Cl 33 Schindle	SC <b>33.1</b>	<i>P</i> Seen Simply	L 11	# 58	C/ 33 SC 33. Jones, Chad	1.3	P <b>21</b> Cisco	L <b>38</b>	# 141
	eral new addition	Comment Status D s use the construct choice1/cho ne of this construction are used			Comment Type <b>1</b> Maintenance Re		Comment Status D #1273 on behalf of George Z	Zimmerman, CME	Definitions E Consulting/LTC
Sugaeste	edRemedy		0 /				ndard is ambiguous and is in		
00		ructs with words. For example,					ons section. The imprecise la oint necessary for the speci		
Thes	se enitites allow	devices to draw or supply			pin-out. In contra	ist the	language in the definitions s	ection is more pr	recise.
Propose	d Response	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy				
		renced on line 11 is existing tex naintenance request.	t that we have	not changed. This	electrical interfac	e betw	erface (PI) is the generic ter veen the PSE or PD and the	transmission me	edium.
PRC	POSED REJEC	Т.					e (PI) is the mechanical and SE) or Powered Device (PD		
CI 33	SC 33.1.1	P 19	L <b>52</b>	# 115			336 in P802.3bx/D2.0). In an defined in 1.4.256 (1.4.268		
Ysebood	t, Lennart	Philips			Proposed Response		Response Status W		
Commer		Comment Status D		Cabling	PROPOSED AC	CEPT.			
In ot		C 11801:1995. Ise 33 we refer to ISO/IEC 1180 has been withdrawn by ISO.	)1:2002 for cha	nnel parameters.	EZ				
Suggeste	edRemedy								
Char	nge ISO/IEC 118	801:1995 to ISO/IEC 11801:200	2						
Propose	d Response	Response Status W							
PRC	POSED ACCEF	РТ.							
F7									

ΕZ

C/ 33 SC 33.1.3

C/ 33 SC 33.1.4 Jones, Chad	<i>P</i> <b>21</b> Cisco	L <b>50</b>	# 139	C/ <b>33</b> Yseboodt,	SC 33.1.4	P <b>22</b> Philips	L 10	# 111
	Comment Status D		Cabling	Comment		Comment Status D		Cabling
Maintenance Request #12 TECHNOLOGY		THOMPSON, GR	0	Table 3 "Rchar	33-1 lists the "C n".	hannel Pair-set maximum DC	·	parameter name as
Move as much of the cabli was entered as a tracking r P802.3REVbx/D2.0 during P802.3bt as they will have	nechanism for Thompson initial WG ballot. Resolu	n Comment #59	against			In 802.3-2012 this paramete 1.	r was also called	Rch.
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed I	Response	Response Status W		
See attached sheet for pro (http://www.ieee802.org/3/r		71.pdf, page 2)		-	OSED ACCEPT			
Proposed Response R	esponse Status W			EZ				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F	PRINCIPLE.			CI 33	SC 33.1.4	P <b>22</b>	L 15-1	# 116
A number of these shapes	a hava already haan adar	and The two re	maining changes are	Yseboodt,	Lennart	Philips		
A number of these change	s have already been adop	bled. The two re	maining changes are.	Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		Cabling
Replacing the first sentenc "A power system, consists		PD and the link	section connecting	In othe		11801:1995. e 33 we refer to ISO/IEC 118 has been withdrawn by ISO.	01:2002 for chanı	nel parameters.
them. A power system is characterized as Type 1 or see Table 33–1."	Type 2 by lowest type nu	umber of the PSE	or PD in the system,	<i>Suggested</i> Chang		1:1995 to ISO/IEC 11801:20	02	
and replacing the first para subclause to "Cabling requ		s well as changin	g the title of the	Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEP1	Response Status W		
"The supply of power over requirements to the cabling normally installed for data in attention. Power at Type 1 power levels may be transmin restrictions. Higher power I require heavier gauge conduction uncommonly) in some light gauge Class D or better can better cable and component specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-	y that is usage. This is approximation nitted over all specified p evels may ductors than are found in ter ble. The requirements for hts as	tely true but may remises cabling Class C/Categor	require some further without further y 3 cabling and (more	ΕZ				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 33 SC 33.1.4

C/ 33         SC 33.1.4         P 22         L 21         # 11           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi	C/ 33         SC 33.1.4         P 22         L 21         # 114           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
Comment TypeTComment StatusDCablingTable 33-1.Some of the TBD parameters can be updated per the work done at page 10 of: http://www.ieee802.org/3/bt/public/mar15/darshan_01_0315_rev009a.pdf.Table 33-1 need to be revised per the folowing proposal. Please see attached "Draft D0.4: Revised Table 33-1.pdf: The parameters are: Type 4 Icable: 0.962A (TIA guys will have to tell us the # of cables max etc. later) In addition, the following TBD parameters can be updated as well: Cable Type: same as in Type 3 and adding a text notifying number of cables per bundle TBD. This will be delivered by TIA etc. Loop resistance: Same as for Type 3. To add new row that specify Type 4 parameter for new and better cable that allows 100 cables per bundle. In this row, cabling Type, loop resistance is TBDs.	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       Cabling         Icable for Type 4 is TBD.       SuggestedRemedy       We have adopted 99.9W as the maximum allowed Ptype. Icable = (99.9W / 52V) / 2 = 0.960 A (+footnote ref 3) 3: "In Type 4, Class 8 Operation, the current per pair-set might be impacted by pair to pair system resistance unbalance."       W         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Possible OBE by comment #11.         Partial OBE by comment #12.
<ul> <li>SuggestedRemedy Table 33-1 to update the following Type 4 parameters (See attached "Draft D0.4: Revised Table 33-1.pdf" document": <ol> <li>Type 4 Icable: 0.962A.</li> <li>Cable Type: same as in Type 3. Add note below table: "Number of cables per boundle TBD per TBD standard.</li> <li>Loop resistance: Same as for Type 3.</li> <li>To add new row that specify Type 4 parameter for new and better cable that allows 100 cables per bundle. In this row, cabling Type, loop resistance is TBDs. The current is the same as in step 1.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Cl 33       SC 33.1.4       P 22       L 22       # 4         Maguire, Valerie       Siemon       Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       Cabling         Clarify type of unbalance (i.e. resistance or current)       SuggestedRemedy       Cabling       Cabling         SuggestedRemedy       Replace "inter-pair unbalance" with "inter-pair resistance unbalance"       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       PRINCIPLE.       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Need referenced document.	OBE by comment #50. EZ

C/ 33 SC 33.1.4

						-						
C/ 33	SC	33.1.4	P <b>22</b>	L <b>22</b>	# 50	C/ 33	SC	33.1.4	F	22	L <b>23</b>	# 113
Beia, Chri	stian		STMicroelectro	onics		Yseboodt	, Lenna	rt	Phi	lips		
Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		Cabling	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Statu	is <b>D</b>		Cablin
resista	ance un	balance,	refers to Annex 33A inaccuration not about inter-pair unbalance		channel pair to pair	"In Ty	pe 3, 6	elow Table 0W Opera tance unb	ation, the current pe	er pair-se	et might be impacte	ed by pair to pair
Suggestee		dy						er to class.				
Repla See ir With:		ive annex	33A for inter-pair unbalance.			<i>Suggeste</i> "In Ty		•	eration, the current	per pair	-set might be impa	cted by pair to pair
			33A for Channel pair to pair r	esistance unba	lance.			tance unb	alance."			
Proposed			Response Status W			Proposed			Response Statu	s W		
PROF	POSED	ACCEPT				PROF	POSED	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			
EZ						OBE	by com	ment #12.				
Cl <b>33</b> Darshan, '		33.1.4	P <b>22</b> Microsemi	L <b>23</b>	# 12	EZ						
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		Cabling	<i>CI</i> <b>33</b> Jones, Ch		33.1.4.1	F Cis	° <b>22</b> co	L <b>41</b>	# 140
			t for Type 3 and 4 but yet it is	reffering to Ty	pe 3 only.	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Statu	ıs <b>D</b>		Cablin
Suggestee	dReme	dy	W operation, the current		-			WG Ballo	ot comment #59 on DLOGY	behalf o	of GEOFF THOMPS	SON, GRACASI
To:	-		tion, the current See of			Simpl	ify the f	first paragi	first paragraph of 3 raph by updating th onal requirement.			rsion of 11801 which
Proposed	•		Response Status W			Suggeste	dReme	dy				
PROF EZ	POSED	ACCEPT				Opera	ation re		ss D, or better, cab			C 11801:2002. These onents as specified in
						ANSI/ A.	/TIA-56	8-C.2; or (	Category 5 cable a	nd comp	onents as specified	d in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-
									of this clause can ver this material.	remain u	unchanged unless i	he referenced cabling
						Proposed PROF		nse ACCEPT	Response Statu	s W		
						EZ						

