C/ 0	SC O	P	L	# r04-4	C/ 1		1.4.453a	P 2		L 4	#	r04-7
Anslow, Pe	ter	Ciena Corpo	ration		Anslow, F			Ciena	Corporati	on		
"Chang Howeve	ent r03-1 agai le the base_y er, the base_ <u>y</u>	Comment Status D inst D3.3 was ACCEPT with S ear variable to 201x for all files year variable seems to have be ncorrect implementation of cor	s in the draft." een set to 2018	-	"(see "(See	ext of the IEEE 80 IEEE 80	2.3, Claus 2.3, Claus	Comment Status .4.488 through 1.4.4 se 33)." to: se 33)." (capital S fo tent with this change	91 has be r See)	en modified t	to change	Editoria :
Suggested	Remedy				Suggeste	dRemed	ly					
Proposed F	_,	ear variable to 201x for all files Response Status W	in the draft.			IEEE 80		se 145)." to: se 145)." (capital S f	,			
					Proposea	,		Response Status	w			
<i>CI</i> 0 Anslow, Pei	SC 0 ter	P Ciena Corpo	L ration	# r04-5	PROI	POSED /	ACCEPT.	P2		1.40	ш.	
Comment T	Type E	Comment Status D		Editorial	Anslow, F		1.4.X		o Corporati	L 40	#	r04-8
Howeve draft (p	er, the copyrid ossibly due to	ht_year variable to 2018 for th ght_year variable seems to ha o an incorrect implementation	ve been set to 2	01x for all files in the	Suggeste	dRemed	ly	ons for" Remove is		-		
Howeve draft (p Suggestedh Change Proposed F	er, the copyrig ossibly due to Remedy e the copyrigh Response	ght_year variable seems to hat o an incorrect implementation o nt_year variable to 2018 for all <i>Response Status</i> W	ve been set to 2 of comment r03-	01x for all files in the 1).	Suggeste Chan Proposeo	edRemed ige "Rem I Respon	ly love the de	ns for" Remove is efinitions for" to "[<i>Response Status</i>	elete the	-		
Howeve draft (p Suggestedh Change Proposed R	er, the copyrig ossibly due to Remedy e the copyrigh Response DSED ACCEF	ght_year variable seems to ha o an incorrect implementation nt_year variable to 2018 for all <i>Response Status</i> W PT.	ve been set to 2 of comment r03-	01x for all files in the 1).	Suggeste Chan Proposeo	edRemed ige "Rem I Respon POSED /	ly love the de se	efinitions for" to "[elete the o	-		r04-9
Howeve draft (p Suggested/ Change Proposed R PROPC	er, the copyrig ossibly due to Remedy e the copyrigh Response DSED ACCEF SC FM	ght_year variable seems to ha o an incorrect implementation nt_year variable to 2018 for all <i>Response Status</i> W PT. <i>P</i> 11	ve been set to 2 of comment r03- files in the draft. <i>L</i> 41	01x for all files in the 1).	Suggeste Chan Proposeo PROI	edRemed ige "Rem I Respon POSED / SC *	ly love the de se ACCEPT.	efinitions for" to "[Response Status P2	elete the o	definitions for	r"	r04-9
Howeve draft (p Suggested/ Change Proposed F PROPC C/ FM Anslow, Pel	er, the copyrig ossibly due to Remedy e the copyrigh Response DSED ACCEF SC FM ter	ght_year variable seems to ha o an incorrect implementation nt_year variable to 2018 for all <i>Response Status</i> W PT.	ve been set to 2 of comment r03- files in the draft. <i>L</i> 41	01x for all files in the 1).	Suggeste Chan Proposed PROI	edRemed age "Rem d Respon POSED / SC - Peter	ly love the de se ACCEPT.	efinitions for" to "[Response Status P2	W W 7 Corporation	definitions for	r"	r04-9 Editoria
Howeve draft (p Suggested Proposed R PROPC C/ FM Anslow, Per Comment T	er, the copyrig ossibly due to Remedy e the copyrigh Response DSED ACCEF SC FM ter Type E	ght_year variable seems to ha o an incorrect implementation nt_year variable to 2018 for all <i>Response Status</i> W PT. <i>P</i> 11 Ciena Corpo <i>Comment Status</i> D	ve been set to 2 of comment r03- files in the draft. <i>L</i> 41 ration	01x for all files in the 1). # <u>r04-6</u> <i>Edtiorial</i>	Suggeste Chan Proposea PROI C/ 14 Anslow, F Comment Comr	edRemed age "Rem d Respon POSED / SC - Peter t Type ment r03	y ove the de se ACCEPT. 14.3.1.1 E -6 against	efinitions for" to "[Response Status P2 Ciena Comment Status D3.3 was ACCEPT	Velete the o W 7 Corporation D with Sugg	L 9 on ested Remed	r" # dy:	Editoria
Howeve draft (p Suggested/ Change Proposed F PROPC C/ FM Anslow, Per Comment 7 The 80;	er, the copyrig ossibly due to Remedy e the copyrigh Response DSED ACCEF SC FM ter Type E 2.3 chair has	ght_year variable seems to ha o an incorrect implementation nt_year variable to 2018 for all <i>Response Status</i> W PT. <i>P</i> 11 Ciena Corpo	ve been set to 2 of comment r03- files in the draft. <i>L</i> 41 ration	01x for all files in the 1). # <u>r04-6</u> <i>Edtiorial</i>	Suggeste Chan Proposed PROI C/ 14 Anslow, F Comment Comr "Move the fit	dRemed ge "Rem I Respon POSED / SC Peter t Type ment r03 e the edir rst parag	y sove the de se ACCEPT. 14.3.1.1 E -6 against ting instruc raph of 14	efinitions for" to "[Response Status P2 Ciena Comment Status D3.3 was ACCEPT ction to be after the .3.1.1 as follows:""	Velete the of W W Corporation D with Sugg neading fo	L 9 on ested Remed	r" # dy:	Editoria
Howeve draft (p Suggested/ Change Proposed F PROPC C/ FM Anslow, Pel Comment 7 The 802 Suggested/	er, the copyrig ossibly due to Remedy e the copyrigh Response DSED ACCEF SC FM ter Fype E 2.3 chair has Remedy	ght_year variable seems to ha b an incorrect implementation nt_year variable to 2018 for all <i>Response Status</i> W PT. <i>P</i> 11 Ciena Corpo <i>Comment Status</i> D updated the frontmatter text in	ve been set to 2 of comment r03- files in the draft. <i>L</i> 41 ration	01x for all files in the 1). # <u>r04-6</u> <i>Edtiorial</i> 3.1.	Suggeste Chan Proposea PROI C/ 14 Anslow, F Comment Comr "Move the fin Howe	edRemed age "Rem I Respon POSED / SC - Peter t Type ment r03 e the edii rst parag ever, the	y ove the de se ACCEPT. 14.3.1.1 E -6 against ting instruct raph of 14 editing ins	efinitions for" to "[Response Status P2 Ciena Comment Status D3.3 was ACCEPT ction to be after the	Velete the of W W Corporation D with Sugg neading fo	L 9 on ested Remed	r" # dy:	Editoria
Howeve draft (p Suggested Change Proposed R PROPC C/ FM Anslow, Pel Comment 7 The 80 Suggested Replace "Two co	er, the copyrig ossibly due to Remedy e the copyrigh Response DSED ACCEF SC FM ter Fype E 2.3 chair has Remedy e the paragra ompanion doo	ght_year variable seems to ha b an incorrect implementation nt_year variable to 2018 for all <i>Response Status</i> W PT. <i>P</i> 11 Ciena Corpo <i>Comment Status</i> D updated the frontmatter text ir uph with the text from the latest cuments exist, IEEE Std 802.3	ve been set to 2 of comment r03- files in the draft. <i>L</i> 41 ration relation to 802.	01x for all files in the 1). # <u>r04-6</u> <i>Edtiorial</i> 3.1. 802.3 template: I 802.3.2. IEEE Std	Suggeste Chan Proposed PROI Cl 14 Anslow, F Comment Comment Comm the fit Howe	edRemed ge "Rem I Respon POSED / SC Peter t Type ment r03 e the edir rst parag ever, the edRemed	ACCEPT. 14.3.1.1 E -6 against ting instruct raph of 14 editing ins	efinitions for" to "I Response Status P2 Ciena Comment Status D3.3 was ACCEPT ction to be after the .3.1.1 as follows:"" truction has not bee	Velete the of W W Corporation D with Sugg neading fo n moved.	L9 on ested Remed r 14.3.1.1 an	r" # dy:	Editoria
Howeve draft (p Suggested Proposed R PROPO C/ FM Anslow, Pel Comment 7 The 802 Suggested Replace "Two co 802.3.1	er, the copyrig ossibly due to Remedy e the copyrigh Response DSED ACCEF SC FM ter Fype E 2.3 chair has Remedy e the paragra ompanion doo	ght_year variable seems to ha b an incorrect implementation at_year variable to 2018 for all <i>Response Status</i> W PT. <i>P</i> 11 Ciena Corpo <i>Comment Status</i> D updated the frontmatter text ir updated the frontmatter text ir sph with the text from the latest cuments exist, IEEE Std 802.3 thernet management informati	ve been set to 2 of comment r03- files in the draft. <i>L</i> 41 ration relation to 802. version of the 8 .1 and IEEE Std on base (MIB) m	01x for all files in the 1). # <u>r04-6</u> <i>Edtiorial</i> 3.1. 802.3 template: 1802.3.2. IEEE Std nodules for use with the	Suggeste Chan Proposed PROI C/ 14 Anslow, F Comment Comr "Move the fit Howe Suggeste Move	edRemed ige "Rem I Respon POSED / Peter t Type ment r03 e the edit rst parag ever, the edRemed e the editi	y ove the de se ACCEPT. 14.3.1.1 E -6 against ting instruc raph of 14 editing ins	efinitions for" to "[Response Status P2 Ciena Comment Status D3.3 was ACCEPT ction to be after the 3.1.1 as follows:"" struction has not bee	Velete the of W W Corporation D with Sugg heading for n moved. eading for	L9 on ested Remed r 14.3.1.1 an	r" # dy:	Editoria
Howeve draft (p Suggested Proposed R PROPO C/ FM Anslow, Per Comment 7 The 80: Suggested Replace "Two cc 802.3.1 Simple models manage	er, the copyrig ossibly due to <i>Remedy</i> e the copyrigh <i>Response</i> DSED ACCEF SC FM ter <i>Sype</i> E 2.3 chair has <i>Remedy</i> e the paragra ompanion doo describes Et Network Mar of Ethernet.	ght_year variable seems to ha b an incorrect implementation nt_year variable to 2018 for all <i>Response Status</i> W PT. <i>P</i> 11 Ciena Corpo <i>Comment Status</i> D updated the frontmatter text ir uph with the text from the latest cuments exist, IEEE Std 802.3	L 41 L 41 ration relation to 802. version of the 8 .1 and IEEE Std on base (MIB) m EE Std 802.3.2 are u	01x for all files in the 1). # round for all files in the 1). # round for all files in the Editorial 3.1. 802.3 template: 802.3.2. IEEE Std rodules for use with the describes YANG data updated to add	Suggeste Chan Proposea PROI Cl 14 Anslow, F Comment Comr "Move the fin Howe Suggeste Move	edRemed ige "Rem I Respon POSED / SC Peter t Type ment r03 e the editi rst parag ever, the edRemed the editi if Respon	y ove the de se ACCEPT. 14.3.1.1 E -6 against ting instruc raph of 14 editing ins	efinitions for" to "I Response Status P2 Ciena Comment Status D3.3 was ACCEPT ction to be after the .3.1.1 as follows:"" truction has not bee	Velete the of W W Corporation D with Sugg heading for n moved. eading for	L9 on ested Remed r 14.3.1.1 an	r" # dy:	Editoria
Howeve draft (p Suggested Proposed R PROPO C/ FM Anslow, Per Comment 7 The 80: Suggested Replace "Two cc 802.3.1 Simple models manage	er, the copyrig ossibly due to <i>Remedy</i> e the copyrigh <i>Response</i> DSED ACCEF SC FM ter <i>Type</i> E 2.3 chair has <i>Remedy</i> e the paragra ompanion doo describes Et Network Mar for Ethernet. ement capabi cements."	ght_year variable seems to ha b an incorrect implementation of _year variable to 2018 for all <i>Response Status</i> W PT. <i>P</i> 11 Ciena Corpo <i>Comment Status</i> D updated the frontmatter text in updated the frontmatter text in thernet management information thernet management information thernet Protocol (SNMP). IE IEEE Std 802.3.1 and IEEE Std	L 41 L 41 ration relation to 802. version of the 8 .1 and IEEE Std on base (MIB) m EE Std 802.3.2 are u	01x for all files in the 1). # round for all files in the 1). # round for all files in the Editorial 3.1. 802.3 template: 802.3.2. IEEE Std rodules for use with the describes YANG data updated to add	Suggeste Chan Proposea PROI Cl 14 Anslow, F Comment Comr "Move the fin Howe Suggeste Move	edRemed ige "Rem I Respon POSED / SC Peter t Type ment r03 e the editi rst parag ever, the edRemed the editi if Respon	y ove the de se ACCEPT. 14.3.1.1 E -6 against ting instruc raph of 14 editing ins y ing instruc se	efinitions for" to "[Response Status P2 Ciena Comment Status D3.3 was ACCEPT ction to be after the 3.1.1 as follows:"" struction has not bee	Velete the of W W Corporation D with Sugg heading for n moved. eading for	L9 on ested Remed r 14.3.1.1 an	r" # dy:	Editoria

