C/FM SC FM P 19 L 13 # 1 Abramson, David Texas Instruments	C/FM SC FM P 5 L 1 # 4 Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type ER Comment Status X "devices or networks. implement-"	Comment Type E Comment Status X 802.3bn and 802.3bz are now approved.
SuggestedRemedy Capitalize the start of a sentence. "devices or networks. Implement-" Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE Std 802.3bn™-20xx" to "IEEE Std 802.3bn™-2016" Change "IEEE Std 802.3bz™-20xx" to "IEEE Std 802.3bz™-2016" Proposed Response Response Status O
W 00 SC 0 P L # 2 Inslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type ER Comment Status X The "Draft 2.1 difference to Draft 2.0 compare file " only contains changes to Clause 33 and does not show changes to the rest of the draft. This makes the work of reviewing the changes made to the draft much more onerous for the reviewers. SuggestedRemedy Include all of the draft in the compare file.	Cl 1 SC 1.4.381a P 20 L 35 # 5 Anslow, Pete Ciena 5 Comment Type E Comment Status X "single-signature PD" comes before "1.4.381a single twisted-pair copper cable" as inserted by 802.3bp according to the rules in: http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#sort This means that the subclause number should be 1.4.381a as per comment #165 against D2.0 (comment #136 was incorrect in this regard).
roposed Response Response Status O If FM SC FM P 3 L 23 # 3 Inslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Image: Comment Type E Comment Status X The draft does not use the latest frontmatter from the 802.3 FrameMaker template. Image: Comment Status Image: Comment Status	SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: "Insert 1.4.381aa before 1.4.381a "single twisted-pair copper cable" (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3bp-2016) as follows: Renumber the new definition to 1.4.381aa Proposed Response Response Status O
For example "A full duplex MAC protocol was added in 1997. " is missing and "IEEE Std 802.3 is comprised of the following" should be "IEEE Std 802.3 is composed of the following" SuggestedRemedy Update the frontmatter to the latest version. Proposed Response Response Status O	CI 30 SC 30.9.1.2.1 P 30 L 47 # 6 Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena 6 Comment Type E Comment Status X The changes in 30.9.1.2.1 have no corresponding editing instruction SuggestedRemedy
	Add an appropriate editing instructionProposed ResponseResponse StatusO

C/ 30 SC 30.12.2.1.18aa P 36 L 4 # 7 Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena	C/ 33 SC 33.1.3 P 53 L 20 # 9 Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type ER Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
the inserted clause numbering does not conform with the rules in: http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numb "The character ".z" is followed by ".z1", ".z2", and so on."	1.2.6 says: "Unless otherwise stated, numerical limits in this standard are to be taken as exact, with the number of significant digits and trailing zeros having no significance." This means that a parameter maximum of 0.1 has exactly the same meaning as a
SuggestedRemedy In the editing instruction, change "30.12.2.1.18a through 30.12.2.1.18ad" to "30.12.2.1.18a through 30.12.2.1.18z4" renumber 30.12.2.1.18aa through 30.12.2.1.18ad to be 30.12.2.1.18z1 through 30.12.2.1.18z4 Proposed Response Response Status O	 maximum of 0.100. The new text in 33.1.3 says "Leading and trailing zeros have significance". A leading zero would be 0100 rather than 100. As far as I can see, the only leading zeros in the draft are in front of the decimal point for numbers less than 1 (as per the IEEE style manual). What significance do these leading zeros have? There are many trailing zeros in the draft, for example the Channel pairset maximum DC loop resistance for Type 1 is "20.0" ohms. Following 1.2.6, this would be a limit of exactly
C/ 30 SC 30.12.3.1.18aa P 44 L 44 # 8 Inslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type ER Comment Status X the inserted clause numbering does not conform with the rules in:	20 ohms. 33.1.3 says that the single trailing zero has significance, but it is entirely unclear what significance it has. Does it mean that a resistance of 20.049 is compliant? (This was the assumption that some people were making that led to the introduction of 1.2.6.) If the answer is that no value above 20 ohms is compliant, then 33.1.3 should not state tha trailing zeros have significance and all trailing zeros should be removed from Clause 33. If the answer is that the trailing zero modifies the limit away from exactly 20 ohms, then 33.1.3 has to be modified to state what the significance of the trailing zeros is. In summary: either remove trailing zeros or if they are retained, state what they mean.
http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html#numb "The character ".z" is followed by ".z1", ".z2", and so on."	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy In the editing instruction, change "30.12.3.1.18a through 30.12.3.1.18g" to "30.12.3.1.18a through 30.12.3.1.18z4" renumber 30.12.3.1.18aa through 30.12.3.1.18ad to be 30.12.3.1.18z1 through 30.12.3.1.18z4 Proposed Response Response Status	Either:Remove the statement "Leading and trailing zeros have significance" from 33.1.3 and remove all trailing zeros from Clause 33 in the draft. Or: Modify 33.1.3 to state what the significance of leading and trailing zeros is.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusO
-roposed Response Status O	
	C/ 33 SC 33.1.4.1 P 54 L 54 # 10 Anslow, Pete Ciena
	Comment Type E Comment Status X As pointed out by Comment #172 against D2.0, "Annex A" in footnote 1 should be a cross-

reference SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Make it a cross-reference

Comment ID 10

Response Status 0

CI 33 SC 33.2.7	P 108	L 20	# 11	C/ 79 SC 79.3	P 218	L 1	# 14
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
	ual includes: eat the unit (e.g., 115 V to 125 \ misconstrued as subtraction s		d never be used		ainst D2.0 was ACCEPT, but was instruction to: "Change Table 79 as not been done.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
In Table 33-15, chan	ge "1 – 39" to "1 to 39" and so	on.			instruction to: "Change Table 79	-1 (as modified b	by IEEE Std 802.3br-
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			2016) as follows:"			
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.2		L 1	# 12		P.1 P 235	L 10	# 15
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
-	e 33-17 is missing "continued"	on the second pa	art.	51	omment #167 against D2.0, the	change to 79.5.2	2.1 is not correct as t
SuggestedRemedy		_		text in the base star	ndard is already "inquiries".	U U	
Place the cursor at tr insert "Table Continu	ne end of table title on first page ation" variable.	 Then click on t 	he Variables Tab and	SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Remove the editing line 10	instruction on line 5 and also rei	move the "e" in s	strikethrough font on
				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
	P 191	L 2	# 13				
C/ 33 SC 33.7							
	Ciena			C/ 33 SC 33.3.3	8.15 <i>P</i> 144	L 33	# 16
Anslow, Pete	Comment Status X			<i>Cl</i> 33 <i>SC</i> 33.3.3 Beia, Christian	B.15 P 144 STMicroelectr		# 16
Comment Type ER Comment #180 agair	Comment Status X nst D2.0 was ACCEPT, but was						# 16
Comment Type ER Comment #180 again Change "DTE Power	Comment Status X	uipment (DTE) P	ower via Media	Beia, Christian <i>Comment Type</i> E This paragraph sho	STMicroelectr Comment Status X uld be placed before the descrip	ronics	
Anslow, Pete Comment Type ER Comment #180 agair Change "DTE Power Dependent Interface	Comment Status X nst D2.0 was ACCEPT, but was via MDI" to "Data Terminal Eq	uipment (DTE) P	ower via Media	Beia, Christian Comment Type E This paragraph sho the generic Mode d	STMicroelectr Comment Status X	ronics	
Anslow, Pete Comment Type ER Comment #180 agair Change "DTE Power Dependent Interface SuggestedRemedy Change "DTE Power	Comment Status X nst D2.0 was ACCEPT, but was via MDI" to "Data Terminal Eq	uipment (DTE) P changed to 33.7)	ower via Media) has not been done.	Beia, Christian Comment Type E This paragraph sho the generic Mode d SuggestedRemedy	STMicroelectr Comment Status X uld be placed before the descrip	ronics	

	2.5.9	P 82	L 46	# 17	C/ 33	SC 33.2.5.1	2	P 93	L 6	# 20
Beia, Christian		STMicroelect	ronics		Beia, Chris	stian		STMicroelect	ronics	
Comment Type E	Commen	t Status X			Comment	Type ER	Comment S	Status X		
	e sentences are mis 4 Variables definition		ney have more ge	eneral scope than just		e 33-16 rc between ENT	RY_PRI and ID	LE_PRI states	s wasn't there in t	the original Visio file.
SuggestedRemedy					Suggested	dRemedy				
move the followir	ng sentences to 33	.2.7 as sixth par	ragraph (D2.1 pag	ge 106 line 18):	Remo	ve the arc betw	een ENTRY_PF	I and IDLE_P	RI states.	
of supporting.				Class they are capable Class they are capable	Proposed	Response	Response S	tatus O		
of supporting bet		ent time VPSE v		r at least TReset and a	Cl 33 Beia, Chris	SC 33.2.6 stian		P 101 STMicroelect	L 22 ronics	# 21
Proposed Response		Status O				51	Comment S n 2-pair and 4-pa		ssible only if the	conditions defined in
2/ 33 SC 33 .2 eia, Christian	.2.5.12	P 89 STMicroelect	L 3	# 18	Suggested	dRemedv				
Comment Type E	Commen	t Status X					/ –	,		etween 2-pair and 4-pa
Figure 33-15 Entry point for ID	DLE state is A and r	not IDLE					,			
Entry point for ID SuggestedRemedy	DLE state is A and r ith A as the label o		of state IDLE				dy in POWER_C			ion between 2-pair an 33.2.8.1 are met.
Entry point for ID SuggestedRemedy	ith A as the label o		of state IDLE		When 4-pair		dy in POWER_C	n if the condition		
Entry point for ID SuggestedRemedy Replace IDLE wit	ith A as the label o Response	f the entry point	L 28	# 19	When 4-pair	power without r Response SC 33.2.7.2	dy in POWER_C redoing detection <i>Response</i> S	n if the condition	L 8	
Entry point for ID SuggestedRemedy Replace IDLE wi Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33. Seia, Christian Comment Type E Figure 33-15	ith A as the label o Response 2.5.12	of the entry point <i>Status</i> O <i>P</i> 90 STMicroelect <i>t Status</i> X	L 28 ronics	# 19	When 4-pair Proposed Cl 33 Beia, Chris Comment Table	power without r Response SC 33.2.7.2 stian Type TR 33-17	dy in POWER_C redoing detection <i>Response</i> S	P 112 The condition P 112 STMicroelect Status X	L 8	33.2.8.1 are met.
Entry point for ID SuggestedRemedy Replace IDLE wi Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33 Beia, Christian Comment Type E Figure 33-15 Exit point for this	ith A as the label o Response 2.5.12	of the entry point <i>Status</i> O <i>P</i> 90 STMicroelect <i>t Status</i> X	L 28 ronics	# [<u>19</u>	When 4-pair Proposed Cl 33 Beia, Chris Comment Table Single	power without r <i>Response</i> SC 33.2.7.2 stian <i>Type</i> TR 33-17 -Event Physica	dy in POWER_C redoing detection <i>Response</i> S	P 112 The condition P 112 STMicroelect Status X	L 8	33.2.8.1 are met.
Entry point for ID SuggestedRemedy Replace IDLE wit Proposed Response 27 33 SC 33. Seia, Christian Comment Type E Figure 33-15 Exit point for this SuggestedRemedy	ith A as the label o <i>Response</i> 2.5.12 E <i>Commen</i> s page's state diagra	of the entry point <i>Status</i> O <i>P</i> 90 STMicroelect <i>t Status</i> X am state is A an	L 28 ronics nd not IDLE		When 4-pair Proposed Cl 33 Beia, Chris Comment Table Single Suggested	power without r Response SC 33.2.7.2 stian Type TR 33-17 -Event Physica IRemedy	dy in POWER_C redoing detection <i>Response S</i> 2 Comment S	P 112 STMicroelect Status X ation timing sp	<i>L</i> 8 ronics ecification also a	33.2.8.1 are met. # 22 applies to Type2 PSE
Entry point for ID SuggestedRemedy Replace IDLE wi Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33. Beia, Christian Comment Type E Figure 33-15 Exit point for this SuggestedRemedy	ith A as the label o <i>Response</i> 2.5.12 E <i>Commen</i> s page's state diagra ith A as the label o	of the entry point <i>Status</i> O <i>P</i> 90 STMicroelect <i>t Status</i> X am state is A an	L 28 ronics nd not IDLE		When 4-pair Proposed Cl 33 Beia, Chris Comment Table Single Suggested Table	power without r Response SC 33.2.7.2 stian Type TR 33-17 -Event Physica IRemedy	dy in POWER_C redoing detection <i>Response</i> S 2 <i>Comment</i> S I Layer classifica Single-Event Ph	P 112 STMicroelect Status X ation timing sp	L 8	33.2.8.1 are met. # 22

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.2 Beia, Christian	P 112 STMicroelectr	L 13 onics	# 23	C/ 33 Beia, Chri	SC 33.3.6.1	P 149 STMicroelectr	L 43 onics	# 26
Comment Type TR Table 33-17	Comment Status X			Comment Despi	51	Comment Status X .3.6.1 deals with both single a	nd multiple-eve	nt class signature.
Tcle1 spec only applie	es to Type2 PSEs			Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy Table 33-17 Item 12 T				Merge	33.3.6.1 and 33	3.3.6.2 in one subclause. class signature		
Remove "3,4" from co Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.5 Beia, Christian	P 136 STMicroelectr	L 5	# 24	C/ 33 Beia, Chri	SC 33.3.8	P 155 STMicroelectr	L 18 onics	# 27
signature for any DO_ 33–31:	Comment Status X there is no requirement for a F _CLASS_EVENT duration less , so it should be replaced with	than TClass_P		Suggested Renur	is defined twice	e D as Item 8 and the following it <i>Response Status</i> 0	ems according	ly.
Modify Note 2 as follo NOTE 2—In general,	ws: there is no requirement for a F _CLASS_EVENT duration less <i>Response Status</i> 0			<i>Cl</i> 33 Beia, Chri <i>Comment</i>	SC 33.3.8.3		L 11 onics	# 28
Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.1 Beia, Christian Comment Type E	0 P 141 STMicroelectr Comment Status X	L 46 Donics	# 25	param Suggestee Repla	eter for the PD solution in the	ned in the PSE section in Tab section is Tinrush-PD max in T nin (as defined Table 33-19) wi 33.3.8.3	able 33-31	
SuggestedRemedy Replace exit condition	om DLL_ENABLE state differ find to P1 with pse_dll_power_typ 22 with pse_dll_power_type>1	e=1 (it is pse_p	ower_type=3 in D2.1),	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							

C/ 33 SC 33.3.8.3 P 158 L 35 # 29 Beia, Christian STMicroelectronics	C/ 33 SC 33.3.8.4 P 158 L 47 # 31 Bennett, Ken Sifos Technologies, In
Comment Type ER Comment Status X Input inrush currents at startup, IInrush_PD and IInrush_PD-2P, as defined in Table 33–19, IInrush_PD and linrush_PD-2P are defined in table 33-31	Comment Type E Comment Status X There are two references to PClass_PD max. in this section. PClass_PD is a maximum, so "max" is redundant.
SuggestedRemedy Replace Table 33-19 with Table 33-31 Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy On lines 47 and 53, change: PClass_PD max to PClass_PD Proposed Response Response Status 0
C/ 33 SC 33.3.8.10 P 164 L 46 # 30 Beia, Christian STMicroelectronics	C/ 33 SC 33.3.8.2.1 P 157 L 38 # 32
Comment Type T Comment Status X Rsource_min and Rsource_max represent the Vin source common mode effective resistance that consists of the PSE PI components (RPSE_min and RPSE_max as specified in 33.2.8.4.1, VPort_PSE_diff as specified in Table 33–19, the channel resistance, and RPair_PD_min and RPair_PD_max specified in Annex 33A.5). RPair_PD_min and RPair_PD_max are not part of the PSE PI components.	Bennett, Ken Sifos Technologies, In Comment Type T Comment Status X TDL 2.0 comment #47 pointed out that an upper limit for PClass was not clearly defined. The suggested remedy adds a secondary limit based upon Icable. (if accepted, this would OBE TDL 2.0 #47.)
SuggestedRemedy Remove RPair_PD_min and RPair_PD_max from the description on the PSE PI components: Rsource_min and Rsource_max represent the Vin source common mode effective resistance that consists of the PSE PI components (RPSE_min and RPSE_max as specified in 33.2.8.4.1, VPort_PSE_diff as specified in Table 33–19 and the the channel resistance).	Existing Text: may consume greater than PClass_PD but shall not consume greater than PClass at the PSE PI. SuggestedRemedy Append the following to the existing text:
Proposed Response Response Status O	and shall not draw current in excess of Icable as defined in Table 33-1. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/33 SC 33.3.8.4.1 P 160 L 5 # <u>33</u>	CI 33 SC 33.8.2 P 190 L 1 # 35
Bennett, Ken Sifos Technologies, In	Chabot, Craig UNH-IOL
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
The extended mode peak section references PClass. Section 33.3.8.2.1 is expanding the average power limit beyond a simple PClass reference.	To Satisfy comments numbered 158, 257, and 258 on D2.0, the PICS were updated to reflect the changes in the text apparent in D2.0 when compared to Clause 33 of 802.3-2015. These changes can be seen in detail in Chabot_01_1116
The suggested remedy changes the 33.3.8.4.1 PClass reference to Pport_PD max., which is the maximum PD avg power as determined under 33.3.8.2.1 rules. TDL 2.0 comment #48 would be OBE as a result of this change.	SuggestedRemedy None. The changes made are already reflected in D2.1
Existing Text:	Proposed Response Response Status O
the peak power shall not exceed PClass at the PSE PI for more than TCUT-2P min, as defined in Table 33–19 and with 5% duty cycle. Peak operating power shall not exceed 1.05 × PPort_PD max.	C/ 79 SC 79.5 P 229 L 1 # 36 Chabot, Craig UNH-IOL
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type E Comment Status X
Change: shall not exceed PClass to: shall not exceed Pport_PD max	To Satisfy comment number 127 on D2.0, the PICS were updated to reflect the changes in the text apparent in D2.0 when compared to Clause 79 of 802.3-2015. These changes ca be seen in detail in Chabot_02_1116
	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	None. The changes made are already reflected in D2.1
	Proposed Response Response Status O
Cl 33 SC 33.3.8.5 P 160 L 33 # 34	
Bennett, Ken Sifos Technologies, In	C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.11 P 142 L 7 # 37
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Darshan, Yair Microsemi
When TDL 2.0 comments #50 and #51 were discussed in the last meeting, it was pointed	
out that the graphs and related text repeat the "shalls" that exist in the average and peak	Comment Type TR Comment Status X The introductory part for dual-signature state machine was not implemented as specified in
power sections, were not clear, and could be deleted.	page 11 lines 3-7 in darshan 09 0916Rev005.pdf from last comment resolution.
power sections, were not clear, and could be deleted. Subsequently, it was determined that (only) section 33.3.8.6 referenced those graphs. The	in addition, the sum was changed to in order to sync with b2.1.
	SuggestedRemedy
Subsequently, it was determined that (only) section 33.3.8.6 referenced those graphs. The suggested remedy removes the graphs and related text from 33.3.8.5, and modifies section 33.3.8.6 to remove the references and clarify that section.	SuggestedRemedy Add the following text to 33.3.3.11 on page 142 after line 7:
Subsequently, it was determined that (only) section 33.3.8.6 referenced those graphs. The suggested remedy removes the graphs and related text from 33.3.8.5, and modifies section 33.3.8.6 to remove the references and clarify that section.	SuggestedRemedy Add the following text to 33.3.3.11 on page 142 after line 7: "The following are the requirements for dual-signature PD state machine over each mode/
Subsequently, it was determined that (only) section 33.3.8.6 referenced those graphs. The suggested remedy removes the graphs and related text from 33.3.8.5, and modifies section 33.3.8.6 to remove the references and clarify that section. SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy

C/ 33 SC 33C.2 Darshan, Yair	P 255 Microsemi	L 20	# 38	C/ 33 SC / Darshan, Yair	nnex 33C	P 251 Microsemi	L 14	# 40
This comment was not implen Figure 33C-12: Missing TCLE SuggestedRemedy Add TCLE1 lable and arrow to	1 label and arrow as do			between detec After reviewing done in paralle TRUE which is Staggered clas staggered clas	acs, Miklos) ective is to supp tion and connec it, it seems to s I when dual-sigu not necessarily sification can b sification can be		n of CC_DET_SE in regarding if cla I and Class_4PID t is single or dual	EQ variable options. ssification must be _mult_events_sec is signature PD and
C/ 33 SC 33.5 Darshan, Yair	P 180 Microsemi	L 26	# 39	single signatur	all drawings, PV e, PWR_UP ca	RUP starts at the same starts at the same starts at the same in different		dual-signature or even
Comment Type TR Com From TDL comment #214 D2. 33.5 Data Link Layer classifica PD. See darshan_13_1116.pdf for See darshan_11_1116.pdf for	ation need to be update concept presentation.		ipport dual-signature	a)In dual-signa in figure 33C-2 note saying "T Staggered clas	g to address the ature classification and a solution of the second structure of the second second structure of the second second second structure of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second sec	e following points: on can be done in par ssification is in paralle v one option to classif OWER_ON can be d 3C and repeat the fix	el and cab ne also fication and POW lone."	88
SuggestedRemedy Adopt darshan_11_1116.pdf ir	f ready for the meeting	. If not ready, ke	eep it in the TDL.	Proposed Respons	se Resp	oonse Status O		
Proposed Response Resp	oonse Status O							

C/ 33 SC 79.3.2.6d Darshan, Yair	P 224 Microsemi	L 12	# 41	C/ 33 SC 33.3.8.10 P 165 L 24 # 43 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
Comment Type TR (TDL #232 Lennart Y.) The text says: "Using the Autoclass fi maximum power consu In addition Table 79-5c I believe the definitions	Comment Status X	hak" parameter se issues.	s.	 Comment Type TR Comment Status X In September 2016 meeting when Annex D was suggested to be added, good arguments where presented for why not to do it, as follows; a) Information that is needed for interoperability needs to be in the standard body and not in the annex. b) We need a set of requirements that will be sufficient for PSE PI design and PD PI design. We don't need to supply the reasons for the spec numbers as long as the current spec is complete and sufficient to guarantee interoperability. c) Informative Annex is located far after clause 33 and there is a high chance to be
 a)It is not clear who is b)What is the timing se c)When to raise power d)When to measure? e)Where is the final Ac f)The flow is missing. uggestedRemedy 	equence? ?			overlooked if it contains information that is needed to properly design the PD. All the above make a lot of sense. Therefore I suggest to move the design guidelines from Annex 33A.5 to the end of 33.3.8.10 as it is critical guidelines for PD designers to meet PD PI par-to-pair unbalance without guessing what to do SuggestedRemedy
	for comment #232 D2.0 for L Response Status O	ennart:)		1. Move the content of Annex 33A.5 to the end of 33.3.8.10 (page 165 after line 24). 2. Replace any reference to annex 33A.5 with 33.3.8.10. Proposed Response Response Status O
/ 33 SC 79 arshan, Yair	P 208 Microsemi	L 2	# [42	C/ 33 SC 33A.5 P 234 L 17 # 44 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
PD physical advertised DLL also doesn't have If after some time PSE the PD can't require mo to know how much mo	le class event due to power li class is. this information by the TLVs. has a power budget > class is ore power since DLL doesn't re power he can ask for. add to TLVs information, the	3, and the PD w have the physic	ants more using DLL, al PD class information	Comment Type TR Comment Status X "For PD power above the values shown in Table 33.28 and up to PClass, stringent requirement will be needed to not exceed ICon-2P_unb by means of smaller constants ALFA and BETA in the equation RPair_PD_max = ALFA*RPair_PD_min+BETA." It will help to the designer to have the equations and constants for class 6 and 8 for extended power as well. To add to the spec the equations for extended power for class 6 and 8 and modify the above text accordingly. SuggestedRemedy Adopt darshan 04 1116.pdf if ready for the meeting. If not ready add to TDL.