C/ 33 SC 33.1.4.1

Use correct draft Standards name SuggestedRemedy Globally replace "TSB-184A" with "TSB-184-A" (3 locations) Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.  EZ C/ 33 SC 33.1.4.2 P 23 L 10 # 143 Jones, Chad Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status D Cabling Maintenance WG Ballot comment #60 on behalf of GEOFF THOMPSON, GRACASI S.A./LINEAR TECHNOLOGY (through line 28, i.e. the entirety of 33.1.4.2) The first sentence should be deleted. It would be appropriately handled by updating the reference to 11801 to the 2002 edition which precisely matches this requirement with the following text: 6.4.8 Direct current (d.c.) resistance unbalance The d.c. resistance unbalance between the two conductors within each pair of a channel shall ont evereed 3. <sup>K</sup> for all classes. This shall be achieved by design in	C/ 33 SC 33.1.4.1 Maguire, Valerie	P <b>23</b> Siemon	L <b>5</b>	# 1	C/ 33 SC 33.2.0 Schindler, Fred	1 P 24 Seen Simply	L <b>29</b>	# 59
SuggestedRemedy       Can operate as 2-pair under fault conditions         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       "May" provides permission whereas "can" states ability.         EZ       "May" provides constructs using "can" that provide permission with "may." End notes containing these constructs with a period.         C/ 33       SC 33.14.2       P 23       L 10       # [143]         Danes, Chad       Cisco       "Cabling         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         S.A./LINEAR TECHNOLOGY       The first sentence should be deleted. It would be appropriately handled by updating the reference to 11801 to the 2002 edition which precisely matches this requirement with the following text. 6.4.8 Direct current (d.2, resistance unbalance       P24       L 24       # [38]         Diveley, David       Linear Technology       T       Comment Status       D       Table 33-18: 76W class is missing         SuggestedRemedy       Mintenance with a perior to an informative annex. (Ref: 2014 Style Manual, cl. 10.1, last paragraph)       Typ       T       Comment Status       D       Typ         SuggestedRemedy       With both of these actions being taken, the entire sub-clause should be deleted.       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         Proposed Response       Response Status       W       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Typ         SuggestedRemedy       Add row for 75				Cabling	New text in the spec		er than the wore	<i>Type</i> d may.
PROPOSED ACCEPT.         EZ         C1 33 SC 33.1.4.2       P 23 L 10 # 143         Jones, Chad       Cisco         Comment Type T Comment Status D       Cabling         Maintenance WG Ballot comment #60 on behalf of GEOFF THOMPSON, GRACASI       S.A./LINEAR TECHNOLOGY         (through line 28, i.e. the entirety of 33.1.4.2)       The first sentence should be deleted. It would be appropriately handled by updating the reference to 11801 to the 2002 edition which precisely matches this requirement with the following text: 6.4.8 Direct current (d.c.) resistance unbalance       Cabling and the exceed 3 % for all classes. This shall be achieved by design.         The memainder of 33.1.4.2, should be deleted as it is purely informative/tuorial material on cabling parameter measurement. It is more appropriate to the referenced cabling documentation. If 802.3 strongly feels that it needs to be retained in our document then it should be moved to an informative annex. (Ref: 2014 Style Manual, cl. 10.1, last paragraph)         Suggested/Remedy         With both of these actions being taken, the entire sub-clause should be deleted.         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Suggested/Remedy         With both of these actions being taken, the entire sub-clause should be deleted.         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	,	184A" with "TSB-184-A" (3 lo	ocations)			air under fault conditions		
EZ         U3 3       SC 33.1.4.2       P 23       L 10       # 143         Connes, Chad       Cisco       Cisco       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       Cabling       Cabling       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       Add period to end of note 1.         Replace Note 4 with:       "May operate over 2 pairs under fault conditions."       EZ         (through line 28, i.e. the entirety of 33.1.4.2)       The first sentence should be deleted.       Workshow of all classes. This shall be achieved by design.       Replace Note 4 with: "May operate over 2 pairs under fault conditions."         EZ       Image: Comment 1200 and its purely informative/aturcinal material on cabling parameter measurement. It is more appropriate to the referenced cabling documentation. If 802.3 strongly feels that in eeds to be retained in our document then it should be noved to an informative annex. (Ref: 2014 Style Manual, cl. 10.1, last paragraph)       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       With both of these actions being taken, the entire sub-clause should be deleted.       Type         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       W       PROPOSED REJECT.         The table is for "Permissible PSE Types". 75W is not a Type boundary and should not be listed, just as 45W and all of the classes <15W are not listed.		,			"May" provides perr	nission whereas "can" states abi	lity.	
Cl 33       SC 33.1.4.2       P 23       L 10       # 143         Jones, Chad       Cisco       The first sentence should be deleted. It would be appropriately handled by updating the reference to 11801 to the 2002 edition which precisely matches this requirement with the following text: 6.4.8 Direct current (d.c.) resistance under of a3.1.4.2 should be deleted. It is purely informative/nutorial material on cabling parameter measurement. It is more appropriate to the referenced cabling documentation. If 802.3 strongly feels that it needs to be retained in our document then it should be moved to an informative annex. (Ref: 2014 Style Manual, cl. 10.1, last paragraph)       Line ar Technology         SuggestedRemedy       With both of these actions being taken, the entire sub-clause should be deleted.       W         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       To any the seconstructs with a period.         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       To any the seconstruct we appropriate to the referenced cabling documentation. If 802.3 strongly feels that it needs to be retained in our document then it should be actives and use to the referenced cabling documentation. If 802.3 strongly feels that it needs to be retained in our document then it should be actives and the entire sub-clause should be deleted.       Type         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       The table is for "Permissible PSE Types". 75W is not a Type boundary and should not be listed, just as 45W and all of the classes <15W are not listed.	FROFUSED ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy			
Iones, Chad       Cisco       Response Status       W         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       Cabling         Maintenance WG Ballot comment #60 on behalf of GEOFF THOMPSON, GRACASI S.A./LINEAR TECHNOLOGY       Add period to end of note 1.       Add period to end of note 1.         (through line 28, i.e. the entirety of 33.1.4.2) The first sentence should be deleted. It would be appropriately handled by updating the reference to 11801 to the 2002 edition which precisely matches this requirement with the following text: 6.4.8 Direct current (d.c.) resistance unbalance       Replace Note 4 with: "May operate over 2 pairs under fault conditions."         The d.c. resistance unbalance between the two conductors within each pair of a channel shall not exceed 3 % for all classes. This shall be achieved by design.       EZ         The remainder of 33.1.4.2 should be deleted as it is purely informative//tutorial material on cabling parameter measurement. It is more appropriate to the referenced cabling documentation. If 802.3 strongly feels that it needs to be retained in our document then it should be moved to an informative annex. (Ref: 2014 Style Manual, cl. 10.1, last paragraph)       Suggested/Remedy Add row for 75W class       Suggested/Remedy Add row for 75W class         Suggested Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       The table is for "Permissible PSE Types". 75W is not a Type boundary and should not be listed, just as 45W and all of the classes <15W are not listed.							ion with "may.	" End notes containing
Comment TypeTComment StatusDCablingMaintenanceWG Ballot comment #60 on behalf of GEOFF THOMPSON, GRACASI S.A./LINEAR TECHNOLOGYAdd period to end of note 1.(through line 28, i.e. the entirety of 33.1.4.2) The first sentence should be deleted. It would be appropriately handled by updating the reference to 11801 to the 2002 edition which precisely matches this requirement with the following text: 6.4.8 Direct current (d.c.) resistance unbalanceAdd period to end of note 1.The d.c. resistance unbalance Shall not exceed 3 % for all classes. This shall be achieved by design. The remainder of 33.1.4.2 should be deleted as it is purely informative/tutorial material on cabling parameter measurement. It is more appropriate to the referenced cabling documentation. If 802.3 strongly feels that it needs to be retained in our document then it should be moved to an informative annex. (Ref: 2014 Style Manual, cl. 10.1, last paragraph)Comment TypeTComment StatusDTypSuggestedRemedy With both of these actions being taken, the entire sub-clause should be deleted.Proposed Response Response StatusWPROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.Proposed Response Response StatusWPROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.The table is for "Permissible PSE Types". 75W is not a Type boundary and should not be listed, just as 45W and all of the classes <15W are not listed.			L 10	# 143		,		
The first sentence should be deleted. It would be appropriately handled by updating the reference to 11801 to the 2002 edition which precisely matches this requirement with the following text: 6.4.8 Direct current (d.c.) resistance unbalance       Image: Comparison of the second c	Maintenance WG Ballo S.A./LINEAR TECHNO	t comment #60 on behalf of DOGY	GEOFF THOMF	0	Add period to end o	f note 1.	ler fault conditio	ons."
reference to 11801 to the 2002 edition which precisely matches this requirement with the following text: 6.4.8 Direct current (d.c.) resistance unbalance The d.c. resistance unbalance between the two conductors within each pair of a channel shall not exceed 3 % for all classes. This shall be achieved by design. The remainder of 33.1.4.2 should be deleted as it is purely informative/tutorial material on cabling parameter measurement. It is more appropriate to the referenced cabling documentation. If 802.3 strongly feels that it needs to be retained in our document then it should be moved to an informative annex. (Ref: 2014 Style Manual, cl. 10.1, last paragraph) SuggestedRemedy With both of these actions being taken, the entire sub-clause should be deleted. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	The first sentence shou	uld be deleted. It would be a	opropriately han	dled by updating the	EZ			
shall not exceed 3 % for all classes. This shall be achieved by design. The remainder of 33.1.4.2 should be deleted as it is purely informative/tutorial material on cabling parameter measurement. It is more appropriate to the referenced cabling documentation. If 802.3 strongly feels that it needs to be retained in our document then it should be moved to an informative annex. (Ref: 2014 Style Manual, cl. 10.1, last paragraph) SuggestedRemedy With both of these actions being taken, the entire sub-clause should be deleted. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Status W	reference to 11801 to t following text: 6.4.8 Dir	he 2002 edition which precise ect current (d.c.) resistance u	ely matches this unbalance	requirement with the				# 38
documentation. If 802.3 strongly feels that it needs to be retained in our document then it should be moved to an informative annex. (Ref: 2014 Style Manual, cl. 10.1, last paragraph)       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Add row for 75W class         With both of these actions being taken, the entire sub-clause should be deleted.       Proposed Response         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       The table is for "Permissible PSE Types". 75W is not a Type boundary and should not be listed, just as 45W and all of the classes <15W are not listed.	shall not exceed 3 % fo The remainder of 33.1.	or all classes. This shall be a 4.2 should be deleted as it is	chieved by desig purely informati	n. ve/tutorial material on	••			Туре
With both of these actions being taken, the entire sub-clause should be deleted.       Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.       The table is for "Permissible PSE Types". 75W is not a Type boundary and should not be listed, just as 45W and all of the classes <15W are not listed.	documentation. If 802. should be moved to an	3 strongly feels that it needs	to be retained in	n our document then it	,	ass		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The table is for "Permissible PSE Types". 75W is not a Type boundary and should not be listed, just as 45W and all of the classes <15W are not listed.	00 ,	ons being taken, the entire s	ub-clause should	be deleted.		,		
listed, just as 45W and all of the classes <15W are not listed.	Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROPOSED REJE			
		,						dary and should not be
					listed, just as 45W a		iot notou.	

C/ **33** SC **33.2.0**a

C/ 33	SC 33.2.0a	P <b>24</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 37	CI 33	SC 33.2.	1	P <b>24</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 10
Owelley, I	David	Linear Techno	ology		Bustos He	eredia, Jairo		Würth Elektro	onik eiSo	
Comment	t Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		Types	Comment	Type E	Comme	ent Status D		Тур
		Can operate as 2-pair under f			PSEs	may support	either Alternat	ive A, Alternative	B, or both.	
		beration is specified behavior le at these power levels, and			Suggestee	dRemedy				
•	dRemedy									using Alternative A,
00	e note 4.						for power provi		eas when using A	Iternative B, pairs 1
Proposed	l Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Response	Respon	se Status W		
PRO	POSED ACCEPT				PROF	POSED REJE	CT.			
		s under fault conditions, the F by other rows in this table.	SE would then	be a 30W or less PSE	These	e pin definitio	ns are shown ir	n Table 33-2.		
		by other rows in this table.			C/ 33	SC 33.2.	3	P <b>31</b>	L <b>1</b>	# 117
Woul	d OBE part of cor	mment #59.			Yseboodt,	Lennart		Philips		
CI 33	SC 33.2.1	P 24	L <b>42</b>	# 49	Comment	Туре Т	Comme	ent Status D		Тур
Stencel, L	_en	Bourns, Inc.				E device ma ds 4P power.	y provide powe	r via one of two v	alid four-wire con	nections."
Comment	t Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		Types	Suggestee					
	0	ns showing Alt A and Alt B for	an End PSE. C	Only midspan version is	00	,	v provide powe	r via one or both (	of two valid four-w	vire connections."
show					or					
00	<i>dRemedy</i> 2 Additional figure	ю.			"A PS or	E device ma	y provide powe	r via at least one	of two valid four-w	wire connections."
figure	a33-1a 10BĂSE∙	-T/100BASE-TX Endpoint PS			"A PS	E device ma	y provide powe	r via one or two v	alid four-wire con	nections."
Figur	e 33-2a 1000BA	SE-T/10GBASE-T Endpoint I	PSE Alt A and A	Nt B	Proposed	Response	Respon	se Status W		
•	Figure 33-5 to text	t and make these two diagran	ns figures 33-5a	a and 33-5b.	PROF	POSED ACCI	EPT IN PRINC	IPLE.		
•	l Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W				ce text with " ections."	A PSE device	may provide powe	er via one or both	of two valid four-wire
Need	to create figures.				EZ					
EZ										

CI 33 SC 33.2.3

C/ 33 SC 33.2.3	P 31	L <b>8-23</b>	# 88	C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.1	P <b>32</b>	L <b>20</b>	# 39
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Dwelley, I	David	Linear Techr	nology	
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		Types	Comment		Comment Status D		4P Power
	word Alternative in Table 33-2 i n be chosen but not both.	nplies				3 or Type 4 PSE that is capa mative B simultaneously is n		
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy			
Rename "Alternative This renaming will a in the draft.	e" to "Configuration". Iso affect other mentions of Alte	rnative		and A	Iternative B is no	3 or Type 4 PSE that intend t required to use the backoff		r on both Alternative A
Proposed Response	Response Status W			•	Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED REJEC				PRO	POSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
I do not believe that	the word "alternative" is causing	g confusion when	applied to 4-pair			or Type 4 PSE that delivers eously is not required to use		
power.				C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.1	P 32	L 20-2	# 118
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.		L <b>20</b>	# 67	Yseboodt	, Lennart	Philips		
Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply			Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		PSE Detection
Comment Type <b>TR</b> This text permits a n will be the case.	Comment Status <b>D</b> new Type midspan to power the	PD using 4P but	4P Power it does not ensure this	Alterr		SE that is capable of deliveri eously is not required to mee neet and backoff		
Doplosing this tout t		oito o consistent	areases to be used by	Suggeste	dRemedy			
customers to locate	b requiring legacy behavior perr this potential problem. If a mid nally the end-point PSE will power that are an are as a second sec	span is placed be				SE that is capable of deliveri eously is not required to mee		
PSE. Upon discove	eration can then be discovered ry, the admin may disable the e				Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
midspan always pov	vers the PD.			EZ				
If the existing text is	used the configuration may be	different after eac	ch power cycle.	C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.1	P 32	L <b>21</b>	# 43
SuggestedRemedy				Stencel, L		Bourns, Inc.		
Stike the added sen	tence.			Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		PSE Detection
Proposed Response	Response Status W				orrection			
PROPOSED REJEC	CT.			Suggeste	dRemedv			
Should we require 4	P midspans to use the back-off	algorithm? May	De.			algorithm" to "meet the back	off algorithm req	uirement".
	uire 4P endspans to use the ba	0 ,			Response	Response Status W		
				OBE	by comment #11	8.		
				EZ				
		general required						

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SC 33.2.4.1 5/13/2015 12:56:39 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI <b>33</b>	SC 33.2.4.1	P <b>32</b>	L 31	# 9	C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.4	P 37	L <b>8</b>	# 13
Bustos He	eredia, Jairo	Würth Elektror	nik eiSo		Darshan, Yai	r	Microsemi		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status D		PSE Detection	Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status D		PSE Classification
	ete a second dete	ection using Alternative A de ection in less than Tdbo min a			PSE_DL	L_CAPABLE is	ss_num_events" adresses m s true or false.	ax class_num_	events for describing if
•	dRemedy				SuggestedRe				
00		time value, it may bring the	raadar ta aanfuu	aion on whathar "min"	change c	olumn tytle to	"max class_num_events"		
		r "minutes". Actually, Tdbo h			Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status W		
		"min" is not needed. Thus, I v			PROPOS	SED REJECT.			
	ete a second dete	ection using Alternative A de ection in less than Tdbo after				nition of class_ ents a PSE sup	num_events already indicate oports.	s that it is the r	naximum number of
•					CI 33	SC 33.2.4.4	P <b>39</b>	L <b>32</b>	# 14
•	Response	Response Status W			Darshan, Yai	r	Microsemi		
EZ	POSED ACCEPT.				Comment Typ Missing p		Comment Status D etection details.		PSE State Diagram
C/ <b>33</b> 'seboodt,	SC 33.2.4.4 Lennart	P <b>37</b> Philips	L 37-3	# 89	SuggestedRe Add "See	-			
Comment	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> PSE that has hard	Comment Status D		PSE Classification	Proposed Re PROPOS	sponse SED REJECT.	Response Status W		
"or a I					None of t	the other functi	ons have pointers to their res	spective section	ns of the standard.
Suggested	•	rdware limitation."							
Suggested "or a F Proposed	•	rdware limitation." Response Status W							

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.4

rshan, Yair Microsemi mment Type T Comment Status D PSE State Diagram Addressing the editor note of the meaning of mutual identification is not complete: Mutual identification is not complete if the objectives of 22.2.6 or not met			Microsemi Comment Status D		
Addressing the editor note of the meaning of mutual identification is not complete:	It seems	be E	Comment Status D		
					PSE State Diagrar
Mutual identification is not complete if the objectives of 33.2.6 are not met. This is mentioned in line 5. ""When a Type 2 PSE powers a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PD, the PSE may choose to assign a value of '1' to parameter type if mutual identification is not complete (see 33.2.6) and shall assign"	we need In additio	to decide if it i	timer and then the text says ir s tclf or tlcf. 3-10 and not 33-7 in lines 13,		
On a fille Martin I black fille at a state and the second state of the	SuggestedRe	emedy			
Specifically, Mutual identification is not complete per the text in clause 33.2.6.page 47 lines 15-20. "Mutual identification is the mechanism that allows a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PD to differentiate between Type 1, Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs. Additionally, mutual identification allows Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSEs to differentiate between Type 1, Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs to differentiate between Type 1, Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSEs to differentiate between Type 1, Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs to differentiate between Type 1, Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs to differentiate between Type 1, Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs that do not implement classification will not be able to complete mutual identification and can only perform as Type 1 devices." So if PSE fail to detect the PD class than classification is not complete.	Change " Correct ir Scan the Proposed Re	n lines 13, 15, draft for simili <i>sponse</i>	7" to "in Table 33-10 and ver		
For mutual Identification to be completed, the PD needs to know who is the PSE type etc.			of Tclf to Tlcf. The "lcf" was r		l for long class finger.
ggestedRemedy	The state	e diagram uses	s lcf and everything should ma	tch it.	
No need to define "Mutual Identification is not complete". It is already clearly defined in 33.2.6.	EZ				
pposed Response Response Status W	CI 33	SC 33.2.4.5	P 38	L 15	# 68
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Schindler, Fre	ed	Seen Simply		
Accepting this comment results in no changes to the text.	Comment Typ Fix Typo SuggestedRe Use TCL	for TCLf emedy	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagran
	Proposed Re PROPOS	•	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		
	OBE by c	comment # 21			
	EZ				