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line Pa **27** Li **9** Page 1 of 20 4/17/2018 1:32:16 PM

C/ 30 SC 30.9.1.1.2 P 38 L 22 # Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation	r04-10 C/ 33 Anslow, P	SC 33.8.3.4 eter	P 81 Ciena Corpo	L 25 ration	# r04-13
Comment Type E Comment Status D	Editorial Comment		Comment Status D		Editoria
Comment r01-1 against the revision project D3.1 has changed the base text in See:	n 30.9.1.1.2. The e chang	diting instruction sa	rtion of EL17a and EL17b		
http://www.ieee802.org/3/cj/comments/P8023-D3p1-Comments-Final-byID-r1.	.pdf Suggestee	dRemedy			
"enabled." has been changed to "enabled". {the "." has been moved to be after quotes). Similarly, in 30.9.1.1.4 (page 38, line 54) "true." has been changed to "true".	in 33. Remo	8.3.4 as follows:"	iction to "Change EL13 thi from EL17a and EL17b as	0	
SuggestedRemedy In 30.9.1.1.2 change: "enabled." to: "enabled". In 30.9.1.1.4 change: "true." to "true". (in strikethrough font)		Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	CI 33 Anslow, P	SC 33.8.3.4 eter	P 82 Ciena Corpo	L 7 ration	# r04-14
C/ 30 SC 30.9.1.1.5 P 39 L 38 # Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation	r04-11 Comment The o	51	Comment Status D ies in the table in 33.8.3.4	do not have a ".	" at the end.
Comment Type E Comment Status D In the note at the end of 30.9.1.1.5, "overcurrent" has been changed to "over- However, this text is part of the base standard, so this change should be done "overcurrent" in strikethrough font and "over-current" in underline font. SuggestedRemedy	e by showing Proposed	ve the "." after "33.	4.6" in the rows for EL17a Response Status W	and EL17b	
Show "over-current" in underline font and add "overcurrent" in strikethrough fi	ont next to it. C/ 79	SC 79.3.2.6c.2	P 94	L19	# r04-15
Proposed Response Response Status W	Anslow, P		Ciena Corpo	-	π <u>104-13</u>
PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 33 SC 33.4.3 P73 L1 #		51	Comment Status D ture configuration", "it's" s	hould be "its" (no	<i>Editoria</i> o apostrophe for
Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation	Suggeste	dRemedy			
Comment Type E Comment Status D		ge "it's" to "its".			
Comment r03-8 against D3.3 was ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE with Response ind "Change the insert editing instruction to: Insert Table 33-19a between the first and second paragraphs of 33.4.3." Consequently, "paragraph" should be "paragraphs".	Filiposed	Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy					
Change "paragraph" to "paragraphs".					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **94** Li **19**