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12 Darshan. Yair	P 98 Microsemi	L 39	# 45	<i>Cl</i> 33 <i>SC</i> 33.1 . Darshan, Yair	4 P 53 Microsemi	L 51	# 47
The exit from CLASS_RE tclass_rst_timer_pri is not 1. It should be tclass_rese 2. tclass_reset_timer_pri SuggestedRemedy		list.	ne in the exit from	Comment Type ER The note below Ta "NOTE-In Type 3 a pair system resista current unbalance	ble 33-1: Ind Type 4 operation, the curre Ince unbalance. See 33.2.8.4.1 see TIA TSB-184-A and ISO/II ble 33-1 need some clarification	. For additional inf EC TR 29125 Edit	formation on Type 4 ion 2."
2. Add tclass_reset_timer "tclass_reset_timer_pri A timer used to limit the c Alternative; See Table 33 Proposed Response	r_pri to the timer list in 33.2 lassification reset time on t –17." <i>Response Status</i> O			Add the following t "Icable in Table 33 pair current for Typ the current per pai unbalance which n to be higher per th	ext to 33.2.8.4.1 on page 120 a -1 is defined for 100% pair-to-p e 3 and Type 4 is 2xlcable. In reset may be impacted by end to hay cause Icable on one of the e limits of Icon-2P_unb in Tabl able resulting with total 2xlcabl Response Status 0	air balanced opera Type 3 and Type 4 o end pair-to-pair s pairs of the pairs of e 33-19 while the	4 operation over 4-pairs, system resistance with the same polarity other pair will get to
2/ 33 SC 33.2.8 Parshan, Yair	P 113 Microsemi	L 40	# 46	r roposed nesponse			
Table 33-19 item 2, VPort 1. It is not clear if it is tota the direction).	Comment Status X t_PSE_diff. I 10mV or +/-10mV which i w where it is measured and		otal 10mV regardless of	Cl 33 SC 33.3. Darshan, Yair Comment Type E Missing "in" in the	8.3 P 158 Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X text, two locations marked with	L 18	# 48
"Open load voltage, when 2. In the parameter name "Output voltage pair-to-p the POWER_ON state" 3. In Figure 33B-2, add V with "i1" and "i2". See dar 4. In Figure 33B-2, add V with "i3" and "i4". See dar	ation column for VPort_PS n operating over 4-pair. See o, modify the text to be: pair **total voltage** differer Port_PSE_diff label and at rshan_07_1116.pdf Figure 3 Port_PSE_diff label and at rshan_07_1116.pdf Figure 3 <i>Response Status</i> 0	e Figure 33B-2. nce of pairs with rrow between th 33B-2 for refere rrow between th	the same polarity in e labels of the lines nce. e labels of the lines	PPeak_PD within signature PDs ass 2P within TInrush- SuggestedRemedy Change the text to "Single-signature F PPeak_PD within signature PDs ass	Ds assigned to Class 1, 2, or 3 Flnrush-2P min as defined **in* gned to Class 1, 2, or 3 shall cr 2P min as defined **in** Table 3 be: PDs assigned to Class 1, 2, or 3 Flnrush-2P min as defined in Ta gned to Class 1, 2, or 3 shall cr 2P min as defined in Table 33-1 Response Status 0	* Table 33-19. Ty onform to PClass_ 33-19 on that pairs 8 shall conform to able 33-19. Type 3 onform to PClass_	pe 3 and Type 4 dual- _PD-2P and PPeak_PD- set. PClass_PD and 3 and Type 4 dual- _PD-2P and PPeak_PD-

Darshan, Yair	P 166 Microsemi	L 10	# 49	Cl 30 SC 30.12. Darshan, Yair	2.1.14 P 34 Microse	L 50 mi	# 52
Comment Type E	Comment Status X item 1 title "input current a func	ion of the assigr	ned Class to a single-	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X erType" There is no value) in D2.0)		
"a" need to be "as a	"			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy					or D2.1, add it to the TDL for	or the next draft.	
Change to: "input current as a f	unction of the assigned Class to	a single-signatu	re PD"	Proposed Response	Response Status C)	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			<i>Cl</i> 30 <i>SC</i> 30 Darshan, Yair	P 24 Microse	L 1 mi	# 53
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5	.12 P 99	L 38	# 50	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	(
Darshan, Yair	Microsemi				o be added to this section	. This include Autocla	ass and
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Measurements. (See comment #286	6 in D2.0)		
tclass_rst_timer_se 1. It should be tclas				SuggestedRemedy If not resolved yet fo	r D2.1, add it to the TDL f		
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status)	
CLASS_RESET_SI 2. Add tclass_reset "tclass_reset_timer	_timer_sec to the timer list in 33. _sec : the classification reset time on t	2.5.10.	one in the exit from	Cl 33 SC 33.2.5 Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR	Microse Comment Status	(# 54
Alternative; See Tal	ble 33–17."			The pd_autoclass te	rm is never ready by the s	state diagram.	
Alternative; See Tal	Die 33–17." Response Status O			The pd_autoclass te (See comment #503		state diagram.	
Alternative; See Tal Proposed Response	Response Status O			(See comment #503 SuggestedRemedy		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Alternative; See Tal Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.8		L 49	# 51	(See comment #503 SuggestedRemedy	s in D2.0)	or the next draft.	
Alternative; See Tal Proposed Response C/ 33 SC 33.2.8 Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR TDL #510 D2.0. See darshan_01_1	Response Status O P 104 Microsemi Comment Status X 16.pdf for a proposal to address	-		(See comment #503 SuggestedRemedy If not resolved yet fo	in D2.0) or D2.1, add it to the TDL fo	or the next draft.	
Alternative; See Tal Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.8 Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR TDL #510 D2.0. See darshan_01_1 Icable or Ipeak-2P)	Response Status O P 104 Microsemi Comment Status X	-		(See comment #503 SuggestedRemedy If not resolved yet fo	in D2.0) or D2.1, add it to the TDL fo	or the next draft.	
Alternative; See Tal Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.8 Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR TDL #510 D2.0. See darshan_01_1	Response Status O P 104 Microsemi Comment Status X 16.pdf for a proposal to address from comment #510 D2.0.	-		(See comment #503 SuggestedRemedy If not resolved yet fo	in D2.0) or D2.1, add it to the TDL fo	or the next draft.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.1	-	L 22	# 55	CI 33 SC 33.	.2.8.4.1	P 108	L 513	# 58
Darshan, Yair	Microsemi			Darshan, Yair		Microsemi		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			51		omment Status X		
class code by issuing which it need to gene	54, D2.0) ne part for single signature (Fig 3 finger and then doing class i rate only one finger etc. is miss e text but not in the state machi	eset due to lake		voltage. This comment ad See darshan_02	ddresses sto	SE when Equation 33-1 over_01_0916.pdf from o or proposed remedy.	Ū.	. –
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy				
,	ne missing state machine part i this in the TDL.	n darshan_08_1	116.pdf if available for	See darshan_02 Proposed Response		or proposed remedy. sponse Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 33 SC 33 . Darshan, Yair	.3.8.2.1	P 148 Microsemi	L 37	# 59
C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.1 Darshan, Yair	P 105 Microsemi	L 32	# 56			omment Status X rom comment #47 D2.0))	
	Comment Status X pairs and 4-pairs is not covered clude in the TDL for comment		achine.	PClass at the PS Problem: Equation	SE PI." on 33-2 defi	ater than PClass_PD bu nes Pclass by Rchan an by definition cause Pcla	d Pclass_PD. If	a PD consumes
If not resolved yet for	D2.1, add it to the TDL for the	next draft.			5_FD, II WIII	by definition cause Fcia	ISS IN Equation 5	3-2 to be exceeded.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy If not resolved ye	et for D2.1, a	add it to the TDL for the	next draft.	
				Proposed Response	Re	sponse Status O		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.4 Darshan, Yair	.1 <i>P</i> 120 Microsemi	L 21	# 57					
accuracy of equation		comment #513	D2.0 regarding the					
SuggestedRemedy	6 odf for proposed remedy							
	6.pdf for proposed remedy.							
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							

Cl 33 SC 33.3.8.2.2 Darshan, Yair	P 157 Microsemi	L 47	# 60	Cl 33 SC 33.3.8 Darshan, Yair		P 149 Microsemi	L 30	# 61
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment S	tatus X		
From the TDL, commer Yair to rewrite 33.3.8.2.	it #383 D2.0: 2, page 157 lines 46-54 witho	out SHALL.		(TDL #460 from D2.				
SuggestedRemedy				Lennarts comment # "If a PD has a larger		2D volue than	the DD shall lin	ait the input inruch
supplied with V Port_PS 33-1) in series, it shall on noise content as define defined by Table 33-28. When a dual-signature with R Ch (as defined in in Table 33-28, with the DC input operating volta To: "Verification of a PD is 28 is met while the PD	2, single-signature Type 3, or BE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P perate at PPort_PD, as defind in Table 33-28, and with the PD is supplied with V Port_P Table 33-1) in series, it shal ripple and noise content as of age range as defined by Table achieved when PD ripple and s powered with a voltage sounax with R Ch (as defined in Table 20, or 10, or 10	max with R Ch ned in Table 33 DC input opera SE -2P min to V loperate at PPo defined in Table e 33-28." noise content a proce set in the ra	(as defined in Table 28, with the ripple and ating voltage range as Port_PSE-2P max ort_PD-2P, as defined 33-28, and with the s defined in Table 33- nge of VPort_PSE-2P	current such that I ir met." Very true, but also ru "PDs shall draw less delay-2P min." SuggestedRemedy Remove the "If a PD ACCEPT. Add to the TDL: Dar not cause issues. SuggestedRemedy See darshan_03_11 Proposed Response	edundant to the re than I Inrush_PD has a larger" so shan, Make sure r	quirement a fe and I Inrush_ entence. removal of sha	ew paragraphs a PD-2P from T Ir	nrush-2P min until T
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 33 SC 33.3.8 Darshan, Yair		P 157 Microsemi	L 37	# 62
				Comment Type TR	Comment S	tatus X		
				33.3.8.2.1, 33.3.8.4 signature PDs and o This is continuation clauses content that	lual-signature PDs of the work done f			te between single- o cover the rest of the
				SuggestedRemedy				
				Addopt darshan_09	1116.pdf			

C/ 33 SC 33.3.1 Darshan, Yair	P 43 Microsemi	L	# 63	C/ 33 SC 33.2 Darshan, Yair		96 rosemi	L 5	# 66
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type TF	Comment Statu	s X		
in the standard and try t	addressing the significant dig o be satisfied with 3 significa esult and not cause system c	nt digits unless		"IF (pd_cls_4PID_ (This error correct	or in CLASS_EVAL_SEC _sec * (sig_sec = valid) * red for figure 33-16 for th	(sig_pri =	valid) + pwr_app	o_pri) THEN"
SuggestedRemedy				in the secondary s	side)			
Adopt darshan_15_1110	6.pdf if available. If not availa	ble keep this in	the TDL.	SuggestedRemedy				
Proposed Response	Response Status O			То	sec * (sig_sec = valid) *	· •	, ,	_, ,
Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.12 Darshan, Yair	P 93 Microsemi	L 10	# 64	Proposed Response	sec * (sig_sec = valid) * Response Status		valid) + pwi_app	5_pii))⊺⊓⊏iv:
We should be able to ge FALSE. SuggestedRemedy	rom IDLE_PRI to START_DE et to START_DETECT_PRI r rom the condition "!pwr_app	egardless if pwr		Cl 33 SC 33.3 Darshan, Yair Comment Type TF	Mic		L 43 n the dual-signat	# <u>67</u>
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy	wer_level_mode(M) vari			
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12 Darshan, Yair	P 95 Microsemi	L 9	# 65	Proposed Response	Response Status	s O		
	Comment Status X rom IDLE_SEC to START_D et to START_DETECT_SEC		r_app_pri is TRUE or					
SuggestedRemedy								
,	om the condition "!pwr_app_s	sec * pwr_app_p	ri"					
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							

C/ 33 SC 33.3.3 . Darshan, Yair	12 P 143 Microsemi	L 53	# 68	C/ 33 Darshan, Ya	SC 33B.1 ir	Ν	P 245 ⁄licrosemi	L 23	# 70
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment T	/pe TR	Comment Sta	atus X		
	e tput by the PD power control si /pe connected to Mode M as 1			channel resistan	(cables and co ce."	unbalanced load, onnectors), the P er removing part o	D effective re	esistances, and	consists of the the PSE PI effective
pse dll power type	variable definition has an error	It can't be per r	node	SuggestedR	emedy				
SuggestedRemedy				Change					
Change from:						ed load, Rload_r D effective resis			of the channel (cables tive resistance."
"pse_dll_power_type	3			To:		2 01100110 10010			
	tput by the PD power control st /pe connected to Mode M as 1			and con	nectors), the P	ed load, Rload_r D PI effective re			of the channel (cables SE PI effective
To:				resistan	ce."				
"pse_dll_power_type A control variable ou	e tput by the PD power control si /pe connected to the PD as 1 c			resistan Proposed R		Response Sta	atus O		
"pse_dll_power_type A control variable ou indicates the PSE T	tput by the PD power control st					,	atus O	L 13	# 71
"pse_dll_power_type A control variable ou indicates the PSE T	tput by the PD power control si pe connected to the PD as 1 c			Proposed R	esponse SC 33.2.8.4 .	1	-	L 13	# [71
"pse_dll_power_type A control variable ou indicates the PSE T Proposed Response	tput by the PD power control si /pe connected to the PD as 1 c <i>Response Status</i> O			Proposed R	sc 33.2.8.4. ir	1	P 120 Microsemi	L 13	# [<u>71</u>
"pse_dll_power_type A control variable ou indicates the PSE T Proposed Response	tput by the PD power control si /pe connected to the PD as 1 c <i>Response Status</i> O	or 2, see 79.3.2.2	4.1." 	Proposed R CI 33 Darshan, Ya Comment Ty Some u	SC 33.2.8.4. ir <i>pe</i> TR odates are req	1 Comment Sta	P 120 Aicrosemi atus X		# 7 <u>1</u>
"pse_dll_power_type A control variable ou indicates the PSE T Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.3. Darshan, Yair	tput by the PD power control si /pe connected to the PD as 1 c <i>Response Status</i> O 16 P 146	or 2, see 79.3.2.2	4.1." 	CI 33 Darshan, Ya Comment Ty Some u septemt	SC 33.2.8.4. ir //pe TR odates are req per 2016.	1 <i>Comment Sta</i> uired for D2.1 to	P 120 Microsemi atus X resolve issue	es raised during	the discussions at
"pse_dll_power_type A control variable ou indicates the PSE T Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.3. Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR 1. In the exits from I See page 20 at dars meeting.	tput by the PD power control si /pe connected to the PD as 1 c <i>Response Status</i> O 16 <i>P</i> 146 Microsemi	L 40	# 69 # 69 d not pse_power_type. m September 2016	Cl 33 Darshan, Ya Comment Ty Some u septemt 1. Reso 33B-1 to See upo 2. Upda 3. Upda	SC 33.2.8.4. ir /pe TR obates are req ber 2016. ving TDL for c o remove repet lates to PSE-P ting 33B.4 to c ting figure 33B	1 <i>Comment Sta</i> uired for D2.1 to omment #78 D2. ition. See commo D unbalance req	P 120 Microsemi atus X resolve issue 0 (Yair to alig ent 78 in D2.0 guirements in	es raised during on paragraphs al 0) darshan_07_11	the discussions at bove and below Figure
 "pse_dll_power_type A control variable ou indicates the PSE T Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.3. Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR 1. In the exits from E See page 20 at darss meeting. 2. In addition we have 	tput by the PD power control si /pe connected to the PD as 1 c <i>Response Status</i> O 16 <i>P</i> 146 Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X DLL_ENABLE it should be pse_ han_09_0916Rev005.pdf appro-	L 40	# 69 # 69 d not pse_power_type. m September 2016	Cl 33 Darshan, Ya Comment Ty Some u septemt 1. Reso 33B-1 to See upo 2. Upda	SC 33.2.8.4. ir //pe TR obates are req ber 2016. ving TDL for c o remove repet lates to PSE-P ting 33B.4 to c ting figure 33B	1 Comment Sta uired for D2.1 to omment #78 D2. ition. See comm D unbalance req larify its use.	P 120 Microsemi atus X resolve issue 0 (Yair to alig ent 78 in D2.0 guirements in	es raised during on paragraphs al 0) darshan_07_11	the discussions at bove and below Figure
"pse_dll_power_type A control variable ou indicates the PSE T Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.3. Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR 1. In the exits from I See page 20 at dars meeting. 2. In addition we hav SuggestedRemedy	tput by the PD power control si /pe connected to the PD as 1 c <i>Response Status</i> O 16 <i>P</i> 146 Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X DLL_ENABLE it should be pse_ han_09_0916Rev005.pdf appro- re to add the suffix _mode(M) to name in figure 33-33 page 146	<i>L</i> 40 <i>L</i> 40 power_level and oved remedy from o pse_power_lev	# 69 d not pse_power_type. m September 2016 <i>v</i> el.	Cl 33 Darshan, Ya Comment Ty Some u septemt 1. Reso 33B-1 to See upo 2. Upda 3. Upda 4. Other SuggestedFor	SC 33.2.8.4. ir /pe TR odates are req per 2016. ving TDL for c premove repet lates to PSE-P ting 33B.4 to c ting figure 33B issues.	1 <i>Comment Sta</i> uired for D2.1 to omment #78 D2. ition. See commo D unbalance req larify its use. -2 for the locatio	P 120 Microsemi atus X resolve issue 0 (Yair to alig ent 78 in D2.0 guirements in	es raised during on paragraphs al 0) darshan_07_11	the discussions at bove and below Figure

Cl 33 SC 33.2.8.5 Darshan, Yair	P 121 Microsemi	L 37	# 72	CI 33 Darshan, Yair	SC 33.2.8.4	P 119 Microsemi	L 50	# 75
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
Typo in "The range to t It should be "The range				darshan_	16_0916Rev0	uggested remedy (done toge 03.pdf was not implemented 2016 meeting.		
SuggestedRemedy See above.				(See http Please se	://www.ieee80 e darshan_14	02.org/3/bt/public/sep16/dars 4_1116.pdf which is identical	to the one that v	vas approved with
Proposed Response	Response Status O			some edit D2.1.	ing changes f	or the Table/Equation/Page/	Line/ numbers a	nd content to sync wi
				SuggestedRe	medy			
C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.7 Darshan, Yair	P 122 Microsemi	L 35	# 73	the neces	sary editing a	w.ieee802.org/3/bt/public/sep ctions to sync with D2.1 OR 14_1116.pdf which do the ec		
Comment Type ER Missing "PD" in the tex	Comment Status X			Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status O		
5	axisa Type 3 or Type 4 PS	E supplies powe	er to a single-signature					
over 4-pair."	axisa Type 3 or Type 4 PS	E supplies powe	er to a single-signature		SC 3.2.8.7	P 123	L 45	# 76
over 4-pair."	axisa Type 3 or Type 4 PSI	E supplies powe	er to a single-signature	Darshan, Yair		Microsemi	L 45	# 76
over 4-pair." SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The right side vertical	axisa Type 3 or Type 4 PSI axisa Type 3 or Type 4 PSI			Darshan, Yair Comment Typ	e E	Microsemi Comment Status X		L
over 4-pair." SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The right side vertical PD over 4-pair."				Darshan, Yair <i>Comment Typ</i> "The total	e E current at ILI y Equation (33	Microsemi Comment Status X M-2P min operating point du		
over 4-pair." SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The right side vertical PD over 4-pair."	axisa Type 3 or Type 4 PSI			Darshan, Yair <i>Comment Typ</i> "The total defined by	be E current at ILI y Equation (33 and".	Microsemi Comment Status X M-2P min operating point du		L
over 4-pair." SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The right side vertical PD over 4-pair." Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.11	axisa Type 3 or Type 4 PSI <i>Response Status</i> 0			Darshan, Yair Comment Typ "The total defined b Missing "a SuggestedRe Change to "The total	be E current at ILI y Equation (33 and". medy b: current at ILI	Microsemi Comment Status X M-2P min operating point du 3–17)." M-2P min operating point du	ring TLIM-2P mir	n is ILIM_min is
over 4-pair." SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The right side vertical PD over 4-pair." Proposed Response C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.11 Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR	axisa Type 3 or Type 4 PSI Response Status O P142 Microsemi Comment Status X pachine needs some updates.	E supplies powe	er to a single-signature	Darshan, Yair Comment Typ "The total defined b Missing "a SuggestedRe Change to "The total	be E current at ILI y Equation (33 and". <i>medy</i> current at ILI y Equation (33	Microsemi Comment Status X M-2P min operating point du 3–17)." M-2P min operating point du	ring TLIM-2P mir	n is ILIM_min is
over 4-pair." SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The right side vertical PD over 4-pair." Proposed Response C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.11 Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR Dual-signature state m	axisa Type 3 or Type 4 PSI Response Status O P142 Microsemi Comment Status X pachine needs some updates.	E supplies powe	er to a single-signature	Darshan, Yair Comment Typ "The total defined by Missing "a SuggestedRe Change to "The total defined by	be E current at ILI y Equation (33 and". <i>medy</i> current at ILI y Equation (33	Microsemi Comment Status X M-2P min operating point du 3–17)." M-2P min operating point du 3–17)."	ring TLIM-2P mir	n is ILIM_min is
over 4-pair." SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The right side vertical PD over 4-pair." Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.11 Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR Dual-signature state m See darshan_17_1116	axisa Type 3 or Type 4 PSI Response Status O P 142 Microsemi Comment Status X pachine needs some updates. 5.pdf.	E supplies powe	er to a single-signature	Darshan, Yair Comment Typ "The total defined by Missing "a SuggestedRe Change to "The total defined by	be E current at ILI y Equation (33 and". <i>medy</i> current at ILI y Equation (33	Microsemi Comment Status X M-2P min operating point du 3–17)." M-2P min operating point du 3–17)."	ring TLIM-2P mir	n is ILIM_min is

