor is there one to distinguish the power removal from what might otherwise be a faulty processing of an MPS or overload type of shutdown.         uggestedRemedy	currently phrased. This be damage existing PD's that <450mA if/when those PD SuggestedRemedy The remedy to this may ge topic. (Perhaps PSE's that limit i asynchronously by Tinrush	Phavior, that is allowing up were designed to expect F 's receive 4-Pair power. et involved. For now, we contrush current on a per-pair to so inrush is fully experient Response Status W by the group. rush current to 450mA for	to 900mA during PSE would limit ir ould create an Ec set basis will ne iced on just a sin	inrush, would nrush current to ditor's Note on the red to power pair sets gle pair set.)
Either remove "vendor discretion" as a criteria or expand the Editor's Note to indicate that a more detailed criteria is required explaining why a PSE might decide that 4-pair powering is not advisable.	Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 Walker, Dylan	P 57 Cisco	L 1	# 141
roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		Table 33-
Add "Vendor discretion needs explanation." to endo of editor's note.	Table 33–8—PSE and PD PD permutations are in the clause. SuggestedRemedy (1) Rename "Table 33–8— (2) Move "PD Permutation (3) Have the text on line 4' classification permutations Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT.	PSE clause, but they wou PSE classification permut s" half of the table to 33.3. I above it reference the ne	uld stand on their ations" 5, page 83, line 4	43

7 <b>33</b> SC	C 33.2.4.6	P <b>42</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 147	C/ 33	SC	33.1.4.2	P <b>23</b>	L 32	# 169
Valker, Dylan		Cisco			Zimmerm	Zimmerman, George		CME Consu	lting	
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagram	Comment	t Type	ER	Comment Status D		Editoria
TLIM-2P, ar and greater	nd PType (se than equal t	o apply the electrical require ee Table 33-11) of any Type o the PD Type." his paragraph isn't modified	lower than or e	qual to the PSE Type	requii requii Opera	rement i rements ation of	s unclear. ' stated in Is what, for w	g, we've made enough hol 'Operation for all types sha SO/IEC 11801:2002." hat, what requirements? Is assuming first its on the lin	all meet the resis s this a requirem	stance unbalance nent on the port (PI) or
SuggestedRem	edy				Suggeste	dReme	dy			
TLIM-2P, ar	nd PType (se than or equa	o apply the electrical require e Table 33-11) of any Type al to the PD Type." <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>			comp If it is Claus	ly with t on the ses 33.2	he resistan PSE/PD op and 33.3 s	it is in PHY requirements: ce unbalance requirement eration, then state, "PSE I shall be met over link section SO/IEC 11801:2002."	s specified in IS PI and PD PI ele	O/.IEC 11801:2002/" ectrical requirements in
PROPOSEI	D ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			Proposed	•		Response Status W		
Possible OE	BF by comm	ent # 187			•			N PRINCIPLE.		
					-			-		
2/ <b>33</b> SC Valker, Dylan	C 33.4	P <b>95</b> Cisco	L <b>37</b>	# 153				it is in PHY requirements: ce unbalance requirement		
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status D		AES	C/ 33	SC	33.2.4.6	P <b>42</b>	L 14	# 170
		.4 are consistent with the re		ne 10BASE-T MAU and	Zimmerm	an, Geo	orge	CME Consu	Iting	
the TOUBAS	E-IX and TO	000BASE-T and 10GBASE-	I PHYS.		Comment	t Type	ER	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagrai
Extra "and"	instead of co	omma.			defini	tion of s	et_parame	ter_type has gotten convo	luted	
SuggestedRem	edy				Suggeste	dReme	dy			
		.4 are consistent with the re BASE-T and 10GBASE-T PH		ne 10BASE-T MAU and	Reca table	st defini if it exis	tion as a ta ts.	ble with permissible values	s for each PSE t	ype, or reference such a
Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status W			Proposed	l Respoi	nse	Response Status W		
PROPOSE	D ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			PRO	POSED	REJECT.			
	serial comm	a to be included.			The c	commen	t and sugg	ested remedy is not clear e	enough to know	what should be changed.
I prefer the										

C/ 33 SC 33.3.4 Zimmerman, George	P <b>82</b> CME Consulti	L 1	# 171		C/ <b>33</b> Zimmerma	SC 33.3.8		P <b>95</b> CME Consu	L <b>8</b>	# 173
-	Comment Status D	iy					0		ung	
Comment Type ER Editor's note has been 4PID. SuggestedRemedy Remove editor's note.	resolved - no change to valid	4PID ed by	referer still wr impeda	33-19 deletes t nce to 33.3.8 as itten in terms o ance may imply	s strikeout in the f input current, y y a current, the	at requirement e row for input without a requ current remain	current, and, wh rement striken on the requireme	PD MPS esn't mention the hen I check 33.3.8, it is but. While the ent and should be in the g requirements on		
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W				existin base te	g devices. ALS ext - which it do		ould show appi		d strikeout from the
Based on the number of editor's note there for r	of comments related to 4PID a	and this text, I	suggest we keep t	he	"additi	ate strikeout te	n" column, as is			e to 33.3.8 back to the renumber Input
Cl 33 SC Zimmerman, George	P <b>88</b> CME Consulti	L <b>17</b> ng	# 172		Proposed	Response	Response 3			
Comment Type ER Table 33-18: 'guarante page 90, lines 1 and 4.	Comment Status <b>D</b> ed'? this is a requirement alm	eady. the word		<i>Power</i> so on	This lir	ne was replace	d by item 1 in T	able 33-19a.		the second second
SuggestedRemedy					Editor		ce to Table 33-1	9a in text whe	re appropriate (a	after mention of
Remove the word guar	anteed (4 occurances, 2 in th	e table and 2 c	on page 90)			·		00.40 "0	00.0.0 (	information II
Proposed Response	Response Status W				Editor	to add note to I	bottom of Table	33-198: "See	e 33.3.8 for more	e information.
PROPOSED REJECT.					C/ 33	SC 33.1.1		P <b>19</b>	L <b>53</b>	# 176
I believe this word was	added as part of the Extended	d Power work	and is needed to		Zimmerma	· U		CME Consu	ting	
distinguish between the	ose classes with extended po	wer and those	without.			2 requires 1180	Comment 1:1995 Class D to delete catego	unless we ex		Cabling change the base
					See al	lso on page 23,	line 11			
					Suggestea	Remedy				
					and a cabling	derating' to 'T	Type 2 operation	n requires ISO	/IEC 11801:199	2 Class D or better 5 Class D or better D or better cabling.
					Make	a similar chang	e on page 23, li	ine 11.		
						-				
					Proposed	Response	Response S	Status W		

# 179 C/ 33 SC 33.1.4.1 P 23 L 17 # 177 C/ 33 SC 33.2.2 P 25 L35CME Consulting **CME** Consulting Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George System Power Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Midspan Type 2 operation never has all cable pairs energized 10GBASE-T Midspan PSEs may not be compatible with 10BASE-T or 100BASE-TX due to magnetics OCL required. Requires further study. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Consider whether type 2 operation requires a 10 deg C reduction, since only half of the Delete 10BASE-T and 100BASE-TX from line 35, insert editor's note after description of pairs are energized. (Delete type 2 from sentence, retain type 3) 10GBASE-T midspan (on line 37): Proposed Response Response Status W "Editor's note (to be removed prior to publication) - Compatibility of 10GBASE-T midspans PROPOSED REJECT. with 10BASE-T and 100BASE-TX requires further study, specifically, technical feasibility of the OCL requirements for 10BASE-T /100BASE-TX interoperability in conjunction with This is already included in the sentence. 10GBASE-T bandwidth needs to be shown." Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 33 SC 33.1.4.1 P 23 / 20 # 178 PROPOSED ACCEPT. CME Consulting Zimmerman, George Comment Type T Comment Status D System Power SC 33.1.4 P 22 # 183 C/ 33 L 39 Add reference to TSB-184-A for operation on all types in this standard. CME Consulting Zimmerman, George The editor's note on line 25 is insufficient, because the sentence limits the TIA document Comment Type **TR** Comment Status D Unbalance to just Type 2 and needs to be changed. The note is incomprehensible. What is being asked of TIA? Of course, there is a SuggestedRemedy temperature rise with any current. I think the question is, what is the rise, and is it See comment. acceptable - however, the question needs more precision. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Form the question for TIA and ask as a liaison. Delete the note text: "TIA will have to tell us regarding the temperature rise if 4P total current is 2\*Icable per Change Sentence from: "Additional cable ambient operating temperature guidelines for Table 33-1: What Type 2 operation are if total 4P current is kept but one of the pairs has the above pair with maximum lcontprovided in ISO/IEC TR 29125 [B49]1 and TIA TSB-184 [B60]." 2P unb and other pair has the rest. Do they expect temperature rise? Based on the mathematical work we To: "Additional cable ambient operating temperature guidelines for Type 2. Type 3, and did we expect that Type 4 operation are it will not affect temperature rise over the cable." provided in ISO/IEC TR 29125 [B49]1 and TIA TSB-184 [B60]." Optionally replace the note text with a simple question and a reference to the supporting liaison document. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. I believe we have asked TIA or others about temperature rise as a result of unbalance (we expect less temperature rise in the presence of unbalance). What is the status of that liaison? Replace note beginning "TIA will have..." with: "Liaison underway with TIA and others to study the effect of unbalance on temperature rise ." Add link to liaison.

IEEE 802.bt D1.0 4-Pair Power over Ethernet 3rd Task Force review comments

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 183

Page 14 of 49 6/11/2015 5:23:44 PM

CI 33 SC 33.2.0a	P <b>24</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 185	C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.6	P <b>42</b>		# 187	
Zimmerman, George	CME Consul	ting		Zimmerma	in, George	CME C	Consulting		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		PSE Types	Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status	D	PSE State Diagra	
maintained by the Chair i managmeent information	of scope of the PAR. The in many cases as limiting to Introduction of new types d require an amendment to	to 4 pair operati s of 2 pair PSE	on and associated	there a words,	are the PSE Type and the fact that	oluted. There is the P e requirements that the t PSEs don't "choose" o_ to indicate propose	e PSE is applying, th , having the option 'r	nen there are missing	
SuggestedRemedy Remove 2 pair Type 3 P	SEs (both 15.4W and 30W	/) from table 33	-1a.		process the text I r than the PD typ		vell, e.g., a PSE shou	uldn't be supplying Ptype	
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Suggested					
This should be discussed	d by the group.					raph with proposed te			
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.6 Zimmerman, George	P <b>40</b> CME Consul	L <b>52</b>	# 186	type( (Type	ype_sub_PSE), _sub_PD), excep	the PSE shall meet th t for ICon-2P, ILIM-2P	he PI electrical requir , TLIM-2P, and PTyp		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagram		<pre>neet the requirem sub_PSE.</pre>	nents of any PSE type	Type_sub_PD <= F	PSE Type <=	
do_connection_check ne occur prior to classificatio Task Force has been cle	eeds a home in the state di on. It also shouldn't happe ar that it doesn't want con	n significantly b nection check p	ling to 33.2.5.0a it has to efore detection. The inned down, so the only	Proposed		Response Status	w		
place left is to put it insid included in do_detection)	e the "DO_DETECT" state ).	e in parallel with	do_detection (but not	C/ 33	SC 33.2.5.0a	P 51	L <b>20</b>	# 189	
SuggestedRemedy				Zimmerma	n, George	CME C	Consulting		
•••	ck" to state START_DETE	CT in Figure 3	3-9a.	Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status	D	Connection Chee	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W				ction check dete ) is a much more		ype on the link segm	nent. The architecture of	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	N PRINCIPLE.			Suggested	Remedy				
	state diagram for Types 3 In detection and the conne					architecture of the PD ture is attached to the			
We need to create a Typ	e 3 and 4 state diagram th	at considers the	ese issues.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
Accepting this comment	results in no changes to th	ne text.		-		-	)" with "dotorming wh	nether a single signature	
See comment # 225.						ached to the two pair-s			

33 	SC 33.3.6	P 87	L 1	# 194	CI 79		79.3.2.6	b(Table 79-6b	•	L <b>2629</b>	# 195	
immerman		CME Consu	iting		Zhuang, N			_	Huawei Tech	lologies		
similarly text say it's own The text either T SuggestedF Replace "A PD s recogni: 1, Type identify 3 PSE a Proposed R	nean to restrict , a Type 2 PD s. I think we w type. t as written cau ype 1 or Type 2 Remedy paragraph be hall identify an 2 or Type 3 PS a PSE of highe as a Type 2." esponse	Comment Status D a Type 3 from identifying if from identifying it is connect ant to specify that a PD rec ses a Type 3 PSE to go unit by a Type 2 PD. ginning with "A Type 2 PD" y PSE type up to and includ Type 2 PSE (see figures 33 SE, and a Type 4 PD shall re r type than itself as its Type <i>Response Status</i> W IN PRINCIPLE.	ted to a Type 3 PS ognizes and identi dentified or to be as follows: ing it's own type ( -16), a Type 3 PD ecognize PSEs up	SE?) - that's what this fies a PSE type up to randomly identified as e.g., a Type 2 PD shall shall recognize a Type to Type 4). A PD may	Conn Revis interfa "Cons Suggeste Repla "1 = I 0 = S to: "0= T 1= Th provio Proposed This s	a 79-6b ection c eection c eection c eection c sideratic <i>dReme</i> ( <i>a</i> ace the <i>d</i> Dual sig ingle sig he PD is de to the <i>I Respo</i> ( <i>s</i> ) should b	neaning of senario d on on Cor dy existing te nature. P gnature. P gnature. F is a single s a dual lo e Mode." nse be discuss	f PD PI bit to ir lescribed in L2 nnection Check Class_PD is th PClass_PD is i a load. The Mo nad. Each Moo <i>Response</i> sed by the gro	indicate PD signaticate PD load ad hoc and av " presented in the sum of the ir ndicated by eit ode class on ea de class power Status W	gnatures. ds for PSEs, so as oid current overlo Jan 2015 meeting ndicated PD mode her PD mode pow ach pair-set shall t is used to determ attend the L2 Ad I	aded described in g. e power class value ver class values." pe the same. ine the power to	n ues.
This se	ntence should b	be changed, but the comme	nt is not correct.				comment	# 253.				
fingers. For exa	Type 3 PDs s mple, a Class 3	should be able to identify a nould be able to identify the 3 Type 3 PD only needs to t then it only cares about the	types of PSEs up ell the difference b	to their power level. between a Type 1 and	C/ <b>33</b> Bullock, C Comment Item	Chris t <i>Type</i>	<b>33.2.7</b> T able 33-11	<i>Comment</i> 1: Tmpdo	P 64 Cisco Systen Status D	L <b>25</b> ns	# <u>198</u> PS	E MPS
•	paragraph to: 2 PD shall ider	tify the PSE Type as eiher	Type 1 or Type 2 (	(see Figure 33-16).		time to	handle M	entations that IPS for both pa		e controllers for pa	ir-sets could requ	iire
be able Type 3	to identify the l PDs may also o	tify the PSE Type as either PSE Type as Type 1, Type differentiate Type 3 PSEs fr f the first class event.	2, or Type 3 if it is	a class 5 or 6 PD.	Chan Proposed	ge Tmp I Respo	odo (min) i	from 0.354s to <i>Response</i> Г.				
				iven 2 or Turne 4								

A Type 4 PD shall identify the PSE Type as either Type 1, Type 2, Type 3, or Type 4.