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.5

Cl <b>33</b>	SC 33.2.4.5	6 P <b>40</b>	L 19-2	# 120	C/ 33	SC	33.2.4.7	P <b>42</b>	L 27	# 32
Yseboodt, I	Lennart	Philips			Darshan,	Yair		Microsemi		
Comment T	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagram	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		PSE State Diagram
shall m choose	eet the PI elect to meet the e	a PD of a lower Type than its ctrical requirements of PSE Ty lectrical requirements of a gre P , T LIM-2P , and P Type (se	vpe that matches ater Type (up to	the PD Type, but may its maximum capability)	we ha In add	ave in all dition, ar	l other CLA	33-9 there is a missing exit ASS_EV_XX BLOCKS. issing also from CLASS_EV _EV_XX BLOCKS.		
Unclea	r and gramma	tically dubious sentence.			Suggeste	dRemec	dv			
Suggested	Remedy				00			S_EV3 to point "E": Tcle3_tii	mer_done*(mr_	pd_class_detectted=0)
electric of the F	al requirement PSE Type that	corresponds to the connected	PD Type.	nall meet the PI				S_EV3 to MARK_EV_LAST pd_class_detectted=4)	:	
l Con-2 equal t	P, I LIM-2P, han the	e to apply the requirements fo T LIM-2P and P Type (see Ta or equal than the PD Type.	r ble 33–11) of a	any Type smaller or	Proposed PROF	,		Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		
Proposed F	•	Response Status W						exit from CLASS_EV3 to E		e no class mismatch in
					(1) (1)	S = V3	(all class s	signatures are valid in CLAS		
,	, DSED ACCEP	,			ULA3	0_210	(		55_L v 5).	
PROPO Type a	nd power are r	T IN PRINCIPLE.	eds further study	$\prime$ (as the editor's note is	There	e is an e	xit to MAR	K_EV_LAST from CLASS_ (mr_pd_class_detected = 4	EV3, but "Tcle3	B_timer_done * " needs
PROPO Type a there to	nd power are r o remind us).	T IN PRINCIPLE.		·	There	e is an e added ir	xit to MAR	K_EV_LAST from CLASS_	EV3, but "Tcle3	8_timer_done * " needs # [44
PROPO Type a there to C/ 33	nd power are r p remind us). SC <b>33.2.4.7</b>	T IN PRINCIPLE. not directly related and this ne	L <b>2</b>	v (as the editor's note is # 75	There to be	e is an e added ir SC	xit to MAR n front of "	K_EV_LAST from CLASS_ (mr_pd_class_detected = 4	EV3, but "Tcle3 )"	
PROPO Type a there to C/ 33 Schindler, I	nd power are r o remind us). SC <b>33.2.4.7</b> Fred	T IN PRINCIPLE. not directly related and this ne P <b>42</b> Seen Simply	L <b>2</b>	# 75	There to be CI 33	e is an ex added ir SC _en	xit to MAR n front of "	K_EV_LAST from CLASS_ (mr_pd_class_detected = 4 P 43	EV3, but "Tcle3 )"	
PROPO Type a there to C/ 33 Schindler, I Comment T	nd power are r o remind us). SC <b>33.2.4.7</b> Fred Type <b>TR</b>	T IN PRINCIPLE. not directly related and this ne	L <b>2</b>	·	There to be Cl 33 Stencel, L Comment Clarify	e is an ex added ir SC en <i>t Type</i> y text. R	xit to MAR n front of " 33.2.5 E ewrite sen	K_EV_LAST from CLASS_ (mr_pd_class_detected = 4) P 43 Bourns, Inc.	EV3, but "Tcle3 )" <i>L</i> <b>41</b>	# 44 PSE Detection
PROPO Type a there to C/ 33 Schindler, I Comment T Where	nd power are r o remind us). SC <b>33.2.4.7</b> Fred Type <b>TR</b> is entry point <sup>1</sup>	T IN PRINCIPLE. not directly related and this ne P 42 Seen Simply Comment Status D	L <b>2</b>	# 75	There to be Cl 33 Stencel, L Comment Clarify	e is an ex added ir <i>SC</i> en <i>Type</i> y text. R used fo	xit to MAR n front of " 33.2.5 E sewrite sen or two-pair	K_EV_LAST from CLASS_ (mr_pd_class_detected = 4) P 43 Bourns, Inc. Comment Status D Intence "The PSE shall turn	EV3, but "Tcle3 )" <i>L</i> <b>41</b>	# 44 PSE Detection
PROPO Type a there to CI 33 Schindler, I Comment T Where Suggested	nd power are r o remind us). SC <b>33.2.4.7</b> Fred Type <b>TR</b> is entry point <sup>1</sup> Remedy	T IN PRINCIPLE. not directly related and this ne P 42 Seen Simply Comment Status D	L <b>2</b>	# 75	C/ 33 C/ 33 Stencel, L Comment Clarify those Suggester	e is an ex added in SC en t Type y text. R used fo dRemed	xit to MAR n front of " 33.2.5 E tewrite sen or two-pair dy	K_EV_LAST from CLASS_ (mr_pd_class_detected = 4) P 43 Bourns, Inc. Comment Status D Intence "The PSE shall turn	EV3, but "Tcle3 )" <i>L</i> 41 on power only o	# 44 PSE Detection on the same pairs as
PROPO Type a there to Cl 33 Schindler, I Comment T Where Suggested If "A1"	nd power are r o remind us). SC <b>33.2.4.7</b> Fred Type <b>TR</b> is entry point ' Remedy is just another	T IN PRINCIPLE. not directly related and this ne P 42 Seen Simply Comment Status D 'A1" coming from?	L <b>2</b>	# 75	C/ 33 C/ 33 Stencel, L Comment Clarify those Suggester	e is an ex added ir SC en t Type y text. R used fo dRemec ge t: "Th	xit to MAR n front of " 33.2.5 E ewrite sen or two-pair dy e PSE sha	K_EV_LAST from CLASS_ (mr_pd_class_detected = 4) P 43 Bourns, Inc. <i>Comment Status</i> D ntence "The PSE shall turn detection."	EV3, but "Tcle3 )" <i>L</i> 41 on power only o	# 44 PSE Detection on the same pairs as
PROPO Type a there to Cl 33 Schindler, I Comment T Where Suggested If "A1" Proposed F	nd power are r o remind us). SC <b>33.2.4.7</b> Fred Type <b>TR</b> is entry point t Remedy is just another Response	T IN PRINCIPLE. not directly related and this ne P 42 Seen Simply Comment Status D 'A1" coming from? portion of "A" replace "A1" wi	L <b>2</b>	# 75	There to be CI 33 Stencel, L Comment Clarify those Suggestee chang Proposed	e is an ex added ir SC en t Type y text. R used fo dRemec ge t: "Th I Respor	xit to MAR n front of " 33.2.5 E ewrite sen or two-pair dy e PSE sha nse	K_EV_LAST from CLASS_ (mr_pd_class_detected = 4 P 43 Bourns, Inc. <i>Comment Status</i> D thence "The PSE shall turn detection."	EV3, but "Tcle3 )" <i>L</i> 41 on power only o	# 44 PSE Detection on the same pairs as
PROPO Type a there to CI 33 Schindler, I Comment T Where Suggested If "A1" Proposed F PROPO	nd power are r o remind us). SC 33.2.4.7 Fred Type TR is entry point ' Remedy is just another Response DSED ACCEP eeds a separat e main diagram	T IN PRINCIPLE. not directly related and this ne P 42 Seen Simply Comment Status D 'A1" coming from? portion of "A" replace "A1" wi Response Status W	L 2 th "A."	# 75 PSE State Diagram	There to be Cl 33 Stencel, L Comment Clarify those Suggester chang Proposed PROF This s	e is an ez added ir SC en trype y text. R used fo dRemec ge t: "Th Respor POSED sentence	xit to MAR n front of " 33.2.5 E ewrite sen or two-pair dy e PSE sha nse ACCEPT 1 e is no long	K_EV_LAST from CLASS_ (mr_pd_class_detected = 4 P 43 Bourns, Inc. <i>Comment Status</i> D tence "The PSE shall turn detection." all only turn on power to the <i>Response Status</i> W	EV3, but "Tcle3	# 44 PSE Detection on the same pairs as a valid PD is detected."

CI 33	SC 33.2.5.0a	P <b>43</b>	L <b>52</b>	# 40	C/ 33	SC 33.2.5.1	P <b>44</b>	L 25, 4	# 92
Dwelley, Dav	/id	Linear Techno	ology		Yseboodt,	Lennart	Philips		
	ion, only tests t	Comment Status D hat result in a voltage at the	PSE PI that is w	Connection Check ithin the Vvalid voltage	Comment Figure	51	Comment Status D nd 33-2 are incorrect, al	so references to them	PSE Detection incorrect.
Vvalid is		s line as written blocks the us or Connection Check. This li				Remedy 33-1 => Figure 3 33-2 => Figure 3			
<i>uggestedRe</i> Change t Vvalid(m	•	tion, only tests that result in a	a voltage at the	PSE PI that is below		nces to fix: 10, 29 and 44/45 Response	5 Response Status W	,	
roposed Re		Response Status W			PROP EZ	OSED ACCEPT.			
2/ <b>33</b> Parshan, Yai	SC 33.2.5.0a	P <b>44</b> Microsemi	L <b>3</b>	# [16	CI 33 Stencel, Le	SC 33.2.5.1	P <b>44</b> Bourns,	L <b>49</b> Inc.	# 48
<i>comment Ty</i> We need for comp	, d to clarify what	Comment Status <b>D</b> is single signature PD and I	Dual signature P	Connection Check D so it can be tested	Comment incorre Suggested	ect table number`	Comment Status D		PSE Detection
applying This actu rails of M If changin Base on	voltage Vb on ually verify if the lode B. ing Va>Vb or V this concept Si	ing voltage Va to mode A an mode B and checking Ia whe ere is low impdenace betwee b>Va doesnt change the cur ingle Signature and Dual Sig o do it. It is what connection	en Vb>Va and V en positive rails c rent reading thei nature can be do	B <va. of Mode A and Negative n it is dual signature.</va. 	Proposed PROP	OSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		5.5).
uggestedRe					C/ 33 Stencel, Le	SC 33.2.5.2	P <b>45</b> Bourns,	L <b>46</b> Inc.	# 45
	n and test setup	xt attached in document "Sin b.pdf" at the end of 33.2.5.0a <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>		ia Duai Signature	Suggested	ect tablenumber.	C C		PSE Detection
					Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status WIN PRINCIPLE.	1	
					OBE b	y comment # 48.			
					EZ				
OMMENT S	•	d ER/editorial required GR/ patched A/accepted R/reject			0	Z/withdrawn		c/ 33 cC 33.2.5.2	Page 11 of 37 5/13/2015 12:56:

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SC 33.2.5.2 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 33 SC 3: Schindler, Fred	3.2.5.3	P <b>45</b> Seen Simply	L <b>52</b>	# 61	C/ 33 Stencel, Le	SC 33.2.5.4	P <b>46</b> Bourns, Inc.	L <b>30</b>	# 47
,		1,5			,		,		
	ER ccept as a	Comment Status D a valid signature a pair-set w	vithin a link secti	PSE Detection	Comment 7 incorre	<i>Type</i> <b>ER</b> ct table number	Comment Status D		PSE Detection
The sentence of		0			Suggestedl	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy	/				change	table 33-3 to Ta	able 33-6		
Consider,					Proposed F		Response Status W		
"A PSE valid si characteristics,		n a pair-set within a link sec	tion shall have t	he following		OSED ACCEPT			
Proposed Respons PROPOSED R		Response Status W			EZ				
					C/ 33	SC 33.2.6	P <b>47</b>	L 17	# 6
		ame form that exists in the n "PSE valid signature" whic		-	Bennett, Ke		Sifos Technolo	ogies, In	
		Ũ			Comment 7	уре Е	Comment Status D		PD Classification
C/ <b>33</b> SC <b>3</b> : Stencel, Len	3.2.5.3	<i>P</i> <b>45</b> Bourns, Inc.	L <b>54</b>	# 46	don't ha	ave to implemen	PSEs which do not implement at classification, which is incor	rect. All PDs pro	ovide class information
<i>Comment Type</i> Incorrect table	ER	Comment Status D		PSE Detection			ding 0mA). Any PD which prov class is not a conformant PD.	vides a bad clas	s current or which
					Suggestedl	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy					Omit "F	'Ds or" at the be	eginning of the sentence.		
change table 3	3-2 to Tab	ile 33-5			Proposed F	lesponse	Response Status W		
Proposed Respons PROPOSED A		Response Status <b>W</b> N PRINCIPLE.			PROPO	DSED REJECT.			
	a mt # 40				This wo 0 PDs.	uld be a mainte	nance request as this is exist	ing text which I	believe applies to class
OBE by comme	ent # 48.								
OBE by comme	ent # 48.				CI 33	SC 33.2.6	P 47	L 30	# 69
	ent # 48.				C/ <b>33</b> Schindler, F		P <b>47</b> Seen Simply	L <b>30</b>	# 69
	ent # 48.				Schindler, F Comment 7	Fred Type <b>TR</b>		L <b>30</b>	# 69 PSE Classification
	ent # 48.				Schindler, F <i>Comment 1</i> A defin <i>Suggested</i>	Fred Type <b>TR</b> ition for Vport_P Remedy	Seen Simply Comment Status D SE-2p needs to be created.	L 30	
	ent # 48.				Schindler, F Comment 7 A defin Suggested A defin	Fred Type <b>TR</b> ition for Vport_P Remedy ition for Vport_P	Seen Simply Comment Status D PSE-2p needs to be created.	L <b>30</b>	
	ent # 48.				Schindler, F Comment 7 A defin Suggested A defin Proposed F	Fred Type <b>TR</b> ition for Vport_P Remedy ition for Vport_P	Seen Simply Comment Status D SE-2p needs to be created. SE-2p needs to be created. Response Status W	L 30	
	ent # 48.				Schindler, F Comment 7 A defin Suggested/ A defin Proposed F PROPC	Fred Type <b>TR</b> ition for Vport_P Remedy ition for Vport_P Response DSED REJECT. PSE-2p is a para the minimum va	Seen Simply Comment Status D SE-2p needs to be created. SE-2p needs to be created. Response Status W	in Table 33-11.	PSE Classification
EZ		ER/editorial required GR/o	Jeneral required	T/technical E/editorial G	Schindler, F Comment 7 A defin Suggested/ A defin Proposed F PROPC Vport_F assigns line 43)	Fred Type <b>TR</b> ition for Vport_P Remedy ition for Vport_P Response DSED REJECT. PSE-2p is a para the minimum va	Seen Simply Comment Status D SE-2p needs to be created. SE-2p needs to be created. Response Status W	in Table 33-11. SE which is def	PSE Classification

