CIO SCO	Р	L	# r03-1	C/ FM SC FM	P 23	L 4	# r03-3
Anslow, Peter	Ciena Corpora	ation		Anslow, Peter	Ciena Corpora	ation	
	Comment Status X le appears to be set correctly a ll of the other clause files	as 201x in the	front matter, but is	Comment Type E "Amendment:" should SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X be "Amendment 2:"		
SuggestedRemedy				Change "Amendment:	" to "Amendment 2:"		
3 →	ar variable to 201x for all files in	h the draft.		Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
C/FM SC FM	P	1	# r03-2	C/ 1 SC 1.4.288a	· ·	L 22	# r03-4
Anslow, Peter	, Ciena Corpora	ation	# 103-2	Anslow, Peter	Ciena Corpora	ation	
	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E	ariable is set to 2017 in the tab	la of contanta i	ile.		the revision project D3.0 has	caused the defi	nition of "FORCE
.,	anable is set to 2017 in the tab	le of contents	lie	mode" in 1.4.254 to be As a consequence of	e deleted. this, all of the definition numbe	ers above 254 h	ave reduced their
SuggestedRemedy				numbering by 1.			
Change the copyright	_year variable to 2018 for the t	able of conten	ts file.	SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Change all definition r instructions and the de	umbers in the draft above 1.4. efinition numbers.	.254 down by 1	in both the editing
C/1 SC 1	P1	L1	# -02.64	For example,	1.4.288 "Idle mode" as follows:	." boomoo:	
/seboodt, Lennart	P I Philips Lightin	-	# r03-64		1.4.287 "Idle mode" as follows:		
	1 5	9		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type GR *** Comment submitte	Comment Status X ed with the file 96117100003-y	seboodt_01_0	318_current.pdf attached				
This comment include	es yseboodt_01.						
SuggestedRemedy							
NA							

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Pa **24** Li **22**

<u>.</u>								
	SC 1.4.254	P 24	L 30	# r03-98	C/ 1 SC 1.4.490		L12	# r03-83
Thompson, Ge		Individual			Stewart, Heath	Analog Dev	ices inc.	
Comment Type		Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
The resolu Comment		pson comment put into D3.3	is essentially a	REJECT of D3.2	First word in sentend	ce needs caps.		
The text in	n D3.3 cl. 1.4.3	309 is not a satisfactory reso			SuggestedRemedy			
		309 is not technically correct e proposed definition.	in the full conte	ext of IEEE Std 802.3	Change "see" to "Se	e"		
WHICH IS UI	le scope of th	e proposed deminion.			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Your defin				- "				
		n of the link segment from th a subset of the following defir		D"	C/ 1 SC 1.4.49) P 25	L16	# r03-84
"1.4.290 lii	"1.4.290 link segment: The point-to-point full-duplex medium connection between two and only two Medium Dependent Interfaces (MDIs)."				Stewart, Heath	Analog Dev	-	
only two M	ledium Deper	ndent Interfaces (MDIs)."			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
In a clause	e 33 Type 1/2	instance with a midspan PS	E, the link secti	on is entirely separate	First word in sentend			
from the link segment. Reference: P802.3cj/D3.0, Figure 33-610BASE-T/100BASE-TX Midspan PSE location					SuggestedRemedy			
	Alternative B		100BASE-1X1	vildspan PSE location	Change "see" to "Se	e"		
					-			
Sunnestenker						Doopopoo Statuo O		
SuggestedRen Delete the		e definition of "link section" fr	om the P802.3	bt draft and leave the	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Delete the	e change to the	e definition of "link section" fr 1" in P802.3Rev (P802.3cj)/D		bt draft and leave the	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Delete the	e change to the of "link sectior			bt draft and leave the	C/ 1 SC 1.4.492	2 P25	L23	# r03-95
Delete the definition of	e change to the of "link sectior	n" in P802.3Rev (P802.3cj)/D		bt draft and leave the		,		# r03-95
Delete the definition of Proposed Res	e change to the of "link section sponse	n" in P802.3Rev (P802.3cj)/D Response Status O	3 unchanged.		C/ 1 SC 1.4.492	2 P25		# <mark>r03-95</mark>
Delete the definition of Proposed Res	e change to the of "link section sponse SC 1.4.454a	n" in P802.3Rev (P802.3cj)/D	3 unchanged.	bt draft and leave the # <u>r03-5</u>	C/ 1 SC 1.4.49 Stover, David Comment Type E	2 P25 Analog Dev	ices Inc.	
Delete the definition of Proposed Res, Cl 1 S Anslow, Peter	e change to the of "link section sponse SC 1.4.454a	n" in P802.3Rev (P802.3cj)/D Response Status 0 P 25	3 unchanged.		C/ 1 SC 1.4.492 Stover, David Comment Type E "Insert 1.4.492a to 1	2 P25 Analog Dev Comment Status X	ices Inc.	
Cl 1 S Cl 1 S Cl 1 S Cl 1 S Cl 1 S Cl 1 S Comment Type In "Insert 1	e change to the of "link section sponse SC 1.4.454a Pe E 1.4.454a befor	n" in P802.3Rev (P802.3cj)/D Response Status O P25 Ciena Corpora Comment Status X re 1.4.454 (single-port device	L1 L1 htion):", "before" sh	# <u>r03-5</u>	Cl 1 SC 1.4.492 Stover, David Comment Type E "Insert 1.4.492a to 1 1.4.492? SuggestedRemedy	2 P25 Analog Dev Comment Status X	ices Inc.	
Cl 1 S Cl 1 S Cl 1 S Cl 1 S Comment Type In "Insert 1 Same issue	e change to the of "link section sponse SC 1.4.454a Pe E 1.4.454a befor ue in editing in	n" in P802.3Rev (P802.3cj)/D Response Status O P25 Ciena Corpora Comment Status X re 1.4.454 (single-port device istruction for 1.4.492a on line	L1 L1 ation 9):", "before" sh	# <u>r03-5</u>	Cl 1 SC 1.4.492 Stover, David Comment Type E "Insert 1.4.492a to 1 1.4.492? SuggestedRemedy	2 P25 Analog Dev Comment Status X .4.492d before 1.4.492" Sh	ices Inc.	
Cl 1 Same issu Cl n "Insert 1 Same issu See also p	e change to the of "link section sponse SC 1.4.454a Pe E 1.4.454a befor ue in editing in previous comm	n" in P802.3Rev (P802.3cj)/D Response Status O P25 Ciena Corpora Comment Status X re 1.4.454 (single-port device	L1 L1 ation 9):", "before" sh	# <u>r03-5</u>	Cl 1 SC 1.4.492 Stover, David Comment Type E "Insert 1.4.492a to 1 1.4.492? SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert bei	2 P25 Analog Dev <i>Comment Status</i> X .4.492d before 1.4.492" Sh	ices Inc.	
Cl 1 Same issu Cl 1 Same issu Comment Type In "Insert 1 Same issu See also p	e change to the of "link section sponse SC 1.4.454a Pe E 1.4.454a befor ue in editing in previous comm	n" in P802.3Rev (P802.3cj)/D Response Status O P25 Ciena Corpora Comment Status X re 1.4.454 (single-port device istruction for 1.4.492a on line	L1 L1 ation 9):", "before" sh	# <u>r03-5</u>	Cl 1 SC 1.4.492 Stover, David Comment Type E "Insert 1.4.492a to 1 1.4.492? SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert bei	2 P25 Analog Dev <i>Comment Status</i> X .4.492d before 1.4.492" Sh	ices Inc.	
Cl 1 Same issu See also p SuggestedRer Change: "Insert 1.4	e change to the of "link section sponse SC 1.4.454a we E 1.4.454a befor ue in editing in previous comm medy I.454a before	n" in P802.3Rev (P802.3cj)/D Response Status O P25 Ciena Corpora Comment Status X re 1.4.454 (single-port device) nent about definition number 1.4.454 (single-port device):"	L1 L1 ation e):", "before" sh e 22 ing.	# <u>r03-5</u>	Cl 1 SC 1.4.492 Stover, David Comment Type E "Insert 1.4.492a to 1 1.4.492? SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert bei	2 P25 Analog Dev <i>Comment Status</i> X .4.492d before 1.4.492" Sh	ices Inc.	
C/ 1 Same issu See also p SuggestedRer Change: "Insert 1.4	e change to the of "link section sponse SC 1.4.454a Pe E 1.4.454a befor ue in editing in previous comm medy I.454a before I.453a after 1.	n" in P802.3Rev (P802.3cj)/D Response Status O P25 Ciena Corpora Comment Status X re 1.4.454 (single-port device istruction for 1.4.492a on line nent about definition number	L1 L1 ation e):", "before" sh e 22 ing.	# <u>r03-5</u>	Cl 1 SC 1.4.492 Stover, David Comment Type E "Insert 1.4.492a to 1 1.4.492? SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert bei	2 P25 Analog Dev <i>Comment Status</i> X .4.492d before 1.4.492" Sh	ices Inc.	
Cl 1 Same issu See also p SuggestedRer. Change: "Insert 1.4 Change: "Insert 1.4 Change: "Insert 1.4	e change to the of "link section sponse SC 1.4.454a be E 1.4.454a befor ue in editing in previous comm medy I.454a before I.453a after 1. 2 change: I.492a to 1.4.4	n" in P802.3Rev (P802.3cj)/D Response Status O P25 Ciena Corpora Comment Status X re 1.4.454 (single-port device) instruction for 1.4.492a on line nent about definition number 1.4.453 (single-port device):" 4.453 (single-port device):"	L1 L1 ation a):", "before" sh a 22 ing. ' to: PSE" as follows	# <u>r03-5</u> ould be "after".	Cl 1 SC 1.4.492 Stover, David Comment Type E "Insert 1.4.492a to 1 1.4.492? SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert bei	2 P25 Analog Dev <i>Comment Status</i> X .4.492d before 1.4.492" Sh	ices Inc.	
Cl 1 Same issu See also p SuggestedRer. Change: "Insert 1.4 Change: "Insert 1.4 Change: "Insert 1.4	e change to the of "link section sponse SC 1.4.454a be E 1.4.454a befor ue in editing in previous comm medy 1.454a before 1.453a after 1. 2 change: 1.492a to 1.4.4	" in P802.3Rev (P802.3cj)/D Response Status O P25 Ciena Corpora Comment Status X re 1.4.454 (single-port device istruction for 1.4.492a on line nent about definition number 1.4.454 (single-port device):"	L1 L1 ation a):", "before" sh a 22 ing. ' to: PSE" as follows	# <u>r03-5</u> ould be "after".	Cl 1 SC 1.4.492 Stover, David Comment Type E "Insert 1.4.492a to 1 1.4.492? SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert bei	2 P25 Analog Dev <i>Comment Status</i> X .4.492d before 1.4.492" Sh	ices Inc.	