C/ 33 SC 33.4.1 Bullock, Chris	P <b>96</b> Cisco System	L <b>30</b> ns	# 199	C/ <b>33</b> Dove, Dar	SC <b>33.2</b>	2	P <b>31</b> Dove Networ	L <b>50</b> king Solut	# 205
Comment Type <b>T</b> Item 3 in Table 33-19a: Tr	Comment Status D			<i>Comment</i> Missir			Comment Status D	ature PDs	Definition
Related to comment reque also adjust Tmpdo_pd in o				Suggeste Add fi	-	ing single	e signature PD and dual	signature PD co	onfiguration.
SuggestedRemedy Change Tmpdo_pd (max)	from 318ms to 300ms for	r Type 3,4 If long	first class event.	,	Response POSED ACC		esponse Status W PRINCIPLE.		
Proposed Response F PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W						of single-signature and d mplementations.	ual-signature PI	Os to 1.4. Figures
C/ 33 SC 33.2.2 Dove, Daniel	P <b>25</b> Dove Network	L <b>24</b> king Solut	# 204				son_03_0315 (shown b		
	Comment Status D	0	Editorial				) that shares the same d wer signature between b		re, classification
name them based on type SuggestedRemedy Spend some discussion w and change as new PHYs	ith group deciding if we w				tures, and m SC <b>33.2</b>	aintain po	hat has independent der ower signatures on each P 32 Dove Networ	pair set.	s, classification # 206
	Response Status W			Comment	Type TR	-	Comment Status D V AltA (MDI) and AltB(X)	Ū	PSE Type for Type 4 PSEs
Accepting this comment re	esults in no changes to th	e text.		Suggeste Add e	dRemedy explanation in	the text			
				•	<i>Response</i> POSED REJI		esponse Status W		
				No re	ason to add	explanation	on to text. The requirem	ents are the imp	oortant part.
				<i>Cl</i> <b>33</b> Dove, Dar	SC <b>33.2</b>	4.7	P <b>46</b> Dove Networ	L <b>30</b> king Solut	# 213
				Comment Missir	<i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> ng T14A	C	Comment Status X		PSE State Diagram
				Suggester Add T	,				
				Proposed	Response	Re	esponse Status W		

Where?

<i>Cl</i> <b>33</b> Dove, Dan	SC <b>33.2.4.7</b>	P <b>50</b> Dove Network	L <b>51</b>	# 217	Cl 33 Schindler,	SC <b>33.1.4.</b> Fred	1	P 23 Seen Simply	L <b>5</b>	# 221
			ang oolat		,		<b>a</b>			
Comment		Comment Status D		PSE Detection	Comment		Comment			System Powe
	ist statement in thes clarity	nis paragraph claims to prese	erve clarity, but	I think it actually	power	levels, which	s not permitted b	by other specific	cation requireme	or higher than class-4 ents. The remainer of
Suggested	dRemedy						ot provide a requ	lirement beyond	d what is alread	y stated in the standard
Either it more		ny the link is not being called	out, or correct	this statement to make	Suggested Strike	<i>Remedy</i> the added ser	tence,			
	Response	Response Status W			require	ements to the	cabling that is no	ormally installed	for data usage.	e with no additional This is approximately
PROP	OSED REJECT.						some further att			levels may be ctions. Higher power
This is	s existing text tha	t we are not changing as part	t of .3bt.		levels	may require h		nductors than a	re found in Clas	s C/Category 3 cabling
This c	an be filed as a r	naintenance request.			Proposed		Response S	0 0		
CI 33	SC 33.2.4.7	P 46	L 19	# 220	•	OSED REJEC	•			
Dove, Dan	niel	Dove Network	king Solut							
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X	0	Pres: State Diagram	l don't	interpret the s	entences that wa	ay. Do you hav	e better text?	
	51	eck function needs to be add	ed 4PID funct	•	C/ 33	SC 33.2.2		P 25	L 38	# 222
added				ion may also need to be	Schindler,			Seen Simply	- •••	
Suggested	dRemedy				Comment	Type ER	Comment	Status D		Midspa
See d	ove_01_0615 for	specific recommendations.					for the added s	entence. The c	lata rate passe	d through a midspan
Proposed	Response	Response Status W					whether it is 2P c			a moogn a moopan
•	ng for presentatio	,			Suggested	Remedy				
	.g. e. preserians						ASE-T and 10GE	3ASE-T Midspa	n PSEs may be	e capable of 4-pair
					Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEI	Response S PT.	Status W		

C/ 33         SC 33.2.3         P 33         L 26         # 223           Schindler, Fred         Seen Simply         Seen Simply         Seen Simply         Seen Simply	C/ 33         SC 33.2.4.4         P 35         L 5         # 225           Schindler, Fred         Seen Simply
Comment Type         TR         Comment Status         D         4-Pair Power           Type 3 PSE that provide more than 30W require both Alternatives.         4         4         4	Comment Type TR Comment Status X 4PID Variables,
SuggestedRemedy Replace "Type 1, Type 2 or Type 3 PSEs shall implement Alternative A, Alternative B, or both. Type 4 PSEs shall	PD_4pair_candidate maintain_4pair_power deny_dual_sig_4pair_power are provide without a related state diagram. Text related to these variables need to be left
implement Alternative A and Alternative B."	open for comment until the related state diagram is provided.
with	SuggestedRemedy
"Type 1, Type 2 or Type 3 PSEs shall implement Alternative A, Alternative B, or both. Type 3 PSEs providing class 5 or 6 power levels and Type 4 PSEs shall implement Alternative A	Keep this comment unresolved until the state diagram is provided and one subsequent comment cycle has occurred.
and Alternative B."	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W	This comment to be left open.
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.4 P 35 L 27 # 226
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.4 P 35 L 7 # 224	Schindler, Fred Seen Simply
Schindler, Fred Seen Simply	Comment Type TR Comment Status X 4PID
Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D       4PID         This text used may confuse readers as to what this variable accomplishes.       SuggestedRemedy       4PID	The variable and the language for deny_dual_sig_4pair_power are not required for interoperability. They appear to be implementation specific. Some dual signature PDs may accept power on both pair sets. Whether the PSE powers a PD is implementation dependent.
Strike text, "is used to do physical layer 4PID".	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W	Use the results of the connection check, which indicates whether a PD is a single or dual signature PD to make choices already permitted by the specification.
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Strike variable deny_dual_sig_4pair_power and associated text.

Based on the number of comments, there needs to be a big discussion about 4PID and

how it is currently implemented.

I would like to hear the group's opinion on this comment.

Cl 33 SC : Schindler, Fred	33.2.4.4	P <b>39</b> Seen Simply	L <b>3</b>	# 227	Cl <b>33</b> Schindler, I	SC <b>33.2.</b> 4 Fred	.6	P <b>41</b> Seen Simply	L <b>48</b>	# 229
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status <b>D</b>		PSE State Diagram	Comment			Comment Status <b>D</b>		PSE State Diagra
		II_capable may be replaced	by text for e	•	Functic one pa	on do_detect irset when a	valid c	pears to be incomplete. S detection signature is prese		ementations will power
SuggestedRemea	ly				respec Suggested	t to PSE bel	avior.			
"See 33.6 for permutations "See 33.6 for be TRUE for Note all occur not addressed Proposed Respon	permutations of this variable with "See 33.6 for a description of Da be TRUE for Type 2 PSEs with Note all occurrences of Table 33 not addressed by this comment.		of Data Link Layer functionality and Table 33-3 for the allowed e with PSE Type and class_num_events." With of Data Link Layer functionality. Variable pse_dll_capable shall with class_num_events of 1."					has detected a PD request stected a valid PD detection tected a valid PD detection etected a valid PD detection or Type 4 PSE has detect the presence or absence of the presence or absence of	n signature on signature on n signature or ed a PD reque a PD." Should	ALT A. power on ALT B. n power on ALT A and esting power on be replaced by
					Flag th	is comment	with Fl	RS-2.		
					Proposed I	Response		Response Status W		
					PROP	OSED ACCE	PT IN	PRINCIPLE.		
					"valid_ valid_E	A: The PSE 3: The PSE I	has de las det	has detected a PD request etected a valid PD detection tected a valid PD detection etected a valid PD detection	n signature on signature on	ALT A. ALT B.
					"both_a	out text, alts_valid:A <sup>-</sup> air sets."	Гуре З	or Type 4 PSE has detect	ed a PD reque	esting power on
								e presence or absence of e presence or absence of		
					 Flag th					

							_
CI 33	SC	33.1.3	P 21	L <b>39</b>	# 230	CI 33 SC	
Schindler,	Fred		Seen Simply			Schindler, Fred	
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		Editorial	Comment Type	
progre unput	ess revi olished	ision P802 P802.3bx/l	and line 41) referenced both .3bx/D2.0. I do not have the D2.0 draft. I am not able to as the public specification.	private passwo	ord to check the	The State Di existing appr PSEs. The r potentially ot	n
Suggeste	dReme	dy				Other approa	2
			n both referenced document		the P802.3bx/D2.0	to converge	
refere	ence so	that there	is no confusion as to what the	ne definition is.		SuggestedReme	(
chang	ged we	should rev	nitions in the IEEE 802.3-201 iew the definition potentially	•		For all past F state diagram that we provi	Y
Proposed	,		Response Status W			Proposed Respo	,
FROF	-USED	ACCEPT	IN FRINCIPLE.			PROPOSED	
Accep	oting thi	is commen	t cause no changes to the d	Iraft.		Nie obewere	
C/ 33	SC	33.2.4.7	P <b>47</b>	L 1	# 232	No changes	l
Schindler,	Fred		Seen Simply				
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagram		
requir	ements	. It is not	ided in Figure 33-9a does no suppose to include Type 1 a Γype 2 requirements.				
Suggeste	dReme	dy					
"Edito	or's Note	e: The stat	ram on pages 47-49 and rep e diagram for Type 3 and Ty aged to provide presentation	pe 4 PSEs nee			
Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Status W				

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add Editor's Note in suggested remedy below Type 3/4 PSE State Diagram.

C/ 33 S	C 33.2.4.7	P <b>45</b>	L 1	# 233
Schindler, Fred		Seen Simply		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagram

Diagram provided in Figure 33-9a was created to be easier to follow than the proach. The existing approach takes two pages to cover Type 1 and Type 2 new approach takes 5 pages and does not yet cover classification and other necessary requirements.

baches should be considered and the suggested approach should be discussed on a solution for Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs.

edy

PoE efforts, Task Force meeting time was devoted to discussing and refining ams. I recommend that this approach is also taken during .3bt meetings and vide time for others to present alternative approaches to solving this problem.

Response Status W onse D ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

to the text result from accepting this comment.

C/ 33	SC 33.2.6.	1 P 58	L 11	# 235	CI 33
Schindler	, Fred	Seen Simply			Schindler, F
Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status D		PSE Classification	Comment T
The t	ext.				Text nee

"The PSE shall provide to the PI VClass with a current limitation of IClass\_LIM, as defined in Table 33-10." Need to be updated to support Type 3 and Type 4 classification.

Application of the classification voltage to a pair set with an invalid detection signature may permanently damage a device. For example, Bob Smith termination resistors (0.125W typically). During detection, which is not likely to cause device damage, the PSE may provide 5mA short-circuit current and up to 30V open circuit. This permits up to 37.5 mW to device during detection. Classification permits ( $20.5V \times 0.1A$ ) up to 2.1W to be dissipated in a device. Legacy PSEs detect, classify and power on using the same Alternative (pair set).

New PSE may detect, classify, and power on, on all pair sets of the PI. Therefore, we need to prevent damage to network equipment.

## SuggestedRemedy

Modify the sentence as follows,

"The PSE shall provide to a pair set VClass with a current limitation of IClass\_LIM, as defined in Table 33-10 only for a pair set with a valid detection signature."