C/ 79 SC 79.3.2.6e Yseboodt, Lennart	P 96 Philips Lighting	L 33	# r04-24	C/ 79 S Ran, Adee	SC 79.3.8.2	P100 Intel Corporat	L 36	# r04-3
Comment Type T OOS	Comment Status D	2	LLDP	Comment Typ		Comment Status X s defined in Equation (79-1)"		Editoria
capabilities into accoun	value of this field taking avail t." ded for a field that offers 'adv	·	lget and hardware	Encoding		(PPI as a function of this field ing the equation (numerically		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRei	medv			
Change to:	e of this field taking available t."	power budget	and hardware	As a simp	le remedy, cł	nange "encoded" to "decoded		based on Equation (79-
Proposed Response	Response Status W			1). The ap	proximation i	s implementation dependent		
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	•			Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status W		
				TFTD				
C/ 79 SC 79.3.8 Ran, Adee	P 98 Intel Corporati	L 16 on	# r04-1	C/ 79 S	SC 79.3.8.2	P101	L 1	# r04-2
Comment Type E With the addition of clar SuggestedRemedy Change "defines" to "de Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W	use 145 defines	Editorial s" should be "define".	This is co KPPI is co	ere says "KP nfusing since omputed from	Comment Status X PI is the power price index e "power price index" is a diffe that index. this subclause is:		
C/ 79 SC 79.3.8 Anslow, Peter	P 98 Ciena Corpora	L 16 tion	# r04-16			index' field shall contain an i PSE considers the nominal e		ent price of electricity
Comment Type E "Clause 33 and Clause two"	Comment Status D 145 defines two" should b	e "Clause 33 a	<i>Editorial</i> nd Clause 145 define		the nominal	at KPPI is "the current price of electricity price", so it is not a		
SuggestedRemedy					-	I, change "is the power price	index" to "is th	e relative power price"
Change "defines" to "de	efine".							
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status WIIN PRINCIPLE.			Proposed Res TFTD	ponse	Response Status W		
OBE by 1								

Pa **101** Li **1**

C/ 79 SC 79.5.3 P105 L19 # r04-17	C/ 79 SC 79.5.8 P107 L38 # r04-20
Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation	Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status D LLDP *PT34 is the same as *PT12	Comment Type E Comment Status D Editoria Incorrect font size for some of the text in the Value/Comment column Editoria Editoria
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
In the *PT34 row, change the "Feature" entry from "Device is a Type 1 or Type 2 PSE or PD" to "Device is a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE or PD"	Use the correct font size in the Value comment entry for: PVT26 "145.2.4"
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	PVT29 "145.3.6) for Mode A" PVT31 "145.2.8) for Mode A" PVT33 "145.3.6) for Mode B" PVT35 "145.3.6) for Mode B"
C/ 79 SC 79.5.3 P 105 L 30 # [r04-18] Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation </td <td>PVT35 "145.2.8) for Mode B" PVT36 "145.3.6)" PVT38 "145.2.8)"</td>	PVT35 "145.2.8) for Mode B" PVT36 "145.3.6)" PVT38 "145.2.8)"
Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial The row for "*AE" in the base standard is missing. Editorial Editorial	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy Add the row for "*AE" to the table.	Cl 145 SC 145.1 P 113 L 9 # [r04-25] Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Comment Type E Comment Status D Editoria OOS
C/ 79 SC 79.5.3 P105 L36 # r04-19	
Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation	"This clause defines the functional and electrical characteristics of an enhanced Power over Ethernet (PoE) system. The original PoE system is defined in Clause 33This_
Comment Type E Comment Status D Editorial	clause includes the capability to provide power over 4 pairs while maintaining compatibility
The items at the foot of page 105 (Heading for 79.5.3, editing instruction and section of table) are repeating the insertion of a row for "PM that is already being done as part of the "Change" above.	with equipment designed in accordance with Clause 33."
SuggestedRemedy	The highlighted 'this' could be read to refer to Clause 33.
Remove the heading, editing instruction and table section from the foot of page 105.	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Change last sentence to: "Clause 145 includes the capability to provide power over 4 pairs while maintaining compatibility with equipment designed in accordance with Clause 33."
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Pa **113** Li **9**

CI 145 SC 145.1.3 Yseboodt, Lennart Pl	P116 L12 hilips Lighting	# r04-26	C/ 145 SC 145.2.2 P 118 L 51 # r04-27 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting
Comment Type E Comment Sta OOS	tus D	Cabling	Comment Type T Comment Status D PSE Types OOS
"This clause uses "pairset DC loop resis "Therefore, RCh is related to, but not ec out in the cable references." In the first sentence we have to define F And move comma out of quotation mark	uivalent to, the "DC loop RCh because it is not yet de	resistance" called	802.3bt Draft 3.4 "The requirements of this document shall apply equally to Endpoint and Midspan PSEs unless the requirement contains an explicit statement that it applies to only one implementation." 802.3af-2003 "The requirements of this document shall apply equally to Endpoint and Midspan PSEs unless the requirement contains an explicit statement that it applies to only one implementation."
SuggestedRemedy Change first sentence to: "This clause uses "pairset DC loop resis Proposed Response Response Sta PROPOSED ACCEPT.	· · · · ·	to two pairs in series."	Untestable at the PI and untestable even with access to design specific information due to not being specific. All of our PSE requirements refer to "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs", which includes both Mid and End spans.
TFTDlet's make sure this section is c	orrect, we seem to change	it every meeting.	While this statement is certainly valid, it is redundant and untestable. SuggestedRemedy Strike sentence and remove corresponding PICS. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change sentence to "The requirement of this document apply equally to"
			Cl 145 SC 145.2.5.4 P130 L 39 # r04-65 Lukacs, Miklos Comment Type T Comment Status D PSE SD THIS COMMENT WAS SUBMITTED AFTER THE COMMENT PERIOD ENDED, IT WILL BE CONSIDERED IF NO ONE IN THE COMMENT RESOLUTION GROUP OBJECTS dll_4pid is a state machine variable and it exist with the same name in both the PSE and PD variable definitions. This variable is not used anywhere else in the PSE section. SuggestedRemedy Delete variable and its description from page 13 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. W
			TFTD

Pa **130** Li **39**

C/ 145 SC 145.2.5 P 158 L 17 # r04-66 Lukacs, Miklos	C/ 145 SC 145.2.6.2 P161 L 40 # r04-62 Darshan, Yair
Comment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type T Comment Status D Negative Pa
THIS COMMENT WAS SUBMITTED AFTER THE COMMENT PERIOD ENDED, IT WILL BE CONSIDERED IF NO ONE IN THE COMMENT RESOLUTION GROUP OBJECTS In Figure 145-16 "start tinrush_timer_sec" is missing from POWER_UP_SEC SuggestedRemedy	We agree that whenever we need to meet requirements related to current, it should be done at the negative pairs as we did in D3.3 for Iclass, linrush and Iport. We missed to do it for the detection. Equation 145-1 is using currents to calculate the resistance during detection. I1 and I2 need to be the currents on the negative pairs as well.
In Figure 145-16 add "start tinrush_timer_sec" to POWER_UP_SEC	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. OBE by 28	In the where list change from: "I1 and I2 are the first and second current measurements made of the pairset current, respectively" To: "I1 and I2 are the first and second current measurements made of the pairset current,
	respectively. I1 and I2 are measured on the negative pair."
TFTD	Proposed Response Response Status W
C/ 145 SC 145.2.5.7 P158 L18 # [r04-28	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
reboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting	OBE by 56
Comment Type TR Comment Status D PSE SD	
OOS	C/ 145 SC 145.2.6.2 P 161 L 40 # r04-56 Peker, Arkadiy Microsemi Corporation
The tinrush_timer_sec is not started in POWER_UP_SEC. SuggestedRemedy Add "start tinrush timer sec" for POWER UP SEC in Figure 145-16	Comment Type TR Comment Status D Negative Part A requirements related to current need to be met at the negative pairs as we did in D3.3 for other parameters. Equation 145-1 is using currents to calculate the resistance during detection. I1 and I2 need to be the currents on the negative pairs.
Proposed Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	In the where list change from: "I1 and I2 are the first and second current measurements made of the pairset current, respectively" To: "I1 and I2 are the first and second current measurements made of the pairset current, respectively. I1 and I2 are measured on the negative pair."
	Proposed Response Response Status W
	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Change to: "I1 and I2 are the first and second current measurements made on the negataive pair of the pairset, respectively."