Pa **25** Li **23**

C/ 14 SC 14.3.1	.1	P 27	L 9	# r03-6		CI 30	SC 30.12.2.	1.17b	P 47	L18	# r03-81
Anslow, Peter		Ciena Corpor	ation			Law, David			Hewlett Pack	ard Enter	
Comment Type E	Comn	nent Status X				Comment Ty	vpe T	Comme	ent Status X		
The editing instruct only the first paragr			/s "Change 14.	3.1.1 as follows:"	but	Group (o	oLldpXdot3Loo	SystemsGro	oup) managed ob	pject class and th	the Local System e Remote System
SuggestedRemedy											ilarly a PD that supports oup managed object
Move the editing in the first paragraph			for 14.3.1.1 and	d change it to: "Cl	nange	class an	d the Remote	System Gro	oup managed obj	ect. The informa	tion in the Local System hitted TLVs, the Remote
Proposed Response	Respo	nse Status O				System		ed object cla			TLVs. This the case
									c/jan17/802d3bt_	_law_01_0117.pd	f> for more details.
C/ 30 SC 30.9.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	.1.5	P 39 Philips Lightir	L 33 ng	# r03-20)						needs to have a defined ample 30.12.2.1.17b
Comment Type T OOS	Comn	nent Status X				that the	PD has currer	ntly requeste	d from the remot	e system for the	PD, it is the power value Mode B pairset. For a mirrors back to the
"Type 3 and Type 4	PSEs do no	t use the values 'te	st' or 'otherFaul	t' "		remote s	system.'.				
											assCompleted just
Actually, these PSE	s don't use "	fault", but do use 'o	otherFault'.						eturns a bit string		er the local PSE an example Table 79-9
SuggestedRemedy						'IÉEE 80)2.3 Organizat	ionally Spec	ific TLV/LLDP Lo	ocal System Gro	up managed object
Change to: "Type 3 and Type 4	PSEs do no	t use the values 'te	st' or 'fault'."						the 'Autoclass co		napped from the 0.3.2.6f.2 'Autoclass
Proposed Response		nse Status O				complet this the	ed' states that	'When the F ne aLldpXdo	Power type is PD t3LocAutoclassC	this field shall be	e set to 0.'. Based on te should really state
						79. As a for a PD pair ext	n example su the contents is mapped fro	bclause 30.1 of this attribu m the aLldp>	2.2.1.18e aLldp> ute are undefined <dot3locpowerp< td=""><td><pre>{dot3LocPowerP .'. Table 79-9 sta airsExt attribute</pre></td><td>not aligned with Clause airsExt states that ' ates that the PSE power yet subclause PD shall set the field to</td></dot3locpowerp<>	<pre>{dot3LocPowerP .'. Table 79-9 sta airsExt attribute</pre>	not aligned with Clause airsExt states that ' ates that the PSE power yet subclause PD shall set the field to
						SuggestedR	emedy				
						Ensure t object cl Clause 3	hat (a) Clause ass attributes 30 Local Syste s behaviours i	have define m Group an	d behaviours for d Remote System	both a PD and a m Group manag	n Group managed PSE instance and (b) ed object class ds they are mapped

Pa **47** Li **18**

C/ 30 SC 30.12.2.1.18d P48 L35 # r03-21 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting	C/ 33 SC 33.4.2 P70 L27 # r03-7 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Figure 100-7 Figu
Comment Type E Comment Status X OOS	Comment Type E Comment Status X "55.8.2.3" and "126.8.2.4" have been added to the text of this paragraph, but are not show in underline font.
Management object "aLldpXdot3LocPDPoweringStatus" name does not match with corresponding LLDP field, which is called 'PD Powered Status field'.	SuggestedRemedy Show "55.8.2.3" and "126.8.2.4" in underline font.
SuggestedRemedy Change to "aLldpXdot3LocPDPoweringStatus" to "aLldpXdot3LocPDPoweredStatus" in the draft.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 33 SC 33.4.3 P70 L54 # r03-8 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Ciena Corporation Ciena Corporation
C/ 30 SC 30.12.2.1.18m P51 L23 # r03-71 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enter Comment Type E Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X The editing instruction is "Change 33.4.3 as follows:". However, the content of 33.4.3 in the base standard below Equation (33-16) is missing, so it is unclear what should be done with it.
Typo, missing semicolon at end of 'behaviour defined as' text. Other instances as follows:	SuggestedRemedy Bring Equation (33-17) and Figure 33-20 in to the draft to clarify whether they should be removed or not.
	Bring Equation (33-17) and Figure 33-20 in to the draft to clarify whether they should be
Other instances as follows: Subclause 30.12.2.1.18c; Page 48; Line 33; Subclause 30.12.2.1.18d; Page 48; Line 45; Subclause 30.12.2.1.18m; page 51; line 24; Subclause 30.12.2.1.18n; page 51; line 34; Subclause 30.12.2.1.18p; page 52; line 3; Subclause 30.12.3.1.18c; page 59; line 32; Subclause 30.12.3.1.18d; page 59; line 45;	Bring Equation (33-17) and Figure 33-20 in to the draft to clarify whether they should be removed or not. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.4.3 P71 L8 # r03-9 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status X There should be a non-breaking space (Ctrl space) between a number and its unit, so

Pa **71** Li **8**

C/ 33 SC 33.4.9.1	P 73 Ciena Corporat	L 24	# r03-10	C/ 33 Yseboodt,	SC 33.4.9 .4	b.2	P 76 Philips Lightir	L 49	#	r03-23
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment		Comme	nt Status X			
21	list starts with 3) in strikethrough	n font. This sh	ould be 4) in	OOS	iype i	Comme				
SuggestedRemedy Change it to 4) in stri	kethrough font				lations that resement of 67 dB		XT loss values gr	reater than 67 dE	3 shall reve	ert to a
Proposed Response	Response Status O			We ch	anged this in C	lause 145 bu	it forgot to update	e Clause 33.		
				Suggested	Remedy					
CI 33 SC 33.4.9.1 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T	b.1 P76 Philips Lighting Comment Status X	L35	# r03-22		the computed at that frequen	cy is for inforr	alue at a certain f nation only." se <i>Status</i> O	requency excee	ds 67 dB, t	he PSAFEX
OOS										
"Calculations that res requirement of 67 dB	ult in PSANEXT loss values gre minimum."	ater than 67 dl	3 shall revert to a	<i>Cl</i> 79 Anslow, Pe	SC 79.3 eter		P 85 Ciena Corpor	L 19 ration	#	r03-11
We changed this in C	lause 145 but forgot to update (Clause 33.		Comment	Туре Е	Comme	nt Status X			
uggestedRemedy	0						ncluded in the re-			
	PSANEXT value at a certain fre	ds 67 dB, the	be an amendment of. The editing instruction should therefore not include mention of IE Std 802.3br-2016. Same issue in the editing instructions for: 79.3.8 on page 96, line 12 79.5.12 on page 107, line 31							
and remove the paraget	graph break in 145.4.9.4.1 for th	e equivalent se	entence.	Suggested	Remedv					
roposed Response Response Status O				Delete Delete 79.3.8 79.5.12	"(as modified "(as inserted b on page 96, lin 2 on page 107	by IEEE Std 8 ne 12	802.3br-2016)" he 802.3br-2016)" in			
				Proposed I	Response	Respons	e Status O			

Pa **85** Li **19**

CI 79 SC 79.3	P 85	L 38	# r03-12	CI 79 SC 79.3.	8 P 96	L12	# <u>r</u> 03-24
Anslow, Peter	Ciena Corpor	ration		Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips Lighti	ng	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
	Table 79-1 there is a "7" in stri 255", so this should be "8" in str			Editing instruction: follows:"	"Insert 79.3.8 after 79.3.7 (as ins	serted by IEEE S	otd 802.3br-2016) as
SuggestedRemedy				must be updated p	er rebase to 802.3-2018		
Change "7" to "8"				SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0				79.3.8 after 79.3.7 as follows:"		
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C 79 SC 79.3.2	P 85	L 48	# r03-13				
nslow, Peter	Ciena Corpo	ration		C/ 79 SC 79.3.	8 <i>P</i> 96	L16	# r03-25
omment Type E	Comment Status X			Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips Lighti	-	# 105-25
At the bottom of the However:	first paragraph of 79.3.2 is the	text "as define	ed in 33.5 and 145.5."	Comment Type E	Comment Status X	5	
33.6 exists in the dra	as "33.6" here rather than "33.6 aft, so "33.6" should be a cross so it should not be underlined			"Clause 33 defines Sourcing Equipme	two optional power entities: a Po nt (PSE)."	owered Device (F	PD) and Power
SuggestedRemedy				Ignores existence of	of Clause 145.		
	rest green and underlined font t			SuggestedRemedy			
	oss-reference with no underline			"Clause 33 and Cla Power Sourcing Ec	ause 145 define two optional pow	ver entities: a Po	wered Device (PD) a
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
				r roposed Response	Response Status U		
C 79 SC 79.3.2	P 86	L14	# r03-14			1.01	"
Inslow, Peter	Ciena Corpor	ration		Cl 79 SC 79.3 . Anslow, Peter	8.1 P96 Ciena Corpor	L 31	# r03-15
Comment Type E	Comment Status X				·	auon	
" the Power Interfa as defined in 1.4.40	ace (PI), as defined in 1.4.337." 6."	' should be " tl	ne Power Interface (PI),	0	Comment Status X erted in 79.3.8.1 comes after Ta	ble 79-8 in 79.3.	7.2 of the base
SuggestedRemedy				standard, so it sho			
Change "1.4.337" to) "1.4.406"			SuggestedRemedy	umbor to bo Tablo 70.80		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			6	umber to be Table 79-8a		
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		

<i>Cl</i> 79 <i>SC</i> 79.3.8.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 97 Philips Lighting	L 23	# r03-26	C/ 79 SC 79.4.2 Law, David	P 99 Hewlett Packs	L 30 ard Enter	# r03-72
Comment Type T OOS	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Typo, 'PSE power p 79.3.2.6c.3.	Comment Status X air ext' should read 'PSE power	pairs ext' basec	l on subclause
In column "Bit" number corresponding "value/r	r 153:152, the labeled bit numbe neaning" column.	rs 121 and 1	20 are wrong in the	SuggestedRemedy	to read ' pairs ext'.		
SuggestedRemedy Change to: Bit numbers 153 and 1	52			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			<i>Cl</i> 79 <i>SC</i> 79.5.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	2 P107 Philips Lightir	L 31	# r03-27
C/ 79 SC 79.3.8.2	P 98 Ciena Corporatio	L 51 n	# r03-16	Comment Type E Editing instruction: " 2016 as follows:"	Comment Status X Insert subclause 79.5.12 after 7	9.5.11 as insert	ed by IEEE Std 802.3b
equation in 79.3.8.2 sh uggestedRemedy	on (79-1) in 79.3.2.5 and Equatio ould be Equation (79-1) umber from "(79-1a)" to "(79-1)"	on (79-2) in 79	9.3.2.6, the new	SuggestedRemedy	he rebase on 802.3-2018 ubclause 79.5.12 after 79.5.11 a	as follows:"	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 79 SC 79.4.2 Anslow, Peter	P 99 Ciena Corporatic	L 15 n	# <u>r03-17</u>	C/ 145 SC 145.1. Anslow, Peter	Ciena Corpor	L 51 ation	# r03-18
Comment Type E These two tables have	Comment Status X been re-numbered in the revision	n as Table 7	9-10 and Table 79-11		Comment Status X umbers on lines 52 and 54 have	changed in the	revision.
	ooro from 70.0 and 70.10 to 70.1	10 and 79-11	and modify the editing		1.4.337" to "1.4.406" 1.4.269" to "1.4.324"		
SuggestedRemedy Change the table numl	Jers nonn 79-9 and 79-10 to 79-			0			

Pa **112** Li **51**

C/ 145 SC 145.1.3 Yseboodt, Lennart	B P113 Philips Lighting	L 47	# r03-28	C/ 145 Brillhart, The	SC 145.1.4	P115 Fluke Corporat	L 14 tion	# r03-69	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	be TR	Comment Status X			
	r systems that provide Class 4 po Cableone carrying (+ I Cable) a ."		Telecom provides ISO/IEC 'Informat	munications c guidance for I JTC1 SC25 V ion technology	29125 Technical Specification abling requirements for remote emote powering on new cablin /G3 is working on a revision of / Implementation and operatistication which is currently in	e powering of t ng installations f the ISO/IEC tion of custom	arriant equipment' and renovations 14763-2 standard er premises cabling		
Implies the	at there are 2-pair systems that pr	ovide more th	nan Class 4.						
reads: "All four tv	sed change links nicely to the new visted pairs, connected from PSE reater than Class 4 power at the F	PI to PD PI a		specifica currents	tion, planning, per conductor	ndard will add the requirement installation and administratior of up to 500 mA. It mandates dings and refurbishment of ex	n of cabling int those requirer	ended to support ments for all installatior	
the PSE to source greater than Class 4 power at the PSE PI" SuggestedRemedy Change to: "In a 2-pair system two twisted pairs are required to source I Cableone carrying (+ I Cable) and one carrying (- I Cable), from the perspective of the PI. Such systems are restricted to Class 4 power."					Subclause 145.1.4 Cabling requirements states 'Under worst-case conditions, Type 3 operation requires a 10 degree C reduction in the maximum ambient temperature when cable pairs are energized at ICable (see Table 145-1), or a 5 degree C reduction in the maximum ambient temperature when half of the cable pairs are energized at ICable.' This statement is not correct since the 10 degree C reduction covers a 100 cables bund				
Proposed Response	Response Status O			in air (ve	ntilated) and the to ISO/IEC 1	herefore does not correspond 4763-2 should be made as thi	to worse case	conditions. Instead a	

SuggestedRemedy

Change the second paragraph of 145.1.4 to read 'Requirements for the planning of all types of PSEs are provided in ISO/IEC CD 14763-2 supported by the information in ISO/IEC TS 29125 and TIA TSB-184-A, as well as applicable local codes and regulations, e.g., ANSI/NFPA 70 - National Electric Code(R) (NEC(R)) for more information.'

