	79.3.7		224	L 28	# 2	C/ 33		33.2.7		P 101	L 11	# 4
Skinner, John		Sifo	s Technolo	ogies, In		Darshan, '	Yair			Microsemi		
omment Type	TR	Comment Statu	s X			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment S	tatus X		
PSE measu field as 96 b in length. A	rements fie its in lengtl 96 bit field	eld is 9 octets in len h, and Table 79-7b	gth. Table defines the so the sta	e 79-7a defines e PSE measure ted field lengths	l length, and that the the PD measurements ments field as 96 bits are incorrect. Once vill also need to be	measi and fo Suggestee	uring theor single	e current e port and dy	will be defined i multiport PSE s	n the negative systems.	e path for both T	point of switching and ype 3/4 PSE and PD
SuggestedRemedy								101 line 11, Ad ort Type 3 and 4			er and measure their	
		ation string length f			neasurements field to				he more negativ			
		ht specified for the							135 line 7, Add			
Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status	s O					e power p		ower and mea	asure their currer	nts at least over the
						Proposed	-		Response Si	atus O		
1 33 SC	33.2.7.7	P	111	L 27	# 3				·			
arshan, Yair		Mici	rosemi			C/ 33	SC	33.7.6		P 143	L 11	# 5
omment Type	TR	Comment Statu	s X			Darshan, `		001110		Microsemi	2	"
Referring to		single signature PE		ar Type 4 BSE	should (TBD) remove	Comment	Tvpe	TR	Comment S	tatus X		
					rbound template" on	In the	text:					
	act that we				er pairset in order to			ontinue to fined in 3		t interruption	in the presence	of transients at the
"Power shal the "PSE up	l be remove perbound t	ed from a pairset P template" in Figure	I of a PSE 33-14, Fig	before the pairs ure 33-14a, and			7.2 defir ue to o		ansients at the F	PSE PI so whe	en connected to	the PD, the PD need
and as a res	sult power i airset and i	s removed from the	at pairset, t	the whole currer	oper bound template It will flow through the need for the redundant	when It is ol	this trai ovious t	nsient beh hat the tra	navior is applied ansients in the F	directly to the PSE PI are ide	∋ PD PI?	D when it is tested ransients at short
uggestedRem	ədy								he operating sco	enarios.		
Delete:						Suggested						
		single signature PL ets before the curre			should (TBD) remove rbound template"	"A PD				t interruption	in the presence	of transients at the
Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status	s O			To: "A PD	shall c	ontinue to	o operate withou	It interruption	in the presence	of transients applied

"A PD shall continue to operate without interruption in the presence of transients applied at the PSE PI or applied at the PD PI as defined in 33.2.7.2."

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

Comment ID 5

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.1 P 57 L 53 # 6	CI 33 SC 33.2.5 P86 L 45 # 7
Darshan, Yair Microsemi	Darshan, Yair Microsemi
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
There is missing text that clearly sets the polarity of the PSE voltages during its operating states as the one determined right after IDLE state. The voltage polarity of all PSE operating states (Detection, Connection Check, Classification, POWER_UP and POWER_ON) must be the same.	"In any operational state, the PSE shall not apply operating power to a pairset until the PSE has successfully detected a valid signature over that pairset, **except as specified in 33.2.7.1**"
We can find the following: a)Clause 33.2.5.1 Figure 33-11 and Figure 33-12, we clearly see that the polarity is the same as Vpse+ and Vpse- however there is no "shall" text involved. b)Clause 33.2.6 P.92 Line 2:	The part marked in ** is linked to 33.2.7.1 which is input voltage topic. The logic to link it to 33.2.7.1 is not clear although we can guess that is related to 33.2.7.1 page 105 lines 16-17 regarding the transition between 2P and 4P.
"The PSE shall provide VClass with a current limitation of IClass_LIM, as defined in Table 33-10 only for a pairset with a valid detection signature. Polarity shall be the same as defined for VPort_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and timing specifications shall be as defined in Table 33-10."	"A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE that has assigned Class 1-4 to a single-signature PD and is in the POWER_ON state may transition between 2-pair and 4-pair power at any time, including after the expiration of Tpon.
	This is unclear to a new reader, and it requires guessing which part of 33.2.7.1 we refer too
This text requires that Vclass polarity shall be the same as defined in 33.2.3 Table 33-2. It is not sufficiently clear that Vclass polarity should track detection voltage polarity.	SuggestedRemedy
 c)Clause 33.2.6.2 P.97 Line 38-39: "All class event voltages and mark event voltages shall have the same polarity as defined for VPort_PSE-2P in 33.2.3." This text requires that Vclass and Vmark polarity shall be the same as defined in 33.2.3. It is not sufficiently clear that Vclass polarity should track detection voltage polarity. We need to make sure that: 1.POWER_UP and POWER_ON voltage polarity per 33.2.3 is similar to detection, connection check and classification polarity. 2.Changing polarity per the possibilities in 33.2.3 Table 33-2 is possible only after passing through IDLE state. 	Group to consider two options. Option 1: Change from: "In any operational state, the PSE shall not apply operating power to a pairset until the PSE has successfully detected a valid signature over that pairset, except as specified in 33.2.7.1" To: "In any operational state, the PSE shall not apply operating power to a pairset until the PSE has successfully detected a valid signature over that pairset, except as specified in 33.2.7.1 regarding transition between 2-pair and 4-pair when single-signature PDs operated by Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs"
Currently, although the above is obvious, it is not clear from the standard that this is the requirement. SuggestedRemedy To add the following text in 33.2.4.1 page 57 after line 53: "The polarity of PSE voltages during its operating states (Detection, Connection Check,	Option 2 (preferred): 1. Change from: "In any operational state, the PSE shall not apply operating power to a pairset until the PSE has successfully detected a valid signature over that pairset, except as specified in 33.2.7.1" To:
Classification, POWER_UP and POWER_ON) shall be the same as was used in the Detection state and defined per Table 33-2 in 33.2.3."	"In any operational state, the PSE shall not apply operating power to a pairset until the PSE has successfully detected a valid signature over that pairset, except as specified in 33.2.7.1.1"
Proposed Response Response Status O	2. Move the text in 33.2.7.1 page 105 lines 16-17 to new sub clause 33.2.7.1.1: "33.2.7.1.1 PSE transition from 2-pair to 4-pair A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE that has assigned Class 1-4 to a single-signature PD and is in the POWER_ON state may transition between 2-pair and 4-pair power at any time, including after the expiration of Tpon."
	Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment ID 7

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.0a P 87 L 43 # 8 Darshan, Yair Microsemi	CI 33 SC 33.2.6 P 92 L 39 # 9 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
Comment Type TR Comment Status X The text says: "If the voltage on either pairset rises above Vvalid max, (defined in Table 33–4) during connection check, the PSE shall reset the PD by bringing the voltage at the PI below Voff max, defined in Table 33–11 before performing classification." We asked to work with up to Vvalid_max and to reset at Voltage>Vvalid_max without any gray area. The reason for reset above Vvalid_max is to prevent that any voltage above Vvalid_max (=10v) will not be interpreted by PD as class event but Vclass is starting at 14.5V at the PD so we can generate gray area of 2V which allows design flexibility. SuggestedRemedy Change from:	 Comment Type TR Comment Status X In order to clarify and simplify the spec we need to define DS PDs requirements per the following guide lines: dual signature PDs shall be designed to have pclass-PD_2P max on each pairset. dual signature PDs will be tested to meet (1) with unbalanced PSE and channel according to 33.3.7.10 in order to guarantee that (1) is kept for all operating system (PSE +PD+Channel) conditions. As a result of (1) and (1.1), the dual signature PD with same class and different class will be treated equally and we can use just the term dual-signature PD. The fact that dual signature PD with the same class is also single load and therefore has unbalance issues as the same as single signature PD is resolved by (1) and (1.1). PSE PI unbalance requirements need to be met for all PDs including DS PDs. This will ensure controlled environment to all PDs so the effect of PSE and channel unbalance on the dual signature PD (and single signature PD) will be known to PD designer so he can guarantee Pclass-PD-2P over each pairset.
"If the voltage on either pairset rises above Vvalid max, (defined in Table 33–4) during connection check, the PSE shall reset the PD by bringing the voltage at the PI below Voff max, defined in Table 33–11 before performing classification." To: "If the voltage on either pairset rises above Vvalid max to Vvalid max+2V, (Vvalid defined in Table 33–4) during connection check, the PSE shall reset the PD by bringing the voltage at the PI below Voff max, defined in Table 33–11 before performing classification."	SuggestedRemedy Implement darashan_01_0116.pdf. See also related comments addressing the need to update 33.2.6, 33.2.7.4 and other clauses per the above guidelines. Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.11 P 75 L 40 # 10 Darshan, Yair Microsemi

Comment Type **TR**

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

mr_pd_class_detected_sec.

Comment Status X

Also missing in mr_pd_class_detected_sec on page 76 line 17.

Add class 5 to the list of values for mr_pd_class_detected_pri and

Response Status 0

Class 5 is missing from mr_pd_class_detected_pri.

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4 P 107 L 26	# 11	C/ 33 SC 33B		L 31	# 13
Darshan, Yair Microsemi		Darshan, Yair	Microsemi		
Comment Type TR Comment Status X The text: "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs operating in 4-pair mode, connected to a shall be able to source"	single-signature PD,	following text was	l in D1.4 comment cycle Rpair_m forgotten. on-2P_unb in step 6 confirms PSE		
Applies to dual signature PDs with the same class too. This is the same concept used for Icon, Icon-2P and Icon-2P_unb ir	n pages 105-106	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Change from: "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs operating in 4-pair mode, connected to a shall be able to source" To: "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs operating in 4-pair mode, connected to a dual-signature PD that advertise the same class signature on each	single-signature PD, single-signature PD or	conformance to E To:	n-2P_unb in step 6 confirms PSE	_	_
source" Proposed Response Response Status O		C/ 33 SC 33B	.3 P 204	L 26	# 14
	"	Darshan, Yair	Microsemi Comment Status X		
Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.4 P 107 L 42 Darshan, Yair Microsemi Comment Type TR Comment Status X	# 12	Comment Type EF Typo in the text: "Swap R_max, R_	_min, repeat steps 1 and 2."		
The text: "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs operating in 4-pair mode, connected to a shall be able to source Ipeak-2P on each pairset" Applies to dual signature PDs with different class and not just dual-s the same concept used for Icon-2P in pages 105-106.	-	To:	min, repeat steps 1 and 2. , Rload_min, repeat steps 1 and 2	2.	
SuggestedRemedy		Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Change from: "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs operating in 4-pair mode, connected to a shall be able to source Ipeak-2P on each pairset" To: "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs operating in 4-pair mode, connected to a advertised a different class signature on each pairset, shall be able	dual-signature PD that				
each pairset"					

CI 33 SC 33.3.7 P 135 L 18 # 15 Darshan, Yair Microsemi	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 P 104 L 47 # 17 Darshan, Yair Microsemi				
Comment Type ER Comment Status X Table 33-18 item 1 parameter name: "Input voltage per pairset." It should be DC voltage. SuggestedRemedy Change from: "Input voltage per pairset"	Comment Type ER Comment Status X This comment is marked as ED_2 Editor Note #2. "2. This comment is marked as ED_2 "2. The following case needs to be addressed: If PSE is using active or passive pair-to-pair current balancing circuitry, K_lcut may be lower (down to 0.5) per equation TBD." We made some changes for K_lcut in D1.4 so it is no longer exists. Instead it should be replaced with new parameter or new description that is related to lcon-2P, lcon-2P unb, lpeak-2P, ILIM-2Pmin.				
To: "Input DC voltage per pairset Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Change Editor Note #2 from: "2. The following case needs to be addressed: If PSE is using active or passive pair-to-pair current balancing circuitry, K_lcut may be lower (down to 0.5) per equation TBD."				
C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 P 93 L 10 # 16 Darshan, Yair Microsemi	 To: "2. The following case needs to be addressed: If PSE is using active or passive pair-to-p current balancing circuitry, Icon-2P_unb, Ipeak-2P, ILIM-2Pmin may be lower per equation TBD." 				
Comment Type ER Comment Status X Table 33-7a clarity can be improved by the following actions:	Proposed Response Response Status O				
Column "Requested Class ALT A" is actually "PD Requested Class mode A" and "Requested Class ALT B" is actually "PD Requested Class mode B".	C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 P 137 L 30 # 18 Darshan, Yair Microsemi				
SuggestedRemedy 1. Change "Requested Class ALT A" to "PD Requested Class mode A" 2. Change "Requested Class ALT B" to "PD Requested Class mode B".	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Table 33-18 items 11 and 12 (PD power supply turn on voltage, PD power supply turn off				
Proposed Response Response Status O	voltage, and PD classification stability time need to be per pairset. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add to each parameter name of items 11 and 12: "per pairset" <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> O				

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.3 P 139 L 42 # 19 Darshan, Yair Microsemi	Cl 1.4 SC 1.4 P 20 L 39 # 21 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
Comment Type ER Comment Status X Figure 33-17a. The original intent for the Dual Signature PD drawing is that its Cport can be 2xCx if it is isolated and Cport=2*Cx (or <=2*Cx) when it is not isolated.	Comment TypeTComment StatusXIn the definitions of Type3 and 4 PDs the support of LLDP is missing in Type 3."Type 3 PD: A PD that provides a Class 1 to Class 6 signature during Physical Layer classification, implements multiple-Event classification, and accepts power on both modes simultaneously (see IEEE 802.3, Clause 33)."
SuggestedRemedy Change line 42 in Figure 33-17a from: Cport<=2*Cx. To:	"Type 4 PD: A PD that provides a Class 7 or 8 signature during Physical Layer classification, implements multiple-Event classification, is capable of Data Link Layer classification, and accepts power on both Modes simultaneously (see IEEE 802.3, Clause33)."
Cport=2*Cx. Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy To implement the following proposed remedy If there is no reason why support of LLDP was omitted in Type 3 PD definition.
Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.4 P 107 L 38 # 20 Darshan, Yair Microsemi Comment Type ER Comment Status X The text: "IPort-2P-other is the output current on the other pairset (see 33.2.4.4 (XREF))" The reference should be 33.2.4.9.	Change from: "Type 3 PD: A PD that provides a Class 1 to Class 6 signature during Physical Layer classification, implements multiple-Event classification, and accepts power on both modes simultaneously (see IEEE 802.3, Clause 33)." To: "Type 3 PD: A PD that provides a Class 1 to Class 6 signature during Physical Layer classification, implements multiple-Event classification, is capable of Data Link Layer classification, and accepts power on both modes simultaneously (see IEEE 802.3, Clause 33)."
SuggestedRemedy Change to 33.2.4.9.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	

C/ 33	SC 33.3.7.10	P 145	L 31	# 22	C/ 33	SC 33.2.6	P 93	L 10	# 23
Darshan, Y	Yair	Microsemi			Darshan,	Yair	Microsemi		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The following comments received during D1.3 and D1.4 regarding 33.3.7.10: 1.D1.5 requires in its Editor Note in page 145 line 31 to address longer channel as well since it appears from the current text that Icon-2P_unb need to be met only at short channel while it need to be met at all operating conditions.

On the other hand we know that if Icon-2P_unb is met when PD is tested at short channel (low resistance), it will be the worst case so at longer channel it will meet the requirement too so there is no need to measure the current at two extreme points. To fix this issue we change the text by changing the text from "PD shall meet this requirement ..." to PD shall have the pair current measured...".

2. The old test looks like compliance test and some commenters said that we shouldn't do it also there are many examples that we specify test circuit and ask to meet parameters when measured with the test circuit (see 33.4.2, 33.4.3, 33.4.4 33.4.5, 33.4.6, 33.4.9.2.1 and many more in 802.3.

Anyhow, this issue was addressed also by the fix for item 1 with a requirement to meet the Icon-2P_unb by measuring the current at specific conditions.

3.It need to be clear that the two common mode test resistors can flip locations and still the requirement should be met. This was fixed by "......two common mode resistances of Rsource_min=0.16 ? \pm 1% and one with Rsource_max=0.19 ? \pm 1%"

4.It was noted also that the test circuit doesn't address the fact that Rsource min/max are very low resistance and it is not clear if the connectors are part of Rsource and if it is, the connectors may affect very much the total value of Rsource etc. To fix this problem the following changes were made:

a)The drawing of the test circuit was modified to show clear boundaries of Rsourc min/max b)The effect of the test circuit connector resistance on Rsource is minimized by specifying max connector resistance (plug of the test circuit, it is practical to use in test circuit side high quality connector) and substructing it from Rsource. In addition we increase the Rsource ABS numbers by 5% and allow 5% variations with negligible effect on current measurements. The PD RJ45 Jack is not part of the test circuit.

5. Differentiating between DS and SS PD in order to ensure DS PDs meets Icon-2P_unb as defined in Equation 33-3c with unbalanced PSE and channel.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text per darshan_01_0116.pdf.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Darshan, Tan		WICIO3EIII	
Comment Type	т	Comment Status X	
Table 33-7 "A	ssigned	d Class" column title can be much clearer if it is explained.	

SuggestedRemedy

1. Change "Assigned Class" to "Assigned Class^3" to include the footnote number.

2. Add footnote 3 at line 31 below Table 33-7:

"Assigned Class is the actual PD class that is assigned to the PSE based on the operating conditions of Table 33-7.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P 101 L 45 # 24 Darshan, Yair Microsemi	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4 P 108 L 1 # 25 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X See darshan_07_0116.pdf for more details. Is it correct to use lcon-2p_unb_MIN=lcon for Type 3 and 4 operating class 0-4 PDs? The reason for this question is that it could be per the current spec that the lcon-2P_unb min for class 4 will be greater than Class 5 which may raise confusion and the following analysis meant to explain why it happens for the record and suggest text for clarity. Analysis: a) When Type 3 or 4 connected to class 0-4 PDs working over 2P or 4P we may have the following behaviors: -If working over 2-pairs than lcon-2P_unb_min=lcon=Pclass/Vport = 0.6A for class 4 as an example. -If working over 4-pairs, the worst case unbalance will cause the current to be only 365mA on the pair with maximum current however per the current spec 0.6A will be the value for this case too ending with situation that class 4 lcon-2P_unb current is greater than class 5. But due to the fact that there are no unbalance requirements for class 0-4 operating over 4-pairs, we have no choice but to use for 2P and 4P operation with class 0-4 PD the same "lcon-2P_unb" min value which is lcon and we need to clarify this in the spec. The same discussion is apply to ILIM-2P in table 33-11 item 9 which is discussed in separate comment. 	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Ppeak_PD-2P is not defined in table 33-18. Actually Ppeak_PD-2P in equation 33-4e is not defined. It was defined in previous drafts as 0.5*Ppeak_PD while Ppeak_PD is defined in Table 33-18. SuggestedRemedy Change from: "PPeak_PD-2P is the total peak power a PD may draw for its Class on a pairset; see Table 33-18" To: PPeak_PD-2P is the total peak power a PD may draw for its Class on a pairset and is defined as 0.5*Ppeak_PD. Ppeak_PD is defined in Table 33-18. Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy See darshan_07_0116.pdf for more details (the full remedy is shown below). 1.Change lcon to lcon3 in Table 33-11 item 4a lcon-2P_unb minimum value. 2.Add note 3 at the end of table 33-11 with the following text: "3 For class 4, lcon-2P_unb minimum value may be higher than their minimum values for class 5 due to the fact that class 4 pair-to-pair is not controlled."	