Proposed Response	Response Status	w
PROPOSED ACCEPT.		

CI 33	SC 33.2.5.6	P 57	L <b>45</b>	# 236
Schindler,	Fred	Seen Simply		
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		DS behavior

The text needs to be updated to support Type 3 and Type 4 classification.

### SuggestedRemedy

Add to the end of the paragraph on line 45, the sentence, "Both pair sets of the PI attached to a Dual Signature PDs shall be classified by Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs."

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

 Cl 33
 SC 33.2.5.6
 P 57
 L 49
 # 237

 Schindler, Fred
 Seen Simply
 Seen Simply
 4PID

 Comment Type
 TR
 Comment Status D
 4PID

 Text needs to show that a TBD state diagram may identify single signature or dual signature PDs and how to process them.
 4PID

 Note: This comment is flagged with comment-FRS1 for easy searching.
 SuggestedRemedy

 After the paragraph ending on line 49, add the new paragraph,
 "The connection check, described in 33.2.5.0, and the results of other system information.

"The connection check, described in 33.2.5.0, and the results of other system information, determine the value of variable pd\_4pair\_candidate, defined in 33.2.4.4. PSEs shall comply with the TBD state diagram, which determines the power requirements for pair sets predetermined to be connected to a PD capable of accepting power on both pair sets, see 33.2.5.6."

Proposed Response Response Status W

I don't understand the suggested remedy.

This addition seems reasonable, but the placement is wrong. The suggested remedy is to go in the classification section which is not correct.

In addition, I am unsure about the phrase "which determines the power requirements for pair sets predetermined to be connected to a PD capable of accepting power on both pair sets"

C/ 33	SC 33.2.7.7	P 68	L <b>43</b>	# 238	C/ 33	SC 33.3.1	P <b>74</b>	L 38	# 239
Schindler, I	Fred	Seen Simply			Schindler,	Fred	Seen Simply		
Comment 7	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		PSE Power	Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		4PID

The changed text.

'The "PSE lowerbound template" and "PSE upperbound template" are shown in Figure 33-14.

When connected to a single signature PD, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE may remove power from both pair sets if the current draw exceeds the "PSE lowerbound template" on either pair set, and shall remove power from both pair sets if the current draw exceeds the "PSE upper bound template" on either pair set. When connected to a dual signature PD, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE may remove power from the any pair set PI if the PI pair-set current meets or that exceeds the "PSE lowerbound template" and in Figure 33-14. Power shall be removed from the PI of a PSE before the PI current remove power from power from year set that exceeds the "PSE upperbound template". In Figure 33-14. Power may be removed from both pair sets any time power is removed from one pair set.'

Has broke legacy requirements, places unnecessary restrictions on PSEs, adds unnecessary text, and contains typos.

This new text no longer covers legacy PSEs. Permissible operations do not need to be repeated. The existing text addresses both legacy and new Types.

### SuggestedRemedy

Restore the original text with the following minor edit,

'A PSE may remove power from the PI if the PI current meets or exceeds the "PSE lowerbound template" in Figure 33-14. Power shall be removed from a pair set of a PSE before the pair set current exceeds the "PSE upperbound template" in Figure 33-14.'

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Would OBE comment # 110 and all comments OBEd by comment # 110.

### Change text to:

'A PSE may remove power from any pair set if the pair set current meets or exceeds the "PSE lowerbound template" in Figure 33-14. Power shall be removed from a pair set of a PSE before the pair set current exceeds the "PSE upperbound template" in Figure 33-14.

See comment # 275 for more information.

Comment Type TR Comment Status D 4PA The new sentence, "Type 1 and Type 2 PDs wishing to avoid 4 pair power for longer than a minimal amount of time may signal this by a message via LLDP to the PSE setting the

maintain power signature variable to false."

This text changes legacy behavior. PDs not identified as being capable of accepting power on both pair sets should never be exposed to voltages that exceed Vvalid, the detection voltage. Legacy PDs are required to provide an invalid detection signature on an unpowered pair set when powered on by a legacy PSE. An invalid detection signature indicates a PD does not want to be powered (33.2.5.4, 33.3.4).

### SuggestedRemedy

Replace the sentence with, text that indicates how legacy PDs may show that they accept power on both pair sets.

"Type 1 and Type 2 PD may indicate their ability to accept power on both pair sets by providing a valid detection signature on an unpowered pairset requesting power. These PDs may indicate the ability to accept power on both pair sets using LLDP variable 4P-ID in Table 79-6b."

On page 81, line 51 replace legacy sentence,

"When a PD becomes powered via the PI, it shall present a non-valid detection signature on the set of pairs from which it is not drawing power."

#### With,

"When a PD becomes powered via the PI, it shall present a non-valid detection signature on the set of pairs from which it is not drawing power. A PD may present a valid detection signature on a pair set from which it is not drawing power when the PD is cable of accepting power on both pair sets. "

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Replaced by comment # 254

The requirements for the power measurement are incomplete. The period for the measurement is only (3.28 - 1.35) 1.93 ms long, which is not long enough to cancel out AC- line noise. gestedRemedy State Change variable item 3, TAUTO_PD2 minimum of Table 33-17a from 3.28 ms to 200 ms. Note that a sliding window 100 ms wide is an integer multiple of common 50 and 60 Hz AC line voltages.	signature <sup>-</sup> using text. SuggestedRen Strike Tab "The MPS	19a does no Type 3 and <i>medy</i>	4 PDs. MPS req	nd Type 2 dual uirements for D		PD MPS but does cover Dual PDs may be covered
measurement is only (3.28 - 1.35) 1.93 ms long, which is not long enough to cancel out AC- line noise. gestedRemedy Change variable item 3, TAUTO_PD2 minimum of Table 33-17a from 3.28 ms to 200 ms. Note that a sliding window 100 ms wide is an integer multiple of common 50 and 60 Hz AC line voltages.	signature <sup>-</sup> using text. SuggestedRen Strike Tab "The MPS	Type 3 and medy	4 PDs. MPS req	uirements for D		
Change variable item 3, TAUTO_PD2 minimum of Table 33-17a from 3.28 ms to 200 ms. Note that a sliding window 100 ms wide is an integer multiple of common 50 and 60 Hz AC line voltages.	Strike Tab	•	em 1, last row. A			
Note that a sliding window 100 ms wide is an integer multiple of common 50 and 60 Hz AC line voltages.	"The MPS	le 33-19a ite	em 1, last row. A	مشيبة الملام والالمار		
ů – Elektrik Alektrik – Elektrik –				ad the following	g text to 33.3.8	3, page 95, after line 2,
	Signature		its of Dual Signat	ture PDs shall b	be half of the c	current value of Single
Replace the existing sentence, "After power up, PDs implementing Auto class shall consume their maximum power draw throughout the period bounded by TAUTO_PD1 and TAUTO_PD2, measured from when VPort_PD rises above VPort_PD min. The PD shall not draw more power than the power	Proposed Res PROPOSE	<i>ponse</i> ED REJECT	Response Sta	atus <b>W</b>		
consumed during the time from TAUTO_PD1 to TAUTO_PD2 plus TBD% at any point until VPort_PD falls below VReset_th." With,	they are cl	ept of dual-s learly compl e 2 PDs nov	iant to the standa	s not covered b ard). I do not b	y the previous elieve we can	s standard (although add requirements Type
	C/ <b>33</b> Schindler, Free	SC <b>33.4.9.1</b>		P <b>107</b> Seen Simply	L <b>34</b>	# 243
from when VPort PD rises above VPort PD min. The PD shall not draw more power than	Comment Type The text.		Comment St			AES
posed Response Response Status W	"Midspan I	PSEs intend	led for operation	with 10GBASE	-T (types 5 & 0	6 in Clause 33.4.9.1)
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			o meet the follow k segments."	ing parameters	for coupling s	signals between ports
Partial OBE by comment # 113.	relating to		k segments.			
The rest is requirements on the PSE on how to measure the power draw and is covered in	May be in	error or is c	onfusing. What a	are types 5 & 6	?	
the PSE section.	SuggestedRen	nedy				
No changes result from this comment.	Get an exp PoE Types	•	and craft a sente	ence that does r	not confuse re	ferenced types with
P	Proposed Res	ponse	Response Sta	atus W		
	PROPOSE	ED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			
	Are these	Categories	instead of Types	?		

4PID

C/ 33	SC 33.2.5.6	P <b>54</b>	L <b>47</b>	# 245
Schindler, F	Fred	Seen Simply		

### Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The text "It shall be stored in the variable pd\_4pair\_candidate, defined in 33.2.4.4." Implies that variable pd\_4pair\_candidate indicates that the attached class 0 to 4 PD accepts power on both pair sets. This is incorrect.

The connection check (33.2.5.0) and detection alone are not able to determine if a legacy PD is able to accept power on both Modes. These methods reduce the likelihood of interoperability issues for PDs capable of accepting power on both Modes (single and dual signature PDs). The .3bt classification process provides a means to identify PD Types that accept power on both Modes. Classification results in the PD Type and LLDP data that indicates PD ability to accept power on both pair sets. Type 3 and Type 4 PDs are required to support power on both pair sets. Type 1 and Type 2 PDs may accept power on both pair sets.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Replace the entire text of 33.2.5.6 with,

"Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs shall determine whether an attached PD with classes 0 to 4 is a candidate to receive power on both pair sets prior to applying 4 pair power. This determination is referred to as 4PID. Classification in 33.2.6 may be used to obtain the PD Type and may be used to obtain LLDP variable PD 4P-ID in Table 79-6b. PSEs may power both PD modes of Type 3 and Type 4 PDs, and Type 1 and Type 2 PDs that have LLDP variable 4P-ID indicating that powering of both PD Modes is supported."

. . . . .

Note that details related to the connection check and variable pd\_4pair\_candidate are covered in a separate comment. Flagged with comment-FRS-1.

### Proposed Response Response Status W

Based on the number of comments, there needs to be a big discussion about 4PID and how it is currently implemented.

I would like to hear the group's opinion on this comment.

CI 33	SC 33.2.4.4	P <b>34</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 246
Schindler, Free	b	Seen Simply		
Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagram

New variable both\_alts\_valid appears to be incomplete. Some PSE implementations will power one pairset when a valid detection signature is present. Note that the legacy standard did not have a variable to indicate a valid PD detection signature.

# SuggestedRemedy

This variable should be replaced by do\_detection adjustments provided in the comment flagged by FRS-2.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

OBE by comment # 229

CI 33	SC 33.2.6	P 55	L 13	# 247
Schindler	, Fred	Seen Simply		
Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status D		PSE Classification

Sentence.

"Physical Layer classification occurs before a PSE supplies power to a PD when the PSE asserts a voltage onto the PI and the PD responds with a current representing a limited number of power classifications."

Need to be corrected for Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs.

## SuggestedRemedy

"Physical Layer classification occurs before a PSE supplies power to a PD when the PSE asserts a voltage onto a pair set and the PD responds with a current representing a limited number of power classifications."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 33 SC Schindler, Fred	33.2.6	P <b>55</b> Seen Simply	L 19	# 248	C/ <b>33</b> Schindler, I		33.2.6	P <b>55</b> Seen Simply	L <b>26</b>	# 249
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status D		PSE Classification	Comment T	Туре	ER	Comment Status D		Autoclass
(33-3). Alternatively, RCh max wh	m power o PSE imp en poweri	butput by the PSE for a partic lementations may use VPSE ng using two-pairs, or RChan rive at over-margined values	= VPort_PSE-2 = RCh/2 when	P min and RChan = powering using four-	the PS increas	PD cor E may sed by	nnected to set its mi at least (	o the PSE performs Auto cla inimum power output based IBD 5%), with a maximum v s and a minimum of 4.0 Wa	on the power dra alue defined in Ta	wn during Auto class,
					has a t	ypo an	nd a requi	rement that could be remove	ed.	
may be improved by terms already used in the spec. and by correct grammar.				Suggested	Remed	dy				
SuggestedReme Replace with					"and a	minim	um of 4.0	vith Table 33-7. Discuss in t Watts." is necessary. A PD ver bound is determined by I	using Autoclass	
	m power o	output by the PSE for a partic	ular PD class is	defined by Equation	Proposed F	Respor	nse	Response Status W		
(33-3). Alternatively	PSE imp	lementations may use VPSE	- VPort PSE-2	P min and RChan -	PROP	OSED	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
RCh max wh	en poweri	ng using two pairs sets, or Rover-margined values as shown	chan = RCh/2 w	hen powering using four	Replac	e with	"Table 33	3-17" with "Table 33-7"		
	ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.			can't d	raw les	ss current	as put in to ensure interoper , it just means that the lowes ver levels Autoclass does no	st PSE guarentee	ed output can be 4W
"The minimu (33-3).	m power o	output by the PSE for a partic	ular PD class is	defined by Equation	C/ 33	SC	33.3.2	P 76	L <b>7</b>	# 250
· · ·	PSE imp	lementations may use VPSE	= Vport_PSE-2I	P min and Rchan =	Schindler, I		00.0.L	Seen Simply		" <u>2</u> 50
		sing a single pair set, or Rcha						Comment Status D		
pair sets to a	rrive at ov	ver-margined values as showr	1 In Table 33-7."		Comment T	,,	ER			PD Type

New text,

"Type 3 and Type 4 PDs operating with a maximum power draw corresponding to Class 4 or greater implement both multiple-Event Physical Layer classification (see 33.3.5.2) and Data Link Layer classification (see 33.6) and advertise a class signature of 4, 5, 6, 7 or 8."