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

Pa **115** Li **14**

Cl 145 SC 145.1.4 P115 L19 # r03-99 Diminico, Christopher	C/ 145 SC 145.2.4 P125 L5 # r03-30 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting
Comment Type G Comment Status X The cautionary note on the use of cables with conductors smaller than 26 AWG should be replaced with reference to TIA-TSB-184-A Annex E. which is to provide installation guidelines to support the delivery of power over installations with 28 AWG cord cable. SuggestedRemedy Replace cautionary note with reference to TIA-TSB-184-A Annex E in development under TR42.7 which is to provide installation guidelines to support the delivery of power over installations with 28 AWG cord cable. Dresentations to be provided	Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The PSE shall meet all specifications related to current on the negative pair or pairs unless otherwise noted." We need to review all references to current, specifically to 'pairset current'. SuggestedRemedy Adopt yseboodt_01_0318_current.pdf Proposed Response Response Status O
Presentation to be provided. Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.2 P126 L8 # r03-31 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting
C/ 145 SC 145.2.1 P116 L28 # [r03-29] Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting	Comment Type E Comment Status X OOS
Comment Type E Comment Status X OOS "PSE Type is a constant."	"Table 145-5State diagram operator precedence, highest precedence at the top" This is careless text, the typical construction would be "State diagram operators in order of precedence (highest to lowest)"
What do we really want here ? 'constant' may mean for the life of the product. Don't we really mean that the Type does not change outside of IDLE ? SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The PSE Type can only change when the PSE state diagram (Figure 145-13) is in the IDLE state."	SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Table 145-5State diagram operators in order of precedence (highest to lowest)" Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	

Pa **126** Li **8**

C/ 145 SC 145.2.5 . (seboodt, Lennart	4 P135 Philips Lighting	L 34	# r03-32	C/ 145 SC 145.2.5 Law, David	.5 P137 Hewlett Pack	L 45 ard Enter	# r03-77	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X			
OOS				Other timers include that a reference to th	a reference to the relevant syn e relevant symbol in Table 145	5-10 be provided	for the tdet2det_timer	
	that is used to cause the PSE t n the POWER ON state."	o re-evaluate	the value of		ne reference should be formati	ed as other sim	ilar references.	
pse_ss_mode in it is in	The TOWER ON State.			SuggestedRemedy				
- missing u - 'state' not				10.'.	r. See Table 145-10.' to read '	on the other; se	e I det2det in Table 14	
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status O			
Change to: "A variable that is use is in POWER_ON."	ed to cause the PSE to re-evalu	ate the value of	of pse_ss_mode when it	C/ 145 SC 145.2.5		L32	# <u>r03-78</u>	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Law, David	Hewlett Pack	ard Enter		
				Comment Type T	Comment Status X			
C/ 145 SC 145.2.5. /seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E	4 P137 Philips Lighting Comment Status X	L 3	# r03-33	pd_req_pwr_pri varia isn't defined in subcla	e variables returned by the do_ ble it is stated 'See pd_req_pv ause 145.2.5.4, instead it's def e exists for the pd_req_pwr_se	vr_pri in 145.2.5 ined in the do_c	.4.'. The pd_req_pwr_p lassification_pri functio	
OOS				SuggestedRemedy				
	g transition into the POWER_O	N state;"		do_classification_pri				
Remove st	ate.			See do_classification	_sec: See pd_req_pwr_sec in sec function.'.	145.2.5.4. to re	ad pd_red_pwr_sec:	
SuggestedRemedy Change to:				Proposed Response	Response Status O			
" following transition Also change on line 5								
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 145 SC 145.2.5 Yseboodt, Lennart	.6 P140 Philips Lighti	L 37 ng	# r03-34	
				Comment Type E	Comment Status X			
			"pd_class_sig_pri: Th Table 145-11 and 14	ne PD class signature seen du 5.2.8."	ring the most re	cent class event; see		
			This is about the class signature and should point to Table 145-13 in stead.					
				SuggestedRemedy				
			Change link from Tab	ble 145-11 to 145-13 and make	e the same char	nge for		

Cl 145 SC 145.2.5.6 Darshan, Yair	6 P1 42	L 44	# <u>r03-104</u>	C/ 145 SC 145.2.5. Darshan, Yair	7 <i>P</i> 149	L 17	# <u>r03-100</u>
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
pse_allocated_pwr line line space from the ne	e need to be aligned to the oth xt line.	er variables and	d need to be with one	INIT_PRI to START_0	achine issue regarding the locat CXN_CHK_DETECT that need to		
SuggestedRemedy					for comment and remedy.		
	_pwr line to the left to align with between pse_allocated_pwr t			SuggestedRemedy Adopt darshan_01_0	318.pdf		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
<i>Cl</i> 145 <i>SC</i> 145.2.5.6 Darshan, Yair	6 P142	L 49	# <u>r03-105</u>		2 P159 Philips Lighting	L 33	# r03-35
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
pse_allocated_pwr_pri one line space from the	line need to be aligned to the e next line.	other variables	and need to be with	oos			
SuggestedRemedy				Equation 145-1 has a	smaller font than other equation	ns.	
	_pwr_pri line to the left to aligi ted pwr pri.	n with		SuggestedRemedy Change to Framemak	er 'medium' size equation to ali	an with rest of c	doc.
	between pse_allocated_pwr_	pri to		Proposed Response	Response Status O	g	
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
<i>Cl</i> 145 <i>SC</i> 145.2.5.6 Darshan, Yair	6 P 142	L 54	# <u>r03-106</u>				
Comment Type E pse_allocated_pwr_se	Comment Status X c line need to be aligned to the	e other variable	S				
SuggestedRemedy	wr_sec line to the left to align	with do update	pse allocated pwr. pri				
	_ 0						
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						

Pa **159** Li **33**

C/ 145 SC 145.2.7 P161 L7 # [r03-36] Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting	C/ 145 SC 145.2.7 P161 L17 # r03-93 Stover, David Analog Devices Inc. Figure 100 - 100
Comment Type TR Comment Status X OOS Connection check PSE PI voltage requirements differ from those of detection. Detection: 1. Voc applies for an open circuit 2. Isc applies for a short circuit	Comment Type TR Comment Status X PSE connection check criteria specifies vvalid as voltage range for determining single/dual signature but does not prohibit PSE from using voltage greater than vvalid when a valid PD is connected. This behavior is inconsistent with detection requirements, so let's borrow the same text from PSE detection and apply to PSE connection check. Also by prohibiting PSE from exceeding vvalid when connected to a valid PD, we do not need to specify PSE behavior above vvalid (voltage below Voff for at least TReset).
 3. Vvalid applies when a valid detection signature is connected 4. Anything outside of these conditions is not specified, so falls back to Voc and Isc Connection check repeats requirements 1 and 2, but omits 3. Why would we permit the voltage to rise above Vvalid max when a valid detection signature is present ? The whole point of detection was to prevent just that from happening. 	SuggestedRemedy Change from: During connection check the PSE shall meet the specifications for open circuit voltage, Voc, and short circuit current, Isc, in Table 145-7. In addition, only tests that result in a voltage at the PSE PI that is below Vvalid max as defined in Table 145-7 shall be used to determine whether a single-signature PD or dual-signature PD is attached to the two pairsets.
Note that since CC and detection cannot be told apart at the PI, these requirement really must be the same in order to be testable. SuggestedRemedy Change sentence p161, line 17 from: "During connection check the PSE shall meet the specifications for open circuit voltage, V oc , and short circuit current, I sc , in Table 145-7." to read: "During connection check the PSE shall meet the specifications for open circuit voltage, V oc, short circuit current, Isc, and valid test voltage Vvalid, defined in Table 145-7." Proposed Response Response Status O	to: During connection check the PSE shall meet the specifications for open circuit voltage, Voc, and short circuit current, Isc, in Table 145-7. The connection check voltage at the PSE PI shall be within the Vvalid voltage range, as defined in Table 145-7, with a valid PD connection check signature connected, as defined in (PD signature configuration). Delete "If the voltage on either pairset rises above Vvalid max, as defined in Table 145-7, during connection check, the PSE shall reset the PD by bringing the voltage at the PI below Voff max, as defined in Table 145-16, for at least TReset, as defined in Table 145-14, before performing classification." <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status O

Pa **161** Li **17**

C/ 145 SC 145.2.8 (seboodt, Lennart	P 162 Philips Lightin	L 14	# r03-37	C/ 145 SC 145.2.8 Yseboodt, Lennart P	P162 Philips Lighting	L 32	# r03-38
	Comment Status X	9					
it read akward. "NOTEFor Type 3 PE implement Physical La Class 3. PDs that requ uggestedRemedy Change to: "NOTE - Requested Cl implement Physical La Class 3.	plain the absence of Class 0, ls, a requested Class 0 is not yer classification requested C est Class 0 are assigned Clas ass 0 is not defined for Type yer classification requests Cla d to Class 3 by Type 3 and Ty	defined. Type lass 0, with a p ss 3 by Type 3 3 PDs. A Type ass 0, with a po	1 PDs that did not ower level equivalent to and Type 4 PSEs." 1 PD that does not	Comment Type TR Comment State Equation 145-2 sets the minimum output Class. The equation allows the PSE to optimiz resistance and the PSE output voltage. This equation however does not take in operating in 4-pair mode. Per the equation the PSE is allowed to however, because there is no balance r tit is possible for a PD to draw all the cut that case is RChan-2P. SuggestedRemedy Make the PClass equation split out into	ut power for a PS the power allocation to account the c assume that the requirement on F rrent over 2-pairs	on for both th case of assig PD will drav PDs of this C	ne link section ned Class 1-4 when v a 4-pair current, lass,
oposed Response	Response Status O			[current equation with RChan replaced [current equation unmodified]	' for assign	' for assigne ned Class 5 t	5
	P162 Comment Status X hat did not implement Physica uivalent to Class 3. PDs that PSEs."			Change the text in the paragraph above "PSE implementations may use V PSE powering using 2-pair, or R Chan = R C margined values as shown in Table 145 to read: "PSE implementations may use V PSE the assigned Class is 1 through 4, or R through 8 to arrive at over-margined val Proposed Response Response Sta	= V Port_PSE-2 ch /2 when powe 5-11." = V Port_PSE-2 Chan = R Ch /2 lues as shown in	ring using 4- 2P min and F 2 when the as	pair to arrive at over- R Chan = R Ch when ssigned Class is 5
Physical Layer classific	s that did not implement ation requested Class 0, with 0 are assigned to Class 3 by			C/ 145 SC 145.2.8 Yseboodt, Lennart P	P 162 Philips Lighting	L 48	# <u>r03-39</u>
roposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type TR Comment Sta The PClass-2P equation (145-3) uses th RChan in stead of RChan-2P. SuggestedRemedy Replace RChan by RChan-2P (2 occurated Also replace Rchan by RChan-2P in the Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Sta	atus X he wrong term to ances) in Equati e variable descri	on (145-3).	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **162** Li **48** Page 13 of 30 2/28/2018 10:10:20 AM