Pa **161** Li **40**

C/ 145 SC 145.2.8 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 164 Philips Lighting	L 25	# r04-29	<i>Cl</i> 145 Yseboodt, L	SC 145.2.8 ennart	P 164 Philips Lighting	L 28	# r04-31
Comment Type E Accepted comment r0	Comment Status D 2-37 against D3.2 was not imp	emented.	Editorial	Comment Ty OOS	ype T	Comment Status D		PSE Class
SuggestedRemedy								
	output a PSE supports when po pair mode, is defined by Equati		e-signature PD, or	"P Class	s may subsec	uently be adjusted using Data Li	nk Layer clas	sification."
				or Au	toclass			
Change to:				SuggestedR	Remedy			
"The minimum output	power a PSE supports when po		e-signature PD, or	"P Class	s may subsec	uently be adjusted using Data Li	nk Layer clas	sification or Autoclass."
	pair mode, is defined by Equation	on (145-2)."		Proposed R	esponse	Response Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROPO	SED ACCEP	Т.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT				C/ 145	SC 145.2.8	P164	L 50	# r04-32
C/ 145 SC 145.2.8	P164	L 27	# r04-30	Yseboodt, L		Philips Lighting	•••	" 10 4 -32
rseboodt, Lennart	Philips Lighting			Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status D		PSE Class
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PSE Class	-		ns may use VPSE = VPort_PSE	-2P min and	
	s may use VPSE=VPort_PSE-2			at over-i	margined valu	ues as shown in Table 145-11."		
	rough 4, or RChan=RCh/2 whe d values as shown in Table 14		I Class is 5 through 8 to	•	· ·	r dual-sig) Rchan-2P is used and	not RChan.	
anive at over margine		,		SuggestedR				
0	through 4 the calculation uses	RChan-2P inst	ead of Rchan.		nplementatio	ns may use VPSE = VPort_PSE ed values as shown in Table 145		RChan-2P = RCh to
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed R	0	Response Status W		
assigned Class is 1 th	s may use VPSE=VPort_PSE-2 rough 4, or RChan=RCh/2 whe d values as shown in Table 14	n the assigned		•	SED ACCEP	,		
Proposed Response	Response Status W							