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

CI 33 SC 33.2.7	P 103	L7	# 26	CI 33 S	C 33.2.7.4	P 107	L 27	# 28
Darshan, Yair	Microsemi			Darshan, Yair		Microsemi		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X		
				shall be abl and Equation	e to source on (33–4d). ak-2P , and	SEs operating in 4-pair mod IPeak , IPeak-2P , and IPe ' I IPeak-2P_unb are not defi	ak-2P_unb as sp	pecified in Table 33-11
a) There is missing PE	SuggestedRem	edv						
is not part of the basel b) We can see that cla	.4 it was there. In D1.5 it is mi ine). ass 0-4 with Type 3,4 PSE is 0 ed as incorrect in initial review	.68A and class	5 with Type 3,4 PSE is	Change: "Type 3 and shall be abl and Equatio	d Type 4 PS e to source	SEs operating in 4-pair mod Peak , IPeak-2P , and IPe		
will see that ILIM-2P for the 0.410A value and We decided that that t	imulations to find ILIM-2P for or class 4 will be 0.410A and n need to use the 0.684A value here are no unbalance require	ot 0.68A. The re is as follows: ments for class	eason why we can't use 4 and below. So if PD			SEs operating in 4-pair mod IPeak , IPeak-2P , and IPe		
class 4 is connected to								
may be 100% i.e. all the minimum value will be operating over 2P whice will be higher than class	the current flows through one of the same as required for Type ch is 0.684A. That is why it co as 5 (0.562A). Class 5 unbalar	f the pairs. In th e 3 PSE connec uld be that ILIM-	nis case ILIM-2P cted to class 4 PD -2P minimum of class 4	Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status 0		
may be 100% i.e. all the minimum value will be operating over 2P white will be higher than class suggestedRemedy	the current flows through one o the same as required for Type ch is 0.684A. That is why it co ss 5 (0.562A). Class 5 unbalar	f the pairs. In th e 3 PSE connec uld be that ILIM- nce is controlled	nis case ILIM-2P cted to class 4 PD -2P minimum of class 4	Cl 33 St	onse	Р 100	L 47	# 29
may be 100% i.e. all the minimum value will be operating over 2P white will be higher than class SuggestedRemedy Update Table 33-11 ite	the current flows through one of the same as required for Type ch is 0.684A. That is why it con ss 5 (0.562A). Class 5 unbalar em 9 per darshan_10_0116.pc	f the pairs. In th e 3 PSE connec uld be that ILIM- nce is controlled	nis case ILIM-2P cted to class 4 PD -2P minimum of class 4				L 47	# 29
may be 100% i.e. all th minimum value will be operating over 2P whit will be higher than class SuggestedRemedy	the current flows through one o the same as required for Type ch is 0.684A. That is why it co ss 5 (0.562A). Class 5 unbalar	f the pairs. In th e 3 PSE connec uld be that ILIM- nce is controlled	nis case ILIM-2P cted to class 4 PD -2P minimum of class 4	C/ 33 Si Darshan, Yair Comment Type	C 33.2.6.3 E	P 100 Microsemi Comment Status X		# 29
may be 100% i.e. all the minimum value will be operating over 2P white will be higher than class suggestedRemedy Update Table 33-11 ite	the current flows through one of the same as required for Type ch is 0.684A. That is why it con ss 5 (0.562A). Class 5 unbalar em 9 per darshan_10_0116.pc	f the pairs. In th e 3 PSE connec uld be that ILIM- nce is controlled	nis case ILIM-2P cted to class 4 PD -2P minimum of class 4	Cl 33 So Darshan, Yair Comment Type Comment 6	E 5 from D1.4	P 100 Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X was not implemented comp	bletely.	
may be 100% i.e. all the minimum value will be operating over 2P whice will be higher than class uggestedRemedy Update Table 33-11 its roposed Response	he current flows through one of the same as required for Type ch is 0.684A. That is why it con- ss 5 (0.562A). Class 5 unbalar em 9 per darshan_10_0116.pc Response Status 0 P138	f the pairs. In th e 3 PSE connec uld be that ILIM- nce is controlled	nis case ILIM-2P cted to class 4 PD -2P minimum of class 4	Cl 33 So Darshan, Yair Comment Type Comment 6	E From D1.4 s is the mea	P 100 Microsemi Comment Status X	bletely.	
may be 100% i.e. all the minimum value will be operating over 2P whice will be higher than class uggestedRemedy Update Table 33-11 ite roposed Response	he current flows through one of the same as required for Type ch is 0.684A. That is why it con as 5 (0.562A). Class 5 unbalar em 9 per darshan_10_0116.pc <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 138 Microsemi	f the pairs. In the 9 SEE connec uld be that ILIM- nce is controlled	his case ILIM-2P cted to class 4 PD -2P minimum of class 4 I. Class 4 is not.	C/ 33 St Darshan, Yair Comment Type Comment 6 "PAutoclass and TAUTC	C 33.2.6.3 E 5 from D1.4 s is the me:)_PSE2"	P 100 Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X was not implemented comp	bletely.	
may be 100% i.e. all the minimum value will be operating over 2P whice will be higher than class SuggestedRemedy Update Table 33-11 its Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.3 Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR	he current flows through one of the same as required for Type ch is 0.684A. That is why it con ss 5 (0.562A). Class 5 unbalar em 9 per darshan_10_0116.pc <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 138 Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X	f the pairs. In the 3 PSE connec uld be that ILIM- nce is controlled	# 27	C/ 33 St Darshan, Yair Comment Type Comment 6 "PAutoclass and TAUTC	E 33.2.6.3 E from D1.4 s is the mean D_PSE2"	P 100 Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X was not implemented comp asured power during the Au	bletely.	
may be 100% i.e. all the minimum value will be operating over 2P whice will be higher than class suggestedRemedy Update Table 33-11 its troposed Response and a SC 33.3.7.3 arshan, Yair comment Type TR Does the requirement controlling linrus i.e. C requirement for the PE This interpretation mal	he current flows through one of the same as required for Type ch is 0.684A. That is why it con- ss 5 (0.562A). Class 5 unbalar em 9 per darshan_10_0116.pc Response Status O P 138 Microsemi Comment Status X to finish lirush within Tinrus-21 pd<=180uF and if PD is limitir 27 kes sense to me since it fits th	f the pairs. In the 3 PSE connec uld be that ILIM- nce is controlled ff <i>L</i> 42 P min is only if F ng linrush than t	# 27 PSE is incharge of here is no Tinrush_max	Cl 33 Su Darshan, Yair Comment Type Comment 6 "PAutoclass and TAUTO Typo in firs SuggestedRem Change fro	E 33.2.6.3 E 5 from D1.4 s is the mer D_PSE2" c occurrenc edy m; s is the mer	P 100 Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X was not implemented comp asured power during the Au	bletely. toclass window b	etween TAUTO_PSE:
may be 100% i.e. all the minimum value will be operating over 2P whice will be higher than class uggestedRemedy Update Table 33-11 its roposed Response 7 33 SC 33.3.7.3 arshan, Yair comment Type TR Does the requirement controlling linrus i.e. C requirement for the PE This interpretation mal Cport>180uF so time in	he current flows through one o the same as required for Type ch is 0.684A. That is why it con- ss 5 (0.562A). Class 5 unbalar em 9 per darshan_10_0116.pc <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 138 Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X to finish lirush within Tinrus-21 pd<=180uF and if PD is limitir ?? kes sense to me since it fits the s not a concern.	f the pairs. In the 3 PSE connect uld be that ILIM- ince is controlled if <i>L</i> 42 P min is only if F ing linrush than the original intent	# 27 PSE is incharge of here is no Tinrush_max	Cl 33 St Darshan, Yair Comment Type Comment 6 "PAutoclass and TAUTO Typo in first SuggestedRem Change fro "PAutoclass	E 33.2.6.3 E 5 from D1.4 s is the mer D_PSE2" c occurrenc edy m; s is the mer	P 100 Microsemi Comment Status X was not implemented comp asured power during the Au e of TAUTO_PSE2	bletely. toclass window b	etween TAUTO_PSE:
may be 100% i.e. all the minimum value will be operating over 2P whice will be higher than class uggestedRemedy Update Table 33-11 ite roposed Response 7 33 SC 33.3.7.3 arshan, Yair comment Type TR Does the requirement controlling linrus i.e. C requirement for the PE This interpretation mal Cport>180uF so time i If this is correct than it	he current flows through one of the same as required for Type ch is 0.684A. That is why it con- ss 5 (0.562A). Class 5 unbalar em 9 per darshan_10_0116.pc Response Status O P 138 Microsemi Comment Status X to finish lirush within Tinrus-21 pd<=180uF and if PD is limitir 27 kes sense to me since it fits th	f the pairs. In the 3 PSE connect uld be that ILIM- ince is controlled if <i>L</i> 42 P min is only if F ing linrush than the original intent	# 27 PSE is incharge of here is no Tinrush_max	Cl 33 St Darshan, Yair Comment Type Comment 6 "PAutoclass and TAUTO Typo in first SuggestedRem Change fro "PAutoclass and TAUTO To: "PAutoclass	C 33.2.6.3 E from D1.4 s is the me: D_PSE2" c occurrenc edy m; s is the me: s is the me: s is the me:	P 100 Microsemi Comment Status X was not implemented comp asured power during the Au e of TAUTO_PSE2	bletely. toclass window b	etween TAUTO_PSE:
may be 100% i.e. all the minimum value will be operating over 2P whice will be higher than class suggestedRemedy Update Table 33-11 its Proposed Response 27 33 SC 33.3.7.3 Parshan, Yair Comment Type TR Does the requirement controlling linrus i.e. C requirement for the PE This interpretation mal Cport>180uF so time in	he current flows through one of the same as required for Type ch is 0.684A. That is why it con- ss 5 (0.562A). Class 5 unbalar em 9 per darshan_10_0116.pc <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 138 Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X to finish lirush within Tinrus-21 pd<=180uF and if PD is limitir or kes sense to me since it fits th s not a concern. is not clear from clause 33.3.	f the pairs. In the 3 PSE connect uld be that ILIM- ince is controlled if <i>L</i> 42 P min is only if F ing linrush than the original intent	# 27 PSE is incharge of here is no Tinrush_max	Cl 33 St Darshan, Yair Comment Type Comment 6 "PAutoclass and TAUTO Typo in first SuggestedRem Change fro "PAutoclass and TAUTO To:	C 33.2.6.3 E from D1.4 s is the me: D_PSE2" c occurrenc edy m; s is the me: s is the me: s is the me:	P 100 Microsemi Comment Status X was not implemented comp asured power during the Aur e of TAUTO_PSE2 asured power during the Aur	bletely. toclass window b	etween TAUTO_PSE:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 29

Page 9 of 47 1/11/2016 10:44:48 AM

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.3 P 138 L 41 # 30 Darshan, Yair Microsemi	C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.3 P 139 L 26 # 32 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
Comment Type E Comment Status X Typo in the text regarding Table reference number since D1.3: "Inrush current is drawn during the startup period beginning with the application of input voltage at the PI compliant with Vport_PD-2P requirements as defined in Table **33–16a**," It should be Table 33-18 SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Inrush current is drawn during the startup period beginning with the application of input voltage at the PI compliant with Vport PD-2P requirements as defined in Table 33–18"	Comment Type E Comment Status X Figure 33-17a Figure 33-17a Change "PSE encounters Cx" to "PSE sees Cx". SuggestedRemedy Change from: " "PSE encounters" to: " "PSE sees" in Figure 33-17a. 4 occurrences. Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P 102 L 10 # 33
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4 P 106 L 41 # 31 Darshan, Yair Microsemi Comment Type E Comment Status X Typo in. "VPSE is the voltage at the PSE PI as defined in 1.4.423"	Darshan, YairMicrosemiComment TypeEComment StatusXThe following: a) Table 33-11 item 5-5d and 33.2.7.5 b) Table 33-18 items 5-5d and 33.3.7.3 Can be simplfied.
SuggestedRemedy Change from: VPSE is the voltage at the PSE PI as defined in 1.4.423 To: VPSE is the voltage at the PSE PI as defined in 1.4.426	SuggestedRemedy See proposal in darshan_02_0116.pdf. Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	

C/33 SC 33.2.7.4 P 106 L 4 # 34 Darshan, Yair Microsemi	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.5 P 110 L 5 # 36 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
Comment Type E Comment Status X "ICon-2P is the current the PSE supports on each pairset and is defined by Equation Equation (33–3d)." The word "Equation" apears twice.	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Figure 33-13: a) Y axis lable lport-2P is too close to the Y axis end point. b) linrush-2P_max is too close to the Y axis. c) The lable "Inrush-2P at Vpse-2P>30V" need to include now linrush as well.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change from: "ICon-2P is the current the PSE supports on each pairset and is defined by Equation	Make the above suggested editing. See darshan_03_0116.pdf for details.
Equation (33–3d)." To: "ICon-2P is the current the PSE supports on each pairset and is defined by Equation	Proposed Response Response Status O
(33–3d)."	CI 33 SC 33.2.7 P 102 L 47 # 37
Proposed Response Response Status O	Darshan, Yair Microsemi
	Comment Type ER Comment Status X
C/33 SC 33.2.4 P 57 L 35 # 35 Darshan, Yair Microsemi	Table 33-11 item 7, Icon-2P, Type 3,4 additional information column: There is missing link to 33.2.7.4 that explains what is Icon-2P. SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type ER Comment Status X	Add to the additional information column:
Typo in line 35. Need to be Figure 33-10 and not 33-10e	"See 33.2.7.4 for Icon-2P details."
"Type 1 and Type 2 PSEs shall provide the behavior of the state diagrams shown in Figure 33–9, Figure 33–9 continued, and Figure 33–10e. Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs shall provide the behavior of the state	Proposed Response Response Status O
diagrams shown in Figure 33–10a to Figure 33–10d and Figure 33–10e."	CI 33 SC 33.2.7.4 P106 L28 # 38
SuggestedRemedy	Darshan, Yair Microsemi
Change from:	Comment Type ER Comment Status X
"Type 1 and Type 2 PSEs shall provide the behavior of the state diagrams shown in Figure 33–9, Figure 33–9 continued, and Figure 33–10e.	"PClass-2P is PClass-2P as defined in Table 33–11" Pclass-2P is not defined in Table 33-11. It is defined in Equation 33-3a
Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs shall provide the behavior of the state diagrams shown in Figure 33–10a to Figure 33–10d and Figure 33–10e."	SuggestedRemedy
To: "Type 1 and Type 2 PSEs shall provide the behavior of the state diagrams shown in Figure 33–9, Figure 33–9 continued, and Figure 33–10. Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs shall provide the behavior of the state	Change from: "PClass-2P is PClass-2P as defined in Table 33–11" To: "PClass-2P is PClass-2P as defined in Equation 33-3a"
diagrams shown in Figure 33–10a to Figure 33–10d and Figure 33–10e."	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	