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4 Darshan, Yair	3.11 P 126 Microsemi	L 30	# [77	C/ 33 Darshan, Y	SC 33.3.8 air	P 154 Microsemi	L 42	# 78
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment 7		Comment Status X		
2, 3, 4 lunb require This is incorrect. For practical imple Type 3 and 4 as we For Type 3 and 4, 1 There is no technic	nentations it is recommended th II. unb=0.03*Ipeak-2P_unb. al reason that Type PSEs magne	at Type 1 PSEs etics will have to	support Type 2 and not	The ch "PD Ty (a)May (b)Maro The ch D2.0:	pe ^w column are in 2016, http://www ch 2016, http://ww anges in D2.1 for	2.1 Table 33-31 item 6 IInru icorrect compared to the ba .ieee802.org/3/bt/public/ma w.ieee802.org/3/bt/public/n item 7 were made as a resp	selines approved y16/darshan_01_ nar16/darshan_0 ponse to comme	d on this topic at: _0516_Rev006.pdf 9_0316R6.pdf nt #522 and #523 in
Ibias for any class When working over	be 4 lunb which can be 3 times h s Ibias=lunb/2=0.03*lport/2 when 4-pairs, Ibias=lunb/2=lpeak-2P_ times than what is required for T	n working over 2 _unb*0.03/2ai	•	althoug Lennar	h it was justified l t.	vid Stover was marked as e but not addressed properly as ER, but actually was tecl	and was OBE by	comment #523 from
SuggestedRemedy						nd the remedy was to adopt		
Adopt Darshan_01	_1116.pdf			#523: without	supplying any cle	02.org/3/bt/public/sep16/yse ear rationale.	boodt_09_0916_	_commentsa2p0.pat
Proposed Response	Response Status 0					item 6 were made as a res	ponse to comme	nt #523 in D2.0:
				be imp http://w D1.7 ite D1.8 ite D2.0 is D2.1 be	lemented on May ww.ieee802.org/3 em 6 was implement em 6 was implement identical to D1.8	nst the above baselines sho 2016 due to March 2016 ba 3/bt/public/may16/darshan_ ented correctly. Item 7 was ented correctly. Item 7 was are not according to the ap 0.	aseline 01_0516_Rev006 not. not.	6.pdf:
				http://w		e D2.1 based on the last app 3/bt/public/mar16/darshan_0 ents up to D1.8.		
				have a errors a A later "assign to Clas be edit Here is A Type demote AND N inrush What if In this o	value for the PD and it turned to be argument made to led class" so A Ty s 6, it is still a Typorial change anyn the problem. 4 SS PD connect ad to Class 6, it is OT inrush values circuitry as function A Type 4 SS PD case regardless o	with Lennart he thought tha Type) but he didn't check th e a major technical change i by Lennart of why he propos ype 4 SS PD will request Cla be 4 PD." This argument is the nore). ted to Type 4 PSE will _req still a Type 4 PD and hence of class 6 because PD can on of classit can't work connected to Type 2 PSE? f the PD inrush needs, The not work due to linrush and a	e baseline so on n D2.1. sed this change v ass 7 or 8, but if i technically incorr uest_Class 7 or e still need Inrush 't change its inpu , PSE can supply	e error led to more vas "that this is the it gets power demoted ect (any how it can't 8, but if it gets power n values of class 7-8 it capacitance and only 0.4A to 0.45A.
	uired ER/editorial required GR/ Vdispatched A/accepted R/reje				U/unsatisfied Z/		ent ID 78	Page 17 of 62 10/24/2016 11:33:

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

10/24/2016 11:33:01 A

not important if it is the assigned class or the advertised class.

As a result, we need to restore the types that we have in the approved base line from May 2016 with the approved comments up to D1.8.

In addition in order to prevent confusion, we may need to consider changing the title of item 6:

From:

" Input inrush current as function of the assigned Class, when the PD is limiting the current during the inrush period per 33.3.8.3."

To:

"Input inrush current when the PD is limiting the current during the inrush period per 33.3.8.3."

The same issues with Item 7 linrush-2P.

This will prevent the confusion that the assigned class affect PD linrush requirements. The main problems that I see resulting from the changes in D2.1 in Table 33-31 items 6 and 7 are:

1. First implement the approved baseline from May 2016. We can start the discussion from this point again.

2. PD can't change its linrush, Inrush-2P requirements as a function of its assigned class. PD linrush and Inrush-2P are designed per the advertised class. PD can't switch Input capacitors and Inrush circuitry.

3. One undesired outcome from the changes in D2.1 that says that Type 7,8 PDs can have assigned class 0-6 is that it opens the door to Type 4 PDs that are only permitted to be class 7 and 8, to be designed for lower classes than class 7 and work only at lower classes. It doesn't mean that PD can't work with reduced power mode when there is no class 7-8 available power but this feature has nothing to do with the assigned class feature that is not relevant to linrush function.

SuggestedRemedy

Adopt darshan_18_1116.pdf.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 33	SC 33.3.8	P 154	L 42	# 79
Darshan, Yai	r	Microsemi		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

(Resubmitting comment #522 from David Stover so we can address it properly.) (I am not resubmitting #523 from Lennart due to the fact that the comment and remedy was based on the assumption that it is editorial and as a result was not discussed at all and rationale was not supplied for the change. We can address it by my comment marked "linrush_mess")

Table 33-31 item 6 Ilnrush_PD class 0-6: The PD Type is "ALL" but it need to be "1,2,3" since Class 6 is only valid in Type 3 PD and not Type 4.

SuggestedRemedy

Table 33-31 item 6 Ilnrush_PD class 0-6:

1. Change "PD Type" from "ALL" to "1,2,3".

2. Group to discuss if linrush and linrush-2P need to be a function of the assigned class or not. There are issues with this concept. See darshan_18_1116.pdf.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Cl 33 SC 33.2.8 Darshan, Yair	P 114 Microsemi	L 16	# 80	C/ 33 Darshan,	SC 33.2.8 Yair	P 114 Microsemi	L 30	# 81
Comment Type TR Table 33-19, item 6, ' POWER_UP state as The "assigned class" the information of the Example 1: PSE Type 4 that dete suitable to class 8 du doesn't change the P Inrush matters. Example 2: A Type 4 SS PD com In this case regardles So the PD may or mat	Comment Status X Total output current of both pais function of assigned Class". is irrelevant here due to the face PD capability to consume linnu ct single-signature class 8 need e to the fact that if the assigned D inrush circuitry (including its of nected to Type 2 PSE. s of the PD inrush needs, The ny not work due to linnush and a le assigned class or the adverti	et that the PD and ish and not the d to supply the d class in this ca capacitance)and PSE can supply also due to not s	dvertised class contain assigned class. Inrush current that ase will be e.g. 6, it d it remains class 8 for y only 0.4A to 0.45A.	Comment Table assig The " the in Exam PSE suitat doesr Inrusl Exam A Typ In this So th not in	t Type TR a 33-19, item 7, "oned Class". assigned class" if formation of the aple 1: Type 4 that detection ble to class 8 due of t change the PI h matters. aple 2: be 4 SS PD conno s case regardless e PD may or maining the the the tagget the tagget the tagget the tagget tagget ta	Comment Status X Dutput current per pairset in the s irrelevant here due to the face PD capability to consume linned of the fact that if the assigned of the fact that if the assigned of inrush circuitry (including its ected to Type 2 PSE. s of the PD inrush needs, The y not work due to linrush and a e assigned class or the advert	ct that the PD a ush-2P and not ed to supply the d class in this ca capacitance)an PSE can supply also due to not	dvertised class contain the assigned class. Inrush current that ase will be e.g. 6, it d it remains class 8 for y only 0.4A to 0.45A.
OR 2. Group to find good	gestedRemedy 1. Change to: "Total output current of both pairsets of the same polarity in the POWER_UP state". OR 2. Group to find good technical arguments why to keep it as it is and review case by case i.e. for each PSE class and Type.			1. Ch "Outp OR 2. Gru i.e. fo	ange to: out current per pa	irset in the POWER_UP state technical arguments why to ke s and Type. <i>Response Status</i> O		d review case by case
				Shou	tType E	2 P 89 Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X be 3 an Type 4 state diagrams	L 1 .".	# 82

Change to: Typo in "33.2.5.12 Type 3 and Type 4 state diagrams".

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

CI 33FRO SC 33.3.3.1	6 <i>P</i> 146	L 13	# 83		C 33.1.3	P 54	L 16	# 85
Darshan, Yair	Microsemi			Jones, Chad		Cisco		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type	ER	Comment Status X		
can be simplified (as c conditions from MDI_F (pse_power_level_mo To: ((pse_power_level >1))*tpowerdly_timer_ 2. Now the MDI_POW	POWER1 state to MDI_POWE done for the single-signature P POWER1 to MDI_POWER_DL de(M) > 3) + (pse_dll_power_t _mode(M) > 3) + (pse_dll_pow done_mode(M) ER_DLY state and the exit fro ctly connected to MDI_POWE	D state machine Y from: ype >1) wer_type m it can be dele	e) by replacing the exit	(MR1278). That comm moved to a but not lpor 33.2.5.4 - b current. Her	ent called f n appropia t. In fact, if before it is c re Iport-2P e definition	for Iport, Vpd and Vpse to be te section, suggesting 33.1.3. you search the doc, Iport doe lefined. This appearance doe is defined but after having be for Iport not get added to 33.1	reomved from th Vpd and Vpse n ens't make an ap s point to 33.2.8. en used nearly 3	e definitions and ow appear in 33.1.3 pearance until 6, which is overload
uggestedRemedy				add the def	inition for l	port (Iport-2P) to 33.1.3.		
To adopt the proposal See SM drawing darsh	above. nan_16_1116.pdf for the propo	osed changes.		Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							
				C/ 33 SC	C 33.2.7	P 107	L 10	# 86
CI 79 SC 79	P 223	L 6	# 84	Jones, Chad		Cisco		
Darshan, Yair	Microsemi			Comment Type		Comment Status X		
signature.	Comment Status X	-	-	results in th you can loo class 0. I ge	he third. Thi ok at row 2 et that this	and 5 have the same criteria i is is truly two solutions for the or row 5, provide only one cla is there for legacy Type 1 dev isn't very clear.	same problem. ss even and ther	If you are a class 4, assign class 3 or
	nformation through physical lay sting TLV information or by otl		er it is not sure that the	SuggestedRem	ledy			
SuggestedRemedy	in darshan_12_1116.pdf			Step 2: mov it look like 'z Step 3: moo	ve the supe zero square dify note 2	below row 5. erscript 2 in column 4 to colun ed', consider making just this from "Only applies to Type 1	cell say 'Class 0' and Type 2 PSE	s." to "Only applies to
See proposed remedy Proposed Response	Response Status O				ne PSE cla	Es. Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs ass event are required to assin		

CI 33	SC 33.2.7	P 108	L 10	# 87
Jones, Cl	had	Cisco		

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

a sentence was added and broke up the paragraph flow. I want to reorder the sentences. Data Link Layer classification takes precedence over Physical Layer classification. After a successful DLL classification, the assigned Class changes depending on the value of the PSEAllocatedPowerValue variable, as defined in Table 33–15. The Physical Layer classification of the PD is the maximum power that the PD draws across all output voltages and operational modes.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

change to: Data Link Layer classification takes precedence over Physical Layer classification. The Physical Layer classification of the PD is the maximum power that the PD draws across all output voltages and operational modes. After a successful DLL classification, the assigned Class changes depending on the value of the PSEAllocatedPowerValue variable, as defined in Table 33–15.

CI 33	SC 33.2.7	P 108	L 10	# 88
Jones, C	had	Cisco		

Response Status **O**

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

I want it to be perfectly clear that the PD is required to advertise it's maximum class and cannot request more power via LLDP than was requested via Layer 1.

SuggestedRemedy

change: "Data Link Layer classification takes precedence over Physical Layer classification."

to: "Data Link Layer classification takes precedence over Physical Layer classification but can never be more than requested over Physical Layer classification."

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 33	SC 33.2.7.2	P 110	L 13	# 89
Jones, Ch	ad	Cisco		

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

the sentence: "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs may issue a class reset event to perform mutual identification." leaves out the reason why one might do this.

SuggestedRemedy

add this sentence at the end of the paragraph (line 14): "This behavior is allowed because it takes three class events to discover a DS PD. The PSE may have progressed to this point only having Type 1 power available and will need to reset and start classification over with the knowledge that they are probing a DS PD."

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 33	SC 33.2.7.3	P 112	L 36	# 90
Jones, Cł	nad	Cisco		

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

the sentence: "If the PSE implements Autoclass and the connected PD requests Autoclass during classification," is missing pointers to help the reader understand what we are saying.

SuggestedRemedy

change to: "If the PSE implements Autoclass and the connected PD requests Autoclass during classification (see 33.3.6.3 and CLASS_EV1_AUTO in 33.2.7.2),"

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 33	SC 33.3.6.3	P 153	L 5	# 91
Jones, Ch	nad	Cisco		

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

need a pointer back to PSE autoclass section after the first paragraph in 33.3.6.3

SuggestedRemedy

add "see 33.2.7.3" at the end of the first paragraph in 33.3.6.3

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 33	SC 33.2.8.2	P 117	L 30	# 92	CI 33	SC 33.3.6.1	P 150
Jones, Ch	ad	Cisco			Jones, Ch	ad	Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status X

the note need punctiation to make it easier to read: "NOTE—The occurrence of voltage transients lasting more than 250 μs or voltage steps of significant amplitude (within the VPort_PSE-2P specification) should be limited to rare circumstances such as those involving switchover of backup power supplies to ensure system robustness or those involving significant change in current demand on the PSE power supply due to a large load step spread over multiple powered ports."

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

change to: "NOTE—The occurrence of voltage transients lasting more than 250 µs or voltage steps of significant amplitude (within the VPort_PSE-2P specification) should be limited to rare circumstances such as: those involving switchover of backup power supplies to ensure system robustness or, those involving significant change in current demand on the PSE power supply due to a large load step spread over multiple powered ports."

C/ 33	SC 33.3.6	P 149	L 35	# 93
Jones. C	had	Cisco		

Response Status **O**

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

The PD class section is weak on the statement that a PD may not request more power via LLDP than was requested on the physical layer. Yes it is stated on line page 49 line 5 and line 32, but it is vague.

SuggestedRemedy

after this sentence on line 35: "After a successful DLL classification, the assigned Class changes depending on the value of 35 PDMaxPowerValue variable, as defined in Table 33–25."

add: "DLL classification cannot be used to negotiate to a higher class than the one requested by physical layer classification."

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

CI 33	SC 33.3.6.1	P 150	L 21	# 94
Jones, Ch	nad	Cisco		

Comment Type E Comment Status X

the sentence: "Type 1 PDs may choose to implement a Multiple-Event class signature and return Class 0, 1, 2, or 3 in accordance with the maximum power draw, PClass_PD." is a weird statement. What does a PSE or PD gain by performing multievent class using only 0,1,2, or 3?

SuggestedRemedy

is this here simply to allow a Type 1 PD to set pd_2-event to TRUE (and therefore keeping the SD less complex?) if so, can we say that here to give a clue why the sentence exists? Add: "Type 1 PDs are allowed to set pd_2-event to TRUE." after the first sentence in the paragraph on page 150, line 21.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 33	SC 33.3.8.6	P 162	L 48	# 95
Jones, C	had	Cisco		
<u></u>		0		

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"PClass_PD max" is not a constant in this standard. It is stated in MANY places that PClass_PD IS THE MAXIMUM... if you look at T33-31, PPort_PD MAX = PClass_PD. Perhaps you mean for this to say PPort_PD Max?

SuggestedRemedy

lines 48 and 52, replace Pclass_PD max with Pport_PD MAX, two places. Also page 163, lines 3 and 6, replace Pclass_PD-2P max with Pport_PD-2P MAX, two places.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

CI 33	SC 33.3.8.6	P 162	L 48	# 96
Jones, Cha	ad	Cisco		

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

How can a Type 2 PD exceed "PClass_PD max" (see other comment to replace this with PPort_PD Max)? the only exception is listed in 33.3.8.2.1 and it is only for Class 6 and Class 8.