Pa **164** Li **50**

C/ 145 Jones, Chad	SC 145.2.8	P 165 Cisco System	L 19 s, Inc.	# r04-22	C/ 145 SC 145.2.8 Yseboodt, Lennart		P 167 Philips Light	L 32 ing	# r04-34
Comment Ty		Comment Status D	- , -	Editorial	Comment Type T	Comn	nent Status D	5	Editor
sentence assigned PRIMAR power to	e missing a verb Class 5 throug Y_SEMI_PWR0 PClass per the	or has extra words that ma h 8 prior to a fault and then DN or SECONDARY_SEMI assigned Class with a max update PSEAllocatedPowe	transitions to _PWRON, it rev imum value of 0	rb. "When the PSE verts the allocation of	"A PSE shall return	es detectior			
 SuggestedRe	-				For dual signature the	e statediagr	ram returns to IDLI	E_PRI or IDLE_S	SEC.
two optio	2				SuggestedRemedy				
transition allocatior asserts lo two: add transition allocatior	ns to PRIMARY n of power to PC ocal_system_ch 'is' - "When the ns to PRIMARY n of power to PC	When the PSE assigned Cla _SEMI_PWRON or SECON Class per the assigned Clas hange to update PSEAllocat PSE is assigned Class 5 th _SEMI_PWRON or SECON Class per the assigned Clas	DARY_SEMI_F s with a maximu edPowerValue. nrough 8 prior to DARY_SEMI_F	WRON, it reverts the um value of Class 4 and a fault and then WRON, it reverts the um value of Class 4 and	Change to: "A PSE shall return Alternative if it succe fails to complete class <i>Proposed Response</i> PROPOSED ACCEP	essfully con sification or <i>Respol</i>	npletes detection on that pairset." Inse Status W		to the appropriate dual-signature PD but
asserts lo	ocal system ch	ange to update PSFAllocat		н					
	•	ange to update PSEAllocat		"	Change to:				
Proposed Re	•	Response Status W		"	Change to: "A PSE shall return appropriate Alternativ signature PD but fails	ve, if it suc	ccessfully complet	es detection on a	
Proposed Re PROPOS Change t "When th PRIMAR	esponse SED ACCEPT II to: ne PSE assigns Y_SEMI_PWR(Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. Class 5 through 8 prior to a DN or SECONDARY_SEMI	edPowerValue. a fault and then _PWRON, it rev	transitions to verts the allocation of	"A PŠE shall return appropriate Alternativ	ve, if it suc s to complet	ccessfully complet	es detection on a that pairset."	
Proposed Re PROPOS Change to "When th PRIMAR power to	esponse SED ACCEPT II to: ne PSE assigns Y_SEMI_PWR(Pclass per the	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. Class 5 through 8 prior to a	edPowerValue. a fault and then _PWRON, it rev mum value of C	transitions to verts the allocation of	"A PSE shall return appropriate Alternativ signature PD but fails <i>Cl</i> 145 <i>SC</i> 145.2.8 . Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> E	ve, if it suc s to complet .1	ccessfully complet te classification on P167	es detection on a that pairset."	a pairset of a dual-
Proposed Re PROPOS Change t "When th PRIMAR power to local_sys	esponse SED ACCEPT II to: ne PSE assigns Y_SEMI_PWR(Pclass per the	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. Class 5 through 8 prior to a DN or SECONDARY_SEMI assigned Class with a maxi	edPowerValue. a fault and then _PWRON, it rev mum value of C	transitions to verts the allocation of	"A PŠE shall return appropriate Alternativ signature PD but fails C/ 145 SC 145.2.8. Yseboodt, Lennart	ve, if it suc s to complet .1	ccessfully complet te classification on P167 Philips Light	es detection on a that pairset."	a pairset of a dual- # r <mark>04-35</mark>
Proposed Re PROPOS Change t "When th PRIMAR power to local_sys	esponse SED ACCEPT II to: he PSE assigns Y_SEMI_PWR(Pclass per the stem_change to SC 145.2.8	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. Class 5 through 8 prior to a DN or SECONDARY_SEMI assigned Class with a maxi update PSEAllocatedPowe	edPowerValue. fault and then _PWRON, it rev mum value of C rValue." <i>L</i> 6	transitions to verts the allocation of class 4 and asserts	"A PŠE shall return appropriate Alternativ signature PD but fails <i>Cl</i> 145 <i>SC</i> 145.2.8 . Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> E OOS	ve, if it suc s to complet .1 <i>Comn</i>	ccessfully complet te classification on P167 Philips Light nent Status D	es detection on a that pairset." <i>L</i> 42 ing	# r <u>04-35</u> # r <u>04-35</u> Editor
Proposed Re PROPOS Change t "When th PRIMAR power to local_sys C/ 145 Yseboodt, Le Comment Ty	esponse SED ACCEPT II to: me PSE assigns Y_SEMI_PWRG Pclass per the stem_change to SC 145.2.8 ennart pe T	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. Class 5 through 8 prior to a DN or SECONDARY_SEMI assigned Class with a maxi update PSEAllocatedPowe P167 Philips Lightin Comment Status D	edPowerValue. PWRON, it rev mum value of C rValue." <i>L</i> 6	transitions to verts the allocation of class 4 and asserts	"A PŠE shall return appropriate Alternativ signature PD but fails <i>Cl</i> 145 <i>SC</i> 145.2.8 . Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> E OOS	ve, if it suc s to complet .1 <i>Comn</i>	ccessfully complet te classification on P167 Philips Light nent Status D	es detection on a that pairset." <i>L</i> 42 ing	a pairset of a dual- # r <mark>04-35</mark>
Proposed Re PROPOS Change t "When th PRIMAR power to local_sys C/ 145 (seboodt, Le Comment Ty In Heade	esponse SED ACCEPT II to: me PSE assigns Y_SEMI_PWRO Pclass per the stem_change to SC 145.2.8 ennart pe T er of Table 145-	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. Class 5 through 8 prior to a DN or SECONDARY_SEMI assigned Class with a maxi update PSEAllocatedPowe P167 Philips Lightin Comment Status D 12 is written "Assigned Class	edPowerValue. PWRON, it rev mum value of C rValue." <i>L</i> 6 g s on Mode X".	transitions to verts the allocation of Class 4 and asserts # r04-33	"A PŠE shall return appropriate Alternativ signature PD but fails Cl 145 SC 145.2.8. Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E OOS "Classification times,	ve, if it suc s to complet .1 <i>Comn</i>	ccessfully complet te classification on P167 Philips Light nent Status D	es detection on a that pairset." <i>L</i> 42 ing	# r <u>04-35</u> # r <u>04-35</u> Editor
Proposed Re PROPOS Change t "When th PRIMAR power to local_sys C/ 145 (seboodt, Le Comment Ty In Heade The is ab	esponse SED ACCEPT II to: the PSE assigns Y_SEMI_PWR0 Pclass per the stem_change to SC 145.2.8 ennart type T er of Table 145- pout the PSE so	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. Class 5 through 8 prior to a DN or SECONDARY_SEMI assigned Class with a maxi update PSEAllocatedPowe P167 Philips Lightin Comment Status D	edPowerValue. PWRON, it rev mum value of C rValue." <i>L</i> 6 g s on Mode X".	transitions to verts the allocation of Class 4 and asserts # r04-33	"A PŠE shall return appropriate Alternativ signature PD but fails <i>Cl</i> 145 <i>SC</i> 145.2.8. Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> E OOS "Classification times, in Table 145-14."	ve, if it suc s to complet .1 <i>Comm</i> Tpdc, TLC	ccessfully complet te classification on P167 Philips Light nent Status D	es detection on a that pairset." <i>L</i> 42 ing	# r <u>04-35</u> # r <u>04-35</u> Editor
Proposed Re PROPOS Change t "When th PRIMAR power to local_sys C/ 145 (seboodt, Le Comment Ty In Heade The is ab	esponse SED ACCEPT II to: the PSE assigns Y_SEMI_PWR0 Pclass per the stem_change to SC 145.2.8 ennart type T er of Table 145- bout the PSE so emedy	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. Class 5 through 8 prior to a DN or SECONDARY_SEMI assigned Class with a maxi update PSEAllocatedPowe P167 Philips Lightin Comment Status D 12 is written "Assigned Class should be Alt and not Mod	edPowerValue. fault and then _PWRON, it rev mum value of C rValue." <i>L</i> 6 g s on Mode X". e.	transitions to verts the allocation of class 4 and asserts # <u>r04-33</u> <i>Editorial</i>	"A PSE shall return appropriate Alternativ signature PD but fails <i>Cl</i> 145 <i>SC</i> 145.2.8 . Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> E OOS "Classification times, in Table 145-14." Tpdc no longer exists	ve, if it suc s to complet .1 <i>Comm</i> Tpdc, TLC	ccessfully complet te classification on P167 Philips Light nent Status D	es detection on a that pairset." <i>L</i> 42 ing	# r <u>04-35</u> # r <u>04-35</u> Editor
Proposed Re PROPOS Change t "When th PRIMAR power to local_sys C/ 145 (seboodt, Le Comment Ty, In Heade The is ab Suggested Re Change I	esponse SED ACCEPT II to: me PSE assigns Y_SEMI_PWR0 Pclass per the stem_change to SC 145.2.8 ennart pe T er of Table 145- bout the PSE so emedy Header of Table	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. Class 5 through 8 prior to a DN or SECONDARY_SEMI assigned Class with a maxi update PSEAllocatedPowe P167 Philips Lightin Comment Status D 12 is written "Assigned Class should be Alt and not Mod	edPowerValue. fault and then _PWRON, it rev mum value of C rValue." <i>L</i> 6 g s on Mode X". e.	transitions to verts the allocation of class 4 and asserts # <u>r04-33</u> <i>Editorial</i>	"A PŠE shall return appropriate Alternativ signature PD but fails <i>Cl</i> 145 <i>SC</i> 145.2.8. Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> E OOS "Classification times, in Table 145-14." Tpdc no longer exists <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	ve, if it suc s to complet .1 <i>Comn</i> Tpdc, TLCI	ccessfully complet te classification on P167 Philips Light nent Status D	es detection on a that pairset." <i>L</i> 42 ing	# r <u>04-35</u> # r <u>04-35</u> Editor
Proposed Re PROPOS Change t "When th PRIMAR power to local_sys C/ 145 Yseboodt, Le Comment Ty In Heade The is at SuggestedRe Change I Proposed Re	esponse SED ACCEPT II to: me PSE assigns Y_SEMI_PWR0 Pclass per the stem_change to SC 145.2.8 ennart pe T er of Table 145- bout the PSE so emedy Header of Table	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. Class 5 through 8 prior to a DN or SECONDARY_SEMI assigned Class with a maxi update PSEAllocatedPowe P167 Philips Lightin Comment Status D 12 is written "Assigned Class should be Alt and not Mod	edPowerValue. fault and then _PWRON, it rev mum value of C rValue." <i>L</i> 6 g s on Mode X". e.	transitions to verts the allocation of class 4 and asserts # <u>r04-33</u> <i>Editorial</i>	"A PSE shall return appropriate Alternativ signature PD but fails <i>Cl</i> 145 <i>SC</i> 145.2.8 . Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> E OOS "Classification times, in Table 145-14." Tpdc no longer exists	ve, if it suc s to complet .1 <i>Comn</i> Tpdc, TLCl s. from list.	ccessfully complet te classification on P167 Philips Light nent Status D E, TCEV, TME1, T	es detection on a that pairset." <i>L</i> 42 ing "ME2, TClass, ar	# <u>r04-35</u> # <u>r04-35</u> <i>Editori</i>