C/ 145 SC 145.2.8 P163 L11 # r03-40 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting	C/ 145 SC 145.2.8.1 P166 L34 # r03-85 Stewart, Heath Analog Devices Inc. An
Comment Type TR Comment Status X OOS	Comment Type E Comment Status X Dual signature PDs need careful clarification as to which pairset is being referenced.
There is no guidance on what to do in case when a fault occurs that causes the PSE to flip to two-pair (*_SEMI_PWRON state). Would suggest to revert back to PClass in this case. This provides guidance both for a case where power is managed through DLL or through Autoclass. This is only required for Class 5-8. SuggestedRemedy Insert new sentence on line 12: "When the PSE assigned Class 5 through 8 prior to a fault and then transitions to PRIMARY_SEMI_PWRON or SECONDARY_SEMI_PWRON, it shall revert the allocation of power to PClass per the assigned Class." Proposed Response Response Status 0	SuggestedRemedy Change PSEs connected to a dual-signature PD shall issue, for a given pairset, no more class events than the Class they are able to support and no more than: - three class events when the PD requests Class 1 through 4 - four class events when the PD requests Class 5 To PSEs connected to a dual-signature PD shall issue, for a given pairset, no more class events than the Class they are able to support and no more than: - three class events when the PD requests Class 1 through 4 on the given pairset - four class events when the PD requests Class 5 on the given pairset Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 145 SC 145.2.8 P163 L14 # r03-96 Stover, David Analog Devices Inc.	C/ 145 SC 145.2.9 P168 L 50 # r03-41 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
Pac_extra seems to address the case where PSE asynchronously transitions from 4-pair to 2-pair power, ensuring PD still gets full power allocation. However, we say "A PSE that measured PAutoclass while providing power over 4 pairs, shall increase during any time it provides power over 2 pairs thereafter." How does this work in the case where a new LLDP-based PD Autoclass measurement is performed AFTER the transition to 2-pair power? Such measurements would already account for RCh/2. SuggestedRemedy TFTD clarifying in this conformance statement that Pac_extra needn't be added if Autoclass measurement is performed after transition to 2 pair power.	OOS (it has a change bar, but that is because it was moved) The 4PID requirements subitem b) does not take 3-pair into account. "The PSE detects a valid detection signature on the unpowered pairset when power is provided over a single pairset" This would require a true 2-pair mode to exist in order to use this method. We'll change this to say '2-pair mode' and use yseboodt_01_0318_current.pdf to make clear that includes 3-pair mode for PSEs. SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	Change to: "The PSE detects a valid detection signature on the unpowered pairset when power is provided in 0 pair mode."

provided in 2-pair mode."

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **168** Li **50**

Response Status 0

C/ 145 SC 145.2.8.2 Stewart, Heath	P 169 Analog Devic	L 1 es Inc.	# r03-86	C/ 145 Darshan, Y	SC 145.2.1 0 air)	P 170	L10	# r03-117
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type E Comment Status X Table has moved out of the section to which it relates. ImagestedRemedy ImagestedRemedy Move 145.2.8.3 so it is below Table 145-15. ImagestedRemedy ImagestedRemedy	 Comment Type T Comment Status X This comment is marked UNB_REQ. 1. In our spec, we concluded that Icon-2P_unb need to be split to two parameters: a) lunbalance-2P which is the max pair current due to unbalance when connected to the test verification model. b) Icon-2P_unb which is the minimum pair current that the PSE will be able to support under unbalance condition. c) It is obvious that Icon-2P_unb need to be higher than lunbalance-2P. d) In D3.2 we set the numbers of lunbalance-2P and Icon-2P_unb per the following principles: We took the simulation results (without the test verification +/-1% accuracy effect) and ad to it 5mA and set it as Icon-2P_unb (the actual contribution of the +/-1% is 7mA to 11mA pending the class and not 5%). And then we set lunbalance-2P as Icon-2P_unb - 10mA. 3. When I test by calculations if we meet the lunbalance-2P spec by connecting the PSE the test verification model, I saw that we fail in Class 5,6 and 7, Class 8 passes but with very small margin. The reason is that in D3.2 we did the procedure to define lunbalance-2 wrongly. The reason for the failure is: Eq-1: Icon-2P_unb=sim_results + 5mA Eq-2: lunbalance-2P = Icon-2P_unb -10mA = sim_results + 5mA -10mA = sim_results - 5mA so it clear why we will fail the test when we connect the PSE to the test verification model that was based on the worst case of the sim/calculation results. The sim/calculation results are the minimum value for lunbalance-2P and to add to it the test verification model is couple of lew mA as shown in my previous work on the subject). 							
				Chang 0.555, To: 0.5 [As exp determ accura	he following ch e lcon-2P_unb 0.687, 0.789, (70, 0.703, 0.8' plained, the nev ine lunbalance cy and Rpse_r rshan_01_031		from: - 2P_unb, when he worst case ge]		

Pa **170** Li **10**

C/ 145 SC 145.2.1	0 <i>P</i> 171	L 12	# r03-118	C/ 145 SC 145.2.1		L 41	# r03-42
)arshan, Yair				Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips Lightin	g	
comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
	Q will be accepted, ILIM-2P fo Ipeak_2P_unb that has to be			OOS			
IggestedRemedy				"145.2.10."	5 Continuous output current cap	pability in the P0	OWER_ON state"
5	class 5, 6 and 7 from:			This subcl	ause also applies to dual-signat	ure but the title	does not reflect this
0.578, 0716, 0.823 To:				SuggestedRemedy			
0.59, 0.729, 0.842				Change to:			
roposed Response	Response Status O				ous output current capability in t	he power on sta	ates"
	·			also, change the title "Output voltage in th			
145 SC 145.2.1 Arshan, Yair	0.1 P173	L14	# r03-115	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
omment Type T	Comment Status X						
It is not clear in which	h cases Trise spec applies. Ori	iginally this was	specified for EMI	C/ 142 SC 142.2.1	0.5 P174	L 6	# r03-82
	a periodic signal and its effect of			Abramson, David	Texas Instrum	nents Inc	
transient at the first ti	s for the PD logic circuitry whicl ime when the PSE applies pow hich may result with fast drop of	ver and at aroun	d 30V the PD isolating	Comment Type TR The definitions for cu	Comment Status X		
	ch greater than 15us due to largured and apply for the following		at this point of time. So	SuggestedRemedy			
	on of Vport_pse i.e. the first ris		Itage OR	Edit equation 145-7	as follows:		

b. from Von_pd to Vport_pse-2P, OR

c. Any transient during the power up phase from t0 to t0+1msec.

d. Any transient during the powerup phase.

As we can see from the above possibilities, it is not clear where is the relevant transient location and its exact definition in which Trise applies.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from: " "TRise, as defined in Table 145-16, is referenced from 10% to 90% of the voltage difference between the positive and the negative conductors of a pairset in a power on state from the beginning of a power up state.""

To: "TRise, as defined in Table 145-16, is referenced from 10% to 90% of the voltage difference between the positive and the negative conductors of a pairset in a power up state from the application of PSE voltage to the beginning of a power up state."

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

2. Make same change for Secondary Alternative

1. Replace: "is the output current sourced on the Primary Alternative" with "is the current on the negative pair of the Primary Alternative"

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Pa **174** Li **6**

C/ 145 SC 145.2.10.5.1 P176 L15 # r03-102 Darshan, Yair	C/ 145 SC 145.2.10.8 P181 L17 # r03-65 Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Laboratories
Comment Type T Comment Status X Equation 145-13 (Rpse_min/max) is good also for Class 8 extended power since PD is the main factor that affect the fact that at extended power lunbalance is violated if tighter Rpd_max/Rpd_min ratio will not be used. This need to be clarified in the text. Verified in simulation. There are other comments that adresses the effect of extended power on Equation 145-26 (which affect meeting lunbalance) and its test verification model for the PD. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type E Comment Status X Ilps is referring to to a current on a pairset, but this is not shown in the name of this parameter. SuggestedRemedy Rename Ilps to Ilps-2p Proposed Response Response Status O
Add the following text: "Equation 145-13 is valid for PClass_PD including the conditions specified in 145.3.8.2.1.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusO	CI 145SC 145.2.10.8P181L27# [103-43]Yseboodt, LennartPhilips LightingComment TypeTRComment Status X
CI 145 SC 145.2.10.5.1 P177 L13 # [r03-103] Darshan, Yair Comment Type T Comment Status X	"The PSE shall limit a pairset current to I LIM-2P for a duration of up to T LIM ." This is backwards, the PSE is required to limit the current to ILIM-2P for at least a duration of TLIM (which is a minimum). SuggestedRemedy
Rload2_max and Rload2_min in the test verification model (Figure 145-21 and Table 145- 18) are correct only for the requested PClass_PD in Table 145-26 (e.g. 71.3W for Class 8) and not for the extended power case as specified in in 145.3.8.2.1. In order to meet	Replace by: "The PSE shall limit the pairset current to I LIM-2P for a duration of at least T LIM ."
Iunbalance at Pclass_PD higher than 71.3W, tighter ratio of Rload2_max/ Rload2_min are required (which is equivalent to Rpd_max/Rpd_min).	Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy Add the following text after line 13 in page 177: "Rload2_max and Rload2_min in the test verification model (Figure 145-21 and Table 145- 18) are correct only for the requested PClass_PD in Table 145-26 and not for PClass_PD as specified in 145.3.8.2.1. In order to meet lunbalance per the conditions of 145.3.8.2.1, tighter ratio of Rload2_max/ Rload2_min are required (which is equivalent to Rpd_max/Rpd_min in Equation 145-26. "	

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Pa **181** Li **27**

C/ 145 SC 145.2.10.8 P181 L51 # r03-87	C/ 145 SC 145.3.3.5 P193 L29 # r03-94
Stewart, Heath Analog Devices Inc.	Stover, David Analog Devices Inc.
Comment Type ER Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
Different Tlim values exist for Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs. These PSEs may be otherwis indistinguishable at the PI. We are really talking about the ability of the PSE to transition from the zero to tlim "shap provide" to the tlim to tcut "shall provide". The goal is to ensure that a PSE w/ only 50 gives the full 10ms. It is reasonable to allow a Type 4 PSE to make use of the 6ms Tlim, regardless of PD	PD is a voltage-controlled state machine with the exception of INRUSH state, which relies solely on tinrushpdmax_timer. I understand the accommodation for reasonable inrush load steps and consequent voltage transients, but VPD < Vmark_th should enter NOPOWER in all cases. SuggestedRemedy
assigned class, by monitoring Tlim, VPort_PSE-2P and VTran-2P for compliance as a group.	Add a transition arc from INRUSH to NOPOWER with the condition "VPD < Vmark_th". Add "nopower <= TRUE" to NOPOWER state.
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status O
Add Note - Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs may not be differentiated at the PI. A Type 4 PSE, regardless of assigned Class, may continue to use the Type 4 Tlim, min value as long VPort_PSE-2P and VTran-2P continue to meet the Type 4 PSE requirements.	s <i>Cl</i> 145 <i>SC</i> 145.3.3.4.2 <i>P</i> 194 <i>L</i> 47 <i>#</i> <u>r03-19</u> Jones, Chad Cisco Systems, Inc.
Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type ER Comment Status X
C/ 145 SC 145.3.3.3.2 P187 L44 # [r03-44] Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting	"A variable indicating that on Mode X, the PD is enabled and should request power from the PSE by applying a PD detection signature to the PI". sentence construct is awkward and doesn't match the form used by the rest of the variables WRT 'on Mode X' where it occurs after 'the PD'.
Comment Type T Comment Status X	SuggestedRemedy
"nopower: A variable that indicates the PD has been in NOPOWER, which indicates V was below V Off_PD min while being powered, since the last time V PD was below V for at least T Reset."	
No longer true per the changes to the state machine.	to: "A variable indicating that the PD is enabled on Mode X and should request power from the PSE by applying a PD detection signature to the PI"
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status O
Change to: "nopower: A variable that indicates the PD has been in POWEROFF, which indicates was below V Off_PD while being powered, since the last time V PD was below V Rese at least T Reset."	
Ales fix for dual signature	
Also fix for dual-signature.	