Conflicts with Table 33-13a. A Type 4 PD was created to support high power applications.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Replace text on page 76 with,

"Type 3 and Type 4 PDs operating with a maximum power draw corresponding to Class 4 or greater implement both multiple-Event Physical Layer classification (see 33.3.5.2) and Data Link Layer classification (see 33.6). Type 3 PDs advertise a class signature of 4, 5, or 6, while Type 4 PDs advertise a class signature of 7 or 8."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 Schindler,	SC 33.2.4.4 Fred	P <b>35</b> Seen Simply	L 16	# 252	C/ <b>70</b> Schindler, F	SC 79.3.2 Fred	2.6b	P <b>156</b> Seen Simply	L <b>26</b>	# 253
Comment Text,	Type TR	Comment Status X		4PID			Table 79		unnecessary in	DLL formation and clarifying
This v provid reset b discre Values	ing a 4 pair powe by a LLDP messa tion. s:False:Remove p	d for Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs r. It is initially set to the value of ge, as a result of enforcement power from at least one pair se aintained on both pair sets."	of pd_4pair_ca of class powe	ndidate. It may be	"1 = Du the indi 0 = Sin	e the existin al signature cated PD m gle signature	. PClass_ ode powe e. PClass	_PD is the sum of er class values. s_PD is indicated ass values."		
damaç Suggestec	ge to a network de <i>dRemedy</i> ition has been pro	n incorrectly powered on both evice, power should only be ap ovided in the comment flagged	plied on one p	pair set of this PD.	0 = Phy Proposed F	sical layer F	PClass_P <i>Re</i> s	PD is the sum of the indi D is indicated by either F sponse Status W		

The state machine when it is created shall prevent powering of a PD that does not accept power on all pair sets.

Strike the reference text.

Proposed Response Response Status W

Based on the number of comments, there needs to be a big discussion about 4PID and how it is currently implemented.

I would like to hear the group's opinion on this comment.

CI 33 SC	33.3.1	P 74	L <b>39</b>	# 254
Schindler, Fred		Seen Simply	1	
Comment Type	TR Com	ment Status X		4PID

The new sentence,

"Type 1 and Type 2 PDs wishing to avoid 4 pair power for longer than a minimal amount of time may signal this by a message via LLDP to the PSE setting the maintain power signature variable to false."

This text changes legacy behavior. PDs not identified as being capable of accepting power on both pair sets should never be exposed to voltages that exceed Vvalid, the detection voltage. Legacy PDs are required to provide an invalid detection signature on an unpowered pair set when powered on by a legacy PSE. An invalid detection signature indicates a PD does not want to be powered (33.2.5.4, 33.3.4).

#### SuggestedRemedy

Replace the sentence with, text that indicates how legacy PDs may show that they accept power on both pair sets.

"Type 1 and Type 2 PD may indicate their ability to accept power on both pair sets by providing a valid detection signature on an unpowered pairset requesting power. These PDs may indicate the ability to accept power on both pair sets using LLDP variable 4P-ID in Table 79-6b."

On page 81, line 51 replace legacy sentence,

"When a PD becomes powered via the PI, it shall present a non-valid detection signature on the set of pairs from which it is not drawing power."

#### With,

"When a PD becomes powered via the PI, it

may present a non-valid detection signature on the set of pairs from which it is not drawing power. A PD that presents a valid detection signature on the pair set from which it is not drawing power may get powered by Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs."

## Proposed Response Response Status W

Based on the number of comments, there needs to be a big discussion about 4PID and how it is currently implemented.

I would like to hear the group's opinion on this comment.

C/ 33	SC 33.2.5	P 51	L 1	# 258
Dwelley, Da	avid	Linear Techno	ology	
Comment 7	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		PSE Detection

The first two sentences in this section are of questionable value and are not normative: "The PSE is not required to continuously probe to detect a PD signature. The period of time when a PSE is not attempting to detect a PD signature is implementation dependent."

## SuggestedRemedy

Remove the second sentence. Consider removing the first sentence. Remove "Also" from the third sentence.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This is text that we are not changing as part of the .3bt project.

This request can be filed as a maintenance request, but I would recommend the sentence stay as it adds clarity.

C/ 33	SC 33.2.5.3	P 53	3 L 24	# 259
Dwelley, Da	avid	Linear	Technology	
Comment 7	Гуре Е	Comment Status	D	PSE Detection
This se	entence is awful			

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with: "A PSE shall detect a pair set within a link section with the following characteristics as a valid PD detection signature:"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The suggested remedy does not include an offset voltage or current.

CI 33 SC	33.2.0a	P <b>25</b>	L <b>1</b>	# 261	CI 33	SC	33.3.7	P 88	L <b>21</b>	# 264
Owelley, David		Linear Techn	ology		Dwelley, D	David		Linear To	echnology	
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status D		PSE Types	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		Table 33-1
falls into row	4 which al	information. Class 4 power lows 2-pair power. If we're tr ver is compliant behavior, th	ying to ensure the	nat falling back from 4-	reduct limited	tion in F d PSE a	PD power. and a may	oo much precision. Cutti . Rounding up runs the ri kimum-resistance cable	sk of non-interope	1W is only an 0.5% rability with an LPS-
' SuggestedReme	edv				Suggested		<i>.</i> 3W to 7	1\\/		
Remove note					Proposed					
Proposed Respo	onse	Response Status W			•	,		Response Status W		
PROPOSED	REJECT.				_					
This note do	es address	that 2-pair power is complia	ant if the power i	s less than 30W If you	OBE b	by comr	ment # 5.			
		lease suggest an alternate			CI 33	SC	33.1.4	P <b>23</b>	L <b>32</b>	# 265
CI 33 SC	33.2.5	P 50	L 43	# 262	Dwelley, D	David		Linear To	echnology	
Dwelley, David	00.2.0	Linear Techn		11 202	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		Unbalance
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status D		PSE Detection				arameters: "Operation fo ts stated in ISO/ IEC 118		et the resistance
require the o requirements	original beh s adequate	e changed the meaning of the avior. The next (new) senter ly well by itself.			Suggested Replac	dRemed ce with:	' ∕y : "Operati	on is assured when the ISO/ IEC 11801:2002."		resistance unbalance
	inal senten	ce: "In any operational state PSE has successfully dete			Proposed PROP	•	nse ACCEPT	Response Status W	1	
Remove the application) i		cifically" from line 47. Might	also want to req	uire success (not just						
Proposed Respo PROPOSED		Response Status W								
The following not that it def		only says the PSE shall app d signature.	bly the detection	probe to each pair set,						
			ly detection prob							

CI 33 SC	33.2.4.1	P <b>33</b>	L <b>50</b>	# 266	CI 33	SC	33.2.7		P 62	L <b>22</b>	# 269
Owelley, David		Linear Techno	logy		Dwelley, D	avid			Linear Techn	ology	
Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		PSE Backoff	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment S	Status X		PSE Powe
power over b some "shalls	ooth Alterna s" are missir	ant and is not normative: "A tive A and Alternative B sim ng - this is required behavior	ultaneously".		an AT	device tles wit	that clain	ns to meet Vpor	rt_pse will not	t find a spec with	continuity with AF/AT - that name anymore. erated over a single
SuggestedReme	•				Suggested		<b>h</b> /				
performing d	letection usi	add the words "only" and "sl ng Alternative B *only* may curs, the PSE *shall* back o	fail to detect a	valid PD detection	00			rom Items 1 and	d 4-10.		
signature. w	men unis oco	curs, the PSE shall back o	II IOI at least 1	abo as specified	Proposed	Respor	nse	Response St	tatus W		
Consider als	o adding a '	shall" to page 34 line 8.			This s	hould b	e discuss	sed by the group	<b>D</b> .		
Proposed Respo		Response Status W			C/ 33	SC	33.3.7		P 87	L 36	# 270
PROPOSED	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.			Dwelley, D		00.0.1		Linear Techn		" 210
page 34, line valid PD dete specified…"	e 1: "A PSE ection signa	on page 33, line 50/51, and a performing detection using of ture. When this occurs, the	only Alternative	B may fail to detect a	Comment Table an AT	33-18: device tles wit	that clain	ns to meet Vpor	Status X 2p added to th rt_pd will not t	em. This breaks find a spec with	Table 33-1         continuity with AF/AT -         that name anymore.         erated over a single
page 34, line valid PD dete specified" Pg 34, Line 8 C/ 33 SC	e 1: "A PSE ection signa	performing detection using of ture. When this occurs, the ture changed.	only Alternative PSE shall back	B may fail to detect a	Comment Table an AT New ti pairse Suggested	33-18: device tles wit t. <i>IRemed</i>	Several s that clain h "per pai	symbols have -2 ns to meet Vpor	Status X P added to th rt_pd will not as all valid A	em. This breaks find a spec with F/AT devices op	that name anymore.
page 34, line valid PD det specified" Pg 34, Line 8 C/ 33 SC Dwelley, David	e 1: "A PSE ection signa 8 should not	performing detection using o ture. When this occurs, the t be changed. <i>P</i> <b>37</b> Linear Techno	only Alternative PSE shall back	# B may fail to detect a c off for at least Tdbo as # 268	Comment Table an AT New ti pairse Suggested	33-18: device tles wit t. <i>IRemed</i> ve -2p s	Several s that clain h "per pai dy suffixes fr	symbols have -2 ns to meet Vpor ir set" can stay,	Status X tp added to th rt_pd will not t as all valid A 3, Items 1-3, 5	em. This breaks find a spec with F/AT devices op	continuity with AF/AT - that name anymore.
page 34, line valid PD dete specified" Pg 34, Line 8 C/ 33 SC Dwelley, David Comment Type	e 1: "A PSE ection signa 8 should not 33.2.4.4 T	performing detection using of ture. When this occurs, the t be changed. <i>P</i> <b>37</b> Linear Techno <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b>	only Alternative PSE shall back L 4 ology	# B may fail to detect a c off for at least Tdbo as # 268 PSE State Diagram	Comment Table an AT New ti pairse Suggested Remo	33-18: device tles wit t. <i>IRemed</i> ve -2p s <i>Respor</i>	Several s that clain h "per pai dy suffixes fr	symbols have -2 ns to meet Vpor ir set" can stay, rom Table 33-18	Status X tp added to th rt_pd will not i as all valid A 3, Items 1-3, 5 tatus W	em. This breaks find a spec with F/AT devices op	continuity with AF/AT - that name anymore.
page 34, line valid PD dete specified" Pg 34, Line 8 C/ 33 SC Dwelley, David Comment Type Add "on at le	e 1: "A PSE ection signa 8 should not 5 <b>33.2.4.4</b> T east one pai	performing detection using o ture. When this occurs, the t be changed. <i>P</i> <b>37</b> Linear Techno	only Alternative PSE shall back L 4 ology	# B may fail to detect a c off for at least Tdbo as # 268 PSE State Diagram	Comment Table an AT New ti pairse Suggested Remo	33-18: device tles wit t. <i>IRemed</i> ve -2p s <i>Respor</i> hould b	Several s that clain h "per pai dy suffixes fr	symbols have -2 ns to meet Vpor ir set" can stay, rom Table 33-18 <i>Response St</i>	Status X tp added to th rt_pd will not i as all valid A 3, Items 1-3, 5 tatus W	em. This breaks find a spec with F/AT devices op	continuity with AF/AT - that name anymore.
page 34, line valid PD dete specified" Pg 34, Line 8 C/ 33 SC Dwelley, David Comment Type Add "on at le SuggestedReme	e 1: "A PSE ection signa 8 should not 7 <b>33.2.4.4</b> T east one pai	performing detection using of ture. When this occurs, the t be changed. <i>P</i> <b>37</b> Linear Techno <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b>	DNIY Alternative PSE shall back L 4 Dology E" value definit	# B may fail to detect a a off for at least Tdbo as # 268 PSE State Diagram ion	Comment Table an AT New ti pairse Suggested Remo Proposed This s	33-18: device tles wit t. <i>IRemed</i> ve -2p s <i>Respor</i> hould b	Several s that clain h "per pai dy suffixes fr nse e discuss	symbols have -2 ns to meet Vpor ir set" can stay, rom Table 33-18 <i>Response St</i> sed by the group	Status X tp added to th rt_pd will not i as all valid A 3, Items 1-3, 5 tatus W 5.	em. This breaks find a spec with F/AT devices op 5, 6, and 9. <i>L</i> <b>49</b>	continuity with AF/AT - that name anymore. erated over a single
page 34, line valid PD deta specified" Pg 34, Line 8 Cl 33 SC Dwelley, David Comment Type Add "on at le SuggestedReme Add "on at le	e 1: "A PSE ection signa 8 should not 33.2.4.4 T east one pai edy east one pai	performing detection using of ture. When this occurs, the t be changed. P 37 Linear Techno <i>Comment Status</i> D rset" to the end of the "TRU rset" to the end of the "TRU	DNIY Alternative PSE shall back L 4 Dology E" value definit	# B may fail to detect a a off for at least Tdbo as # 268 PSE State Diagram ion	Comment Table an AT New ti pairse Suggested Remo Proposed This s	33-18: device tles wit t. <i>IRemec</i> ve -2p s <i>Respor</i> hould b SC avid	Several s that clain h "per pai dy suffixes fr nse e discuss	symbols have -2 ns to meet Vpor ir set" can stay, rom Table 33-18 <i>Response St</i> sed by the group	Status X P added to th rt_pd will not i as all valid A B, Items 1-3, 5 tatus W D. P 88 Linear Techn	em. This breaks find a spec with F/AT devices op 5, 6, and 9. <i>L</i> <b>49</b>	erated over a single # 271
page 34, line valid PD deta specified" Pg 34, Line 8 Cl 33 SC Dwelley, David Comment Type Add "on at le SuggestedReme Add "on at le Proposed Respo	e 1: "A PSE ection signa 8 should not 5 <b>33.2.4.4</b> <b>T</b> east one pai edy east one pai onse	performing detection using o ture. When this occurs, the t be changed. <i>P</i> <b>37</b> Linear Techno <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> rset" to the end of the "TRU	DNIY Alternative PSE shall back L 4 Dology E" value definit	# B may fail to detect a a off for at least Tdbo as # 268 PSE State Diagram ion	Comment Table an AT New ti pairse Suggested Remo Proposed This s CI 33 Dwelley, D Comment Table	33-18: device tles wit t. <i>IRemec</i> ve -2p s <i>Respor</i> hould b <u>SC</u> vavid <i>Type</i> 33-18,	Several s that clain h "per pai dy suffixes fr nse e discuss 33.3.7 TR item 9: Cl	symbols have -2 ns to meet Vpor ir set" can stay, rom Table 33-18 <i>Response St</i> sed by the group <i>Comment S</i>	Status X p added to th rt_pd will not i as all valid A 3, Items 1-3, 5 tatus W b. P 88 Linear Techn Status X air set capaci	em. This breaks find a spec with F/AT devices op 5, 6, and 9. <i>L</i> <b>49</b> ology	continuity with AF/AT - that name anymore. erated over a single
page 34, line valid PD deta specified" Pg 34, Line 8 27 33 SC Dwelley, David Comment Type Add "on at le SuggestedReme Add "on at le Proposed Respo PROPOSED	e 1: "A PSE ection signa 8 should not <b>33.2.4.4</b> <b>T</b> east one pai edy east one pai onse 0 ACCEPT II	performing detection using of ture. When this occurs, the t be changed. <i>P</i> <b>37</b> Linear Techno <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> rset" to the end of the "TRU <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	DNIY Alternative PSE shall back L 4 Plogy E" value definit E" value definit	# B may fail to detect a a off for at least Tdbo as # 268 PSE State Diagram ion	Comment Table an AT New ti pairse Suggested Remo Proposed This s C/ 33 Dwelley, D Comment Table to 180 Suggested	33-18: device tles wit tr. <i>IRemec</i> ve -2p s <i>Respor</i> hould b SC avid <i>Type</i> 33-18, uF per <i>IRemec</i>	Several s that clain h "per pai dy suffixes fr nse e discuss 33.3.7 TR item 9: Cl Straw Po	symbols have -2 ns to meet Vpor ir set" can stay, rom Table 33-18 <i>Response St</i> sed by the group <i>Comment S</i> hange to "per pa	Status X p added to th rt_pd will not i as all valid A 3, Items 1-3, 5 tatus W b. P 88 Linear Techn Status X air set capaci	em. This breaks find a spec with F/AT devices op 5, 6, and 9. <i>L</i> <b>49</b> ology	# continuity with AF/AT - that name anymore. erated over a single # 271 Pres: Table 33-18