C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>47</b> Philips	L <b>30-3</b>	# 110	C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 Yseboodt, Lennart	<i>P</i> <b>48-49</b> Philips	L -	# 112
R Ch max when powe using two-pairs, or R ( to arrive at over- margined values as sh Issue 1: ***systems ar	Chan = R Ch max/2 when pow	vering using four-	pair ***systems and***	SuggestedRemedy Replacement table s Content of the table i Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Comment Status D PD classification permutations uggested in yseboodt_d04_Tab dentical to the one in D0.4 <i>Response Status</i> W PT IN PRINCIPLE. renced in suggested remedy.		
= R_Ch when powerin	plementations may use V_PS g Chan = R_Ch/2 when powerir nown in Table 33–4." <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>		_	Cl 33 SC 33.2.6 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E	P <b>48-49</b> Philips <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> ctly broken up over pages 48 au 48. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>	L - nd 49.	# 119 PSE Classification
,	P 48 Philips Comment Status D s 4, the Number of Classifica PSE to produce 3 classificat			Possibly OBE by con	nment # 112.		

C/ 33 SC 33.2.6

C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 P 49	L <b>34-3</b>	# 81	C/ <b>33</b>	SC 33.2.6.		L <b>3</b>	# 83
Yseboodt, Lennart     Philips       Comment Type     E     Comment Status     D		PSE Classification	Yseboodt, Comment		Philips Comment Status D		PSE Classificaitor
"Subsequent to successful detection, all Type 2 PSE one of the following: 2-Event Physical Layer classification; 2-Ev Data Link Layer classification; or 1-Event Physical Layer classification	ent Physical La	ification using at least yer classification and	"Polari specifi by T po	ty shall be the cations shall b dc in Table 33 is not defined	same as defined for V Port_P e as defined	PSE-2P in 33.2.3	
2-Event should be Multiple-Event. SuggestedRemedy "Subsequent to successful detection, all Type 2 PSE one of the following: Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification classification and Data Link Layer classification; or 1-Event Physical Layer classification	, Multiple-Even	t Physical Layer	specifi by T_p <i>Proposed I</i>	cations shall b odc in Table 33	-10." Response Status W	'SE-2P in 33.2.3	and timing
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			C/ <b>33</b> Yseboodt,	SC <b>33.2.6.</b> Lennart	P <b>50</b> Philips	L <b>5-6</b>	# <u>85</u>
EZ <i>Cl</i> 33 <i>SC</i> 33.2.6 <i>P</i> 49 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	L 8	# 99	in Tabl	<i>)</i>	Comment Status D I Class shall be taken after the	he minimum rele	PSE Classification vant class event timing
Comment Type E Comment Status D Table 33-8, Type 2, Physical Layer Classification col Should be "Multiple-Event".	umn, first cell sa	PSE Classification ays "2-Event".	Suggested "All me	Remedy	I Class shall be taken after th	he minimum rele	vant class event timing
SuggestedRemedy Replace "2-Event" by "Multiple-Event".			Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEF	Response Status W		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			EZ				
Possible OBE by comment # 112.							
EZ							

C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.1

Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>50</b> Philips	L <b>5-6</b>	# 84	C/ <b>33</b> Darshan, Y	SC <b>33.2.6.2</b> Yair	P <b>50</b> Microsemi	L <b>31</b>	# 33
Comment Type E "The PSE shall measu current according to Table 33-6." I believe Table 33-9 is SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D ure the resultant I Class and o meant (please check). ure the resultant I Class and o Response Status W	,		Comment Table Suggested Replac Same Proposed PROP EZ C/ 33 Schindler,	<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> 33-TBD is Table <i>Remedy</i> ce Table 33-TBD in line 45 and 53 <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT SC <b>33.2.6.2</b> Fred	Comment Status D 33-9 With Table 33-9. Response Status W	L 31	PSE Classification # 60 PSE Classification
Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE treats the identification is compl This refers to Type 2 I Layer classification.	P 50 Philips Comment Status D ss event is Class 4, a Type 1 PD as a Type 2 PD but may ete." PSEs that use 1-Event Physic xists for Type 3 or 4 PSEs, u	v provide Class 0 cal Layer classific	power until mutual cation and Data Link	table t unava Suggestec Please Proposed PROP	table (figure etc o be used. If the ilable. <i>IRemedy</i> e consider using <i>Response</i>	the above suggestion to make <i>Response Status</i> W	created use a o	BD-# to identify the construct like TBD-
Type 2 PSE treats the PD as a Typ complete." Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	ss event is Class 4, a Type 1 be 2 PD but may provide Clas <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> - - -Event Physical Layer Classi	ss 0 power until n		Suggested Chang Proposed	<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> are 10 reference <i>Remedy</i> ge every instance	P 50-51 Philips Comment Status D es to Table 33-7, all incorrect. e of Table 33-7 to Table 33-10 Response Status W	L <b>1-54</b> in 33.2.6.2	# 87 PSE Classification

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line CI 33 SC 33.2.6.2 Page 15 of 37 5/13/2015 12:56:39 PM

Cl 33 Darshan,	SC <b>33.2.7</b> , Yair	P <b>52</b> Microsemi	L <b>46</b>	# 22	C/ <b>33</b> Darshan, `	SC <b>33.2.7</b> Yair	P <b>53</b> Microsemi	L <b>38</b>	# 17
Commen	nt Type E	Comment Status D		PSE Classification	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		PSE Unbalance
the fa numl	act that the maxir ber, it actually lim	dditional information for TME2 num value of TME3 is not defir ited by Tpon. by the additional information h	ned, doesn't me		results		2mV was subjected to be rec thttp://www.ieee802.org/3/bt/		
Suggeste	edRemedy						y in the specifications we have need for it. It will never happe		
		information text from: detection until power-on is limit	ted by 33.2.7.1	2.			sufficient (with 1mV).	in in real life.	
The	maximum value o	information text to: of TME2 is limited by the maxin on according to 33.2.7.12.	num allowed tir	ne from the end of	~1.6%		creased during compliance te ead of 1mV. This 1.6% can be on't need it.		
•	d Response POSED ACCEP	Response Status W			doesn we wil	n't create us pro Il ever need low	ects MPS unbalance at short blem with the proposed MPS P2P_unb with Ideal diode bri	method however dge we can't go b	for future best spec, if back and reduce PSE
EZ						o lower value.	So it is better to kill potential p e.	problem when pos	ssible and not create
					5. This curren		timizing the spec, as for who	will get higher Vd	iff budget at high
					See at	ittached Update	d PSE Vdiff for 802.3bt D0.4,	darshan_02_051	5.pdf for details.
					Suggested	dRemedy			
					To Re	educe PSE Vdif	f in Table 33-11 to 1mV.		
					Proposed	Response	Response Status W		

Would like to hear from system vendors (switch manufacturers) on this topic.

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7

C/ <b>33</b> Darshan, Ya	SC 3 air	3.2.7		<b>54</b> rosemi	L <b>12</b>	# 138	C/ <b>33</b> Darshan, Ya	SC <b>3:</b> air	3.2.7	P <b>54</b> Microsemi	L <b>33</b>	# 31
omment Ty Table 3: We nee specifie new row In Exter Ptype_n We will burden will be c At worst and wai	ype 3-11 ite d to read d in Tak nded po min) an need s will be cost effor t case of iting to	meber that ble 33-11 to ble 33-11 to ower, Ppd a d also the eparate re on PD to li ective. This we need to finish first	Comment Statu t Icont-2P-unb for item 4. It will be a to defined the ma at short cable will same case with equirements for F imit P2P_lunb an s need more worl o set Pclass_PD= the typical use ca	r extended adressed in ximum Ico Il be highen Type 4. PD that wa d Ipeak Pl k. =Pclass(PS ases.	n seperate wo ont-2P_Ufor ex r than 51W (m nt to use exter D_Peak power SE) which I dic	ay be close to nded power were the r so total effect on current I already few month ago	Comment T In Table column. In additt 33.2.7.1 Vport_F SuggestedF	ype a 33-11 on to 33 which SE-2P Remedy additio 2.7.7 ar espons	3.2.7.7, defined spec. nal info nd 33.2 e	Comment Status D (TLIM), there is a missing there are additional clause behavior of power remova rmation column from "See 2.7.1. Response Status W	es that are relevar I when pair-set vo	nt for TLIM such as
for the tr Type 3: Type 4: This will some ne TIA will Table 33 maximu tempera SuggestedF Add add [Editoria Pclass_ minimur extende case. To Proposed R	typical of lcont-2 lcont-2 l need f ew spe have to 3-1, wh um lcon ature ris ature ris	use cases: 2P=600mA 2P=865mA to be spec c requirem to tell us reg tat if total 2 t-2P_unb a se. Based se over the cose below lcont-2P a very close tance but i er, we will l E power w	A, Icont-2P_unb= A, Icont-2P_unb= iffied to allow tran hent for PD in ord garding temperat 4P current is kept and the other pai on mathematical e cable. Table 33-11 as f and Ipeak_2P net to Pclass. It will r will not change th	elcable=77 elcable=10 isformer de der to redu- ture rise if t but one o ir has the r l work that follows: ed to be au result with he total 4P new rows t	3mA 87mA. esign at worst ce this numbe total 4P total of f the pairs has rest, if they exp I did, I expect dressed for Ex higher current	current is 2*Icable per the above pair with	Cl 33 Schindler, F Comment T This pa See rela SuggestedF List 1,2, Proposed R PROPC Item 11	SC 3: red ype rameter ated cor Remedy 3,4 for espons SED A should	3.2.7 TR applies mment of valid Ty e CCEPT have 1,	is section 33.2.7.1. P 54 Seen Simp Comment Status D is to all Types. So does par on item 11. The above items. Response Status W TIN PRINCIPLE. 2,3,4 listed for valid Types it need to be considered for	ameter items 13,	
OMMENT	STATI	JS: D/disp		ed R/reje	• •	ed T/technical E/editorial DNSE STATUS: O/open W	0	Z/withd	Irawn	CI SC	33 33.2.7	Page 17 of 37 5/13/2015 12:56:3

CI 33         SC 33.2.7         P 54         L 36           Schindler, Fred         Seen Simply	# 74	C/ 33 SC Darshan, Yair	33.2.7	P <b>55</b> Microsemi	L	# 18
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> Pcon is the average power of the PI. This may be equal to Pclass		Comment Type	<b>T</b> rent Table	Comment Status D e 33-11 item 17 and 33.2.9.1.2		PSE MP
combined Pclass of each pair-set for dual-signature PDs. This app SuggestedRemedy Reference the section that covers these exceptions. List all Types. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		combination conditions a Many of the Type 1 and	s in the pr nd for sing PSE=PD Type 2 PS	o not cover Ihold range for all F esensence of system pair to p gle and dual signature PDs. combinations will not work with SEs. two different sets of Ihold rang	air unbalance the current l	e and/or P2P balanced
This topic needs to be addressed in a Single and Dual PD present.         Cl 33       SC 33.2.7       P 54       L 9         //seboodt, Lennart       Philips         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D         Per Table 33-11: Type 3,4 PSE must deliver 0.5*Pclass / Vport_PS         In case the the PSE power over 2P then Icon-2P is off by factor 2.	# 101 PSE Power	over 4 pairs unbalace red The propose -Support cur -No requiren PSE Type 3	or over 2p quirement ed solution rent Type nents for M and 4 PS	bairs in order to allow different I s on PD as much as possible. n in darshan_01_0515.pdf allow 1,2 PDs and new Type 3 and 4 MPS current unbalance for Typ	MPS detectio vs the followir 4 PDs. e 1, 2, 3 clas	on schemes and reduce ng with cost effective way: as 0-8 PDs connected to
<ul> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> <li>Split Type 3,4 up into Type 3,4 in 2P mode and Type 3,4 in 4P mode.</li> <li>The 2P mode: Icon-2p(min) = Pclass / VPort_PSE-2P</li> <li>The 4P mode: Icon-2p(min) = 0.5*Pclass / VPort_PSE-2P</li> <li>Proposed Response Response Status W</li> <li>PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.</li> <li>Only Type 3 can act in 2P mode.</li> <li>Split Type 3 up into Type 3 in 2P mode and Type 3 in 4P mode.</li> <li>The 2P mode: Icon-2p(min) = Pclass / Vport_PSE-2P</li> <li>The 4P mode: Icon-2p(min) = 0.5*Pclass / Vport_PSE-2P</li> </ul>	ode.	See DC Disc SuggestedReme See proposa Proposed Respo PROPOSED This item ne	spec. setup. MPS def connect P edy al and bas onse ACCEP1 eds to be	ffer: rection implementation. SE and PD requirements base eline text in the attached prese <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> F IN PRINCIPLE. updated. As you are presentir ent results in no changes to the	nttaion darsh	nan_01_0515.pdf