Pa **194** Li **47**

C/ 145 SC 145.3.3.4.3 P197 L18 # r03-110	C/ 145 SC 145.3.3.4.4 P197 L39 # r03-76
Darshan, Yair	Law, David Hewlett Packard Enter
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
The tpowerdly_timer_mode(X) text is not similar to the tpowerdly_timer. In the single-signature PD we have: "tpowerdly_timer A timer used to prevent the PD from drawing more than IInrush_PD and IInrush_PD-2P during the PSE's inrush period; See Tdelay in Table 145-29." The part " during the PSE's inrush period" doesn't look accurate and sync with what the PD state machine is actually doing. This timer is used to prevent the PD from drawing more than IInrush_PD-2P from TInrush_PD to Tdelay which is different than how it is specified here. See below in the timer for dual-signature PD which is better description of the timer role.	It is stated that the function do_initialize_mode(X) returns pd_dll_capable_mode(X) as defined in 145.3.3.4.2 however there is no such variable defined in 145.3.3.4.2, only pd_dll_capable which makes sense as being DLL capable should not vary on a per mode basis, a PD is either PD capable or not. The state diagram also only used pd_dll_capable. SuggestedRemedy Change pd_dll_capable_mode(X) to read pd_dll_capable. Proposed Response Response O
In the dual-signature PD we have: "tpowerdly_timer_mode(X) A timer used to prevent the PD from drawing more than IInrush_PD and IInrush_PD-2P from TInrush_PD to Tdelay. See Table 145-29." Which is a correct description of the timer role.	C/145SC145.3.3.4.5P199L14# r03-75Law, DavidHewlett Packard EnterComment TypeTComment StatusX
SuggestedRemedy	Extra '(' in (nopower_mode(X) + tpowerdly_timer_done_mode(X)
Change from: " tpowerdly_timer A timer used to prevent the PD from drawing more than IInrush_PD and IInrush_PD-2P during the PSE's inrush period; See Tdelay in Table 145-29. To: "tpowerdly_timer A timer used to prevent the PD from drawing more than IInrush_PD and IInrush_PD-2P from TInrush_PD to Tdelay. See Tdelay in Table 145-29. "	SuggestedRemedy Change '(nopower_mode(X)' to read 'nopower_mode(X)'. Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 145 SC 145.3.3.4.5 P 199 L 22 # r03-107 Darshan, Yair
C/ 145 SC 145.3.3.4.4 P197 L28 # r03-67	Comment Type T Comment Status X
Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Laboratories Comment Type E Comment Status X	The changes implemented for the PD state machine for POWER_OFF and NOPOWER was not implemented in the dual-sig state machine.
The construction of the text in this paragraph is confusing.	SuggestedRemedy
"A variable that indicates to the PD the Type of PSE to which it is connected. This variable is used to indicate which MPS timing requirements (see 145.3.9) the PD should use."	 Remove nopower_mode(X) from NOPOWER and move it to POWEROFF. The exit from POWEROFF to NOPOWER, change it from: VPD_mode(X)<voff_pd_min To: VPD_mode(X)<vmark_th< li=""> </vmark_th<></voff_pd_min
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status O
Change the text to "A variable that indicates the Type of PSE to which the PD is connected to, and used to determine which MPS timing requirements (see 145.3.9) the PD should use."	
Proposed Response Response Status O	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **199** Li **22**

ukacs, Miklos Silicon Laboratories	C/ 145 SC 145.3.4 P 200 L 5 # r03-46 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting
Comment Type E Comment Status X The order of the first 4 paragraph in this chapter is wrong.	Comment Type E Comment Status X OOS
Please bring forward this paragraph, to the first position in this chapter. "When a PD presents a valid or non-valid detection signature, it shall present the detection signature at the PI between Positive VPD and Negative VPD of PD Mode A and PD Mode B as defined in 145.3.2. A singlesignature PD that is powered over only one pairset shall present a non-valid detection signature on	Equation 145-23 has a smaller font than other equations. SuggestedRemedy Change to Framemaker 'medium' size equation to align with rest of doc. Proposed Response Response Status O
the unpowered pairset. A dual-signature PD that is powered over only one pairset shall present a valid detection signature on the unpowered pairset."	Cl 145 SC 145.3.6.1 P203 L6 # r03-109 Darshan, Yair
Proposed Response Response Status O 7/ 145 SC 145.3.4 P199 L41 # r03-45 seboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting	Comment Type T Comment Status X The text "Type 1 PDs that did not implement Physical Layer classification requested Class 0, with a power level equivalent to Class 3. PDs that request Class 0 are assigned Class 3 by Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs." Missing "to".
omment Type T Comment Status X	SuggestedRemedy Change to "Type 1 PDs that did not implement Physical
OOS	Layer classification requested Class 0, with a power level equivalent to Class 3. PDs that request Class 0 are assigned to Class 3 by Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs."
OOS "A PD presents a non-valid detection signature at the PI while it is in a state where it does not accept power via the PI per Figure 145-25 or Figure 145-27."	
"A PD presents a non-valid detection signature at the PI while it is in a state where it does not accept power via the PI per Figure 145-25 or Figure 145-27." This tries to describe the case where the PD does not want power at all. "at the PI" leaves open if the invalid signature is on both pairsets at once, which it should be.	request Class 0 are assigned to Class 3 by Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 145 SC 145.3.6.2 P205 L49 # r03-47 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type TR Comment Status X "When the PD is in POWEROFF and V PD falls below V Off_PD min, the PD transitions to
"A PD presents a non-valid detection signature at the PI while it is in a state where it does not accept power via the PI per Figure 145-25 or Figure 145-27." This tries to describe the case where the PD does not want power at all. "at the PI" leaves open if the invalid signature is on both pairsets at once, which it should be. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> "A PD presents a non-valid detection signature on both pairsets at the PI while it is in a state where it does not accept power via the PI per Figure 145-25 or Figure 145-27."	request Class 0 are assigned to Class 3 by Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 145 SC 145.3.6.2 P205 L49 # r03-47 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type TR Comment Status X
"A PD presents a non-valid detection signature at the PI while it is in a state where it does not accept power via the PI per Figure 145-25 or Figure 145-27." This tries to describe the case where the PD does not want power at all. "at the PI" leaves open if the invalid signature is on both pairsets at once, which it should be. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> "A PD presents a non-valid detection signature on both pairsets at the PI while it is in a state where it does not accept power via the PI per Figure 145-25 or Figure 145-27."	request Class 0 are assigned to Class 3 by Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 145 SC 145.3.6.2 P205 L49 # r03-47 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type TR Comment Status X "When the PD is in POWEROFF and V PD falls below V Off_PD min, the PD transitions to NOPOWER and may show a valid or invalid detection signature, and may or may not draw mark current, draw any class current, and show MPS."
 "A PD presents a non-valid detection signature at the PI while it is in a state where it does not accept power via the PI per Figure 145-25 or Figure 145-27." This tries to describe the case where the PD does not want power at all. "at the PI" leaves open if the invalid signature is on both pairsets at once, which it should be. SuggestedRemedy "A PD presents a non-valid detection signature on both pairsets at the PI while it is in a state where it does not accept power via the PI per Figure 145-25 or Figure 145-27." 	request Class 0 are assigned to Class 3 by Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 145 SC 145.3.6.2 P205 L49 # r03-47 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type TR Comment Status X "When the PD is in POWEROFF and V PD falls below V Off_PD min, the PD transitions to NOPOWER and may show a valid or invalid detection signature, and may or may not draw mark current, draw any class current, and show MPS." VOff_PD min has been changed in the statediagram to VMark_th.

Page 20 of 30 2/28/2018 10:10:20 AM

C1 145 SC 145.3.8 P207 L18 # [03:11] Darshan, Yair Comment Status X Analog Devices Inc. Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Status X Table 145-16 item 4 title: Remove the first occurrence of "per the assigned class" Store, David Analog Devices Inc. Store, David Response Response Status 0 Comment Status X Comment Status X See comment. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Status X NoPOWERC State diagram transition logic from POWEROFF to NOPOWER is VPD < Vmark_th. Syseboodt, Lennart P100 L13 # [03:348] Chage and VPD falls below Volf_PD min, the PD transitions to MOPOWER State diagram transition logic from POWEROFF to NOPOWER is VPD < Vmark_th. SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X State diagram transition logic from POWER of the NoP of the No and VPD falls below Volf_PD min to * and VPD falls below Vont_PD min to * and VPD falls below Vont_PD min to * and VPD falls below Vont_PD. SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Store can task Class 5+ PD to correctly start and work when connected through a 2-pair Undortable the first to this is bulky. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedR								
Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 145-16 item 4 title: Remove the first occurrence of "per the assigned class" SuggestedRemedy See comment. Proposed Response Response Status O CI 145 SC 145.3.8.1 P210 L13 # 103-48 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The PD shall turn on or of without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16); with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD.		P 207	L18	# r03-111		-		# r03-92
Table 145-16 item 4 title: Remove the first occurrence of "per the assigned class" SuggestedRemedy See comment. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 145 SC 145.3.8.1 P210 L13 # 03-48 "The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16); with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD. - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD.	Darshan, Yair				Stover, David	Analog Devi	ces Inc.	
SuggestedRemedy See comment. Proposed Response Response Status Cl 145 SC 145.3.8.1 P210 L13 # 103-48 Cl 145 SC 145.3.8.1 P210 L13 # 103-48 Cl 145 SC 145.3.8.1 P210 L13 # 103-48 Comment Type TR Comment Status X The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16); with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch." We can't ask Class 5+ PDs to correctly start and work when connected through a 2-pair channel. With a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 4 to a single-signature PD, . series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 4 to a single-signature PD. series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 4 to a single-signature PD. series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 4 to a single-signature PD.	Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Vmark_th. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 145 SC 145.3.8.1 P210 L13 # 103-48 Yesboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by VPort_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16): with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch ." Vmark_th. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy We can't ask Class 5+ PDs to correctly start and work when connected through a 2-pair channel. Unfortunately the fix to this is bulky. 0 SuggestedRemedy	Table 145-16 item 4 tit	le: Remove the first occurrence	e of "per the as	ssigned class"				
Proposed Response Response Status O Response Statu						diagram transition logic from	POWEROFF to I	NOPOWER is VPD <
Cl 145 SC 145.3.8.1 P210 L13 # r03-48 Yseboot, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16); with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 4 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch connected to a given Mode of a dual- signature PD.	See comment.				SuggestedRemedy			
Cl 145 SC 145.3.8.1 P210 L13 # 103-48 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16) with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch ." We can't ask Class 5+ PDs to correctly start and work when connected through a 2-pair channel. Unfortunately the fix to this is bulky. SuggestedRemedy "The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16): with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch for assigned Class 1 through 4 to a single-signature PD. with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD. with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch connected to a given Mode of a dual-signature PD." 	Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Change "and VPD fa	Ils below Voff_PD min" to "and	d VPD falls below	w Vmark_th".
Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16) with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch." We can't ask Class 5+ PDs to correctly start and work when connected through a 2-pair channel. Unfortunately the fix to this is bulky. SuggestedRemedy "The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16): with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch for assigned Class 1 through 4 to a single-signature PD, with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch /2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch connected to a given Mode of a dual-signature PD," 					Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16) with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch ." We can't ask Class 5+ PDs to correctly start and work when connected through a 2-pair channel. Unfortunately the fix to this is bulky. SuggestedRemedy "The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16): - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch for assigned Class 1 through 4 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch connected to a given Mode of a dual- signature PD."	C/ 145 SC 145.3.8.1	P 210	L13	# r03-48				
 "The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16) with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch." We can't ask Class 5+ PDs to correctly start and work when connected through a 2-pair channel. Unfortunately the fix to this is bulky. SuggestedRemedy "The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16): with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch for assigned Class 1 through 4 to a single-signature PD, with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch connected to a given Mode of a dual-signature PD." 	Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips Lighting	9					
 "The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16) with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch." We can't ask Class 5+ PDs to correctly start and work when connected through a 2-pair channel. Unfortunately the fix to this is bulky. SuggestedRemedy "The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16): with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch for assigned Class 1 through 4 to a single-signature PD, with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch connected to a given Mode of a dual-signature PD." 	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X						
"The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16): - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch for assigned Class 1 through 4 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch connected to a given Mode of a dual- signature PD."	with a series resistance We can't ask Class 5+ channel.	e less than or equal to R Ch ." PDs to correctly start and wo	, ,					
value when fed by V Port_PSE-2P min to V Port_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 145-16): - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch for assigned Class 1 through 4 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch / 2 for assigned Class 5 through 8 to a single-signature PD, - with a series resistance less than or equal to R Ch connected to a given Mode of a dual- signature PD."	SuggestedRemedy							
Proposed Response Response Status O	value when fed by V Po - with a series resista single-signature PD, - with a series resista a single-signature PD, - with a series resista	ort_PSE-2P min to V Port_PS nce less than or equal to R Ch nce less than or equal to R Ch	E-2P max (as on for assigned (n / 2 for assigned (defined in Table 145-16): Class 1 through 4 to a ed Class 5 through 8 to				
	Proposed Response	Response Status 0						

Pa **210** Li **18**

C/ 145	SC 145.3.8.3	P 211	L 29	# r03-49
Yseboodt,	Lennart	Philips Lighting		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

....