Comment Type ER Comment Status X The text "con-2P is the current." (33.2.7.4.1 Page 108, Lines 37-38 in D1.5 SuggestedRemedy Change from: (33.2.7.4.1 Page 108, Lines 37-38 in D1.5 The text "con-2P is the current." To: (33.2.7.4.1 Page 108, Lines 37-38 in D1.5 To: "logak-2P is the current." 0 This comment status X Proposed Response Response Status 0 0 The ready for the mostly of the there movely of 144 from D1.4. (1 33 SC 33.3.7 P 137 L 6 # 40 The inal stalls and readers may miss to read these shalls. Comment Type ER Comment Status X The ready for the moving the reword or turn into 'shalls Comment Type ER Comment Status X The inal free for moving the requirement into the appropriate sec 33.2.7.4.1 seems like a good candidate. Comment Type ER Comment Status X Proposed remedy: To consider working to move the shalls to clause 33. F SuggestedRemedy Change Table 33-18 item 7 parameter name "Peak operating power" To and procedure as proposed. In addition, the 'shalls' there ereder for the shalls. SuggestedRemedy Change Table 33-18 item 7 parameter name "Peak operating power" Note the comment's above: and the appropriate sec 33.2.7.4.1 wase modified by adding shall to meet Annex B	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4 Darshan, Yair	P 107 Microsemi	L 27	# 39	C/ 33 S Darshan, Yair	SC Annex 33		2 01 rosemi	L 8	# 41
Change from: "locn-2P is the current." To: "lpeak-2P is the current" Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 33 SC 33.3.7 P 137 L 6 # 40 Darshan, Yair Microsemi Comment Type ER Comment Status X Table 33-18 item 7 parameter name "Peak operating power" need to be "Total peak operating power" SuggestedRemedy Change Table 33-18 item 7 parameter name "Peak operating power" To al peak operating power" Proposed Response Response Status 0 (1) We function the shalls item 7 parameter name "Peak operating power" Total peak operating power" Proposed Response Response Status 0 (2) Also, the shalls tem 7 parameter name "Peak operating power" Total peak operating power" Proposed Response Response Status 0 (2) Also, the shalls tem 7 parameter name "Peak operating power" (3) 2, 7, 4,1 was modified by adding shall to meet Annex B requirements so at not be overlooked for its shalls. (b) Yes, we need the normative annex B objectives without complicating the standard (c) The shalls are not exactly similar to each other, they are referring to different tests and for each test different parameters are tested. Some editorial changes (c) The shalls are not exactly similar to each other, they are referring to different tests and for each test different parameters are tested. Some editorial changes (c) The shalls are not exactly similar to each other, they are referring to different tests and for each test different parameters are tested. Some editorial changes (c) The shalls to include shall for the test methods in Annex 33B without changing m shalls in Annex 33B. (c) Some editorial changes smade due to typos and other errors SuggestedRemedy	The text "Icon-2P is the Is wrong. It should be	e current"			[33.2.7.4.1	1 Page 108, I	_ines 37-38 in D1.5			
Cl 33 SC 33.3.7 P 137 L 6 # 40 Darshan, Yair Microsemi Comment Type ER Comment Status X Table 33-18 item 7 parameter name "Peak operating power" need to be "Total peak operating power" SuggestedRemedy Change Table 33-18 item 7 parameter name "Peak operating power" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy and for each test different parameters are tested. Some editorial changes to clarify it. Off the shalls are not exactly similar to each other, they are referring to different test and for each test different parameters are tested. Some editorial changes to clarify it. O) Other shalls in Annex 33B. e) Some editorial changes made due to typos and other errors	Change from: "Icon-2P is the current To: "Ipeak-2P is the currer	nt"			This comr Summary a) When r and conta b) Annex 3 c) Also, th The reme	nent tries to r of comment eading 33.2.7 ins shalls and 33B contains e shalls are dy for comme	and remedy of 144 7.4.1 (PSE P2PRu d readers may miss : 2 shalls, 2 musts. very similar to each ent 144 from D1.4:	from D1. nb) there i s to read t Do we ne o other.	4: is a link to Annex hese shalls. eed a normative	annex for 2 shalls?
	Darshan, Yair Comment Type ER Table 33-18 item 7 par operating power" SuggestedRemedy Change Table 33-18 it to: "Total peak operating p	Microsemi Comment Status X rameter name "Peak operating rem 7 parameter name "Peak o power"	g power" need t	o be "Total peak	The final r 33.2.7.4.1 Add "Edito encourage Response a)33.2.7.4 not be ove b)Yes, we and proce "must" con simplest w c)The sha tests and to clarify it d)It was h modified t shalls in A	emedy: To co seems like a or's Note (TB ed to work with to the comm .1 was modi erlooked for it need the noi dure as prop hverted to shi vay to achieve lis are not ex for each test ard to move a o include sha annex 33B.	onsider moving the a good candidate. RBD2.0): Yair work th him." hents above: fied by adding sha is shalls. rmative Annex due osed. In addition, t all and some delete e annex B objective actly similar to eac different paramete all the shalls to 33. all for the test meth	e requirem king to mo Il to meet. to the fac he "shalls" ed by edito es without sh other, th rs are test 2.7.4.1 as ods in Anr	ent into the appr we the shalls to Annex B require t that we need to " there were clar orial changes. So complicating the ney are referring ted. Some editor	ropriate section , clause 33. Readers are ements so annex B will b use the test circuit ified, some of the o far Annex B is the e standard body. to different alternative ial changes were made ead, 33.2.7.4.1 was
See darshan_09_0116.pdf					00	-	odf			

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Comment ID 41

CI 33 Darshan, `	SC 33.2.7 Yair	P 104 Microsemi	L 47	# 42	C/ 33 Darshan, Y		33.2.6	P 91 Microsemi	L 50	# 43
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
	Note #2. e following case	needs to be addressed: If PSE	is using activ	e or passive pair-to-pair				es the following topics: Contains editorial errors.		

current balancing circuitry, K_Icut may be lower (down to 0.5) per equation TBD."

The accuracy of this comment is addressed in the comment marked ED_2 due to the fact that after D1.4 changes when K_Icut was removed and other terms were used.

The following comment addresses the main issue of Editor Note #2.

1.According the current spec we can implement active or passive current balancing. This is not the issue.

2.According to the current spec if we build active or passive current balancer and we use the limits of Icon-2P_unb, Ipeak-2P_unb and ILIM-2P we will surely be fine. This is not the issue too.

3. The issue is that if we leave that spec as it is, we can't benefit from using active or passive current balancer due to the fact that we are not allowed to use lower limits of Icon-2P_unb, Ipeak-2P_unb and ILIM-2P (that was planned for the worst case unbalance) due to the improved unbalance now. As a result we can't optimize the PSE designs for lower cost as it the only reason for using current balancer.

4. The fact that we can use ILIM, Icon etc. which doesn't include unbalance effect doesn't help to PSEs that wants to have independent Iport-2P measurements and protection over each pairset (this concept of XXX-2P is all over the spec now).

Example: In Type 4 class 8 ILIM-2P min is 0.99A which includes unbalance effect. Normally PSEs set their ILIM-2P protection to >0.99A per each pairset e.g. 1.08A. It means that the 2nd pair with the lowest current will have much lower current during normal operation: Iport-2P_other= (90W/52V/2 - (0.925A-90W/52V/2)=0.865A-0.0596A=0.805A :

So if there is a fault at the pair with the pair with the lowest current, the protection on this pairset will happen only when the pair with the lowest current will get to > 1.08A which is a current difference of 1.08A-0.805A=0.275A. This means that the PSE have to be designed to such conditions, it is not a problem to design it as such however we can relax requirements to PSE if PSE is using active or passive current balancer.

SuggestedRemedy

See presentation and proposed Remedy in darshan_06_0116.pdf

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

b) Icon-2P_unb?: No. Pclass-2P is controlled by PD so we need just to meet Icont-2P=Pclass-2P/VPSE.
c) PD PI unbalance requirements?: No. Pclass-2P is controlled by PD so whatever PD unbalance is, the PD need to handle it or by reducing Pclass-PD so Pclass-PD-2P will meet PD advertised class over that pairset or use current balancing techniques for

2.Ipeak text was planned to be with the same concept as Icon text regarding all PD types and Ipeak. Ipeak-2P. Ipeak-2P unb etc. however, dual-signature PD with the same class

3.To update 33.2.6 and 33.2.7.4 per the agreement made in offline discussions that Dual

a) PSE PI Rpse min/max?: YES. PD is affected by PSE unbalance and will change

Signature PDs will be responsible to meet Pclass-2P over each pairset. 4.Does DS signature PDs need to meet unbalance requirements i.e.

meet PD advertised class over that pairset or use current balancing techniques for utilization of maximum power available.

As a result, the working assumptions are:

Pclass-PD-2P vendor design.

and different class was not addressed properly.

DS PDs with the same class is a single load PD as well as SS PD does. This means that:

a) PSE PI Rpse_min/max requirements apply for all connected PDs (SS and DS)DS b) PD PI unbalance (requirements per 33.3.7.10) need to be updated for DS PDs to meet Icon-2P=Pclass-2P/Vpse over each pair set and not Icon-2P_unb. In addition DS PDs and SS PDs will be continue to be tested per the test circuit I n33.3.7.10.

c) DS PDs with different class is treated as DS PDs with the same class which resulted with no differentiation in the spec for DS PD with same class or different class.

SuggestedRemedy

See darshan_012_0116.pdf for proposed remedy.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type TR Comment Status X The text "All Class 5 and higher PDs shall not exceed lcon-2P-unb for longer than TCUT-2P min as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. PDs shall" See darshan_11_0116,pdf for details. Need to be updated to differentiate between single signature PD that need to meet lcon-2P-unb and for dual-signature PD brate of the tested per 33.7.10 WTH UNBALANCED PSE+Channel to ensure that if PD vendor designed his PD to meet Pclass-PV/port as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. PDs shall not exceed lcon-2P-unb for longer than TCUT-2P min as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. PDs shall not exceed lcon-2P-unb for longer than TCUT-2P min as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. PDs shall not exceed lcon-2P-unb for longer than TCUT-2P min as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. PDs shall not exceed lcon-2P-unb for longer than TCUT-2P min as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. Dual-signature PDs shall not exceed lcon-2P-unb for longer than TCUT-2P min as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. Dual-signature PDs shall not exceed lcon-2P-unb for longer than TCUT-2P min as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. Dual-signature PDs shall not exceed lcon-2P-unb for longer than TCUT-2P min as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. Dual-signature PDs shall not exceed lcon-2P-unb for longer than TCUT-2P min as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. Dual-signature PDs shall not exceed lcon-2P-unb for longer than TCUT-2P min as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. Dual-signature PDs shall not exceed lcon-2P-unb for longer than TCUT-2P min as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. Dual-signature PDs shall not exceed lcon-2P-unb for longer than TCUT-2P min as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. Dual-signature PDs shall not exceed lcon-2P-unb for longer than TCUT-2P min as defined in Table 33-11 on any pair. Dual-signature PDs shall not exceed	C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.1(Darshan, Yair	D P 145 Microsemi	L 8	# 44	<i>CI</i> 33 So Darshan, Yair	C 33.3.8	P 147 Microsemi	L 27	# 45
TMPDO. When we have issues? When the only load right after the startup is minimum MPS load modulated with 7msec for every TMPDO. How we can solve the issues? 1.Increasing the sample rate of PSE analog driver to be < 7msec/(2xN). Problem: No so cost effective I few want to use shared resources e.g. A/D for several ports instead of A/D for each port. 2.Increasing the sample rate of host in addition to (1) to be < 7msec/(2xNxNumber_of_Ports). Problem: This looks impossible with the current low cost communication used between the host and to the PSE chips e.g. 100kbps which generate about 40-60msec sample rate	Aarshan, Yair Comment Type TR The text "All Class 5 and highe defined in Table 33-11 Need to be updated to 2P_unb and for dual-s in Equation 33-3c ANE UNBALANCED PSE+ PD-2P over each pair meet PSE PI unbaland So PD will have a cont all other PSE paramet SuggestedRemedy Change from: "All Class 5 and highe defined in Table 33–1" To: "All Class 5 and highe TCUT-2P min as definind Icon-2P as defined in I 11. Single-signature P	Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X r PDs shall not exceed Icon-2 on any pair. PDs shall" differentiate between single ignature PD that need to mee 0 YET both PDs need to be te Channel to ensure that if PD set, it will not be changed by ce requirements. trolled PSE and Channel envi ers. r PDs shall not exceed Icon-2 1 on any pair. PDs shall" r single-signature PDs shall rn ed in Table 33-11 on any pai Equation 33-3c for longer tha Ds and dual-signature PDs s	2P-unb for longe signature PD th et IcoN-2P=Pcla ested per 33.3.7 vendor designe Type 3 and Typ ironment of unb 2P-unb for longe not exceed Icon- r. Dual-signatur n TCUT-2P min	er than TCUT-2P min as hat need to meet Icon- ass-2P/Vport as defined 7.10 WITH d his PD to meet Pclass- be 4 PSEs that doesn't balance like he has with er than TCUT-2P min as -2P-unb for longer than e PDs shall not exceed	Darshan, Yair Comment Type See darsha The use cas pairset) that maximum conly, and the (7msce evel In addition to In order to p -sample pai -average pai -send the sa -sample pai -average pai -average pai -send the sa -som the	TR n_11_0116.p se under disc t wants to imp urrent (for a s e only PD loa ry TMPDO) a here is unbal berform this ta r A ample to the list ample to the list r B ample to the list rate of the pa bling action is rs A and B ar d (b) can resu on't have any load DC current oad current s ave issues? nly load right OO. n solve the iss g the sample is so cost effet /D for each p g the sample is looks imp	Microsemi Comment Status X off for details. sussion is a Type 3 PSE (wi plement the MPS option in single signature PD only) and ad is minimum MPS current and the minimum load is int lance e.g. 1mA on the 1st p ask PSE needs to: host (D1). host (D2). and follow MPS rules if to a ms: ost for getting the informati airs to generate D1 and D2 not synchronized i.e. there ad between the acquisition of ult with missing the pulses of r issues? ent is > MPS minimum curr >= MPS DC current modula after the startup is minimu sues? rate of PSE analog driver the cort. rate of host in addition to (_Ports). ossible with the current low	ith asynchronous which he looks of nd follow the MP t amplitude, moo troduced right aff pair and 9mA over disconnect or no on D1 and D2. e is a time shift b of the data by the on A or B or both rent i.e. 30mA 10 ated with 75msed m MPS load mo to be < 7msec/(2 red resources e. 1) to be <	s operation of its on the pair with 'S rules on that pair dulated with short MPS ter startup. er the 2nd pair. t. t. tetween generating the e host for pairs A and on and result with false 00mA etc. c pulses every dulated with 7msec for exN). g. A/D for several ports ation used between the

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 45

Page 14 of 47 1/11/2016 10:44:48 AM

IEEE D802 2ht D1 5 4DDaE 9th Took Earon roviow comments

			IEEE P80	02.3bt D1.5 4PPoE 8th	Task Forc	e revi	ew com	ments		
	ll guarantee high Il allow flexible de	reliability of MPS detection at t esign of PSEs	the PSE		CI 33		33.3.8	P 147	L 27	# 47
Suggeste	edRemedy				Darshan, '	Yaır		Microsemi		
See	darshan_011_01	16.pdf for updated comment a	nd remedy.		Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
Proposed	l Response	Response Status O	·		See d The u	arshan se case	_11_0116 e under di	ent to similar one regarding da .pdf for details. scussion is a Type 3 PSE (wit nplement the MPS option in v	h asynchronous	s operation of its
C/ 33	SC 33.2.7.1	P 105	L 16	# 46				a single signature PD only) an		
Darshan,	Yair	Microsemi						oad is minimum MPS current		
	ext: /pe 3 or Type 4 P	Comment Status X			Ìn ado In ord -samp	lition th er to pe ble pair	ere is unb erform this A	and the minimum load is intr alance e.g. 1mA on the 1st pa task PSE needs to:	0	•
inclue is co	ding after the exp	ne PD assigned class is 5-8 an			-host -samp -avera	ole pair age pair	read the B B	sample (D1). sample (D2).		
Suggeste	edRemedy							B and follow MPS rules if to d	isconnect or no	t.
"A Ty the P inclua To: "A Ty the P inclua betwo	OWER_ON state ding after the exp ower a or Type 4 F OWER_ON state ding after the exp or 3 or Type 4 F OWER_ON state ding after the exp een 2-pair and 4-	PSE that has assigned Class 1- e may transition between 2-pai iration of Tpon. PSE that has assigned Class 5- e may transition between 2-pai iration of Tpon only if during th pair power the actual power is	r and 4-pair pow -4 to a single-sig r and 4-pair pow -8 to a single-sig r and 4-pair pow he time the PSE	rer at any time, nature PD and is in rer at any time, nature PD and is in rer at any time,	a)San b)San c)The data c B. Both (MPS o When 1.If th 2.If th TMPD	npling r npling r sampli on pairs a) and disconr we dou e PD lo e PD lo DO.	ate of the ng action A and B (b) can re lect action n't have an ad DC cu	host for getting the informatio pairs to generate D1 and D2. is not synchronized i.e. there and between the acquisition o sult with missing the pulses o y issues? rrent is > MPS minimum current t >= MPS DC current modulat	is a time shift b f the data by the n A or B or both ent i.e. 30mA 10	e host for pairs A and and result with false 00mA etc.
Proposed	1 Response	Response Status O			When every How v 1.Incre Proble ports i 2.Incre 7msee Proble host a betwe many 3.To r and al The a	the on TMPDO ve can easing em: Not instead easing c/(2xNx em: Thi and to the en PSE functio equire f functio equire f fter 500 dvantag	ly load rig D. solve the the sample so cost e of A/D fo the sample Number_ Number_ s looks im he PSE ch E chip san ns that the PD that fo unsec it w ges of the	ht after the startup is minimun issues? e rate of PSE analog driver to ffective If we want to use sha e rate of host in addition to (1	be < 7msec/(2 red resources of) to be < cost communica ate about >>7n this is just for N it will use Type rt MPS.	xN). e.g. A/D for several ation used between the nsec sample rate MPS while there are
				T ⁽ⁱ⁾ I C ⁽ⁱ⁾ C ⁽ⁱ⁾ C ⁽ⁱ⁾				0		

Comment ID 47

Page 15 of 47 1/11/2016 10:44:48 AM

-It doesn't add additional burden on PD since PD need to support both Type 1/2 and Type C/ 33 P 121 SC 33.3.2 3/4 MPS rules anyway and we just reuse it. Sifos Technologies, In -It will guarantee high reliability of MPS detection at the PSE Bennett, Ken -It will allow flexible design of PSEs Comment Type ER Comment Status X The text states: "Editor's Note: Classification section to be updated to move all Type 3 and SuggestedRemedy Type 4 PSEs to multiple-event (Mark is considered an event)." See darshan 011 0116.pdf for updated comment and remedy. Legacy text has taught readers that when the word "Event" is followed by "Classification". Proposed Response Response Status 0 the count is equal to the number of class pulses. In 802.3bt, it is being redefined to include a single-event classification (Class-Mark) as > 1. This is likely to confuse readers. 802.3bt text updates have been, and will continue to be, complicated by this. C/ 33 SC 33.6.3.3 P 171 L 14 # 48 Consistency in this definition involves changes to be made to (at least) Tables 33-1a, 33-8, Bennett. Ken Sifos Technologies, In 33-15a, and several text references. The tables have rows that separate type 3 single-Comment Type TR Comment Status X event and Multiple-event classifications, so the change isn't simple. It seems like PSE DLL POWER TYPE should have been changed to The suggested remedy is one possible option for a naming change. PSE_DLL_POWER_LEVEL in all instances, but was only changed in 33.3.3.3. Also, the definitions have the issues discussed below. SuggestedRemedy Change "Multiple-Event classification" to "Marked-Event classification". 33.6.3.3 definition (Pg 171, ln 24): (Terms like "Single Marked-Event" or # Marked Events could then be used.) PSE DLL POWER TYPE: A control variable that indicates the Type of the PSE by which the PD is being powered... PROBLEM: It doesn't; it is set by PSE POWER LEVEL in the State Diagram, which is based upon the Type that was assumed based upon the allocation. Proposed Response Response Status 0 33.3.3.3 definition (pg 124, ln 17): PSE_DLL_POWER_LEVEL: a control variable output by the PD power control state diagram (Figure 33-28) that indicates the power level of the PSE by which the PD is being C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.4 P 140 powered... Bennett, Ken Sifos Technologies, In PROBLEM: PSE DLL POWER LEVEL isn't in 33-28. 33-28 uses PSE_DLL_POWER_TYPE. Also, a given value does not convey a single power level. Comment Type ER Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Line 7 through 49, which discusses PD lport limits for current that includes AC ripple. appears to be redundant and adds unnecessary complexity. Change all instances of PSE DLL POWER TYPE to PSE DLL POWER LEVEL. If PClass PD and Ppeak PD limits are met, then everything discussed there will have Change the definitions to: PSE DLL POWER LEVEL: A control variable output by the PD power control state been met. diagram (33-28) that indicates the minimum PSE Type capable of providing the assigned SuggestedRemedy Class. Remove lines 7 through 49. Proposed Response Response Status **O** Proposed Response Response Status 0