CI 33 SC 33.2.7

Cl         33         SC         33.2.7         P 55           Darshan, Yair         Microsen	L <b>26</b> ni	# 19	C/ <b>33</b> Schindler, F	SC 33.2.7 red	P <b>55</b> Seen Simply	L <b>40</b>	# 62
Comment Type T Comment Status D Table 33-11 item Item 20, lunb_ptp: This parameter is redundant for PSE specificat on March meeting with the new items: Table 33-11 item 4a: Icon_2P-unb and clause 3	3.2.7.4a.		Define v SuggestedF	variable a.	Comment Status D		PSE Unbalance
It may be used in PD spec Table 33-18 but is n SuggestedRemedy	ot needed for PSE s	spec.	Proposed R PROPC	,	Response Status W		
Option 1: a) Remove lunb_p2p from Table 33-11 item 20	. OR		Alpha is	s the unbalance	e factor between the pair sets.	It should be no	ted somewhere.
b) Move this parameter to Table 33-18 new iten Parameter: Pair to Pair current unbalance of pa Symbol: lunb_ptp			OBE by EZ	comment # 30	)		
Unit: % Value max: TBD. Additional information:			C/ <b>33</b> Darshan, Ya	SC <b>33.2.7</b> air	P <b>55</b> Microsemi	L <b>41</b>	# 29
See 33.2.7.10. Add sub-claues 33.2.7.10: lunb_ptp=(l1-l2)/(l1+l2).			Comment T Missing	51	Comment Status D end of Note 1.		PSE Unbalance
<ul> <li>I1, I2 are the pairs current of the same polarity.</li> <li>I1 and I2 are measured at the maximum operat</li> <li>TBD2.</li> </ul>	•		SuggestedF Insert fu	•	nd of Note 1 text.		
Editor note: To complete the PD PI Pair to Pair clause.	Unbalance requiren	nents and add it to this	Proposed R PROPC	esponse SED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			EZ				
Remove lunb_p2p.			C/ <b>33</b> Darshan, Ya	SC <b>33.2.7</b> air	P <b>55</b> Microsemi	L <b>41</b>	# 30
					Comment Status <b>D</b> not explained in Note 1. ain it.		PSE Unbalance
			SuggestedF	Remedy			
				effect of the sys d in this standa	stem end to end pair to pair re ard explicitly.	sistance/current	t unbalance that is not
			Proposed R	lesponse	Response Status W		
			PROPC	SED ACCEPT	- -		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 Page 19 of 37 5/13/2015 12:56:39 PM

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.11	P 61	L 35	# 64	C/ 33	SC	33.2.7.4	P <b>56</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 20
Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply			Darshan,	Yair		Microsemi		
Comment Type ER C The senetence applies to T	comment Status <b>D</b> ypes 2,3 and 4.		PSE Unbalance		tion 33-4		Comment Status <b>D</b> ers need some updates:		PSE Power
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Endpoint PSEs shall me	et the requirem	ents of 25.4.5 in the	power 2. K is 3. K is Icon-2 4. See Suggester	r). s differen s derived 2P_lunb e deriva d <i>Remec</i>	nt number by simula but now P tion of valu	to be defined as 0.5*Pclass for Type 3 and 4 systems. ation of E2EP2Plunb with the D power is Ppeak PD which les for K in darashan_03_05	e same data bas is defined by Ec	se we used to define
EZ C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.2 Bennett, Ken	P <b>55</b> Sifos Technolo	<i>L</i> <b>25</b> ogies, In	# 7	( )	_		ak power a PD may draw pe	r pair-set for its	class; see Table
Comment Type ER C Table 33-11, Item 20. The and section 33.2.7.4a.	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> specification for lunb_ptp	has been supe	PSE Unbalance rceeded by item 4.1				ak power a PD may draw pe PPeak_PD-2P=0.5*Pclass_		class; see Table
SuggestedRemedy Remove the lunb_ptp section	on from item 20.			K is th		d to "syste	em end to end pair-to-pair un s and K=TBD for four pair sy		
Proposed Response Ri PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F OBE by comment # 19	esponse Status W RINCIPLE.			"syste K=0 fe K=0.3	em end t or two pa 3 for Typ	o end pair		um Ipeak-2P is	obtained due to
							naximum value is gurantee nents in clause TBD and by		

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Yair and Ken to work together to find agreement on new text.

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4

CI 33	SC 33.2.7.4	P 56	L 34	# 8
Bennett, Ke	n	Sifos Technolo	ogies, In	

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

PSE Power

33.2.7.4 is the additional information for item 4 in table 33-11 (Icon-2P). The Icon\_2P equation (0.5\*PClass/Vport\_2P) for type 3 and 4 in table 33-11 is based upon a perfectly balanced connection, and does not include the additional pair-set current that would be necessary to maintain PClass in an unbalanced connection (due to E2ERunb).

The additional information (Section 33.2.7.4) currently only addresses Ipeak-2P, and it does consider an unbalanced connection, using the (1+K) factor. However, Ipeak-2P described Equation 33-4 includes pair-set values for the PSE and PD, and it is unclear whether the PD pair-set value in 33-4 will also include the K factor (which would result in including K twice).

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change section 33.2.7.4 as follows:

33.2.7.4 Continuous output current capability in the POWER\_ON state

Icon-2P in table 33-11 is specified for a balanced system. When end-to-end unbalance is present, the PSE minimum requirement is:

 $Icon-2P_unb = (1+K) x (Icon-2P)33-4$ 

Where K is the factor due to the "system end to end pair-to-pair unbalance effect". K=0 for two pair systems and K=TBD for four pair systems.

In addition to ICon-2P\_unb, the PSE shall support the following AC current waveform parameters, while within the operating voltage range of VPort\_PSE:

IPeak-2P minimum for TCUT minimum and 5 % duty cycle:

[Editorial note: the equation below is unformatted. The only difference relative to Equation 33-4 in 802.3at is the "N" factor]

Ipeak-2P= Nx{(Vpse-[SQR\_ROOT[Vpse^2-4N(Rchan)(Ppeak\_PD)])/(2N(Rchan))} 33-5

#### Where:

Ipeak-2P: is the PSE minimum peak current requirement per pair-set in a balanced system

VPSE: is the PSE voltage at the PSE PI as defined in 33.1.4

RChan: is the channel loop resistance as defined in 33.1.4; this parameter has a worst-case value of RCh, defined in Table 33-1

N: N = 1 for 2-pair power, N = 0.5 for 4-pair power

PPeak\_PD: is the peak power a PD may draw for its class; see Table 33-18.

Ipeak-2P is specified for a balanced system. When end-to-end unbalance is present, minimum PSE pairset requirement is:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

 $Ipeak-2P\_unb = (1+K) \times (Ipeak-2P)33-6$ 

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Yair and Ken to work together to find agreement on new text.

CI 33	SC	33.2.7.4	P 56	L <b>43</b>	# 3
Maguire, Va	alerie		Siemon		
Comment T	уре	т	Comment Status D		PSE Power
Clarify t	type o	f unbalar	nce (i.e. resistance or current)		
	_				

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "pair-to-pair unbalance effect" with "pair-to-pair resistance unbalance effect"

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

I believe this is current unbalance

Replace with "pair-to-pair current unblance effect"

CI 33	SC 33.2.7.4a	P 5	7	L 10	# 63	
Schindler	, Fred	Seen	Simply			
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status	D		Ec	ditorial
		the IEEE has rules to the rules to the rules to the test of te		e subscripts. S	ometimes we use	
Suggeste	dRemedy					
We s	hould review the o	conventions and adap	ot variable	es to fit them.		
Proposed	Response	Response Status	w			
PRO	POSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.				

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4a Page 21 of 37 5/13/2015 12:56:39 PM

	3.2.7.4a	P <b>57</b>	L 17	# 72	C/ <b>33</b>	SC 33.2.7.7	P 59	-	# 123
Schindler, Fred		Seen Simply			Yseboodt	, Lennart	Philips		
51		mment Status D		PSE Unbalance	Comment		Comment Status	-	PSE Power
This section only	y applies to T	ypes 3 and 4.			"A PS	SE may remove p	ower from a pair-set of	f a PI if the pair-set cu	irrent"
	nat a reader m	ut that this section appl sust parse to discover v ponse Status W		beginning of this section	First o Then	one pairset exce the full current o	ng too much current, thi eds, and gets disconne f the PD gets transferre shutdown time is doub	cted after Tlim. ed to the other pairset	
PROPOSED AC					Some	e textual clarificat	ions added + distinction	n between single and	dual signature PD.
Need actual text	t				Suggeste	edRemedy			
C/ 33 SC 33 Yseboodt, Lennart	.2.7.7	P <b>59</b> Philips	L 19	# 90	excee in Fig	eds the 'PSE low gure 33-14, when	oower from both pair-se erbound template' connected to a single s	signature PD.	
21		<i>mment Status</i> <b>D</b> om a pair-set of a PI if	the *the* pair-se	PSE Power et current"	the 'P in Fig	SE lowerbound gure 33-14, when	connected to a dual sig	gnature PD.	
SuggestedRemedy "A PSE may ren	nove power fr	om a pair-set of a PI if	the pair-set cur	rent"	'PSE when	upperbound tem connected to a s	plate' in Figure 33-14, single signature PD.		r-set current exceeds the
Proposed Response PROPOSED AC		ponse Status W			upper	rbound template		PI before its pair-set o	current exceeds the 'PSE
EZ					Proposed	l Response	Response Status	w	
					PROF	POSED ACCEP	IN PRINCIPLE.		
					I think	k we can simplify	this		
					SS: r	may remove pow	er from both if lower te	mplate exceeded, sha	all remove power from

DS: may remove power from the pair-set or both if lower template exceeded, shall remove from the pair-set or both if upper template exceeded.

both if upper template exceeded.

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7 Page 22 of 37 5/13/2015 12:56:39 PM

	SC 33.2.8	P 61	L <b>52</b>	# 102	C/ 33	SC 33.2.9.	1.1	P 62	L <b>30-3</b>	# 130
seboodt, Len	nnart	Philips			Yseboodt	, Lennart		Philips		
		Comment Status <b>D</b> e power provision to a link if	the PSE is unabl	PSE Power e to provide the		ence to Table 3		nt Status D		PSE MP
requested	by the PD be en for misinte	ased on the PD's class." erpretation: the power 'reques		an be higher than the	•	ace Table 33-1 I	,			
	lass due to p	ower demotion.				<i>Response</i> POSED ACCEF	•	e Status W		
A PSE do	es not initiate	e power provision to a link if th	ne PSE is unable	e to provide the	EZ					
	power level s assigned cl	ass. Response Status W			CI <b>33</b> Yseboodt	SC <b>33.2.9.</b> . Lennart	1.1	P 63 Philips	L 1	# 82
Toposeu Nes	sponse	Response Status W			Comment		Commer	nt Status D		PSE MP
C/ <b>33</b> Schindler, Free	SC <b>33.2.9.1.</b> d	Seen Simply	L 28	# 71		ered Table 33-		rs for AC disconn	ect-detection fun	ctions" is incorrectly
Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status D		PSE MPS	Repla	ace "Table 33-1	by Table "33	-12".		
The Task	Force should	determine whether new Typ	es may use AC	MPS.	Proposed	Response	Response	e Status W		
		ameters may need to be rech VPSE may need to drop fror			_	POSED ACCEF	ΥT.			
SuggestedRer	medy				EZ					
Determine according		Force wants to have new Typ	es use AC MPS	and adjust text	CI <b>33</b> Darshan,	SC <b>33.2.9.</b> Yair	1.2	P <b>63</b> Microsemi	L <b>2</b>	# 34
Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status W			Comment	Type ER	Commer	nt Status D		PSE MP
		IN PRINCIPLE.				cate table 33-1 ave Table 33-1				
We will as	sk the task fo	rce. I expect the answer to b	e no.			/e it is 33-12 (A		parameters)		
If no: Add	d that Type 1	and Type 2 PSEs are the on	ly PSEs that can	do AC MPS.	00	<i>dRemedy</i> ge to 33-12.				
						<i>Response</i> POSED ACCEF	,	e Status W		
					F7					

ΕZ

C/ 33 SC 33.2.9.1.2 Page 23 of 37 5/13/2015 12:56:39 PM

C/ 33 SC 33.2.9.1.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>64</b> Philips	L 18	# [131	C/ <b>33</b> Yseboodt,	SC 33.3.1 , Lennart		P <b>64</b> ilips	L 38	# 104
Comment Type E Co Reference to Table 33-1 wro	omment Status D		PSE MPS	Comment The te	•••	Comment Stat		so used and valid	PD d for a PD.
SuggestedRemedy Replace Table 33-1 by Table Proposed Response Res					"A pair-set in a	PD refers to either e A and Mode B."	of the cond	ductor sets." after	"The two conductor
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	sponse Status W			'	Response POSED ACCEP	Response Statu PT IN PRINCIPLE.	us W		
EZ C/ 33 SC 33.3.1 (seboodt, Lennart	P <b>64</b> Philips	L 38	# 105		-	t comment cycle to a		finition of pair-set	t to section clause 1.4.
Comment Type TR Co "The PD shall be capable of conductors." This statement is valid for Ty Type 3 and 4 PDs are require	omment Status <b>D</b> accepting power on eit rpe 1 & Type 2.		PD PI o sets of PI	wireco listed	onnections as in 33.2.3.	et" and tits definition		•	twoīvalid <b>4</b> ⊦
This text should be in line wit			term pair-set.	C/ 33	SC 33.3.1		P 64	L <b>53</b>	# 142
Replace line by: Type 1 and Type 2 PDs shall Type 3 and Type 4 PDs shall				Jones, Ch <i>Comment</i> Mainte	Туре Т	Comment Stat comment Stat st #1274 on behalf o	us <b>D</b>	immerman, CME	PD
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PR Are we adding a requirement power on either pair-set, we	t to Type 1 and Type 2 have added both)?			comm withst across of the	nonly found in E and application s the pins corre link segment w	thernet equipment. of common-mode F	The intent PoE voltage pairs twist ent across t	is to require PDs e. Application of ed differentially to the transformer w	57V DC voltages in o form a balanced pair
What is the difference betwe	en "either or both" and	"either and both	"?	Suggested Chang perma To:Th sets o	<i>dRemedy</i> ge: The PD sha anent damage. ie PD shall with of two pins at the	II withstand any volt	age from 0 mode volta out permai	V to 57 V at the age from 0 V to 5 hent damage. Th	PI indefinitely without 7 V applied to any two he two pins in each set link segment.
				Proposed	Response	Response State PT IN PRINCIPLE.			