A PSE limits the inrush current to I Inrush and I Inrush-2P, defined in Table 145-16, which is sufficient current to charge C Port or C Port-2P to V Port_PSE-2P within T Inrush_PD max when:

-- C Port < 180 mF for single-signature PDs assigned to Class 1 through 6

-- C Port < 360 mF for single-signature PDs assigned to Class 7 or 8

-- C Port-2P < 110 mF for dual-signature PDs assigned to Class 1 through 4

-- C Port-2P < 180 mF for dual-signature PDs assigned to Class 5

The latter part of this statement is extremely misleading and provides a false sense of security to PD designers.

If the PD limits the inrush current, any size of capacitor can be charged (as stated a few paragraphs earlier).

Let's consider PDs that don't perform inrush control. What do they actually do?

option 1) Once the 100nF cap is charged to VOn_PD, the hotswap opens up and stays open for a while.

The PD PI and PSE PI voltage will collapse back to zero, at which point the PSE is allowed to reduce inrush current to 5mA.

Charging the bulk cap will take far more time than is allowed. Inrush will fail. The PD has violated the "Voff" requirement and is non-compliant.

option 2) Once the 100nF cap is charged to VOn_PD, the hotswaps opens up, but the PD stricktly follows Von_PD / VOff_PD.

The hotswap will now 'chatter' on/off repeatedly dumping the charge of the 100nF cap into the bulk cap. Essentially the PD

is performing a crude form of current limiting. Depending on how fast the PD can control the hotswap inrush will complete on time.

This is a horrible implementation, and the PD fails to comply with the 'startup without oscillation and at the first trial' requirement and is non-compliant.

Furthermore, the quoted statement only holds provided that the PD uses the delivered power to charge the cap, and not spend it on other things (like prematurely starting a DC/DC converter...).

As far as I can see it is not possible to implement a compliant PD without having inrush control.

SuggestedRemedy

This late in the process I would not suggest making substantive technical changes to inrush.

But we should change the quoted statement to avoid giving very misleading guidance to

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

PD designers.

Reduce quoted sentence to:

"The PSE limits the inrush current to I Inrush and I Inrush-2P, for at least TInrush_PD max, as defined in Table 145-16 and Table Table 145-29."

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 145	SC 145.3.8.8	P 214	L 36	# r03-50
Yseboodt,	Lennart	Philips Lighting		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"When any voltage in the range of 0 V to V Port_PD-2P max is applied across the PI at either polarity specified on the conductors of either Mode A or Mode B according to Table 145-20, the voltage measured across the PI for the other Mode with a 100 kOhm load resistor connected across that other Mode shall not exceed V bfd as defined in Table 145-29."

This requirement only applies when a true 2-pair voltage is applied. In 4-pair systems, the reality is that the positive side pairs are tied together. When one power channel is off, one would expect the PD to also meet the backfeed spec on that 'off' channel. As written, this is not required.

PDs that fail this requirement, might also be mis-identified by connection check or detection.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace by:

"When any voltage in the range of 0 V to V Port_PD-2P max is applied per any of the valid 2-pair configurations listed in Table 145-20, the voltage measured across the Mode which has a pair not connected to a supply rail, with a 100kOhm load resistor connected across that Mode shall not exceed Vbfd as defined in Table 145-29."

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Pa **214** Li **36** Page 22 of 30 2/28/2018 10:10:20 AM

C/ 145 SC 145.3.8.9 P215 L38 # r03-113	C/ 145 SC 145.3.9 P217 L46 # r03-97
Darshan, Yair	Stover, David Analog Devices Inc.
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
In the text "Figure 145A-1 illustrates the relationship between RPD_max and RPD_min effective resistances at the PD PI as defined by Equation (145-27) and the rest of the end-to-end pair to pair effective resistance components." it is Equation 145-26 and not Equation	"A PD shall meet the TMPS_PD and TMPDO_PD requirementswhen long_class_event = TRUE." Shouldn't a Type 3/4 PD meet these requirements when long_class_event = FALSE as well?
145-27.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Strike "when long_class_event = TRUE".
Change from: "Figure 145A-1 illustrates the relationship between RPD_max and RPD_min effective resistances at the PD PI as defined by Equation (145-27) and the rest of the end-to-end pair to pair effective	Proposed Response Response Status O
resistance components." To: "Figure 145A-1 illustrates the relationship between RPD_max and RPD_min effective	C/ 145 SC 145.3.9 P217 L46 # r03-51
resistances at the PD PI	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting
as defined by Equation (145-26) and the rest of the end-to-end pair to pair effective resistance components."	Comment Type T Comment Status X
Proposed Response Response Status O	"A PD shall meet the T MPS_PD and T MPDO_PD requirements with any series resistance in the range of RChan between the PD PI and the source when long_class_event = TRUE.
C/ 145 SC 145.3.8.9 P215 L52 # r03-101	RChan is a fixed number, not a range. We're aiming for any resistance from 0 to RCh Ohms.
Darshan, Yair	SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Change to:
Icon-2P_unb, Iunbalance and Equation 145-26 (Rpd_min/max) where derived based on Pclass_PD per Table 145-26 which doesn't include PClass_PD under extended power conditions. Equation 145-26 doesn't apply to class 8 under the conditions of extended	"A PD shall meet the T MPS_PD and T MPDO_PD requirements with any series resistance in the range of 0 Ohm to RCh between the PD PI and the source when long_class_event = TRUE."
power. For class 8=71.3W at the PD, Icon-2P_unb is 0.943A according to the 4-pair model parameters per Rpse_min/max, Rchan_min/max and Rpd_min/max. In Extended power the PD consumes 89.7W at 2.65m cable lenght, the currents in CLASS 8 will be Icon-2P_unb=1.1A, Ipeak-2P_unb=1.148A for Ppeak=1.05*89.7W and ILIM- 2P=1.15A under the same 4-pair model parameters in the spec. As a result, PD will need to improved its balance by selecting tighter ratio of Rpd_max/Rpd_min when extended power is used for class 8.	Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy	
Add the following text after line 51: "Meeting lunbalance for Class 5 to Class 8 by meeting Equation 145-26 is based on the 4- pair model which is described by Equation 145-27 and Equations 145-26 when the requested Pclass_PD is specified per Table 145-26. When Pclass_PD is specified per 145.3.8.2.1, Equation 145-26 is no longer valid and tighter ratio of Rpd_max to Rpd_min should be used in order to meet lunbalance.	

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **217** Li **46**

C/ 145 SC 145.3.9 P218 L8 # r03-112 Darshan, Yair	C/ 145 SC 145.5.2 P232 L40 # r03-52 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting
Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 145-32 item 1 title. The MPS should be per the requested class and not the per the assigned class. It is not cost effective to require it per the assigned class from the following reasons: -The MPS in PDs is normally determined by the manufacture per the worst case requested PD_class. In this way all the lower classes will be detected without the need to switch between MPS values. -It is not cost effective and doesn't give any benefit to ask PD to change its MPS from 16mA to 10mA when the assigned class is changed from Class>=5 to <=4.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X OOS "Under normal operation, an LLDPDU containing a Power via MDI TLV with an updated value for the 'PSE allocated power value' field shall be sent within 10 seconds of receipt of an LLDPDU containing a Power via MDI TLV where the 'PD requested power value' field is different from the previously communicated value." a) There is no definition for 'normal operation' b) requirement fails to specicy who needs to do the sending c) does not address dual-signature SuggestedRemedy Replace by: "The PSE shall send an LLDPDU containing a Power via MDI TLV with an updated value for the 'PSE allocated power value' field, 'PSE allocated power value Alternative A' field, and 'PSE allocated power value Alternative B' field within 10 seconds of receiving an LLDPDU containing a Power via MDI TLV where the 'PD requested power value field, 'PD requested power value for Mode A' field, or 'PD requested power value field, 'PD requested power value field is different from the previously communicated value."
To: "Total input current per the requested Class, for single-signature PDs" Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O

Pa **232** Li **40**

/ 145 SC 145.5.2 P232 L45 # r03-53 seboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting	C/ 145 SC 145.5.3.3.2 P233 L51 # r03-55 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting P100-0000 P100
omment Type TR Comment Status X "Under normal operation, an LLDPDU containing a Power via MDI TLV with an updated value for the 'PD requested power value' field shall be sent within 10 seconds of receipt of	Comment Type E Comment Status X OOS
an LLDPDU containing a Power via MDI TLV where the 'PSE allocated power value' field is different from the previously communicated value."	The management object mentioned in the sentence is not correct. "This variable is mapped from aLldpXdot3RemPDAutoclassRequest (30.12.3.1.180) and assigned through Table 145-38."
 a) There is no definition for 'normal operation' b) requirement fails to specicy who needs to do the sending 	SuggestedRemedy
c) does not address dual-signature	Change to "aLldpXdot3RemAutoclassRequest".
IggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status O
Replace by: "The PD shall send an LLDPDU containing a Power via MDI TLV with an updated value for the 'PD requested power value' field, 'PD requested power value for Mode A' field, and 'PD requested power value for Mode B' field within 10 seconds of receiving an LLDPDU containing a Power via MDI TLV where the 'PSE allocated power value' field, 'PSE allocated power value Alternative A' field, or 'PSE allocated power value Alternative B' field is different from the previously communicated value."	Cl 145 SC 145.5.3.2.2 P233 L52 # r03-56 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type T Comment Status X OOS
poposed Response Response Status O	003
	The sentence "This variable is mapped from the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassSupport (30.12.2.1.18m) attribute." has the wrong direction of mapping.
145 SC 145.5.3.2.2 P233 L44 # r03-54	SuggestedRemedy
a base de la seconda de la Districtione de la constructione de la	Change to:
eboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting	
	"This variable is mapped into the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassSupport (30.12.2.1.18m) attribute."
	"This variable is mapped into the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassSupport (30.12.2.1.18m)
mment Type T Comment Status X OOS The sentence "This variable is mapped from the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassCompleted	"This variable is mapped into the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassSupport (30.12.2.1.18m) attribute."
mment Type T Comment Status X OOS The sentence "This variable is mapped from the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassCompleted (30.12.2.1.18n) attribute." has the wrong direction of mapping.	"This variable is mapped into the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassSupport (30.12.2.1.18m) attribute." Proposed Response Response Status O
mment Type T Comment Status X OOS The sentence "This variable is mapped from the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassCompleted (30.12.2.1.18n) attribute." has the wrong direction of mapping. ggestedRemedy	"This variable is mapped into the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassSupport (30.12.2.1.18m) attribute." Proposed Response Response Status O
mment Type T Comment Status X OOS The sentence "This variable is mapped from the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassCompleted (30.12.2.1.18n) attribute." has the wrong direction of mapping. ggestedRemedy Change to:	"This variable is mapped into the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassSupport (30.12.2.1.18m) attribute." <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status O <i>Cl</i> 145 SC 145.5.3.2.5 <i>P</i> 239 <i>L</i> 19 # <u>r03-57</u>
<i>mment Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> X OOS The sentence "This variable is mapped from the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassCompleted (30.12.2.1.18n) attribute." has the wrong direction of mapping. <i>ggestedRemedy</i>	"This variable is mapped into the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassSupport (30.12.2.1.18m) attribute." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 145 SC 145.5.3.2.5 P239 L19 # r03-57 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type TR Comment Status X
Imment Type T Comment Status X OOS The sentence "This variable is mapped from the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassCompleted (30.12.2.1.18n) attribute." has the wrong direction of mapping. ggestedRemedy Change to: "This variable is mapped into the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassCompleted (30.12.2.1.18n) attribute."	"This variable is mapped into the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassSupport (30.12.2.1.18m) attribute." <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status O <i>Cl</i> 145 SC 145.5.3.2.5 <i>P</i> 239 <i>L</i> 19 # <u>r03-57</u> Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting
omment Type T Comment Status X OOS The sentence "This variable is mapped from the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassCompleted (30.12.2.1.18n) attribute." has the wrong direction of mapping. uggestedRemedy Change to: "This variable is mapped into the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassCompleted (30.12.2.1.18n) attribute."	"This variable is mapped into the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAutoclassSupport (30.12.2.1.18m) attribute." <i>Proposed Response Response Status O Cl</i> 145 <i>SC</i> 145.5.3.2.5 <i>P</i> 239 <i>L</i> 19 <i>#</i> <u>r03-57</u> Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Philips Lighting Comment Type TR Comment Status X</i> The variable 'local_system_change' is read before it is initialized in the DLL state diagrams