	P 84	L 28	# 272	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7	P 68	L <b>50</b>	# 275
Dwelley, David	Linear Techno	ology		Dwelley, David	Linear Techr	nology	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PD Classification	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PSE Powe
	0mA as Class 0, the line volt ture may be read incorrectly l		return to Vmark and a	Move the "Power may SuggestedRemedy	be removed" sentence to s	section 33.2.9 so	it covers all cases
all other specs the same Alternately, split the Cor 1mA min. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	nis row: "Ĉurrent for Class 0 ( e. nditions column to show Typ <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>			Move the "Power may Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT Move to 33.2.7 which C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7 Dwelley, David Comment Type TR	be removed" sentence to p <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> "IN PRINCIPLE. s power supply output. 33.2 <i>P</i> 70 Linear Techr <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> oth pair sets may drop in this	9 is specifically a	about MPS. # 2 <u>76</u> PSE Powe
Add to Parameter at line		(Turne 2)" with 1			the PSE output voltage on th		
Add to Parameter at line	e 28: "(Type 1/2)" nis row: "Current for Class 0 (	(Type 3)" with 1r	mA as the minimum, all	lowerbound template,			
Add to Parameter at line Add a new row below th other specs the same.		(Type 3)" with 1r		lowerbound template, 2P min." SuggestedRemedy		nat pair set may d	
Add to Parameter at line Add a new row below th other specs the same.	nis row: "Current for Class 0 (	L <b>42</b>	mA as the minimum, all # 273	lowerbound template, 2P min." SuggestedRemedy Remove "on that pair s	the PSE output voltage on th	nat pair set may d ":	Irop below VPort_PSE-
Add to Parameter at line Add a new row below th other specs the same. C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Dwelley, David	his row: "Ĉurrent for Class 0 ( P 62	L <b>42</b>		lowerbound template, 2P min." SuggestedRemedy Remove "on that pair s	the PSE output voltage on th set" or add "or both pair sets' le PSE lowerbound template	nat pair set may d ":	Irop below VPort_PSE-
Add to Parameter at line Add a new row below th other specs the same. <b>Cl 33</b> SC <b>33.2.7</b> Dwelley, David Comment Type <b>TR</b> Table 33-11: this seems	nis row: "Current for Class 0 ( P 62 Linear Techno	L <b>42</b> blogy	# 273 PSE Power	lowerbound template, 2P min." SuggestedRemedy Remove "on that pair s "If IPort-2P exceeds th below VPort_PSE-2P "If IPort-2P exceeds th	the PSE output voltage on th set" or add "or both pair sets' le PSE lowerbound template	nat pair set may d ": , the PSE output , the PSE output	Irop below VPort_PSE- voltage may drop
Add to Parameter at line Add a new row below th other specs the same. Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 Dwelley, David Comment Type TR Table 33-11: this seems PDs must use 45W tran	nis row: "Current for Class 0 ( P 62 Linear Techno <i>Comment Status</i> D s to imply that 45W over a sin	L <b>42</b> blogy	# 273 PSE Power	lowerbound template, 2P min." SuggestedRemedy Remove "on that pair s "If IPort-2P exceeds th below VPort_PSE-2P "If IPort-2P exceeds th	the PSE output voltage on the set" or add "or both pair sets' le PSE lowerbound template min."	nat pair set may d ": , the PSE output , the PSE output	Irop below VPort_PSE- voltage may drop
Add to Parameter at line Add a new row below th other specs the same. <b>Cl 33</b> SC <b>33.2.7</b> Dwelley, David Comment Type <b>TR</b> Table 33-11: this seems PDs must use 45W tran SuggestedRemedy	nis row: "Current for Class 0 ( P 62 Linear Techno <i>Comment Status</i> D s to imply that 45W over a sin	L <b>42</b> blogy ngle pairset is C	# 273 PSE Power	lowerbound template, 2P min." SuggestedRemedy Remove "on that pair s "If IPort-2P exceeds th below VPort_PSE-2P "If IPort-2P exceeds th or both pair sets may o	the PSE output voltage on the set" or add "or both pair sets" le PSE lowerbound template min." le PSE lowerbound template drop below VPort_PSE-2P m <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	nat pair set may d ": , the PSE output , the PSE output	Irop below VPort_PSE- voltage may drop
Add to Parameter at line Add a new row below th other specs the same. Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 Dwelley, David Comment Type TR Table 33-11: this seems PDs must use 45W tran SuggestedRemedy	his row: "Current for Class 0 ( P 62 Linear Techno Comment Status D s to imply that 45W over a sin hsformers on each pairset nation: "Class 4 and lower or Response Status W	L <b>42</b> blogy ngle pairset is C	# 273 PSE Power	lowerbound template, 2P min." SuggestedRemedy Remove "on that pair s "If IPort-2P exceeds th below VPort_PSE-2P "If IPort-2P exceeds th or both pair sets may of Proposed Response	the PSE output voltage on the set" or add "or both pair sets" le PSE lowerbound template min." le PSE lowerbound template drop below VPort_PSE-2P m <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> sed by the group.	nat pair set may d ": , the PSE output , the PSE output	Irop below VPort_PSE- voltage may drop
Add to Parameter at line Add a new row below th other specs the same. Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 Dwelley, David Comment Type TR Table 33-11: this seems PDs must use 45W tran SuggestedRemedy Add to Additional Inform Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I	his row: "Current for Class 0 ( P 62 Linear Techno <i>Comment Status</i> D s to imply that 45W over a sin hsformers on each pairset nation: "Class 4 and lower or <i>Response Status</i> W	L <b>42</b> blogy ngle pairset is C	# 273 PSE Power	lowerbound template, 2P min." SuggestedRemedy Remove "on that pair s "If IPort-2P exceeds th below VPort_PSE-2P "If IPort-2P exceeds th or both pair sets may of Proposed Response This should be discuss	the PSE output voltage on the set" or add "or both pair sets" le PSE lowerbound template min." le PSE lowerbound template drop below VPort_PSE-2P m <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> sed by the group.	nat pair set may d ": , the PSE output , the PSE output	Irop below VPort_PSE- voltage may drop

rd, Jean Texas Instruments	Disease Transformer to
	Picard, Jean Texas Instruments
nment Type TR Comment Status D PSE State Diagram	Comment Type TR Comment Status X 4
We also need to know if the result of do_detection is valid for pair-set A or pair set B or both when 4P systems are used.	It is not appropriate to simply provide power and check through LLDP if 4-pair power is permitted, as it may take a very long time to go through that cycle (including boot-up time which may cause damage to certain types of dual signature PDs. It is also NOT reliable t
gestedRemedy Change from: valid: The PSE has detected a PD requesting power. To: valid: For type 1 and Type 2 PSEs: The PSE has detected a PD requesting power. valid 4P A: For type 3 and Type 4 PSEs: The PSE has detected a PD requesting power	rely on LLDP boot up time to avoid damaging PDs. If power is applied without having determined that 4P power can be received, a "short term" (much shorter than LLDP cycle time) time limit to turn off the power has to be defined based on potential damage scenarios, either electrically or thermally related.
on Alternative A pairs.	SuggestedRemedy
valid_4P_B: For type 3 and Type 4 PSEs: The PSE has detected a PD requesting power on Alternative B pairs.	replace 3rd sentence with "if it has not been determined that 4P power can be received, this variable shall be reset within TBD ms after the 4-pair power has been applied."
	Proposed Response Response Status W
posed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Based on the number of comments, there needs to be a big discussion about 4PID and how it is currently implemented.
OBE by comment # 229.	I would like to hear the group's opinion on this comment.
33         SC 33.2.4.4         P 35         L 5         # 281           Ird, Jean         Texas Instruments	C/ 33     SC 33.2.4.4     P 35     L 27     # 283       Picard, Jean     Texas Instruments
<i>there has been no determination yet that the result of detection and connection check, while both pair sets are unpowered, can confirm that a dual signature PD is able to receive power over 4 pairs.</i>	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X       4         The variable and the language for deny_dual_sig_4pair_power are not required for interoperability. They appear to be implementation specific.       4         SuggestedRemedy       5       5
gestedRemedy	Use the results of the connection check, indicating whether a PD is a single or dual
change the last sentence as following, "detection, connection check and an additional 4PID method to be defined"	signature PD to make choices permitted by the specification. Eliminate the variable deny_dual_sig_4pair_power and associated text.
posed Response Response Status W	Proposed Response Response Status W
Based on the number of comments, there needs to be a big discussion about 4PID and how it is currently implemented.	Based on the number of comments, there needs to be a big discussion about 4PID and how it is currently implemented.
I would like to hear the group's opinion on this comment.	I would like to hear the group's opinion on this comment.

IEEE 802.bt D1.0 4-Pair Power over	r Ethernet 3rd Task	Force review comments
------------------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------

33 SC 33.2.4.4 P 39 L 36 # 287	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 P 63 L 10 # 294	
ard, Jean Texas Instruments	Picard, Jean Texas Instruments	
<i>mment Type</i> <b>ER</b> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> <i>PSE Types</i> The paragraph below is misleading, referring to "hardware limitation", in the case of type 4	Comment Type ER Comment Status D PSE Table 33-11:	E Pow
PSE.	The max limit should be ILIM-2P	
ggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy	
Replace the second sentence with:	Replace ILIM with ILIM-2P	
"For example, this would apply to a PSE that is oversubscribed and in power management mode or a Type 3 PSE that has a hardware limitation."	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
oposed Response Response Status W	This applies to item # 7 in Table 33-11	
This goes to the heart of what a Type 4 PSE is. I would like to hear the group's opinion on		
this. See Comment # 99.	CI 33         SC 33.2.7         P 63         L 11         # 295           Picard, Jean         Texas Instruments	
	Comment Type TR Comment Status D PSE	E Pow
33         SC 33.2.5.6         P 54         L 43         # 290           ard, Jean         Texas Instruments         Texas Instruments         Texas Instruments	Table 33-11: ICUT-2P min needs to be specified. Should refer to ICON-2P-unb	
mment Type TR Comment Status X 4PID	SuggestedRemedy	
The statement below is vague, unclear and could be misleading, it appears that a PSE can simply apply 4-pair power and then check after if the load can accept it, which is incorrect.	Replace TBD with same values used for ICON-2P-unb	
Also, what if there is no such system information and the PSE has to decide what to do with a dual signature PD ?	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
In the case of dual signature PD, the other system information needed to determine 4PID can be obtained through physical layer or LLDP, for example after a first pair set has been powered and prior to powering the second pair set.	OBE by comment # 337.	
ggestedRemedy	Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P 63 L 17 # 296	
Change the first sentence as:	Picard, Jean Texas Instruments	
Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs shall determine whether an attached PD with classes 0 to 4 is a candidate to receive power on both pair sets prior to applying power to the second pair set.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D Pre Table 33-11:	es: ILI
oposed Response Response Status W	Regarding type 3, the ILIM-2P min definition is NOT right, it does not take into accour	nt the
Based on the number of comments, there needs to be a big discussion about 4PID and	imbalance.	
how it is currently implemented.	SuggestedRemedy	
I would like to hear the group's opinion on this comment.	Redefine Type 3 ILIM-2P min, using the unbalance factor.	
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
	OBE by comment # 339.	