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
 So

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 So
 So
 So
 So

C/ 33 SC 33.3.1 Page 24 of 37 5/13/2015 12:56:39 PM

C/ 33 SC 33.3.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>65</b> Philips	L 6	# 97	Cl 33 SC 33.3. Schindler, Fred	2 P 65 Seen Simply	L <b>32</b>	# 65
Comment Type E In Table 33-13, conduc	Comment Status D tor 2, mistyped Positive V_p		PD PI	Comment Type ER Replace the Type	Comment Status D 1 row, "May be" with "Allowed."		PD Types
SuggestedRemedy Replace by "Positive V_	_PD"			SuggestedRemedy See above.			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCI	Response Status W EPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
EZ				Possible OBE by c	omment # 109		
C/ 33 SC 33.3.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>65</b> Philips	L -	# 109	make change if cor EZ	mment #109 is not resolved with	a change to this	text.
Table 33-13a lists the n does not take extended powe	naximum PD power, but for T er into account.	ÿpe 3 (51W) ar	<i>PD Types</i> d Type 4 (71.3W) it	C/ 33 SC 33.3. Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips	L <b>33</b>	# 106
does not take extended powe		) (- · · · )		Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type TR	Philips Comment Status D		PD Types
SuggestedRemedy Possible solutions:					mn DLL classification, Type 1 / 1 n, optional would be more apt.	13W row, content	= "May be".
That column would look PD Class * 0-3	with a "Highest Class" columı k like	i (preferrea).		SuggestedRemedy Replace "May be" See replacement ta	with "Optional". able suggestion in yseboodt_D04	4_Table_33-13a_	v100.pdf
* 4 * 0-3 * 4 (line removed)				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCI	Response Status W EPT IN PRINCIPLE.		
* 4-6 * 7-8				Possible OBE by c	omment # 109		
See replacement table Proposed Response	suggestion in yseboodt_D04 Response Status <b>W</b>	Table_33-13a	_v100.pdf	make change if cor	mment #109 is not resolved with	a change to this	text.
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	,			EZ			
Classes are a better ware referred to once (Pclass	ay to refer to power levels. Tl s_pd)	ne actual power	levels should only be				

C/ 33 SC 33.3.2

CI 33 SC 33.3.2	P 65	L 37	# 107	CI 33	SC 33.3.2	P 66	L 10	# 134
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, I	_ennart	Philips		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PD Types	Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status D		PD Classificatio
There is no reason for SuggestedRemedy Replace "Yes" by "Op	DLL classification, Type 3 / 7 a Type 3 13W (Class 3 max tional" in the column "Data Li	) PD to have man	datory DLL support.	greater both m classifi	implement ultiple-Event P cation (see	Ds operating with a max pow hysical Layer classification (s class signature of 4, 5, 6, or 7	ee 33.3.5.2) and	Ū
row "Type 3, 13W". See replacement table	e suggestion in yseboodt_D0	4_Table_33-13a_	v100.pdf	Class 8	3 missing.			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Suggested	Ũ			
PROPOSED ACCEPT Possible OBE by com make change if comm	-	a change to this	text.	greater both m classifi	implement ultiple-Event P cation (see	Ds operating with a max pow hysical Layer classification (s class signature of 4, 5, 6, 7, c	ee 33.3.5.2) and	Ū.
C/ <b>33</b> SC <b>33.3.2</b> Dwelley, David	P <b>65</b> Linear Techr	L <b>49</b> nology	# 41	Proposed F PROPO	Response DSED ACCEP <sup>-</sup>	Response Status W		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PD Types	EZ				
Table 33-13a, Note 2: Section TBD for detail	"Needs 4-Pair Identification I s."	before enabling 4-	pair power. See	C/ <b>33</b> Yseboodt, I	SC 33.3.2 Lennart	P 66 Philips	L 12	# 98
Enabling 4-pair power	is a PSE function, not a PD	function.		Comment 7	Tvpe <b>T</b>	Comment Status D		PD Powe
SuggestedRemedy Remove Note 2.				Line 9 s defined	says: The max I in Table 33-18	imum power a PD expects to 3.		is P Class_PD max as
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP1	Response Status W			Pclass.		nent is unclear. If the references the PD PI, the it is Pclass_t	•	
Replace "Yes" in 4-pa	ir Capable column with "Man	datory" for all Typ	e 3 or Type 4 rows.	classes Suggestedi	s 6 and 8. Remedy			
Replace "Allowed" in 4 rows.	4-pair Capable column with "(	Optional" for all Ty	rpe 1 and Type 2	Remov The ma	e altogether or aximum power	replace by: a PD expects to draw from a 3-3 and Table 33-7.	PSE is P_Class a	at the PSE PI as
Remove note 2. Need	d to add 4PID information to I	PSE section.		Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
				11.01.0				

C/ 33 SC 33.3.2

C/ 33 SC 33.3.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 66 Philips	L <b>4-10</b>	# 108	Cl 33 SC 33.3.3 Yseboodt, Lennart	3 P 68 Philips	L 16-3	# 91
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PD Types	Comment Type E	Comment Status D		PD State Diagram
"Type 3 PDs operating up implement both 1-Event	tion and Data Link Layer c		ass 3 or less	Variable is renamed but it describes the t pse_dll_power_type SuggestedRemedy	from pse_dll_power_type to pay ype of the PSE connected. is a more apt name.		əl,
There is no reason for a	Type 3 13W (Class 3 max)	) PD to require DI	Lsupport		wer_level to pse_dll_power_ty	pe or to pse_dil_ty	pe
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
				PROPOSED ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE.		
implement a minimum of	o to a max power draw cor lassification and advertise			Leave name as pse	_dll_power_level		
3.					to: "A control variable output b		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			(Figure 33-3) that in	dicates the power level of the F	SE by which the	PD is being powered.
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				Values: 1: The PSI	is delivering class 3 power or	less	
				2: The PSE is delive		less.	
	ould not be required to sup	oport LLDP.		<ol> <li>2: The PSE is delive</li> <li>3: The PSE is delive</li> </ol>	ering class 4 power. ering class 5 or class 6 power.	less.	
Agree. Class 0-3 PDs sho	ould not be required to sup P <b>66</b>	oport LLDP.	# 132	2: The PSE is deliv 3: The PSE is deliv 4: The PSE is deliv	ering class 4 power. ering class 5 or class 6 power. ering class 7 or class 8 power.	less.	
Agree. Class 0-3 PDs sho		•	# [132	<ol> <li>2: The PSE is delive</li> <li>3: The PSE is delive</li> </ol>	ering class 4 power. ering class 5 or class 6 power. ering class 7 or class 8 power.	L <b>17</b>	# [51
Agree. Class 0-3 PDs sho Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 /seboodt, Lennart	P 66 Philips	•		2: The PSE is deliv 3: The PSE is deliv 4: The PSE is deliv	ering class 4 power. ering class 5 or class 6 power. ering class 7 or class 8 power.	L 17	# [51
Agree. Class 0-3 PDs sho Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E	P 66 Philips Comment Status D	L <b>4-8</b>	# 132 PD Types	2: The PSE is deliv 3: The PSE is deliv 4: The PSE is deliv C/ 33 SC 33.3.3	ering class 4 power. ering class 5 or class 6 power. ering class 7 or class 8 power. 3 P68	L 17	# 51 PD State Diagram
Agree. Class 0-3 PDs sho Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E	P 66 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> D laximum power' (two instar	L <b>4-8</b>		2: The PSE is deliv. 3: The PSE is deliv. 4: The PSE is deliv. C/ 33 SC 33.3.3 Beia, Christian Comment Type E The variable name of	ering class 4 power. ering class 5 or class 6 power. ering class 7 or class 8 power. 3 P 68 STMicroelec	L <b>17</b> tronics be to pse_dll_pow	<i>PD State Diagram</i> er_type is
Agree. Class 0-3 PDs sho Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E 'Max power' should be 'M SuggestedRemedy Replace 'Max power' by 'I	P 66 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> D laximum power' (two instar	L <b>4-8</b>		2: The PSE is deliv. 3: The PSE is deliv. 4: The PSE is deliv. Cl 33 SC 33.3.3 Beia, Christian Comment Type E The variable name of unnecessary and do (clause 33.6.3.5)	ering class 4 power. ering class 5 or class 6 power. ering class 7 or class 8 power. 3 P 68 STMicroelec <i>Comment Status</i> D ehange from pse_dll_power_typ	L <b>17</b> tronics be to pse_dll_pow	<i>PD State Diagram</i> er_type is
Agree. Class 0-3 PDs sho Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E 'Max power' should be 'M SuggestedRemedy Replace 'Max power' by 'I	P 66 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> D laximum power' (two instar	L <b>4-8</b>		2: The PSE is deliv. 3: The PSE is deliv. 4: The PSE is deliv. Cl 33 SC 33.3.3 Beia, Christian Comment Type E The variable name of unnecessary and do (clause 33.6.3.5) SuggestedRemedy	ering class 4 power. ering class 5 or class 6 power. ering class 7 or class 8 power. 3 P 68 STMicroelec <i>Comment Status</i> D ehange from pse_dll_power_typ	L 17 tronics be to pse_dll_pow e in the state diagn	PD State Diagram er_type is am on page 111
Agree. Class 0-3 PDs sho Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E 'Max power' should be 'M SuggestedRemedy Replace 'Max power' by 'I Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	P 66 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> D laximum power' (two instar	L <b>4-8</b>		2: The PSE is deliv. 3: The PSE is deliv. 4: The PSE is deliv. Cl 33 SC 33.3.3 Beia, Christian Comment Type E The variable name of unnecessary and do (clause 33.6.3.5) SuggestedRemedy restore the variable	ering class 4 power. ering class 5 or class 6 power. ering class 7 or class 8 power. 3 P 68 STMicroelec <i>Comment Status</i> D change from pse_dll_power_types not correspond to the name	L 17 tronics be to pse_dll_pow e in the state diagn	<i>PD State Diagram</i> er_type is am on page 111
Agree. Class 0-3 PDs sho Cl 33 SC 33.3.2 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E 'Max power' should be 'M SuggestedRemedy Replace 'Max power' by 'I Proposed Response	P 66 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> D laximum power' (two instar	L <b>4-8</b>		2: The PSE is deliv. 3: The PSE is deliv. 4: The PSE is deliv. Cl 33 SC 33.3.3 Beia, Christian Comment Type E The variable name of unnecessary and do (clause 33.6.3.5) SuggestedRemedy	ering class 4 power. ering class 5 or class 6 power. ering class 7 or class 8 power. 3 P 68 STMicroelec <i>Comment Status</i> D change from pse_dll_power_type es not correspond to the name name "pse_dll_power_type" in: <i>Response Status</i> W	L 17 tronics be to pse_dll_pow e in the state diagn	<i>PD State Diagram</i> er_type is am on page 111

C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.3

CI 33	SC 33.3.3.3	P 68	L <b>34</b>	# 136	C/ 33	SC 33.3.3.4a	P 69	L 12-1	# 94
Yseboodt,	Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, L	ennart.	Philips		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		PD State Diagram	Comment T	ype T	Comment Status D		PD State Diagram
"4: The	e PSE is deliverin	ng the PD's requested power	r or Class 7 pow	er, whichever is less."	"Type 3 connect		variable that indicates to the	e PD the Type of	PSE to which it is
Should	d be Class 8.						indicate which MPS timing	requirements (se	e 33.3.8) the PD
Suggested	IRemedy				should Values				
"4: The	e PSE is deliverin	ng the PD's requested power	r or Class 8 pow	er, whichever is less."		-	ype 3 MPS requirements.		
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			FALSE:	The PSE uses	Type 1 MPS requirements."		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.				Bad var	iable name. Typ	e description incomplete.		
EZ					SuggestedF	Remedy			
C/ 33	SC 33.3.3.3	P 68	L 34	# 55			ariable that indicates to the F	PD the Type of P	SE to which it is
Beia, Chris		STMicroelecti	-	# 55	connect This va		indicate which MPS timing	requirements (se	e 33 3 8) the PD
				DD State Diagram	should	use.	indicate time: in c in ig		
Comment		Comment Status D	his description .	PD State Diagram	Values	-			
		#4 in pse_power_level varia ed by a Type4 PSE, which is		should indicate the			ype 3, 4 MPS requirements Type 1, 2 MPS requirements		
Suggestea	IRemedy				Proposed R	lesponse	Response Status W		
Replac					PROPC	SED ACCEPT	N PRINCIPLE.		
With:		g the PD's requested power					ariable that indicates to the F	PD the Type of P	SE to which it is
		g the PD's requested power	or Class 8 powe	er, whichever is less.	connect This ve		indicate which MPS timing	roquiromonte (co	o 22 2 8) tho PD
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			should		indicate which wirds timing	requirements (se	e 55.5.0) the PD
PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Values				
OBE b	y comment #136	i					ype 3, 4 MPS timing require Type 1, 2 MPS timing requir		

ΕZ

C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.4a Page 28 of 37 5/13/2015 12:56:39 PM