Pa **239** Li **19**

Cl 145 SC 145.5.3.3 Yseboodt, Lennart	3.1 P243 Philips Lighting	L 27	# r03-58	C/ 145 SC 145.5.3 Yseboodt, Lennart		P243 Philips Lightin	L 44 ng	# r03-60
Comment Type T OOS	Comment Status X			Comment Type T OOS	Comment S	Status X		
	riable is mapped from aLldpXd he wrong direction of mapping. s misspelled.		oclassRequest	and aLldpXdot3LocF	PDRequestedPow			RequestedPowerValu 17a and 30.12.2.1.17
SuggestedRemedy				Wrong direction of m	mapping.			
Change to:		D (22		SuggestedRemedy				
	ed into aLldpXdot3LocAutoclas	sRequest (30.	12.3.1.180)."	Change to: "This variable is map	nned into the al Id	hXdat3LacPD	RequestedPowe	ar\/alueA and
Proposed Response	Response Status O			aLldpXdot3LocPDRe				
				Proposed Response	Response S		· ·	,
C/ 145 SC 145.5.3.3	9.1 P243	L 39	# r03-59					
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips Lighting			0.445 00.445.54	2 2 4	P 243	L 47	# r03-80
				() 145				" 100 00
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			C/ 145 SC 145.5.3 Law. David				
Comment Type T OOS	Comment Status X			Law, David		Hewlett Packa		
OOS The sentence "This val attribute (30.12.2.1.17)	riable is mapped from the aLld ."	pXdot3LocPDF	RequestedPowerValue		Comment S alues of the variab	Hewlett Packa Status X ble PDRequest	ard Enter	_mode(X) rerads
OOS The sentence "This var	riable is mapped from the aLld ."	pXdot3LocPDF	RequestedPowerValue	Law, David <i>Comment Type</i> T The description of va	Comment S alues of the variab	Hewlett Packa Status X ble PDRequest	ard Enter	_mode(X) rerads
OOS The sentence "This val attribute (30.12.2.1.17) Wrong direction of map SuggestedRemedy	riable is mapped from the aLld ."	pXdot3LocPDF	RequestedPowerValue	Law, David Comment Type T The description of va 'Values: 0' which doe SuggestedRemedy	Comment S alues of the variab esn't see correct.	Hewlett Packa Status X ble PDRequest	ard Enter tedPowerValue_	_mode(X) rerads de(X), and 0xACAC'.
OOS The sentence "This var attribute (30.12.2.1.17) Wrong direction of mar SuggestedRemedy Change to:	riable is mapped from the aLld ."			Law, David Comment Type T The description of va 'Values: 0' which doe SuggestedRemedy	Comment S alues of the variab esn't see correct.	Hewlett Packa Status X ble PDRequest through pd_dll	ard Enter tedPowerValue_	
OOS The sentence "This var attribute (30.12.2.1.17) Wrong direction of map SuggestedRemedy Change to: "This variable is mapper (30.12.2.1.17)."	riable is mapped from the aLld ." oping.			Law, David <i>Comment Type</i> T The description of va 'Values: 0' which doe <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change 'Values: 0' to	Comment S alues of the variab resn't see correct. to read 'Values: 0 t Response S	Hewlett Packa Status X ble PDRequest through pd_dll	ard Enter tedPowerValue_	de(X), and 0xACAC'.
OOS The sentence "This var attribute (30.12.2.1.17) Wrong direction of map SuggestedRemedy Change to: "This variable is mappe (30.12.2.1.17)."	riable is mapped from the aLld ." oping. ed into the aLldpXdot3LocPDR			Law, David <i>Comment Type</i> T The description of va 'Values: 0' which doe <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change 'Values: 0' to <i>Proposed Response</i>	Comment S alues of the variab esn't see correct. to read 'Values: 0 f <i>Response S</i> 3.4.2	Hewlett Packa Status X ble PDRequest through pd_dll Status O	ard Enter tedPowerValue_ Imax_value_mod	de(X), and 0xACAC'.
OOS The sentence "This var attribute (30.12.2.1.17) Wrong direction of map SuggestedRemedy Change to: "This variable is mappe (30.12.2.1.17)."	riable is mapped from the aLld ." oping. ed into the aLldpXdot3LocPDR			Law, David <i>Comment Type</i> T The description of va 'Values: 0' which doe <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change 'Values: 0' to <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 145 SC 145.5 .3	Comment S alues of the variab esn't see correct. to read 'Values: 0 f <i>Response S</i> 3.4.2	Hewlett Packa Status X ble PDRequest through pd_dll Status O P249 Philips Lightin	ard Enter tedPowerValue_ Imax_value_mod	de(X), and 0xACAC'.
OOS The sentence "This var attribute (30.12.2.1.17) Wrong direction of map SuggestedRemedy Change to: "This variable is mapper (30.12.2.1.17)."	riable is mapped from the aLld ." oping. ed into the aLldpXdot3LocPDR			Law, David Comment Type T The description of va 'Values: 0' which doe SuggestedRemedy Change 'Values: 0' to Proposed Response Cl 145 SC 145.5.3 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T OOS The sentence "This y	Comment S ralues of the variable resn't see correct. to read 'Values: 0 f <i>Response S</i> 3.4.2 <i>Comment S</i> variable is mappe	Hewlett Packa Status X ble PDRequest through pd_dll Status O P249 Philips Lightin Status X ed from the aLlo	ard Enter tedPowerValue_ Imax_value_mod <i>L</i> 27	de(X), and 0xACAC'.
OOS The sentence "This var attribute (30.12.2.1.17) Wrong direction of map SuggestedRemedy Change to: "This variable is mapper (30.12.2.1.17)."	riable is mapped from the aLld ." oping. ed into the aLldpXdot3LocPDR			Law, David Comment Type T The description of va 'Values: 0' which doe SuggestedRemedy Change 'Values: 0' to Proposed Response Cl 145 SC 145.5.3 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T OOS The sentence "This y	Comment S alues of the variab esn't see correct. to read 'Values: 0 f <i>Response S</i> 3.4.2 <i>Comment S</i> variable is mappe PDRequestedPow	Hewlett Packa Status X ble PDRequest through pd_dll Status O P249 Philips Lightin Status X ed from the aLliverValueB attril	ard Enter tedPowerValue_ Imax_value_mod <i>L</i> 27	de(X), and 0xACAC'. # <u>r03-61</u> RequestedPowerValu
OOS The sentence "This var attribute (30.12.2.1.17) Wrong direction of map SuggestedRemedy Change to: "This variable is mapper (30.12.2.1.17)."	riable is mapped from the aLld ." oping. ed into the aLldpXdot3LocPDR			Law, David <i>Comment Type</i> T The description of va 'Values: 0' which doe <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change 'Values: 0' to <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> 145 <i>SC</i> 145.5.3 Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> T OOS The sentence "This vand aLldpXdot3LocF	Comment S alues of the variab esn't see correct. to read 'Values: 0 f <i>Response S</i> 3.4.2 <i>Comment S</i> variable is mappe PDRequestedPow	Hewlett Packa Status X ble PDRequest through pd_dll Status O P249 Philips Lightin Status X ed from the aLliverValueB attril	ard Enter tedPowerValue_ Imax_value_mod <i>L</i> 27	de(X), and 0xACAC'. # <u>r03-61</u> RequestedPowerValu
OOS The sentence "This var attribute (30.12.2.1.17) Wrong direction of mar SuggestedRemedy Change to: "This variable is mapped	riable is mapped from the aLld ." oping. ed into the aLldpXdot3LocPDR			Law, David Comment Type T The description of va 'Values: 0' which doe SuggestedRemedy Change 'Values: 0' to Proposed Response Cl 145 SC 145.5.3 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T OOS The sentence "This yand aLldpXdot3LocF You guessed it wro	Comment S ralues of the variable resn't see correct. to read 'Values: 0 f <i>Response S</i> 3.4.2 Comment S variable is mappe PDRequestedPow rong direction of m pped into the aLld	Hewlett Packa Status X ble PDRequest through pd_dll Status O P249 Philips Lightin Status X ed from the aLl verValueB attril happing. dpXdot3LocPDI	ard Enter tedPowerValue_ lmax_value_mod <i>L</i> 27 lg dpXdot3LocPDF bute (30.12.2.1.	de(X), and 0xACAC'. # <u>r03-61</u> RequestedPowerValu 17a and 30.12.2.1.17

C/ 145	SC 145.5.3.4.2	P 249	L 27	# r03-73	C/ 145	SC 145.5.3.4.5	P 253	L 6	# r03-79
Law, David		Hewlett Packar	rd Enter		Law, David	I	Hewlett Packa	ard Enter	

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The PDRequestedPowerValue mode(X)variable definition states that 'This variable is mapped from the aLldpXdot3LocPDRequestedPowerValueA and

aLldpXdot3LocPDRequestedPowerValueB attribute (30.12.2.1.17a and 30.12.2.1.17b). however I believe that PDRequestedPowerValue mode(X) is sourced by the dual-signature PD power control state diagrams and this is confirmed by Table 145-40 'Attribute to state diagram variable cross reference for dual-signature PDs' which shows the direction being from the state diagram to the variable.

SuggestedRemedy

Based on the similar PSEAllocatedPowerValueEcho_mode(X) variable (page 250, line 9) change the variable definition to read 'This variable is updated by the PD state diagram. This variable is mapped into the aLldpXdot3LocPDRequestedPowerValueA and aLldpXdot3LocPDRequestedPowerValueB attribute (30.12.2.1.17a and 30.12.2.1.17b). Values: 0 through pd dllmax value mode(X)

Proposed Response	Response Status	0
-------------------	-----------------	---

C/ 145	SC 145.5.3.4.2	P 249	L 33	# r03-74
Law, Davi	d	Hewlett Pack	ard Enter	

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The dll 4PID variable definition states that 'This variable is assigned through Table 145-38.' I think the cross reference to Table 145-38 is incorrect as Table 145-38 is titled 'Attribute to state diagram variable cross reference for PSEs' whereas dll 4PID is a dual-signature PD power control state diagram variable and dll 4PID does not appear in Table 145-38. Instead I believe the cross reference should be to Table 145-40 'Attribute to state diagram variable cross reference for dual-signature PDs' where the dll 4PID variable can be found.