Pa **167** Li **42**

C/ 145 SC 145.2.8.1 P 169 L 4 # r04-36 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips L	C/ 145 SC 145.2.10 P 171 L 39 # r04-37 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting
Comment Type T Comment Status D PSE Class "The timing specification for PSEs in DO_CLASS_PROBE may be reduced to TCEV for all class events." Are dual signature states not allowed to reduce to TCEV?	Comment Type T Comment Status X PSE Power OOS
SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The timing specification for PSEs in a DO_CLASS_PROBE state may be reduced to TCEV for all class events."	"V Port_PSE_diff , as defined in Table 145-16, is the maximum voltage difference between pairs with the same polarity, at no load condition, when operating over 4 pairs, in a power on state."
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	V Port_PSE_diff is maximum 10mV.
We tend not to use an actuall state name when using the construct "a XXX state" ex: "a power on state"	This requirement only holds at a no load condition and was introduced to control current unbalance. However, at no load, there is no unbalance issue. And we have a pretty tight test for current unbalance. I would assert that if a PSE can meet the PSE unbalance test, VPort_PSE_diff does not do anything.
However, we do use this for "all CLASS states"	
maybe we should align this usage	It's a meaningless parameter that is tricky to measure.
TFTD	 SuggestedRemedy Remove item 2 (VPort_PSE_diff) from Table 145-16 Remove subclause 145.2.10.2 Strike sentence on page 178 line 4: "Effective resistances of R PSE_min and R PSE_max include the effects of V Port_PSE_diff as defined in Table 145-16 and the PSE PI resistive elements." Change on page 218, line 28: "R source_min and R source_max represent the V source source common mode effective resistance that consists of the PSE PI components (R PSE_min and R PSE_max as defined in 145.2.10.5.1, V Port_PSE_diff as defined in Table 145-16, the link section resistance, and influence of R PD_min and R PD_max as function of system end-to-end unbalance)." To read (note the parens have moves also): "R source_min and R source_max represent the V source source common mode effective resistance that consists of the PSE PI components (R PSE_min and R PSE_max as defined in 145.2.10.5.1, V here the parene the V source source common mode effective resistance that consists of the PSE PI components (R PSE_min and R PSE_max as defined in 145.2.10.5.1), the link section resistance, and influence of R PD_min and R PD_max as function of system end-to-end unbalance)."
	Proposed Response Response Status W TFTD

Pa **171** Li **39**

C/ 145 SC 145.2.10 P 174 L 20 # r04-38 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips L	C/ 145 SC 145.2.10.1 P 175 L 3 # r04-39 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting P
Comment Type TR Comment Status D PSE Cap OOS	Comment Type TR Comment Status D PSE Power OOS
Item 23 in Table 145-16 (Cout) is defined as "Output capacitance during detection state over a pairset". This is untestable as there is no deterministic way to know when the PSE is IN the detection state. Furthermore any kind of measurement would be frustrated by the changing detection voltages.	"The specification for V Port_PSE-2P in Table 145-16 shall be met with a load step of (I Hold max x V Port_PSE-2P min) to the maximum power per the PSE's assigned Class at a rate of change of at least 15 mA/ms."
Will someone think of the test engineers for once!? Also, p161.5 says "Output capacitance shall be as defined in Table 145-16." Which would force the output capacitance to be limited in ALL states.	We seem to have a difficult relation with minimums and maximums. Per this requirement, VPort_PSE-2P needs to be met at any change greater than 15mA/uS up to instanteneous current changes. Anything changing slower is excluded from this shall ? But is picked up by the VPort_PSE-2P item in Table 145-16 ?
Why is Cout even in Table 145-16 if it only applies during detection ? SuggestedRemedy	Assumption: this 802.3at era text probably wanted to have the shall no longer apply at rate of change faster than 15mA/us Remedy written under this assumption.
- Delete Cout from Table 145-16 - Add new item to Table 145-7: Item 6, 'Pairset output capacitance', Cout, nF, min, max 520	SuggestedRemedy "The specification for V Port_PSE-2P in Table 145-16 shall be met with a load step of (I Hold max x V Port_PSE-2P min) to the maximum power per the PSE's assigned Class at a rate of change of up to 15 mA/ms."
Change quoted sentence to read: "Output capacitance shall be as defined in Table 145-16, when VPSE is in the range of 0V to Vvalid max."	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
TFTD, shouldn't this apply to Connection Check as well? Pretty much all detection specs should apply to CC	

Pa **175** Li **3**

Cl 145 SC 145.2.10.5 P 176 Stewart, Heath Analog Devices Ir	L 28 # [r04-23	C/ 145 SC 145.2.10.6 P 180 L 31 # r04-40 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting
Comment Type E Comment Status D It is unclear how to parse the sub-bullets. Are they being Propose to add clarity.	PSE Power g used as an AND or an OR?	Comment Type T Comment Status D Edito OOS
 When powering a single-signature PD over 4 pairs, a PS - A total current of ICon, defined in Equation (145-9), ov. - A minimum current of ICon-2P-unb on both the positive highest current to account for pair-to-pair unbalance. SuggestedRemedy Change: When powering a single-signature PD over 4 pairs, a PS - A total current of ICon, defined in Equation (145-9), ov. - A minimum current of ICon-2P-unb on both the positive highest current to account for pair-to-pair unbalance. To: When powering a PD over 4 pairs, a PSE provides at let - A total current of ICon, defined in Equation (145-9), ov and, A current of Icon-2p-unb on both the positive pair and current to account for pair-to-pair unbalance. A PSE may remove power when either of these condition 145-23 and Figure 145-24. 	er both pairs with the same polarity; e pair and the negative pair with the SE supports: er both pairs with the same polarity; e pair and the negative pair with the ast: er both pairs of the same polarity, the negative pair with the highest	 "A PSE that provides current on both pairsets during POWER_UP shall complete power u within T Inrush max, starting when the first pairset exceeds a voltage of 30 V." I don't think this applies when connected to a dual-signature PD. SuggestedRemedy "A PSE, connected to a single-signature PD, that provides current on both pairsets during POWER_UP shall complete power up within T Inrush max, starting when the first pairset exceeds a voltage of 30 V." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. TFTD Is this change needed since I don't think the DS SD uses POWER_UP as a state (it shoul be _pri and _sec).
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. TFTD Change: When powering a single-signature PD over 4 pairs, a PS - A total current of Icon, defined in Equation (145-9), ove - A minimum current of Icon-2P-unb on both the positive highest current to account for pair-to-pair unbalance. To: When powering a PD over 4 pairs, a PSE is capable of J - A total current of Icon, defined in Equation (145-9), ove and - A current of Icon-2p-unb on both the positive pair and current to account for pair-to-pair unbalance.	er both pairs with the same polarity; e pair and the negative pair with the providing at least: er both pairs of the same polarity,	

Pa **180** Li **31**

Cl 145 SC 145.2.10.6 P 180 L 35 # r04-41 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting	C/ 145 SC 145.2.10.8 P 183 L 26 # r04-42 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting P
Comment Type TR Comment Status D PSE Power OOS	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Pres: Yseboodt2 p181.33 "A PSE may remove power from the PI if the current on any pair meets or exceeds the "PSE lowerbound template" in Figure 145-23 or Figure 145-24." Pres: Yseboodt2
"PSEs that have assigned Class 5 or Class 6 to a single-signature PD transition to 4-pair mode by T Inrush ."	p183.26 "The PSE shall limit the pairset current to I LIM-2P for a duration of at least T LIM."
The intent here is to say that they need to have completed inrush, and operate in 4-pair, in POWER_ON, within Tinrush of the first pairset switching to INRUSH.	p184.1 "If a short circuit condition is detected on a pairset, power removal from that pairset shall begin within T LIM as defined in Table 145-16."
We already have: - "A PSE that has assigned Class 5 to 8 to a single-signature PD shall apply power to both pairsets while in POWER_ON." (p175.11) - "A PSE that provides current on both pairsets during POWER_UP shall complete power	p184.5 "A PSE in a power on state may remove power from that pairset without regard to T LIM when the pairset voltage no longer meets the V Port_PSE-2P specification."
up within T Inrush max, starting when the first pairset exceeds a voltage of 30 V." (p180.31)	These statements are in conflict, both in intent and in precise wording.
	SuggestedRemedy
Do we need the quoted requirement ? I think it is covered by the other two.	Adopt yseboodt_02_0518_ilimtlim.pdf
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status W
Strike: "PSEs that have assigned Class 5 or Class 6 to a single-signature PD transition to 4-pair	TFTD
mode by T Inrush."	WFP
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Cl 145 SC 145.3.2 P 187 L 44 # r04-21 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation
TFTD	Comment TypeEComment StatusDEditorial"145.3.8.9" on line 44 should be a cross-reference. (The instance of "145.3.8.9" on the next line is already a cross-reference)
	SuggestedRemedy
	Make "145.3.8.9" a cross-reference.
	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Pa **187** Li **44**