C/ 33         SC 33.2.7         P 63         L 19           Picard, Jean         Texas Instruments	# 297	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7 Picard, Jean	P <b>68</b> Texas Instrur	L <b>43</b> nents	# 302
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> Table 33-11: ILIM-2P min needs to be defined for type 4 SuggestedRemedy Define Type 4 ILIM-2P min starting from (1+K) x IPeak-2P, which means Proposed Response Response Status <b>W</b> PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. OBE by comment # 337.	Pres: ILIM	Comment Type <b>TR</b> Co Each pair-set has its individua of them are short-circuited, the link them together. Also, the lowerbound templat The PSE may check the sum possible. SuggestedRemedy Remove the paragraph with:	ey will meet their indiv	ridual spec, so th he total PI currer	hat there is no need to
Cl 33       SC 33.2.7       P 64       L 25         Picard, Jean       Texas Instruments         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D         PSE systems need more flexibility for disconnect timing         SuggestedRemedy         Table 33-11:         Reduce TMPDO minimum to 320 ms for type 3 or 4         There is a corresponding request for PD.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	# 299 PSE MPS	A PSE may remove power fro lowerbound template" in Figu before the pair set current exe Proposed Response Res PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR See comment # 238 for resol	re 33-14. Power shall ceeds the "PSE upper ponse Status W INCIPLE. ution. P 71 Texas Instrur mment Status X	be removed from bound template" <i>L</i> 27 nents	n a pair set of a PSE in Figure 33-14. # <u>303</u> PSE Powe
OBE by comment # 198         Cl 33       SC 33.3.8       P 96       L 30         Picard, Jean       Texas Instruments         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D         PSE systems need more flexibility for disconnect timing.         SuggestedRemedy         Table 33-19a: Reduce TMPDO_PD maximum to 300 ms if Type 3 or 4.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       OBE by comment # 199.	# 300 PD MPS	SuggestedRemedy Replace the sentence with: "At the exception of the situal power provision to a link if the requested by the PD based of Proposed Response Res This is handled in Type 1/2 by something similar? Add following text to classificat A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall requests a higher class than Add text in suggested remedy	PSE is unable to pro in the PD's class" sponse Status W y Type 1 PSEs treating ation section: I assign a PD the high the PSE can support.	vide the maximul g class 4 as class est class it can s	m power level s 0. Should we do support when a PD

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 33 SC 33.3	B.1 P 74	L <b>39</b>	# 304	CI 33	SC 33.3.5	5.1	P 84	L 11	# 307
Picard, Jean	Texas Instru	ments		Picard, Je	an		Texas Instru	ments	
Comment Type TI	R Comment Status X		4PID	Comment	Type ER	Comm	ent Status D		PD Classification
	ropriate to simply provide power may take a very long time to go			The p and 8		correct and m	isleading relative	to type 4 PD, wh	ich apply only to class 7
time), which may	cause damage (ex: energy dissip	pated) to certain t	ypes of dual signature	Suggeste	-				
PDs. If there is a which is much sh	limit of time, it has to be short, m orter than reaction time through I	ost likely 0.5 to 1	second maximum,	Repla	-				
In some cases, th	here may be NO minimal accepta		V when a PD does not	Since	1-Event class				on, Type 2, Type 3 and
want this power. We cannot expect	t that ALL existing PDs can com	oly with such requ	iirement.				imum power drav with a Class 4 sig		o class 4 or higher
SuggestedRemedy				With:					
Remove the seco	and sentence from the paragraph.								on, Type 2 and Type 3
Proposed Response	Response Status W						ower draw corres		sification with a Class
Based on the nur how it is currently	nber of comments, there needs to	o be a big discuss	sion about 4PID and	4 sign	ature				
				•	Response	,	se Status W		
I would like to hea	ar the group's opinion on this com	nment.		PROF	POSED ACCE	PT.			
CI 33 SC 33.	3.2 P 76	L <b>7</b>	# 306	CI 33	SC 33.3.7	,	P 87	L <b>28</b>	# 309
Picard, Jean	Texas Instru	ments		Picard, Je	an		Texas Instru	ments	
Comment Type TI			PD Types	Comment	Туре Т	Comm	ent Status D		Table 33-18
The paragraph is and 8.	incorrect and misleading relative	to type 4 PD, wh	ich apply only to class 7		33-18: looks too com	plicated, too r	nany unnecessar	y choices.	
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy				
Replace the para	graph with: rating with a maximum power dra	w corresponding	to Class 4 or greater	simpli	fy the table ar	nd regroup arc	ound a more limite	ed number of alte	rnatives.
implement both n	nultiple-Event Physical Layer clas	sification (see 33	.3.5.2) and Data Link	Proposed	Response	Respon	se Status W		
Layer classification	on (see 33.6) and advertise a clas	ss signature of 4,	5 or 6."	PROF	POSED REJE	CT.			
implement both n	e: rating with a maximum power dra nultiple-Event Physical Layer clas on (see 33.6) and advertise a clas	sification (see 33	.3.5.2) and Data Link	l need	d a specific su	ggested reme	dy.		
Proposed Response	Response Status W	0							
PROPOSED ACC	CEPT IN PRINCIPLE.								
OBE by commen	t # 250								
OBE by commen	ι <del>π</del> 230.								

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4	7 P 45	L 1	# 312		SC 33.1.4	P <b>21</b>	L <b>50</b>	# 315
Picard, Jean	Texas Instru	ments		Darshan, Yair		Microsemi		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagram	Comment Typ	be TR	Comment Status D		Power System
the state diagram do required before I wil	pes not cover Type 3 and Type review it.	e 4 PSEs and that	at a replacement is	changed	to System pa			
SuggestedRemedy				I his char	ige and the r	nodification in line 54 address	s types 3 and 4 to	00.
New Type 3-4 state	diagram to be provided.					the current standard (IEEE80		
Proposed Response	Response Status W					sists of a single PSE" that w	vas correct for Ty	/pe 1 and Type 2
PROPOSED ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.					or Type 3 and 4 PSEs. or Type 1 or 2 due to the fact t	that we could use	e ALT A PSE or ALT B
The PSE State diag	ram will be left open for comm	ent in the next c	omment cycle.	PSĔ but i In Type 3	not both so a or 4 PSEs,	"single PSE" term was correct the term single PSE is confus SE that uses ALT A and ALT E	ct to use. ing term due to t	he fact that Type 3 and
See comment # 225						nd ALT B pair-sets or using a		
Accepting this comr	nent results in no changes to th	he text.		the work. The point	is that it is r	ot just a single PSE with one	output connecte	d to two pair-sets. It is
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7	7 P 69	L1	# [040			E system etc.	output connecte	
Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 Picard, Jean	Texas Instru	-	# 313	SuggestedRe	medy			
		mento		Replace '	'single PSE"	by "single PSE system"		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	<i>,</i> ,	Pres: Type 4 Power					
	figure 33-14 will be needed. Th type 4 Power on state behavio		ental differences	Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			PROPOS	ED REJECT			
Figure 33-14a to be	proposed.			The PSE	is defined as	s: A DTE or midspan device th	nat provides the	power to a single
Proposed Response	Response Status W			link section	on. DTE pow	ering is intended to provide a	single 10BASE-	T, 100BASE-TX, or
Waiting for Yair's Pr	•				E-I device v hese data.	vith a unified interface for both	n the data it requi	ires and the power to
				·				
				link section	on: The portion	on of the link from the PSE to	the PD.	
				The DSE	specs are d	afined at the PI and thus the P	PSE is a black br	y and still a "single

The PSE specs are defined at the PI and thus the PSE is a black box and still a "single PSE".

CI 33 SC	33.2.4.4	P 35	L <b>6</b>	# 321	C/ 33	SC 3:	3	P <b>0</b>	L <b>0</b>	# 322
Darshan, Yair		Microsemi			Darshan	Yair		Microsemi		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		4PIE	Commer	t Type	ER	Comment Status D		MultiPor
	andidate e is provideo	: d for Type 3 and Type 4 PSI power on both pair sets.	Es to determine	whether a connection is	imple 33.	ementation	n specifi	t that all requirements are relics to adress the operation of		
a canalaato	101000110 p				Suggeste	edRemedy	•			
	e PD_4pair_	n" is not clear. candidatelt is to determine i	f a class 0-4 PI	) can recived and work	Clau		nes the	Type 1,2,3 and 4 systems reu uirements are implementation		a single port system.
The text "a	connection"	can be "a PD" or "a device"	or "a PD class	0-4".	(or e	quivalen w	ording)			
SuggestedRem	edv				Propose	l Respons	е	Response Status W		
00		with "a PD class 0-4"			PRC	POSED A	CCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Proposed Resp PROPOSEI		Response Status W			Add	ext:				
		state diagram and where/he	ow this variable	is used.				ne requirements for a single p ementation specific."	ower system. M	lulti-port power system
See comme	ent # 225.				To e	nd of 33.1				
No changes	to the text	are required at this time.								

Comment ID 322

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.4 Darshan, Yair	P <b>35</b> Microsemi	L 9	# 323	<i>Cl</i> <b>33</b> Darshan, Ya	SC <b>33.2.4.6</b> ir	P <b>41</b> Microsemi	L <b>50</b>	# 325
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		4PID	Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagrar
	y PD_4pair_candidate results time prior power_up.	; will be ready o	nly before classification.	In the sy pair set o sets resu	stem level we or both when ult with valid s	e need to know if the result of 4P systems are used. Last tin ignature. / if it is valid on ALT A only or	ne we covered t	valid for pair-set A or he case where both pair
Change lines 9-10 fror Values:	n:			SuggestedR				only.
	to 4 pair classification. r classification.			Change	from:	etected a PD requesting powe	ır.	
	a candidate for powering up w didate for for powering up wit			valid: Fo valid_4P on Mode valid_4P	_A: For Type A _B: For Type	Type 2 PSEs: The PSE has c 3 and Type 4 PSEs: The PSI 3 and Type 4 PSEs: The PSI	E has detected a	PD requesting power
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE.			on Mode	В.			
Need to see associate	d state diagram and where/he	ow this variable	is used.	Dropood D		D		
See comment # 225.				Proposed Re PROPO	,	Response Status <b>W</b> I IN PRINCIPLE.		
No changes to the text	are required at this time.			OBE by	comment # 2	29.		
<i>Cl</i> <b>33</b> SC <b>33.2.4.4</b> Darshan, Yair	P <b>37</b> Microsemi	L 9	# 324	<i>CI <b>33</b> Darshan, Ya</i>	SC <b>33.2.0A</b> ir	P <b>24</b> Microsemi	L <b>31</b>	# 326
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagram	Comment Ty	pe ER	Comment Status D		PSE Types
pair-sets. As a result, the variabl SuggestedRemedy	need to know if we have ove e ovld_detected text need to		over a pair set, for both	allowed power su	to implement upported per i helpful to add	nt locations in our standard that the maximum class events th ts type and class. I such note right after Table 3	at corresponds	to the maximum PSE
Change from:	the PSE output current has b	een in an overl	and condition (see	SuggestedR	emedy			
A variable indicating it				5-PSE th	nat is defined	4 below table 33-1a that says as DLLL capabale and impler E maximum power supported	ments the maxin	
33.2.7.6) for" To:				concope	nus to the Pa	E maximum power supported	a is allowed acco	braing to this standard.
33.2.7.6) for" To:	the PSE output current over a s) for"	a pair-set has b	een in an overload	Proposed Re		Response Status W	anowed acco	ording to this standard.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 326