SuggestedRemedy

Move Type 2 to be included in the Type 1 sentence. Add 'see 33.3.8.2.1' to the Type 3 and Type 4 statements on lines 48 and 52. Also add 'see 33.3.8.2.1 to the Type 3 and Type 4 DS stuff on page 163 lines 3 and 6.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 33C SC 33C									
	P 256	L 53	# 97	CI 79	SC 79.3.8	.1	P 227	L 17	# 100
Jones, Chad	Cisco			Jones, Cha	d	C	Cisco		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment T	ype TR	Comment St	atus X		
include the explanatio	rg/3/bt/public/may16/yseboodt		lass4.pdf but did not	valid va PD Suggestedł		PD voltage measur	ement is 1 th	nrough 65000? T	his implies 65V at the
We should add that, c	or remove the numbers.				65000 to 57	000			
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed F		Response Sta			
	02.org/3/bt/public/may16/yseb eriods 1 thru 8 and add to the		utoclass4.pdf to get	T TOPOSCU T	coponac	Response Sta			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			CI 79	SC 79.3.8	2	P 228	L 42	# 101
				Jones, Cha			Cisco		
C/ 33 SC 33.3.1	P 131	L 11	# 98	Comment 7	vpe TR	Comment St	atus X		
Jones, Chad	Cisco					PSE voltage measu	urement is 1	through 65000?	This implies 65V at the
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			PSE PI					
	nd any voltage from 0 V to 57	V at the PI indefi	initely without	Suggested	Remedy				
	we know this sentence had pr	roblems and we'v	e tried to fix it. I have	change	65000 to 57	000			
one more stab at it in	the suggested remedy.			Proposed F	esponse	Response Sta	atus O		
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed F	esponse	Response Sta	atus O		
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh	the suggested remedy. nall withstand any voltage from able 33-4 at the PI indefinitely			Proposed F	Cesponse	•	P 147	L8	# 102
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh permitted pinouts in T	all withstand any voltage from				SC 33.3.4			L 8	# 102
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh permitted pinouts in T	nall withstand any voltage from able 33-4 at the PI indefinitely			<i>Cl</i> 33 Jones, Cha	SC 33.3.4		P 147 Cisco	L 8	# [102
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh permitted pinouts in T Proposed Response	all withstand any voltage from able 33-4 at the PI indefinitely <i>Response Status</i> O	/ without permane	ent damage.	Cl 33 Jones, Cha Comment T	SC 33.3.4 d ype TR	Comment St	P 147 Disco atus X		
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh permitted pinouts in T Proposed Response Cl 00 SC 0	all withstand any voltage from able 33-4 at the PI indefinitely <i>Response Status</i> O			CI 33 Jones, Cha Comment T I feel ve ability te	SC 33.3.4 d ype TR ery strongly t	<i>Comment St</i> hat we sold the forr closs. We went one	P 147 Disco atus X nation of this e step further	s standard based and promised th	on efficiency and the ne WG that we would
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh permitted pinouts in T Proposed Response Cl 00 SC 0 Jones, Chad	all withstand any voltage from Table 33-4 at the PI indefinitely Response Status O P1 Cisco	/ without permane	ent damage.	CI 33 Jones, Cha Comment T I feel ve ability tu not rais	SC 33.3.4 d ype TR ery strongly t b lower cable e the power	<i>Comment St</i> nat we sold the forr loss. We went one allowed over a 2P s	P 147 Disco atus X nation of this e step further system above	s standard based and promised the a 30W. And then	on efficiency and the ne WG that we would the Dual Signature PD
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh permitted pinouts in T Proposed Response CI 00 SC 0 Jones, Chad Comment Type T	all withstand any voltage from Table 33-4 at the PI indefinitely <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 1 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> X	v without permane	# <u>99</u>	CI 33 Jones, Cha Comment 7 I feel ve ability tr not rais was use	SC 33.3.4 d ype TR ery strongly t o lower cable e the power ed as a troja	<i>Comment St</i> hat we sold the form loss. We went one allowed over a 2P s horse to sneak th	P 147 Disco atus X nation of this e step further system above is ability into	s standard based r and promised the e 30W. And then the standard. Th	on efficiency and the ne WG that we would
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh permitted pinouts in T Proposed Response Cl 00 SC 0 Jones, Chad Comment Type T Within 802.3 it is obvi	all withstand any voltage from able 33-4 at the PI indefinitely <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 1 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> X ous that when numeric values	L 1	# 99 # 99	Cl 33 Jones, Cha Comment 7 I feel ve ability t not rais was us text tha than Ty	SC 33.3.4 d ype TR ry strongly t o lower cable e the power ed as a troja t states that pe 2 power.	<i>Comment St</i> hat we sold the form loss. We went one allowed over a 2P s n horse to sneak th a DS PD that draws I am resolute that a	P 147 Cisco atus X nation of this e step further system above is ability into s power only a PD that war	s standard based r and promised th e 30W. And then the standard. Th from one pairset nts more than 30	on efficiency and the ne WG that we would n the Dual Signature PD nere is not one piece of t must not draw more W shall do so using
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh permitted pinouts in T Proposed Response Cl 00 SC 0 Jones, Chad Comment Type T Within 802.3 it is obvi	all withstand any voltage from Table 33-4 at the PI indefinitely <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 1 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> X	L 1	# 99 # 99	Cl 33 Jones, Cha Comment 7 I feel ve ability te not rais was use text tha than Ty 4P. Pre	SC 33.3.4 d ype TR ery strongly t o lower cable e the power ed as a troja t states that pe 2 power. sently, the o	<i>Comment St</i> hat we sold the form allowed over a 2P s h horse to sneak th a DS PD that draws I am resolute that a nly penalty for a de	P 147 Cisco atus X nation of this e step further system above is ability into s power only a PD that war signer that w	s standard based r and promised th e 30W. And then the standard. Th from one pairsed nts more than 30 vants more than 3	on efficiency and the ne WG that we would the Dual Signature PD nere is not one piece of t must not draw more W shall do so using 30W but doesn't want
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh permitted pinouts in T Proposed Response Cl 00 SC 0 Jones, Chad Comment Type T Within 802.3 it is obvi management objects, no need to state that. What is needed is a d	all withstand any voltage from Table 33-4 at the PI indefinitely <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 1 <i>Cisco</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X ous that when numeric values binary encoding is used. It is description of what is being trace	L 1	# 99 or accessed through the standard. There is ts.	Cl 33 Jones, Cha Comment 7 I feel ve ability te not rais was use text tha than Ty 4P. Pre to imple	SC 33.3.4 d ype TR ery strongly t o lower cable e the power ed as a troja t states that pe 2 power. sently, the o ement a 4P o	<i>Comment St</i> hat we sold the form loss. We went one allowed over a 2P s n horse to sneak th a DS PD that draws I am resolute that a	P 147 Cisco atus X nation of this e step further system above is ability into s power only a PD that war signer that war vave to have	s standard based r and promised th e 30W. And then the standard. Th from one pairsed nts more than 30 vants more than 3 a valid detection	on efficiency and the ne WG that we would the Dual Signature PD nere is not one piece of t must not draw more WW shall do so using 30W but doesn't want signature on the
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh permitted pinouts in T Proposed Response Cl 00 SC 0 Jones, Chad Comment Type T Within 802.3 it is obvi management objects, no need to state that. What is needed is a d	all withstand any voltage from Table 33-4 at the PI indefinitely <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 1 <i>Cisco</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X ous that when numeric values binary encoding is used. It is	L 1	# 99 or accessed through the standard. There is ts.	Cl 33 Jones, Cha Comment 7 I feel ve ability te not rais was use text tha than Ty 4P. Pre to imple	SC 33.3.4 d ype TR ery strongly t o lower cable e the power ed as a troja t states that pe 2 power. sently, the o ement a 4P o ered pair. Th	<i>Comment St</i> hat we sold the form allowed over a 2P s allowed over a 2P s horse to sneak th a DS PD that draws I am resolute that a nly penalty for a de lesign is that they h	P 147 Cisco atus X nation of this e step further system above is ability into s power only a PD that war signer that war vave to have	s standard based r and promised th e 30W. And then the standard. Th from one pairsed nts more than 30 vants more than 3 a valid detection	on efficiency and the ne WG that we would the Dual Signature PD nere is not one piece of t must not draw more WW shall do so using 30W but doesn't want signature on the
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh permitted pinouts in T Proposed Response C/ 00 SC 0 Jones, Chad Comment Type T Within 802.3 it is obvi management objects, no need to state that. What is needed is a d This is a comment to 67.	all withstand any voltage from Table 33-4 at the PI indefinitely <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 1 <i>Cisco</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X ous that when numeric values binary encoding is used. It is description of what is being trace	L 1	# 99 or accessed through the standard. There is ts.	Cl 33 Jones, Cha Comment 7 I feel ve ability tr not rais was use text tha than Ty 4P. Pre to imple unpower Suggested add the	SC 33.3.4 d ype TR ery strongly t b lower cable e the power ed as a troja t states that pe 2 power. sently, the o ement a 4P o ered pair. Th Remedy se sentence	<i>Comment St</i> hat we sold the form e loss. We went one allowed over a 2P s in horse to sneak th a DS PD that draws I am resolute that a nly penalty for a de lesign is that they h s is not much of an s to the end of para	P 147 Cisco atus X nation of this e step further system above is ability into s power only a PD that was signer that was signer that was impediment	e standard based r and promised th e 30W. And then the standard. Th from one pairsed nts more than 30 vants more than 3 a valid detection to misbehavior.	on efficiency and the ne WG that we would the Dual Signature PE nere is not one piece of t must not draw more W shall do so using 30W but doesn't want signature on the 8): A Type 4 dual-
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh permitted pinouts in T Proposed Response Cl 00 SC 0 Jones, Chad Comment Type T Within 802.3 it is obvir management objects, no need to state that. What is needed is a d This is a comment to 67. SuggestedRemedy	all withstand any voltage from Table 33-4 at the PI indefinitely <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 1 <i>Cisco</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X ous that when numeric values , binary encoding is used. It is description of what is being tra- address my TDL items from D	L 1 L 1 are transmitted of pervasive across smitted by the bit 02.0, specifically of	# 99 bor accessed through s the standard. There is ts. comments 63, 64, and	Cl 33 Jones, Cha Comment 7 I feel ve ability tr not rais was use text tha than Ty 4P. Pre to imple unpowe Suggested/ add the signatu	SC 33.3.4 d ype TR ery strongly t o lower cable e the power ed as a troja t states that pe 2 power. sently, the o ement a 4P o red pair. Th Remedy se sentence re PD that is	<i>Comment St</i> hat we sold the form loss. We went one allowed over a 2P s n horse to sneak th a DS PD that draws I am resolute that a nly penalty for a de lesign is that they h s is not much of an s to the end of para powered over only	P 147 Disco atus X nation of this e step further system above is ability into s power only a PD that was signer that was signer that was ave to have impediment	e standard based r and promised th e 30W. And then the standard. Th from one pairset nts more than 30 vants more than 30 vants more than 30 vants more than 30 vants detection to misbehavior.	on efficiency and the ne WG that we would the Dual Signature PD nere is not one piece of t must not draw more W shall do so using 30W but doesn't want signature on the 8): A Type 4 dual- class 4 power from that
SuggestedRemedy change to: The PD sh permitted pinouts in T Proposed Response Cl 00 SC 0 Jones, Chad Comment Type T Within 802.3 it is obvis management objects, no need to state that. What is needed is a d This is a comment to 67. SuggestedRemedy	all withstand any voltage from Table 33-4 at the PI indefinitely <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 1 <i>Cisco</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X ous that when numeric values binary encoding is used. It is description of what is being trace	L 1 L 1 are transmitted of pervasive across smitted by the bit 02.0, specifically of	# 99 bor accessed through the standard. There is ts. comments 63, 64, and	Cl 33 Jones, Cha Comment 7 I feel ve ability tr not rais was use text tha than Ty 4P. Pre to imple unpowe Suggested/ add the signatu pairset	SC 33.3.4 d ype TR ery strongly t o lower cable e the power ed as a troja t states that pe 2 power. sently, the o ement a 4P o red pair. Th Remedy se sentence re PD that is until it is pow	<i>Comment St</i> hat we sold the form loss. We went one allowed over a 2P s n horse to sneak th a DS PD that draws I am resolute that a nly penalty for a de lesign is that they h s is not much of an s to the end of para powered over only	P 147 Disco atus X nation of this e step further system above is ability into s power only a PD that was signer that was signer that was ave to have impediment	e standard based r and promised th e 30W. And then the standard. Th from one pairset nts more than 30 vants more than 30 vants more than 30 vants more than 30 vants detection to misbehavior.	on efficiency and the ne WG that we would the Dual Signature PE nere is not one piece of t must not draw more W shall do so using 30W but doesn't want signature on the 8): A Type 4 dual-

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 102

Page 23 of 62 10/24/2016 11:33:01 A

<u> </u>	SC 00 0 C		D.400	1.00	# [100	01.00	00.0		Doct		# 400
<i>CI</i> 33 Jones, Chad	SC 33.3.2		P 132 Cisco	L 26	# 103	C/ 33 Lukacs, M	SC 3	30.1	P 251 Silicon Labs	L 14	# 106
,		Comment S				Comment		TD	Comment Status X		
unreada making t Class 0- one of th POWER	thate the end able specs I hav this it's own cla 8 but no where he main things Red device. Thi	users of our do ve ever seen (c ause, but I digre e do we tell the a person will w is information d	ocument becau only further cer ess). Here we m what that m vant to know w loesn't come u	nents that we me introduce the con eans in terms of p hen they are look	cept of Type 1-4 and bower - which I think is ing at specs for a least be nice and tell	The te variab PD is <i>Suggestec</i> Classi	ext and fi les class detected dRemedy fication o	ification I. ⁄ can optio	ggest at multiple places that the must be done in parallel on b nally be done staggered also redies for comments against <i>i</i>	oth alternative for dual signat	s when dual-signature
SuggestedR	Remedy					Proposed	Respons	se	Response Status 0		
				pargraph: For mo Table 33-27 and	re information about Table 33-28.						
Proposed Re	esponse	Response S	tatus O			<i>CI</i> 33 Lukacs, M	SC 3 iklos	3C.1	P 251 Silicon Labs	L 14	# 107
						Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
<i>Cl</i> 30 Jones, Chad	SC 30.12.2.1		P 36 Cisco	L 16	# 104	The fig		ggests a	t multiple places that Power C	On must be doi	ne in parallel on both
Comment Ty	ype ER	Comment S	Status X			Suggested	dRemedy	/			
clicking ⁻ 79-7c	Table 79-7f tak	kes me to Table	e 79-7b. Likew	ise for Table 79-7	g on 41 takes me to				an be implemented. edies for comments against <i>i</i>	Annex 33C"	
SuggestedR	Remedy					Proposed	Respons	se	Response Status O		
) change 79-7f 2 change 79-7g									
Proposed Re	esponse	Response S	tatus O			CI 33 Picard, Je		3.3.3.12	P 144 Texas Instrum	L7 ents	# 108
<i>CI</i> 33 Lukacs, Mikl	SC 33C.2		P 255 Silicon Labs	L 20	# [105	Comment VPD_ Suggested	mode(M		Comment Status X ed, but VPD(M) is used instea	ad in the SD of	figure 33-33.
Comment Ty Figure 3		<i>Comment</i> S g TCLE1 label a		lone for Figure 33	C-13	00	e instead	VPD(M)	Response Status O		
SuggestedR						i ioposed	Respons				
See pres	sentation "Rem	nedies for comr	ments against	Annex 33C"							
	esponse	Response S									

CI 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 89	L 4	# 109	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5			# 112
Picard, Jean Texas Instrum	nents		Schindler, Fred	Seen	Simply, Cisco, T	
Comment Type TR Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status	X	
The "A" input condition to Idle block has disappeare SuggestedRemedy Put back the "A" entry point to Idle block. Proposed Response Response Status O	d.		do not match for the page 105 line 21. Lu Alternative B (see 3 as defined in Table 3 the tdbo_timer interv	gram (page 72) and the behavior for the proces egacy text indicates, "If a 3.2.4) determines that th 33–12, it may optionally /al." The state diagrams ature is open_circuit whi	sing time of the tdbo_tir a PSE that is performing the impedance at the PI is consider the link to be of require that all PSE typ	ner cover in text on g detection using s greater than Ropen open circuit and omit es skip the BACKOFF
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 89	L 49	# 110	SuggestedRemedy			
Picard, Jean Texas Instrun	-	" 110		rides text. Change the		
Comment Type TR Comment Status X tdet_timer_done exit path is missing.			Alternative B (see 33 as defined in Table 3	out text with, "When a P 3.2.4) determines that th 33–12, it is recommend	ne impedance at the PI i that Type 1 or Type 2 P	s greater than Ropen SEs omitted the the
SuggestedRemedy			—	while Type 3 and Type 4		bo_timer interval."
Put back the tdet_timer_done path from START_C	N_CHK DETE	CT to IDLE block.	Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
Proposed Response Response Status O						
, , .			C/ 33 SC 33.2.5	.7 P7:	3 L 14	# 113
			Schindler, Fred	Seen	Simply, Cisco, T	
# 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 99 icard, Jean Texas Instrum	L 21 nents	# 111	Comment Type ER The symbols [] have	<i>Comment Status</i> e no meaning in state di		eplaced by ().
<i>comment Type</i> ER <i>Comment Status</i> X The exit condition from CLASS_EV3_SEC to K is not	ot edited correc	tly and is unreadable	SuggestedRemedy Use () in the state (diagram.		
SuggestedRemedy Correct the editing to avoid the text overlapping ove	r the CLASS_E	V3_SEC block.	Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
Proposed Response Response Status O						
			C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Schindler, Fred	P 1	06 <i>L</i> 9 Simply, Cisco, T	# 114
				Comment Status		
			Comment Type TR	ne assigned Class is the		ested Class and the
			number of class eve	ents produced by the PS operations may alter the	E as shown in Table 33	-13 and Table 33-14."
			SuggestedRemedy			
			requested Class and	ced sentence with, "The d the number of class ev –14 or operations perfor	vents produced by the P	SE as shown in Table
			Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
YPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/	general require	d T/technical E/editorial G/	peneral		Comment ID 114	Page 25 of 6

Comment ID 114

Page 25 of 62 10/24/2016 11:33:01 A

Comment Type TR Comment Status X Existing text, "If the PD connected to the PSE performs Autoclass (see 33.2.7.3 and 33.3.6.3), the PSE may set its minimum supported output power based on PAutoclass,"	Schindler, Fred Seen Simply, Cisco, T Comment Type TR Comment Status X
Existing text, "If the PD connected to the PSE performs Autoclass (see 33.2.7.3 and	
and the Type 3 and 4 PSE state diagram do not provide the behavior that determines pse_available_pwr, which is used to determine the power provided to the PD. Similarly I do not see where autoclassification takes place and how the system adjusts the PSEAllocatedPowerValue.	Existing text, "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs may issue a class reset event to perform mutual identification." does not provide details on what a class reset is or does. The Type 3 and PSE state diagram does not provide this behavior. Timing details related to Tpon may be missing SuggestedRemedy This solution accurate DSE classification of a circle circle circle content.
uggestedRemedy	This solution assumes PSE classification of a single signature PD.
The subject matter expert (Lennart) tackling D2.0 comments 232, and 476, could solve determining pse_available_pwr, by modifying function do_autoclassification to set this value." The other missing behavior will likely be completed to close the D2.0 TDL comments. This comment should not be considered satisfied until the deficient behavior is provided.	Modify the reference by appending, the sentence, "A class reset event causes classification to enter CLASS_EV1_LCE." Add an entry into CLASS_EV1_LCE with the condition "pse_class_reset". On page 81 add the new definition, "pse_class_reset An implementation-specific means of repeating classification, see 33.3.7.2.
oposed Response Response Status O	FALSE: Do not permit entry into PD classification (default). TRUE: Permit entry into PD classification."
33 SC 33.2.7 P 108 L 11 # 116 chindler, Fred Seen Simply, Cisco, T 116	Add operation "pse_class_reset <= FALSE" within state CLASS_EV1_LCE.
TR Comment Status X The existing text, "The Physical Layer classification of the PD is the maximum power that the PD draws across all output voltages and operational modes." Should be clarified to allow, already agreed upon operational states where a power limited PSE stops its physical layer classification at a point within its budget (page 106, line 11). After this point, the PSE	Tpon requirements if the existing timing cannot be met (i.e. class done twice and power needs to be on within Tpon). Proposed Response Response Status O
may have its budget increase, due to a system power budget change, and use DLL to move the previously power constrained PSE port to a higher power level. The upper power	C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.10 P 141 L 28 # 118
level is limited by what the PD will request using physical layer classification if the PSE	Schindler, Fred Seen Simply, Cisco, T
uses all classification events allowed.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
The requested Class of a PD is not measurable (page 149, Line 30), was not used in the following solution because the requested Class of a PD may not result in the desired class value, see a related comment marked COMMENT-1.	The Type 3 and 4 Single Signature PD state diagram prevents DLL from increasing power demand when the PSE power budget has increased. This occurs because the variable pse_power_level and pd_req_class is not changed when the PDMaxPowerValue is increased.
IggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Replace the called out sentence with, "The Physical Layer classification value of the PD is the maximum power that the PD draws across all output voltages and operational modes before DLL is utilized. The Physical Layer classification value of the PD by a PSE with no budget power budget limitation is the maximum power that the PD draws across all output voltages and operational modes."	On page 150 modify the second column of Table 33-25 from "Assigned Class" to " Assigned Class pse_power_level pd_req_class"
operational modes."	Proposed Response Response Status O
oposed Response Response Status O	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 33 SC 33.3.6	P 149 L 6	# 119	C/ 33 SC 33.3.6	P 149 L 30	# 120
Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply, Cisco, T		Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply, Cisco, T	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The existing text, "The Class advertised by the PD during Physical Layer classification is the maximum power that a Type 3 or Type 4 PD shall draw." Should be clarified to allow, already agreed upon operational states where a power limited PSE stops its physical layer classification at a point within its budget (page 106, line 11). After this point, the PSE may have its budget increase, due to a system power budget change, and use DLL to move the previously power constrained PSE port to a higher power level. The upper power level is limited by what the PD will request using physical layer classification if the PSE uses all classification events allowed.

The advertised Class of a PD is not defined and is not used in the OPTION-1 solution. See a related comment marked COMMENT-2 for details related to OPTION-2 solution.

SuggestedRemedy

OPTION-1:

Replace the called out sentence with,

"The Class advertised by the PD during Physical Layer classification is the maximum power that a Type 3 or Type 4 PD shall draw before DLL is utilized. A Type 3 or Type 4 PD shall draw no more than the Class advertised by the PD during Physical Layer classification when classification probed by a Type-4 PSE that has no power budget limitation. "

OPTION-2: (if COMMENT-2 is accepted, and preferred) No change to the text called out in this comment.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The existing text, "The requested Class of the PD is the amount of power the PD requests from the PSE, as defined in 33.3.6.1 and 33.3.6.2." is not always measurable. For example, a PD that requests class 8 from a PSE only supporting a class-4 power budget would results in class events 4, 4, which would provide requested class-4. If the PSE can support class-5 then another event would occur resulting in events 4, 4, 3, which could be a result from a PD requesting class 8 or from something else that may result in an unexpected series of class values (see page 136, pd_req_class). The PSE does not know the real PD requested class value because the PSE power budget limits how many events the PSE produces. This understanding does not change system operation but should be pointed out to the reader. The existing text should also be expressed better. Is there a real benefit making pd_req_class 8, for this case, rather than 5? Was that even the intent?

SuggestedRemedy

OPTION-1:

Replace the called-out text with, "The requested Class of the PD is the highest class a PSE establishes, as defined in 33.3.6.1 and 33.3.6.2. The PSE classification events produced are limited by the PSE power budget. The requested Class of the PD provided may assume that the last class value will repeat if probed for the maximum number of class event times possible for a full-powered PSE."