C/ 145 SC 145.3.		L 28	# r04-57	C/ 145	SC 145.3.3.4	l.1	P 196	L 42	# r04-60		
Lemahieu, Joris	ON Semico	onductor		Darshan, `	Yair						
Comment Type T	Comment Status X		PSE Power	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Star	tus D		PD Power		
When the PSE has allocated the PD Class 7 or Class 8 power, it should not be an issue if the PD would already draw Class 4 power in the POWER_DELAY state. The PD can actually use Class 3 power (13W) over each 2-pair, hence Class 4 power					In the text "VOff_PD_min The minimum PD off voltage VOff_PD min (see Table 145-25)", Voff_Pdmin is not in Table 145-25. It is in Table 145-29.						
The PD can actually (25.5W) in total sho		ver each 2-pair, he	nce Class 4 power	Suggested	Remedy						
(23.377) IT total 310				Chang	e link from Table	e 145-25 to Table	145-29				
Nothing needs to be	e changed in the dual-signatu	re state machine.		Proposed	Response	Response Stat	us W				
SuggestedRemedy				PROF	OSED ACCEPT						
Replace				<u></u>	00 / /		D / D /		"		
pd_max_power <= with	min(3, pd_req_class)			C/ 145	SC 145.3.3.4		P 196	L 51	# r04-43		
IF (pse_power_lev	el = 8) THEN			Yseboodt,			ilips Lighting)			
	= min(4, pd_req_class)			Comment	Type TR	Comment Star	tus D		PD SD		
ELSE	= min(3, pd_req_class)			OOS							
END											
Proposed Response	Response Status W								d_mode(X), which can		
TFTD						h Modes. This wo signature when po			al-signature PD to not		
						of other requireme			state diagram.		
	build a PD that uses 13W du W during Power Delay when			Suggested		·	· ·	,	Ū		
	° ,	0		00		mdi_power_require	ed mode(X)	to be the same	e as the single-		
						signature variable mdi_power_required					
Lemahieu, Joris	ON Semico	onductor				required_mode(X)	by mdi_pow	/er_required_m	ode in the state		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X		NoPower	diagra							
	E that implements a minimur			•	Response	Response Stat	us W				
	E is between 10 V and 30 V. e voltage collapse below the			PROF	OSED ACCEPT						
	at Vmark), according to the s			TFTD							
power.	,, 6										
SuggestedRemedy											
Remove the NOPO	WER_INRUSH state.										
Proposed Response	Response Status W										
TFTD											
	new variable to replace linrus	h_PD_max that ta	kes the lower current								
template into consid	leration?										

Pa **196** Li **51**

Cl 145 SC 145.3.4 P 201 L 50 # r04-67 Yseboodt, Lennart	C/ 145 SC 145.3.4 P 202 L 27 # r04-44 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting
Comment Type T Comment Status X THIS COMMENT WAS SUBMITTED AFTER THE COMMENT PERIOD ENDED, IT WILL BE CONSIDERED IF NO ONE IN THE COMMENT RESOLUTION GROUP OBJECTS "A single-signature PD that is powered over only one pairset shall present a non-valid	Comment Type TR Comment Status X PD Detection OOS
detection signature on the unpowered pairset. A dual-signature PD that is powered over only one pairset shall present a valid detection signature on the unpowered pairset."	Table 145-21 indicates that a PD must show a valid Rdetect between 2.7V and 10.1V. The state diagram however, forces the PD into IDLE if the PI voltage is less than 2.81V. In IDLE present_det_sig=either.
Does not unambiguously handle 3-pair.	This is in conflict for the range 2.7 to 2.81 volt. Note that the same gap exists in Clause 33.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change to: "A single-signature PD that is powered per any valid 2-pair configuration, as defined in Table 145-20, shall present a non-valid detection signature on the unpowered pairset. A dual-signature PD that is powered per any valid 2-pair configuration, as defined in Table 145-20, shall present a valid detection signature on the unpowered pairset."	The solution is to slice off 100mV of the PSEs detection range, and change the PD descriptive text to match with the state diagram.
Proposed Response Response Status W TFTD	 page 202, Table 145-21, change Conditions "2.7V to 10.1V" to read "2.81V to 10.1V" (3x) page 203, Figure 145-28, change 2.7 into 2.81 page 203, line 24, change "3.7V" into "3.81V" page 161, Table 145-7, change VValid range to be from 2.9 to 10V
	Proposed Response Response Status W
	TFTD
	We need to consider this carefully as existing PSEs can start detection at 2.8V, this change may cause interoperability problems. Is there a way to say that in the IDLE state, if the votlage > 2.7, the present_det_sig <= true?

Pa **202** Li **27**

C/ 145 SC 145.3.6 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 203 Philips Lightin	L 47 9	# r04-45	C/ 145 Yseboo	SC 145.3.6 dt, Lennart	5.1 P 205 Philips Ligh	L 15 ting	# r04-46
Comment Type TR OOS	Comment Status D		E	Editorial Comme OO	ent Type T S	Comment Status D		PD Power
"The PD shall draw no Class in Table 145-26	o more power across all input v and Table 145-27."	voltages than d	efined for the reque	ested "A	single-signature P	D shall identify the PSEs ass	igned Class, as o	defined in Table 145-11."
PDs that operate clos MIN, and behave as a between Voff_PD and	ard to meet requirement. e to PClass_PD, but are expos constant-power device, would VPort_PD-2P MIN. uld only apply when the PD is o	d need to guard	power consumptic	Thi PD-2P "Th on	s "requirement" is e PD shall confori	arly attempt at stating that the redundant both to the state of m to the assigned Class, rega	liagram, and this ardless of its requ	one:
SuggestedRemedy	and only apply when the PD is t		and powering voltag	5	tedRemedy	completely untestable and me	annigess.	
"The PD shall draw no	o more power across any volta ted Class in Table 145-26 and			00	ke sentence.			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W			Tab	o strike "A dual-si ole 145-11." line 19.	gnature PD shall identify the	PSEs assigned (Class, as defined in
				•	ed Response OPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W		

Pa **205** Li **15**

C/ 145 SC 145.3.8.3 Lemahieu, Joris	P 212 ON Semicon	L 49 ductor	# r04-58	C/ 145 Darshan, Y	SC 145.3.8.8 Yair	P 216	L 37	# r04-63	
SuggestedRemedy Replace Tdelay-2P by Tinrush_PD or by Tinrush_PD max If Tinrush_PD max is cl Tinrush_PD. Only Tinru	Comment Type G Comment Status D PD Inrush Single reference to Tdelay-2P. SuggestedRemedy Replace Tdelay-2P by TInrush_PD or by				Comment Type T Comment Status D Backfeed This comment is marked BACKFEED-DUAL. The current text requiring to meet backfeed should cover both single-signature and dual-signature PDs (and it looks like that it does) however dual-signature PD must meet backfeed in any operation modes; 2-pair, 3-pair or 4-pair otherwise the PD will show invalid-signature on the unpowered mode and/or PSE will fail to detect valid signature due to higher offset voltage. SuggestedRemedy 1. Add after line 40 dedicated backfeed requirement for dual-signature (the first paragraph will be reserved for single-signature PD 3-pair discussion if it is going to be changed): "When any voltage in the range of 0 V to VPort_PD-2P max is applied across the PI at either polarity specified on the conductors of either Mode A or Mode B according to Table 145-20 for any valid 2-pair or 4-pair configuration, the voltage measured across the PI for the other Mode with a 100 kohm load resistor connected across that other Mode shall not averaged Whfd as defined in Table 145-20."				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace "Tdelay-2P" by "Tdelay"				exceed Vbfd as defined in Table 145-29." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. You are correct that DS PDs cannot backfeed in any 2-pair configuration (including 3-pair power). But if they do, they will fail the detection requirements of a DS PD (to show a valid signature on one pairset, when the other is powered). Thus DS PDs are already not allowed to backfeed (they can't use the bridges that backfeed with 3-pair power). There is no reason to add this extra sentence (which by the way, would apply to all PDs since it never mentions that it only applies to DS PDs).					