Further, the text states that this is 'A variable indicating the state of the PD 4PID bit in the 'Power type/source/priority' field'. This bit however is the source of the PD 4PID bit in the 'Power type/source/priority' field as is confirmed by the mapping in Table 145-40 which shows the direction as being from the dll 4PID variable to the aLldpXdot3LocPD4PID attribute as well as the description of the PD 4PID bit in subclause 79.3.2.4.2a 'PD 4PID'.

SuggestedRemedy

Based on the similar PSEAllocatedPowerValueEcho mode(X) variable (page 250, line 9) change the variable definition to read 'This variable is updated by the PD state diagram. This variable maps into the aLldpXdot3LocPD4PID attribute (30.12.2.1.18k).'.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

		- 200	-•	 100 10
Law, David		Hewlett Packard	d Enter	
Comment Typ	e T	Comment Status X		

On review of Figure 145-45 'Dual-signature PD power control state diagram in 2-pair mode' it appears that during 2-pair mode the 'original' TLV fields are used, such as 'PD Requested power value' rather than the 'new' mode A and B fields such as 'PD requested power value Mode A' and 'PD requested power value Mode B'. This is based on the variables that are tested and assigned in Figure 145-45, for example the assignments to 'PDRequestedPowerValue' in the IDLE, INITIALIZE and MIRROR UPDATE states, and not to 'pd_initial_value_mode(P)'. Similarly the variable 'PDRequestedPowerValue' is tested on the exit from RUNNING state, not 'pd initial value mode(P)'.

As a result:

(a) Subclause 145.5.3.4.2 'Variables', which states 'The PD power control state diagram (Figure 145-44 and Figure 145-45) use the following variables', is missing the definition for the following variables used in Figure 145-45.

PDRequestedPowerValue MirroredPDRequestedPowerValueEcho MirroredPSEAllocatedPowerValue PSEAllocatedPowerValueEcho PDMaxPowerValue TempVar

(b) Table 145-40 'Attribute to state diagram variable cross reference for dual-signature PDs' is missing the following mappings:

aLldpXdot3LocPDReguestedPowerValue <= PDReguestedPowerValue aLldpXdot3RemPDRequestedPowerValue => MirroredPDRequestedPowerValueEcho aLldpXdot3RemPSEAllocatedPowerValue => MirroredPSEAllocatedPowerValue aLldpXdot3LocPSEAllocatedPowerValue <= PSEAllocatedPowerValueEcho

SugaestedRemedv

Add the following to Subclause 145.5.3.4.2 'Variables':

PDRequestedPowerValue

Integer that indicates the PD requested power value in the PD in units of 0.1 W. The value is the maximum input average power (see 145.3.8.2) the PD requests. This variable is mapped from the aLldpXdot3LocPDRequestedPowerValue attribute (30.12.2.1.17). Values: 0 through pd dllmax value, and 0xACAC

MirroredPDRequestedPowerValueEcho

The copy of the 'PD Requested Power Value' field in the Power Via MDI TLV that the PD receives from the remote system. This variable is mapped from the aLldpXdot3RemPDRequestedPowerValue attribute (30.12.3.1.17). Values: 0 through 999, and 0xACAC

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa 253	Page 27 of 30
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	Li 6	2/28/2018 10:10:20 AM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line			

MirroredPSEAllocatedPowerValue

The copy of the 'PSE Allocated Power Value' field in the Power Via MDI TLV that the receives from the remote system in units of 0.1 W. This variable is mapped from the aLldpXdot3RemPSE-AllocatedPowerValue attribute (30.12.3.1.18). Values: 1 through 999, and 0xACAC

PSEAllocatedPowerValueEcho

This variable is updated by the PD state diagram. This variable maps into the aLldpXdot3LocPSEAllocatedPowerValue attribute (30.12.2.1.18). Values: 0 through 999, and 0xACAC

PDMaxPowerValue

Integer that indicates the actual PD power value of the local system in units of 0.1 W. actual PD power value for a PD is the maximum input average power (see 145.3.8.2) PD ever draws under the current power allocation. Values: 1 through 999, and 0xACAC

TempVar

A variable used to store Power Value in units of 0.1 W. Values: 0 through 999, and 0xACAC

Add the following mappings to Table 145-40 'Attribute to state diagram variable cross reference for dual-signature PDs':

aLldpXdot3LocPDRequestedPowerValue <= PDRequestedPowerValue aLldpXdot3RemPDRequestedPowerValue => MirroredPDRequestedPowerValueEcho aLldpXdot3RemPSEAllocatedPowerValue => MirroredPSEAllocatedPowerValue aLldpXdot3LocPSEAllocatedPowerValue <= PSEAllocatedPowerValueEcho

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 145	SC 145.5.5.1	P 255	L 28	# r03-88
Tremblay, D	David	Hewlett Packard	d Enter	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Interoperability issue - state change procedure does not cover how to handle power allocation values between 714-999

SuggestedRemedy

TFTD - Add procedure to cover class 8 exception allowing PSEAllocatedPowerValue assign 714-999

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 145				
	SC 145.5.5.	2 P255	L 47	# r03-89
Tremblay,	David	Hewlett Packa	rd Enter	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
	perability issue - tion values betw	- state change procedure does veen 714-999	not cover how	to handle power
Suggested	dRemedy			
	- Add procedure 1 714-999	e to cover class 8 exception all	owing PDRequ	estedPowerValue to
Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 145	SC 145.5.6.	2 P257	L11	# r03-90
Tremblay,	David	Hewlett Packa	rd Enter	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
	51	- state change procedure does	not cover how	to handle power
	tion values betw			
•				
Suggestee	akemeay			
		e to cover class 8 exception all	owing PSEAllo	catedPowerValue to
TFTD assigr	- Add procedure	e to cover class 8 exception all Response Status 0	owing PSEAllo	catedPowerValue to
TFTD assigr	- Add procedure 1 714-999		owing PSEAllo	catedPowerValue to
TFTD assigr	- Add procedure 1 714-999	Response Status O	owing PSEAlloo	catedPowerValue to
TFTD assigr Proposed Cl 145	- Add procedure 714-999 Response SC 145.5.6.	Response Status O	L31	
TFTD assigr Proposed	- Add procedure n 714-999 Response SC 145.5.6. David	Response Status O 3 P257	L31	
Cl 145 Tremblay, Comment	- Add procedure 714-999 <i>Response</i> SC 145.5.6. David <i>Type</i> T R	Response Status O 3 P257 Hewlett Packa Comment Status X - state change procedure does	L 31 ard Enter	# <u>r03-91</u>
TFTD assigr Proposed Cl 145 Tremblay, Comment Interop	- Add procedure 714-999 <i>Response</i> SC 145.5.6. David <i>Type</i> TR perability issue - tion values betw	Response Status O 3 P257 Hewlett Packa Comment Status X - state change procedure does	L 31 ard Enter	# <u>r03-91</u>
Cl 145 Tremblay, Comment Interoj alloca Suggested TFTD	- Add procedure 714-999 <i>Response</i> SC 145.5.6. David <i>Type</i> TR perability issue - tion values betw <i>dRemedy</i>	Response Status O 3 P257 Hewlett Packa Comment Status X - state change procedure does	L 31 Ird Enter not cover how	# <u>r03-91</u> to handle power
Cl 145 Tremblay, Comment Intero alloca Suggestee TFTD assign	- Add procedure 714-999 <i>Response</i> <i>SC</i> 145.5.6. David <i>Type</i> TR perability issue - tion values betw <i>dRemedy</i> - Add procedure	Response Status O 3 P257 Hewlett Packa Comment Status X - state change procedure does veen 714-999	L 31 Ird Enter not cover how	# <u>r03-91</u> to handle power

Pa 257 Li 31

145 SC 145.5.6.3 P257 L40 # r03-62	Cl 145 SC 145.6.5 P259 L3 # r03-114
eboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting	Darshan, Yair
omment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
The sentence "A PD connected to a PSE that supports Autoclass, can initiate an Autoclass request, to optimize the allocated power budget, through the aLldpXdot3LocPDAutoclassRequest (30.12.2.1.18o) attribute in the oLldpX-dot3LocSystemsGroup object class." Has a wrong object name for PDAutoclassRequest variable.	The text "The AC component is up to 175 Vp at 20 Hz to 60 Hz with a 100 ohm source resistance." has not sufficient data in order to test the "shall" that follows this description. The missing parts are: - the cadence (depends on the national telephony standard) -The test time duration (implementation specific, but we need to define some reasonable minimum for interoperability).
Also, comma after 'Autoclass' needs to go (right?)	SuggestedRemedy
 InggestedRemedy Change to: "A PD connected to a PSE that supports Autoclass can initiate an Autoclass request, to optimize the allocated power budget, through the aLldpXdot3LocAutoclassRequest (30.12.2.1.180) attribute in the oLldpX-dot3LocSystemsGroup object class." 	Change from: "The AC component is up to 175 Vp at 20 Hz to 60 Hz with a 100 ohm source resistance." To: "The AC component is up to 175 Vp at 20 Hz to 60 Hz with a 100 ohm source resistance with a cadence per the relevant national standard, for a test time duration greater than 5
oposed Response Response Status O	minutes. Proposed Response Response Status O
	Proposed Response Response Status O
145 SC 145.6.5 P259 L3 # r03-70 eker, Arkadiy Microsemi Corporation omment Type TR Comment Status X The text "The AC component is up to 175 Vp at 20 Hz to 60 Hz with a 100 ohm source resistance." is missing the fact that the AC voltage which is the ringing voltage is not continuous and has a cadence spec (duty cycle like but with integer number of AC cycles for the on time and off time which may be in the range of 2 sec on , 4sec off or 1 sec on, 4 sec off i.e. a ratio of 0.2 to 0.33) which actually significantly reduces the average power dissipation on the device when applied. In addition, the test time is not defined. It doesn't make sense that the test time is infinite since this components are became very hot and may cause fire hazard. <i>vggestedRemedy</i> Change from: "The AC component is up to 175 Vp at 20 Hz to 60 Hz with a 100 ohm source resistance." To: Option 1: Without definition for test time. "The AC component is up to 175 Vp at 20 Hz to 60 Hz with a cadence spec per the	CI 145A SC 145A.5 P290 L46 # r03-116 Darshan, Yair Comment Type T Comment Status X In the text "PD pair-to-pair voltage difference (e.g. Vf1-Vf3) was limited to 60 mV while generating values for IUnbalance-2P under worst case conditions.", missing information that (Vf1-Vf3) maximum value can be found by measuring Vf1 and Vf3 at low current e.g. 1mA since at high current the effect of Vf3-Vf1 may go below 60mV. SuggestedRemedy Add a note after line 47: "Note In order to measure the maximum value of Vf1-Vf3, an input current in the range of 1mA to 10mA is recommended." Proposed Response Response Status O
relevant national standard with a 100 ohm source resistance." Option 2: With definition for test time. "The AC component is up to 175 Vp at 20 Hz to 60 Hz with a 100 ohm source resistance with a cadence spec per the relevant national standard, for a test time duration greater	
relevant national standard with a 100 ohm source resistance." Option 2: With definition for test time. "The AC component is up to 175 Vp at 20 Hz to 60 Hz with a 100 ohm source resistance	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **290** Li **46**

IEEE P802.3bt D3.3 4-Pair Power over Ethernet 3rd Sp	consor recirculation ballot comments
--	--------------------------------------

C/ 145B SC 145B.	.1 <i>P</i> 293	L13	# r03-66
Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Laboratories			
Comment Type E Typo: the word "the	Comment Status X	ving sample timir	ng diagrams show"
SuggestedRemedy			
Cange the sentence	e to: "Each of the following sam	ple timing diagra	ims show"
Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 145C SC 145C.	.3 P302	L 43	# r03-63
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips Lighting	ng	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
	ng 23 AWG and 22 AWG horizon eter cable DCR; see Table 145C		er AWG patch cords
	ery ambiguous larger copper d it results in less copper).	iameter, or large	r number (which would
SuggestedRemedy			
	d 22 AWG horizontal cable or lo DCR; see Table 145C-3."	wer AWG numbe	er patch cords reduces

Pa **302** Li **43**