C/ <b>33</b> S Darshan, Yair	SC 33.3.7.3	P <b>90</b> Microsemi	L <b>28</b>	# 328	C/ <b>33</b> Darshan, Y		33.3.5.2	P <b>85</b> Microsemi	L <b>27</b>	# 329
Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status D		PD Inrush	Comment	ype	TR	Comment Status X		Pres: Dual Class
33.3.7.3 Ir Inrush cur pair set co before Tin per pair se 	nput inrush ci rent per pair- ompliant with rush-2P min et current thre current text, i After PD inp ot clear that resistive load JP time frame 3 and 4 PDs It the PD inp of a charging of 4A-0.35A=50 pd_max* (Vp 0.4msec. This way for Type V/(0.4A-0.300 t, linrush is of maximum v 2-3 main PD Vport, short I nout waiting f medy of lowing text a	-set is drawn beginning with t Vport_PD-2P requirements a per Table 33-11. After TInru eshold corresponding to its c it is not clear that linrush is th out capacitance is charged, th it is possible that during POV d component that is limited for e s it is limited to 350mA for at ut current is split to the PD re current of: Icharging=linrush 0mA which guarantees that n .4msec for Type 1 systems a bse_min-Voff)/(lunrush_min-I) 2: Tinrush =180uF*(50V-30 8A)=39.13msec <50msec wf bbserved almost immediately PD resistive load may follow ralue of 350mA. 0 POWER UP profiles (1. sh- load, ramp, stable). In all of th for the completion of Tinrush after line 31:	he application of as defined in Tat sh-2P min, the P lass level. The response of ap le capacitor curre VER UP, the inp r all PD types to least 80msec fro esistive load and -2P_min -Type 1 naximum PD inp nd Type 1 maxim port_cont)=180u nax for the PD is V)/(0.4A-15.4W/ hich is OK. when PSE appli it at any time dur ort load, ramp, st hem completion of timer.	input voltage at the ole 33-18, and ending D shall not exceed its oplying voltage to a ent is decaying to zero ut current to the PD 350mA during om STARTUP begin. PD input capacitor, maximum DC ut capacitor=180uF is num allowed DC load. F*(44V-30V)/(0.4A- 50msec. 50V)= es Voltage to PD ring POWER UP time table. 2. Flat, ramp, of linrush is possible to	addres 4 PSE. 1. No r load. R 2. Effic 3. Dual over ea using tl are 0 to 4. A Du If it doo 5. PSE two pai 6. PSE See da Suggested. 1) Add 17: Dual S to 5 po The cla that pa determ	ses cla eed to esult v ent L1 signat ch pai be rele 5. (54 al Signator r sets a s that of r sets a s that of r sets a the fol gnatur wer lev ss coor r set ( ne the gnatur	distinguis vith simple power m ure PD (s r-set. The vant class 5 = 90W, nature, sir t will likely don't want and apply don't want _05_0615. /y lowing tex te Single L vel over ea le advertis The PSE total power e PDs ma	le proposal that doesn't ado n requirements when dual si sh between Dual Signature S e specification. anagement ingle load or dual load, doe PD specifies the amount of s code (from the exiting list) 4+4 = 60W, 4+3 = 45W an ngle load PD is allowed to sl violate the current limit of of t to deal with different class that power to both. t to deal with dual load PDs pdf for detailed discussion a tt in the classification section coad PDs and Dual Signatur ach pair set. sed over each pair set is the will deliver to the total class yer that will deliver to the PD ay use different class signatur <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	ignature PD is co Single Load and sn't matter) will u f power required over each pair s d so on). how different cla one of its pair set codes can take can opt not to po and remedy. n in page 85 after re Dual Load PD e total power requipower over each 0).	Dual Signature Dual Dual Signature Dual use only classes 0 to 5 over each pair set by et. Valid class codes ss codes. Is and get disconnected. the larger class of the ower them. In line 27 before table 33- is shall use only class 0 uested by the PD over
PD not dra ramping a	awing more t cross PD inp	P is guaranteed by PSE supp han Type 1 maximum DC cu but capacitor. See details in A	rrent which resul	t with stable voltage			air's Prese			
		s included in darshan_08_06	15.pdf)							
Proposed Res	•	Response Status W								
PROPOSI	ED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.								
		tive. I suggest all of this be a as you call it).	idded to a new ir	formative annex						
	TATUS: D⁄dis	ed ER/editorial required GR/ spatched A/accepted R/reje				Z/with	ndrawn	Comn	nent ID <b>329</b>	Page 39 of 49 6/11/2015 5:23

C/ 33	SC 3	3.2.6.2	P <b>59</b>	L <b>53</b>	# 330	C/ 33	SC	33.3.7.3	P 90	L <b>53</b>	# 334
Darshan,	Yair		Microsemi			Darshan,	Yair		Microsemi		
Comment	t Type	TR	Comment Status X		Pres: Dual Class	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		PD Inrush
SS P same the 2	D: Classif time or s nd pair-se	ication ev ome of th t as long	ssues the classification ever vents may apply on one of th ne events on first pair set and as the PD receives the corre- vents need to be applied to e	e pair-sets or o d then the rema ect total number	n both pair sets at the ining class events on r of class events.	requir was e In sor	ed due t nded ea ne large er of pol	o measur Irlier. mutiport	0- 75msec in Type 3 and 4 s ing PD voltage/current/time p systems time for all ports to t SE power supply power capal	profile by the PS	E and knowing that it d by Tinrush*N. N
			me time to both pair sets or			Suggeste	dRemea	ly			
To ac	uggestedRemedy To add the following text af		t after the end of clause 33.2 t at the classification section		after line TBD:	To ad 1. Sh	dress th ortening	e followin Tinrush if	ne end of 33.3.7.3. g issues: <sup>·</sup> PSE has the knowledge tha / allowing higher linrush_max		
same	e time or s	ome of th	vents may apply on one of th ne events on first pair set and as the PD receives the corre	then the rema	ining class events on	Proposed	Respon	nig PD cap nse REJECT.	pacitors. Response Status W	Ū	
			vents need to be applied to e me time to both pair sets or						ic that has a large techinical aterial if you would like it to b		
<i>Proposed</i> Waiti	d Respons ng for Yai		Response Status W ntation.			Cl <b>33</b> Darshan,		33.2.7	P <b>63</b> Microsemi	L 11	# 337
						Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		PSE Power

Comment ID 337

Table 33-11 item 7, Icut-2P for type 3,4: To replace TBD with expression.

(0.668/0.6)\*0.5\*Pclass/Vport\_PSE-2P=0.556\*Pclass/Vport\_PSE-2P for Type 3 PSE.

Icont-2P unb=(0.931/0.865)\*0.5\*Pclass/Vport PSE-2P=1.076\*0.5\*Pclass/Vport PSE-2P.

1. Split lcut-2P for two lines for Type 3 and Type 4 (see attached darshan\_06\_0615.pdf for

(Icont-2P\_unb\_max/Icont-2P\_max)\*0.5\*Pclass/Vport\_PSE-2P=

Icut-2P\_min=0.556\*Pclass/Vport\_PSE-2P for Type 3 PSE Icut-2P\_min=0.538\*Pclass/Vport\_PSE-2P for Type 4 PSE

Response Status W

At worst case P2P\_lunb conditions: lcut\_min-2P=lcont-2P\_unb=

Icont-2P\_unb=0.538\*Pclass/Vport\_PSE-2P

In similar way for Type 4:

2. Replace TBD with:

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

details).

Cl 33 SC Darshan, Yair	C 33.2.7	P 63 Microsemi	L <b>24</b>	# 338	C/ <b>33</b> Darshan, Yair	SC <b>33.2.7</b>	P <b>64</b> Microsemi	L 38	# 342
We can rep energy cont Type 3 wors Type 4 wors TLIM-2P=0.	1 item 10, TLIM-2 blace the TBD wit tent used in Type st case energy o st case energy o .3/50=6msec ma rgin=2msec. msec.	th a shorter number than a 3 in order to keep the s n current limiter over a p n current limiter over a p	ame stress ove air set: 30W*10	r the current limiter. msec=0.3Joule	Cout is co SuggestedRe Change p "Output c Change F Proposed Re	11 item 22, C prrect over a p <i>medy</i> parameter nar apacitance du PSE Type to 1	pair-set for type 3 and 4 as we ne to: uring detection state over a p ,2,3,4. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>		PSE Detection
Proposed Resp		esponse Status W			<i>Cl</i> <b>33</b> Darshan, Yair	SC <b>33.2.7.4</b> a	P 66 Microsemi	L <b>50</b>	# 345
There must we do not n For Table 3	D ACCEPT IN P t have been marg need to add more 33-11, item 10: inimum=0.006 fc	gin already in the Type 3 margin.	number (direct	y based off Type 2), so	Remove SuggestedRe	ne constant fro editor note fro <i>medy</i>	Comment Status D om 0.040 to 0.042 per latest i om page 67 line 6. (Work is du quation 33-4a:		PSE Unbalance
	C 33.2.7	P 63	L 17	# 339	2. Page 6	7 line 6: Rem	owe the editor note.		
calculations Short sumn ILIM-2P_MI Ipeak_max	1 item 9, ILIM-2P s shown in Darsh nary: IN>=Ipeak-2P_m for Type 3 and 4	Microsemi omment Status <b>D</b> for type 3,4: To replace an_06_0615.pdf. hax per figure 33-14. can be found by equation 33-12 and 33-12a and T	on 33-4 at wors	case conditions of K,	Proposed Re. PROPOS	sponse ED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
S <i>uggestedRem</i> See darsha	•	or updated Table 33-11	item 9.						
Proposed Resp PROPOSE	oonse Re D ACCEPT IN P	esponse Status W RINCIPLE.							
Waiting for	Presentation.								

	3.2.7.5	P <b>67</b> Microsemi	L <b>36</b>	# 346	C/ <b>33</b> Darshan. \		33.3.2	P <b>76</b> Microsemi	L 11	# 348
start for the fol a)Reducing dy b)Reach faster c) Handle diffe SuggestedRemedy Add the followi The maximum PSE inrush ter	Ilowing rea mamic str r startup v erent load v ing text af ing text af nrush cu mplate in	Comment Status <b>D</b> er Inrush current than 450m, asons: ess on the MOSFET during vith lower probability for start behaviour during startup tha	POWER UP an up oscilations t is time depend t pair set may c after POWER	nd dent. exceed the per pair set R UP has started and	Table issues Suggested Restor max a Proposed	Type xt: naximur 33–18." as disc Remea re the te s define Respon OSED	was rem cussed in by ext "The r ed in Tabl se	Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> a PD expects to draw from a F loved and should be restored. 802.3at. naximum power a PD expects e 33–18." <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE.	Without it we	will have interoperability
and build cons	REJECT.	Response Status W based on time is a brand new this idea.	w topic. Please	e create a presentation # 347	"For A PSE is	ll PDs c s Pclass beginni	s_PD ma	class 6 and 8, the maximum k as defined in Table 33–18." tion 33.3.7.2 P 89	power a PD e>	expects to draw from a
The text: "The of the same po with the highes SuggestedRemedy Change to "Th Proposed Respons PROPOSED R	pair set v blarity and st current. e pair with se REJECT.	h highest current" Response Status W	ear since we are highest current	PSE MPS e looking at two pairs t and not the pair-set	It may Suggested Chang Proposed PROP	Type 33-18 it be 42V IRemed ge PD T Respon OSED	for Type y ype to 1,2 se	Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> on: It is 42V for Type 3 as well 4 as well. 2,3 and 4. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. 5.		Table 33-1
		are per pair set. Here, we an current, even if the PSE is c								

CI 33 SC 33.3.7	P 88	L <b>49</b>	# 350	CI 33 SC :	33.2.4.4	P 35	L 19	# 354
Darshan, Yair	Microsemi			Darshan, Yair		Microsemi		
Comment Type TR Comme	nt Status X		Pres: Table 33-18	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		4PI
Table 33-18 item 9 Cport-2P minin Cport-2P need to be defined for T In addition, it should be defined for	ype 3 and 4.	PD and Dual sig	nature PD.	ID mechanism	าร.	wer signature current text bloc	ks us to impler	nent more reliable 4P-
SuggestedRemedy (Update table 33-11 item 9 per the	following (Soo tob	lo formato and	dataile in	The text says: "It is initially se		value of pd_4pair_candidate"		
darshan_08_0615.pdf)	e tollowing (See tai	ie iornale and		The "is" shoul	d be repla	aced with "may"		
<ol> <li>Change PSE type from 1,2 to 1</li> <li>Add to the additional informatio For Type 3 dual signatures PD.</li> <li>For Type 3 single signature PD du capacitance is 10uF when Mode / 3. Change PSE type from 3,4 to 4</li> <li>Add to the additional informatio See 33.3.7.6, 33.3.7.3.</li> <li>For Type 4 dual signatures PD.</li> <li>For Type 4 single signature PD du capacitance is 10uF when Mode /</li> </ol>	n of type 1,2,3 the uring 4P operation, A and Mode B pairs n of type 4 the follo uring 4P operation,	the total minimu are tied togeth wing: the total minimu	er. um PD input	To: "It may initially <i>Proposed Respon</i> PROPOSED / Replace:	et to the v / set to th se ACCEPT	value of pd_4pair_candidate" e value of pd_4pair_candidate <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. value of pd_4pair_candidate"	ı	
Proposed Response Response Waiting for Presentation from Yai	e Status W				/ be set to	o the value of pd_4pair_candid P 89	ate" <i>L</i> <b>20</b>	# 250
		1.00	# 050	Darshan, Yair	33.3.7	P 89 Microsemi	L <b>20</b>	# 358
C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.2 Darshan, Yair	P 60 Microsemi	L <b>22</b>	# 352	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status <b>D</b> off: It is 30V for Type 3 as well.		Table 33-16
····· )/··	nt Status D		PSE Classification	It may be 30V	for Type			
Table 33-9, missing the case Iclas SuggestedRemedy	SS>51.0MA.			SuggestedRemed Change PD T		2,3 and 4 for Voff.		
Add new row to table 33-9 and ins Measure Iclass column: >51.0mA	0			Proposed Respon		Response Status W		

Add new row to table 33-9 and insert the following. Measure Iclass column: >51.0mA Classification column: Invalid class.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. OBE by comment # 115.

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This limit is covered in the Iclass\_lim value in Table 33-10 and is refered to in the text.