Pa **216** Li **37**

C/ 145 SC 1 Yseboodt, Lennart	45.3.8.8	P 216 Philips Lightir	L 37	# r04-47	C/ 145 Darshan, Y	SC 145.3.8.8 ′air	P 216	L 40	# r04-64
Comment Type		Comment Status X	'9	Pres: Yseboodt1	Comment		Comment Status X		Pres: Darshan1
"When any vol either polarity 145-20, the vo	Itage in the ra specified on t Itage measur cted across t	ange of 0 V to V Port_PE the conductors of either red across the PI for the hat other Mode shall not	Mode A or Mode other Mode with	lied across the PI at e B according to Table n a 100 kOhm load	The iss Failing PD eq correct unpow based The ab	sue is: to meet Backfer uipped with a sp tly in a 3-pair mo ered PSE altern bridges that do pove behavior is	ed voltage in D3.4 when 4-pa ecific implementation of idea de which result in maximum ative. This ideal diode bridge not have this problem. a violation of two important p e 188 Line 3: "The PD shall n	Il-diode bridge th PD input voltage doesn't behave principles we ha	ected to single-signature hat doesn't work e backfeed to the e as expected from diode we so far:
SuggestedRemedy	,						ge 216 Lines 35-40: The ba		
		hookfood odf			for 2-p	air, 3-pair and 4-	pair modes.		
Adopt ysebood Proposed Respons TFTD WFP		esponse Status W			cause meetin (3-pair The sa one ma	damage or intering backfeed OR) and 4-pair moo afe and worry freating argument that	late stage in the project to e operability issues to PSEs if we can keep the current text es per Table 145-20 in the F e thing to do I believe, is to in the need to be discussed that	we want to exclu- that in my opini PD to meet back include 3-pair mo	ude 3-pair mode from on cover all valid 2-pair feed requirements. ode however, there is
					See da	ig backfeed. arshan_01_0518 D vendors.	.pdf for details of what was t	ested and what	needs more inputs frpm
					Suggested	Remedy			
							eed text. It covers 3-pairs an	d both single-si	gnature and dual-
					modify include marke See da	only if we are all the current text all 2-pair and 4 d BACKFEED-D	.pdf for updated comment ar	re and add the to D. This text is pro	ext for dual-signature to oposed in my comment
					Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
					, TFTD				
					WFP				
					means		urrent text applies to all case on for a world that did not inc to clarify this.		

Pa **216** Li **40**

Cl 145 SC 145.3.8. Yseboodt, Lennart	9 P 218 Philips Lightin	L 32 Ig	# r04-48	C/ 145 SC 14 Darshan, Yair	5.4.1	P 221	L 37	# r04-61
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		Editorial	Comment Type	т (Comment Status X		Backfeed
	r currents of pairs with the san		rom earlier text.	increase cross pollution, it is re multiport system	pairs/port le commende n, the imple	0518.pdf which shows that akage current and increas d to add link to the backfee menter should maintain D0 port leakage currents."	e PSE suscept ed requirement	tibility to detection t in the text: "In a
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy				
Remove sentence.						rt system, the implementer		ain DC isolation through
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W			To: "In a multipe	ort system,	eliminate cross-port leakag the implementer should ma inate cross-port leakage cu	aintain DC isol	
C/ 145 SC 145.3.8. Stover, David	9 P 219 Analog Device	L 46 es Inc.	# r04-55	Proposed Response TFTD	₽ R	esponse Status W		
	Comment Status D TMPS_PD and TMPDO_PD re max between the PD PI and :			Cl 145 SC 14 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type		P 234 Philips Lighting Comment Status D	L 26	# <u>r04-50</u>
SuggestedRemedy Change "in the range	of RChan max" to "in the rang	e of 0 ohm to R	Chan max"	OOS				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W			mandatory parts	s of IEEE S	oort Data Link Layer classif td 802.1AB-2016; shall sup 3.2 and may support the Po	port the Powe	r via MDI Type, Length,
OBE by 49						support the control state of		
Cl 145 SC 145.3.9 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T	P 219 Philips Lightin Comment Status D	L 46 Ig	# r04-49 Editorial	DSPS), differen	t state diag	and wrong. Depending on rams must supported.	the kind of de	vice (PSE, SSPD, or
"A PD shall meet th	e TMPS_PD and TMPDO_PD		with any series	SuggestedRemedy	li statemeni	ts are in 145.5.3.		
Rchan max is not a ra SuggestedRemedy	e of RChan max between the inge but a value.	PD PI and the s	ource."	Replace by: "Implementation mandatory parts	s of IEEE S ined in 79.3	port Data Link Layer classif td 802.1AB-2016; shall sup 3.2 and may support the Po	port the Powe	r via MDI Type, Length,
	e TMPS_PD and TMPDO_PD an max between the PD PI and		with any series	Proposed Response PROPOSED A		esponse Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Status W							
PROPOSED ACCEP								
		general require						

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

4/17/2018 1:32:17 PM

C/ 145 SC 145.5.3.3.1 P 245 L 42 # [r04-51] Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting	Cl 145 SC 145.5.3.4.5 P 256 L 21 # r04-52 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting Philips Lighting
Comment Type TR Comment Status D DLL There are mistakes in the "valid values" for the DLL variable lists. SuggestedRemedy Change as follows: // (PSE section) - p236.12 MirroredPDRequestedPowerValue: 0 through 999, and 0xACAC - p236.23 MirroredPSEAlloctedPowerValueEcho: 0 through 999, and 0xACAC - p236.33 PDRequestedPowerValueEcho: 0 through 999, and 0xACAC - p236.45 PSEAllocatedPowerValue: 0 through 999, and 0xACAC - p237.16 TempVar: 0 through 999, and 0xACAC // (single-sig PD section)	Comment Type TR Comment Status D DLL OOS The last line of the arc from RUNNING to PD_POWER_REALLOCATION2 in Figure 145-45 is: " * (PDMaxPowerValue < PDRequestedPowerValue)"
 p245.5 MirroredPDRequestedPowerValueEcho: 1 though 999, and 0xACAC p245.42 PDRequestedPowerValue: 1 through pd_dllmax_value, and 0xACAC p245.49 PDRequestedPowerValue_mode(X): 0 p246.39 PSEAllocatedPowerValueEcho: 1 through 999, and 0xACAC p246.44 PSEAllocatedPowerValueEcho_mode(X): 0 	C/ 145 SC 145.5.6.1 P 259 L 52 # r04-53 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Lighting Comment Type E Comment Status D DLL OOS OOS DLL D
<pre>// (dual-sig PD section) - p251.23 MirroredPSEAlloctedPowerValue: 0 through 999 - p251.30 DELETE PDMaxPowerValue - p251.39 PDMaxPowerValue_mode(X): 1 through 499 - p251.45 PDRequestedPowerValue: 0 through pd_dllmax_value_mode(P) Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.</pre>	 "Per Table 145-42 this is the requested power for the active Mode." What is active mode? This is not defined. SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Per Table 145-42 this is the requested power for the powered Mode." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Pa **259** Li **52**

C/ 145	SC 1	45.6.5	P 262	L 9	# r04-54
Yseboodt,	Lennart		Philips Lighting		
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status X		AES
OOS					

"The PD and PSE powered cabling link shall comply with applicable local and national codes for the limitation of electromagnetic interference."

This requirement applies to the CABLE connecting the PSE and the PD and links to 'applicable codes' that are not in our purview.

Out of scope for our document and provides no value.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete 145.6.5.

Proposed Response Response Status W

TFTD

That is a holdover from AT.

Pa **262** Li **9**