Comment ID 50

49

50

L 32

17

C/ 33 SC 33.6.3.3	P 171	L 26	# 51	C/ 33		33.2.7.6	P 114	L 26	# 53
Bennett, Ken	Sifos Technolo	ogies, In		Schindler,	Fred		Seen Simply		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment		E	Comment Status X		
	definitions for PSE_POWER_			Formul formula		33-7a, 3	3-7b, and 33-7c are identical a	nd should be	e replaced by one
	is defined in 33.6.3.3 as "a co to indicate the Type of PSE			Suggested	Remedy				
PROBLEM: It conveys	he PSE Type based upon all	ocation, which	may be lower than the	Delete	formulas	s 33-7a, 3	3-7b, and 33-7c.		
actual PSE Type.				Replac	ce referen	nces to th	e deleted formulas so that the	y point to for	mula 33-7. The
	is defined in 33.3.3.3 as "a co		nat indicates to the PD				on page 111.		
	SE is supplying" (pg 124, li onvey a single power level. F class 6.		alue of 3 could be an	Proposed I	Response	Э	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 79	SC 79	9.3.2.4	P 215	L 6	# 54
Change both definitions	to:			Schindler,	Fred		Seen Simply		
PSE_POWER_LEVEL:	A control variable output by t	the PD state dia	gram that indicates the	Comment	Type I	ER	Comment Status X		
minimum PSE Type ca	bable of providing the assigned	ed Class.		Fix the	typo, "TL	LV"			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested	Remedy				
				Replac	ce with "T	LV."			
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7	P 101	L 7	# 52	Proposed I	Response	Э	Response Status O		
Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply								
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			C/ 79	SC 79	9.3.2.6d	P 220	L 18	# 55
Changed text,		farma with Table	00 44 Table 00 44	Schindler,			Seen Simply		
	s power to the PI, it shall con ration under worst-case oper			Comment	Type I	ER	Comment Status X		
May be improved.		0		Table 7 clause		ssociated	d with section 79.3.2.6e but ap	pears in the l	Link Aggregation TLV
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Replace the text with,		4	00 44 T LL 00 44	Move t	the refere	ence table	e to the clause that covers it.		
	s power to the PI, it shall con se operating conditions."	form with Table	33-11. Table 33-11	Proposed I	Response	Э	Response Status O		
	Response Status O								

C/ 79 SC 79.3.7.1	P 224	L 38	# 56		SC 79.3.7.2	P 224	L 51	# 58
Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply			Schindler, Free	b	Seen Simply		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	e ER	Comment Status X		
Existing text may be in	nproved by removing repeated	text that is not	required.	Existing te	xt may be imp	proved by removing repeated	text that is not	required.
value at the port defined in Table 79-7a PD's measured current value at the po be included to carry the PD's measur SuggestedRemedy	Itage value field may be includ I. The PD measured current va Int defined in Table 79-7a. The red energy consumption value	lue field may be PD measured e at the port defir	e included to carry the energy value field may ned in Table 79-7a."	voltage va defined in PSE's me energy val carry the F SuggestedRer	lue at the por Table 79-7b. asured curren ue field may b PSE's measur	The PSE measured current v t value at the port defined in be included to ed energy consumption value	/alue field may Table 79-7b. T	be included to carry the he PSE measured
current value at the PI carries a PD measured	. The PD measured current va defined in Table 79-7a. The P d energy consumption value at	llue field carries D measured en	a PD measured ergy value field	defined in current va	Table 79-7b. lue at the PI d	Itage value field carries a PS The PSE measured current v lefined in Table 79-7b. The P d energy consumption value a	value field carrie SE measured e	es a PSE measured energy value field
defined in Table 79-7a current value at the PI	. The PD measured current va defined in Table 79-7a. The P	llue field carries D measured en	a PD measured ergy value field	defined in current va	Table 79-7b. lue at the PI d SE measured	The PSE measured current v lefined in Table 79-7b. The P	value field carrie SE measured e	es a PSE measured energy value field
defined in Table 79-7a current value at the PI carries a PD measured	. The PD measured current va defined in Table 79-7a. The P d energy consumption value at	llue field carries D measured en	a PD measured ergy value field	defined in current va carries a F <i>Proposed Res</i> <i>Cl</i> 33	Table 79-7b. lue at the PI d 2SE measured ponse SC 33.2.7.4	The PSE measured current verticed in Table 79-7b. The P d energy consumption value a Response Status O P 107	value field carrie SE measured e	es a PSE measured energy value field
defined in Table 79-7a current value at the PI carries a PD measured Proposed Response C/ 79 SC 79.3.7.1 Schindler, Fred Comment Type ER	I. The PD measured current va defined in Table 79-7a. The P d energy consumption value at <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 224	lue field carries D measured en the PI defined	a PD measured nergy value field in Table 79-7a."	defined in current va carries a F Proposed Res	Table 79-7b. lue at the PI d 2SE measured ponse GC 33.2.7.4	The PSE measured current v lefined in Table 79-7b. The P d energy consumption value a <i>Response Status</i> 0	value field carri SE measured (at the PI define	es a PSE measured energy value field d in Table 79-7b."
defined in Table 79-7a current value at the PI carries a PD measured Proposed Response Cl 79 SC 79.3.7.1 Schindler, Fred Comment Type ER Fix typo "(voltage".	I. The PD measured current va defined in Table 79-7a. The P d energy consumption value at <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 224 Seen Simply	lue field carries D measured en the PI defined	a PD measured nergy value field in Table 79-7a."	defined in current va carries a F Proposed Res CI 33 S Schindler, Free Comment Typ	Table 79-7b. lue at the PI d PSE measured ponse SC 33.2.7.4 d e ER	The PSE measured current vertices of the PSE measured current vertices of the PSE measured current vertices of the PSE of	value field carri SE measured (at the PI define	es a PSE measured energy value field d in Table 79-7b."
defined in Table 79-7a current value at the PI carries a PD measured Proposed Response Cl 79 SC 79.3.7.1 Schindler, Fred Comment Type ER Fix typo "(voltage". SuggestedRemedy	I. The PD measured current va defined in Table 79-7a. The P d energy consumption value at <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 224 Seen Simply <i>Comment Status</i> X	lue field carries D measured en the PI defined	a PD measured nergy value field in Table 79-7a."	defined in current va carries a F Proposed Res CI 33 S Schindler, Free Comment Typ	Table 79-7b. lue at the PI d 2SE measured ponse SC 33.2.7.4 d e ER oken hyperlink	The PSE measured current vertices of the PSE measured current vertices of the PSE measured current vertices of the PSE measured consumption value of the PSE measurement status Vertices of the PSE mea	value field carri SE measured (at the PI define	es a PSE measured energy value field d in Table 79-7b."
defined in Table 79-7a current value at the PI carries a PD measured Proposed Response Cl 79 SC 79.3.7.1 Schindler, Fred Comment Type ER Fix typo "(voltage".	I. The PD measured current va defined in Table 79-7a. The P d energy consumption value at <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 224 Seen Simply <i>Comment Status</i> X	lue field carries D measured en the PI defined	a PD measured nergy value field in Table 79-7a."	defined in current va carries a F Proposed Res Cl 33 S Schindler, Free Comment Typ Fix the bro SuggestedRer	Table 79-7b. lue at the PI d PSE measured ponse SC 33.2.7.4 d e ER oken hyperlink medy	The PSE measured current vertices of the PSE measured current vertices of the PSE measured current vertices of the PSE measured consumption value of the PSE measurement status Vertices of the PSE mea	value field carri SE measured (at the PI define	es a PSE measured energy value field d in Table 79-7b."

C/ 33 SC 33.3.5 P 130 L 37 # 60	C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.1 P137 L 53 # 62
Schindler, Fred Seen Simply	Schindler, Fred Seen Simply
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
"Single-signature PDs not capable of drawing more than Class 3 power levels may omit Data Link Layer classification (see 33.6)."	A"The PD shall turn on or off without startup oscillation and within the first trial at any load value when fed by VPort_PSE-2P min to VPort_PSE-2P max (as defined in Table 33-11) with a series resistance within the range of valid Channel Resistance."
Is a stealth way to permit new PDs to omit DLL, which is not a goal of this standard. Type 3 and 4 PDs are required to provide DLL support.	The "valid Channel Resistance", covers the entire range of channel resistance values was restricted to Rch, which is the worst-case channel resistance. The standard provides interoperability for PSE that operate over a range of values not one specific value.
This comment is related to others marked COMMENT-2.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Restore the legacy text.
Strike footnote-1	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	
C/ 33 SC 33.3.5 P 130 L 41 # 61	
Schindler, Fred Seen Simply	•
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Existing text, "Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 PDs at Class 4 or greater power levels shall implement both Multiple-Event class signature (see 33.3.5.2) and Data Link Layer classification (see 33.6)." Is a stealth way to permit new PDs to omit DLL, which is not a goal of this standard. Type 3 and 4 PDs are required to provide DLL support. The legacy sentence modified to accomplish this appears to have been, "Type 2 PDs implement both 2-Event class signature (see 33.5.2) and Data Link Layer classification (see 33.6)."	
This comment is related to others marked COMMENT-2.	
SuggestedRemedy	
Replace the reference sentence with, "Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 PDs shall implement both Multiple-Event class signature (see 33.3.5.2) and Data Link Layer classification (see 33.6)."	
Proposed Response Response Status O	

33 SC 33.2.4.11 P 77 L 52 # 63	Strike the related Edito	r's Note.		
hindler, Fred Seen Simply	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
omment Type TR Comment Status X				
This section only covers Type 3 and 4 PSEs.	C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.2	P 97	L 44	# 64
lggestedRemedy	Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply		
Replace existing text,	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
"set_parameter_type This function is used by a PSE to evaluate the Type of PD connected to the link based on	The text,			
Physical		Es, when connected to single- to MARK_EV_LAST if they ir		
Layer classification or Data Link Layer classification results. The PSE's PI electrical requirements			inploinent entry e	
defined in Table 33-11 are set to values corresponding to either a Type 1, or Type 2, Type	Is no longer applicable	to Type 4 PSEs.		
3, or	SuggestedRemedy			
Type 4 PSE. This function returns the following variable:	Replace the sentence		De shell (see s')	in a diamatika fanana
parameter_type: A variable used by a PSE to pick between Type 1, and Type 2, Type 3	CLASS EV1 LCF to N	onnected to single-signature P IARK_EV_LAST if they implen	Ds, snall transit nent only one cl	ass event."
and Type 4 Pl	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
electrical requirement parameter values defined in Table 33-11. Values:				
1: Type 1 PSE parameter values (default)				
2: Type 2 PSE parameter values 3: Type 3 PSE parameter values	C/ 33 SC 33.3.1	P 120	L 40	# 65
4: Type 4 PSE parameter values	Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply		
When a Type 2 PSE powers a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PD, the PSE may choose to	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
assign a value of '1' to	The existing sentence,			
parameter_type if mutual identification is not complete (see 33.2.6) and shall assign a value of '2' to	•	mented to be insensitive to po	larity, are speci	fically not allowed by
parameter_type if mutual identification is complete."	this standard."			
With,	provides an incomplete	requirement.		
	SuggestedRemedy			
"set_parameter_type	•	irement after the referenced s	entence that cla	rifies what insensitive
This function is used by a PSE to evaluate the Type of PD connected to the link based on Physical	means,	ma aanabilitiaa whan nawarad	using sither pol	ority "
Layer classification or Data Link Layer classification results. The PSE's PI electrical		me capabilities when powered	using either pol	anty.
requirements	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
defined in Table 33-11 are set to values corresponding to either a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE. This function returns the following variable:				
parameter_type: A variable used by a PSE to pick between Type 3 and Type 4 PI				
electrical requirement parameter values defined in Table 33-11.				
Values:				
1: Type is not 3 or 4 (default)				
2: Type is not 3 or 4 3: Type 3 PSE parameter values				
4: Type 4 PSE parameter values				

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC 33.3.1 Schindler, Fred	P 120 Seen Simply	L 40	# 66		33 hindler, Fre	SC 33.3.5 ed	P 130 Seen Simply	L 11	# 67
Comment Type TR Existing text, "The PD shall withstand permanent damage." is not correct and shoul "Editor's note: Need to both existing and new", voltage indefinitely. It is Ethernet transformers s	Comment Status X d any voltage from 0 V to 57 V d be removed. For example, p perform thermal analysis on ne which shows concern that PD is also clear that providing 57V should not be allowed. The ori sk Force has not been able to Response Status O	page 99 provid ew classificatic may not acce across MDI pi ginal meaning	les an Editor's note, on timings/events on opt a classification ins connected to of this sentence is no	Co Su	Added Ta informatii informatii This com provides translate: ggestedRe PREFER Delete th "All PDs classifica Delete Ta TRANSL Delete Ta Classifica Classifica Delete th "All PDs classifica Delete th "All PDs classifica Delete th	be TR able 33-15a ro on. The new for on. The new for on. It takes s ment is relate two solutions is the table AN <i>medy</i> RED: e requirement shall provide tion (see 33.0 able 33-15a a able 33-15a a	Comment Status X eplaced Table 33-8 to improvable consumes most of the pome readers too much time ed to others marked COMME, one that provides a translat ID corrects an error covered t on line 4 that references Taphysical layer classification. b) while Type-2, Type-3 and and its footnote. t on line 4 that references Taphysical layer classification. b) while Type-2, Type-3 and and its footnote. b) while Type-2, Type-3 and assification may be omitted to assification may be omitted to awing more than Class 3 pow nd its footnote. <i>Response Status</i> O	ve readability and page while not pro- to comprehend the SNT-2. The resol- tion of the table at in COMMENT-2. able 33-15a. Rep Type-1 PDs optic Type-4 PDs shall able 33-15a. Rep Type-1 PDs optic Type-4 PDs shall py Type 3 or Type	oviding significant ne table. Iution of this comme nd a preferred one t place this sentence v provide DLL provide DLL provide DLL

C/ 33 SC 33.3.8 Schindler, Fred	P 145 Seen Simply	L 46	# 68	C/ 33	SC 33.2.5.3	P 90 Seen Simp	L 5	# 70
	1,5			Schindler,			iy	
Comment Type TR The existing text,	Comment Status X			Comment	<i>Type</i> ER xisting text,	Comment Status X		
"A Type 1 or Type 2 PI	D, or a PD which does not dete t impedance with resistive and			"A pai PD de	rset with all of the tection signature	,	n Table 33-5 shal	l be accepted as a valid
Lassuma PDs that way	nt to be very power efficient wo	uld draw close t	o 0 current that would	should	d be rewritten to	improve clarity.		
	rrent drawn by Rpd_d of Table			Suggestee	lRemedy			
provided requirements	for Autoclassification.	lass overt" grav	hte now PD Types with			shall occur when a pairset h	nas all of the chara	acteristics specified in
	llowance that would break com			Proposed		Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy						, -		
Task Force should disc referenced text with,	cuss the implications of this. T	he preferred so	lution is replace the					
	e input impedance with resistiv onnected to a Type 1 or 2 PSE		e components defined					
This permits new syste	ems to be power efficient and le	edacy systems t	o interoperate.					
Proposed Response	Response Status O	sguey eyetenne i						
Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.4 Schindler, Fred	P 61 Seen Simply	L 25	# 69					
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X							
To make the specificat proposed text focus the	ion easier to comprehend repla e reader on differences (excep er parts of the specification.							
The existing sentence "PSEs shall meet at lea Table 33-3."	above the table is, ast one of the allowable variab	le definition per	mutations described in					
SuggestedRemedy								
Delete Table 33-3 and	the associated change statem	ent.						
Replace the called out "Type 1 PSEs may cla classification, covered	sentence with, ssify using a single event. Type in 33.6, when using single eve	e 2 PSEs shall u nt classification	use data link layer ."					
Proposed Response	Response Status O							
	ed ER/editorial required GR/g spatched A/accepted R/reject ID				d Z/withdrawn	Com	ment ID 70	Page 22 of 47 1/11/2016 10:44

X 33 SC 33.2.5.5 P 91 L 15 # 71 chindler, Fred Seen Simply	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type ER Comment Status X Changes made to legacy text have made the specification more difficult to understand.	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.6 P 91 L 26 # 72 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply
A new 33.2.5.5 indicates, "If a PSE that is performing detection using Alternative B (see 33.2.3) determines that the impedance at the PI is greater than Ropen as defined in Table 33-6, it may optionally consider the link to be open circuit and omit the tdbo_timer interval."	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Fix Typo "33.2.5.0aa" SuggestedRemedy Replace with "33.2.5.0a" Proposed Response Response Status O
A modified legacy Section 33.2.4.1 p58, indicates, "A PSE performing detection using only Alternative B may fail to detect a valid PD detection signature. When this occurs, the PSE shall back off for at least Tdbo as specified in Table 33-11	Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 96 L 39 # 73 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply
before attempting another detection. During this backoff, the PSE shall not apply a voltage greater than VOff to the PI. See 33.2.5.5 for more information on Alternative B detection backoff requirements."	Comment Type ER Comment Status X The text, "A PSE in the state CLASS_EV1 shall provide to the PI VClass as defined in Table 33-10. The timing specification shall be as defined by TCLE1 in Table 33-10.
Stricken legacy text immediate follows this, "If a PSE performs performing detection using Alternative B detects an open circuit (see 33.2.5.5 for more information on detection backoff requirements.) on the link section, then that PSE may optionally omit the detection backoff." It makes more sense to grouping text, as was previously done in the legacy specification. This also keeps related text on page 58 line 15 to 18 next to the related text above it.	A PSE in the state CLASS_EV1_LCF shall provide to the PI VClass as defined in Table 33 10. The timing specification shall be as defined by TLCF in Table 33-10. The PSE shall measure IClass and classify the PD based on the observed current according to Table 33- 9 between 6 ms and 75 ms after transitioning into the state CLASS_EV1_LCF. The PSE may continue to monitor the current past 75 ms. If the PSE did not measure IClass in the range of Class 0 before TACS min and the PSE measures IClass in the range of Class 0
<i>uggestedRemedy</i> The Task Force should discuss this and decide where the collected text (page 58 lines 5 to 18) should be placed.	after TACS max this indicates the PD will perform Autoclass. (see 33.3.5.3). ^{**} provides incomplete and incorrect information. It is not clear which PSE Type requirements apply to.
Recommend, Delete section 33.2.5.5.	SuggestedRemedy Replace the referenced text with,
Restore stricken text on page 58, lines 11 to 13, with the following adjustments, "If a PSE performs performing detection using Alternative B detects an open circuit (see Table 33-6) on the link section, then that PSE may optionally omit the detection backoff." Delete the last sentence of the paragraph on page 58 lines 6 to 9, so that this paragraph reads, "A PSE performing detection using only Alternative B may fail to detect a valid PD detection signature. When this occurs, the PSE shall back off for at least Tdbo as specified in Table 33-11 before attempting	"A PSE in the state CLASS_EV1 shall provide to the PI VClass as defined in Table 33-10. The timing specification for Type 1 and 2 PSEs shall be as defined by Table 33-10 value TCLE1, and by TLCF for Type 3 or 4 PSEs. The PSE shall measure IClass and classify the PD based on the observed current according to Table 33-9 within Table 33-10 Tpdc. Type 3 and 4 PSEs may continue to monitor the current past Tpdc. If the Type 3 or 4 PSE does not measure IClass in the range of Class 0 before TACS min and the PSE measures IClass in the range of Class 0 after TACS max this indicates the PD will perform Autoclass (see 33.3.5.3)."
before attempting another detection. During this backoff, the PSE shall not apply a voltage greater than VOff to the PI."	Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 73

Page 23 of 47 1/11/2016 10:44:49 AM

C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.2 Schindler, Fred	P 96 Seen Simply	L 42	# 74	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.6 Schindler, Fred	P 111 Seen Simply	L 30	# 77
Comment Type ER Several broken hyperli	Comment Status X	on lines 42 and 4	13.	Comment Type ER Figures 33-14, 14a, 14	Comment Status X b, and 14c, are missing one c	r more axis labe	els.
SuggestedRemedy Use valid hyperlinks.				SuggestedRemedy Add Iport-2P to y-axis	of Figure 33-14, and time for t	he x-axis for all	referenced figures.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.10 Schindler, Fred	P 73 Seen Simply	L 33	# 75	C/ 33 SC 33.3.1 Schindler, Fred	P 120 Seen Simply	L 40	# 78
	Comment Status X epresent long-class-finger. Te pecification references class "e			Comment Type ER The existing sentence, "PDs that are not imple this standard."	Comment Status X	olarity, are spec	ifically not allowed by
SuggestedRemedy Replace all occurrence Proposed Response	s of LCF with LCE. Replace a Response Status 0	Il occurrences o	of finger with event.	SuggestedRemedy Replace the sentence	indicate what is required. with, ve to polarity of the applied vo	Itage."	
C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.2 chindler, Fred	P 97 Seen Simply	L 32	# 76	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
<i>Comment Type</i> ER The Editor's note may	Comment Status X be removed: of previous paragraph due to	bad readability	(strikeouts/underlines).	Cl 33 SC 33.3.5.2 Schindler, Fred Comment Type ER The existing text, "It is not recommender single electrical load."	P 132 Seen Simply Comment Status X d to use different class signatu	L 46	# 79
Proposed Response	Response Status O			should be rewritten to a SuggestedRemedy Replace the reference "Dual-signature PDs w Or use,			ne class signature."

Comment ID 79

Page 24 of 47 1/11/2016 10:44:49 AM

Schindler, Fred	P 213 Seen Simply	L 37	# 80	CI 79 Schindler, Fi	SC 79.3.7 ed	P 224 Seen Simpl	L 29	# 83
comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Ty		Comment Status X	,	
The length of the LLD	P frame shown in Figure 33-3 is gth is 20, which is incorrect.	318 octets. The	e value show in TLV	The leng	th of the LLDP	frame shown in Figure 33- h is 26, which is incorrect.	3 is 24 octets.	The value show in TLV
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedR	emedy			
Replace the reference	e value 20 with 18.				•	value 26 with 24.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status O		
79 SC 79.3.2.6	b <i>P</i> 218	L 34	# 81	CI 33	SC 33.3.7.3	P 138	L 42	# 84
chindler, Fred	Seen Simply			Picard, Jean		Texas Instru	uments	
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status X		
Please implement the uggestedRemedy	accept D1.4 change to Bit 1 of	Table 79.6b.				a steady state and is charg sh-2P min per Table 33–1		s final value. This period
,	e accept D1.4 change to Bit 1 of	Table 79.6b. S	see comment 205.	For more	clarity, a link	to the PSE inrush section is	s needed.	
roposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedR	emedy			
79 SC 79.3.2.6	b P 220	L1	# 82	" CPor shall be	has reached	a steady state and is charg sh-2P min per Table 33–1 and c."		
chindler, Fred	Seen Simply			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status 0		
omment Type TR	Comment Status X							
	ated with section 79.3.2.6b but a		00 0	C/ 33	SC 33.3.7.3	P 138	L 43	# 85
		on. It belongs i	in a contion that		00.0.1.0	1 100	- 40	
clause. This Table do	bes not belong in the LLDP secti	which is similar		Picard, Jean		Texas Instru	uments	
clause. This Table do covers Autoclassifica	bes not belong in the LLDP secti tion usage for the PSE and PD, rovide a state diagram that cove		in design to 33.6.	Picard, Jean	ne TR	Texas Instru Comment Status	uments	
clause. This Table do covers Autoclassifica This section should p	tion usage for the PSE and PD,		in design to 33.6.	Comment Ty		Texas Instru Comment Status X a maximum of Class 3 po		
clause. This Table do covers Autoclassifica This section should p uggestedRemedy The Task Force shou reference table to a n	tion usage for the PSE and PD, rovide a state diagram that cove Id discuss the implications of this ew section 33.6.5. Add the Editi pants are encouraged to provide	ers information of s. For now I rea or's note below	in design to 33.6. contained in the table. commend, moving the the table,	Comment Ty "All PDs Referrin must en within Ti	shall consume to Class 3 is sure that regar nrush-2P min,	Comment Status X	wer for at least Vhat we want to sumption, its ca n 400 mA total	Tdelay-2P min." o say is a type 2 or 3 PD apacitor must be charged (capacitor recharge +
clause. This Table do covers Autoclassifica This section should p uggestedRemedy The Task Force shou reference table to a n "Editor's Note: Partici the requirements for a Delete the sentence of	tion usage for the PSE and PD, rovide a state diagram that cove Id discuss the implications of this ew section 33.6.5. Add the Edit pants are encouraged to provide Autoclassification."	ers information c is. For now I rec or's note below e text and a stat	in design to 33.6. contained in the table. commend, moving the the table, te diagram to complete	Comment Ty "All PDs Referring must en within Ti load pov PSE.	shall consume to Class 3 is sure that regar nrush-2P min, rer). We also w	Comment Status X a maximum of Class 3 po misleading and incorrect. V dless of its load power cons while not drawing more tha	wer for at least Vhat we want to sumption, its ca n 400 mA total	Tdelay-2P min." o say is a type 2 or 3 PD apacitor must be charged (capacitor recharge +
clause. This Table do covers Autoclassifica This section should p suggestedRemedy The Task Force shou reference table to a n "Editor's Note: Partici the requirements for a Delete the sentence of	tion usage for the PSE and PD, rovide a state diagram that cove Id discuss the implications of this ew section 33.6.5. Add the Edit pants are encouraged to provide Autoclassification."	ers information c is. For now I rec or's note below e text and a stat	in design to 33.6. contained in the table. commend, moving the the table, te diagram to complete	Comment Ty "All PDs Referring must en within Ti load pov PSE. SuggestedR Remedy	shall consume to Class 3 is sure that regar- nrush-2P min, er). We also w emedy ignature PDs v	Comment Status X a maximum of Class 3 po misleading and incorrect. V dless of its load power cons while not drawing more tha	wer for at least Vhat we want to sumption, its ca n 400 mA total e 4 PD when c	Tdelay-2P min." o say is a type 2 or 3 PD apacitor must be charged (capacitor recharge + onnected to Type 1, 2 or

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

1/11/2016 10:44:49 AM

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.5 P 109 L 12 # 86 Picard, Jean Texas Instruments	CI 33 SC 1.4 P 46 L 6 # 89 Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Labs
Comment Type TR Comment Status X "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs that apply power to both pairsets when connected to a single-signature PD shall reach the POWER_ON state on both pairsets within TInrush-2P max, starting with the first pairset transitioning into the POWER_UP state." Need to clearly state that both pairset do not necessarily have to turn on at same time, with the exception of Type 4 having allocated Class 7-8 power. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type E Comment Status X The title of the clause refers to Type 1 and 2 only. SuggestedRemedy Replace the title with: System parameters Proposed Response Response Status O
Insert the following sentence after the paragraph:	C/ 33 SC 1.4 P 46 L 9 # 90 Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Labs
"The second pairset may transition to POWER_UP within Tinrush-2P min." <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> O	Comment Type E Comment Status X The text is talking about that PSEs and PDs are categorized by Type. However Types are not mentioned anyhow in the refernced tables (table 33-1, below the text). This is confusing, because the reader may think that the basic system parameters are based on
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.12 P 85 L 4 # 87 Picard, Jean Texas Instruments	Туре.
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Needs an Updated PSE Classification state diagram (Type 3 and 4) for SS and DS PD.	SuggestedRemedy Leave out the cited section from the first sentence: "A power system consists consisting of a single PSE, link segment, and a single PD."
SuggestedRemedy See CLASS SD presentation (JP)	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 33 SC 2.4.11 P 74 L 12 # 91 Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Labs
C/ 33 SC 3.5.1 P 131 L 4 # 88 Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Labs	Comment Type E Comment Status X Typo: 'in' is not required.
Comment Type ER Comment Status X class_sig_B is left out from the first sentence.	SuggestedRemedy This function initiates the Connection Check as specified in
Suggested Remedy	Proposed Response Response Status O
"PDs implementing a Multiple-Event class signature shall return class_sig_A and class_sig_B in accordance with"	

C/ 33 SC 2.4.11 P 75 L 33 # 92 Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Labs	C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.9 P 72 L 19 # 95 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply
Comment Type E Comment Status X the indentation of the returned variable "mr_pd_class_detected_ pri" is wrong	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Reference to this Table 33-3a seem to be in error.
SuggestedRemedy indent similarly like the other variables returned. Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy On page 57, line 42, a reference to Table 33-3a is in error that should point to Table 33-3b on page 87.
C/ 33 SC 2.5.0a P 87 L 28 # 93 Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Labs Comment Type E Comment Status X	On page 87 line 16, line 20, line-40 should point to Table 33-3b as well. On page 72 line-50 Table 33-3a should reference Table 33-3b. <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status O
This comment is about Table 33–3b. The unit for all parameters is [s]. The precision of the values are not consistent, and 3 digit precision is not needed.	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 P 103 L 11 # 96 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply
SuggestedRemedy Use 1 digit precision after the decimal separator for all values (0.4; 0.4; 0.2) Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Table 33-11, item-9 is for output current during a short circuit, but parameter lists two blank lines and then class ranges. This listing is not clear and contains incomplete information. I also want the Task Force to confirm the unbalance factors used for the current values.
	SuggestedRemedy
C/ 33 SC 3.5.1 P 131 L 17 # 94 Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Labs	In the Parameter column for item-9 replace the first parameter blank line with Class 0-3. Replace the second parameter blank line with Class 4.
Comment Type E Comment Status X This text is nto clear enough: "Type 1 and Type 2 PDs shall present one, and only one, classification signature during	Class-5 PSEs provide 45W over 4-pairs. This is, 45/50/2 = 450 mA per pairset. The value shown in the table is 1.25x more, which includes 1.05x for the ILIM adjustment and must use 1.19 for unbalance. Is this value of unbalance correct? If not we need to make corrections to Item-9 values.
classification."	Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy Type 1 and Type 2 PDs shall present one, and only one, classification signature	Proposed Response Response Status U
SuggestedRemedy Type 1 and Type 2 PDs shall present one, and only one, classification signature during the whole (all events of the) classification.	Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P 103 L 10 # 97 Schindler, Fred Seen Simply
SuggestedRemedy Type 1 and Type 2 PDs shall present one, and only one, classification signature during the whole (all events of the) classification.	Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P 103 L 10 # 97

Comment ID 97

Schindler, Fred	P 93 Seen Simply	L 36	# 98	C/ 33 Schindler, I	SC 33 . Fred	2.6	P 95 Seen Simply	L 4	# 100
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment 7	Гуре Е	R	Comment Status X		
Table 33-7a provides understand.	details that make the informatio	n provided mo	pre difficult to		s example		determine how to eliminate of p determine how other duplic		
This comment is relat	ed to others referenced by COM	IMENT-3.		_			-		
SuggestedRemedy							-8, replaced legacy Table 33- vided in other parts of the sp		
Delete the second tab third table column hea	column label "PD Requested C le column with header "PD Req der "Number of PSE Classifica ents". Delete the forth column I on Alt B"	uested Class . tion Events on	Alt B". Replace the Alt A" with "Number of	statem "A PSE Table 3 For exa	ent relate 5 shall me 33-8." ample, on	d to thi et one page s	s table located on page 94 is of the allowable classification 95 line 34 duplicates the may plement Data Link Layer clas	also duplicate n configuration allowance for	ed. ns permutations listed in
	table, "Table 33-7a provides da						piemeni Dala Link Layer clas	Silication.	
the same signature or same number of class	each PSE Alternative. PSEs of ification events "	classify each A	Iternative using the	Suggested		anlaco	the duplicate requirement or	nage 05 line	34 with
Proposed Response	Response Status O			A solution is to replace the duplicate requirement on page 95 line 34 with, "PSEs meet one of the allowable classification configurations permutations listed in Table 33-8." which makes the Table informative.					
C/ 33 SC 33.2.6	P 94	L 1	# 99		nd solutio Table 33-		,		
Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply						acy requirement that also aff		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X						of the allowable classification	n configuratior	ns listed in Table 33-8."
	details that make the informatio ide solution also reduces duplic			Proposed F	Response		Response Status O		
This comment is relat	ed to others referenced by COM	IMENT-3.							
SuggestedRemedy									
	I text (after the note created by T Classification power value on ass power level."								
least the Assigned Cla									

CI33 S	SC 33.2.4.9	P 72	L 19	# 101	CI 33	SC	33.2.7.6	P 112	L 41	# 103
Schindler, Frec	b	Seen Simply			Schindler,	Fred		Seen Simply	/	
Comment Type	e TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
behavior co	ould allow Ty t information	formation that may permit unir pe 4 PSEs to limit power outp in this table already appears e	ut to less than o	class 7 power levels.	duplica improv	ation th /e this s	at exists fo section but	nis section in a reasonable r no apparent reason. Con continued review shows e l4c have the same titles, w	nments already pr ven more issues.	ovided attempt to
Delete Tab	-				Figure	33-14t	prevents	operational modes that are	important to arch	nitectures providina
	Delete the sentence, on line 16, "PSEs shall meet at least one of the allowable variable definition permutations described in							Figures also permit more		
Table 33-3		st one of the allowable variable	e demnition pen	mutations described in	Suggested	Remed	ły			
Replace th	ne following te	ext on line-36,	st ha undated (o tako dual cianaturo				3-14c title by replacing "Ty s 18 to 22.	pe 3" with "Type 4	4". This is supported
"Editor's Note (remove prior to D2.0): Table 33-3a must be updated to take dual-signature into account. Reason: when connected to a DS PD, PSEs need to produce 3 events in order to verify Type." with,					"Task may pi	revent o	nembers a	re encouraged to review th modes PSEs with pairset	control require. F	
		prior to D2.0): Provide text the		connected to a DS PD,				nded for compliant PD devi		0
PSEs need	d to produce	3 events in order to verify Type	÷."		Proposed I	Respor	ise	Response Status 0		
Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status O								
. ,		,			C/ 33	SC	33.2.4.10	P 72	L 48	# 104
0.00	SC 33.3.7.4	P 140	L 2	# 400	Stover, Da	vid		LTC		
Cl 33 S Schindler, Frec		Seen Simply	LZ	# 102	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status X		
Comment Type		Comment Status X			tcc2de	et_timer	definition	refers to Table 33-3a, whic	h holds no inform	ation about tcc2det.
			Peak max." r	provides a requirement	Suggested	Remed	ły			
Legacy text "Peak operating power shall not exceed PPeak max." provides a requirement that affects all Types. The value Ppeak is not defined or used in the specification. This				specification. This	Replac	ce refer	ence to Ta	ble 33-3a with Table 33-3b) .	
	heatvno I	suspect the intended requirem	ient is covered	by requirements	Proposed I	Respor	ise	Response Status O		
appears to related to F	Ppeak_PD.									
appears to related to F SuggestedRen	Ppeak_PD. nedy	- line on p440								
appears to related to F SuggestedRen I recomme	Ppeak_PD. <i>nedy</i> end striking th	e line on p140 , shall not exceed PPeak max."	because it has	no meaning.	C/ 33		33.2.4.10	P73	L 13	# 105
appears to related to F SuggestedRen I recomme	Ppeak_PD. nedy end striking th rating power	e line on p140 , shall not exceed PPeak max." <i>Response Status</i> 0	because it has	no meaning.	Stover, Da	vid		LTC	L 13	# 105
appears to related to F SuggestedRen I recomme "Peak oper	Ppeak_PD. nedy end striking th rating power	shall not exceed PPeak max."	because it has	no meaning.	Stover, Da	vid Type	E			
appears to related to F S <i>uggestedRen</i> I recomme "Peak oper	Ppeak_PD. nedy end striking th rating power	shall not exceed PPeak max."	because it has	no meaning.	Stover, Da	vid <i>Type</i> et_time	E er definition	LTC Comment Status X		
appears to related to F SuggestedRen I recomme "Peak oper	Ppeak_PD. nedy end striking th rating power	shall not exceed PPeak max."	because it has	no meaning.	Stover, Da Comment tdet2de Suggested	vid <i>Type</i> et_time IRemec	E er definition	LTC Comment Status X	ch holds no inforr	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 105