OPTION-2: (preferred)

Replace the called-out text with, "The requested Class of the PD is the highest class a PSE establishes, as defined in 33.3.6.1 and 33.3.6.2. The PSE classification events produced are limited by the PSE power budget."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 33 SC 33.3.6	P 149	L 6	# 121	C/ 00 SC 0	P 0	L 30	# 124
Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply, 0	Cisco, T		Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply	y, Cisco, T	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
157 Line 21) and "rea comment, marked Co seem to indicate the	e definitions of "advertised Clas quested Class by a PD" (page 1 OMMENT-1 for comments on re maximum class a PD would req on. Also see a related commen	49 Line 30) are equested Class quest if connect	e. See a related . Both of these terms ted to a PSE without a	managed object clas System Group mana	2.3 Organizationally Specific as cross references' lists a nur aged object class attribute' col- ed in Clause 30, Table 30-4 "D as (30.9.1).	mber of new attribu umn for the 'Power	ites in the 'LLDP Loca r via MDI' TLV that
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Class." If the adverti PSE without a power	same for both terms replace "a sed class is the maximum class budget limitation, then on page	a PD would re 149 add the fo	quest if connected to a ollowing to the last		tter expert (not the commento tts to complete the called out s		and provide the
	The advertised Class by the PD cation probed by a PSE without			Add row with column "PSE Basic Package	values, aPSEPowerPairsx, A e (mandatory)".	ATTRIBUTE, GET-	SET, X in column
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	2 P 152 Seen Simply, (L 9 Cisco, T	# 122	Cl 00 SC 0 Schindler, Fred	P 24 Seen Simply	<i>L</i> 30 y, Cisco, T	# 125
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
The explanation of he widely-separated poi	by DLL may alter PD variables to nts, which may lead to confusion 150, and page 152 line 5.			Table 79–9 'IEEE 80 managed object clas System Group mana	2.3 Organizationally Specific s cross references' lists a nur ged object class attribute' colu	mber of new attribu	ites in the 'LLDP Loca
SuggestedRemedy				Clause 30 are not co	omplete.		
	e to the end of text on page 152		atly by changing	SuggestedRemedy Presentation schind	er_01_1116 provides a marke	ed up Clause 30 wit	
Add a cross reference " the variable pd_n	nax_power. DLL affects pd_ma shown in Table 33-25."		city by changing				th proposed solutions
Add a cross reference " the variable pd_n PDMaxPowerValue s	nax_power. DLL affects pd_ma	_,		Proposed Response	Response Status O	·	th proposed solutions
Add a cross reference " the variable pd_n PDMaxPowerValue s Proposed Response	nax_power. DLL affects pd_ma. shown in Table 33-25." <i>Response Status</i> 0			CI 79 SC 79.3.2.	Response Status O 6a P 222	L7	th proposed solutions # 126
Add a cross reference " the variable pd_n PDMaxPowerValue s Proposed Response Cl 79 SC 79.4.2	nax_power. DLL affects pd_ma hown in Table 33-25."	 	# [<u>123</u>]	<i>Cl</i> 79 SC 79.3.2. Schindler, Fred	Response Status O 6a P 222 Seen Simply	L7	
Add a cross reference " the variable pd_n PDMaxPowerValue s Proposed Response Cl 79 SC 79.4.2 Schindler, Fred Comment Type ER All the added or ame	nax_power. DLL affects pd_ma. shown in Table 33-25." <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 231 Seen Simply, (<i>Comment Status</i> X nded Table 79-9 variables shou	L 7 Cisco, T	# [123	Cl 79 SC 79.3.2. Schindler, Fred <i>Comment Type</i> TR Table 79-5a Function	Response Status O 6a P 222	L 7 y, Cisco, T irx" does not matcł	# 126
Add a cross reference " the variable pd_n PDMaxPowerValue se Proposed Response C/ 79 SC 79.4.2 Schindler, Fred Comment Type ER All the added or ame associated clause 30	nax_power. DLL affects pd_ma. shown in Table 33-25." <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 231 Seen Simply, (<i>Comment Status</i> X nded Table 79-9 variables shou	L 7 Cisco, T	# [123	Cl 79 SC 79.3.2. Schindler, Fred <i>Comment Type</i> TR Table 79-5a Function	Response Status O 6a P 222 Seen Simply Comment Status X n at bits 6:5 is "PSE power pair	L 7 y, Cisco, T irx" does not matcł	# 126
Add a cross reference " the variable pd_n PDMaxPowerValue s Proposed Response Cl 79 SC 79.4.2 Schindler, Fred Comment Type ER All the added or ame	nax_power. DLL affects pd_mains shown in Table 33-25." <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 231 Seen Simply, (<i>Comment Status</i> X nded Table 79-9 variables shou e attributes.	L 7 Cisco, T	# [123	Cl 79 SC 79.3.2. Schindler, Fred Comment Type TR Table 79-5a Function 79.3.2.6a.1 or the va SuggestedRemedy Replace "pairx" in Ta	Response Status O 6a P 222 Seen Simply Comment Status X n at bits 6:5 is "PSE power pair	L 7 y, Cisco, T irx" does not match term "pairsx" is no ace "pair" in the titl	# 126 h the description in ow prefered to "pairx".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3bt D2.1	4-Pair PoE 1	1st Working	Group	recirculation	ballot comments

	223 L 5	# 127	C/ 79 SC 79.3.8.		L 9	# 130		
Schindler, Fred See	n Simply, Cisco, T		Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply	, Cisco, T			
Comment Type TR Comment Status	s X		Comment Type TR	Comment Status X				
A new name needs to be used for the add the legacy "Power Type" field 79.3.2.4.1.	ed "Power Type" field s	o that it is different than		ert (Lennart?) needs to comple field. For example what does				
SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy					
Replace "Power type" in 79.3.2.6b.1 and T		r typex".	Create a TDL to correct this concern.					
Proposed Response Response Status	• O		Proposed Response					
			Fioposed Response	Response Status O				
	223 <i>L</i> 20 n Simply, Cisco, T	# 128	CI 33 SC A.4	P 242	L 42	# [131		
Comment Type ER Comment Status	s X		Shariff, Masood	CommScope	1			
Some text used in Table 79-5b uses "mod	e" rather than "Mode",	which is accurate.	Comment Type ER	Comment Status X				
SuggestedRemedy Replace the called out text with "Mode".			The requirement for as shown below:	channel pair-to-pair DC resista	nce unbalance i	s listed on lines 22-23		
Proposed Response Response Status	Ο			air requires the specification of nel,not greater than 100 mÙ o æ."				
	224 L 9	# 129	This requirement app	blies to all channels with 4 con	nections up to 10	0 m.		
	n Simply, Cisco, T		The Note on lines 42	-43 states:				
Comment Type TR Comment Status A subject matter expert (Lennart?) needs t how to process each field. For example w	o complete this registe		"NOTE—7% is the worst case pair-to-pair resistance unbalance at 100 mOhms of chan pair-to-pair resistance difference. At 100 meter channel length, the cable and connectors ensures 5.5% maximum channed the second s					
SuggestedRemedy Create a TDL to correct this concern.			pair-to-pair resistanc					
Proposed Response Response Status	• O			d conflicting with the requireme e is not needed anymore (OBE		%. The requirements		
			SuggestedRemedy					
			Delete the Note.					

C/ 33 SC 33.1.4 P 53 L 54 # 132 Shariff, Masood CommScope	Cl 33 SC 33.4.9 P 175 L 54 # 134 Shariff. Masood CommScope
Comment Type ER Comment Status X	Comment Type ER Comment Status X
ISO TR 29125 is now elevated to a TS or technical specification containing not only guidelines but requirements with the title INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY – TELECOMMUNICATIONS CABLING REQUIREMENTS	Update reference to ISO/IEC 11801 since the new edition has the generic requirements consolidated into ISO/IEC 11801-1. ISO/IEC 11801 does not exist anymore.
FOR REMOTE POWERING OF TERMINAL EQUIPMENT	SuggestedRemedy
Accordingly the references to it need to be updated	Change all occurances of ISO/IEC 11801 without any date qualfilication to ISO/IEC 11801- 1. The ones with dates, e.g. ISO/IEC 11801-2002, or ISO/IEC 11801-1995 can remain the same since they refer to older versions
SuggestedRemedy Change ISO/IEC TR 29125 to ISO/IEC TS 29125 globally (also page 54 line 38) in dra	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	Cl 33 SC 33.4.9 P 175 L 3 # 135
C/33 SC Annex A P10 L 257 # 133	C/ 33 SC 33.4.9 P 175 L 3 # 135 Shariff, Masood CommScope
Shariff, Masood CommScope	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Correct reference
Comment Type ER Comment Status X Need to correct the title of TIA TSB-184-A. This TSB is a standalone document, not an addendum.	SuggestedRemedy Change : ANSI/TIA-568.D-0
SuggestedRemedy Change:Addendum Guidelines for Supporting Power Delivery over Balanced Twisted-P Cabling.	To:ANSI/TIA-568.0-D air Proposed Response Response Status O
To: Guidelines for Supporting Power Delivery Over Balanced Twisted-Pair Cabling	C/ 33 SC 33.4.9 P175 L1 # 136
This is a global change (also page 20 line 11,	Shariff, Masood CommScope
Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Incorrect reference. ISO has reorgonized their standards to consolidate all generic requirements into ISO/IEC 11801-1
	SuggestedRemedy
	Change: ISO/IEC 11801 Edition 3
	To: ISO/IEC 11801-1
	Change Also on: page 176 line 14 page 178 line 28

Cl 33 SC 33.4.9 Shariff, Masood	P 175 CommScope	L 54	# 137	<i>Cl</i> 33 Stewart, H	SC 33.3.3.7 leath	P 138 Linear Tech	L 4 nnology	# 139
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
Update reference to t	he current published standard			preser	nt_det_sign valu	e description references to	over each pairset	are inconsistent.
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	dRemedy			
Change : ANSI/TIA-5	68-C.0.			Chang		detection cignoture is to be	opplied to the lin	le .
To: ANSI/TIA-568.0-E)			valid:A	A valid PD detec	detection signature is to be tion signature is to be applie r non-valid PD detection sig	ed to the link over	each pairset.
Change also in:				to		-		
Page 175 line 48						detection signature is to be		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			valid:A either:	A valid PD detec Either a valid o	tion signature is to be applie r non-valid PD detection sig	ed to the link over nature may be ap	each pairset. pplied to the link.
				Globa	lly change to the	e link to to the PI.		
C/ 33 SC 33.1.4.1 Shariff, Masood	P 54 CommScope	L 35	# 138	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
we need to be correct The cable reaches as temperature with the SuggestedRemedy Change: maximum ar To: maximum ambier	steady state operating temperating temperating temperating temperated equal to the hear mbient operating temperature of	ure that is higher t dissipated. the cable		A cont that indica Value: 1: The 2: The As cle Gener	<i>Type</i> E II_power_type trol variable outp tes the PSE Type PSE is a Type PSE is a Type ar as this alread rally the Type 3/4 33.3.7 PSE Typ	Linear Tech <i>Comment Status</i> X but by the PD power control the as 1 or 2, see 79.3.2.4.1. 1 PSE, for a Type 1 PSE 2 PSE, for Type 2, Type 3, by is, perhaps it could be even 4 single-signature definition be id has become imprecise	state diagram, de or Type 4 PSEs en more clear. of pse_dll_power	_type and associated
				easies Suggested	ging the variable at way forward.	enumerations to "is a Type	1" TRUE and FA	LSE seems like the

C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.8 P 138 L 43 # 141 Stewart, Heath Linear Technology 141	C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.10 P 142 L 1 # 143 Stewart, Heath Linear Technology Linear Technology Linear Technology
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
In the INRUSH state the PSE controls inrush, when tinrush expires the PD transitions to	DO_CLASS_EVENT6 only deals with the 6th and higher events.
MDI POWER1, then either begins to control inrush or transitions directly to its Pclass PD	
state.	SuggestedRemedy
Note or is change to and to reflect the Miniumum(PDinrush, PDclass) function.	Change NOTE 1—DO_CLASS_EVENT6 creates a defined behavior for a Type 3 or Type 4 PD the is brought into the classification range repeatedly.
Also verb forms do not match (controls vs observe)	-
SuggestedRemedy	To NOTE 1—DO CLASS EVENT6 creates a defined behavior for a Type 3 or Type 4 PD th
Change	is brought into the classification range more than 5 times.
tinrushpd_timer	Proposed Response Response Status O
A timer used to determine when the PD controls the input current, or observe PClass_PD power	
limits; see TInrush_PD in Table 33–31.	
	Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.12 P 142 L 42 # 144
to tinrushod timer	Stewart, Heath Linear Technology
A timer used to determine when the PD exits the INRUSH state and begins to either	Comment Type T Comment Status X
control the input current, and observe PClass_PD power	Can a Type 3 PD draw Class 0 power?
limits; see TInrush_PD in Table 33–31.	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	Remove 0: PD may draw Class 0 power
C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.9 P 139 L 1 # 142	Proposed Response Response Status O
Stewart, Heath Linear Technology	
Comment Type E Comment Status X	C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.16 P146 L1 # 145
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
do_class_timing is only performed in the first class event.	Stewart, Heath Linear Technology
do_class_timing is only performed in the first class event. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
do_class_timing is only performed in the first class event.	
do_class_timing is only performed in the first class event. SuggestedRemedy Change	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Why does a Type 3 or 4 single-signature PD require the INRUSH state while a dual-
do_class_timing is only performed in the first class event. SuggestedRemedy Change measuring the length of the class event.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Why does a Type 3 or 4 single-signature PD require the INRUSH state while a dual- signature PD does not?

/ 33 SC 33.3.15 P 144 L 42 # 146	C/ 33 SC 33.3.6 P 149 L 20 # 147
tewart, Heath Linear Technology	Stewart, Heath Linear Technology
omment Type E Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X
The variable does not contain value: description pairs. Instead they have to be pulled out the description header.	of Awkward phrasing. Break into two sentences.
•	SuggestedRemedy
uggestedRemedy	Change The A DB and Trans 0 Oliver 4 to 0 DB and in a linear side Data high have also if action
Change: PD Modes are referred to by the letter 'A' or 'B' for Mode A and Mode B respectively. More information is obtained by replacing the M in the desired variable or function with the letter of the Mode of interest. Modes are referred to in general as follows:	
M	То
Generic Mode designator. When M is used in a state diagram, its value is local to that state diagram and not global to the set of state diagrams.	Type 1 PDs and Type 3 Class 1 to 3 PDs optionally provide Data Link Layer classification (see 33.5). Type 2 PDs, Type 3 Class 4 to 6 PDs, Type 4 PDs, and dual-signature PDs shall provide DLL classification.
to Dual-signature PDs are implemented on Mode A and Mode B (see 33.3.1). Mode	PIC is unaffected.
information is obtained by replacing the M in the desired variable or function with the letter of the Mode of interest. Modes are referred to in general as follows:	
M Generic Mode designator. When M is used in a state diagram, its value is local to that	
state diagram and not global to the set of state diagrams.	Cl 33 SC 33.3.6 P 149 L 30 # 148
A: Mode A B: Mode B	Stewart, Heath Linear Technology
roposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type E Comment Status X
Response Status U	Description of the requested class is inconsistent with a prior definition on line 10 same page. Add the word maximum.
	SuggestedRemedy
	Change The requested Class of the PD is the amount of power the PD requests from the P
	······································
	To The requested Class of the PD is the maximum amount of power the PD requests from the PSE

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 Stewart, Heath	P 153 Linear Techno	L 44 blogy	# 149	Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 Stewart, Heath	P 132 Linear Techn	L 3 ology	# 151
Comment Type E Missing period SuggestedRemedy Add period at the end of This determination allow Proposed Response	Comment Status X f vs the PD to make use of shu <i>Response Status</i> O	ort MPS to reduc	e standby power	work as a Task Force SuggestedRemedy Change lines	Comment Status X nnot be constructed as dual-si e. See Table 33-22. ted as single-signature or dual	-	
C/ 33 SC 33.3.1 Stewart, Heath	P 131 Linear Techno	L 1 blogy	# 150		Ds can be constructed as sing .3.5.	le-signature or d	lual-signature as
	Comment Status X s must be able to operate over PDs above class 4 and dual-			PDs can be construct	ted as single-signature defined in 1.4 and 33.3.5 and s <i>Response Status</i> O	shown in Table 3	3-22.
to operate per the PD N	ith a power demand lower or lode A column and the PD M erate per the PD Mode A col	lode B column ir	n Table 33–21.	space to separate the	P 132 Linear Techn Comment Status X state machine variables sectio e enumated values the variable TRUE: <space>description vs</space>	n there is incons can hold and th	ne description. Eg
Proposed Response	Response Status O			description.	escriptions to contain a <tab></tab>		umerated value and the

C/33 SC 33.3.3.3 P 133 L 23 # 153 Stewart, Heath Linear Technology Linear Technology Linear Technology	C/ 33 SC 33.3.6.3 P 153 L 19 # 156 Stover, David Linear Technology
Comment Type E Comment Status X Use of a dash is non-traditional in a variable name. Reuse of the IEEE name will no viable in most programming languages as "-" is reserved.	
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change (globally)	Specify all items in Table 33-30 in seconds, to match PSE Table 33-18.
pd_2-event	Proposed Response Response Status O
to pd_2_event	
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 1 SC 1.4 P 20 L 43 # 157
	Stover, David Linear Technology
C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.7 P 136 L 48 # 154 Stewart, Heath Linear Technology <	Comment Type T Comment Status X Definition of Type 3 PD does not include "is capable of Data Link Layer classification", as Type 4 PD does. However, DLL is mandatory for both Type 3 and Type 4 PDs.
Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing period at the end of the TRUE and FALSE descriptions	SuggestedRemedy Change:
SuggestedRemedy Add a period at the end of lines 48 and 49.	"A PD that requests Class 1 to Class 6 during Physical Layer classification, implements Multiple-Event classification, and accepts power on both Modes simultaneously." To:
Proposed Response Response Status O	"A PD that requests Class 1 to Class 6 during Physical Layer classification, implements Multiple-Event classification, is capable of Data Link Layer classification, and accepts power on both Modes simultaneously."
	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/33 SC 33.3.3.7 P 137 L 11 # 155 Stewart, Heath Linear Technology Linear Technology Linear Technology	
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Cl 33 SC 33.2.1 P 55 L 25 # 158
Can a Type 3 PD draw Class 0 power?	Stover, David Linear Technology
SuggestedRemedy Remove	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Accepted remedy in Comment #11 against D2.0 was not fully implemented in D2.1.
	SuggestedRemedy
0: PD may draw Class 0 power	Suggesteakernedy
0: PD may draw Class 0 power Proposed Response Response Status O	Add a superscript "1" to column headings "Physical Layer Classification" and "Data Link Layer Classification".

Cl 33 Stover, Da	SC 33.2.4 avid	P 63 Linear Technol	L 37 logy	# 159	<i>Cl</i> 33 Stover, Da	SC 33.2.5.12 vid		P 89 near Techno	L 1 blogy	# 163
<i>Comment</i> Comm	51	Comment Status X t D2.0 was implemented incor	rectly.		Comment "Type		Comment Stat e diagrams" Head	us X		
Move	dRemedy "in legacy system	ns, such as 10BASE-T and 10 h "Therefore, Alternative A ma	OBASE-TX" to	the end of the		e "an" to "and"				
	Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Stat	us O		
C/ 33	SC 33.2.5.1	P 64	L 64	# 160	C/ 33 Stover, Da	SC 33.2.8 vid		P 116 near Techno	L 37 blogy	# 164
Stover, Da Comment	Type ER	Linear Technol Comment Status X			Comment TDL D	51	<i>Comment Stat</i> pair Current Unba			
Suggested	dRemedy	t D2.0 was implemented incor		sification" lower case.	Suggested Chang comm	je lunb,max from	"3% * I_Peak" to	"3% * I_Pea	ak-2P_unb"; refe	erence 33.2.8.4 in
Proposed	Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Stat	us O		
<i>CI</i> 33 Stover, Da	SC 33.2.5.9	P 82 Linear Technol	L 25 logy	# 161	<i>Cl</i> 33 Stover, Da	SC 33.2.5.12		P 89 near Techno	L 1 blogy	# 165
Comment Typo i		Comment Status X		events_pri/_sec to "4"	<i>Comment</i> Some		Comment Stat rs described in te		ng from PSE SD).
	<i>dRemedy</i> ge intersection of	"Type 3" and "class_num_eve	ents_pri…" fror	n "1, 2, 4" to "1, 2"	Suggested See st	<i>IRemedy</i> :over_01_1116.p	df			
	Response	Response Status O	_			Response	Response Stat	us O		
Proposed										
CI 00	SC 0 avid	P Linear Technol	L ogy	# 162						
, C/ 00 Stover, Da Comment	avid <i>Type</i> TR	•	L logy	# [162						
Cl 00 Stover, Da Comment TDL D Suggested	avid <i>Type</i> TR 02.0 #513 - Syster	Linear Technol Comment Status X	L logy	# 162						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 89 L 51 # [166] Stover, David Linear Technology Linear Technology Linear Technology	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.9 P 77 L 17 # 169 Stover, David Linear Technology Linear Technology 169
Comment Type TR Comment Status X "sig_type = open_circ", enumeration "open_circ" no longer exists.	Comment Type T Comment Status X Definition and usage of iclass_lim_det and _det_pri/_det_sec is inconsistent.
SuggestedRemedy Replace "open_circ" with "invalid" in 3 locations: IDLE state, transition out of CXN_CHK_EVAL, and transition out of CXN_CHK_DETECT_EVAL. Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Add "or this function is not active" to the end of the FALSE value for iclass_lim_det. Remove the assignment "iclass_lim_det <= FALSE" from global IDLE state.
X 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 91 L 40 # 167 tover, David Linear Technology	Cl 1 SC 1.4 P 20 L 15 # 170 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Some arcs point to "A", which used to be entry to global IDLE. Pointer has been changed to "IDLE" (is there an accepted comment associated with this change?) SuggestedRemedy Replace pointers to "A" with pointers to "IDLE" (4 locations). Proposed Response Response Status O	These are the definitions for Type 1/2 PSE/PD in the base standard: - 1.4.415 Type 1 PD: A PD that does not provide a Class 4 signature during Physical Layer classification (see IEEE 802.3, Clause 33). - 1.4.416 Type 1 PSE: A PSE that supports only a Type 1 PD (see IEEE 802.3, Clause 33). - 1.4.417 Type 2 PD: A PD that provides a Class 4 signature during Physical Layer classification, understands 2-Event classification, and is capable of Data Link Layer classification (see IEEE 802.3, Clause 33).
Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 93 L 10 # 168 Stover, David Linear Technology Comment Type T Comment Status X If iclass_lim_det_pri and _sec return "false" when do_classification_pri and _sec are "not active", then setting these variables to "false" in ENTRY_PRI and ENTRY_SEC is unnecessary. SuggestedRemedy Remove assignment of "false" to iclass_lim_det_pri and _sec in ENTRY_PRI and ENTRY_SEC Proposed Response Response Status O	 - 1.4.418 Type 2 PSE: A PSE that supports both a Type 1 and a Type 2 PD (see IEEE 802.3, Clause 33). These definitions don't align well with our Type 3 and Type 4 definitions. SuggestedRemedy Proposed revision: Type 1 PD: A PD that requests Class 0 to Class 3 during Physical Layer classification. Type 1 PSE: A PSE that supports up to Class 3 power levels and provides power over 2-pair. Type 2 PD: A PD that requests Class 4 during Physical Layer classification, supports Multiple-Event Classification and Data Link Layer Classification. Type 2 PSE: A PSE that supports up to Class 4 power level and provides power over 2-pair.
	Proposed Response Response Status O