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.9 P 94 L 32 # 360	C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.6 P 93 L 28 # 361
C/ 33         SC 33.3.7.9         P 94         L 32         # 360           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi	Cl 33         SC 33.3.7.6         P 93         L 28         # 361           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Pres: PD Unbala	ance Comment Type E Comment Status D PD Powe
We need to add new subclause 33.3.7.10 after 33.3.7.9 for PD PI Pair to Pair resistance and current unbalance. In Table 33-11 item 4a, Icont-2P_unb we defined the maximum pair set current with the effect of E2EP2P_lunb/Runb. This current is also a limit for the PD due to the fact that it is the same current. As a resu a PD vendor will have to design his PD to not exceed under the test setup conditions specified in the proposed 33.3.7.10.	Type 1 PD input current shall not exceed the PD upperbound template (see Figure 33–18) after TLIM min (see Table 33–11 for a Type 1 PSE) when the following input voltage is applied. A current limited voltage source is applied to the PI through a RCh resistance (see Table 33–1). The current limit meets Equation (33–14) and the voltage ramps from
SuggestedRemedy	Sentence construction makes it unclear. The "the following input voltage is applied." can be removed.
<ol> <li>Add new clause with the following content: 33.3.7.10 PD PI Pair to Pair resistance and current unbalance.</li> </ol>	SuggestedRemedy
Type 3 and Type 4 PDs shall not exceed lcont-2Punb as specified in Table 33-11 item 4 when tested with the test setup specified in 33.3.7.10.1. 2 Add new clause 33.3.7.10.1: Test setup and test conditions for PD PI pair to pair resistance and current unbalance. Insert the content of PD PI baseline text proposal in darshan_01_0615.pdf to 33.3.7.10.1	Type 1 PD input current shall not exceed the PD upperbound template (see Figure 33–18) after TLIM min (see Table 33–11 for a Type 1 PSE) when a current limited voltage source is applied to the PI through a RCh resistance (see Table 33–1). The current limit meets
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.
Waiting for presentation.	This is a Type 1 behavior only. This can be submitted as a maintenance request.

C/ <b>33</b> SC Darshan, Yair	33.2.7.5	P <b>67</b> Microsemi	L <b>1922</b>	# 362	<i>CI <b>33</b> Darshan, Y</i>		33.2.4.4	P <b>36</b> Microsemi	L 11	# 363			
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		PSE Power	Comment	Tvpe	TR	Comment Status D		PSE State Diag			
The text: "However, fo a pair set pe correctly asc	or practical ersist for the certain the o	implementations, it is recommended in the second se	n-2P, as the PSE	POWER_UP mode on	The te: " is r It shou " for	xt " fo not acci Ild be (a PSEs tl	or PSEs th urate. adding the hat monito	at monitor the per pair set ve word "only"): or only the per pair set volta 3-14 that means the same	ige output and us	nd use that information			
lines 11-15. 2. It is not ac conclusion o	ndant. A bei ccurate. Th of a PD's ini	text are: tter version of it can be found e text "the PSE may not be a rush behavior" is incorrect. If there is a correct way to do it	ble to correctly a you do it in a wro	scertain the ong way than PSE	informa with:	ce The tation	text " for ."	r PSEs that monitor the per or only the per pair set volta					
when it is no 4. This text r 5. This text p	ot recomme makes assu prevents go	ariable legacy_powerup allow inded. (It is not recommended umption that we can't know th yod working solutions that mo	l if you look only e inrush profile w nitor voltage and	on the voltage) /hich is incorrect. current which is		, OSED I	REJECT.	Response Status W	ess we change i	t for 4P or HP operatio			
SuggestedReme	important for effective low dissipation POWER-UP control for Type 3 and 4.					This could be filed as a maintenance request.							
Remove the POWER_UF	text "Howe mode on	ever, for practical implementat a pair set persist for the comp o correctly ascertain the concl	olete duration of	TInrush-2P, as the	C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.3 P 90 L 51 # 364 Darshan, Yair Microsemi								
Proposed Respo PROPOSED	onse D REJECT.	Response Status W			<i>Comment</i> Definiti For a s	<i>Type</i> ion of C single lo e intent	bad PD, 10	Comment Status D e PD over a pair set is not a 0uF will be seen as 10uF fro t we will have twice the cap	om any pair set b				
					Suggested Add Ed	Remed	te to be a	ndded after line 52 page 90: I to be clarified when used i		e PD and dual signatu			
					Proposed I	'		Response Status W					
					PROP	OSED /	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.					
					Chang	e note o	on line 51	to:					
					NOTE- pair se		per pair s	et is the Cport seen by an a	attached PSE wh	en it probes the given			

Comment ID 364

Cl <b>33</b> Darshan,		33.3.7.3	P <b>90</b> Microsemi	L 90	# 365	C/ <b>33</b> Darshan, N		3.2.7.6	P 68 Microsemi	L	# 366	
Commen	nt Type	TR	Comment Status D		PD Inrush	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		PSE Power	
IEEE The is ac charg 33-1 Suggeste Modi ne Strik ne Strik unrus pair wher TInrus	E802.3-20 reason w courate pl ged to 99 1 etc. ed <i>Remed</i> ify the te: ew text	012. why they whisycal be ow of its find of y t per the c: t per pair- bliant with s charged hinimum p	factual behaviour was ren ere removed is relevent to haviour of the PD i.e. Inru nal value within a time du following instructions: XXX): set is drawn beginning wit Vport_PD-2P requiremen to 99% of its final value w er Table 33-11. After TInr schold corresponding to its	the PSE but not r sh current period e ration of Tinrush-2l th the application o ts as defined in Tal rithin a time duratio ush-2P min, the PE	elevant for the PD as it ends when Cport is P minimum per Table f input voltage at the ble 33-18, and ending n of (strike "before")	<ul> <li>Per the current requirements PSE is allowed to remove power if PD consumes por above the advertised class or remove power as a result of overload or short circuic conditions.</li> <li>Currently we have specified the ICUT, TCUT, ILIM, TLIM requirements in order to to decide when to remove power.</li> <li>We need to make it clear that PSE may remove power based on the above current timing thresholds and also based on the measured power consumed from the por required by other parts of the standard regarding PSE and PD that operating in a conditions that Pclass is violated.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> <li>PSE may remove power from a pair set if the measured power delivered from that or the measured power delivered from both pair sets exceeds the maximum power requested by the PD as advertised by its class.</li> <li>When PSE is measuring its output power and use it to limit the power to the PD or power from the port, lcut and ILIM threshold may be ignored.</li> </ul>						
Proposed PRO		nse REJECT.	Response Status W			, PROP	, OSED A	CCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE. be ignored.			
capa "Afte corre In the even	actiance l er TInrush espondin le field, P n if it only	by Tinrush 1-2P min, g to its cla Ds will sw	itch over to their "nominal s. This note about the ca	ired to meet the res s per pair set curre " current draw once	st of the text such as ent threshold e their cap was charged	set or reques	E may re the mea	sured por he PD as	wer from a pair set if the me wer delivered from both pair advertised by its class."			

Cl 33 Darshan, Y	SC <b>33.2.5.6</b> ′air	P <b>54</b> Microsemi	L <b>44</b>	# 367		C/ <b>33</b> Darshan, `	SC <b>33.3.7.4</b> Yair	P <b>91</b> Microsemi	L <b>44</b>	# 370
Comment		Comment Status D			4PID	Comment		Comment Status D		PD Powe
"Type candid Does i apply 2	ate to receive po t means that app 2P check LLDP a that I cant do it	Es shall determine whether a wer on both pair sets prior to lying 4P power (all pairs at th nd then connect the 2nd pai	applying 4 pair ie same time) is	power" the only choice, ca	an I	E2EP affect Workin be too due to	2P_lunb which at the transformer of ng with current en high for Type 4.	o reduce pair maximum curr fects the values of lcut-2P_ lesign. quation 33-12a with the 1.07 In addition, since it is new s nd PD peak which doesnt h	max and ILIM_2I ' constant, is cau tandard we can	P_min which eventually using ILIM_2P_MIN to ease Type 3 currents
Note: / pair se Tble T Proposed / PROP Add Ed	it is powered first BD tem TBD." Response OSED ACCEPT ditor's Note after	line 47:	vered within the	time limit specified	in	2. Cha "Peak Peak   Equati power power	ange equation 33 ange lines 35 to 4 power, PPeak_P power, PPeak_P ion (33-12) and e s of Class 0 throu	-12a constant from 1.07 to 1 0 to: D, for Class 0 through 4 is b D,for Class 5 through 8 is ba quation 33-12a are used to ugh Class 8. This equation r alues obtained via Data Link Response Status W	based on Equation ased on Equation approximate the nay be used to c	n 33-12a. ratiometric peak alculate peak operating
C/ 33	SC 33.3.7.3	P 90	L <b>43</b>	# 369		-	POSED ACCEPT			
arshan, Y	'air	Microsemi				Will O	BE comment # 3	59 if accepted.		
Comment We ne total of		Comment Status <b>D</b> 180uF total for a single signa e current draft.	ature PD is suffi	<i>Pres: Table</i> cient or we must ha		Cl 33 Thompson	SC 33.1	P 19 GraCaSI S.A	L 11	# 371
Suggested Add Eo Editor up to 9	<i>Remedy</i> ditor Note after lin Note: To investig	ne 48 page 90: late the max Cport value that current specification of PSE				Comment THE T cablin pair co	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> EXT: "These end g as is used for copper cabling.	Comment Status D ities allow devices to draw/s lata transmission." is too get	upply power usir	
'	Response OSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W					IGE TEXT TO RE	AD: "These entities allow d I copper cabling as is used f		
	gh the current dra on each pair set.	aft limits single signature PD	s to 180uF as th	ne total capacitance	is	•	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		
						Coppe	er may be too spe	ecific. We call out cabling re	quirements spec	cifically in Table 33-1.
								AD: "These entities allow d I cabling as is used for data		upply power using the

Comment ID 371

Page 47 of 49 6/11/2015 5:23:44 PM

C/ 33 SC 33 Thompson, Geoff	.2.1	Р <b>25</b> GraCaSI S.A.	L 8	# 374	C/ 33 SC Thompson, Geo	C 33.2.9.1	Р <b>72</b> GraCaSI S.A.	L <b>7</b>	# 376
	Es may be place	ent Status <b>D</b> ed in two locations w er or midspan." COL		<i>PSE Types</i> e link segment, either CLEAR	Comment Type Improve stru SuggestedReme	ucture/gram	Comment Status <b>D</b> mar of sub-clause titles and ve	oltage terms	Editoria
segment, either Proposed Response PROPOSED RE	coincident with t Respoi EJECT.	the placed in one of tw he DTE/ Repeater o nse Status W not changing. This c	r midspan."	·	Change "33.2.9.1.1 to:"33.2.9.1 and:"33.2.9.1 to:"33.2.9.1 and "AC MF	PSE AC MF .1 PSE MP3 .1.2 PSE D .2 PSE MP3 S compone S compone onse	PS component requirements" S AC component requirements C MPS component requirements DC component requirements ent" to "MPS AC component" ent" to "MPS DC component" to Response Status W	nts" s"	draft
Cl 33 SC 33 Thompson, Geoff		P <b>54</b> GraCaSI S.A.	L <b>45</b>	# 375			ed since AF. They should be gs any new clarity to them.	left the same a	as I do not think the
		nent Status <b>D</b> ans in this sentence		4PID	CI <b>33</b> SC Thompson, Geo	C <b>33.1.4</b>	P <b>22</b> GraCaSI S.A.	L <b>27</b>	# 379
Remove the wo	rd "initially".				Comment Type	ER	Comment Status D		Unbalance
Proposed Response	e Respoi	nse Status W			Note 1 point	ts to 33.4.1	2 as well as Annex 33A. 33.4	.1.2 is now eff	ectively empty
	is always welco	me, but "initially" is a those listed as dete		sentence as 4PID can al value.	SuggestedRem IN LINE 27, Proposed Resp PROPOSEI	REMOVE	THE TEXT: "See Section 33.4 Response Status W	.1.2"	

Section 33.4.1.2 still calls out the requirement to meet unbalance requirements stated in  $\mathsf{ISO}/\mathsf{IEC}\ldots$ 

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.0a	P <b>51</b> L1	2 # 383	C/ 33		33.2.7.8	P70	L <b>34</b>	# 387	
Thompson, Geoff	GraCaSI S.A.			oson, Geoff		GraCaSI S.A.			
Comment Type ER Comment Sub-clause numbering (i.e., the "a" SuggestedRemedy	t Status <b>D</b> suffix) does not conform	to SA Style Manual.	S		et case. Is	Comment Status <b>D</b> now the test resister is to be ho is it across just one, if so which to both			
Conform to Style Manual 11.1 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. All subclauses should be renumbered properly.				Suggested Remedy Specify how test resister is to be hooked to the PI in the case of Type 3 and/or Type 4.					
									Proposed Response Response Status W
				PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
				This subclause should be 33.2.5.1 a	and all subsequent subc	auses should be incre	ased. N	eed a speci	fic remedy
/ 33         SC 33.2.3         P 33         L 19         # 385				Possible OBE by comment # 6.					
Thompson, Geoff	GraCaSI S.A.		C/ 01	SC	1.5	P 18	L <b>21</b>	# 389	
	t Status D	F	PSE Types Dove,	Daniel		Dove Networkin	g Solut		
It is not clear to me whether or not t disenfranchising some currently cor and I see no need to do so.		eptable to do so		<i>nent Type</i> issing Abbre	<b>TR</b> eviations	Comment Status D		Editoria	
SuggestedRemedy			Sugge	estedRemed	ly				
Restore deleted text or prove that no existing compliant DTE/PSEs are disenfranchised. Proposed Response Response Status W				Insert "Dual Signature PD - A Powered Device that presents two signatures, one on each pair set, to the PSE.Single Signature PD - A Powered Device that presents one signature					
PROPOSED REJECT.	Status W			•		th simultaneously to the PSE."			
Type 1 and Type 2 PSEs are allowe according to table 33-2a.	ed to choose either Alt-A	configuration (MDI, M	,	sed Respor ROPOSED		Response Status W			
				Are these abbreviations or definitions?					
C/33         SC 33.1.4         P 21         L 53         # [386]           hompson, Geoff         GraCaSI S.A.				Should SSPD and DSPD be added as definitions?					
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment It is not a "link segment" that conner	t Status <b>D</b> cts a PSE and a PD whe		er System PSE.						
SuggestedRemedy Change to "link section" in line 53									
	Status W								
This is the definition from 1.4:									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID