C/ 33 SC 33.1.4.1 Maguire, Valerie	P <b>23</b> Siemon	L <b>5</b>	# 1	<i>Cl</i> 33 SC 33.8.3 Maguire, Valerie	3.4 P 127 Siemon	L <b>20</b>	# 5
Comment Type ER Use correct draft Stand	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X lance (i.e. resistance or current)	)	
SuggestedRemedy Globally replace "TSB-	184A" with "TSB-184-A" (3 lo	cations)		SuggestedRemedy Replace "PSE and	PD channel unbalance" with "P	SE and PD chan	nel current unbalance'
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
<i>Cl</i> <b>33</b> <i>SC</i> <b>33.4.8</b> Maguire, Valerie	P <b>92</b> Siemon	L 15	# 2	<i>CI</i> 33 SC 33.2.6 Bennett, Ken	B P <b>47</b> Sifos Techno	L <b>17</b> blogies, In	# 6
Comment Type <b>T</b> Use terminology consis SuggestedRemedy Replace "channel unba	Comment Status X stent with rest of draft. alance currents" with "channel	current unbala	nce"	don't have to imple via class current (in	Comment Status X or PSEs which do not impleme nent classification, which is inco cluding 0mA). Any PD which pr eir class is not a conformant PE	orrect. All PDs pr	ovide class informatio
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy Omit "PDs or" at the	e beginning of the sentence.		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4 Maguire, Valerie	<i>P</i> <b>56</b> Siemon	L <b>43</b>	# 3	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Comment Type <b>T</b> Clarify type of unbaland	Comment Status X ce (i.e. resistance or current)			C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Bennett, Ken	7.2 P 55 Sifos Techno	L <b>25</b> blogies, In	# 7
	nbalance effect" with "pair-to-	pair resistance	unbalance effect"	Comment Type ER Table 33-11, Item 2 and section 33.2.7.	Comment Status X 0. The specification for lunb_p 4a.	tp has been supe	creeded by item 4.1
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy Remove the lunb_p	tp section from item 20.		
C/ 33 SC 33.1.4 Maguire, Valerie	P <b>22</b> Siemon	L <b>22</b>	# 4	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type <b>T</b> Clarify type of unbaland	Comment Status X ce (i.e. resistance or current)						
SuggestedRemedy Replace "inter-pair unb	alance" with "inter-pair resista	ance unbalance	n				
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 33	SC 33.2.7.4	
-		

# 8

Bennett, Ken

P 56 L 34 Sifos Technologies, In

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

33.2.7.4 is the additional information for item 4 in table 33-11 (Icon-2P). The Icon\_2P equation (0.5\*PClass/Vport\_2P) for type 3 and 4 in table 33-11 is based upon a perfectly balanced connection, and does not include the additional pair-set current that would be necessary to maintain PClass in an unbalanced connection (due to E2ERunb).

The additional information (Section 33.2.7.4) currently only addresses Ipeak-2P, and it does consider an unbalanced connection, using the (1+K) factor. However, Ipeak-2P described Equation 33-4 includes pair-set values for the PSE and PD, and it is unclear whether the PD pair-set value in 33-4 will also include the K factor (which would result in including K twice).

### SuggestedRemedy

Change section 33.2.7.4 as follows:

33.2.7.4 Continuous output current capability in the POWER\_ON state

Icon-2P in table 33-11 is specified for a balanced system. When end-to-end unbalance is present, the PSE minimum requirement is:

Icon-2P\_unb = (1+K) x (Icon-2P)33-4

Where K is the factor due to the "system end to end pair-to-pair unbalance effect". K=0 for two pair systems and K=TBD for four pair systems.

In addition to ICon-2P\_unb, the PSE shall support the following AC current waveform parameters, while within the operating voltage range of VPort\_PSE:

IPeak-2P minimum for TCUT minimum and 5 % duty cycle:

[Editorial note: the equation below is unformatted. The only difference relative to Equation 33-4 in 802.3at is the "N" factor]

Ipeak-2P= Nx{(Vpse-[SQR\_ROOT[Vpse^2-4N(Rchan)(Ppeak\_PD)])/(2N(Rchan))} 33-5

# Where:

Ipeak-2P: is the PSE minimum peak current requirement per pair-set in a balanced system

VPSE: is the PSE voltage at the PSE PI as defined in 33.1.4

RChan: is the channel loop resistance as defined in 33.1.4; this parameter has a worst-case value of RCh, defined in Table 33-1

N: N = 1 for 2-pair power, N = 0.5 for 4-pair power

PPeak\_PD: is the peak power a PD may draw for its class; see Table 33-18.

Ipeak-2P is specified for a balanced system. When end-to-end unbalance is present, minimum PSE pairset requirement is:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

```
lpeak-2P\_unb = (1+K) \times (lpeak-2P)33-6
```

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.1	P 32	L 31	# 9
Bustos H	leredia, Jairo	Würth Elektr	onik eiSo	

Comment Type E Comment Status X

If a PSE performing detection using Alternative A detects an invalid signature, it should complete a second detection in less than Tdbo min after the beginning of the first detection attempt.

## SuggestedRemedy

As we are referring to a time value, it may bring the reader to confusion on whether "min" stands for "minimum" or "minutes". Actually, Tdbo has only one defined value in Table 33-11. Therefore I believe "min" is not needed. Thus, I would suggest the followin:

If a PSE performing detection using Alternative A detects an invalid signature, it should complete a second detection in less than Tdbo after the beginning of the first detection attempt.

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

CI 33	SC 33.2.1	P <b>24</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 10
Bustos H	eredia, Jairo	Würth Elektr	onik eiSo	

Comment Type E Comment Status X

PSEs may support either Alternative A, Alternative B, or both.

### SuggestedRemedy

PSEs may support either Alternative A, Alternative B or both. When using Alternative A, power will be provided through pairs 2 and 3, whereas when using Alternative B, pairs 1 and 4 will be used for power provision.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 33 SC 33.1.4	4 P 22	L <b>21</b>	# 11	C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.4	P 37	L 8	# 13
Darshan, Yair	Microsemi			Darshan, Ya	ir	Microsemi		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status X		
	parameters can be updated per .org/3/bt/public/mar15/darshan_					ass_num_events" adresses m is true or false.	ax class_num_	events for describing it
	be revised per the following pro			SuggestedR	•			
Revised Table 33-1	l.pdf:			change	column tytle to	"max class_num_events"		
In addition, the follo	2A (TIA guys will have to tell us owing TBD parameters can be ι	pdated as well:		Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O		
TBD. This will be d	as in Type 3 and adding a text r elivered by TIA etc.	notifying number of	of cables per bundle	C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.4	P 39	L 32	# 14
Loop resistance: Sa	ame as for Type 3.			Darshan, Ya	ir	Microsemi		
	at specify Type 4 parameter for In this row, cabling Type, loop r			Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status X		
	in the row, cabing Type, loop I		5.		,	detection details.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedR	emedv			
	te the following Type 4 paramet	ers (See attached	l "Draft D0.4: Revised	00	e 33.2.5"			
Table 33-1.pdf" doo	cument":			Proposed R	esponse	Response Status <b>O</b>		
TBD per TBD stand 3. Loop resistance: 4. To add new row	ne as in Type 3. Add note below	or new and better	cable that allows 100					
Proposed Response	Response Status O							
C/ 33 SC 33.1.4	4 P 22	L <b>23</b>	# 12					
Darshan, Yair	Microsemi							
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X							
	2 below Table 33-1. rrect for Type 3 and 4 but yet it	is reffering to Typ	e 3 only.					
SuggestedRemedy								
Change "In Type 3	, 60W operation, the current	See details i	n section TBD"					
To: "In Type 3 and 4 or	peration, the current	e details in Table :	33-11 item 4a"					

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.4 Darshan, Yair	P <b>40</b> Microsemi	L 14	# 15	C/ <b>33</b> Darshan, `	SC <b>33.2.5.0</b> a Vair	n P 44 Microsemi	L <b>3</b>	# 16
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment		Comment Status X		
Addressing the editor	note of the meaning of mutual not complete if the objectives			We ne		t is single signature PD and	Dual signature F	PD so it can be tested
""When a Type 2 PSE	powers a Type 2, Type 3 or T parameter type if mutual iden					ving voltage Va to mode A ar mode B and checking Ia wh		
15-20. "Mutual identification is differentiate between 1 identification allows Ty 2, Type 3 and Type 4	entification is not complete per s the mechanism that allows a Type 1, Type 2, Type 3 and Ty rpe 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSEs PDs. PDs or PSEs that do not al identification and can only p	a Type 2, Type 3 ype 4 PSEs. Add to differentiate t implement clas	3 or Type 4 PD to ditionally, mutual between Type 1, Type ssification will not be	rails o If char Base o	f Mode B. nging Va>Vb or \ on this concept S are many ways f	ere is low impdenace betwee /b>Va doesnt change the cur single Signature and Dual Signature	rrent reading the gnature can be c	en it is dual signature.
So if PSE fail to detect	t the PD class than classification to be completed, the PD ne	ion is not compl	ete.			ext attached in document "Sir p.pdf" at the end of 33.2.5.0a		nd Dual Signature
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		
No need to define "Mu 33.2.6.	tual Identification is not comp	lete". It is alread	dy clearly defined in					
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ <b>33</b> Darshan, `	SC <b>33.2.7</b> Yair	P <b>53</b> Microsemi	L <b>38</b>	# 17
				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
				Suggested	lRemedy			
				Proposed	Response	Response Status 0		

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 P 55 L # 18	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 P 55 L 26 # 19
C/ 33         SC 33.2.7         P 55         L         # 18           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi	CI 33         SC 33.2.7         P 55         L 26         # 19           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi
Comment TypeTComment StatusXDC MPS current Table 33-11 item 17 and 33.2.9.1.2.	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> Table 33-11 item Item 20, lunb_ptp: This parameter is redundant for PSE specification after PSE specifications was concluded
Table 33-11 item 17 do not cover Ihold range for all PSE - PD class and Type combinations in the presensence of system pair to pair unbalance and/or P2P balanced conditions and for single and dual signature PDs.	on March meeting with the new items: Table 33-11 item 4a: Icon_2P-unb and clause 33.2.7.4a. It may be used in PD spec Table 33-18 but is not needed for PSE spec.
Many of the PSE=PD combinations will not work with the current lhold range specified for Type 1 and Type 2 PSEs.	SuggestedRemedy Option 1:
There is a need to set two different sets of Ihold range for measuring total Ihold current over 4 pairs or over 2pairs in order to allow different MPS detection schemes and reduce unbalace requirements on PD as much as possible.	<ul> <li>a) Remove lunb_p2p from Table 33-11 item 20. OR</li> <li>b) Move this parameter to Table 33-18 new item 14, with the following details: Parameter: Pair to Pair current unbalance of pairs with the same polarity.</li> </ul>
The proposed solution in darshan_01_0515.pdf allows the following with cost effective way: -Support current Type 1,2 PDs and new Type 3 and 4 PDs. -No requirements for MPS current unbalance for Type 1, 2, 3 class 0-8 PDs connected to PSE Type 3 and 4 PSEs.	Symbol: lunb_ptp Unit: % Value max: TBD. Additional information:
-PSE with flexible MPS detection implementations to cover different PSE	See 33.2.7.10. Add sub-claues 33.2.7.10: lunb_ptp=(I1-I2)/(I1+I2). I1, I2 are the pairs current of the same polarity.
The above proposal offer: -Simple PD spec. -Simple test setup. -Simple PSE MPS detection implementation.	I1 and I2 are measured at the maximum operating PD class power for class TBD1 to Class TBD2. TBD2. Editor note: To complete the PD PI Pair to Pair Unbalance requirements and add it to this
See DC Disconnect PSE and PD requirements baseline proposal presentation attched.	clause. Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
SuggestedRemedy See proposal and baseline text in the attached presenttaion darshan_01_0515.pdf	
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	

C/33         SC 33.2.7.4         P 56         L 34         # 20           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi	C/ 33         SC 33.2.4.5         P 38         L 13         # 21           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> Equation 33-4 parameters need some updates: 1. PPEAK_pd_2P need to be defined as 0.5*Pclass for classes 5 to 8 (It is half the total power).	Comment Type E Comment Status X It seems that there is a Typo here: The timer name is tlcf_timer and then the text says in line 16: See Tclf in Table 33-7. So we need to decide if it is tclf or tlcf.
<ol> <li>K is different number for Type 3 and 4 systems.</li> <li>K is derived by simulation of E2EP2Plunb with the same data base we used to define lcon-2P_lunb but now PD power is Ppeak PD which is defined by Equation 33-12.</li> <li>See derivation of values for K in darashan 03 0515.pdf</li> </ol>	In addition, it is Table 33-10 and not 33-7 in lines 13, 15, 36, 40, 44. In Table 33-10 it is Tclf.
uggestedRemedy (a) Change from: PPeak_PD-2P is the peak power a PD may draw per pair-set for its class; see Table 33–18.	SuggestedRemedy Change Tlcf_timer to Tclf. Change "in Table 33-7" to "in Table 33-10 and verify the link is correct. Correct in lines 13, 15, 36, 40, 44. Scan the draft for similar for all Tlcf and Tclf occurrences and correct accordingly.
To: PPeak_PD-2P is the peak power a PD may draw per pair-set for its class; see Table 33–18. For classes 5-8, PPeak_PD-2P=0.5*Pclass_PD.	Proposed Response Response Status O
<ul> <li>(b) Change from:</li> <li>K is the related to "system end to end pair-to-pair unbalance effect".</li> </ul>	CI 33         SC 33.2.7         P 52         L 46         # 22           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi
K=0 for two pair systems and K=TBD for four pair system. To: K was set at the system operating point were maximum Ipeak-2P is obtained due to "system end to end pair-to-pair unbalance effect". K=0 for two pair systems (Type 1 and 2).	Comment Type         E         Comment Status         X           The intention of the additional information for TME2 in Table 33-10 was meant to say that the fact that the maximum value of TME3 is not defined, doesn't mean that it can be any number, it actually limited by Tpon.         This may not be clear by the additional information however.
K=0.03 for Type 3 systems. K=0.09 for Type 4 systems. K=0.09 for Type 4 systems. Note: Meeting Ipeak_2P maximum value is guranteed by the PD by meeting PD PI Pair To Pair Unbalance requirements in clause TBD and by Peak_PD-2P defined by Equation 33-	SuggestedRemedy Change the additional information text from: The time from end of detection until power-on is limited by 33.2.7.12.
12. roposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Change the additional information text to: The maximum value of TME2 is limited by the maximum allowed time from the end of detection until power-on according to 33.2.7.12.
	Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 33         SC 33.3.7         P 77         L 29           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi	# 23 C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 P 78 L 37 # 25 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
Comment Type E Comment Status X Typo. Redundant 33.3.7.1 in additional informatione columnn of Table 33-18 ite SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         Table 33-18 item 5 and 6.       Table 33-18 item 5 and 6.         1.       Peak operating power for class 5 and 6. can be 1.11*Pclass_PD as well due to the fact that class 6 is 2xType 2 power and it is higher than class 5.
Change from 33.3.7.133.3.7.1 to 33.3.7.1.	Class from analysis done in darshan_03_0515.pdf, class 7 and 8 may also use equation
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	33-12 as is.
	SuggestedRemedy           Replace TBDs in Table 33-18 item 7 for class 5 -8 with 1.11*Pclass_PD.
Cl 33         SC 33.3.7         P 78         L 15           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi	# 24 Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 33-18 item 4: Input average power for class 5 to 8 TBDs can now b inserted instead of TBDs. See darshan_03_0515.pdf for details The equation to be used is: Pclass_PD=[W]=Pclass - 6.25*(Pclass/Vpse_min)^2=: Pclass_PD=39.94W for Pclass=45W (Class 5). Pclass_PD=51W for Pclass=60W (Clas 6). class_PD=51W for Pclass=75W (Clas 7). SuggestedRemedy Update TBDs in item 4 Table 33-18 with: Pclass_PD=39.94W for Class 5.	calculated and       Cl 33       SC 33.3.7       P 79       L 15       # 26         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         1)Table 33-18 item 11 Von and Voff:       PD Type need to be 1,2,3,4.       2) Typo in additional information.         SuggestedRemedy       1) Change PD Type from 1,2, to 1,2,3,4 for both Von and Voff.       2) Change 33.3.7.133.3.7.1 to 33.3.7.1.         Proposed Response       Response Status       O
Pclass_PD=51W for Class 5. class_PD=51W for ClasS 6.	C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.3 P 80 L 46 # 27 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> It is not clear from Table 33-18 item 9 that the Cport_min=5uF is per pair set.
	SuggestedRemedy Add the following text at the end of 33.3.7.3: Cport_min is the the minimum value of Cport seen by an attached PSE on two twisted pairs.
	Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 33         SC 33.3.8         P 85         L 15         # [28]           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 P 54 L 33 # 31 Darshan, Yair Microsemi
Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       X         Table 33-18 do not cover MPS input current requirements for PDs that are need to be supported by Type 3 and 4 PSEs under P2P current balanced and unbalanced conditionall.         SuggestedRemedy       Updated Table 33-18 item 1 per proposal attached in darashan_01_0515.pdf.         Proposed Response       Response Status       O	<ul> <li>Comment Type T Comment Status X</li> <li>In Table 33-11 item 10 (TLIM), there is a missing reference at the additional information column.</li> <li>In addition to 33.2.7.7, there are additional clauses that are relevant for TLIM such as 33.2.7.1 which defined behavior of power removal when pair-set voltage no longer meets Vport_PSE-2P spec.</li> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> </ul>
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 P 55 L 41 # 29	Change additional information column from "See 33.2.7.7" To:
Darshan, Yair     Microsemi       Comment Type     E       Comment Status     X       Missing full stop at the end of Note 1.	See 33.2.7.7 and 33.2.7.1. Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy Insert full stop at the end of Note 1 text.	C/ 33     SC 33.2.4.7     P 42     L 27     # 32       Darshan, Yair     Microsemi
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> In state diagrame figure 33-9 there is a missing exit from CLASS_EV3 to point "E" which we have in all other CLASS EV XX BLOCKS.
C/ 33     SC 33.2.7     P 55     L 41     # 30       Darshan, Yair     Microsemi	In addition, an exit is missing also from CLASS_EV3 to MARK_EV_LAST as we have it also from other CLASS_EV_XX BLOCKS.
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> The parameter "a" is not explained in Note 1. To define "a" and explain it.	SuggestedRemedy 1) Add exit from CLASS_EV3 to point "E": Tcle3_timer_done*(mr_pd_class_detectted=0
SuggestedRemedy a=The effect of the system end to end pair to pair resistance/current unbalance that is not	2) Add exit from CLASS_EV3 to MARK_EV_LAST: Tcle3_timer_done*(mr_pd_class_detectted=4)
specified in this standard explicitly. Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O

8 <b>33</b> SC <b>3.2.6</b> Parshan, Yair	P <b>50</b> Microsemi	L 31	# 33	C/ 33         SC 33.3.8         P 85         L 15         # 36           Dwelley, David         Linear Technology
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> Table 33-TBD is Table	Comment Status X e 33-9			Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> Type 3/4 MPS has become more complicated and the 22mA number is obsolete
uggestedRemedy Replace Table 33-TBL Same in line 45 and 5 Proposed Response				SuggestedRemedy Rewrite spec based on results of joint presentation in May Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
7 <b>33</b> SC <b>33.2.9.1.</b> Parshan, Yair	2 P 63 Microsemi	L <b>2</b>	# 34	C/ 33         SC 33.2.0a         P 24         L 30         # 37           Dwelley, David         Linear Technology
<i>comment Type</i> <b>ER</b> Duplicate table 33-1 n We have Table 33-1 ir I belive it is 33-12 (AC				Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> Table 33-1a, Note 4: "Can operate as 2-pair under fault conditions" is unnecessary and suggests that 2-pair operation is specified behavior for 60W and greater PDs. 2-pair operation is not possible at these power levels, and fault behavior is not typically specified
uggestedRemedy Change to 33-12. Proposed Response	Response Status <b>0</b>			SuggestedRemedy Delete note 4. Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
7 <b>33</b> SC <b>33.3.8</b> Parshan, Yair	P <b>85</b> Microsemi	L 13	# 35	C/ 33         SC 33.2.0a         P 24         L 24         # 38           Dwelley, David         Linear Technology         Linear Technology         Linear Technology
Comment Type <b>TR</b> The Iport_MPS conditi SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ions for Type 1-4 are not spec	ified.		Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> Table 33-1a: 75W class is missing SuggestedRemedy Add row for 75W class
In Table 33-18 item 1 Add to th econdition co for Single Signature P	olumn:			Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			

			"	~ ~ ~					# 10
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.1		L 20	# 39	CI <b>33</b>	SC 33.3.	5.2	P <b>75</b>	L <b>21</b>	# 42
welley, David	Linear Tech	nology		Dwelley, Da	avid		Linear Tech	nology	
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Com	ment Status X		
	3 or Type 4 PSE that is cap ernative B simultaneously is i						ill cause LT legacy ve interoperability i		at. Reversing classes 7
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
and Alternative B is n	e 3 or Type 4 PSE that inten- ot required to use the backo		er on both Alternative A	class 7	se class_sig 7: class_sig_ 3: class_sig_	B: 3	for classes 7 and a	8:	
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed F	-		onse Status <b>O</b>		
		1.50							
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.0 welley, David	<b>)a</b> P <b>43</b> Linear Tech	L <b>52</b>	# 40	CI 33	SC 33.2.	4.1	P 32	L <b>21</b>	# 43
		nology		Stencel, Le	en		Bourns, Inc.		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Е	Com	ment Status X		
	s that result in a voltage at th	e PSE PI that is v	within the vvalid voltage	Commone		Conn			
range as specified "			-	text co	rrection				
	his line as written blacks the	use of 0\/ (i.e., or		text co Suggested					
Vvalid is 2.8V-10V. T	his line as written blocks the ) for Connection Check. This			Suggested	Remedy	koff algorithn	n" to "meet the bac	koff algorithm re	equirement".
Vvalid is 2.8V-10V. T				Suggested	<i>Remedy</i> e "meet bac	0	n" to "meet the bac onse Status <b>O</b>	koff algorithm re	equirement".
Vvalid is 2.8V-10V. T while the other is idle be run.				Suggested Change	<i>Remedy</i> e "meet bac	0		koff algorithm re	equirement".
Vvalid is 2.8V-10V. T while the other is idle be run. SuggestedRemedy Change text to: "In ac	) for Connection Check. This ddition, only tests that result i	limits the way that	at connection check can	Suggested Chang Proposed F	lRemedy e "meet bac Response	Respo	onse Status <b>O</b>	0	
Vvalid is 2.8V-10V. T while the other is idle be run. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change text to: "In ac Vvalid(max) as specif	) for Connection Check. This ddition, only tests that result i	limits the way that	at connection check can	Suggested Change	Remedy e "meet bac Response SC <b>33.2.</b>	Respo		L 41	equirement". # 44
Vvalid is 2.8V-10V. T while the other is idle be run. SuggestedRemedy Change text to: "In ac Vvalid(max) as specif	) for Connection Check. This ddition, only tests that result i fied"	limits the way that	at connection check can	Suggested Chang Proposed F Cl 33 Stencel, Le	Remedy e "meet bac Response SC <b>33.2.</b> en	Respo	<i>P</i> <b>43</b> Bourns, Inc.	L 41	
Vvalid is 2.8V-10V. T while the other is idle be run. SuggestedRemedy Change text to: "In ac Vvalid(max) as specif Proposed Response	) for Connection Check. This ddition, only tests that result i fied" <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b> <i>P</i> <b>65</b>	limits the way the n a voltage at the <i>L</i> 49	at connection check can	Suggested Chang Proposed F Cl 33 Stencel, Le Comment T Clarify	Remedy e "meet bac Response SC 33.2. en Type E text. Rewrite	Respo 5 Com	P 43 P 43 Bourns, Inc. ment Status X The PSE shall turn	L 41	
Vvalid is 2.8V-10V. T while the other is idle be run. SuggestedRemedy Change text to: "In ac Vvalid(max) as specif Proposed Response	) for Connection Check. This ddition, only tests that result i fied" <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	limits the way the n a voltage at the <i>L</i> 49	at connection check can PSE PI that is below	Suggested Chang Proposed F Cl 33 Stencel, Le Comment T Clarify those u	IRemedy le "meet bac Response SC 33.2. en Type E text. Rewrite used for two	Respo 5 Comi e sentence "	P 43 P 43 Bourns, Inc. ment Status X The PSE shall turn	L 41	# 44
Vvalid is 2.8V-10V. T while the other is idle be run. SuggestedRemedy Change text to: "In ac Vvalid(max) as specif Proposed Response	) for Connection Check. This ddition, only tests that result i fied" <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b> <i>P</i> <b>65</b>	limits the way the n a voltage at the <i>L</i> 49	at connection check can PSE PI that is below	Suggested Chang Proposed F CI 33 Stencel, Le Comment T Clarify those u Suggested	Remedy le "meet bac Response SC 33.2. en Type E text. Rewrite used for two IRemedy	Respo 5 Comi e sentence " pair detectio	P 43 Bourns, Inc. ment Status X The PSE shall turn m."	L 41	# 44
Vvalid is 2.8V-10V. T while the other is idle be run. SuggestedRemedy Change text to: "In ac Vvalid(max) as specif Proposed Response	) for Connection Check. This ddition, only tests that result i fied" <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>65</b> Linear Tech <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> : "Needs 4-Pair Identification	limits the way the n a voltage at the <i>L</i> 49 nology	at connection check can PSE PI that is below # 41	Suggested Chang Proposed F CI 33 Stencel, Le Comment T Clarify those u Suggested	IRemedy le "meet bac Response SC 33.2. en Type E text. Rewrite used for two IRemedy e t: "The PSI	Respo 75 5 • Sentence " • pair detectio E shall only t	P 43 Bourns, Inc. ment Status X The PSE shall turn m."	L 41	# 44
Vvalid is 2.8V-10V. T while the other is idle be run. SuggestedRemedy Change text to: "In ac Vvalid(max) as specif Proposed Response C/ 33 SC 33.3.2 Dwelley, David Comment Type T Table 33-13a, Note 2 Section TBD for detail	) for Connection Check. This ddition, only tests that result i fied" <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>65</b> Linear Tech <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> : "Needs 4-Pair Identification	limits the way that n a voltage at the <i>L</i> 49 nology before enabling 4	at connection check can PSE PI that is below # 41	Suggested Chang Proposed F Cl 33 Stencel, Le Comment T Clarify those u Suggested change	IRemedy le "meet bac Response SC 33.2. en Type E text. Rewrite used for two IRemedy e t: "The PSI	Respo 75 5 • Sentence " • pair detectio E shall only t	P 43 P 43 Bourns, Inc. ment Status X The PSE shall turn n."	L 41	# 44
Vvalid is 2.8V-10V. T while the other is idle be run. SuggestedRemedy Change text to: "In ac Vvalid(max) as specif Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 Dwelley, David Comment Type T Table 33-13a, Note 2 Section TBD for detail Enabling 4-pair powe	) for Connection Check. This ddition, only tests that result i fied" <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>65</b> Linear Tech <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> : "Needs 4-Pair Identification ils."	limits the way that n a voltage at the <i>L</i> 49 nology before enabling 4	at connection check can PSE PI that is below # 41	Suggested Chang Proposed F Cl 33 Stencel, Le Comment T Clarify those u Suggested change	IRemedy le "meet bac Response SC 33.2. en Type E text. Rewrite used for two IRemedy e t: "The PSI	Respo 75 5 • Sentence " • pair detectio E shall only t	P 43 P 43 Bourns, Inc. ment Status X The PSE shall turn n."	L 41	# 44
Vvalid is 2.8V-10V. T while the other is idle be run. SuggestedRemedy Change text to: "In ac Vvalid(max) as specif Proposed Response C/ 33 SC 33.3.2 Dwelley, David Comment Type T Table 33-13a, Note 2 Section TBD for detail	) for Connection Check. This ddition, only tests that result i fied" <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>65</b> Linear Tech <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> : "Needs 4-Pair Identification ils."	limits the way that n a voltage at the <i>L</i> 49 nology before enabling 4	at connection check can PSE PI that is below # 41	Suggested Chang Proposed F Cl 33 Stencel, Le Comment T Clarify those u Suggested change	IRemedy le "meet bac Response SC 33.2. en Type E text. Rewrite used for two IRemedy e t: "The PSI	Respo 75 5 • Sentence " • pair detectio E shall only t	P 43 P 43 Bourns, Inc. ment Status X The PSE shall turn n."	L 41	# 44

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.2 Stencel, Len	<i>P</i> <b>45</b> Bourns, Inc.	L <b>46</b>	# 45	Cl 33         SC 33.2.1         P 24         L           Stencel, Len         Bourns, Inc.	<b>42</b> # 49
Comment Type ER Incorrect tablenumber. li SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X nk is good.			Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> Need to Add 2 diagrams showing Alt A and Alt B for an Enc shown.	I PSE. Only midspan version i
change table 33-1 to tab	le 33-4.			SuggestedRemedy	
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Add 2 Additional figures: figure 33-1a 10BASE-T/100BASE-TX Endpoint PSE Alt A Figure 33-2a 1000BASE-T/10GBASE-T Endpoint PSE Alt or	A and Alt B
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.3	P <b>45</b>	L <b>54</b>	# 46	Add Figure 33-5 to text and make these two diagrams figure	es 33-5a and 33-5b.
Stencel, Len	Bourns, Inc.			Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X				
Incorrect table number				C/ 33 SC 33.1.4 P 22 L	<b>22</b> # 50
uggestedRemedy				Beia, Christian STMicroelectronics	
change table 33-2 to Tab	ble 33-5			Comment Type E Comment Status X	
roposed Response	Response Status O			Note1 after able 33-1 refers to Annex 33A inaccurately. It is resistance unbalance, not about inter-pair unbalance	s about channel pair to pair
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.4 Stencel, Len Comment Type ER	P <b>46</b> Bourns, Inc. Comment Status X	L <b>30</b>	# 47	SuggestedRemedy Replace: See informative annex 33A for inter-pair unbalance. With: See informative annex 33A for Channel pair to pair resistan	
incorrect table number				Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	
SuggestedRemedy change table 33-3 to Tab	ble 33-6				
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.3 P 68 L	<b>17</b> # <u>51</u>
				Beia, Christian STMicroelectronics	
SC 33.2.5.1	P 44	1 40	# 40	Comment Type E Comment Status X	
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.1 tencel, Len	P 44 Bourns, Inc.	L <b>49</b>	# 48	The variable name change from pse_dll_power_type to pse	
	Comment Status X			unnecessary and does not correspond to the name in the st (clause 33.6.3.5)	ate diagram on page 111
omment Type ER incorrect table number`				SuggestedRemedy	
				restore the variable name "pse_dll_power_type" instead of '	'pse_dll_power_level"
uggestedRemedy	bla 22.4			Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	,
change Table 33-1 to Ta					
Proposed Response	Response Status 0				

Beia, Christian       STMicroelectronics       Beia         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status X       Control C	I 33     SC 33.3.5.3     P 76     L 37     # 54       eia, Christian     STMicroelectronics       comment Type     TR     Comment Status     X
Comment Type       ER       Comment Status X       Control         Figure 33-1.       The figures numbering on this page till the end of clause 33 is wrong, because it restarts from 33-1, while it should continue as 33-26.       SuggestedRemedy       Renumber Figure 33-1 on page 99 as 33-26; 33-2 on page 110 as 33-27; 33-3 on page 111 as 33-28.       Proposed Response       Response Status O       Sug         Cl 33       SC 33.3.3.4a       P 69       L 8       # 53       Pro         Beia, Christian       STMicroelectronics       Pro       SugestedRement Type       ER       Comment Status X	
Figure 33-1. The figures numbering on this page till the end of clause 33 is wrong, because it restarts from 33-1, while it should continue as 33-26. SuggestedRemedy Renumber Figure 33-1 on page 99 as 33-26; 33-2 on page 110 as 33-27; 33-3 on page 111 as 33-28. Proposed Response Response Status O Suge Suge 20 33 SC 33.3.34 P 69 L 8 # 53 Pro- Beia, Christian STMicroelectronics Comment Type ER Comment Status X Function do_class_timing: the classification event timing requirements to evaluate PD MPS timings are not defined in Table 33-7. Actually they should be defined in Table 33-17 (but they aren't - another comment is addressing this) SuggestedRemedy Change text: The classification event timing requirements are defined in Table 33-7	ammant Tring TD Commant Status X
Suge         C/ 33       SC 33.3.3.4a       P 69       L 8       # 53       Provide a structure         Seia, Christian       STMicroelectronics       STMicroelectronics       Provide a structure	<ul> <li>TR Comment Status X</li> <li>Table 33-17.</li> <li>The autoclass signature timing specification TACS introduces an unnecessary design burden to the PD, since +-3ms window over a 80ms timer requires a clock accuracy bette than +-4%.</li> <li>This is the only parameter requiring such a high accuracy of PD internal clock.</li> <li>Since this PD behavior is a response to a PSE long finger, tentatively specified in table 33 11 as TLCF=85ms min, the requirement for TACS can be relaxed still maintaining a good</li> </ul>
eia, Christian       STMicroelectronics         comment Type       ER       Comment Status       X	margin (grey area) on PSE timings (1ms after Tpdc_max and before TLCF_min) uggestedRemedy Change TACS min value to 76ms and max value to 84ms. roposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
Function do_class_timing: the classification event timing requirements to evaluate PD MPS timings are not defined in Table 33-7. Actually they should be defined in Table 33-17 (but they aren't - another comment is addressing this) uggestedRemedy Change text: The classification event timing requirements are defined in Table 33–7	
The classification event timing requirements are defined in Table 33–17         Proposed Response       Response Status         O	7/33       SC 33.3.3       P 68       L 34       # 55         eia, Christian       STMicroelectronics       55         comment Type       TR       Comment Status X         pse_power_level value #4 in pse_power_level variable description should indicate the maximum power supplied by a Type4 PSE, which is Class 8.       4000000000000000000000000000000000000
	4. The PSE is delivering the PD's requested power or Class 8 power, whichever is less. roposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>

C/ 33 SC 3 Beia, Christian	3.3.5.2	P <b>75</b> STMicroelecti	L <b>33</b> ronics	# 56	<i>Cl</i> <b>33</b> Schindler, I	SC <b>3</b> : Fred	3.1	P Seen Simply	L 11	# 58
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X			Comment 7		ER	Comment Status X		
Table 33-17. Among the PD	) Classifica	ation electrical requirements			Severa	I new ac	lditions u	se the construct choice1/choi of this construction are used i		
		SE MPS capability, is missi mentioned in table 33-19a.	ng. The PD TLC	F definition is	Suggested	Remedy				
The Auto class	s signature	e timing in 33-17a (TACS) c	annot be used,	as it specifically refers	Replac	e these	construc	s with words. For example,		
However the til TLCF_min as s	ming requ specified i	and not to MPS. irements are the same for t n table 33-10), with some g	rey area margin		These	enitites a	allow dev	ices to draw or supply		
To keep PD de	esign simp	le (5% clock accuracy) a g	rey area margin	of 1ms is suggested.	Proposed F	Respons	е	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy	/									
Add a line in Ta Item: "7"; para		7 for: ong first class event timing";	Symbol: "TLCF	;"; Units:"ms"; Min:	C/ <b>33</b> Schindler, I	SC <b>3</b> : Fred	3.2.01	P <b>24</b> Seen Simply	L <b>29</b>	# 59
"76ms"; Max: "	'84ms"; A	dditional information: "See 3	33.3.8"		Comment 7		ER	Comment Status X		
Proposed Respons	se	Response Status <b>O</b>				xt in the		tion uses the word can rather	than the word	may.
C/ 33 SC 3 Schindler, Fred	3.3.8	P <b>84</b> Seen Simply	L <b>33</b>	# 57	Can op	erate as	2-pair u	nder fault conditions		
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X			"May"	orovides	permissi	on whereas "can" states abilit	ty.	
		ake the sentence more con	sise and powerf	ul.	Suggested					
SuggestedRemedy	/						ucts usin s with a	g "can" that provide permissio period.	on with "may. "	End notes containin
See above.					Proposed F	Respons	е	Response Status 0		
Proposed Respons	se	Response Status 0								
					C/ 33	SC 3	3.2.6.2	P <b>50</b>	L <b>31</b>	# 60
					Schindler, I	Fred		Seen Simply		
					Comment	Гуре	ER	Comment Status X		
						be use		exists please begin using a co table (figure etc) needs to be		
					Suggested	Remedy				
					Please	conside	r using tl	ne above suggestion to make	the text easier	to review.

imply <b>K</b> ir-set within a link secti	on with"	Schindler, Fred <i>Comment Type</i> <b>ER</b> The senetence applies <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Type 2, Type 3, and Ty presence of (lunb / 2).	Seen Simply Comment Status X to Types 2,3 and 4. pe 4 Endpoint PSEs shall meet	t the requiremen	
		Type 2, Type 3, and Ty	pe 4 Endpoint PSEs shall meet	t the requirement	
		Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		its of 25.4.5 in the
ink section shall have t	he following	· · ·			
D		Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 Schindler, Fred	P <b>65</b> Seen Simply	L <b>32</b>	# 65
L <b>40</b>	# 62	Comment Type ER Replace the Type 1 row	Comment Status X , "May be" with "Allowed."		
		SuggestedRemedy See above. Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
o		C/ 33 SC 33.3.5.3 Schindler, Fred	P <b>76</b> Seen Simply	L <b>20</b>	# 66
L 10	# 63	Comment Type ER Replace " the PD to wh	Comment Status X ich it is connected." with		
1,5		SuggestedRemedy			
r variable subscripts.	Sometimes we use	" the connected PD." Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
	O L 40 Simply X O L 10 Simply X	L 40 # 62 Simply X O L 10 # 63 Simply X pr variable subscripts. Sometimes we use	0       C/ 33 SC 33.3.2         L 40       # 62         Simply       Simply         X       Suggested Remedy         See above.       Proposed Response         C/ 33 SC 33.3.5.3       Schindler, Fred         Comment Type       ER         Replace the Type 1 row       Suggested Remedy         Suggested Remedy       See above.         Proposed Response       C/ 33 SC 33.3.5.3         Schindler, Fred       Comment Type         L 10       # 63         Simply       Suggested Remedy         X       schindler, Fred         Comment Type       ER         Replace " the PD to whith       Suggested Remedy         Suggested Remedy       " the connected PD."         Proposed Posponence       Proposed Posponence	0       L 40       # 62         Simply       K       CI 33       SC 33.3.2       P 65         Simply       Simply       Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       X         0       CI 33       SC 33.3.5.3       P 76         Schindler, Fred       Seen Simply       Seen Simply         X       Comment Type       ER       Comment Status         CI 33       SC 33.3.5.3       P 76         Schindler, Fred       Seen Simply       Seen Simply         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       X         Simply       X       Replace " the PD to which it is connected." with         SuggestedRemedy       " the connected PD."       " the connected PD."	0       Image: Constraint of the system of the

We should review the conventions and adapt variables to fit them.

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.1	P 32	L <b>20</b>	# 67	CI 33	SC 33.2	7	P <b>54</b>	L <b>36</b>	# 70
Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply			Schindler,	Fred		Seen Simply		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре ТБ	С	Comment Status X		
This text permits a ne will be the case.	w Type midspan to power the P	D using 4P bu	t it does not ensure this		parameter ap		ll Types. So does parame m 11.	eter items 13, 1	14, 15,16, 22, and 24.
customers to locate th	requiring legacy behavior permit nis potential problem. If a midsp ally the end-point PSE will power	an is placed b			,2,3,4 for val		n the above items.		
FOL ANU A FD, NUMB		uie FD.		Proposed	Response	Re	esponse Status <b>O</b>		
	ation can then be discovered re								
PSE. Upon discovery midspan always powe	<ul> <li>the admin may disable the enders the PD.</li> </ul>	1-point PSE po	ort to ensure the	C/ <b>33</b> Schindler.	SC 33.2	9.1.1	P <b>62</b> Seen Simply	L 28	# 71
If the existing text is u	sed the configuration may be di	iferent after ea	ach power cycle.	,					
SuggestedRemedy				Comment		-	Comment Status X		
Stike the added sente	ence.			ine i	ask Force s	ioula dete	ermine whether new Type	s may use AC	MPS.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			lf perr exam	nited severa ple, the mini	paramet num VPS	ers may need to be reche SE may need to drop from	eck to ensure ir 52V to a lowe	teroperability. For r value.
				Suggeste	dRemedy				
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.5 Schindler, Fred	P <b>38</b> Seen Simply	L 15	# 68		mine if the T dingly.	ask Force	e wants to have new Type	s use AC MPS	and adjust text
Comment Type TR Fix Typo for TCLf	Comment Status X			Proposed	Response	Re	esponse Status O		
SuggestedRemedy				CI 33	SC 33.2	7.4a	P 57	L 17	# 72
Use TCLF				Schindler,			Seen Simply		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Comment	Туре Е	-	Comment Status X		
				Suggeste					
C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 Schindler, Fred	P <b>47</b> Seen Simply	L <b>30</b>	# 69	Reco	mmend callir		out that this section applie must parse to discover w		beginning of this section
Comment Type <b>TR</b> A definition for Vport_	Comment Status X PSE-2p needs to be created.				Response		esponse Status <b>O</b>		
SuggestedRemedy									
	PSE-2p needs to be created.								
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>								

Cl 33 SC 33.3.5.3 Schindler, Fred	P <b>76</b> Seen Simply	L <b>29</b>	# 73	C/ 33 SC 33.6.3.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>105</b> Philips	L <b>35-4</b>	# 76
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X nts for Autoclass need to be co	overed.			Comment Status X till TBD for Class 5 and u	•	
	ne time over which the measur is used that is valid within TAL <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy PD_DLLMAX_VALUE = pd_max_power 5 399 pd_max_power 6 510 pd_max_power 7 620			
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Schindler, Fred	P <b>54</b> Seen Simply	L 36	# 74	pd_max_power 8 TBD	Response Status <b>O</b>		
combined Pclass of ea SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X wer of the PI. This may be eq ch pair-set for dual-signature F hat covers these exceptions. L Response Status <b>O</b>	Ds. This appl		Cl 33 SC 33.6.3.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T For Type 4 the Type max p LLDP is a way for the PD t A PSE that sources 99.9W	o request power beyond		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.7 Schindler, Fred Comment Type TR	P <b>42</b> Seen Simply Comment Status X	L <b>2</b>	# 75	SuggestedRemedy PD_DLLMAX_VALUE = pd_max_power 8 768 Proposed Response R	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Where is entry point "A SuggestedRemedy If "A1" is just another p Proposed Response	1" coming from? ortion of "A" replace "A1" with <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	"A."		Cl 33 SC 33.6.3.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T PD_INITIAL_VALUE is stil are known. SuggestedRemedy PD_DLLMAX_VALUE = pd_max_power 5 <= 39 pd_max_power 6 <= 51 pd_max_power 7 <= 62 pd_max_power 8 <= 71 Proposed Response	99 0 20	L <b>42-5</b> . Can now be filled	# 78

C/ 33 SC 33.6 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>104</b> Philips	L <b>24-2</b>	# 79	C/ 33 SC 3 Yseboodt, Lennart	3.2.6	P <b>49</b> Philips	L <b>34-3</b>	# 81
Comment Type <b>T</b> "Type 2 PDs that requ	Comment Status X ire more than 13.0 W support	Data Link Layer	classification (see	"Subsequent to		nment Status X tection, all Type 2 PS	Es perform classi	fication using at least
33.3.5). Data Link Layer classi	fication is optional for all other	r devices."		one of the following: 2-Ev Data Link Laye		yer classification; 2-E	event Physical Lay	er classification and
Last scentence needs	to be adjusted for Type 3 and	d 4.		classification; c	or 1-Event Phys	ical Layer classificati	on and Data Link	Layer classification."
SuggestedRemedy				2-Event should	l be Multiple-Ev	ent.		
Replace text by:	hat require more than 13.0 W	/ support Data Li	nk Laver classification	SuggestedRemedy	,			
(see 33.3.5).	fication is optional for all other		The Layer classification	"Subsequent to one of the	o successful de			fication using at least
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			classification a	nd Data Link La	ical Layer classificati ayer ical Layer classificati		
C/ 33 SC 33.6.2 /seboodt, Lennart	P <b>104</b> Philips	L 41	# 80	Proposed Respons	e Resp	oonse Status O		
Comment Type E "*A* Type 2, 3, and 4 F	Comment Status X PSEs shall send an LLDPDU o	containing"		CI 33 SC 3 Yseboodt, Lennart	3.2.9.1.1	P <b>63</b> Philips	L <b>1</b>	# 82
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type	E Con	nment Status X		
"Type 2, 3, and 4 PSE	s shall send an LLDPDU cont	taining"		The Table title	d "PSE PI paraı	meters for AC discon	nect-detection fun	ctions" is incorrectly
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			numbered Tab				
	, -			SuggestedRemedy Replace "Table		e "33-12".		

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 Yseboodt, Lennart	5 <b>.1</b> <i>P</i> <b>50</b> Philips	L <b>3</b>	# 83	C/ 33 SC 33.2. Yseboodt, Lennart		P <b>50</b> Philips	L 9-10	# 86
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment St	atus X		
specifications shall by T pdc in Table 33		SE-2P in 33.2.3 a	and timing	Type 2, Type 3 or	class event is Class the PD as a Type 2 mplete."		-	
SuggestedRemedy "Polarity shall be the specifications shall by T pdc in Table 33		SE-2P in 33.2.3 a	and timing	Layer classification	e 2 PSEs that use 1-f n. lot exists for Type 3 c	-	•	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy				
					class event is Class	4, a Type 1 F	PSE shall assign	the PD to Class 0; a
C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 Yseboodt, Lennart	5.1 P 50 Philips	L <b>5-6</b>	# 84	Type 2 PSE treats the PD as a complete."	Type 2 PD but may	provide Class	0 power until m	utual identification is
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Proposed Response	Response Sta	atus <b>O</b>		
current according to	)							
Table 33–6." I believe Table 33-9 <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> "The PSE shall mea current according to Table 33–9."	is meant (please check). asure the resultant I Class and o Response Status <b>O</b>	classify the PD ba	ased on the observed	SuggestedRemedy Change every inst	F <i>Comment St</i> ences to Table 33-7, ance of Table 33-7 to	all incorrect. Table 33-10	L 1-54	# 87
Table 33–6." I believe Table 33-9 SuggestedRemedy "The PSE shall mea current according to Table 33–9." Proposed Response	asure the resultant I Class and o			Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E There are 10 refer SuggestedRemedy	F <i>Comment St</i> ences to Table 33-7,	Philips atus X all incorrect.		# 87
Table 33â€"6."         I believe Table 33-9         SuggestedRemedy         "The PSE shall mea         current according to         Table 33â€"9."         Proposed Response         C/ 33       SC 33.2.6	asure the resultant I Class and o	classify the PD ba	ased on the observed # 85	Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E There are 10 refer SuggestedRemedy Change every inst Proposed Response	F Comment St ences to Table 33-7, ance of Table 33-7 to Response Sta 3	Philips atus X all incorrect. Table 33-10 atus O		# <u>87</u> # <u>88</u>
Table 33–6." I believe Table 33-9 SuggestedRemedy "The PSE shall mea current according to Table 33–9." Proposed Response	asure the resultant I Class and o Response Status O			Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E There are 10 refer SuggestedRemedy Change every inst Proposed Response	F Comment St ences to Table 33-7, ance of Table 33-7 to Response Sta 3	Philips atus X all incorrect. Table 33-10 atus <b>O</b>	in 33.2.6.2	
Table 33â€"6."         I believe Table 33-9         SuggestedRemedy         "The PSE shall mean current according to Table 33â€"9."         Proposed Response         C/ 33       SC 33.2.6         Seboodt, Lennart         Comment Type       E	asure the resultant I Class and o Response Status <b>O</b> 5.1 <i>P</i> 50 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> of I Class shall be taken after th	L 5-6	# 85	Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> E There are 10 refer <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change every inst <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>CI</i> 33 SC 33.2 Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> T In a 4P system, th	F Comment St ences to Table 33-7, ance of Table 33-7 to Response Sta 3	Philips atus X all incorrect. Table 33-10 atus O P 31 Philips atus X Table 33-2 in	in 33.2.6.2 <i>L</i> <b>8-23</b>	
Table 33–6."         I believe Table 33-9         SuggestedRemedy         "The PSE shall mea         current according to         Table 33–9."         Proposed Response         C/ 33       SC 33.2.6         Seboodt, Lennart         Comment Type       E         "All measurements         in Table 33–7."         Wrong Table refere	asure the resultant I Class and o Response Status <b>O</b> 5.1 <i>P</i> 50 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> of I Class shall be taken after th	L 5-6	# 85	Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> E There are 10 refer <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change every inst <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>CI</i> 33 SC 33.2. Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> T In a 4P system, th that either A or B o	F Comment Sta ences to Table 33-7, ance of Table 33-7 to Response Sta 3 F Comment Sta e word Alternative in	Philips atus X all incorrect. Table 33-10 atus O P 31 Philips atus X Table 33-2 in	in 33.2.6.2 <i>L</i> <b>8-23</b>	
Table 33–6." I believe Table 33-9 SuggestedRemedy "The PSE shall mea current according to Table 33–9." Proposed Response C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E "All measurements in Table 33–7." Wrong Table refere SuggestedRemedy	asure the resultant I Class and o Response Status <b>O</b> 5.1 <i>P</i> 50 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> of I Class shall be taken after th	L 5-6	# 85	Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> E There are 10 refer <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change every inst <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>CI</i> 33 SC 33.2. Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> T In a 4P system, th that either A or B of <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Rename "Alternati	F Comment St ences to Table 33-7, ance of Table 33-7 to Response Sta 3 F Comment St e word Alternative in can be chosen but no ve" to "Configuration	Philips atus X all incorrect. Table 33-10 atus O P 31 Philips atus X Table 33-2 in t both.	in 33.2.6.2 <i>L</i> <b>8-23</b> nplies	
Table 33–6." I believe Table 33-9 SuggestedRemedy "The PSE shall mea current according to Table 33–9." Proposed Response CI 33 SC 33.2.6 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E "All measurements in Table 33–7." Wrong Table refere SuggestedRemedy "All measurements	asure the resultant I Class and o <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>1</b> <i>P</i> <b>50</b> Philips <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> of I Class shall be taken after th nce.	L 5-6	# 85	Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> E There are 10 refer <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change every inst <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>CI</i> 33 SC 33.2. Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> T In a 4P system, th that either A or B of <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Rename "Alternati	F Comment Sta ences to Table 33-7, ance of Table 33-7 to Response Sta 3 5 Comment Sta e word Alternative in can be chosen but no	Philips atus X all incorrect. Table 33-10 atus O P 31 Philips atus X Table 33-2 in t both.	in 33.2.6.2 <i>L</i> <b>8-23</b> nplies	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.4 Yseboodt, Lennart	4 P 37 Philips	L <b>37-3</b>	# 89	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5. Yseboodt, Lennart	1 P 44 Philips	L <b>25, 4</b>	# 92
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
"or a PSE that has ha	ardware limitation."			Figure numbers 33-1	and 33-2 are incorrect, also re	ferences to them	incorrect.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
"or a PSE that has a	hardware limitation."			Figure 33-1 => Figur			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Figure 33-2 => Figur	e 33-12		
				References to fix: Lines: 10, 29 and 44,	/45		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	7 P 59 Philips	L 19	# 90	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E "A PSE may remove SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X power from a pair-set of a PI i	f the *the* pair-se	t current"	<i>Cl</i> <b>33</b> <i>SC</i> <b>33.3.3</b> . Yseboodt, Lennart	<b>4a</b> P <b>69</b> Philips	L <b>8</b>	# 93
"A PSE may remove	power from a pair-set of a PI i	f the pair-set curre	ent"	Comment Type E Bad reference to Tab	Comment Status X		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy Table 33-7 => Table			
C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.3	3 P 68	L 16-3	# 91	Proposed Response			
seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X						
	from pse_dll_power_type to ps ype of the PSE connected. is a more apt name.	se_dll_power_leve	ıl,				
SuggestedRemedy Rename pse_dll_pov	wer_level to pse_dll_power_typ	e or to pse_dll_ty	ре				
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

C/ 33         SC 33.3.3.4a         P 69         L 12-1         # 94           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips	CI 33         SC 33.3.8         P 85         L 1-4         # 96           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> "Type 3 MPS: A control variable that indicates to the PD the Type of PSE to which it is connected. This variable is used to indicate which MPS timing requirements (see 33.3.8) the PD should use. Values: TRUE: The PSE uses Type 3 MPS requirements. FALSE: The PSE uses Type 1 MPS requirements." Bad variable name. Type description incomplete. SuggestedRemedy "short_mps: A control variable that indicates to the PD the Type of PSE to which it is connected. This variable is used to indicate which MPS timing requirements (see 33.3.8) the PD should use. Values:	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         The note is only correct for PDs that draw lport continuously.       PDs that make use of duty cycling will need to take measures also with smaller capacitors.         PDs that draw just lport_mps with the minimum duty cycle (all types) also get in trouble with even the smallest allowed Cport.         SuggestedRemedy       Replace note by:         PDs may not be able to meet the I Port_MPS specification in Table 33â €"19 during the maximum allowed port         voltage droop (V Port_PSE max to V Port_PSE min with series resistance R Ch ).         Such a PD should increase its I Port min or make other such provisions to meet the Maintain         Power Signature.         Proposed Response       Response Status
TRUE: The PSE uses Type 3, 4 MPS requirements. FALSE: The PSE uses Type 1, 2 MPS requirements." Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Cl 33 SC 33.3.1 P 65 L 6 # 97 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X
C/ 33         SC 33.3.8         P 84         L 24         # 95           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips	In Table 33-13, conductor 2, mistyped Positive V_p SuggestedRemedy Replace by "Positive V_PD"
Comment Type E Comment Status X "The MPS is made up of current draw equal to or above lport_MPS for a" SuggestedRemedy "The MPS consists of current draw equal to or above lport_MPS for a"	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	

Cl 33         SC 33.2.7         P 54         L 9         # 101           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Comment Type TR Comment Status X
Per Table 33-11: Type 3,4 PSE must deliver 0.5*Pclass / Vport_PSE-2P. In case the the PSE power over 2P then Icon-2P is off by factor 2.
SuggestedRemedy
Split Type 3,4 up into Type 3,4 in 2P mode and Type 3,4 in 4P mode. The 2P mode: lcon-2p(min) = Pclass / VPort_PSE-2P The 4P mode: lcon-2p(min) = 0.5*Pclass / VPort_PSE-2P
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
Cl 33 SC 33.2.8 P61 L 52 # 102
Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Comment Type T Comment Status X
requested by the PD based on the PD's class." This is open for misinterpretation: the power 'requested by the PD' can be higher than t maximum power of the PDs class due to power demotion.
SuggestedRemedy
A PSE does not initiate power provision to a link if the PSE is unable to provide the
maximum power level of the PDs assigned class.
Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>77</b> Philips	L <b>27-3</b>	# 103	C/ 33 SC 33.3. Yseboodt, Lennart	P 64 Philips	L 38	# 105
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
class of the Type. PDs in Class 1,2,5 and 7 Hence their design calls	age for a PD VPort_PD-2P( 7 will never see a voltage a for an input voltage operat does not determine the mi e from a Type 1 PSE.	is low as currently ting window that is	specified. unnecessarily wide.	conductors." This statement is v Type 3 and 4 PDs a This text should be SuggestedRemedy	apable of accepting power on e alid for Type 1 & Type 2. are required to support 4P powe in line with Table 33-13a and w	ər.	
SuggestedRemedy Base minimum PD volta	ge on PD assigned class ra	ather than Type.			PDs shall be capable of accept PDs shall be capable of accept		
VPort_PD-2P(min) = Class 1: 42.2V Class 2: 40.8V				Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>	51	
Class 3: 37.0V Class 4: 42.5V Class 5: 44.4V Class 6: 42.5V Class 7: 43.0V				Cl 33 SC 33.3. Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type TR	2 P 65 Philips Comment Status X	L 33	# 106
Class 8: 41.2V Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>				nn DLL classification, Type 1 / n, optional would be more apt.	13W row, content	= "May be".
2/ <b>33</b> SC <b>33.3.1</b>	P 64	L 38	# 104	SuggestedRemedy Replace "May be" See replacement ta	vith "Optional". able suggestion in yseboodt_D0	04_Table_33-13a_	v100.pdf
rseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X defined for the PSE, but a	lso used and valid	for a PD.				
The term pair-set is only				C/ 33 SC 33.3.2	2 <i>P</i> 65	L 37	# 107
SuggestedRemedy Insert "A pair-set in a PE	D refers to either of the cond and Mode B."	ductor sets." after	"The two conductor	Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type <b>T</b>	Philips Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Insert "A pair-set in a PE sets are named Mode A		ductor sets." after	"The two conductor	Comment Type <b>T</b> Table 33-13a, colu	•		
SuggestedRemedy Insert "A pair-set in a PE	and Mode B."	ductor sets." after	"The two conductor	Comment Type T Table 33-13a, colu There is no reason SuggestedRemedy Replace "Yes" by " row "Type 3, 13W"	Comment Status X mn DLL classification, Type 3 / for a Type 3 13W (Class 3 may Optional" in the column "Data L	k) PD to have mar ink Layer Classific	idatory DLL support.

C/ 33 SC 33.3.2	P 66	L <b>4-10</b>	# 108	CI 33 SC	33.2.6	P 47	L 30-3	# 110
rseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, Lenna	art	Philips		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X		
"Type 3 PDs operating up implement both 1-Event Physical Layer Classificati 1-Event class signature of 0,1,2, or 3."				R Ch max w using two-pa to arrive at c	hen power airs, or R C over-	blementations may use V PSE ing han = R Ch max/2 when pow own in Table 33–4."		
There is no reason for a T	ype 3 13W (Class 3 max)	PD to require DL	L support.			d*** should be removed. edundant. R Ch is the maxim	num DC loop resi	stance of a pairset
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedReme				
"Type 3 PDs operating up implement a minimum of 1-Event Physical Layer cla 3.				1: remove a 2: change R	nd	Rch		
	Response Status O		" [100]	= R_Ch whe using two-pa	n powering airs, or R_0	olementations may use V_PSI g Chan = R_Ch/2 when powerin own in Table 33–4."		_
C/ 33 SC 33.3.2 Seboodt, Lennart	P <b>65</b> Philips	L -	# 109	Proposed Respo	onse	Response Status O		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X							
Table 33-13a lists the max does		Type 3 (51W) and	Type 4 (71.3W) it	CI 33 SC Yseboodt, Lenna	<b>33.1.4</b> art	P <b>22</b> Philips	L 10	# 111
not take extended power in	no account.			Comment Type	т	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Possible solutions:				"Rchan".		nannel Pair-set maximum DC		
Replace power values with		in (preierred).			-	nan is the actual DC loop resis	stance in a syster	n.
That column would look lik				SuggestedReme		L. 000 0 0040 (b):		Dat
PD Class				Replace Rch		In 802.3-2012 this parameter	was also called I	Rch.
PD Class * 0-3 * 4								
PD Class * 0-3 * 4 * 0-3 * 4 (line removed) * 4-6				Proposed Respo	onse	Response Status 0		
PD Class * 0-3 * 4 * 0-3 * 4 (line removed)	ggestion in yseboodt D0	4_Table_33-13a \	/100.pdf	Proposed Respo	onse	Response Status <b>O</b>		

IEEE P802.3bt D0.2 DTE Power via M	DI over 4-Pair 2nd Tasl	k Force review comments
------------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

CI 33 SC 33.2.6 P 48-49 L - # 112	C/ 33 SC 33.1.1 P 19 L 52 # 115
Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Comment Type E Comment Status X Table 33-8 PSE and PD classification permutations is unduly difficult to read. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Reference to ISO/IEC 11801:1995. In other parts of Clause 33 we refer to ISO/IEC 11801:2002 for channel parameters
Replacement table suggested in yseboodt_d04_Table_33_8_v100.pdf Content of the table identical to the one in D0.4	ISO/IEC 11801:1995 has been withdrawn by ISO. SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	Change ISO/IEC 11801:1995 to ISO/IEC 11801:2002
	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
CI 33 SC 33.1.4 P 22 L 23 # 113	
Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	C/ 33         SC 33.1.4         P 22         L 15-1         # 116           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
Comment Type E Comment Status X	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type TR Comment Status X
system resistance unbalance." Better to refer to class. SuggestedRemedy	In other parts of Clause 33 we refer to ISO/IEC 11801:2002 for channel parameters ISO/IEC 11801:1995 has been withdrawn by ISO. SuggestedRemedy
"In Type 3, Class 6 Operation, the current per pair-set might be impacted by pair to pair	Change ISO/IEC 11801:1995 to ISO/IEC 11801:2002
system resistance unbalance."	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
Proposed Response Response Status O	
Proposed Response Response Status O	
C/ 33 SC 33.1.4 P 22 L 21 # 114	C/ 33         SC 33.2.3         P 31         L 1         # 117           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.1	P 32	L 20-2	# 118	C/ 33	SC 33.2.6	P <b>48</b>	L 12	# 121
rseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Ysebood	, Lennart	Philips		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Commen	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
	PSE that is capable of deliveri neously is not required to mee meet and backoff				lso possible for a	es 4, the Number of Classifica PSE to produce 3 classifica		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy			
	PSE that is capable of deliveri neously is not required to mee				ice "2" by "2 or 3			
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>	t the backen alge		Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			# <u>[</u>	CI 33	SC 33.6.3.2	P 106	L 13-1	# 122
C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>48-49</b> Philips	L -	# 119	Ysebood	, Lennart	Philips		
,	•			Commen	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X tly broken up over pages 48 a	and 49			INITIAL_VALUE	is still TBD for Class 5 and u	ıp. Can now be fil	led out since PD
	aly bloken up over pages 40 a	and <del>4</del> 5.		•				
SuggestedRemedy	10			Suggeste				
Close table on page 4 Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			mr_p mr_p mr_p	INITIAL_VALUE d_class_detected d_class_detected d_class_detected d_class_detected	d 5 399 d 6 510 d 7 620		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.5 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>40</b> Philips	L 1 <b>9-2</b>	# 120		Response	Response Status O		
shall meet the PI elec choose to meet the el	Comment Status X a PD of a lower Type than its trical requirements of PSE Ty lectrical requirements of a gre P, T LIM-2P, and P Type (se	vpe that matches t ater Type (up to it	he PD Type, but may s maximum capability)					
Unclear and grammat	tically dubious sentence.							
SuggestedRemedy								
electrical requirement of the PSE Type that The PSE may choose I Con-2P, I LIM-2P, equal than the	a PD of a lower Type than its s corresponds to the connected to apply the requirements fo T LIM-2P and P Type (see Ta or equal than the PD Type.	l PD Type. r						
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>0</b>							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>59</b> Philips	L 19-2	# 123	C/ <b>33</b> Yseboodt	SC <b>33.3.7</b> , Lennart	P <b>78</b> Philips	L <b>45-4</b>	# 125
In case a PD is drawing First one pairset excee Then the full current of	Comment Status X ower from a pair-set of a PI if g too much current, this can d ds, and gets disconnected aft the PD gets transferred to the shutdown time is doubled.	ouble the shutdover Tlim.	vn time.	Suggeste Add e	8 and 9, Input cu dRemedy	Comment Status X urrent transient and PI capa e 3 and 4 with TBD. Response Status O	citance are only list	ted for Type 1 and 2
Some textual clarification uggestedRemedy "A PSE may remove pre- exceeds the 'PSE lower in Figure 33-14, when of A PSE may remove po- the 'PSE lowerbound ter in Figure 33-14, when of Power shall be remove 'PSE upperbound temp when connected to a si Power shall be remove	ower from both pair-sets of a rbound template' connected to a single signature wer from a pair-set of a PI if i amplate' connected to a dual signature d from both pair-sets of a PI b blate' in Figure 33-14, ingle signature PD. d from a pair-set of a PI before	PI if any pair-set o re PD. rs pair-set current PD. pefore any pair-se	eurrent meets or meets or exceeds t current exceeds the	Suggeste Add e	t Type <b>T</b> 11, Von/Voff only dRemedy	P78 Philips Comment Status X listed for Type 1 and 2. e 3 and 4 with TBD. Response Status 0	L 45-4	# <u>126</u>
upperbound template' i when connected to a d roposed Response	n Figure 33-14, ual signature PD." <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>			CI 33 Yseboodt Comment	tType E	Philips Comment Status X	L 33-3	# [127
7 33 SC 33.3.8 seboodt, Lennart	P <b>84</b> Philips	L <b>40</b>	# 124	value	s determined by tion (33-19a) whe	ation, insertion loss for **Mi n measured **fro** the **tra		
Comment Type E Reference to Zac2 in T This should be Table 3 See other comment on	3-12, but note, Table 33-12 is	erroneously liste	d as Table 33-1.	Mispa fro ->	<i>dRemedy</i> an -> Midspan from it -> transmit			
SuggestedRemedy Change reference to Ta	able 33-12.			Proposed	l Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