	_						
C/ 30 SC 30.12.2.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 36 Philips	L 6	# 171	C/ 33 SC 33.1.4 Yseboodt, Lennart	4 P 54 Philips	L 11	# 174
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X 30.12.2.1.18d are remnants	of older PSE/PD	voltage and current	Comment Type TR		PSE PI to the PD) PI and back."
SuggestedRemedy Remove these sections	5.			used in the cable s	explains a couple paragraphs b tandards, which doesn't match	our numbers.	resistance' is a term
Proposed Response	Response Status O				eed to avoid using this term here need to sync that to the Rchan-		
C/ 30 SC 30.12.3.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 44 Philips	L 47	# 172		al resistance from the PSE PI t	to the PD PI and b	back."
measurement text for L SuggestedRemedy		of older PSE/PD	voltage and current	0	2P is the actual pairset resistar Response Status 0	nce from the PSE	PI to the PD PI and
Remove these sections Proposed Response	s. Response Status O			C/ 33 SC 33.2. Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips	L 17	# 175
C/ 33 SC 33.1.4.1 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type TR	P 54 Philips Comment Status X	L 10	# 173		Comment Status X E voltages during its operating s er up and Power on) is the sam 33-3 in 33.2.4."		
	y parameters and their descr	iption in this sec	tion. Rch is missing.	Why use Capital le	tters for the operating states? A	lso comma befor	e "and" is missing.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Add the following before "Rch is the hi	e the Rchan description: ighest DC pairset loop resist ad value of Rch depends on t		d is defined in Table		E voltages during its operating s er up, and power on) is the sam 33-3."		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5 Yseboodt, Lennart	5.4 <i>P</i> 66 Philips	L 6	# 176	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12 Yseboodt, Lennart	2 P 89 Philips	L 6	# 179
	Comment Status X am, variable error_condition, re rror conditions are different fro			Comment Type E Linewidth of IDLE line	Comment Status X too thick		
	jure 33-22, and Figure 33-23."		, ,	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy					e same as the other arrows		
Change to: "These e in Figure 33-14."	rror conditions are different fro	m those monitored	d by the state diagrams	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12 Yseboodt, Lennart	2 P 89 Philips	L 39	# 180
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5	5.9 <i>P</i> 76 Philips	L 54	# 177	Comment Type E Figure 33-15, state IDI	Comment Status X LE to START_CXN_CHK_DE	TECT:	
	Comment Status X variable error_condition, refer rror conditions are different fro			* pse_ready * !(pwr_ap (pse_enable = enable)	(pse_alternative = both) pp_pri + pwr_app_sec) * //	ng over multiple lii	nes.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	rror conditions are different fro jure 33-22, and Figure 33-23."	m those monitored	d by the state diagrams	move * to end of first s	(pse_alternative = both) *		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			(pse_enable = enable)			
				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5 Yseboodt, Lennart	5.9 P 82 Philips	L 30	# 178	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12	2 <i>P</i> 89	L 44	# 181
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
The changes adopt	ed last cycle that introduced T nce, according to Table 33-7 a			Comment Type TR From START_CXN_C	Comment Status X HK_DETECT to IDLE branch	missing.	
	7 01 0.			SuggestedRemedy			
, ,		ion state diagram	to only use	Add exit branch "tdet_	timer_done" to IDLE		
SuggestedRemedy	dy is to simplify the eleccificat	ion state ulayfalli,	to only use	Drenses d Desneres			
SuggestedRemedy The proposed reme pse_avail_power ar	edy is to simplify the classificat nd no longer use class_num_e eboodt_01_1116_simpleclass.	events.		Proposed Response	Response Status O		

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	l 2 P 91 Philips	L 35	# 182	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 92 L 36 # 184 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Comment Status X
	CT_EVAL to IDLE the brackets	s around CC_DE	Γ_SEQ 0 or 3 are	In new frame statediagram Figure 33-15 label IDLE is used and not A anymore.
missing.				SuggestedRemedy
(pse_alternative = bo				Change label A to IDLE (twice)
(det_temp = both_ne ((CC_DET_SEQ = 0)	ne) * (sig_pri != valid) + ither) * (sig_sec != valid) + + (CC_DET_SEQ = 3) * e) * tdet2det_timer_done)) +			Proposed Response Response Status O
	oth) * (sig_pri != valid)			Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 96 L 5 # 185
SuggestedRemedy				Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Add brackets around	CC_DET_SEQ 0 or 3			Comment Type TR Comment Status X
(det_temp = both_ne	th) * ie) * (sig_pri != valid) + ither) * (sig_sec != valid) + i) + (CC_DET_SEQ = 3)) *			The IF statement in CLASS_EVAL_SEC does not match with CLASS_EVAL_PRI. Comment #212 against D2.0, made changes in _PRI, but not in _SEC. I assume this was forgotten ?
(det_temp = only_one	e) * tdet2det_timer_done)) + oth) * (sig_pri != valid) Response Status O			EVAL_PRI: "IF (pd_cls_4PID_pri * (sig_pri = valid) * ((sig_sec = valid) + pwr_app_sec)) THEN" EVAL_SEC: "IF (pd_cls_4PID_sec * (sig_sec = valid) * (sig_pri = valid) + pwr_app_pri) THEN"
				SuggestedRemedy
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	l 2 P 91 Philips	L 40	# 183	Change the IF statement in CLASS_EVAL_SEC to read: "IF (pd_cls_4PID_sec * (sig_sec = valid) * ((sig_pri = valid) + pwr_app_pri)) THEN"
Comment Type E In new frame statedia	Comment Status X agram Figure 33-15 label IDLE	is used and not	A anymore.	Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy Change label A to ID	LE			C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 97 L 52 # 186 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Comment Type E Comment Status X In new frame statediagram Figure 33-18 label IDLE is used and not A anymore.
				SuggestedRemedy Change label A to IDLE
				-
				Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 33 SC 33.5.12	P 101	L 8	# 187	CI 33	SC 33.2.6.7	P 105	L 37	# 190
rseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, Lo	ennart	Philips		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	/pe E	Comment Status X		
	pp_pri" in exit branch IDLE_I	NRUSH_PRI is r	ot correct.		E detects a va plied to a pairs	alid detection signature on the set"	e unpowered pair	set when power has
	k in IDLE_INRUSH this way.			Rather i	nelegant word	ina.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedR	0	5		
Change to "alt_pwrd_ţ Proposed Response	Response Status O			"The PS	2	alid detection signature on the	e unpowered pair	set when power is
				Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status 0		
C/ 33 SC 33.5.12 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 101 Philips	L 8	# 188		-			
	•			CI 33	SC 33.2.7	P 105	L 49	# 191
Comment Type T	Comment Status X app_sec" in exit branch IDLE		in not correct	Yseboodt, Lo	ennart	Philips		
all_pwid_sec !pwi_			s not conect.	Comment Ty	/pe E	Comment Status X		
The inrush SD is stuck	k in IDLE_INRUSH this way.			" mutu	al identificatio	n allows Type 2, Type 3 or Ty	/pe 4 PSEs to dif	fferentiate"
						51 / 51 · 5		
				Serial co				
				Serial co	omma.			
SuggestedRemedy Change to "alt_pwrd_s				Serial co SuggestedR	omma. emedy	n allows Type 2, Type 3, or T		
SuggestedRemedy Change to "alt_pwrd_s	sec".			Serial co SuggestedR " mutu	omma. <i>Temedy</i> al identificatio	n allows Type 2, Type 3, or T		
SuggestedRemedy Change to "alt_pwrd_s Proposed Response	sec". Response Status O	/ 21	# 189	Serial co SuggestedR	omma. <i>Temedy</i> al identificatio			
SuggestedRemedy Change to "alt_pwrd_s Proposed Response CI 33 SC 33.2.6.2	sec". Response Status O	L 21	# 189	Serial co SuggestedR " mutu Proposed Ro	omma. Iemedy Ial identificatio esponse	n allows Type 2, Type 3, or T <i>Response Status</i> 0	ype 4 PSEs to di	ifferentiate"
SuggestedRemedy Change to "alt_pwrd_s Proposed Response CI 33 SC 33.2.6.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	sec". Response Status O P 103	L 21	# [<u>189</u>	Serial co SuggestedR " mutu Proposed Ro Cl 33	omma. Iemedy al identificatio esponse SC 33.2.7	n allows Type 2, Type 3, or T <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 106		
SuggestedRemedy Change to "alt_pwrd_s Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T	sec". <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 103 Philips			Serial co SuggestedR " mutu Proposed Ro C/ 33 Yseboodt, Lu	omma. Temedy Ial identificatio esponse SC 33.2.7 ennart	n allows Type 2, Type 3, or T <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 106 Philips	ype 4 PSEs to di	ifferentiate"
SuggestedRemedy Change to "alt_pwrd_s Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T "The PSE shall not be as specified in Table 3	Response Status O P 103 Philips Comment Status X damaged by up to 5 mA bac 33-10."			Serial co SuggestedR " mutu Proposed Ro CI 33 Yseboodt, Lo Comment Ty	omma. Temedy Ial identificatio esponse SC 33.2.7 ennart ype ER	n allows Type 2, Type 3, or T <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 106	ype 4 PSEs to di	ifferentiate"
SuggestedRemedy Change to "alt_pwrd_s Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T "The PSE shall not be as specified in Table 3 Voc is not a	sec". <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 103 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X damaged by up to 5 mA bac			Serial co SuggestedR " mutu Proposed Ro CI 33 Yseboodt, Lo Comment Ty	omma. lemedy lal identificatio esponse SC 33.2.7 ennart ype ER flow of 33.2.7	n allows Type 2, Type 3, or T <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 106 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X	ype 4 PSEs to di	ifferentiate"
SuggestedRemedy Change to "alt_pwrd_s Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T "The PSE shall not be as specified in Table 3 Voc is not a SuggestedRemedy	sec". Response Status O P 103 Philips Comment Status X damaged by up to 5 mA bac 33-10." range, it is a maximum.	kdriven current c	over the range of V oc	Serial co SuggestedR " mutu Proposed Re C/ 33 Yseboodt, Le Comment Ty The text	omma. lemedy lal identificatio esponse SC 33.2.7 ennart /pe ER flow of 33.2.7 emedy	n allows Type 2, Type 3, or T <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 106 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X	ype 4 PSEs to di	ifferentiate"
SuggestedRemedy Change to "alt_pwrd_s Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T "The PSE shall not be as specified in Table 3 Voc is not a SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P 103 Philips Comment Status X damaged by up to 5 mA bac 33-10."	kdriven current c	over the range of V oc	Serial co SuggestedR " mutu Proposed Ro CI 33 Yseboodt, Lu Comment Ty The text SuggestedR Do the fi - Split th (@ 'Th	omma. lemedy lal identificatio esponse SC 33.2.7 ennart /pe ER flow of 33.2.7 emedy ollowing: e paragraph tl e assigned Cla	n allows Type 2, Type 3, or T <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 106 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X isn't entirely logical. nat starts on page 106,I 5 at li	iype 4 PSEs to di <i>L</i> 7 ine 7	ifferentiate" # <u>192</u>

IEEE P802.3bt D2.1 4-Pair PoE 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 P 106 L 15 # 193 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 P 106 L 37 # 195 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Comment Type TR Comment Status X "Based on the assigned Class to a single-signature PD, the minimum power level at the output of the PSE is P Class as shown in Equation (33-2). P Class is the power the PSE supports at the PI. Based on the assigned Class to a dual-signature PD, the minimum power level supported for a pairset at the output of the PSE is P Class-2P as shown in Equation (33-3)." This information is repeated 2 paragraphs later, in the text that goes with Equation 33-2 and 33-3. SuggestedRemedy Replace paragraph by this: "The assigned Class to a single-signature PD determines PClass, the minimum power level the PSE supports at the PI, as defined in Equation (33-2). For a dual-signature, this minimum power level is PClass-2P, defined per pairset in Equation (33-3)."	Comment Type T Comment Status X In equation 33-2, the description of PClass_PD is: "is the PD's power classification (see Table 33-27)" SuggestedRemedy Would be better stated as: "is the maximum power at the PD PI per the PDs assigned Class, as defined in Table 33-27" Also use this description for - Eq 33-27, page 159 - Eq 33-29, page 161 Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 P 106 L 52 # 196 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P 106 L 37 # 194 Aseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X "PClass_PD is the PDs power classification (see Table 33-27)" Non-preferred way to link to a Table and inconsistent with Equation 33-3 SuggestedRemedy "PClass_PD is the PDs power classification as defined in Table 33-27" Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type T Comment Status X In equation 33-3, the description of PClass_PD-2P is: "is the PD's power classification as defined Table 33-28" SuggestedRemedy Would be better stated as: "is the maximum power at the PD PI for a pairset per the PDs assigned Class as defined in Table 33-28" Also use this description for - Eq 33-30, page 161 Proposed Response Response Status 0

CI 33 SC 33.2.7	P 107	L 10	# 197	C/ 33	SC 33.2.7	P 108	L 50	# 199
'seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, I	Lennart	Philips		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment T	Type TR	Comment Status X		
)"	Physical Layer power classifican	Ū	0			ke Physical Layer classification ww.ieee802.org/3/bt/public/jan		
)" We never say which P that Type 1/2 PSEs ne to verify that the PD is uggestedRemedy Proposed is to: - Make Table 33-13 ar - Create a new Table i	PSE Type needs to use which eed single-signature, which they o nd 33-14 into Type 3/4 PSE Ta n the same style for Type 1/2 clean up some of the oddball	Table. Even if we cannot do. ables	e did, it would suggest	Any su - A PSI provide - A PSI - PSEs Suggested Insert t "A Type	ich requirement E may be confi E may have a p may grant hig <i>Remedy</i> the following as e 3 or Type 4 F of Physical La PICS.	coded this in a text requirement ineeds to take into account that gured to limit the Class or num ower budget limit her power than the assigned Cl new paragraph in 33.2.7, on p PSE shall be capable of assignity over Classification." Response Status 0	at: ber of class eve lass through DL age 108, line 50	L).
33 SC 33.2.7 seboodt, Lennart	P 108 Philips	L 12	# 198	C/ 33 Yseboodt, I		Philips	L 20	# 200
omment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment 7	51	Comment Status X		
51	s the mapping between PSEA	IlocatedPower\/a	alue and the Assigned	"If the r	result of the cla	ss event is Class 4, a Type 1 F	SE shall assigr	n the PD to Class 0;"
Class.			Ũ	The res	sult of a class e	event is a class signature.		
	PD power numbers, nor anyth	ing about DLL ha	as been introduced at	Suggested	Remedy			
this point in the text. uggestedRemedy				"If the r Class (ss event is class signature 4, a	a Type 1 PSE sł	nall assign the PD to
Insert the following sen of the PD is":	ntence at page 108, line 11, be	efore "The Physi	ical Layer classification		PICS PSE54			
	locatedPowerValue values co _PD; see Table 33-27 and 33.		e maximum power a	Proposed F	Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 33 Yseboodt	SC 33.2.7.2 , Lennart	P 110 Philips	L 6	# 201	C/ 33 SC Yseboodt, Lenna	33.2.7.2 rt	P 110 Philips	L 49	# 203
Comment	Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type	TR (Comment Status X		
"See	Annex 33C for n	nore details and timing diagra	ms."		"All the mark	event states ((MARK_EV_) commence	e when the PI or p	pairset voltage falls
•••	dRemedy				below V Clas Reset."	s min and end	when the PI voltage ex	ceeds V Class m	in or falls below V
		aph all of its own. ious paragraph. Append this	to the end of the	previous paragraph	Ть	e description i	s wrong. Mark states en	d when the tme1	or tme2 timers are
	Response	Response Status O		providuo paragrapin	done.	c description i	s wong. Mark states en		
ropoodu	neoponeo				Th	e text makes i	d when the relevant class t seem as if the voltage	on the PI is the c	
CI 33	SC 33.2.7.2	P 110	L 8	# 202			when the state diagram of being in a particular st		g is leading and
Yseboodt	, Lennart	Philips			SuggestedReme	dy			
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X					elevant information about	it what to do durii	ng a MARK state is
		rovide a maximum of four clas				ewhere in the s move the quo			
	et for dual-signat	and a maximum of three clas cure PDs unless a class reset			Proposed Respon		esponse Status O		
	ssues:				C/ 33 SC	33.2.7.2	P 111	L 15	# 204
		port the reset statement for s rded is insufficiently precise	ingle-signature		Yseboodt, Lenna		Philips	L 15	# 204
	nere the used of do it if it looks b	a dashed list will increase read	adability (with edi	torial license to decide	Comment Type "If the result	-	Comment Status X ss event is Class 4, a Ty	be 2 PSE may	n
Suggeste	dRemedy				That should b	be class signa	ture		
"Туре	3 PSEs				SuggestedReme	-			
signa		vide a maximum of four class on a class reset and the appli			00		ss event is class signatur	e 4. a Type 2 PS	E mav "
•	 shall pro 	ovide a maximum of three clas	ss events and the	ee mark events on	Proposed Respo		esponse Status O	,	
	pairset for dual- airset.	signature PDs between a clas	s reset and the a	application of power to	r roposeu nespoi				
	Type 4 PS	Es							
		vide a maximum of five class							
signa	- shall pro	en a class reset and the appli ovide a maximum of four class	s events and four	mark events on each					
pairse	et for dual-signat	ure PDs between a class res							
pairse									
	Update PI	CS accordingly.							

CI 33 SC 33.2.7.	.2 <i>P</i> 111	L 26	# 205	CI 33 SC 33.2.7	.2 P 112	L 7	# 208
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
contains references Autoclass section, w	Comment Status X nal information now (see comm to the section the table is in, wi hich immediately follows the ta	th the exception			Comment Status X 0, on T_pdc is listed only for Typ cation also exists for Type 2 PS		
SuggestedRemedy				Change Table 33-17	, item 10, "PSE Type" from "1"	to "1, 2"	
	nal information column.			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.	2 <i>P</i> 111	L 27	# 206	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	2 P 112 Philips	L 22	# 209
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
This is due The PSE ⁻ event" column. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X come extremely cramped and viet to addition of the PSE Type c Type column is acutally more d e- or Multiple Event' column from Response Status O	olumn. escriptive than th	J.	information field no "The max <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Since this is relevar move it all the way t Do: - Convert - Empty th	17. Due to the addittion of a Typ longer fits for item 16. imum value of T ME2 is limited t information, that belongs in th o 33.2.8.13. this text into a footnote to the ta be Additional information field for	by T pon , as de e classification s able.	fined in 33.2.8.13."
 C/ 33 SC 33.2.7. Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips	L 33	# 207	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Comment Type T Table 33-17, item 1,	Comment Status X Vclass.						
•	rrameter name "VClass" which s mmended to use a higher Vclas using a scope."		ass event. This will				
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						

C/ 33 Yseboodt,	SC 33.2 Lennart	.7.3	P 112 Philips	L 36		# 210	C/ 33 Yseboodt		33.2.8 t	P 113 Philips	L 38	# 212	
,		,	Comment Status X					,		•			
Comment Type TR Comment Status X "If the PSE implements Autoclass and the connected PD requests Autoclass during classification, the PSE shall measure P Autoclass ."							Table	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Table 33-19, item 2, parameter V_Port_PSE_diff is described as: "Output voltage pair-to-pair difference of pairs with the same polarity in the POWER ON state".					
4h a ah		_autoclas	sification function ref	urns variable pd_	_autoclas	s that describes		Has	s value 10	DmV.			
the above case. I have a TDL attached to my name that says we need to use this variable somewhere.								, and ano	that description, the PSE c ther 10mV in the negative, r				
	D2.0 TI	DL #388					·	l ch	ecked wi	th Yair and this is technicall	y correct, we don	't need to change the	
Suggested	dRemedy						defini	tion or th	ne the nu	mber.			
SuggestedRemedy Replace quoted text by: "If the variable pd_autoclass has the value 'True', this indicates that the PSE supports Autoclass, and the PD has requested Autoclass during Physical Layer classification. A PSE shall measure P_Autoclass when it reaches the POWER_ON state and pd_autoclass is 'True'.						Suggeste	neter na d <i>Remec</i> e followi	me and a ly ng:	o much information is prese dditional information.				
Update PICS PSE80 Proposed Response Response Status O				differ	- Cl - Cl ence" - W "VF	hange Ac reate a ne 'ith conte Port_PSE	_diff is the maximum voltag	33.2.8.1a" titled "Output vo e difference betw	Itage pair-to-pair reen the pairs with the				
CI 33 Yseboodt,	SC 33.2	.7.3	P 112 Philips	L 40		# 211		. ,		ad condition, when operating	g over 4-pair, in ti	he POWER_ON state."	
Comment	<i>Type</i> E der to alloca		Comment Status X	increases in char	nnel resis	tance due to	Proposed	SC	33.2.8	Response Status 0 P114	<i>L</i> 1	# 213	
Suggested	dRemedy						Yseboodt	, Lennar	t	Philips			
"in order to allocate enough power to cope with increases in channel resistance due to temperature increase."						e 33-19 h		Comment Status X al parameter that depend or					
Proposed	Response	F	esponse Status O							ording in the description to p	point this out.		
							Suggeste		,				
										per the assigned Class" for	or item 5, 6, 7, 11	, 12, 18, and 19.	
							Proposed	l Respor	nse	Response Status O			

C/ 33 SC 33.2.8 P 114 L 28 # 214 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	C/ 33 SC 33.2.8 P 114 L 44 # 215 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Table 33-19, Item 6, Iinrush.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Table 33-19, Item 9, I_Cut-2P.
This is the specification for TOTAL 4-pair inrush current. For dual-sig Class 1-4 it is 500mA. For dual-sig Class 5 it is 650mA. What is the correct linrush value for a DS PD that gets assigned Class 4 on Alt A, and Class 5 on Alt B ? This table doesn't say that.	ICut-2P is the range in which the PSE MAY turn off due to overload. How is it specified right now ? ICut-2P min is Icon-2P => this makes perfect sense. ICut-2P max is ILIM-2P for Type 1/2 PSEs and not specified for Type 3/4 PSEs. ILIM-2P in itself is a range, with Class dependent numbers for the minimum, and the PSE upperbound template for the maximum. Also, ICut-2P is "optional" but is in a normative Table with associated shall.
SuggestedRemedy The simplest solution is to specify that if at least one pairset gets assigned to Class 5, linrush = 650mA.	Verdict: convoluted, incomprehensible specification for a simple concept. How often is Icut-2P used in the draft ? Precisely TWICE. Once in the Table where it is defined, once more in 33.2.8.6.
 Replace "Dual-signature PD, Class 1 to 4" by "Type 3 dual-signature PD" Replace "Dual-signature PD, Class 5" by "Type 4 dual-signature PD" Per the definition of Type 4 for dual-signature, this results in the desired behaviour. The alternate solution, is to remove the linrush minimum values for dual-signature PDs. They follow from the per pairset linrush-2P values anyway. In case of a split dual sig (Class 4 + 5), it would result in a 	SuggestedRemedy - Remove Item 9 from Table 33-19 (ICut-2P) - Replace in 33.2.8.6: "If I Port-2P, the current supplied on a pairset by the PSE to the PI, exceeds I CUT-2P for longer than T CUT-2P, the PSE may remove power from that pairset." By: "If I Port-2P, the current supplied on a pairset by the PSE to the PI, exceeds I Con-2P for longer than T CUT-2P, the PSE may remove power from that pairset."
slightly lower total minium linrush requirement Remove Min values for Item 6 linrush, for dual-signature	Proposed Response Response Status O
- Replace "Dual-signature PD, Class 1 to 4" by "Type 3 dual-signature PD" - Replace "Dual-signature PD, Class 5" by "Type 4 dual-signature PD" Proposed Response Response Status 0	Cl 33 SC 33.2.8 P 116 L 8 # 216 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
	Comment Type E Comment Status X No parameter description for PSE 1,2 in item 18 Ihold-2P for PSE Type 1 and 2.
	SuggestedRemedy add: "Class 0 to 4"
	Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.4 Wendt, Matthias	P 118 Philips	L 43	# 217	C/ 33 SC : Yseboodt, Lennart	33.2.8.7	P 123 Philips	L 45	# 220
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type		nent Status X		
on a pairset as define	minimum current due to unba d by Equation (33-11)." pair powering a single-signatu		t a PSE must support	lpea saying to use	ak_max however,		nly have a refere	nce in the "where" part is not obvious what
Also 'must support' is		ie FD.		SuggestedRemed	-			
SuggestedRemedy						ILIM_min and put th	nat in Table 33-1	9.
	minimum current due to unba Equation (33-11), when power			- Ad	ld a new item to T	able 33-19, after iter	m 11 (I LIM-2P)	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Pa	rameter: "Output	current - at short cire	cuit condition, wh	nen operating in 4-pair Class assigned to the
Only applies when 2-p SuggestedRemedy "I Peak is the total cur	P 118 Philips Comment Status X rrent of both pairs with the sar pair powering or 4-pair powerin pair powering or 4-pair powerin rrent of both pairs with the sar 3-10, when powering either in Response Status O	ng a single-signation	ture PD. PSE supports, as	Ún Mi Ada - Re Proposed Respon	Class 0-4 L Class 5 0.9 Class 6 1.2 Class 7 1.5 Class 8 1.8 x: (empty) ditional information emove page 123, I se Response	78 3,4 39 4 56 4 n: See 33.2.8.7 ines 45-54 <i>nse Status</i> O		
reach the POWER_O starting with the first p pairset transitions to F	P 120 Philips Comment Status X SEs that have assigned Class N state on both pairsets within pairset transitioning into the PO POWER_UP anytime within the nrush-2P max, need capital I.	n Tinrush-2P max OWER_UP state,	ζ,	Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type	ER Comr uses "I_LIM_min" Y	P 124 Philips ment Status X that should be "I_LII	L 14 M min".	# 221
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3bt D2.1	I 4-Pair PoE 1st Workin	g Group recirculation	n ballot comments

33 SC 33.2.8.		L 30	# 222	CI 33	SC 33.3.3.8		L 40	# 225
seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt,	Lennart	Philips		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment	Type E	Comment Status X		
2, 3, 4 I unb requiren				Suggested	Remedy	tacs_pd_timer not consiste	. –	timer.
	hat I_unb requirements for Typ e, "Type 2,3,4" is not the way to				ne tacs_pd_time <i>Response</i>	er to tacspd_timer in the dra Response Status O	aft.	
SuggestedRemedy				i ioposou	response			
Change to: "NOTEFo support Type 2 I_unt Proposed Response	or practical implementations, it o requirements." <i>Response Status</i> O	is recommended	that Type 1 PSEs	Cl 33 Yseboodt, Comment	Туре Е	3 P 144 Philips Comment Status X section title is missing.	L 10	# 226
C/ 33 SC 33.2.8. Seboodt, Lennart	12 P 126 Philips	L 40	# 223	- 33.3 - 33.3 - 33.3	.3.13 .3.14	section the is missing.		
uggestedRemedy	Comment Status X aximum I_Port-2P current I_LP	S defined in Equ	uation (33-24)."	00	mpty line	Response Status O		
	defined in Equation 33-24 and i Type max being sourced by the		current per pairset that	C/ 33 Yseboodt,	SC 33.3.3.1 Lennart	3 P 144 Philips	L 16	# 227
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment		Comment Status X		
7 33 SC 33.3.3 Seboodt, Lennart	7 P 138 Philips	L 17	# 224	"tpowe from c from c	erdly_timer_mod	le(M): A timer used to preve an Type 1 power over Mode an Class 2 power over Mode	M and Class5 Typ	be 4 dual-signature PD
Comment Type E	Comment Status X	a obbroviction		Needs	s to be updated	per the tpowerdly_timer des	cription.	
Move explanation of abbre	viation MPS, is given after usin o lines up.	y appreviation.		Suggested	dRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Change to:		/			er used to preve	ent Type 3 and Type 4 PDs the PSE's inrush period; S		
"Controls applying M Remove explanation	aintain Power Signature (MPS) of MPS in False.	(see 33.3.8.10)	to the PD's PI."	Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		

Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X "A timer used to prevent Class 4 Type 3 dual-signature PDs from drawing more than Class 2 power over Mode M and Class5 Type 4 dual-signature PDs from drawing more than Class 2 power over Mode M during the PSE's inrush period; see Tdelay-2P in Table 33-31." Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Class 5 is missing space. SuggestedRemedy Fix. Change dimension to micro, 100 uH Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.16 P 145 L 13 # 229 Comment Type E Comment Status X Table 33-23, valid pd detection sig. The series input inductance is listed as 0.100 mH. SuggestedRemedy Fix. Class 5 SC 33.3.3.16 P 145 L 13 # 229 Change dimension to micro, 100 uH Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.16 P 145 L 13 # 229 Comment Type E Comment Status X	! <u>231</u>
Comment Type E Comment Status X "A timer used to prevent Class 4 Type 3 dual-signature PDs from drawing more than Class 2 power over Mode M during the PSE's inrush period; see Tdelay-2P in Table 33-31." Cass 2 power over Mode M during the PSE's inrush period; see Tdelay-2P in Table 33-31." Comment Type E Comment Status X Class 2 power over Mode M during the PSE's inrush period; see Tdelay-2P in Table 33-31." Class 5 is missing space. SuggestedRemedy The series input inductance is listed as 0.100 mH. SuggestedRemedy Fix. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 33 SC 33.3.5 P 148 L 45 # Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.16 P 145 L 13 # 229 Comment Type E Comment Status X	
"A timer used to prevent Class 4 Type 3 dual-signature PDs from drawing more than Type 1 power over Mode M and Class5 Type 4 dual-signature PDs from drawing more than Class 2 power over Mode M during the PSE's inrush period; see Tdelay-2P in Table 33-31." Class5 is missing space. SuggestedRemedy Fix. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.3.16 P 145 L 13 229 Table 33-23, valid pd detection sig. The series input inductance is listed as 0.100 mH. SuggestedRemedy Fix. Cl 33 SC 33.3.16 P 145 L 13 229 Comment Type E Comment Status X	
1 power over Mode M and Class5 Type 4 dual-signature PDs from drawing more than Class 2 power over Mode M during the PSE's inrush period; see Tdelay-2P in Table 33-31." The series input inductance is listed as 0.100 mH. Class5 is missing space. SuggestedRemedy Change dimension to micro, 100 uH Fix. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.16 P 145 L 13 # 229 Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.16 P 145 L 13 # 229	
Class5 is missing space. Change dimension to micro, 100 uH SuggestedRemedy Fix. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.16 P 145 L 13 # 229	
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.3.5 P 148 L 45 # Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.16 P 145 L 13 # 229 Comment Type E Comment Status X	
Fix. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.16 P 145 L 13 # 229 Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.16 P 145 L 13 # 229 Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.16 P 145 L 13 P 129	
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.3.5 P 148 L 45 # Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.16 P 145 L 13 # 229 Comment Type E Comment Status X	
Cl 33 SC 33.3.5 P 148 L 45 # Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Cl 33 SC 33.3.16 P 145 L 13 # 229 Comment Type E Comment Status X	
	232
Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Empty line above Mode A.	
Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy In DO_CLASS_EVENT1 the variable "do_class_timing_mode(M)" has two underscores. Remove empty line.	
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change to "do_class_timing_mode(M)"	
Proposed Response Response Status O	
C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.16 P146 L 16 # 230	
Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	
Comment Type TR Comment Status X The dual-signature state diagram in Figure 33-33 does not have an INRUSH state like single-signature has.	
SuggestedRemedy Implement INRUSH state into Figure 33-33, with the same principle as used in Figure 33- 32.	
Proposed Response Status O	

				•				
C/ 33 SC 33.3.6	P 149	L 6	# 233	C/ 33	SC 33.3.6	P 149	L 31	# 235
seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt	Lennart	Philips		
comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment		Comment Status X		
	d by the PD during Physical La or Type 4 PD shall draw."	yer classificatior	n is the maximum	"Depe equal	ending on the nur to the requested	mber of class events produced I Class, or it may be lower."	by the PSE, the	e assigned Class is
	word for 'advertised' is 'requeste	ed' since we also	use that term in Table	Use c	f the word 'may'	is inappropriate in this context	as the PD is no	t the actor here.
33-13. Guide:				Suggeste	dRemedy			
 advertise a class sig request a Class (PD) 						mber of class events produced I Class, or it can be lower."	by the PSE, the	e assigned Class is
- assign a Class (PSI	E)			Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy								
"The Class requested that a Type 3 or Type	d by the PD during Physical Lage 4 PD shall draw."	yer classification	is the maximum power	C/ 33	SC 33.3.6.2	P 151	L 49	# 236
,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,				Yseboodt		Philips	•	
There seems to be no	o PICS for this: add PICS for the	nis requirement.		Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
There are more of the					51	Ds shall conform to the electric	al requirements	as defined by Table
	eplace advertise by request eplace advertise by request (2)	()		33-31	for the level def	ned in the pse_power_level sta	ate variable."	
- page 132, line 42, r	eplace advertise by request (2)				pse power	level does not equate to the as	ssigned Class, v	vhich is what the PD
- page 149, line 6 (thi	is one) eplace advertise by request			needs	to conform to.		9 • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	eplace advertise by request			Suggeste	dRemedy			
- page 157, line 22, r	eplace advertise by request					Ds shall conform to the electric		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			33-31	per the Class in	the pd_max_power variable or	r po_max_powe	r(IVI) variable."
					Also, move	this paragraph to page 152, lin	e 16.	
C/ 33 SC 33.3.6	P 149	L 9	# 234		Update PIC	S PD30 to match.		
/seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X							
"A PD may be classif Data Link Layer (DLL	fied by the PSE based on the F _) classification,"	Physical Layer cl	assification information,					
Inconsistent and bad	l flow.							
SuggestedRemedy								
"A PD may be classif Layer (DLL) classifica	fied by the PSE based on Phys ation,"	ical Layer classi	fication , Data Link					
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 153 Philips	L 41	# 237	C/ 33 Yseboodt,	SC 33.3.8 Lennart	P 154 Philips	L 37	# 240
comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment		Comment Status X		
"Type 3 and Type 4 F measuring the length FALSE, which indicat long_class_event to 7	PDs may determine the Type of of the first class event. The de tes the PSE is a Type 1 or Typ TRUE if the first class event is to TRUE if the first class even	efault value for lo e 2 PSE. The P longer than TLC	ng_class_event is D may set E_PD min and shall	Table	33-31, item 6 ar ation column "P What on ear I traced it ba	d item 7 (linrush_PD and IIn eak value See 33.3.8.3". th does that 'peak value' refe ck all the way to 802.3af who s to the PD inrush section, w	er to ? ere it also says "p	eak value".
	t required to measure the leng as an unconditional shall in it.	th of the LCE.		value.		to the PSE inrush peak valu	ie ?	
uggestedRemedy				Suggested	dRemedy			
	Ds may determine the Type of			Repla	ce by "See 33.3.	8.3"		
FALSE if the first clas	of the first class event. Such l ss event is shorter than T_LCE TRUE if the first class event is	_PD min, and s	nall set	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Add these	requirements to the PICS.			C/ 33	SC 33.3.8	P 155	L 18	# 241
roposed Response	Response Status 0			Yseboodt,	Lennart	Philips		
				Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
/ 33 SC 33.3.6.3	B P 153	L 44	# 238	Table		_Inrush_PD has PD Type = ' requirement in 33.3.8.3 app		2 PDs
seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Suggested				21 00.
omment Type E	Comment Status X			00		em 7 to "2, 3, 4".		
No period at end of set to reduce standby po	entence: "This determination a wer"	llows the PD to	make use of short MPS		Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy								
Add period.				CI 33	SC 33.3.8	P 155	L 21	# 242
roposed Response	Response Status 0			Yseboodt,	Lennart	Philips		
				Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
33 SC 33.3.8	P 154	L 1	# 239	Table		_delay-2P, has PD Type = "3 es to Type 2 PDs.	3, 4".	
seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Suggested	dRemedy			
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Chang	ge PD Type for It	em 8 to "2, 3, 4".		
As we did for the PSE 31.	E Table, we should use "per th	e assigned Clas	s" in the PD Table 33-	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
uggestedRemedy	"per the assigned Class" throu	about Table 33.	31 where appropriate					
Proposed Response		griout rabic 00						
roposed Response	Response Status O							
	red ER/editorial required GR/						nent ID 242	Page 52 of 6

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 33 SC 33.3.8 P 156 L 16 # 243 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	C/ 33 SC 33.3.8.2 P 157 L 20 # 245 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Comment Type TR Comment Status X In footnote of Table 33-31: "The maximum PPort_PD may be limited to less than PClass_PD for dual-signature PD that are influenced by external unbalance in order to meet the requirements of 33.3.8.10	
This cryptic sentence refers to dual-signature PDs, implemented with a single load. The devices may not reach Pclass_PD-2P because there is no provision for unbalance for d sig PDs.	
This footnote only creates confusion.	Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy	
Remove this sentence from the footnote.	Cl 33 SC 33.3.8.3 P 158 L 11 # 246
Proposed Response Response Status O	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
C/ 33 SC 33.3.8.1 P 157 L 11 # 244 /seboodt, Lennart Philips	Comment Type TR Comment Status X "PDs shall draw less than I Inrush_PD and I Inrush_PD-2P from T Inrush-2P min until T delay-2P min."
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Uses a PSE timing parameter. We have created Tinrush PD for this purpose.
"The PD shall turn on at a voltage less than or equal to V On_PD . After the PD turns or	¹ , SuggestedRemedy
the PD shall stay on over the entire V Port_PD-2P range. The PD shall turn off at a volta less than V Port_PD-2P minimum and greater than or equal to V Off_PD."	age "PDs shall draw less than I Inrush_PD and I Inrush_PD-2P from T Inrush_PD until T delay 2P min."
 Is at odds with both the Type 1/2 and Type 3/4 state diagrams Allows the PD to turn on at any voltage lower than 42V 	Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy	
Adopt yseboodt_02_1116_vonvoff.pdf	
Proposed Response Response Status O	
·	

1 33 SC 33.3.8.3 P 158 L 24 # 247	Cl 33 SC 33.3.8.6 P 162 L 48 # 248
seboodt, Lennart Philips	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
omment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
We have two shalls in the PD inrush section: [1] PDs shall draw less than I Inrush_PD and I Inrush_PD-2P from T Inrush-2P	The requirements in 33.3.8.6 refer to "PClass_PD max" and "PClass_PD-2P max". Neither of these parameters is a range, but is a single power number.
min until T delay-2P min. [2] The PD shall meet the inrush requirements with the PSE behavior described in 33.2.8.5.	SuggestedRemedy Replace: - "PClass_PD max" by "PClass_PD"
I made a comment the previous cycle to remove [2] because I felt it was	- "PClass_PD-2P max" by "PClass_PD-2P"
redundant to [1]. This is true, but there is more going on than I had realized.	Proposed Response Response Status O
There are two separate issues: - [1] can only be met by a PD, when it is connected to a compiant PSE.	C/ 33 SC 33.3.9 P166 L1 # 249
If the PSE does not provide enough inrush current, the PD cannot be expected	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
to be compliant to [1]. The [1] statement is unconditional though.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
- We need to warn the PD designer that it is allowed for PSEs to have severely restricted current capability at low VPSE.	"PDs using Autoclass shall use the I Port_MPS associated with the PD Class assigned the PSE during Physical Layer classification."
This was the reason statement [2] was added to this section. Statement [2] is still a redundant shall to [1] and it also fails to really warn about the low current behaviour of the PSE.	This information applies to many parameters and is clearly marked in Table 3 33.
	It is not needed to repeat it here. Also, with DLL the assigned Class can change (and then the MPS value also
uggestedRemedy	changes).
- Change [1] to read: "PDs shall draw less than I Inrush PD and I Inrush PD-2P from T Inrush PD	SuggestedRemedy
until T delay-2P min, when connected to a source that meets the requirements of 33.2.8.5".	Remove sentence.
- Remove [2]	Remove PICS PD82.
- Add the following to the NOTE on page 158, line 21, before the last sentence: "PSEs may source a very limited current when VPSE is below 30V. See 33.2.8.5 for details."	Proposed Response Response Status O
- Update PICS PD49 and remove PD52	
roposed Response Response Status O	

C/ 33 SC 33.4.1.1.1 P 167 L 53 # 250 C/ 33 SC 33.7.2.3 P 192 L 18 # 253 Wendt, Matthias Philips Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "A multiport NID complying with Environment A requirements does not require electrical PICS *PDCL: Classification for PDT1, PDT3 and PDT4 is missing. power isolation between link segments." SuggestedRemedy Add Status PDT1:O. PDT3:M. PDT4:M. Is a recursive statement within this section (Environment A requirements). Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy "An Environment A multiport NID does not require electrical power isolation between link seaments." C/ 33 SC 33.7.2.3 P 192 L 18 # 254 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Yseboodt. Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X CI 33 SC 33.5.5 L 5 P189 # 251 Short MPS is not a capability. Yseboodt, Lennart Philips PDs can use it when available. Comment Type **TR** Comment Status X SuggestedRemedv Remove *PDSMPS from 33.7.2.3. Autoclass has not been properly described in 33.5.5. D2.0 TDL #232, #316, #476, #503 Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Adopt yseboodt_04_1116_autoclassdll.pdf C/ 33 SC 33.7.2.3 P 192 L 31 # 255 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type Comment Status X Е C/ 33 SC 33.7.2.3 P 192 L 5 # 252 Item *DLLC: DLL support is optional for Type 1, and for Type 3 PDs that request Class 3 or Yseboodt, Lennart Philips lower. Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy PICS PD Major option PDT1 is missing. Add Status PDT1:O. Not sure how to fix the PDT3:M thing... SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Add item PDT1. Proposed Response Response Status 0

IEEE P802.3bt D2.1 4-Pair PoE 1st Working Group recirculation ballot comments

C/ 33 SC 33.7.2.4	P 193 Philips	L 37	# 256	CI 33 Yseboodt	SC 33.7.3.2 , Lennart	P 195 Philips	L 45	# 259
Comment Type E *PCA Pair control was SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X s removed in the 33.5 Manager	ment purge.		"Туре	CS is missing for: a 3 and Type 4 PS	Comment Status X Es that will deliver power on to the classification of a PD		
Remove *PCA.					33.2.6.1 page 101		as specified in 50	5.2.7.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggeste Add F	<i>dRemedy</i> PICS for this shall.			
C/ 33 SC 33.7.3.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	e P 194 Philips	L 41	# 257	Proposed	l Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E Larger fontsize is use	Comment Status X d for PSE6 and PSE7 Feature	S.		CI 33 Yseboodt	SC 33.7.3.2 , Lennart	P 196 Philips	L 17	# 260
SuggestedRemedy Make fontsize the sar Proposed Response	ne. Response Status O			"Not l	CS PSE28: be damaged by up	Comment Status X to 5 mA over the range of V E-2P wrong, this should be V		
				Suggeste	dRemedy			
C/ 33 SC 33.7.3.2 (seboodt, Lennart	e P 195 Philips	L 29	# 258		be damaged by up	o to 5 mA up until a voltage o	of Voc"	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
	he Class they are capable of s Reset and a transition to POWE		en the most recent	CI 33	SC 33.7.3.2	P 196	L 47	# 261
In text "power up state	es" is mentioned and not POW	ER_UP.		Yseboodt	, Lennart	Philips		
	he Class they are capable of s Reset and a transition to any of			Suggeste	ed in PD_4pair_ca dRemedy	Comment Status X and, defined in 33.2.5.9" varia	able has lowerca	se letters.
Proposed Response	Response Status O				ed in pd_4pair_ca <i>l Response</i>	nd, defined in 33.2.5.9" <i>Response Status</i> O		