Page 29 of 47 1/11/2016 10:44:49 AM

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.11	P 77	L 1	# 106	C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.12	E F	°78	L 7	# 109
Stover, David	LTC			Stover, Dav	id	LTC	0		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Statu	ıs X		
a Type 1, or Type 2, Ty	of set_parameter_type: "ai /pe 3, or Type 4 PSE."	re set to values c	orresponding to either	safe sta		leaves PISM_STAI			et state machines to is exits (e.g.,
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	- 0	50).			
	Type 2, Type 3, or Type 4 P	SE."			-	<= false" to port st	ates "TES	ST_MODE" and "	'DISABI ED"
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed R	o ,	Response Statu			
				T Toposed T	csponse	Response Statu	30		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.11	P 77	L 4	# 107	<u></u>					
Stover, David	LTC			C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.12		2 80	L 5	# 110
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Stover, Dav		LTC			
Stale "and" in definition	of parameter_type: "to pi	-1. b 6	1	Comment T	vpe T	Comment Statu	ıs X		
and Type 4 PI electrica		ck between Type	1, and Type 2, Type 3,	Transiti		n CLASS_EVAL a	nd POWE	R_UP may be re	educed with no effe
and Type 4 PI electrica		ск ретмеен Туре	and Type 2, Type 3,	Transiti on beha	avior.	en CLASS_EVAL a	nd POWE	R_UP may be re	educed with no effe
and Type 4 PI electrica SuggestedRemedy				Transiti	avior.	m CLASS_EVAL a	nd POWE	R_UP may be re	educed with no effe
and Type 4 PI electrica SuggestedRemedy Replace with "Type 1, ⁻ Proposed Response	Il requirement" Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 <i>Response Status</i> 0	PI electrical requ	irement"	Transiti on beha SuggestedF Replace ((pd_re- ted_tim with	avior. Remedy e q_pwr < pse_av er_done	vail_pwr) + ((pd_rec	pwr > p	se_avail_pwr) * (pse_avail_pwr > 2))
and Type 4 PI electrica SuggestedRemedy Replace with "Type 1, " Proposed Response	Il requirement" Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 77			Transiti on beha Suggestedf Replace ((pd_re ted_tim with ((pd_re	avior. Remedy a_pwr < pse_av er_done a_pwr < pse_av	vail_pwr) + ((pd_reo vail_pwr) + (pse_av	q_pwr > pa rail_pwr >	se_avail_pwr) * (pse_avail_pwr > 2))
and Type 4 PI electrica SuggestedRemedy Replace with "Type 1, " Proposed Response	Il requirement" Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 <i>Response Status</i> 0	PI electrical requ	irement"	Transiti on beha SuggestedF Replace ((pd_re- ted_tim with	avior. Remedy a_pwr < pse_av er_done a_pwr < pse_av	vail_pwr) + ((pd_rec	q_pwr > pa rail_pwr >	se_avail_pwr) * (pse_avail_pwr > 2))
and Type 4 PI electrica SuggestedRemedy Replace with "Type 1, ⁻ Proposed Response	Il requirement" Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 77	PI electrical requ	irement"	Transiti on beha Suggestedf Replace ((pd_re ted_tim with ((pd_re	avior. Remedy a_pwr < pse_av er_done a_pwr < pse_av	vail_pwr) + ((pd_reo vail_pwr) + (pse_av	q_pwr > pa rail_pwr >	se_avail_pwr) * (pse_avail_pwr > 2))
and Type 4 PI electrica uggestedRemedy Replace with "Type 1, " troposed Response 3 33 SC 33.2.4.11 tover, David comment Type T Agree with editor's note here." A Type 2 PSE w	Il requirement" Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 77 LTC <i>Comment Status</i> X e "This paragraph is a Type ill only power a Type 3, 4 PI	PI electrical requ L 12 2 requirement an D if that PD is cap	# 108	Transiti on beha Suggested/ Replace ((pd_re ted_tim with ((pd_re Proposed R	avior. Remedy a_pwr < pse_av er_done a_pwr < pse_av esponse SC 33.2.4.12	vail_pwr) + ((pd_red vail_pwr) + (pse_av Response Statu	q_pwr > p rail_pwr > rs O 281	se_avail_pwr) * (pse_avail_pwr > 2))
and Type 4 PI electrica uggestedRemedy Replace with "Type 1, " proposed Response 3 3 SC 33.2.4.11 tover, David comment Type T Agree with editor's note here." A Type 2 PSE w Type 2. No additional g	I requirement" Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 77 LTC <i>Comment Status</i> X e "This paragraph is a Type	PI electrical requ L 12 2 requirement an D if that PD is cap	# 108	Transiti on beha Suggestedf Replace ((pd_re- ted_tim with ((pd_re- Proposed R C/ 33 Stover, Dav	avior. Remedy a_pwr < pse_av er_done a_pwr < pse_av esponse SC 33.2.4.12 id	vail_pwr) + ((pd_red vail_pwr) + (pse_av Response Statu	q_pwr > p: rail_pwr > rs O 2 81	se_avail_pwr) * (2)) * ted_timer_c	pse_avail_pwr > 2)) done
and Type 4 PI electrica SuggestedRemedy Replace with "Type 1, " Proposed Response 27 33 SC 33.2.4.11 Stover, David Comment Type T Agree with editor's note here." A Type 2 PSE w Type 2. No additional g SuggestedRemedy	Il requirement" Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 77 LTC <i>Comment Status</i> X e "This paragraph is a Type ill only power a Type 3, 4 PI juidance on Type 2 PSE bef	PI electrical requ <i>L</i> 12 2 requirement an D if that PD is cap havior is appropria	# 108 d does not belong bable of operating as ate.	Transiti on beha SuggestedH Replace ((pd_re- ted_tim with ((pd_re- Proposed R CI 33 Stover, Dav Comment T	avior. Remedy a_pwr < pse_av er_done a_pwr < pse_av cesponse SC 33.2.4.12 id ype T	vail_pwr) + ((pd_red vail_pwr) + (pse_av Response Statu : F LT(Comment Statu	q_pwr > p: rail_pwr > s O 281 C 4s X	se_avail_pwr) * (2)) * ted_timer_c <i>L</i> 5	pse_avail_pwr > 2) done # [<u>111</u>
and Type 4 PI electrica SuggestedRemedy Replace with "Type 1, " Proposed Response C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.11 Stover, David Comment Type T Agree with editor's note here." A Type 2 PSE w Type 2. No additional g SuggestedRemedy Strike paragraph begin	Il requirement" Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 77 LTC <i>Comment Status</i> X e "This paragraph is a Type ill only power a Type 3, 4 PI	PI electrical requ <i>L</i> 12 2 requirement an D if that PD is cap havior is appropria	# 108 d does not belong bable of operating as ate.	Transiti on beha SuggestedH Replace ((pd_re- ted_tim with ((pd_re- Proposed R CI 33 Stover, Dav Comment T The pos	avior. Remedy a_pwr < pse_aver_done a_pwr < pse_aver_done cesponse SC 33.2.4.12 id ype T ssibility exists for on and power rest	vail_pwr) + ((pd_red vail_pwr) + (pse_av Response Statu	q_pwr > ps rail_pwr > s O 281 C us X es to loop	se_avail_pwr) * (2)) * ted_timer_c <i>L</i> 5 in perpetuity thro	pse_avail_pwr > 2) done # [<u>111</u> bugh detection,
and Type 4 PI electrica SuggestedRemedy Replace with "Type 1, " Proposed Response C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.11 Stover, David Comment Type T Agree with editor's note here." A Type 2 PSE w Type 2. No additional g SuggestedRemedy Strike paragraph begin	Il requirement" Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 77 LTC <i>Comment Status</i> X e "This paragraph is a Type ill only power a Type 3, 4 PI juidance on Type 2 PSE bef ning with "When a Type 2 P	PI electrical requ <i>L</i> 12 2 requirement an D if that PD is cap havior is appropria	# 108 d does not belong bable of operating as ate.	Transiti on beha SuggestedH Replace ((pd_re- ted_tim with ((pd_re- Proposed R CI 33 Stover, Dav Comment T The pos power_	avior. Remedy a_pwr < pse_aver_done a_pwr < pse_aver_done g_pwr < pse_aver_done SC 33.2.4.12 id gype T ssibility exists for on and power ref.	vail_pwr) + ((pd_red vail_pwr) + (pse_av Response Statu : F LTC Comment Statu or alt state machine	q_pwr > ps rail_pwr > s O 281 C us X es to loop	se_avail_pwr) * (2)) * ted_timer_c <i>L</i> 5 in perpetuity thro	pse_avail_pwr > 2) done # [<u>111</u> bugh detection,
and Type 4 PI electrica SuggestedRemedy Replace with "Type 1, " Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.11 Stover, David Comment Type T Agree with editor's note here." A Type 2 PSE w Type 2. No additional g SuggestedRemedy	Il requirement" Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 77 LTC <i>Comment Status</i> X e "This paragraph is a Type ill only power a Type 3, 4 PI juidance on Type 2 PSE bef ning with "When a Type 2 P	PI electrical requ <i>L</i> 12 2 requirement an D if that PD is cap havior is appropria	# 108 d does not belong bable of operating as ate.	Transiti on beha Suggested/ Replace ((pd_re ted_tim with ((pd_re Proposed R C/ 33 Stover, Dav Comment T The pos power_ updated Suggested	avior. Remedy a_pwr < pse_aver_done a_pwr < pse_aver_done g_pwr < pse_aver_done SC 33.2.4.12 id gype T ssibility exists for on and power ref.	vail_pwr) + ((pd_red vail_pwr) + (pse_av Response Statu E F LTC Comment Statu or alt state machine emoval in a stagge	q_pwr > ps rail_pwr > s O 281 C us X es to loop	se_avail_pwr) * (2)) * ted_timer_c <i>L</i> 5 in perpetuity thro	pse_avail_pwr > 2) done # [<u>111</u> bugh detection,

Comment ID 111

	C 33.2.5.0a	<i>Р</i> 87 LTC	L 24	# 112	Cl 33	SC 33.2.6.2	<i>P</i> 98 LTC	L 18	# 115
Stover, David	_	-			Stover, Dav		-		
Comment Type		ment Status X			Comment T	<i>,</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Comment Status X		· · · · ·
•		(eg Tcc2det,max = 0	$.400; 1 \text{cc}, \min = 0$).2)			overview of Multiple-Event pration was removed in D1.5,		
SuggestedReme	-				Suggested				
•		cc2det,max and Tde	t2det,max		••	•	overview of Multiple-Event	physical laver cla	ssification."
Proposed Respo	onse Respo	onse Status O			Proposed F		Response Status O	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
CI 33 SC	33.2.6.2	P 96	L 35	# 113					
Stover, David		LTC			CI 33	SC 33.2.7	P 103	L 10	# 116
Comment Type	T Comr	ment Status X			Stover, Dav		LTC		
				. Type 3 PSEs shall provide a maximum	Comment 7 Link to		Comment Status X broken in Table 33-11.		
Maximum all	nd 5 mark events." llowable class/mark PD, which is not spe	event for Type 3/4 F	'SEs is dependar	nt upon signature of	S <i>uggestedl</i> Repair	Remedy ink to Figure 33	3-14.		
SuggestedReme	edy				Proposed F	esponse	Response Status 0		
				s. Type 3 PSEs shall					
of 3 class an maximum of	nd 3 mark events fo	r dual-signature PDs c events for single-signed	. Type 4 PSEs sh	PDs and a maximum nall provide a a maximum of 4 class	C/ 33 Stover, Dav	SC 33.2.7.7	<i>P</i> 111 LTC	L 31	# 117
Proposed Respo	•	onse Status O			Comment T	ype E	Comment Status X		
Toposed Nespe	nse nespe				The top 14.	of new Figure	33-14 (I_port-2p and "8.2ms	") has been cropp	bed from new Figure 33-
CI 33 SC Stover, David	33.2.6.2	<i>P</i> 98 LTC	L 13	# 114	S <i>uggestedl</i> Repair		include top portion.		
	E Comr	ment Status X			Proposed F	esponse	Response Status 0		
Comment Type									
Comment Type		k_EV_LAST if the cl	ass" MARK_E\	/_LAST is not proper					
Comment Type "and trans	sition directly to Mar	k_EV_LAST if the cl	ass" MARK_EV	/_LAST is not proper					
Comment Type "and trans case. SuggestedReme	sition directly to Mar	k_EV_LAST if the cl	_	/_LAST is not proper					

CI 33 SC 33.2.7.7	P 111	L 31	# 118	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.	12 P 116	L 31	# 121
Stover, David	LTC			Stover, David	LTC		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
10µs and 8.2ms are re benefit from living on t	elated values, pertaining only the same axis.	to upperbound te	mplate, and so could	I_Port-2P-other defin variables.	ition points to T1/T2 SD variab	les section. Shou	uld point to T3/T4 SD
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
•	axis as "8.2ms" in all Figure 3	33-14 variants.		Replace description (33.2.4.9)"	of I_Port-2P-other with "is the c	output current on	the other pairset (see
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7	P 113	L 23	# 119		D.101		"
Stover, David	LTC			Cl 33 SC 33.3.2	P 121	L 46	# 122
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Stover, David	LTC		
	3-14c have identical caption to	ext. As per 33.2.7	7.7 paragraph 1, 33-	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
14c should reference	Type 4 PSEs.				dvertise a class signature of 4,		
SuggestedRemedy					or 8." 5, 6, 7, and 8 are Class re ay be found on page 122, line 2		signatures. A proper
In Figure 33-14c capti	ion, replace "Type 3" with "Ty	pe 4"		SuggestedRemedy	, , ,		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0				dvertise Class 4, 5, or 6, while	Type 4 PDs adve	ertise Class 7 or 8."
				Proposed Response	Response Status O	.)po o aaro	
	D.4.4		"	T Toposed Nesponse			
Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.7		L 7	# 120				
Stover, David	LTC			CI 33 SC 33.3.2	P 121	L 51	# 123
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Stover, David	LTC		
I_TBDNAME was not	updated to I_LPS. This is the	only occurrence	of I_TBDNAME.	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy				51	re PDs advertise a class signa	ture of 1, 2, 3, or	4 on each pairset,
Replace I_TBDNAME	with I_LPS.			while Type 4 dual-sig	nature PDs advertise a class s	ignature of 5 on	at least one pairset."
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Paragraph refers to c	class signature rather than Clas	ss result, which is	s clearly the intent.
· ·	,			SuggestedRemedy			
					re PDs advertise Class 1, 2, 3, ise Class 5 on at least one pai		rset, while Type 4 du
				0			

C/ 33B SC 33B	P 201	L 1	# 124	Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 P 93 L 36 # 144
Stover, David	LTC			Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type T Comment Status X
	33B tables and figures has be to "Table 33B-1" on line 12 is		pears incorrect. For	Table 33-7a, covering Dual Signature mutual ID alternatives, may have a couple of issues 1) Unlike Table 33-7 above, it does not cover any power demotion cases so it is
SuggestedRemedy				inconsistent in that way. 2) It makes no allowance for a PSE that might power dual signature PD's independently to
Please reapply necess correctly in Annex 33B	sary numbering override to for	mat figure and t	able references	avoid multi-event classification when unable to furnish Type-2 power, for example.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy
				Unless there is a more sweeping alternative to this table to be presented, there should be comment added to present the above issues.
C/ 33 SC 33.2.9.1.	2 P 119	L 22	# 125	Proposed Response Response Status O
Stewart, Heath	LTC			
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P98 L 17 # 145
DC MPS requirements	are unclear.			Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type T Comment Status X
See stewart_1_0116.p	odf			"A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE connected to a dual-signature PD shall skip all subsequent class
Proposed Response	Response Status O			events and transition directly to MARK_EV_LAST if the class signature detected during CLASS_EV3 is 0, 1, 2 or 4."
				1) Has the state machine 'caught up' to this ?
C/ 1 SC 1.4	P 20	L 35	# 126	 What if CLASS_EV3 is 3 because of a dual-signature (dual) Class 3 PD (i.e. signature i 3-3-3) ?
Stewart, Heath	LTC			,
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Suggested Remedy
Number of specified P	D configurations may be redu	iced.		If this is not in the state machine and is not commented into the state machine during this cycle, an editor's note should be added to address these cases.
SuggestedRemedy				
See stewart_2_0116.p	odf			Also, is the case of mutual ID for dual signature with Class 3 clear? What prohibits this PSE from getting 4 events? Or does it 4 events by design?
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 33 SC 33.2.7 P 102 L 7 # 146 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies	CI 33 SC 33.2.7.1 P 105 L 15 # 148 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies Image: Sifes Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status X Table 33-11, items 5, 5a, and 5c are all labeled "Output current in POWER_UP state". We could better distinguish from 5b and 5d, and also remove "Additional Information" that says "Total current for both pairsets." SuggestedRemedy Label items 5, 5a, and 5c: Total output current in POWER_UP state. Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type T Comment Status X The final phrase: "A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE that has assigned Class 1-4 to a single-signature PD and is in the POWER_ON state may transition between 2-pair and 4-pair power at any time, including after the expiration of Tpon." This has no coverage in the state diagram for Type 3/4, at least that I can determine. Also, does this suggest that the PSE can revert from 4-pair powering to 2-pair powering ? SuggestedRemedy Assuming this phrase exists to address 2-pair inrush limiting by some PSE's, we need to
CI 33 SC 33.2.7 P 103 L 51 # 147 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies # 147 Comment Type E Comment Status X Sub-heading in Table 33-1, item 17 says: "DC MPS current to be met on both pairsets". This could be a tad clearer.	 get coverage in state diagram. (editorial note ?) Secondly, it might be better phrased. "A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE that has assigned Class 1-4 to a single-signature PD and powered just one pairset of that PD, may apply power to the other pairset of that PD while in the POWER_ON state." Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy Change to: "DC MPS current to be met on each pairset." Proposed Response Response Status O	CI 33 SC 33.1.4 P 46 L 6 # 149 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies Image: Comment Type E Comment Status X Heading for 3.1.4 is Type 1 and Type 2 System parameters. Needs updating. SuggestedRemedy Change to:
	System Parameters for Type 1, 2, 3, and 4 Systems Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 33 SC 33.1.4 P 46 L 36 # 150 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies	CI 33 SC 33.2.0a P 48 L 23 # 152 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies 152
Comment Type E Comment Status X Footnote 2: In Type 3 and Type 4 oeratoins, the current per pairset will be impacted by pair-to-pair system unbalance Pair-to-Pair unbalance not applicable if Dual Signature. Change "will" to "may". SuggestedRemedy In Type 3 and Type 4 oeratoins, the current per pairset may be impacted by pair-to-pair system unbalance Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type T Comment Status X Improve readibility of Table 33-1a and delete a footnote. SuggestedRemedy Split 'Type-2' row under 'Physical Layer Classification' and 'Data Link Layer Classification' into 2 rows with following content: Single Event Mandatory
C/ 33 SC 33.1.4 P 46 L 44 # 151 ohnson, Peter Sifos Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status X	Remove footnote 2. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.8 P 66 L 41 # 153
The sentence: All four twisted pairs, connected from PSE PI to PD PI are required to source greater than Class 4 power at the PSE PI This is awkward and technically incorrect because wire pairs don't source power at all. SuggestedRemedy Revise paragraph to:	Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status X The constant CC_DET_SEQ describes four possible values with different descriptions of behavior. However, inspecting the state diagram, I don't see any differences in state behavior between CC_DET_SEQ= 0 and CC_DET_SEQ= 3. They are grouped together as (CC_DET_SEQ= 0 or CC_DET_SEQ= 3) throughout the state diagram. Issue may be here or may be in state diagram.
Icable is the maximum continuous current on either one or both pairsets in the multi- twisted pair cable. Each pairset consists of one pair capable of carrying (+Icable) and the other pair capable of carrying (-Icable).Proposed ResponseResponse StatusO	SuggestedRemedyRevise description in 33.2.4.8 or state diagram (Figure 33-10a), or at least make editor note about this.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusO

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.8 Johnson, Peter	P 66 Sifos Techno	L 40 blogies	# 154	C/ 33 SC 33.2.4. Johnson, Peter	10	P 73 Sifos Techno	L 15 blogies	# 156
Comment Type T Description of CC_DET_S and both pairsets for Description of CC_DET_S and parallel detection From the state diagram, i signature PD. Suggest th	a dual-signature PD. SEQ value "0" says: for a dual-signature PD. t appears that they are bc	oth doing parallel	detection for a dual	Comment Type E Error in table referen SuggestedRemedy Change to: See Proposed Response		le 33-3a.		
SuggestedRemedy Change description of CC and parallel detection	C_DET_SEQ value "1" to:			Cl 33 SC 33.2.4. Johnson, Peter Comment Type T Figure 33-10a (conti The function DETEC What if the signature	Comment nued) CT_EVAL has log	gic that sets "st	tart tpon_timer" if	# 157
Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.9 Johnson, Peter Comment Type T The variable det_temp is A temporary variable that one alternative		-	# 155	SuggestedRemedy Logic in DETECT_E staring the tpon_time Proposed Response			lude signature va	alidity as a condition of
This whole description is SuggestedRemedy Change to: A temporary variable that first pairset but not on a s Values: 0: The PSE has either no detection of the second p 1: The PSE has complet	indicates whether a 4-pa second pairset. ot completed detection of pairset.	ir PSE has comp a first pairset or	has completed					
	Response Status O		panoon					

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.12 P80 L1 # 158	C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.12 P 81 L 32 # 159
lohnson, Peter Sifos Technologies	Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status X Figure 33-10a (continued) There are two general problems that eventually need solutions in this diagram:	Comment Type E Comment Status X Figure 33-10b:
1) It appears there is a redundancy is setting alt_pri_pwrd <- TRUE and alt_sec_pwrd <-	This figure is titled Type 3 ad Type 4 Alternative B dual-signature
TRUE in both POWER_UP and POWER_ON. Seems like this should only happen in POWER_UP or under some other condition in POWER_ON.	Also, figure 33-10b is continued on 3 pages with different titles but same figure number.
2) The notion that 4-pair powering turns on both pairsets together if powering 4-pairs is	SuggestedRemedy
inconsistent with text elsewhere including 33.2.7.1 where it says: "A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE that has assigned Class 1-4 to a single-signature PD and is in	At a minimum, it needs to be changed to "Alternative A".
the POWER_ON state may transition between 2-pair and 4-pair power at any time, including after the expiration of Tpon."	More generally, should Figure 33-10b (or whatever figure numbers these become) be title "Primary Pairset" and "Seconday Pairset" rather than Alternative A and Alternative B ?
SuggestedRemedy	Seems like this would be more consistent with the content and would not force Primary to be Alterntative A.
I'm not sure, but I think the POWER_ON (and POWER_UP ?) logic needs to evolve to consider cases where power is not turned on simultaneously to both pairsets. Those cases include:	Proposed Response Response Status O
1) Cases such as described in 33.2.7.1	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.6 P 91 L 26 # 160
 Dual signature powering where some PSE's will power one pairset prior to detection / classification of the other pairset. 	Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies
	Comment Type E Comment Status X
This could be editor comment for now.	Typo:described in 33.2.5.0aa
Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy
	Remove extra 'a'
	Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.12	P 78	L 24	# 161	C/ 33	SC 33.2.6	P 91	L 48	# 164
rseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, L		Philips		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment T	ype E	Comment Status X		
Condition: do_c Not the usual w Tcc has a minir	c from START_CXN_CHX exn_chk_done * (tcc_timer > t ray to check a timer. num only, it is not a range. as TRUE whenever the minim	·		when th class e signatu This tex	e PSE asserts vent with a curr res."	Layer classification occurs a voltage onto one or both rent representing one of a line and the term class event section	pairsets and the F mited number of cl	D responds to each lassification
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Change to: do_cxn_ch	<_done * tcc_timer_done					fication occurs before a PS		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			pairsets	s. This is called	e range of Vclass as define a class event. The PD res limited number of classifica	ponds to each clas	
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.12 (seboodt, Lennart	P 81 Philips	L 32	# 162	Proposed F	lesponse	Response Status 0		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			C/ 33	SC 33.2.6.2	P 98	L 20	# 165
	Type 3 and Type 4 Alternativ	e B dual-signatu	re pseudo-	Yseboodt, L	ennart	Philips		
independent PSE state	diagram"			Comment T	уре Е	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Type 3 and PSE state diagram"	d Type 4 Primary Alternative	dual-signature <	semi>-independent	Also, it	used to be that	is "PD classification" while t Iclass indicated the PD Cl ification scheme, this is no	ass.	
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Suggested		,	5	
	-					ature electrical requiremen	ts	
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.12 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 83 Philips	L 33	# 163	Proposed F	Response	Response Status 0		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			C/ 33	SC 33.2.6.3	P 100	L 20	# 166
Figure 33-10c is titled " independent PSE state	Type 3 and Type 4 Alternativ diagram"	e B dual-signatu	re pseudo-	Yseboodt, L	ennart	Philips		
SuggestedRemedy	U U			Comment T	51	Comment Status X		
	d Type 4 Secondary Alternati	ve dual-signatur	e <semi>-independent</semi>	Table 3 Suggested		t describe any electrical pa	rameters but only t	iming parameters.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Change	header to: Au	toclass timing requirements	S	

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 111 Philips	L 27	# 167	C/ 33 SC 33 P 43 L 1 # 170 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Comment Status X
single signature <= mi SuggestedRemedy Change to single-sign Proposed Response				In order to prepare the document for WG ballot, we should consider what our final amendment will look like. At the moment we are using Change/Add/Delete editing instructions at the paragraph and section level. This has become quite convoluted.
Cl 25 SC 25.4.5	P 24	L 1	# [168	The 802.3at endearment to 802.3-2008 replaced the complete Clause. Since we are changing at least as much as the .at TF did, this seems like a go idea to repeat.
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type E	Comment Status X ASE-TX receiver in a Type 2 o	or greater Endpoi	nt PSE or Type 2 or	Add "Replace Clause 33 with the following:" before the Clause 33 title. Remove redundant editing instructions.
	se 33) shall meet the requirem			Proposed Response Response Status O
	es are included with the phrase		ater" which conceivably	
in Clause 25 new type could be misinterprete	es are included with the phrase		ater" which conceivably	C/ 33 SC 33 P 43 L 1 # 171
in Clause 25 new type could be misinterprete SuggestedRemedy "A 100BASE-TX recei	es are included with the phrase ed. iver in a Type 2, Type 3 and T	e "Type 2 or grea Type 4 Endpoint F	PSE or Type 2, Type 3	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
in Clause 25 new type could be misinterprete SuggestedRemedy "A 100BASE-TX recei and Type 4 PD (see C	es are included with the phrase ed. Ever in a Type 2, Type 3 and T Clause 33) shall meet the requ	e "Type 2 or grea Type 4 Endpoint F	PSE or Type 2, Type 3	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X
in Clause 25 new type could be misinterprete SuggestedRemedy "A 100BASE-TX recei and Type 4 PD (see C	es are included with the phrase ed. iver in a Type 2, Type 3 and T	e "Type 2 or grea Type 4 Endpoint F	PSE or Type 2, Type 3	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X The change bars in the draft are intended to show us where changes have been made The current change bars are the accumulative result of 9 draft revisions.
in Clause 25 new type could be misinterprete SuggestedRemedy "A 100BASE-TX recei and Type 4 PD (see C Proposed Response Cl 30 SC 30	es are included with the phrase ed. Iver in a Type 2, Type 3 and T Clause 33) shall meet the requ <i>Response Status</i> O	e "Type 2 or grea Type 4 Endpoint F	PSE or Type 2, Type 3	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X The change bars in the draft are intended to show us where changes have been made. The current change bars are the accumulative result of 9 draft revisions. As a result on many pages the change bar is a continuous black line (there is nearly no part of the text untouched).
in Clause 25 new type could be misinterprete SuggestedRemedy "A 100BASE-TX recei and Type 4 PD (see C Proposed Response C/ 30 SC 30 Yseboodt, Lennart	es are included with the phrase ed. Ever in a Type 2, Type 3 and T Clause 33) shall meet the requ <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 28 Philips	e "Type 2 or grea Type 4 Endpoint F Jurements of 25.4	PSE or Type 2, Type 3 .7."	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X The change bars in the draft are intended to show us where changes have been made The current change bars are the accumulative result of 9 draft revisions. As a result on many pages the change bar is a continuous black line (there is nearly no part of the text untouched). A possibility, which I believe will aid us in subsequent reviews, would be to result of the text untouched in the subsequent reviews.
in Clause 25 new type could be misinterprete SuggestedRemedy "A 100BASE-TX recei and Type 4 PD (see C Proposed Response C/ 30 SC 30 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E The test that goes afte	es are included with the phrase ed. Ever in a Type 2, Type 3 and T Clause 33) shall meet the requ <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 28 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X er BEHAVIOUR of an ATTRIE	e "Type 2 or grea Type 4 Endpoint F Jurements of 25.4	PSE or Type 2, Type 3 .7." # 169	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X The change bars in the draft are intended to show us where changes have been made. The current change bars are the accumulative result of 9 draft revisions. As a result on many pages the change bar is a continuous black line (there is nearly no part of the text untouched).
in Clause 25 new type could be misinterprete SuggestedRemedy "A 100BASE-TX recei and Type 4 PD (see C Proposed Response C/ 30 SC 30 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E The test that goes afte semicolon. This is not	es are included with the phrase ed. Ever in a Type 2, Type 3 and T Clause 33) shall meet the requ <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 28 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X er BEHAVIOUR of an ATTRIE	e "Type 2 or grea Type 4 Endpoint F Jurements of 25.4	PSE or Type 2, Type 3 .7." # 169	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X The change bars in the draft are intended to show us where changes have been made. The current change bars are the accumulative result of 9 draft revisions. As a result on many pages the change bar is a continuous black line (there is nearly no part of the text untouched). A possibility, which I believe will aid us in subsequent reviews, would be to result of change bars for every draft. It would then be clearly visible which text has been
in Clause 25 new type could be misinterprete SuggestedRemedy "A 100BASE-TX recei and Type 4 PD (see C Proposed Response C/ 30 SC 30 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E The test that goes afte semicolon. This is not SuggestedRemedy Bulk-fix.	es are included with the phrase ed. (Ver in a Type 2, Type 3 and T Clause 33) shall meet the requ <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 28 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X er BEHAVIOUR of an ATTRIE always done.	e "Type 2 or grea Type 4 Endpoint F Jurements of 25.4	PSE or Type 2, Type 3 .7." # 169	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X The change bars in the draft are intended to show us where changes have been made. The current change bars are the accumulative result of 9 draft revisions. As a result on many pages the change bar is a continuous black line (there is nearly no part of the text untouched). A possibility, which I believe will aid us in subsequent reviews, would be to rest the change bars for every draft. It would then be clearly visible which text has been touched as a result of the current draft cycle. Question to the TF: which would you prefer? - Maintain change bars as is
in Clause 25 new type could be misinterprete SuggestedRemedy "A 100BASE-TX recei and Type 4 PD (see C Proposed Response Cl 30 SC 30 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E The test that goes afte semicolon. This is not SuggestedRemedy	es are included with the phrase ed. Ever in a Type 2, Type 3 and T Clause 33) shall meet the requ <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 28 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X er BEHAVIOUR of an ATTRIE	e "Type 2 or grea Type 4 Endpoint F Jurements of 25.4	PSE or Type 2, Type 3 .7." # 169	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X The change bars in the draft are intended to show us where changes have been made. The current change bars are the accumulative result of 9 draft revisions. As a result on many pages the change bar is a continuous black line (there is nearly no part of the text untouched). A possibility, which I believe will aid us in subsequent reviews, would be to rest the change bars for every draft. It would then be clearly visible which text has been touched as a result of the current draft cycle. Question to the TF: which would you prefer? • Maintain change bars as is • Reset change bars for every draft

C/ 33 SC 33.1.4	P 46	L 17	# 172	CI 33 SC 33.3.	7.5 P 142	L 6	# 175
rseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Table 33-1 has becor Class seems out of p	ne a bit clunky due to the Type lace.	e 4 power range	discussion. Using		have "PClass PSE". hould be swapped.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change Table caption	n to: "System parameters"			Change to "PSE P	Class"		
Change column 1 hea Change column 1 ent	ader to: "PSE Type" tries into: "Type 1, Type 2, Typ	e 3. Type 4"		Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
	P 48	L 17	# 173	CI 33 SC 33A.3 Yseboodt, Lennart	B P 197 Philips	L 13	# 176
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips	217	# 175	Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X				esistance Unbalance"		
21	features column essentially is	an Autoclass ye	s/no selection.	Section name has Not in line with Styl	every word capitalized. le Guide.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change header to "A	utoclass" and in column use ""	Yes/No".		change to: "33A.3	Intra-pair resistance unbalance"		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 33 SC 33.3.1	P 120	L 40	# 174	C/ 33 SC 33A.4		L 30	# 177
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
original text: "PDs that allowed by this stand	at are not implemented to be ir ard."	sensitive to pola	rity, are specifically not	Unbalance in 4-Pai	ded Channel Requirement For pair ir Operation" every word capitalized.	air-to-pair Resist	ance
Remove triple negation	on for clarity			Also, something is	either a REQUIREMENT or REC	COMMENDED, b	out not both.
SuggestedRemedy				Not in line with Styl	le Guide.		
suggeoteanterneay		ot allowed by this	s standard."	SuggestedRemedy			
	ve to polarity, are specifically n			Change to: "Dein to	nair channal registance with the	an requirement	for 1 poir opprotion
	ve to polarity, are specifically n Response Status O			Change to: "Pair-to Proposed Response	p-pair channel resistance unbalar Response Status O	ice requirement	for 4-pair operation"

X 33 SC 33A.5 seboodt, Lennart	6 P 198 Philips	<i>L</i> 1	# 178	<i>CI</i> 33 Yseboodt, I	SC 33B Lennart	P 201 Philips	L 24	# 181
<i>Comment Type</i> E Figure 33A-4 is title	Comment Status X ed "PSE PI unbalance specificat	ion and E2EP2P	'Runb"	Comment 7 In Figu	51	Comment Status X ws "PD+Channel", this can be	misread as the	+ channel.
uggestedRemedy Change to "PD Res	sistance unbalance elements ov	erview"		Suggestedl Change	R <i>emedy</i> e to: "PD and C	Channel".		
roposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
/ 33 SC 33A.5 seboodt, Lennart	i P 198 Philips	L 21	# 179	C/ 33 Yseboodt, I	SC 33B.2 Lennart	P 203 Philips	L 6	# 182
	Comment Status X nd R Pair_ PD_min represent P			Comment 7 Voltage		Comment Status X n Fig 33B-3 are not referenced	to anything.	
	of the same polarity. The effect nce" and "impedance" is mixed		i is the measured "	Suggested	Remedy			
uggestedRemedy "R Pair_PD_max ar resistance of pairs of	nd R Pair_ PD_min represent P of the same polarity. The effecti	D common mode		In the r 2) Mea		_1_0116_fig33b3_v100.pdf. ecipe below, change as follows	:	
SuggestedRemedy "R Pair_PD_max ar	nd R Pair_ PD_min represent P of the same polarity. The effecti	D common mode		In the r 2) Mea	neasurement re sure Vdiff sure Vdiff		3:	
uggestedRemedy "R Pair_PD_max ar resistance of pairs of measured"	nd R Pair_ PD_min represent P of the same polarity. The effecti	D common mode		In the r 2) Mea 4) Mea	neasurement re sure Vdiff sure Vdiff	ecipe below, change as follows	5:	
uggestedRemedy "R Pair_PD_max ar resistance of pairs of measured" - Change Z to R in I roposed Response	nd R Pair_ PD_min represent P of the same polarity. The effecti Figure 4. <i>Response Status</i> O	D common mode ve resistance R_	i in Figure 4 is the	In the r 2) Mea 4) Mea	neasurement re sure Vdiff sure Vdiff` Response SC 33B.3	ecipe below, change as follows	s: L 7	# [183
"R Pair_PD_max ar resistance of pairs of measured" - Change Z to R in I Proposed Response	nd R Pair_ PD_min represent P of the same polarity. The effecti Figure 4. <i>Response Status</i> O	D common mode		In the r 2) Mea 4) Mea Proposed F CI 33	neasurement re sure Vdiff sure Vdiff` Response SC 33B.3 Lennart	Response Status O		# [183
 "R Pair_PD_max ar resistance of pairs of measured" - Change Z to R in I roposed Response 33 SC 33A.5 seboodt, Lennart comment Type E "The effective resist 	nd R Pair_ PD_min represent P of the same polarity. The effecti Figure 4. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 198 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X tance Z i is the measured voltage	D common mode ve resistance R_ <i>L</i> 22 ge V eff_pd_i"	i in Figure 4 is the # 180	In the r 2) Mea 4) Mea Proposed F C/ 33 Yseboodt, I Comment 7 In Figu Also PS	neasurement re sure Vdiff sure Vdiff Response SC 33B.3 Lennart Type E re 33B-4 it is ur SE should be P	ecipe below, change as follows Response Status O P 204 Philips Comment Status X nclear if the load is a current si	L 7	
 <i>iggestedRemedy</i> "R Pair_PD_max ar resistance of pairs of measured" - Change Z to R in looposed Response 33 SC 33A.5 seboodt, Lennart <i>imment Type</i> E "The effective resist Not clear what 'i' is 	nd R Pair_ PD_min represent P of the same polarity. The effecti Figure 4. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 198 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X	D common mode ve resistance R_ <i>L</i> 22 ge V eff_pd_i"	i in Figure 4 is the # 180	In the r 2) Mea 4) Mea Proposed F C/ 33 Yseboodt, I Comment 7 In Figu Also PS	neasurement re sure Vdiff sure Vdiff Response SC 33B.3 Lennart Fype E re 33B-4 it is ur SE should be P D + Channel' sl	ecipe below, change as follows <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 204 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X nclear if the load is a current si <i>Y</i> SE PI.	L 7	
 uggestedRemedy "R Pair_PD_max ar resistance of pairs of measured" - Change Z to R in I proposed Response 7 33 SC 33A.5 seboodt, Lennart comment Type E "The effective resist Not clear what 'i' is involved. 	nd R Pair_ PD_min represent P of the same polarity. The effecti Figure 4. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 198 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X tance Z i is the measured voltage	D common mode ve resistance R_ <i>L</i> 22 ge V eff_pd_i"	i in Figure 4 is the # 180	In the r 2) Mea 4) Mea Proposed F CI 33 Yseboodt, I Comment 7 In Figu Also P Suggested	neasurement re sure Vdiff sure Vdiff Response SC 33B.3 Lennart Type E re 33B-4 it is ur SE should be P D + Channel' sl Remedy	ecipe below, change as follows <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 204 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X nclear if the load is a current si <i>Y</i> SE PI.	L 7 nk or a constar	
 ''R Pair_PD_max ar resistance of pairs of measured" - Change Z to R in I proposed Response '' 33 SC 33A.5 seboodt, Lennart comment Type E "The effective resists Not clear what 'i' is involved. uggestedRemedy 	nd R Pair_ PD_min represent P of the same polarity. The effecti Figure 4. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 198 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X tance Z i is the measured voltage	D common mode ve resistance R_ <i>L</i> 22 ge V eff_pd_i" nate since there a	_i in Figure 4 is the # 180	In the r 2) Mea 4) Mea Proposed F CI 33 Yseboodt, I Comment 7 In Figu Also P Suggested	neasurement re sure Vdiff sure Vdiff Response SC 33B.3 Lennart Type E re 33B-4 it is ur SE should be P D + Channel' sl Remedy e Figure by yse	ecipe below, change as follows Response Status O P 204 Philips Comment Status X nclear if the load is a current si 'SE PI. hould be 'PD and Channel'.	L 7 nk or a constar	

C/ 33 SC 79.3.7.2	P 225	L 54	# 184	C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.9	P 68	L 26	# 187
/seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
Comment Type E Comm	ment Status X			Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
Line missing at bottom of table	79-7a.				D1.6): Variables I Port, I F		
SuggestedRemedy				present in the current v	variable list. Section 33.2.7	depends on these	. To be resolved."
Add line.					0116_v4xx.pdf is adopted,	there is no need fo	or a definition of any of
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status O			these terms in the varia	adie list.		
				SuggestedRemedy Remove note.			
C/ 33 SC 79.3.7.2	P 227	L 54	# 185	Proposed Response			
rseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Floposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E Comn	ment Status X						
Line missing at bottom of table	79-7b.			C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.9	P 72	L 36	# 188
SuggestedRemedy				Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
Add line.				Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
				"Editor's Note (remove	prior to D2.0): Table 33-3a	a must be undated	to take dual-signature
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status O						
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status O			into account. Reason: when	connected to a DS PD, PS		-
		L 49	# 186	into account.			-
C/ 33 SC 79.4.2	P 232 Philips	L 49	# 186	into account. Reason: when verify Type." Not correct. W	connected to a DS PD, PS e might need a bit of text ii	Es need to produc	e 3 events in order to
C/ 33 SC 79.4.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 232	L 49	# 186	into account. Reason: when verify Type." Not correct. W the Table values are co	connected to a DS PD, PS	Es need to produc	e 3 events in order to
C/ 33 SC 79.4.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 232 Philips ment Status X	L 49	# 186	into account. Reason: when verify Type." Not correct. W the Table values are co SuggestedRemedy	connected to a DS PD, PS e might need a bit of text ii	Es need to produc	e 3 events in order to
Cl 33 SC 79.4.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Comm Line missing at bottom of table	P 232 Philips ment Status X	L 49	# 186	into account. Reason: when verify Type." Not correct. W the Table values are co SuggestedRemedy Remove editor`s note.	connected to a DS PD, PS e might need a bit of text in prrect for single and dual-s	Es need to produc	e 3 events in order to
C/ 33 SC 79.4.2 /seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Comm Line missing at bottom of table	P 232 Philips ment Status X	L 49	# 186	into account. Reason: when verify Type." Not correct. W the Table values are co SuggestedRemedy	connected to a DS PD, PS e might need a bit of text ii	Es need to produc	e 3 events in order to
Cl 33 SC 79.4.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Comm Line missing at bottom of table SuggestedRemedy Add line.	P 232 Philips ment Status X	L 49	# 186	into account. Reason: when verify Type." Not correct. W the Table values are co SuggestedRemedy Remove editor`s note. Proposed Response	connected to a DS PD, PS e might need a bit of text in prrect for single and dual-s <i>Response Status</i> 0	Es need to produc	e 3 events in order to
Cl 33 SC 79.4.2 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Comm Line missing at bottom of table SuggestedRemedy Add line.	P 232 Philips ment Status X 79-10.	L 49	# 186	into account. Reason: when verify Type." Not correct. W the Table values are co SuggestedRemedy Remove editor`s note. Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.2	connected to a DS PD, PS e might need a bit of text in prrect for single and dual-s <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 50	Es need to produc	e 3 events in order to
Cl 33 SC 79.4.2 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Comm Line missing at bottom of table SuggestedRemedy Add line.	P 232 Philips ment Status X 79-10.	L 49	# <u>186</u>	into account. Reason: when verify Type." Not correct. W the Table values are co SuggestedRemedy Remove editor`s note. Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	connected to a DS PD, PS e might need a bit of text in prrect for single and dual-s <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 50 Philips	Es need to produc	e 3 events in order to
Cl 33 SC 79.4.2 /seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Comm Line missing at bottom of table SuggestedRemedy Add line.	P 232 Philips ment Status X 79-10.	L 49	# 186	into account. Reason: when verify Type." Not correct. W the Table values are co SuggestedRemedy Remove editor`s note. Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER	connected to a DS PD, PS e might need a bit of text in prrect for single and dual-s <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 50 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X	Es need to product	e 3 events in order to
Cl 33 SC 79.4.2 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Comm Line missing at bottom of table SuggestedRemedy Add line.	P 232 Philips ment Status X 79-10.	L 49	# <u>186</u>	into account. Reason: when verify Type." Not correct. W the Table values are co SuggestedRemedy Remove editor`s note. Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER	connected to a DS PD, PS e might need a bit of text in prrect for single and dual-s <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 50 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X use "2-Pair" and "4-Pair" ir	Es need to product	e 3 events in order to
Cl 33 SC 79.4.2 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Comm Line missing at bottom of table SuggestedRemedy Add line.	P 232 Philips ment Status X 79-10.	L 49	# <u>186</u>	into account. Reason: when verify Type." Not correct. W the Table values are co SuggestedRemedy Remove editor`s note. Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER Figures 33-4* to 33-7*	connected to a DS PD, PS e might need a bit of text in prrect for single and dual-s <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 50 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X use "2-Pair" and "4-Pair" ir	Es need to product	e 3 events in order to
Cl 33 SC 79.4.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Comm Line missing at bottom of table SuggestedRemedy Add line.	P 232 Philips ment Status X 79-10.	L 49	# <u>186</u>	into account. Reason: when verify Type." Not correct. W the Table values are co SuggestedRemedy Remove editor`s note. Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER Figures 33-4* to 33-7* Should not be of	connected to a DS PD, PS re might need a bit of text in prrect for single and dual-s <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 50 <i>Philips</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X use "2-Pair" and "4-Pair" in capitalized.	Es need to produce in the definition of c ignature.	e 3 events in order to

Comment ID 189

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.4		L 9	# 190	CI 33	SC 33B	P 201	L 1	# 192
'seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt	Lennart	Philips		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X		
"Iport: Output current	(see 33.2.7.6)."			Page	numbers are mis	sing for pages in Annex 33B.		
The referred s	ection only talks about Iport-2	P.		Suggeste	dRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Add p	age numbers.			
Change first lines of 3	3.2.7.6 to:			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
than T_CUT-2P, Type If I_Port-2P, th	current supplied by the PSE to a 1 and Type 2 PSEs may rem ne current supplied on a pairso T-2P for longer than T_CUT-2	ove power from et by the PSE to	the PI. the PI,	<i>Cl</i> 79 Yseboodt	SC 79.3.2.6b Lennart	P 218 Philips	L 1	# 193
remove power from th		2 31	,	Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Accep	oted Comment no	. 205 from D1.4 cycle was no	ot implemented.	
			# [101	Suggester Imple		o. 205 from D1.4.		
C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.5 (seboodt, Lennart	P 126 Philips	L 1	# 191	Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X							
	ne is still drawn in draw.io forr			C/ 79	SC 79.3.7.1	P 224	L 38	# 194
	8_0116_PD_SM.pdf is a redra al in every way, except I've pla			Yseboodt	Lennart	Philips		
better layout.			bit amoronit to got a	Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X		
uggestedRemedy				79.3.7	7.1 PD measurem	nents refers to 'port' when it s	hould refer to P	D PI + reword.
D1.5 SM, the D1.5SM Other comn yseboodt_8_0116_PD	r deviation is found between ye I is leading. nents against the SM to be ex D_SM.pdf		_PD_SM.pdf and the	field r 79-7a	ured voltage valu nay be included to . The PD measur	asured voltage value field ma e at the port defined in Table o carry the PD's measured co ed energy value field may be alue at the port defined in Tab	79-7a. The PD urrent value at the included to car	measured current valu
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggeste	dRemedy			
				voltag value define	e value at the PI field may be inclued in Table 79-7a	oltage value field may be incl or pairset as defined in Table uded to carry the PD's measu . The PD's measured energy consumption value at the PI	e 79-7a. The PD ared current valu value field may	I's measured current ue at the PI or pairset a be included to carry th
					Response	Response Status O		
				•	•			

C/ 79 SC 79.3.7.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 224 Philips	L 51	# 195	<i>Cl</i> 79 Yseboodt,	SC 79.3.7.3 Lennart	P 228 Philips	L 28	# 198
	ent Status X	hould refer to P	SE PI + reword.	Comment		Comment Status X		
"The PSE measured vo measured voltage value at the po value field may be included to car in Table 79-7b. The PSE measured measured energy consumption va	rt defined in Table 7 ry the PSE's measu ed energy value field	9-7b. The PSE red current valu may be include	measured current ue at the port defined ed to carry the PSE's	Suggested Captio Proposed I	n = "Power pric	e index value field" Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy "The PSE's measured voltage value voltage value at the PI or pairset a value field may be included to car as defined in Table 79-7b. The PS carry the PSE's measured energy Table 79-7b."	ue field may be incl as defined in Table ry the PSE's measu SE's measured ener	uded to carry th 79-7b. The PSE ired current valu gy value field m	e PSE's measured s's measured current ae at the PI or pairset ay be included to	Cl 79 Yseboodt, Comment Table	<i>Type</i> ER 79-7c, value ce	P 228 Philips Comment Status X I, missing space between '1	L 34	# 199
	se Status O			Fix. Proposed I	2	Response Status 0		
V_Port_PD should be V_Port_PD SuggestedRemedy Fix.	P 226 Philips ent Status X 0-2P. se Status 0	L 5	# <u>196</u>	ascerta	<i>Type</i> ER al text: "A PSE s	P 117 Philips Comment Status X hall not initiate power provisi amount of power based on		
79 SC 79.3.7.3 seboodt, Lennart	P 228 Philips ent Status X	L 8	# 197	well be <i>Suggested</i> Better "A PSI	een written in Kl <i>IRemedy</i> & shorter: E shall not prov	de power to a Class 0 to 3 P		
Comment Type ER Comme V_PORT_PSE is capitalized. I_PORT and I_PORT-2 SuggestedRemedy Change to: V_Port_PSE-2P, I_Port	P is capitalized.	ectively		reques Proposed I	sted Class of the Response	at PD." Response Status O		
	se Status O							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 200

Page 44 of 47 1/11/2016 10:44:49 AM

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.12 Yseboodt, Lennart	e P 85 Philips	L 1	# 201	CI 79 SC 79.3.7.3 P 228 L 28 # 204 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
<i>Comment Type</i> T Autoclass behaviour is	Comment Status X still missing from the SD.			Comment Type T Comment Status X The meaning of the value of the Power price index field is not specified. In order to future-proof this field, a bit should be allocated for future use.
SuggestedRemedy Adopt yseboodt_4_011 Proposed Response	I6_Autoclass_PSE_v100.pdf Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy The MSB bit set to 1 will have a reserved meaning. Add a new row to Table 79-7c Bit Function Value/meaning
Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.5 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T Autoclass is still missir	P 126 Philips Comment Status X ng from the PD SD.	L1	# 202	15 Future use 1 = Reserved / ignore field . 0 = Power price index in bits 14:0 Change existing row: 14:0 14:0 Power price index . Power price index . Valid values for these bits are decimal 1 through 32767. Power price
SuggestedRemedy Adopt yseboodt_5_011 Proposed Response	16_Autoclass_PD_v100.pdf Response Status 0			Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.9 P 67 L 28 # 205
Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.5 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T Figure 33-18 uses T_C SuggestedRemedy Change to T_CUT-2P 1	P 142 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X CUT min which no longer exists.	L 18	# 203	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type TR Comment Status X class_num_events: "A variable indicating the maximum number of classification events performed by the PSE." Does not take dual signature into account. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response	Response Status O			 "A variable indicating the maximum number of classification events performed by the PSE on a pairset." Works for both single and dual. Type 3 dual will produce max 3 events/pairset (and 4 is allowed and needed for single) Type 4 dual will produce max 4 events/pairset (and 5 is allowed and needed for single) Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.9 Yseboodt, Lennart	9 P 68 Philips	L 43	# 206	C/ 33 SC 33.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	.4.10 P 73 Philips	L 43	# 209
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	·		
21		volid which come	as no nurness in the	51		r which comics of	a numbers in the CM
SM.	he new SM contains mr_mps_	valid, which serve	es no purpose in the		ne new SM contains tmpdo_time er_pri and tmpdo_timer_sec sup		o purpose in the Sivi
mr_mps_valie	d_pri and mr_mps_valid_sec s	supersede it.		SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Remove tmpdo_ti	mer from the variable list.		
Remove mr_mps_va	lid from the variable list.			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						
	9 P72	L 23	# 207	CI 33 SC 33.2		L 29	# 210
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips	L 23	# 207	Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
	•			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	Comment Status X juired to be capable of 5 class	events.		Dual-signature bel and the relevant P	haviour has been described in a 'D sections.	n inconsistent ma	nner in 33.2.6, 33.2.
Table 33-3a a	allows 1,2,4 or 5.			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Adopt yseboodt_2	_0116_v4xx.pdf		
Change the value of	class_num_events for Type 4	to "5".		Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
		1	" [CI 33 SC 33.2	.6 P 94	L 1	# 211
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.9	• • • • • •	L 27	# 208	Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Since this is i	Comment Status X he allowed PSE variable defini in the Type 3+4 SD section, Ty			- it does no	he power classifications for dual- ot properly show power demotior s 3 class events in many cases v	for all the suppo	
in Table 33-3).				SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Replace Table 33-	-7b by yseboodt_3_0116_Table_	_33_7b_v100.pdf	
Remove the rows for	[·] Type 1 and Type 2 ion of Table to "Allowed Type 3	3 and Type 4 PSI	E variable definition	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Change capti permutations"							

C/ 33	SC 33.3.3.5	P 126	L 4	# 212
Yseboodt,	, Lennart	Philips		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
Entry		s variable V_Reset which isr wrong in 802.3-2012 as well.		constants section.
Suggeste	dRemedy			
Add:				
	"V_Reset to 33.3.3.2	Reset voltage (see Table 33	8-17)"	
Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 33	SC 33.3.3.5	P 126	L 4	# 213
Yseboodt,	, Lennart	Philips		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		
PD st		al entry arc into IDLE has fol		
	[(Vpd < Vres	set) + !power_received] * mo	di_power_requir	ed * !pd_reset
	The effect is	that at ANY voltage below V	port_pd min, th	is condition will apply
and re	eset the state mad	chine to IDLE.		
voltoo	The intent is te drops below Vr	to allow a global override to	reset the SM to	IDLE when the PI
		5561.		
Suggeste	•			
Repla	ice condition by:	t) * mdi_power_required * !p	nd reset	
		t_7_0116_idlestuck.pdf		
Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		