Cl 33	SC 33.3.3.4a	P 69	L 8	# 53	CI 33 SC 33.3.5	.1 /	P74	L 14	# 135
Beia, Chris	stian	STMicroelect	onics		Yseboodt, Lennart	Ph	ilips		
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D		PD State Diagram	Comment Type T	Comment State	us <b>D</b>		PD Classification
timing	s are not defined	ng: the classification event ti in Table 33-7. Actually they mment is addressing this)			Event classification draw corresponding	sification is a subset of Type 2, Type 3 and T	Type 4 PDs		
Suggested	Remedy				to class 4, 5, 6, or	respond to 1-Event	classificatio	on with a Class 4	signature.
Chang	,				Class 8 missing.				
The cl With:	assification event	timing requirements are def	ined in Table 3	3–7	SuggestedRemedy				
	assification event	timing requirements are def	ined in Table 3	3–17		sification is a subset of			
Proposed	Response	Response Status W				Type 2, Type 3 and	Type 4 PDs	s operating with a	a maximum power
PROP	OSED ACCEPT.				draw corresponding to class 4, 5, 6, 7, o	r 8 respond to 1-Ever	nt classificat	tion with a Class	4 signature."
EZ					Proposed Response	Response Statu	ıs W		Ū
					PROPOSED ACCE	, PT IN PRINCIPLE.			
			1.0						
	SC 33.3.3.4a	P 69	L 8	# 93	"Cince 1 Event ales	ification is a subset	of Multiplo		
		Philips	L 8			sification is a subset of Type 2. Type 3 and <sup>2</sup>		s operating with a	a maximum power
Yseboodt, Comment	Lennart	Philips Comment Status D	L 8	# <u>93</u> PD State Diagram	Event classification draw corresponding	Type 2, Type 3 and	Type 4 PDs		
Yseboodt, Comment Bad re Suggested	Lennart <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> eference to Table <i>IRemedy</i>	Philips Comment Status D 33-7	L 8		Event classification draw corresponding	Type 2, Type 3 and 7	Type 4 PDs		
Yseboodt, Comment Bad re Suggested Table	Lennart <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> eference to Table <i>IRemedy</i> 33-7 => Table 33	Philips Comment Status D 33-7 -10	L 8		Event classification, draw corresponding to class or higher re	Type 2, Type 3 and <sup>-</sup> spond to 1-Event clas	Type 4 PDs		
(seboodt, Comment Bad re Suggested Table Proposed	Lennart <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> eference to Table <i>IRemedy</i> 33-7 => Table 33 <i>Response</i>	Philips Comment Status D 33-7 -10 Response Status W	L 8		Event classification, draw corresponding to class or higher re EZ	Type 2, Type 3 and 5 spond to 1-Event class	Type 4 PDs	vith a Class 4 sig	inature."
Yseboodt, Comment Bad re Suggested Table Proposed	Lennart <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> eference to Table <i>IRemedy</i> 33-7 => Table 33	Philips Comment Status D 33-7 -10 Response Status W	L 8		Event classification, draw corresponding to class or higher re EZ Cl 33 SC 33.3.5	Type 2, Type 3 and 5 spond to 1-Event class	Type 4 PDs ssification w P <b>75</b> hear Techno	vith a Class 4 sig	nature." # [ <u>42</u>
Yseboodt, Comment Bad re Suggested Table Proposed PROP OBE b	Lennart <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> eference to Table <i>IRemedy</i> 33-7 => Table 33 <i>Response</i>	Philips Comment Status D 33-7 -10 Response Status W	L 8		Event classification. draw corresponding to class or higher re EZ Cl 33 SC 33.3.5 Dwelley, David Comment Type TR Table 33-16a: class	Type 2, Type 3 and 5 spond to 1-Event class .2 F Lin Comment State	Type 4 PDs ssification w P <b>75</b> hear Techno <i>us</i> <b>X</b> _T legacy P	<i>L</i> 21 <i>L</i> 21 Dology	inature."
Suggested Table Proposed PROP	Lennart <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> deference to Table <i>Remedy</i> 33-7 => Table 33 <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT	Philips Comment Status D 33-7 -10 Response Status W	L 8		Event classification. draw corresponding to class or higher re EZ Cl 33 SC 33.3.5 Dwelley, David Comment Type TR Table 33-16a: class	Type 2, Type 3 and 5 spond to 1-Event clas .2 F Lin <i>Comment State</i> mapping will cause L	Type 4 PDs ssification w P <b>75</b> hear Techno <i>us</i> <b>X</b> _T legacy P	<i>L</i> 21 <i>L</i> 21 Dology	nature." # 42 PD Classification
Yseboodt, Comment Bad re Suggested Table Proposed PROP OBE b	Lennart <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> deference to Table <i>Remedy</i> 33-7 => Table 33 <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT	Philips Comment Status D 33-7 -10 Response Status W	L 8		Event classification, draw corresponding to class or higher re EZ Cl 33 SC 33.3.5 Dwelley, David Comment Type TR Table 33-16a: class and 8 looks weird b SuggestedRemedy	Type 2, Type 3 and 3 spond to 1-Event class .2 // Comment State mapping will cause L ut will improve interop B mappings for classe 3: 3	Type 4 PDs ssification w P 75 hear Techno us X T legacy P berability in	<i>L</i> 21 <i>L</i> 21 Dology Ds to motorboat the field.	nature." # 42 PD Classificatio
Yseboodt, Comment Bad re Suggested Table Proposed PROP OBE b	Lennart <i>Type</i> <b>E</b> deference to Table <i>Remedy</i> 33-7 => Table 33 <i>Response</i> OSED ACCEPT	Philips Comment Status D 33-7 -10 Response Status W	L 8		Event classification, draw corresponding to class or higher re EZ Cl 33 SC 33.3.5 Dwelley, David Comment Type TR Table 33-16a: class and 8 looks weird b SuggestedRemedy Reverse class_sig_ class 7: class_sig_	Type 2, Type 3 and 3 spond to 1-Event class .2 // Comment State mapping will cause L ut will improve interop B mappings for classe 3: 3	Type 4 PDs ssification w P 75 near Techno us X _T legacy P berability in es 7 and 8:	<i>L</i> 21 <i>L</i> 21 Dology Ds to motorboat the field.	nature." # 42 PD Classification

C/ 33 SC 33.3.5.2

CI 33	SC 33.3.5.2	P 75	L 33	# 56	CI 33	SC 33.3.5.3	P 76	L <b>29</b>	# 73
Beia, Chris	tian	STMicroelectro	onics		Schindler, F	red	Seen Simply		
Comment T	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D		PD Classification	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		PD Classification
Table 3		ication electrical requirements,	the long first of	and avant definition	Some c	f the requiren	ents for Autoclass need to be o	covered.	
		PSE MPS capability, is missin			Suggested	Remedy			
	,	s mentioned in table 33-19a.	nnot he wood e	a it appoifiably refere			the time over which the measu w is used that is valid within TAU		
		ure timing in 33-17a (TACS) ca re and not to MPS.	nnot be used, a	is it specifically refers	Proposed R	0	Response Status W		(010_FD2.
		quirements are the same for bo		e of Tpdc_max to		,	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
		d in table 33-10), with some group of the some g		of 1ms is suggested.			-		
			, 0	00			ear that the power drawn during determine a new Pclass_pd (w		
Suggested	Remedy					ed in 33.3.7.2			
	line in Table 33-	17 for: Long first class event timing"; {	Symbol: "TI CE'	· Linite:"me": Min:	C/ 33	SC 33.3.5.3	P 76	L 37	# 54
		Additional information: "See 33			Beia, Christ		STMicroelectro	-	
roposed F	Response	Response Status W			Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status D		PD Classification
PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			Table 3	3-17.			
Added	as much range	as possible while still keeping	some margin.	Added PD to the			ure timing specification TACS in ce +-3ms window over a 80ms		
		entiate from the PSE variable.	eenne marginn s		than +-4			unier requires a	I CIOCK ACCUIACY Deller
Add a l	line in Table 33-	17 for					neter requiring such a high accu or is a response to a PSE long f		
Item: "	7"; parameter: "	Long first class event timing"; \$		_PD"; Units:"ms"; Min:			in, the requirement for TACS c		
"75.5m	is"; Max: "84.5m	ns"; Additional information: "Se	e 33.3.8"		margin	(grey area) or	PSE timings (1ms after Tpdc_	max and before	TLCF_min)
CI <b>33</b>	SC 33.3.5.3	P 76	L <b>20</b>	# 66	Suggested	2			
Schindler, I	Fred	Seen Simply			0		alue to 76ms and max value to 8	34ms.	
Comment 7	Type ER	Comment Status D		PSE Classification	Proposed R	•	Response Status W		
Replac	e " the PD to w	nich it is connected." with			PROPC	SED ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.		
Suggested	Remedy				Change	TACS min va	alue to 75.5ms and max value to	o 84.5ms.	
	onnected PD."								
Proposed F		Response Status W							
PROP	OSED ACCEPT								
EZ									

C/ 33 SC 33.3.5.3

CI 33 SC 33.3.7	P77	L 27-3	# 103	C/ 33 SC 33	3.3.7	P 78	L 15	# 24
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Darshan, Yair		Microsemi		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		PD Power	Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		PD Powe
class of the Type. PDs in Class 1,2,5 and 7 Hence their design calls Also, the PD Type alone PD/15W can still get a 37.0V input voltage SuggestedRemedy		low as currently ng window that is nimum input volta	specified. unnecessarily wide.	inserted instead See darshan_0 The equation to Pclass_PD=[W] Pclass_PD=39. Pclass_PD=51	l of TBL 3_0515 be use  =Pclas 94W fo V for Po	.pdf for details		now be calculated and
Base minimum PD voltage           VPort_PD-2P(min) =           Class 1: 42.2V           Class 2: 40.8V           Class 3: 37.0V           Class 4: 42.5V           Class 5: 44.4V           Class 6: 42.5V           Class 7: 43.0V           Class 8: 41.2V           Proposed Response           PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	ge on PD assigned class ra <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> N PRINCIPLE.	mer man Type.		Pclass_PD=39. Pclass_PD=51\ Pclass_PD=51\ Proposed Response PROPOSED A	94W fo V for C V for C CCEPT	lass 6. lasS 7. <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b>		
Interesting ideawould	ike to hear the group's opin	ion.		Update TBDs ir	item 4	Table 33-18 with:		
Cl 33 SC 33.3.7 Darshan, Yair Comment Type E Typo. Redundant 33.3.7.1 in ad SuggestedRemedy	P <b>77</b> Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> <b>D</b> dditional informatione colum	L 29	# 23 <i>PD Power</i> 8 item 1.	Pclass_PD=39. Pclass_PD=51\ Pclass_PD=62\ EZ	V for C	lass 6.		
Change from 33.3.7.133								
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W							
EZ								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 Page 31 of 37 5/13/2015 12:56:39 PM

33         SC 33.3.7         P 78         L 15-1           boodt, Lennart         Philips	# 100	<i>CI</i> <b>33</b> Yseboodt, L	SC 33.3.7 .ennart	P : Philip		L <b>45-4</b>	# 126
nment TypeTComment StatusDPD Powers can now be calculated from Pclass.	PD Power	Comment Ty Item 11,	51	Comment Status listed for Type 1 and	_		PD Power
gestedRemedy Class 5: 39.9W Pclass_pd(max) Class 6: 51.0W Pclass_pd(max)			ra lines for Type	e 3 and 4 with TBD.			
Class 7: 62.0W Pclass_pd(max) (note: rounded up by 1.6mW) Class 8: 71.3W Pclass_pd(max) (note: rounded up by 22.3mW)		Proposed R PROPO	esponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status	W		
posed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		EZ					
OBE by comment # 24.		C/ <b>33</b> Yseboodt, L	SC 33.3.7 ennart	P 7 Philip		L <b>45-4</b>	# 125
EZ		Comment Ty Items 8	51	Comment Status		nce are onlv list	<i>PD Power</i> ted for Type 1 and 2.
<b>33 SC 33.3.7 P 78 L 37</b> shan, Yair Microsemi	# 25	SuggestedR	Remedy			, , ,	
nment Type T Comment Status D	PD Power	/ 100 0/10		e 3 and 4 with TBD.			
nment Type       T       Comment Status       D         Table 33-18 item 5 and 6.       Peak operating power for class 5 and 6. can be 1.11*Pclass_PD as well du class 6 is 2xType 2 power and it is higher than class 5.	PD Power	Proposed R		Response Status	w		
Table 33-18 item 5 and 6. Peak operating power for class 5 and 6. can be 1.11*Pclass_PD as well du	ue to the fact that	Proposed R PROPO EZ Cl 33	SC 33.3.7	Response Status	79	L 15	# 26
Table 33-18 item 5 and 6. Peak operating power for class 5 and 6. can be 1.11*Pclass_PD as well du class 6 is 2xType 2 power and it is higher than class 5. Class from analysis done in darshan_03_0515.pdf, class 7 and 8 may also 33-12 as is.	ue to the fact that	Proposed R PROPO EZ Cl 33 Darshan, Ya	SC <b>33.3.7</b>	Response Status PT Micro	<b>79</b> osemi	L 15	
Table 33-18 item 5 and 6. Peak operating power for class 5 and 6. can be 1.11*Pclass_PD as well du class 6 is 2xType 2 power and it is higher than class 5. Class from analysis done in darshan_03_0515.pdf, class 7 and 8 may also 33-12 as is.	ue to the fact that	Proposed R PROPO EZ Cl 33 Darshan, Ya Comment Ty 1)Table PD Type	SC <b>33.3.7</b>	Response Status P Micro Comment Status Von and Voff: 2,3,4.	<b>79</b> osemi	L 15	# 26 PD Power
Table 33-18 item 5 and 6.         Peak operating power for class 5 and 6. can be 1.11*Pclass_PD as well du class 6 is 2xType 2 power and it is higher than class 5.         Class from analysis done in darshan_03_0515.pdf, class 7 and 8 may also 33-12 as is.         rgestedRemedy         Replace TBDs in Table 33-18 item 7 for class 5 -8 with 1.11*Pclass_PD.         posed Response       Response Status	ue to the fact that	Proposed R PROPO EZ Cl 33 Darshan, Ya Comment Ty 1)Table PD Type 2) Typo SuggestedR 1) Chan	SC 33.3.7 SC 33.3.7 air ype T 33-18 item 11 e need to be 1,2 in additional inf Remedy nge PD Type fro	Response Status P Micro Comment Status Von and Voff: 2,3,4.	79 osemi S D		
Table 33-18 item 5 and 6.         Peak operating power for class 5 and 6. can be 1.11*Pclass_PD as well du class 6 is 2xType 2 power and it is higher than class 5.         Class from analysis done in darshan_03_0515.pdf, class 7 and 8 may also 33-12 as is.         gestedRemedy         Replace TBDs in Table 33-18 item 7 for class 5 -8 with 1.11*Pclass_PD.         posed Response       Response Status         W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ue to the fact that	Proposed R PROPO EZ Cl 33 Darshan, Ya Comment Ty 1)Table PD Type 2) Typo SuggestedR 1) Chan 2) Chan Proposed R	SC 33.3.7 SC 33.3.7 air 33-18 item 11 e need to be 1,2 in additional inf Remedy nge PD Type fro nge 33.3.7.133.3	Response Status P 7 Micro Comment Status Von and Voff: 2,3,4. formation. m 1,2, to 1,2,3,4 for 3.7.1 to 33.3.7.1. Response Status	<b>79</b> osemi s <b>D</b> r both Von a		
Table 33-18 item 5 and 6.         Peak operating power for class 5 and 6. can be 1.11*Pclass_PD as well du class 6 is 2xType 2 power and it is higher than class 5.         Class from analysis done in darshan_03_0515.pdf, class 7 and 8 may also 33-12 as is.         gestedRemedy         Replace TBDs in Table 33-18 item 7 for class 5 -8 with 1.11*Pclass_PD.         posed Response       Response Status         W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ue to the fact that	Proposed R PROPO EZ Cl 33 Darshan, Ya Comment Ty 1)Table PD Type 2) Typo SuggestedR 1) Chan 2) Chan Proposed R PROPO	SC 33.3.7 SC 33.3.7 air SC 33.3.7 air 33-18 item 11 V e need to be 1,2 in additional inf Remedy nge PD Type fro nge 33.3.7.133.3 Response DSED ACCEPT	Response Status P 7 Micro Comment Status Von and Voff: 2,3,4. formation. m 1,2, to 1,2,3,4 for 3.7.1 to 33.3.7.1. Response Status	<b>79</b> osemi s <b>D</b> r both Von a		

CI 33 SC 33.3	7.3 P 80	L <b>46</b>	# 27	C/ 33	SC 3	3.3.8	P <b>84</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 124
Darshan, Yair	Microse	mi		Yseboodt,	Lennart		Philips		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D	)	PD Power	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status D		PD MPS
SuggestedRemedy Add the following t	Table 33-18 item 9 that the text at the end of 33.3.7.3: the minimum value of Cport s			This sl See ot Suggested	hould be her com IRemedy	Table 3 ment on	able 33-1. 3-12, but note, Table 33-12 is this. able 33-12.	erroneously list	ed as Table 33-1.
Proposed Response	Response Status V	/		Proposed I	Respons	se	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACC	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.			PROP	OSED A	CCEPT.			
	note at the end of 33.3.7.3 to make the meaning clear.	that address Cport pe	r pair set. This note	EZ					
C/ 33 SC 33.3	.8 <i>P</i> 84	L <b>24</b>	# 95	C/ 33	SC 3	3.3.8	P 85	L 13	# 35
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips	- 27	# <u>9</u> 5	Darshan, Y			Microsemi		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D	)	PD MPS	Comment		TR	Comment Status D ons for Type 1-4 are not specif	fied	PD MPS
21	e up of current draw equal to			•	_		ons for Type 1-4 are not speci	neu.	
SuggestedRemedy	s of current draw equal to or Response Status V	above Iport_MPS for		Add to for Sin <i>Proposed I</i>	le 33-18 th econ gle Sign <i>Respons</i>	item 1 fo dition co ature PE se	and class 0-4. Response Status W		
This is existing lar	gauge and I believe it is clea	ar enough		PROP	OSED A	CCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
<i>Cl</i> 33 SC 33.3. Schindler, Fred	0 0	L 33	# 57	There until th		resentati	on(s) including baseline text c	on this topic in N	lay. Hold comment
Comment Type E Strike "In addition,	Comment Status D		PD MPS ul.						
SuggestedRemedy See above.									
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	Response Status <b>W</b> EPT.	I							
EZ									

ΕZ

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 33 SC 33.3.8

C/ 33 SC 33.3.8 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>85</b> Philips	L 1-4	# 96	<i>Cl</i> <b>33</b> Darshan, Yair	SC 33.3.8	P <b>85</b> Microsemi	L 15	# 28
Comment Type <b>T</b> The note is only corre PDs that make use o	Comment Status <b>D</b> ect for PDs that draw lport con f duty cycling will need to take ort_mps with the minimum dut	measures also w		Comment Typ Table 33- supported SuggestedRe	be <b>TR</b> 18 do not cove d by Type 3 an emedy	Comment Status <b>D</b> er MPS input current require d 4 PSEs under P2P curren	t balanced and u	nbalanced conditional
maximum allowed po voltage droop (V Port	_PSE max to V Port_PSE mir	n with series resis	tance R Ch ).	Proposed Res PROPOS	sponse SED ACCEPT I	em 1 per proposal attached <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> N PRINCIPLE. presentation(s) on this topic		US15.pdf.
Such a PD should inc Maintain Power Signature.	crease its I Port min or make o	other such provision	ons to meet the	C/ <b>33</b> Maguire, Vale	SC 33.4.8 erie	P <b>92</b> Siemon	L 15	# 2
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE.			Comment Typ Use termi		Comment Status <b>D</b> tent with rest of draft.		A
	ve and thus making it broader igners to consider the effect o			SuggestedRe Replace "		ance currents" with "channe	el current unbalan	nce"
implemenations that	number seems to work and I h use pulsing.	nave not heard an	- 	Proposed Res PROPOS	sponse SED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
implemenations thatCl 33SC 33.3.8	use pulsing. P <b>85</b>	L 15	y issues with it in # 36	1		Response Status W		
implemenations that Cl 33 SC 33.3.8 Dwelley, David Comment Type T	use pulsing. P <b>85</b> Linear Techn <i>Comment Status</i> D	L 15 ology	# <u>36</u> PD MPS	PROPOS EZ	SED ACCEPT.		L 33-3	# 127
implemenations that Cl 33 SC 33.3.8 Dwelley, David Comment Type T Type 3/4 MPS has be SuggestedRemedy Rewrite spec based of	use pulsing. P <b>85</b> Linear Techn	L 15 ology the 22mA number	# <u>36</u> PD MPS	PROPOS EZ Cl 33 Yseboodt, Lei Comment Typ "For 10GI values de Equation	SED ACCEPT. SC 33.4.9.1.2 nnart De E BASE-T opera etermined by (33-19a) wher	P 96	pan** PSE device	An es shall meet the
implemenations that Cl 33 SC 33.3.8 Dwelley, David Comment Type T Type 3/4 MPS has be SuggestedRemedy Rewrite spec based of Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	P 85 Linear Techn <i>Comment Status</i> D ecome more complicated and on results of joint presentation <i>Response Status</i> W	<i>L</i> <b>15</b> ology the 22mA number in May	# <u>36</u> PD MPS	PROPOS EZ C/ 33 Yseboodt, Ler Comment Typ "For 10GI values de Equation 500 MHz. SuggestedRe	SED ACCEPT. SED ACCEPT. SC 33.4.9.1.2 nnart be E BASE-T opera termined by (33-19a) when " medy > Midspan n	P 96 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> D tion, insertion loss for **Mis	pan** PSE device	An es shall meet the
implemenations that Cl 33 SC 33.3.8 Dwelley, David Comment Type T Type 3/4 MPS has be SuggestedRemedy Rewrite spec based of Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	P 85 Linear Techn <i>Comment Status</i> D ecome more complicated and on results of joint presentation <i>Response Status</i> W T IN PRINCIPLE.	<i>L</i> <b>15</b> ology the 22mA number in May	# <u>36</u> PD MPS	PROPOS EZ Cl 33 Yseboodt, Ler Comment Typ "For 10GH values de Equation 500 MHz. SuggestedRe Mispan -> fro -> fron trasmit ->	SED ACCEPT. SED ACCEPT. nnart De <b>E</b> BASE-T opera etermined by (33-19a) when " <i>ermedy</i> > Midspan n • transmit	P 96 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> D tion, insertion loss for **Mis	pan** PSE device	An es shall meet the

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 33 SC 33.4.9.1.2

	C 33.4.9.1.3	P 96	L <b>50</b>	# 129		C/ 33	SC 33.4.9.2	2.1	P 99 STMicroelecti	L 23	# 52	
Yseboodt, Leni		Philips				Beia, Chri				ronics		
Comment Type E Comment Status D AES Reference to Table 33-1 wrong.					Comment Type ER Comment Status D AE Figure 33-1. The figures numbering on this page till the end of clause 33 is wrong, because it restarts							
SuggestedRen Replace Ta	<i>edy</i> able 33-1 by T	able 33-20.						ould continue a	s 33-26.			
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.					SuggestedRemedy Renumber Figure 33-1 on page 99 as 33-26; 33-2 on page 110 as 33-27; 33-3 on page 111 as 33-28.					е		
EZ						•	Response POSED ACCEF	Response - PT.	Status W			
Cl 33 S Yseboodt, Leni	C 33.4.9.1.3 nart	P <b>97</b> Philips	<i>L</i> 1	# 128		EZ						
Comment Type Table "Cor		Comment Status D	d Table 33-20.		AES	<i>CI</i> <b>33</b> Yseboodt,	SC 33.6 Lennart		P <b>104</b> Philips	L <b>24-2</b>	# 79	
Proposed Res	able 33-1 by T	able 33-20. Response Status W				33.3.5	2 PDs that req	Comment uire more than sification is optio	13.0 W support	Data Link Layer o	classification (see	DLL e
EZ						Last s Suggested		s to be adjusted	for Type 3 and	14.		
C/ 33 Shariff, Masoo	C <b>33.4.9.13</b> d	P <b>97</b> CommScop	L <b>5</b> e	# 137		Repla "Type	ce text by:	s that require mo	ore than 13.0 W	/ support Data Lir	nk Layer classifica	ation
Comment Type	e T	Comment Status D			AES	Data I	Link Layer class	sification is option	onal for all othe	r devices."		
Connector	RL is not corre	ect for Category 5 conne	ctors.			Proposed	Response	Response	Status W			
SuggestedRen	nedy					PROF	OSED ACCEF	РТ.				
Use the fol	lowing for the	first row:				EZ						
10/100/100		/Hz <=f <= 31.5 MHz /Hz < f <= 100 MHz	30 dB 20 - 20 log(f/100)									
Proposed Resp PROPOSE	oonse D ACCEPT IN	Response Status W										
Need expe	rt opinion											

CI 33 SC 33.6

CI 33SC 33.6.2P 104Yseboodt, LennartPhilips	L <b>41</b>	# 80	C/ 33         SC 33.6.3.2         P 105         L 42-5         # 78           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
Comment Type E Comment Status D "*A* Type 2, 3, and 4 PSEs shall send an LLDPDU	containing "	DLL	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> DL PD_INITIAL_VALUE is still TBD for Class 5 and up. Can now be filled out since PD powers are known.
SuggestedRemedy "Type 2, 3, and 4 PSEs shall send an LLDPDU con Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ CI 33 SC 33.6.3.2 P 105	taining"	# 76	SuggestedRemedy         PD_DLLMAX_VALUE =         pd_max_power 5       <= 399
Yseboodt, Lennart Philips			PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Comment Type T Comment Status D PD_DLLMAX_VALUE is still TBD for Class 5 and u powers are known. Note: pd_max_power for class 8 is still TBD pendin SuggestedRemedy PD_DLLMAX_VALUE = pd_max_power 5 399 pd_max_power 5 399 pd_max_power 6 510 pd_max_power 7 620 pd_max_power 8 TBD Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	g another comme	nt.	Cl 33       SC 33.6.3.2       P 106       L 13-1       # 122         Yseboodt, Lennart       Philips       Philips         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D       DL         PSE_INITIAL_VALUE is still TBD for Class 5 and up. Can now be filled out since PD powers are known.       DL       PSE_INITIAL_VALUE is still TBD for Class 5 and up. Can now be filled out since PD powers are known.         SuggestedRemedy       PSE_INITIAL_VALUE =       mr_pd_class_detected 5 399         mr_pd_class_detected 6 510       for powers and the for the for the form of th
C/ 33         SC 33.6.3.2         P 105           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips	L <b>35-4</b>	# 77	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Comment Type T Comment Status D		DLL	C/ 33         SC 33.6.3.3         P 108         L 38-4         #         133           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
For Type 4 the Type max power is 99.9W LLDP is a way for the PD to request power beyond	what L1 classifica 3W at the PD PI (6	tion can deliver. .25 ohm channel).	Comment Type E Comment Status D DL 'Max power' should be 'Maximum power' (two instances)
A PSE that sources 99.9W (@52V) will deliver 76.8			SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy PD_DLLMAX_VALUE =			Replace 'Max power' by 'Maximum power'
SuggestedRemedy			Replace 'Max power' by 'Maximum power' <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/33Page 36 of 37COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawnSC33.63.35/13/2015 12:56:40 PMSORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 33	SC 33.8.3.4	P 12	2 <b>7</b> L	20	# 5		
Maguire, \	/alerie	Siemo	n				
Comment Type         T         Comment Status         D         Unbalance           Clarify type of unbalance (i.e. resistance or current)         Unbalance         Unbalance							
SuggestedRemedy Replace "PSE and PD channel unbalance" with "PSE and PD channel current unbalance"							
Proposed	Response	Response Status	w				

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 33 SC 33.8.3.4