CI 33 SC 33.7.3.2 P 201 L 27 # [262] Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type T Comment Status X PICS missing for page 121 line 52: "A Type 2 PSE that uses Single-Event Physical Layer classification, and requires the 1 ms settling time, shall power up a Class 4 PD as if it used Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification." SuggestedRemedy Add this shall to new PICS item PSE95a. (Note: are we adding a new requirement to Type 2 ??) Proposed Response Response Status O	Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.3 P 205 L 36 # 265 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type T Comment Status X On page 162 line 43 two PICS are missing for page 162: "A single-signature PD shall include Cport as defined in Table 33-31." "A dual-signature PD shall include CPort-2P as defined in Table 33-31 on each pairset SuggestedRemedy Add to PICS, unless Ken's baseline no longer has this shall. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.8 P 215 L 6 # 265
PICS missing for page 121 line 52: "A Type 2 PSE that uses Single-Event Physical Layer classification, and requires the 1 ms settling time, shall power up a Class 4 PD as if it used Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification." SuggestedRemedy Add this shall to new PICS item PSE95a. (Note: are we adding a new requirement to Type 2 ??)	On page 162 line 43 two PICS are missing for page 162: "A single-signature PD shall include Cport as defined in Table 33-31." "A dual-signature PD shall include CPort-2P as defined in Table 33-31 on each pairset. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add to PICS, unless Ken's baseline no longer has this shall. <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status O
 "A Type 2 PSE that uses Single-Event Physical Layer classification, and requires the 1 ms settling time, shall power up a Class 4 PD as if it used Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification." SuggestedRemedy Add this shall to new PICS item PSE95a. (Note: are we adding a new requirement to Type 2 ??) 	 "A single-signature PD shall include Cport as defined in Table 33-31." "A dual-signature PD shall include CPort-2P as defined in Table 33-31 on each pairset. SuggestedRemedy Add to PICS, unless Ken's baseline no longer has this shall. Proposed Response Response Status O
	Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.8 P 215 L 6 # <u>266</u>
Proposed Response Response Status O	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
C/ 33 SC 33.7.3.3 P 205 L 30 # 263 //seboodt, Lennart Philips	Comment Type T Comment Status X PICS ES1 "Conforms to IEC 60950-1:2001" has date in value, text does not.
Comment Type E Comment Status X A PICS is missing for page 149, line 32 "The PD shall conform to the assigned Class, regardless of the Class it requested." SuggestedRemedy Add PICS item PD21b	SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Conforms to IEC 60950-1" Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 33 SC 33.7.3.8 P 215 L 9 # 267 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Cl 33 SC 33.7.3.3 P 205 L 36 # 264 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	Comment Type E Comment Status X PICS ES2 "In accordance with IEC 60950-1:2001" has date in value, text does not.
Comment Type T Comment Status X PICS missing for page 151, line 49. SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Change to: "In accordance with IEC 60950-1" Proposed Response Response Status O
Add PICS. Proposed Response Response Status O	

C/ 33 SC 33.7.3.9							
	P 215	L 26	# 268	C/ 33A SC 33A.1	P 239	L 22	# 271
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
PICS PSEES1 "Limited value, text does not.	d Power Source in accordanc	e with IEC 6095	0-1:2001" has date in		wo lettered lists that use constrate two separate things this r		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change to: "Limited Po	ower Source in accordance w	ith IEC 60950-1"	ı	Convert lettered list in	to dashed list.		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 33 SC 79.3.2.6d		L 34	# 269	C/ 33A SC 33A.1	P 239	L 29	# 272
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
"The request power do reference to Table is w	wn field shall be set as define rrong.	ed in Table 79-5f	г."	"Zo_ps max = 0.3 ohr 33-11."	m at frequencies up to 100 kH	z at P port = P T	ype as defined in Table
SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The request power do	wn field shall be set as define	ed in Table 79-56	9."	- Table 33-11 is bad r - PType ain't what it u - PPort does not exist	sed to be (no longer equivaler	nt to maximum p	ower)
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0	<i>L</i> 1	# 270	SuggestedRemedy Replace by: "Zo_ps max = 0.3 ohr PSE supports, as def	n at frequencies up to 100 kH: ined in Table 33-13."	z at the highest (Class output power the
· ·		<i>L</i> 1	# 270	Replace by: "Zo_ps max = 0.3 ohr	ined in Table 33-13."	z at the highest (Class output power the
C/ 33A SC 33A	P 239	<i>L</i> 1	# 270	Replace by: "Zo_ps max = 0.3 ohr PSE supports, as def		z at the highest (Class output power the
Cl 33A SC 33A /seboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER I have a bunch of comu It will be clea	P 239 Philips	s 1 and 2.		Replace by: "Zo_ps max = 0.3 ohr PSE supports, as def Proposed Response Cl 33A SC 33A.1	ined in Table 33-13." Response Status O P 239	z at the highest (<i>L</i> 33	Class output power the # 273
C/ 33A SC 33A Seboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER I have a bunch of comm It will be clea editing instructions.	P 239 Philips Comment Status X ments on Annex 33A sections	s 1 and 2.		Replace by: "Zo_ps max = 0.3 ohr PSE supports, as def Proposed Response CI 33A SC 33A.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	ined in Table 33-13." <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 239 Philips		
C/ 33A SC 33A (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER I have a bunch of comm It will be cleat editing instructions. SuggestedRemedy	P 239 Philips Comment Status X ments on Annex 33A sections aner to replace Annex 33A rai	s 1 and 2. hter than convolu		Replace by: "Zo_ps max = 0.3 ohr PSE supports, as def Proposed Response Cl 33A SC 33A.1 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T	ined in Table 33-13." Response Status O P 239 Philips Comment Status X	L 33	# 273
Cl 33A SC 33A (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER I have a bunch of comm It will be clea editing instructions. SuggestedRemedy Add "Replace Annex 3	P 239 Philips Comment Status X ments on Annex 33A sections	s 1 and 2. hter than convolu		Replace by: "Zo_ps max = 0.3 ohr PSE supports, as def Proposed Response CI 33A SC 33A.1 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T "If Zo_ps < Zo_ser ar	ined in Table 33-13." <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 239 Philips	L 33 and V Port max	# 273
Cl 33A SC 33A /seboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER I have a bunch of common It will be clear editing instructions. SuggestedRemedy	P 239 Philips Comment Status X ments on Annex 33A sections aner to replace Annex 33A rai 3A" at the beginning of the A	s 1 and 2. hter than convolu		Replace by: "Zo_ps max = 0.3 ohr PSE supports, as def Proposed Response CI 33A SC 33A.1 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T "If Zo_ps < Zo_ser ar 11 during dynamic loa	ined in Table 33-13." <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 239 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X Id V Port is kept to V Port min ad changes from 10 Hz to 100	L 33 and V Port max	# 273
Cl 33A SC 33A (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER I have a bunch of comm It will be clear editing instructions. SuggestedRemedy Add "Replace Annex 3	P 239 Philips Comment Status X ments on Annex 33A sections aner to replace Annex 33A rai 3A" at the beginning of the A	s 1 and 2. hter than convolu		Replace by: "Zo_ps max = 0.3 ohr PSE supports, as def Proposed Response CI 33A SC 33A.1 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T "If Zo_ps < Zo_ser an 11 during dynamic load limited."	ined in Table 33-13." <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 239 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X Id V Port is kept to V Port min ad changes from 10 Hz to 100	L 33 and V Port max	# 273
Cl 33A SC 33A (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER I have a bunch of comm It will be clear editing instructions. SuggestedRemedy Add "Replace Annex 3	P 239 Philips Comment Status X ments on Annex 33A sections aner to replace Annex 33A rai 3A" at the beginning of the A	s 1 and 2. hter than convolu		Replace by: "Zo_ps max = 0.3 ohr PSE supports, as def Proposed Response C/ 33A SC 33A.1 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T "If Zo_ps < Zo_ser an 11 during dynamic loa limited." V_Port needs to be V	ined in Table 33-13." <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 239 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X Id V Port is kept to V Port min ad changes from 10 Hz to 100	L 33 and V Port max	# 273

C/ 33A SC 33A.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 239 Philips	L 36	# 274	C/ 33A SC 33A.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 241 Philips	L 1	# 276
Comment Type TR Con	nment Status X			Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
"Compliance to the above required impedance from 10 Hz to 100 cable length, or by presenting	kHz with a load of P				no less than 3 different font size he Z_ser @ frequency=0 belon		
This is an INFORMATIVE ann	ex. thus the word rec	uirements and co	ompliance is	SuggestedRemedy			
inappropriate. Also, PType is r	,				s here and predict this is a Yai		.af days.
SuggestedRemedy				In any case, fix font	his Figure mean & how can we size/type.	draw it better ?	
"Verification of these guideline from 10 Hz to 100 kHz with the Table 33-13 at short cable len	e maximum load per	the PSEs assign		Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
	oonse Status O			C/ 33A SC 33A.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 241 Philips	L 28	# 277
C/ 33A SC 33A.1	P 240	L 24	# 275	Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			In 33A.2 there are tw	wo lettered lists that have no re	lation.	
Comment Type ER Con "See Figure 33A-2 for the test	nment Status X setup and Figure 33	A-3 for the test re	quirements."	SuggestedRemedy Convert to dashed li	ist.		
Where do I begin ?				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	a number of issues. hat they are not usec Il that tells what to do			C/ 33A SC 33A.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 241 Philips	L 34	# 278
33A-3, describes "te	est requirements". Bu	ıt is just a figure.		Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
With an X axis in KI SuggestedRemedy	Iz but no values an	iywhere.		" including the PD which"	EMI output filter impedance fe	d by the cable (M	IDI) output impedance,
- Remove quoted text and Fig	ures 33A-2 and 33A-3	3.		- We usually refer to	the channel, not the cable		
Proposed Response Resp	oonse Status O			- The MDI is not the	cable. as "The mechanical and electr	cal or optical inte	rface between the
				SuggestedRemedy			
				" including the PD which"	EMI output filter impedance fe	d by the channel	output impedance,
				Make a similar corre	ection on line 37		
				Make a similar conc			

Comment Type TR Comment Status X Page 241, lines 41-54 make use of P_Port. It seems something went wrong when 802.3at was adopted. This parameter does not exist. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace P_Port by P_Port_PD in the referenced part. Proposed Response Response Status C/ 33C SC 33C.2 P 255 L 14 Yseboodt, Lennart Phillips Comment Type E Comment Status X IEEE Std 802.3bt-20xx is described as:										
"Bacause of this, measuring the PD input impedance is a complicated task and the following guidelines should be followed by the PD vendor." This is not standards language. SuggestedRemedy The following guidelines are recommended when measuring the PD input impedance." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33A SC 33A.2 P 241 L 43 # [280] Cl 33A SC 33A.2 P 241 L 43 # [280] Cl 33A SC 33A.2 P 241 L 43 # [280] Comment Type TR Comment Status X Page 241, lines 41-54 make use of P_Port. The base standard also has this issue. This parameter does not exist. SuggestedRemedy No clue. TFTD. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33C SC 33C.2 P 255 L 14 # [281] Potoodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Estor Mean Status X Itseems something went wrong when 802.3at was adopted. SuggestedRemedy No clue. TFTD. Proposed Response Response Status O C Cl 33C SC 33C.2 P 255 L 14 # [281] Comment Type E Comment Status X IEEE Std 802			L 41	# 279			L 14	# 282		
The following guidelines are recommended when measuring the PD input impedance:" Per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33A SC 33A.2 P 241 L 43 # [280] Cl 33A SC 33A.2 P 241 L 43 # [280] Cl 33A SC 33A.2 P 241 L 43 # [280] Cl 33A SC 33A.2 P 241 L 43 # [280] Comment Type TR Comment Status X Suggested/Rematly Suggested/Rematly Suggested/Remedy Page 241, lines 41-54 make use of P_Port. This parameter does not exist. Suggested/Remedy No clue. TFTD. Suggested/Remedy Replace P_Port by P_Port_PD in the referenced part. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33C SC 33C.2 P 255 L 14 # [281] Comment Type E Comment Status X IEEE Std 802.3bt-20xx is described as: provision of power via a single twisted pair to connected it Equipment 2 (DTE) with IEEE 802.3 interfaces." Suggested/Remedy Remove T_ME1 arrow in Figure 33C-12 and implement D2.0 #203 (which adds TCLE1). Seems like a spurious "2" after Equipment. Suggested/Remedy Remove T_ME1 arrow in Figure 33C-12 and implement D2.0 #203 (which add	"Because of this, measu	uring the PD input impedance		ed task and the	Table 79-2, should be		tandard. Review t	table numbers and		
The following guidelines are recommended when measuring the PD input impedance:* Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33A SC 33A.2 P 241 L 43 # 280 Cl 33A SC 33A.2 P 241 L 43 # 280 Cl 33A SC 33A.2 P 241 L 43 # 280 Cl ment Type TR Comment Status X Proposed Response Subsections 79.3.2.2 P 219 L 36 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Status X Subsections 79.3.2.2 P 219 L 36 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Status X Subsections 79.3.2.2 P 219 L 36 SuggestedRemedy Page 241, lines 41-54 make use of P_Port. The base standard also has this issue. It seems something went wrong when 802.3at was adopted. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status O O Cl 33C SC 33C.2 P 255 L 14 # 281 Cl made a mistake adopting comment D2.0 #203. Keiner Made and pair to connected is " provision of power via a single twisted pair to connected is " provision of power via a single twisted pair to connected is " provision of power via a single twisted pair to connected is " provision of power via a single twisted pair to connected is " provision										
Cl 33A SC 33A.2 P 241 L 43 # [280] Cl 33A SC 33A.2 P 241 L 43 # [280] Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type TR Comment Status X Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Status X Subsections 79.3.2.2 P 219 L 36 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type TR Comment Status X Subsections 79.3.2.2 P 219 L 36 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Status X Subsections 79.3.2.2 P 219 L 36 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Status X Subsections 79.3.2.2 P 219 L 36 SuggestedRemedy No clue. TFTD. Proposed Response Response Status O C Cl 33C SC 33C.2 P 255 L 14 # [281] Comment Type TR Comment Status X IEEE Std 802.3bt-20x is described as: " provision of power via a single twisted pair to connected IEquipment 2 (DTE) with IEEE 802.3 interfaces." SuggestedRemedy Seems like a spurious "2" after Equipment. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Seems like a spurious "2" after Equipment. SuggestedRemedy Seems like a spurious "2"	"The following guideline		easuring the PE) input impedance:"	Proposed Response	Response Status O				
Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type TR Comment Status X Page 241, lines 41-54 make use of P_Port. This parameter does not exist. Subsections 79.3.2.3 refer to fields that do not occur in an The base standard also has this issue. It seems something went wrong when 802.3at was adopted. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy No clue. TFTD. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33C SC 33C.2 P 255 L 14 # [281] Comment Type TR Comment Status X Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Scomment Type TR Comment Status X Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type TR Comment Status X Editor made a mistake adopting comment D2.0 #203. SuggestedRemedy Seems like a spurious "2" after Equipment. SuggestedRemedy Seems like a spurious "2" after Equipment. SuggestedRemedy Remove T_ME1 arrow in Figure 33C-12 and implement D2.0 #203 (which adds TCLE1). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy		, -					L 36	# 283		
SuggestedRemedy Replace P_Port by P_Port_PD in the referenced part. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33C SC 33C.2 P 255 L 14 # [281] Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type TR Comment Status X Editor made a mistake adopting comment D2.0 #203. SuggestedRemedy Seems like a spurious "2" after Equipment. SuggestedRemedy Remove T_ME1 arrow in Figure 33C-12 and implement D2.0 #203 (which adds TCLE1). Seems like a spurious "2" after Equipment.	eboodt, Lennart	Philips Comment Status X	L 43	# <u>280</u>	Subsections 79.3.2.2 and 79.3.2.3 refer to fields that do not occur in any of the tak The base standard also has this issue.					
Cl 33C SC 33C.2 P 255 L 14 # 281 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Philips Comment Type TR Comment Status X Editor made a mistake adopting comment D2.0 #203. Editor made a mistake adopting comment D2.0 #203. CL FM SC FM P 5 L 20 SuggestedRemedy Remove T_ME1 arrow in Figure 33C-12 and implement D2.0 #203 (which adds TCLE1). Editor made a spurious "2" after Equipment.	ggestedRemedy		t.		No clue. TFTD.	Response Status O	·			
C/ 33C SC 33C.2 P 255 L 14 # 281 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type TR Comment Status X Editor made a mistake adopting comment D2.0 #203. Editor made a mistake adopting comment D2.0 #203. IEEE Std 802.3bt-20xx is described as: " provision of power via a single twisted pair to connected Equipment 2 (DTE) with IEEE 802.3 interfaces." SuggestedRemedy Remove T_ME1 arrow in Figure 33C-12 and implement D2.0 #203 (which adds TCLE1). Seems like a spurious "2" after Equipment. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Seems like a spurious "2" after Equipment.	oposed Response	Response Status O				-	L 20	# 284		
Remove T_ME1 arrow in Figure 33C-12 and implement D2.0 #203 (which adds TCLE1). SuggestedRemedy	eboodt, Lennart mment Type TR	Philips Comment Status X		# 281	Comment Type E Comment Status X IEEE Std 802.3bt-20xx is described as: " provision of power via a single twisted pair to connected Data Termir					
	Remove T_ME1 arrow in Figure 33C-12 and implement D2.0 #203 (which adds TCLE1).				SuggestedRemedy	a spurious "2" after Equipmer	nt.			
Proposed Response Response Status O					Proposed Response	Response Status 0				

					0 1					
C/ FM	SC FM	P 5	L 30	# 285	C/ 33	SC 33.4.3	P 169	L 13	# 287	
rseboodt,	Lennart	Philips			Zimmerma	an, George	CME Consulti	ing, Aqua		
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status X			
The de	escription of IEE	E Std 802.3bt-20xx in the from	ntmatter seems	rather incomplete.			e balance limits are in a nons			
Suggested	-					called out as dE praces and dB ir	values in the header or have subscript.	a straight (roma	in) dB after them, not ir	
Replace by: Amendement 10 This amendement changes IEEE Std 802.3-2015 and					SuggestedRemedy					
replaces Clause 33. This amendement adds power delivery using all four pairs in the structured wiring plant, resulting in greater power being available to end devices. This amendement also allows for lower standby power consumption in end devices and adds a mechanism to				Change middle column header to read "Impedance balance limit (dB)", delete curly brace and subscript dB. Alternatively, simply remove curly braces and make the dB normal for not a subscript, with no change to column header Proposed Response Response Status O						
	manage the ava Response	ailable power budget.								
Toposeu	Response	Response Status O			C/ 33	SC 33.6.5	P 190	L 27	# 288	
C/ 22D	SC 220	Date	1.4	# [000]	Zimmerma	an, George	CME Consulti	ing, Aqua		
C/ 33B Yseboodt,	SC 33B Lennart	P 245 Philips	<i>L</i> 1	# 286	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X			
Comment		Comment Status X			voltag and w	es to the PI of a as pointed out ir	view environmental section - PSE or a PD shall not result i the BZ and BU sponsor ballo	in any safety haz ots that it is ill-de	zard.' this is a shall, fined and non-	
of a P		palance requirements (R PSE with R load_max and R load_			wildeb	east stampede	azard might include the attrac caused by the ringing telephor eferring to the General safety	ne. Need to be	specific. 802.3bz and	
This is a KEY requirement for PSEs to meet. It is the essence of 4-pair					SuggestedRemedy					

This is a KEY requirement for PSEs to meet. It is the essence of 4-pair unbalance, and the counterpart of the PD requirement in 33.3.8.10.

This requirement should not be lurking in an Annex, where it may get overlooked, this needs to be in the main text.

SuggestedRemedy

Adopt yseboodt_05_1116_annex33b.pdf.

This baseline will endeavor to:

- Move the requirements into 33.2.8.4.1
- 'Unshall' some text in 33B that should not be a requirement, but informative
- Make Annex 33B an informative Annex if possible

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Change "Application of any of the above voltages to the PI of a PSE or a PD shall not result in any safety hazard." to read ""Application of any of the above voltages to the PI of a PSE or a PD shall not preclude conformance with 33.6.1 and 33.6.2."

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 33 SC 33.6.3	P 190	L 5	# 289	C/ 30 SC 30.12			# 292		
Zimmerman, George	CME Consultir	ng, Aqua		Zimmerman, George	CME C	onsulting, Aqua			
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status	х			
may be relevant to installa The reader should be adv	w environmental section - F ation and maintenance of s rised to consult these docur	ystems governe ments, adding c	d by this standard. larity to the statement	Table 79-7g doesn't exist. I think this is refering to Table 79-7c (PSE measurements), occurs two times (lines 40, 52) SuggestedRemedy Change Table 79-7g cross reference to 79-7c in both occurances					
0	egulations. This change wa	as also made in	PODL.						
installation practice and lo the ampacity of cabling, a – National Electric Code®	nd sentence in 33.6.3 follow ocal regulations: "In particul as installed, and local codes (NEC®), relevant to the m ning "In addition, Annex 55	ar, users are ca and regulation aximum class s	utioned to be aware of s, e.g., ANSI/NFPA 70 upported."	Proposed Response	Response Status	0			
Proposed Response	Response Status O								
	P 169	L 15	# 290						
Zimmerman, George	CME Consultir	ng, Aqua							
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X								
require the extra ".0" in th	ficant digits - Table 33-35 a e limit. This accuracy is un inconsistent with frequency nd unnecessary.	usual, inconsiste	ent with the usual "3						
SuggestedRemedy									
delete ".0" from all freque	ncy limits in tables 33-35 a	nd 33-36 on pag	ges 169 and 170						
Proposed Response	Response Status O								
C/ 30 SC 30.12.2.1.18	-	L 15 ng, Aqua	# 291						
C/ 30 SC 30.12.2.1.18 Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Table 79-7f doesn't exist.	3a P 36	ng, Aqua							
Cl 30 SC 30.12.2.1.18 Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Table 79-7f doesn't exist. two times (lines 15, 28) SuggestedRemedy	Ba P 36 CME Consultir Comment Status X I think this is refering to Ta	ng, Aqua ble 79-7b (PD n							
Cl 30 SC 30.12.2.1.18 Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Table 79-7f doesn't exist. two times (lines 15, 28) SuggestedRemedy Change Table 79-7f cross	Ba P 36 CME Consultir Comment Status X	ng, Aqua ble 79-7b (PD n							