	P97 L1 hilips	# 128	C/ 33         SC 33.3.2         P 66         L 4-8         # 132           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
Comment Type E Comment Star Table "Connector return loss" should be			Comment Type E Comment Status X 'Max power' should be 'Maximum power' (two instances)
uggestedRemedy Replace Table 33-1 by Table 33-20.			SuggestedRemedy Replace 'Max power' by 'Maximum power'
roposed Response Response Stat	tus <b>O</b>		Proposed Response Response Status O
	P 96 L 50 hilips	# 129	Cl         33         SC         33.6.3.3         P 108         L         38-4         # 133           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
Comment Type E Comment Star Reference to Table 33-1 wrong.	tus X		Comment Type E Comment Status X 'Max power' should be 'Maximum power' (two instances)
SuggestedRemedy Replace Table 33-1 by Table 33-20.			SuggestedRemedy Replace 'Max power' by 'Maximum power'
Proposed Response Response Stat	tus <b>O</b>		Proposed Response Response Status O
	P 62 L 30-3 nilips	# 130	Cl         33         SC         33.3.2         P 66         L 10         # 134           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
Comment Type E Comment Stat	tus X		Comment Type T Comment Status X
Reference to Table 33-1 wrong. SuggestedRemedy Replace Table 33-1 by Table 33-12. Proposed Response Response Stat	tus <b>O</b>		"Type 3 and Type 4 PDs operating with a max power draw corresponding to Class 4 or greater implement both multiple-Event Physical Layer classification (see 33.3.5.2) and Data Link Layer classification (see 33.6) and advertise a class signature of 4, 5, 6, or 7." Class 8 missing.
	P 64 L 18 nilips tus X	# [ <u>131</u>	SuggestedRemedy "Type 3 and Type 4 PDs operating with a max power draw corresponding to Class 4 or greater implement both multiple-Event Physical Layer classification (see 33.3.5.2) and Data Link Layer classification (see 33.6) and advertise a class signature of 4, 5, 6, 7, or 8."
SuggestedRemedy Replace Table 33-1 by Table 33-12.			Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Stat	tus <b>O</b>		

C/ 33 SC 33.3.5.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>74</b> Philips	L 14	# 135	C/ 33 SC 33.4.9.13 Shariff, Masood	P <b>97</b> CommScope	L 5 # 137
Event classification, Ty draw corresponding	Comment Status X cation is a subset of Multiple- ype 2, Type 3 and Type 4 PD espond to 1-Event classificati	s operating with a		Connector RL is not correct SuggestedRemedy Use the following for the first		
Class 8 missing.				10/100/1000BASE-T 1 MH 20 MHz < f <= 1		)
	cation is a subset of Multiple- /pe 2, Type 3 and Type 4 PD		a maximum power	Proposed Response R	esponse Status O	
to class 4, 5, 6, 7, or 8	respond to 1-Event classification	ation with a Class	4 signature			
Pronosed Response	•		4 signature.			
C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.3	Response Status O	L 34	# <u>136</u>			
C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.3 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type T	Response Status <b>O</b>	L 34	# <u>136</u>			
C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.3 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T "4: The PSE is deliverir	Response Status O P 68 Philips Comment Status X	L 34	# <u>136</u>			
Cl 33 SC 33.3.3.3 Seboodt, Lennart Comment Type T "4: The PSE is deliverir less." Should be Class 8.	Response Status O P 68 Philips Comment Status X	L 34	# <u>136</u>			
Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type T "4: The PSE is deliverin less." Should be Class 8. SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P 68 Philips Comment Status X	L 34 ower or Class 7 p	# 136			

CI 33 SC 33.2.	7 P 54	L 12	# 138	CI 33	SC 33.1.4		P <b>21</b>	L <b>50</b>	# 139
Darshan, Yair	Microsemi			Jones, Ch	lad	(	Cisco		
omment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment St	atus X		
specified in Table 3 new row in Table 3 In Extended power	a: er that Icont-2P-unb for extended 33-11 item 4. It will be adressed 3-11 to defined the maximum Ico , Ppd at short cable will be highe so the same case with Type 4.	in seperate work ont-2P_Ufor extern	and will required two nded power.	TECH Move was e P802.	INOLOGY as much of the Intered as a trac 3REVbx/D2.0 c	e cabling specifica cking mechanism	ition to cablin for Thompso allot. Resolu	ng documents as on Comment #59	RACASI S.A./LINEAR possible. (This RR against nent was given over to
Ma will pood copo	ato requirements for DD that we	ant to use extend	ad power were the	Suggeste	dRemedy				
We will need separate requirements for PD that want to use extended power were the burden will be on PD to limit P2P_lunb and Ipeak PD_Peak power so total effect on current will be cost effective. This need more work.				See attached sheet for proposed new text. (http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/maint_1271.pdf, page 2)					
	It worst case we need to set Pclass_PD=Pclass(PSE) which I did already few month ago nd waiting to finish first the typical use cases.			Proposed	Response	Response Sta	atus <b>O</b>		
We have the result for the typical use	s for extended power with the sa cases:	ame system unba	lance parameters used	C/ 33	SC 33.1.4.	1	P 22	L 41	# 140
	00mA, Icont-2P_unb=Icable=77			Jones, Ch	ad	(	Cisco		
	65mA, Icont-2P_unb=Icable=10 especified to allow transformer d		se condition after	Comment	Туре Т	Comment St	atus X		
TIA will have to tell	quirement for PD in order to redu us regarding temperature rise if	total 4P total cur	rent is 2*lcable per		enance WG Ba INEAR TECHN	llot comment #59 IOLOGY	on behalf of	GEOFF THOMP	SON, GRACASI
Table 33-1, what if total 4P current is kept but one of the pairs has the above pair with maximum Icont-2P_unb and the other pair has the rest, if they expect increase in temperature rise. Based on mathematical work that I did, I expect that it will not affect temperature rise over the cable.			ct increase in	(through line 6, i.e. the first paragraph of 33.1.4.1) Simplify the first paragraph by updating the reference to the 2002 version of 11801 which incorporates the additional requirement.					rsion of 11801 which
uggestedRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy				
[Editorial note: Icor Pclass_PD is very minimum resistance extended power, w	below Table 33-11 as follows: at-2P and Ipeak_2P need to be a close to Pclass. It will result with e but will not change the total 4F e will have to add two new rows ower will not change]	higher currents of current. For the	on the pair with above parameters in	Opera requir ANSI/ A.	ements are also /TIA-568-C.2; o	lass D, or better, o o met by Category r Category 5 cable	5e or better and compo	r cable and components as specified	C 11801:2002. These onents as specified in d in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568 the referenced cabling
oposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>					over this material.		-	

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 33 SC 33.1.3	P <b>21</b>	L 38	# 141	CI 33	SC 33.1.4.2	P 23	L 10	# 143
Jones, Chad	Cisco			Jones, Cha	ad	Cisco		

Comment Type **T** Comment Status X

Maintenance Request #1273 on behalf of George Zimmerman, CME Consulting/LTC

Text in the existing standard is ambiguous and is inconsistent with the more precise definition in the definitions section. The imprecise language "generic term" does not point to a specific interface point necessary for the specifications attached to the PI, including a pin-out. In contrast the language in the definitions section is more precise.

# SuggestedRemedy

Change: The Power Interface (PI) is the generic term that refers to the mechanical and electrical interface between the PSE or PD and the transmission medium. To: The Power Interface (PI) is the mechanical and electrical interface between the Power Sourcing Equipment (PSE) or Powered Device (PD) and the transmission medium as defined in 1,4,324 (1,4,336 in P802,3bx/D2.0). In an Endpoint PSE and in a PD the Power Interface is the MDI as defined in 1.4.256 (1.4.268 in P802.3bx/D2.0)

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

C/ 33	SC 33.3.1	P 64	L <b>53</b>	# 142
Jones, C	had	Cisco		

Comment Status X Comment Type т

Maintenance Request #1274 on behalf of George Zimmerman, CME Consulting/LTC

Text in the existing standard is ambiguous and is inconsistent with terminations and usage commonly found in Ethernet equipment. The intent is to require PDs to be able to withstand application of common-mode PoE voltage. Application of 57V DC voltages in across the pins corresponding to the two pairs twisted differentially to form a balanced pair of the link segment would run a DC current across the transformer windings commonly found in BASE-T Ethernet equipment and burn them out.

### SuggestedRemedy

Change: The PD shall withstand any voltage from 0 V to 57 V at the PI indefinitely without permanent damage.

To:The PD shall withstand any common-mode voltage from 0 V to 57 V applied to any two sets of two pins at the PI indefinitely without permanent damage. The two pins in each set shall correspond to the balanced twisted wire pairs of the connected link segment

Proposed Response Response Status 0

CI 33	SC 33.1.4.2	P 23	L 10	# 143
Jones, Chad		Cisco		
Comment Ty	vpe T	Comment Status X		

Maintenance WG Ballot comment #60 on behalf of GEOFF THOMPSON. GRACASI S.A./LINEAR TECHNOLOGY

#### (through line 28, i.e. the entirety of 33,1,4,2)

The first sentence should be deleted. It would be appropriately handled by updating the reference to 11801 to the 2002 edition which precisely matches this requirement with the following text: 6.4.8 Direct current (d.c.) resistance unbalance The d.c. resistance unbalance between the two conductors within each pair of a channel shall not exceed 3 % for all classes. This shall be achieved by design. The remainder of 33.1.4.2 should be deleted as it is purely informative/tutorial material on cabling parameter measurement. It is more appropriate to the referenced cabling

documentation. If 802.3 strongly feels that it needs to be retained in our document then it should be moved to an informative annex. (Ref: 2014 Style Manual, cl. 10.1, last paragraph)

## SuggestedRemedy

With both of these actions being taken, the entire sub-clause should be deleted.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID