100 SC 0	Р	L	# 2	CI 33 SC	2	Р	L	# 19
nslow, Pete	Ciena			Darshan, Yair		Microsemi		
omment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment Type	ER	Comment Status X		
Not all changes in th	e draft have an associated edit	ing instruction				preferred to show the new edi		
uggestedRemedy				in addition t two docume		nging bars. It helps to see the c	changes withou	t the need to compare
	making sure that all changes h t 33A.5, Annex 33B, Annex 330			SuggestedRem	ədy			
roposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>	, / IIIICX 00D,		For next Dra changing ba		the new editorial marks (insert	tions and deleti	ons) in addition to the
				Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status 0		
100 SC 0	Р	L	# 1					
nslow, Pete	Ciena			C/ 1 S(	C 1	P1	L 1	# 201
omment Type ER	Comment Status X			Yseboodt, Lenn	•	Philips		
included. Understanding that f	ded clauses, only the text of su or Clause 33, the Task Force h t apply to other amended claus	as decided to	0 0	Comment Type Do you war SuggestedRem		Comment Status X set the change bars in Clause	33 for D1.8 ?	
ggestedRemedy				Indicate YE				
	equest to proceed Working Gro clauses (except Clause 33) and			Proposed Resp		Response Status 0		
Leave heading for 2	5.4 but remove text			C/ 1 SC	21	P <b>1</b>	<i>L</i> 1	# 202
	d content for 25.4.1 through 25 uction to: "Change text of 25.4.		we do not use the term	Yseboodt, Lenn	art	Philips		
"section")	ction to: Change text of 23.4.	5 as 10110W3. (		Comment Type	ER	Comment Status X		
	d content for 25.4.5.1 through 2		E 4 7 as follows:"	As we are p	reparing for	or D2.0 in July, we need to be	getting rid of al	Editor's Notes.
	5.4.7 add editing instruction: "C d content for 25.4.5.1 through t			SuggestedRem	ədy			
Remove heading and content for 25.4.5.1 through to the ned of the clause.				Remove all	Editor's N	otes that do not specifically sa	y "remove prior	to publication".
oposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>							

Pa **1** Li **1** 

C/ 30 SC 30.12.2	2.1.18a	P 37	L <b>22</b>	# 3	C/ 33 SC 33.2.1	P 47	L 10	# 9
Anslow, Pete		Ciena			Bennett, Ken	Sifos Technol	ogies, In	
Comment Type E	Commen	nt Status X			Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
Adding 30.12.2.1.18 should be modified v Similarly for 30.12.3.	with new rows.			neans that Table 30-7	The entries in the co	mn header states "Range of ma olumn are not ranges; they only		
SuggestedRemedy					SuggestedRemedy	han Panta.		
Show additions to Ta	able 30-7 for ne	ew subclauses.			Change the column "Maximum Class Su			
Proposed Response	Response	e Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
33 SC 33.1.3		P <b>46</b>	L 1	# 135	CI 33 SC 33.2.5		L 10	# 67
'seboodt, Lennart		Philips			Lukacs, Miklos	Silicon Labs		
Comment Type E	Commen	nt Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
"It should be noted the	hat the cable re	eferences use "[	DC loop resistanc	e," which "	It is hard to understa supported."	and the column header of colum	n 3 "Range of n	naximum classes
Wordy.					SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Less wordy:					,	Aaximum Class Supported"		
Less wordy.					Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
"The cable	e references us	se "DC loop resis	stance," which "		T Toposed Nesponse			
Proposed Response	Response	e Status <b>O</b>						
					C/ 33 SC 33.2.1	P <b>47</b>	L 10	# 66
/ 33 SC 33.1.3.	2	P <b>46</b>	L 30	# 136	Lukacs, Miklos	Silicon Labs		
seboodt, Lennart		Philips			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
omment Type E	Commer	nt Status X			In the column heade point in the docume	er of table 33-2: the meaning of '	'Short MPS sup	port" is not clear at th
21	nd its annexes,	"channel", as d	efined in 1.4.134,	refers to the electrical	•			
path on which the po	ower signal pas	sses, i.e., the lin	< section."		SuggestedRemedy Add a note under ta	hla 33-2.		
-	nal' seems stra	ange.				= 6ms, see table 33-17 line 23, c	lause 33.3.5.2	and table 33-29 for
		"abaaaal" oo d	efined in 1 4 134	refers to the electrical	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy "Within Clause 33 ar path on which the po								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa <b>47</b>	Page 2 of 55
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	Li 10	5/2/2016 10:57:57 AM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line		

C/ 33 SC 33.1.3.2	P 47	L 12	# 137	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5	P 56	L 13	# 83
/seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply	Broadco	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
supported".	a change last time to show th es have been defined, only a	0		system so that the elect value of parameter_type	e is used in legacy text to in trical parameters (ILIM) may e is not a constant (p61, L53	be set based or and is determir	the PSE Type. The ned by mutual
SuggestedRemedy					and PD. The function set_ on table values. New Type		
"Class 3, Cla "Class 3, Cla	kimum Classes supported' da ass 4, Class 4, Class 4, Class ass 4, Class 4, Class 3 to 4, 0	6, Class 8" to:	ISS 8"	set based on class rath facilitate setting parame and 4 parameter_type v	er than Type. The Type 3 a sters based on class or Type rariable into a constant. The	and 4 state diagra	ams (SDs) do not 6 #278 turn the Type
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			to perform a purpose.			
C/ 33 SC 33.2.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>47</b> Philips	L 31	# 138	parameters is not requi	quired to do physical classifi red or included in the Type 3 n new text. This comment 6.	and 4 SD. Rem	nove the unnecessary
Comment Type <b>E</b> "Midspan PSE." period	Comment Status X is inside quotes.			SuggestedRemedy Strike lines 40 to 45 on	page 65.		
SuggestedRemedy Change to "Midspan P	SE".			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.1.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>57</b> Philips	L 1	# 140
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>56</b> Philips	L <b>7</b>	# 218	Comment Type E	Comment Status X ote (remove D2.0): Text is n	eded to introduc	e the specifics of the
Comment Type T Updates to the PSE St	Comment Status X			Type 3 and Type 4 state			
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Adopt yseboodt_11_0	516_psestatedia.pdf			Adopt yseboodt_06_05	16_sdintro.pdf		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>0</b>			Remove Note.	·		
	$\mathbf{v}$			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.4 /seboodt, Lennart	P <b>57</b> Philips	L <b>1</b>	# 139	<i>CI</i> <b>33</b> SC <b>33.2.5.8</b> Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>65</b> Philips	L <b>40</b>	# 219
Comment Type <b>E</b> Values are written on s This is hard to read. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X same line after word "values:"			Comment Type T Co original text: "parameter_typ 3: Type 3 PSE parameter va 4: Type 4 PSE parameter va	lues		
<i></i> ,	e and use tabs, like we did for	r the Type 3+4	variable list.	The legacy SD, uses PSE_1	YPE for the purpose w	re .	
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			are now using parameter_type We did this, because parameter between the DLL SM and the	be in the new SD. eter_type is used in the	DLL state mach	
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.3	P 57	L 13	# 141	SuggestedRemedy			
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			- Rename parameter_type to	PSE_TYPE.		
Comment Type E Type still has underline SuggestedRemedy Remove underline.	Comment Status X			"PSE_TYPE A constant indicating the Typ Values: 3: Type 3 PSE 4: Type 4 PSE"			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed Response Re	sponse Status <b>O</b>		
				C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.8	P 65	L <b>40</b>	# 65
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.8	P 65	L <b>39</b>	# 64	Lukacs, Miklos	Silicon Labs		
_ukacs, Miklos	Silicon Labs			Comment Type E Co	omment Status X		
Comment Type E A timing diagram show	Comment Status X	quences would	help in understanding	constant named "parameter_ "CC_DET_SEQ" is ALL CAF		ll caps, while the	other constant
the text and would mal	ke the intent more clear.	-		SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy See timing diagrams p	resentation (Lukacs)			They should be written simila PARAMETER_TYPE	arly, and preferably ALI	_CAPS:	
eee annig alagranie p				Proposed Response Re	sponse Status <b>O</b>		

Page 4 of 55 5/2/2016 10:57:57 AM

/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 66 seboodt, Lennart Philips	L 18	# 142	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.9 Picard, Jean	P 66 Texas Instru	L <b>46</b> Iments	# 69
omment Type E Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
alt_pri_pwrd and alt_sec_pwrd do not follow our conve end of the variable name.	ntion of putting _	_pri and _sec at the		_events_sec variable is miss	sing from the list o	f variables although it
Same for tinrush_pri_timer and tinrush_sec_timer.			SuggestedRemedy			
uggestedRemedy			Add the following vari	able from "Picard_03_0316.p	odf" page 1:	
Rename alt_pri_pwrd => alt_pwrd_pri Rename alt_sec_pwrd => alt_pwrd_sec Rename tinrush_pri_timer => tinrush_timer_pri Rename tinrush_sec_timer => tinrush_timer_sec roposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>			determine if the dual s TRUE: the PSE gene 4-pair power.	f the PSE generates 3 class signature PD is a candidate f rates at least 3 class events s not need to generate 3 clas	or 4-pair power. to determine if the	PD is a candidate for
<b>33</b> SC <b>33.2.5.9</b> <i>P</i> <b>66</b> card, Jean Texas Instrumen	L <b>39</b> nts	# 70	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
omment Type ER Comment Status X "A variable indicating if the PSE generates 3 class eve			Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.9 Stover, David	P <b>67</b> Linear Tech	L <b>44</b> nology	# 103
this is about primary alternate, it should be mentioned. uggestedRemedy			Comment Type <b>T</b> The variable dll_4PID	Comment Status X is redundant with pd_dll_por	wer_type.	
Replace with: "A variable indicating if the PSE generates 3 class eve	nts on the prime	rv alternate to "	SuggestedRemedy			
roposed Response Response Status O			Remove dll_4PID. Re From: (dll_4PID + ((pd	eplace logic in POWER_ON s d_req_pwr > 4) * (pse_avail_ /pe > 2) + ((pd_req_pwr > 4)	pwr > 4)) + (mr_p	
/ 33     SC 33.2.5.9     P 66       rover, David     Linear Technolog	L <b>39</b> gy	# 102	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
"dual-signature" is hyphenated and not capitalized, per locations where this convention is not followed.	<sup>•</sup> our convention.	There are 4	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.9 Johnson, Peter	P 68 Sifos Techn	L 10 ologies	# 43
uggestedRemedy			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Global search and replace "dual signature" with "dual-s	signature".			ort-2P-pri and Iport-2P-sec ea	ich finish with (see	e 33.2.8.6), but there is
			no mention of these v	anadies in 33.2.8.6.		
roposed Response Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Remove the reference	es to 33 2 8 6		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalPa68Page 5 of 55COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawnLi105/2/2016 10:57:57 AMSORT ORDER: Page, Line

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.9	P 68 Philips	L 12	# 220	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5. Yseboodt, Lennart	9 P 70 Philips	L 18	# 144
rseboodt, Lennart	•				•		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
highest_2p is written w	nth a small letter p.			pd_cls_4PID_pri: This variat	le indicates that 4PID has bee	en established by	confirming that both
SuggestedRemedy Change to highest_2P				pairsets have a valid PD.	detection signature and that a	device classified	d as a Type 3 or Type
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Does not r	nention on which Alternative.		
				SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.9 /seboodt, Lennart	P 68 Philips	L 17	# 239		le indicates that 4PID has bee		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X				ning that both pairsets have a a Type 3 or Type 4 PD.	valid detection si	gnature and that a
"mps_sum A variable in	ndicating that the PSE uses th			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Sum of IPOR I-2P of b	ooth pairsets to determine if th	ie DC MPS com	bonent is present.			1.10	
This does no signature PD.	ot highlight that mps_sum ma	ay only be TRUE	in case of a single-	Cl 33 SC 33.2.5. Stover, David	9 P 70 Linear Techr	L <b>19</b> nology	# 104
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	ndicating that the PSE uses th oth pairsets to determine if th			indicates that 4PID h	<pre>4PID_pri is inconsistent with a as been established by confirr nd that a device classified as</pre>	ning that both pa	irsets have a valid
	e set to TRUE when connecte			SuggestedRemedy		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Replace variable def	inition as follows: "This variabl a Type 3 or Type 4 PD."	e indicates that a	a device on the primary
		1.44	# 440	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
	P 69	L 11	# 143				
	Philine						
seboodt, Lennart	Philips						
/seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Comment #262 / D1.6	Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X attempted to fix this but was tion of variable mr_pse_enab						
Seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Comment #262 / D1.6 The descript listed in 33.5.1.	Comment Status X attempted to fix this but was						
/seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Comment #262 / D1.6 The descript listed in 33.5.1. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X attempted to fix this but was	le duplicates bit	assignments already				

Pa **70** Li **19** 

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.9 /seboodt, Lennart	P <b>70</b> Philips	L <b>25</b>	# 173	C/ <b>33</b> Yseboodt,	SC <b>33.2.5.9</b> Lennart	P <b>70</b> Philips	L <b>39</b>	# 221
pairsets have a valid PD. Does not n SuggestedRemedy pd_cls_4PID_sec: This variab Alternative by confirm	Comment Status X le indicates that 4PID has bee detection signature and that a mention on which Alternative. le indicates that 4PID has bee ning that both pairsets have a Type 3 or Type 4 PD.	a device classifie	d as a Type 3 or Type 4 n the Secondary	similar Suggested pd_po A cont indicat Values 1: PD 2: PD 3: PD	al text: "Editors N- to pd_dll_power <i>IRemedy</i> ve Editors note a wer_type rol variable output ses the Type of P s: is a Type 1 PD o is a Type 2 PD, a is a Type 3 PD		, state diagram (F sical Link Layer	igure 33-49) that
Proposed Response	Response Status O			4: PD Proposed	is a Type 4 PD <i>Response</i>	Response Status O		
indicates that 4PID h	P 70 Linear Techn <i>Comment Status</i> X PID_sec is inconsistent with a as been established by confirm nd that a device classified as	assignment in Paning that both pa	airsets have a valid		<i>Type</i> <b>E</b> se the negation '	P 70 Philips Comment Status X 'power_not_available"? ten then (not power_not_avai	L <b>48</b> ilable) and is do	# <u>174</u>
•	nition as follows: "This variabl ssified as a Type 3 or Type 4 <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>		a device on the	- Reve	nge to "power_av erse False/True m remove "!" in the		ed.	-

Pa **70** Li **48** 

SC 33.2.5.10 C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.9 P73 L 32 # 106 C/ 33 P75 L 31 # 222 Stover, David Yseboodt, Lennart Linear Technology Philips Comment Type т Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X "Shall" statement potentially in conflict with optional PSE behavior. The Type 3/4 State diagram does not use or need a tpdc timer, but it is defined in 33.2.5.10. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace: "PSEs shall issue no more Class events than the Class they are capable of Remove tpdc timer from 33.2.5.10 supporting." With: "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs shall issue no more Class events than the Class they are Proposed Response Response Status 0 capable of supporting unless a class reset event clears the PD class and mark event counts." Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.11 P75 L 50 # 61 Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Labs C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.10 P73 L 43 # 107 Comment Type Е Comment Status X There is a typo here (if) and the text is not precise enough: Stover, David Linear Technology "pd autoclass is set to True when a class signature if '0' is detected, otherwise it is set Comment Type т Comment Status X to False." tcc timer is defined but never used in PSE SD. I believe we intentionally removed this from SuggestedRemedy SD in review of D1.6. pd autoclass is set to True when a class signature of '0' is detected during the TACS SuggestedRemedy window (no earlier than TACS min and no later than TACS max, as defined in Table Remove tcc timer from list of Type 3 and Type 4 timers. 33-27), otherwise it is set to False. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.11. P76 L 2 # 62 C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.10 P73 / 44 # 15 Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Labs Darshan, Yair Microsemi Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X mr pd autoclass refers to the signature seen during the first (long) class event, before the Missing link to Table 33-7 in the following text: TACS window. "tcc timer A timer used to monitor the duration of Connection Check." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy The PD classification signature seen before TACS min during the long first class event. Change from: "tcc timer Proposed Response Response Status 0 A timer used to monitor the duration of Connection Check." To: "tcc timer A timer used to monitor the duration of Connection Check. See Table 33–7." Proposed Response Response Status 0

IEEE P802.3bt D1.7 4-Pair Power-over-Ethernet 10th Task Force review comments

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **76** Li **2**  Page 8 of 55 5/2/2016 10:57:57 AM

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.11 P76 L 10 # 63	Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P79 L 35 #	<sup>#</sup> 71
Lukacs, Miklos Silicon Labs	Picard, Jean Texas Instruments	
Comment Type E Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X	
A timing diagram showing the classification part of Autoclass would help in understanding the text and would make the intent more clear.	The IF(CC_DET_SEQ $\neq$ 2) statement is missing, seems to have been delet previous Draft.	ted from
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy	
See timing diagrams presentation (Lukacs)	Re-instate the IF(CC_DET_SEQ $\neq$ 2) statement. Refer to "Picard_02_0316	.pdf" page 1
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O	
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.11 P76 L 17 # 108	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 80 L 9 #	# 109
tover, David Linear Technology	Stover, David Linear Technology	
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X	
Propose we add an additional connection check result to express, for example, that the status of the link segment has changed during do_cxn_chk.	Transition logic in conflict: Out of DETECT_EVAL, PSE can be required to f and "A1" simultaneously.	follow arcs "A"
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy	
	ouggostourionoug	
Add a result to sig_type: "Invalid: Neither open circuit, nor single-signature PD, nor dual- signature PD connection check signature has been found."	Replace: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (sig_pri = valid) + (det_temp = both (sig_sec = valid)"	_ ,
Add a result to sig_type: "Invalid: Neither open circuit, nor single-signature PD, nor dual- signature PD connection check signature has been found."	Replace: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (sig_pri = valid) + (det_temp = both	_ ,
Add a result to sig_type: "Invalid: Neither open circuit, nor single-signature PD, nor dual- signature PD connection check signature has been found."	Replace: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (sig_pri = valid) + (det_temp = both (sig_sec = valid)" With: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (det_temp = only_one) * (sig_pri = vali	_ ,
Add a result to sig_type: "Invalid: Neither open circuit, nor single-signature PD, nor dual-signature PD connection check signature has been found."         Proposed Response       Response Status         O	Replace: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (sig_pri = valid) + (det_temp = both (sig_sec = valid)" With: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (det_temp = only_one) * (sig_pri = vali = both_neither) * (sig_sec = valid)"	_ ,
Add a result to sig_type: "Invalid: Neither open circuit, nor single-signature PD, nor dual-signature PD connection check signature has been found."         Proposed Response       Response Status         Ol 33       SC 33.2.5.12       P 79       L 1       # 223	Replace: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (sig_pri = valid) + (det_temp = both (sig_sec = valid)" With: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (det_temp = only_one) * (sig_pri = vali = both_neither) * (sig_sec = valid)" Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	id) + (det_temp
Add a result to sig_type: "Invalid: Neither open circuit, nor single-signature PD, nor dual-signature PD connection check signature has been found."         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Cl 33       SC 33.2.5.12       P 79       L 1       # 223         Yseboodt, Lennart       Philips	Replace: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (sig_pri = valid) + (det_temp = both (sig_sec = valid)" With: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (det_temp = only_one) * (sig_pri = vali = both_neither) * (sig_sec = valid)" Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	_ ,
Add a result to sig_type: "Invalid: Neither open circuit, nor single-signature PD, nor dual-signature PD connection check signature has been found."         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         C/ 33       SC 33.2.5.12       P 79       L 1       # 223         Seboodt, Lennart       Philips	Replace: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (sig_pri = valid) + (det_temp = both (sig_sec = valid)"         With: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (det_temp = only_one) * (sig_pri = valia = both_neither) * (sig_sec = valid)"         Proposed Response       Response Status         C/ 33       SC 33.2.5.12       P 80       L 9         Yseboodt, Lennart       Philips         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         Figure 33-15, arc from DETECT_EVAL to A1       (mr_pse_alternative [?] both) * (sig_pri = valid) + (det_temp = both_neither)	# [ <u>175</u>
Add a result to sig_type: "Invalid: Neither open circuit, nor single-signature PD, nor dual-signature PD connection check signature has been found."         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         2/ 33       SC 33.2.5.12       P 79       L 1       # 223         2/ seboodt, Lennart       Philips       Philips         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         Entry arc into IDLE:       pse_reset + error_condition * (mr_pse) can be ambiguous       I have not found any mention of a defined order of operation. Convention is for AND to take precedence over OR, but this is not a universal truth.	Replace: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (sig_pri = valid) + (det_temp = both (sig_sec = valid)"         With: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (det_temp = only_one) * (sig_pri = valia = both_neither) * (sig_sec = valid)"         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         C/ 33       SC 33.2.5.12       P 80       L 9       #         Yseboodt, Lennart       Philips       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         Figure 33-15, arc from DETECT_EVAL to A1       Ptilips       Ptilips       Ptilips	# (det_temp
Add a result to sig_type: "Invalid: Neither open circuit, nor single-signature PD, nor dual-signature PD connection check signature has been found."         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         C/ 33       SC 33.2.5.12       P 79       L 1       # 223         C/ seboodt, Lennart       Philips       Philips         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         Entry arc into IDLE:       pse_reset + error_condition * (mr_pse) can be ambiguous       I have not found any mention of a defined order of operation. Convention is for AND to take precedence over OR, but this is not a universal truth.	Replace: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (sig_pri = valid) + (det_temp = both (sig_sec = valid)"         With: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (det_temp = only_one) * (sig_pri = valia = both_neither) * (sig_sec = valid)"         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         C/ 33       SC 33.2.5.12       P 80       L 9         Yseboodt, Lennart       Philips         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         Figure 33-15, arc from DETECT_EVAL to A1       (mr_pse_alternative [?] both) * (sig_pri = valid) + (det_temp = both_neither) valid)         Missing brackets.	# (det_temp
Add a result to sig_type: "Invalid: Neither open circuit, nor single-signature PD, nor dual- signature PD connection check signature has been found." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 79 L 1 # 223 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type T Comment Status X Entry arc into IDLE: pse_reset + error_condition * (mr_pse) can be ambiguous I have not found any mention of a defined order of operation. Convention is for AND to take precedence over OR, but this is not a universal truth. SuggestedRemedy Use brackets whenever ambiguity is possible.	Replace: "(mr_pse_alternative != both) * (sig_pri = valid) + (det_temp = both (sig_sec = valid)"         With: "(m_pse_alternative != both) * (det_temp = only_one) * (sig_pri = valia = both_neither) * (sig_sec = valid)"         Proposed Response       Response Status         C/ 33       SC 33.2.5.12       P 80       L 9         Yseboodt, Lennart       Philips         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         Figure 33-15, arc from DETECT_EVAL to A1       (mr_pse_alternative [?] both) * (sig_pri = valid) + (det_temp = both_neither) valid)	# 175 * (sig_sec =

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa 80	Page 9 of 55
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	Li <b>9</b>	5/2/2016 10:57:57 AM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line		

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	12 P 80 Philips	L <b>24</b>	# 176	CI 33         SC 33.2.5.12         P 80         L 30         # 72           Picard, Jean         Texas Instruments
Comment Type E Figure 33-15, arc fror Brackets are not cons	Comment Status X m CXN_CHK_DETECT_EVAL sistently used => what was the			Comment Type TR Comment Status X 2nd line of equation: sig ≠ valid should read sig_pri ≠ valid. Also "noth" should be "both" SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy TFTD.				Replace 2nd line with ((det_temp = only_one) * (sig_pri ≠ valid) + (det_temp = both_neither) * (sig_sec ≠ valid) +
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	12 P 80 Philips	L <b>30</b>	# 179	C/ 33         SC 33.2.5.12         P 80         L 30         # 177           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
() + (mr_pse_altern is ambiguous SuggestedRemedy use brackets proba () + ((mr_pse_altern could also be	m DETECT_EVAL to A: native is not both) * (sig_pri is n ably meant: native is not both) * (sig_pri is n native is not both)) * (sig_pri is	not valid))		Figure 33-15, arc from DETECT_EVAL to A: (mr_pse_alternative = both) * ((det_temp = only_one) * (sig [?] valid) + (det_temp = noth_neither) * (sig_sec [?] valid) + ((CC_DET_SEQ = 0) + (CC_DET_SEQ = 3) * (det_temp = only_one) * tdet2det_timer_done)) + (mr_pse_alternative [?] both) * (sig_pri [? valid) "sig" doesn`t exist. sig_pri is meant ?
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy Change sig to sig_pri. Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	12 P 80 Philips	L <b>30</b>	# 178	
Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E	Philips Comment Status X m DETECT_EVAL to A: spelled.	L 30	# 178	Cl 33       SC 33.2.5.12       P 81       L 8       # 110         Stover, David       Linear Technology         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         Conditional logic in SS state diagram (POWER_UP) may be simplified with no change to function.
Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Figure 33-15, arc fror (noth_neither) is miss SuggestedRemedy	Philips Comment Status X m DETECT_EVAL to A: spelled.	L 30	# 178	CI 33       SC 33.2.5.12       P 81       L 8       # 110         Stover, David       Linear Technology       Integration of the status X       Integration of the status X         Conditional logic in SS state diagram (POWER_UP) may be simplified with no change to

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa <b>81</b>	Page 10 of 55
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	Li <b>8</b>	5/2/2016 10:57:57 AM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line		

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 81 L 9	# 73	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12	P 81	L <b>39</b>	# 112
Picard, Jean Texas Instruments Comment Type ER Comment Status X A parenthesis is missing and another is at the wrong location. SuggestedRemedy Replace with this		!tmpdo_timer_done * e (!power_not_available *	Linear Techn Comment Status X DWER_ON into POWER_DE tc); Transition logic from PO' f tmpdo_timer_done * etc). V simultaneously TRUE, PSE	ENIED is (power WER_ON into II Vhen power_not	DLE is _available and
IF (mr_pse_alternative = both) * ((mr_pse_ss_mode = 1) + ((pd_req_pwr > 4) * (pse_avail_pwr > 4))) THEN Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>		either IDLE or POWER SuggestedRemedy			
C/33         SC 33.2.5.12         P 81         L 18           Picard, Jean         Texas Instruments	# 74	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Comment Type ER Comment Status X A parenthesis is missing		Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.12 Stover, David	P 83 Linear Techn	L <b>32</b> ology	# 113
SuggestedRemedy Insert a parenthesis between IF and "dll_4PID" Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>		(power_not_available_r POWER_ON_PRI into etc). When power_not_	Comment Status X DWER_ON_PRI into POWE ori * !tmpdo_timer_done_pri IDLE_PRI is (!power_not_av available_pri and tmpdo_tim ne cannot transition into eithe	* etc). Transitior /ailable_pri * tmj /er_pri_done are	n logic from odo_timer_pri_done * simultaneously TRUE
X 33         SC 33.2.5.12         P 81         L 20           tayor David         Lipper Technology         Lipper Technology	# 111	states.		_	
Stover, David     Linear Technology       Comment Type     T     Comment Status     X       Conditional logic in SS state diagram (POWER_ON) may be simplified	fied with no change to	SuggestedRemedy Remove "!tmpdo_timer POWER_DENIED_PR	_pri_done" from transition lo	gic between PO	WER_ON_PRI and
function.	Jan 1997	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Replace: "IF dll_4PID + ((pd_req_pwr > 4) * (pse_avail_pwr < 4)) + 1)) THEN" With: "IF dll_4PID + (pd_req_pwr > 4) + (mr_pse_ss_mode = 1) TH					
with. If $ui_4 + (\mu_1 + (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 $					

Pa **83** Li **32** 

C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 85 L 30 # 114	Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P 86 L 52 # 224
Stover, David Linear Technology	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
Transition logic from POWER_ON_SEC into POWER_DENIED_SEC is (power_not_available_sec * !tmpdo_timer_done_sec * etc). Transition logic from POWER_ON_SEC into IDLE_SEC is (!power_not_available_sec * tmpdo_timer_sec_done * etc). When power_not_available_sec and tmpdo_timer_sec_done are simultaneously TRUE, secondary alt state machine cannot transition into either IDLE_SEC or POWER_DENIED_SEC states.	Figure 33-19, arc from MARK_EV_LAST to C1 has no condition. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add condition: "tme2_timer_done". <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> <b>O</b>
uggestedRemedy	
Remove "!tmpdo_timer_sec_done" from transition logic between POWER_ON_SEC and POWER_DENIED_SEC.	CI 33         SC 33.2.5.12         P 86         L 53         # 180           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type E Comment Status X C1 exit arrow not readable.
SC 33.2.5.9         P 85         L 35         # 240           Iseboodt, Lennart         Philips	SuggestedRemedy Widen arrow to better fit text.
Comment Type TR Comment Status X We adopted a new MPS state diagram last cycle. It works great for single-signature, but does not address dual-signature, which need independent MPS.	Proposed Response Response Status O
	C/ 33         SC 33.2.5.12         P 87         L 17         # 116           Stover, David         Linear Technology
uggestedRemedy Adopt yseboodt_07_0516_dsmps.pdf	
Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type T Comment Status X Transition logic from CLASS_EV2_PRI to MARK_EV_LAST_PRI redundantly performs a check for !class_4PID_mult_events_pri (was already checked out of CLASS_EV1_LCE_PRI).
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12 P86 L1 # 115	SuggestedRemedy
tover, David Linear Technology	Strike the transition arc from CLASS_EV2_PRI to MARK_EV_LAST_PRI.
Comment Type         T         Comment Status         X           Per 33.2.7.2, the PSE shall return to the IDLE state in the event any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM. This is not reflected in the PSE SD.	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
SuggestedRemedy Add transition arcs to the appropriate idle state out of all CLASS_EV states as defined in 33.2.7.2, page 98, Line 25. Transition logic to read, "IClass >= IClass_LIM".	
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Page, Line	

Pa **87** Li **17** 

				·				
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12	P 87	L 19	# 117		C 33.2.5.12	P 88	L 16	# 119
Stover, David	Linear Technolog	у		Stover, David		Linear Techn	ology	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type		mment Status X		
Transition logic from CL	ASS_EV2_PRI to MARK_EV2_	PRI may be si	mplified.			_EV2_SEC to MARK_I events_sec (was alrea		
SuggestedRemedy					/1_LCE_SEC).		uy checked out o	1
Change transition logic from CLASS_EV2_PRI to MARK_EV2_PRI as follows: "tcle2_timer_pri_done * (mr_pd_class_detected = temp_var_pri)"				SuggestedRemedy				
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>	,		Strike the t	ransition arc from	CLASS_EV2_SEC to I	MARK_EV_LAST	SEC.
				Proposed Resp	oonse Res	sponse Status O		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12	P 87	L 36	# 118	0/ 00 0	0 00 0 5 40		1.10	" 100
Stover, David	Linear Technolog	у			C 33.2.5.12	P 88	L 18	# 120
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Stover, David		Linear Techn	ology	
State CLASS_EV1_LCE 33.2.7.2	E_PRI should read CLASS_EV1	_LCE_RESET	_PRI as described in	Comment Type Transition I		mment Status X _EV2_SEC to MARK_I	EV2_SEC may be	e simplified.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRem	nedy			
Change state name "CL	ASS_EV1_LCE_PRI" to "CLAS	S_EV1_LCE_	RESET_PRI"	Change tra	insition logic from	CLASS_EV2_SEC to I	MARK_EV2_SEC	c as follows:
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			"tcle2_timer_pri_done * (mr_pd_class_detected = temp_var_sec)"				
	, -			Proposed Resp	oonse Res	sponse Status O		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.12	P 87	L <b>40</b>	# 79		0 00 0 5 40	P 88	1.05	# 404
Picard, Jean	Texas Instrument	S		C/ 33 S Stover, David	C 33.2.5.12	Linear Techn	L <b>35</b>	# 121
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			,			ology	
CLASS_EV1_LCE_PRI	title is already used somewhere	e else		Comment Type		mment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy				State CLAS in 33.2.7.2		C should read CLASS	_EV1_LCE_RES	EI_SEC as described
Replace with this CLASS_EV1_LCE_RESET_PRI. Refer to Picard_02_0316.pdf page 10			SuggestedRem	nedy				
	ET_PRI. Refer to Picard_02_0.	s to.put page	10	Change at	to nome ICLACC	EV1_LCE_SEC" to "C		

Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.12 Picard, Jean	P <b>88</b> Texas Instrum	L <b>40</b> nents	# 80	C/ 33     SC 33.2.5.12     P 89     L 21     # 77       Picard, Jean     Texas Instruments
Comment Type ER CLASS_EV1_LCE_SE	Comment Status X C title is already used somev	/here else		Comment Type ER Comment Status X "!" should NOT be there in the left column of Figure 33-22
SuggestedRemedy Replace with this CLASS_EV1_LCE_RE Proposed Response	SET_SEC. Refer to Picard_( Response Status <b>0</b>	02_0316.pdf pag	ge 10	SuggestedRemedy         Remove the "!" symbol to read "mr_mps_valid_sum"         Proposed Response       Response Status         O
Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.12 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Figure 33-22, entry arc "higest_2p" is misspelle SuggestedRemedy Change to "highest_2P Proposed Response	Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X s into IDLE_MPS_* ed.	L 3	# <u>181</u>	CI 33       SC 33.2.5.12       P 89       L 23       # 76         Picard, Jean       Texas Instruments       Texas Instruments         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       X         PSE MPS monitor State Diagram for DS PD is missing       SuggestedRemedy       See yseboodt_07_0516_dsmps.pdf presentation         Proposed Response       Response Status       O
Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.12 Picard, Jean Comment Type ER missing parentheses SuggestedRemedy Middle flowchart: (higher Right flowchart: (higher	P <b>89</b> Texas Instrum <i>Comment Status</i> X est_2p = pri)	L 14 nents	# 78	CI 33       SC 33.2.5.12       P 89       L 23       # 75         Picard, Jean       Texas Instruments       Texas Instruments         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       X         Figure 33-22 only shows the case of SS PD       SuggestedRemedy       Indicate in the description that this is applicable to SS PD         Proposed Response       Response Status       O
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			

Page 14 of 55 5/2/2016 10:57:57 AM

33         SC 33.2.5.12         P 89         L 33         #         122           over, David         Linear Technology         Linear Technology <th>C/ 33         SC 33.2.6         P 90         L 5         # 33           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi</th>	C/ 33         SC 33.2.6         P 90         L 5         # 33           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi
omment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
When PSE is in the POWER_ON state, both alt_xxx_pwrd and pwr_app_xxx are TRUE and the PSE inrush state diagram cycles through IDLE_INRUSH and MONITOR_INRUSH states, starting and stopping tinrush_xxx_timer indefinitely.	In the following text: "Also, a PSE may successfully detect a PD but then opt not to power the detected PD."
<pre>iggestedRemedy Replace transition logic from IDLE_INRUSH_PRI to MONITOR_INRUSH_PRI with</pre>	The following case is not covered: PSE may successfully detect and classify a PD but then opt not to power the detected PD.
"alt_pri_pwrd * !pwr_app_pri". Replace transition logic from IDLE_INRUSH_SEC to MONITOR_INRUSH_SEC with "alt_sec_pwrd * !pwr_app_sec".	To add text that PSE may detect and not continue and go to IDLE or detect and classify and not go to POWER_UP or detect and classify and POWER_UP and not continue to POWER_ON.
roposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	To find the location with the existing text and update it.
	SuggestedRemedy
33         SC 33.2.5.12         P 89         L 48         # 14           arshan, Yair         Microsemi	Change to: "Also, a PSE may successfully detect and classify a PD but then opt not to power the detected PD."
omment Type E Comment Status X	Proposed Response Response Status O
In comment 202 from D.16 regarding overload. At the response, the comment editor wrote:	
"As of right now, we have multiple optional behaviors in the SD, how do we want to handle those cases?"	C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 P 90 L 6 # 123
This should be converted to editor note to be addressed by the group.	Stover, David Linear Technology
The above was meant to increase PSE design flexibility.	Comment Type T Comment Status X
<i>lggestedRemedy</i> Add the following Editor Note at the end of the SM clause:	Allowable detection behavior is inconsistent between CC_DET_SEQ variants. Particularly, CC_DET_SEQ 3 is unique in that an invalid detection signature on alt_pri prevents PSE from investigating alt_sec.
Editor Note: "We have multiple optional behaviors in the SD, how do we want to handle those cases?"	SuggestedRemedy
oposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Add the following text: "A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE detecting an invalid PD signature on either alternative may perform detection on the other alternative."
	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
	C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.1 P 90 L 15 # 182
	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
	Comment Type E Comment Status X Vvalid(max) uses brackets, this is not convention
	SuggestedRemedy Change to Vvalid max.
	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
	. , ,

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	Li <b>15</b>	5/2/2016 10:57:57 AM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line			

C/ 33         SC 33.2.6.1         P 90         L 39         # 124           Stover, David         Linear Technology         Linear Technology	C/ 33         SC 33.2.6.1         P 90         L 52         #         40           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi
Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         tcc_timer has been intentionally removed from PSE SD, but Tcc remains in Table 33-7.         SuggestedRemedy         Remove reference to Tcc on line 27, Table 33-7, and accompanying NOTE on Tcc min.         Proposed Response       Response Status       O	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       X         In the text:       "If the voltage on either pairset rises above Vvalid max (defined in Table 33–8) during connection check, the PSE shall reset the PD by bringing the voltage at the PI below Voff max (defined in Table 33–17) for at least TReset (defined in Table 33–15) before performing classification."         We need to define the time in which we consider the voltage is above Vvalid to be imuune
Cl 33       SC 33.2.6.1       P 90       L 40       # 41         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X         Table 33-7 item 3 and the note below.         From the note it appears that before we will start connection check we need to wait until full	for noise. SuggestedRemedy Change to: "If the voltage on either pairset rises above Vvalid max (defined in Table 33–8) **for more than TBD msec** during connection check, the PSE shall reset the PD by bringing the voltage at the PI below Voff max (defined in Table 33–17) for at least TReset (defined in Table 33–15) before performing classification."
mated MDI exists Tcc minimum. And then item 3 requires Tcc_min=200msec min from start to completion which can be interpreted that total Tcc_min is higher than 200msec. The requirement is not clear. The note doesn't explain the Tcc_min.	Proposed Response         Response Status         O           Cl         33         SC 33.2.6.1         P 90         L 52         # 203
SuggestedRemedy "NOTE-When a link segment is connected to an MDI, not all contacts are made simultaneously. Therefore, a minimum total time (Tcc_min) is required to complete connection check that includes the time required for full mated MDI and the time required	Yseboodt, Lennart     Philips       Comment Type     ER     Comment Status     X       "If the voltage on either pairset rises above Vvalid max (defined in Table 33-8) during connection check, the PSE shall reset the PD by bringing the voltage at the PI below Voff
to perform the connection check function."	max (defined in Table 33-17) for at least TReset (defined in Table 33-15) before performi

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

performing classification."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **90** Li **52** 

This way of referring to Tables is used nowhere else in the Draft.

Response Status **O** 

"If the voltage on either pairset rises above Vvalid max, as defined in Table 33-8, during connection check, the PSE shall reset the PD by bringing the voltage at the PI below Voff max, as defined in Table 33-17, for at least TReset, as defined in Table 33-15, before

Page 16 of 55 5/2/2016 10:57:57 AM

C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.4	P 93	L 11	# 204	CI 33 SC 33.2.7 P 94 L 32 # 84
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Schindler, Fred Seen Simply, Broadco
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR Comment Status X
termination circuitry to	N the implementer should main e eliminate cross-port leakage f this note is inconsistent with	currents."	through the	Clause 33 is designed to permit understanding of the requirements of the network device after reading mainly the relevant PSE or PD subsections. To aid the reader in understanding of the PSE classification section add references to the PD section that provides details on classification event response interpretation.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy
Follow same style as a	802.3-2015.			Modify existing text,
Proposed Response	Response Status O			"The assigned Class is the results of the PDs requested Class and the number of class events produced by the PSE as shown in Table 33–11 and Table 33–12."
C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.7	P 93	L <b>51</b>	# 183	with,
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips	201	" 100	"The assigned Class is the results of the PDs requested Class shown in Table 33-24 for
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			single-signature PDs and Table 33-25 for dual-signature PDs, and the number of class events produced by the PSE as shown in Table 33–11 and Table 33–12."
51	s named 4P-ID in PD section.			Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
SuggestedRemedy				
Change "4P-ID" to "4F	PID" throughout the doc.			Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 P 94 L 33 # 241
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
				Comment Type TR Comment Status X
				"When a PD requests a higher Class than a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE can support, the PSE assigns the PD Class 3, 4, or 6, whichever is the highest that it can support."
				Doesn`t take dual-signature PDs into account.
				SuggestedRemedy
	"When a single-signature PD requests a higher Class than a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE can			
				support, the PSE assigns the PD Class 3, 4, or 6, whichever is the highest that it can support. When a dual-signature PD requests a higher Class than a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE can support, the PSE assigns the PD Class 3 or 4, whichever is the highest that it can support."

Page 17 of 55 5/2/2016 10:57:57 AM

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Seboodt, Lennart	P <b>95</b> Philips	L <b>25</b>	# 225	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>96</b> Philips	L <b>2</b>	# 185
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
" with a maximum minimum of 4.0 Wa	value defined in Table 33-11 o tts."	f the correspondi	ng PD Class and a	5	Class" is missing in Table 33-12.		
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy Add this column, val	ues: 1 2 3 3 4 5		
	Class to be completely clear.			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
PD and a minimum	maximum value defined in Tab of 4.0 Watts."	ble 33-11 of the C	ass assigned to the				
roposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ 33 SC 33.2.7	P 96	L 12	# 187
				Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
1 33 SC 33.2.7	P 95	L <b>43</b>	# 184	Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Table 33-12, ranges	are very small, maybe better to	make it explicit	
omment Type E	Comment Status X			SuggestedRemedy			
Table 33-11, some	ranges are very small, maybe b	petter to make it e	xplicit.	Change "1 to 3" into			a fa ll'a a la seco
uggestedRemedy				Do this for all ranges Proposed Response	s in this Table for the "Number o	PSE class eve	ents" column.
Change "2 to 3" into	o "2, 3".			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
oposed Response	Response Status 0						
				C/ 33 SC 33.2.7	P 96	L 12	# 186
33 SC 33.2.7	P 96	L 1	# 125	Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
over, David	Linear Techr	nology		Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
omment Type T	Comment Status X			Ranges are used with	th keyword "to" and not a dash.		
to a dual-signature I	on in Table 33–12 that the PSE PD for Type discovery, perform			SuggestedRemedy Change "4-5" into "4	to 5".		
	ith PSE available power.			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
uggestedRemedy	able 33–12: "Note: PSEs may i	aque additional o	ana avanta ta				
determine additiona	l information about the PD and	negotiate power	allocation. See	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7	P 96	L 13	# 242
	Reference this note in column	header "Number	of PSE class events".	Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips	•	
roposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Comment Type <b>TR</b> Table 33-12 uses tw	Comment Status X	vs 4 and 5.	
				SuggestedRemedy			
				Replace dash by the	e word 'to'.		
				Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
				. , ,			
	uired ER/editorial required GR	annerel requires	The shutes   Chalitarial		Pa <b>96</b>		Page 18 of 55

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

er, David Linear Technology ment Type T Comment Status X There is a note below Table 33–11, power classifications for single-signature PDs: "Data ink Layer classification takes precendence over Physical Layer classification." Table 33–12, power classification for dual-signature PDs, does not have such a note.	Stover, David     Linear Technology       Comment Type     T     Comment Status     X       Unclear if PSE is allowed to investigate classification result on valid pairsets of a port				
There is a note below Table 33–11, power classifications for single-signature PDs: "Data ink Layer classification takes precendence over Physical Layer classification." Table	Unclear if PSE is allowed to investigate classification result on valid pairsets of a port				
ink Layer classification takes precendence over Physical Layer classification." Table					
	outside behavior defined in PSE SD; behavior described in PSE SD addresses valid c for powering a PD, does not address PSE simply investigating both pairsets of the link				
lestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy				
Add a note below Table 33–12: "Note: Data Link Layer classification takes precendence over Physical Layer classification."	Add the following text: "A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE connected to a dual-signature PD may perform classification on any pairset presenting a valid detection signature prior to returning to the IDLE state."				
osed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>				
3         SC 33.2.7         P 96         L 29         # 226           oodt, Lennart         Philips	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.1 P97 L 32 # 243				
ment Type T Comment Status X	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips				
Ve removed the PD equivalent of Table 33-13 in the PD section, because the text already covered that information. The same is true in the PSE section. Ve can get rid of the table.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X "All measurements of I Class shall be taken after the minimum relevant class event timing in Table 33-15."				
lestedRemedy	We now have T Class for this.				
Remove Table 33-13.	SuggestedRemedy				
Change the text on page 97, line 4-12 as follows:	"All measurements of I Class shall be taken after T_Class, as defined in Table 33-15."				
Subsequent to successful detection, all Type 2 PSEs ***shall*** perform classification	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>				
using at least one of the following: Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification; Multiple- Event Physical Layer classification and Data Link Layer classification; or Single-Event Physical Layer classification and Data Link Layer classification. Subsequent to successful detection, all Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs ***shall*** perform classification using at least one of the following: Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification; or Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification and Data Link Layer classification. Both pairsets attached to a dual-signature PD shall be classified by Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs that will deliver 4-pair power."					
osed Response Response Status <b>O</b>					

Pa **97** Li **32** 

Darshan, Yair	1 P 97 Microsemi	L 38	# 39	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.2 Stover, David	2 P <b>97</b> Linear Tech	L <b>41</b> nology	# 128
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
The requirement:				There are inconsister	icies between Tpdc, autoclas	s, and mutiple-eve	ent classification.
	ass is within the range of IClass			SuggestedRemedy			
to the IDLE state or state."	classify the PD as Class 0; a Ty	pe 2 PSE shall	return to the IDLE	See stover_01_0516.	odf		
Is not covered by the				Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
There are probably of shall's.	other requirements that are not o	overed by the s	state machine and have	r roposed response			
	t that force us to describe shall i	n SM?					
	e can decide according to the c	ost effectivenes	ss of it in regards to SM	CI 33 SC 33.2.7.2	P 97	L <b>48</b>	# 205
simplicity and reada	oility.			Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
uggestedRemedy				Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
Add the following Ed	litor Note:				nature measurements of I Cla	ass are specified i	n Table 33-11, Table
	ress in the state machine the ca			33-12 and Table 33-1	4.		
	within the range of IClass_LIM o	r use text only (	preffered)."		11 and 33-12 are not relevant	to the IClass to c	lass signature
roposed Response	Response Status 0			mapping.			
				SuggestedRemedy			
C 33 SC 33.2.7	1 <i>P</i> 97	L <b>40</b>	# 59	"PD classification sig	nature measurements of I Cla	ass are specified i	n Table 33-14."
ukacs, Miklos	Silicon Labs			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X						
	owing the single event classifica	tion would help	in understanding the	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.2	P 98	L <b>4</b>	# 129
7 turning diagram on			-	Stover, David	Linear Tech	nology	
	the intent more clear.						
text and would make	the intent more clear.			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
text and would make uggestedRemedy	e the intent more clear.			51		I mutual identifica	tion are unclear.
text and would make SuggestedRemedy See timing diagrams				Requirements and all	Comment Status X	I mutual identifica	tion are unclear.
text and would make SuggestedRemedy See timing diagrams	presentation (Lukacs)			Requirements and all SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X owances for 4PID, class, and		
text and would make SuggestedRemedy See timing diagrams Proposed Response	resentation (Lukacs) Response Status <b>O</b>	/ 41	# 244	Requirements and all SuggestedRemedy Replace sentence: "T mutual identification."	Comment Status X owances for 4PID, class, and ype 3 and Type 4 PSEs may	issue a class res	et event to perform
text and would make SuggestedRemedy See timing diagrams Proposed Response	presentation (Lukacs) Response Status O 2 P 97	L 41	# 244	Requirements and all SuggestedRemedy Replace sentence: "T mutual identification.' With: "Type 3 and Ty	Comment Status X owances for 4PID, class, and ype 3 and Type 4 PSEs may pe 4 PSEs may issue up to 3	issue a class res	et event to perform etermine PD Class.
text and would make SuggestedRemedy See timing diagrams Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.7. Seboodt, Lennart	<ul> <li>presentation (Lukacs)</li> <li><i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b></li> <li><b>2</b> <i>P</i> <b>97</b></li> <li>Philips</li> </ul>	L 41	# 244	Requirements and all SuggestedRemedy Replace sentence: "T mutual identification." With: "Type 3 and Ty Type 3 and Type 4 P	Comment Status X owances for 4PID, class, and ype 3 and Type 4 PSEs may	issue a class res class events to d negotiated PD Cla	et event to perform etermine PD Class.
text and would make SuggestedRemedy See timing diagrams Proposed Response 27 33 SC 33.2.7. Seboodt, Lennart Comment Type TR	<ul> <li>presentation (Lukacs)</li> <li><i>Response Status</i> O</li> <li>2 P 97</li> <li>Philips</li> <li><i>Comment Status</i> X</li> </ul>			Requirements and all SuggestedRemedy Replace sentence: "T mutual identification." With: "Type 3 and Ty Type 3 and Type 4 P	Comment Status X owances for 4PID, class, and ype 3 and Type 4 PSEs may pe 4 PSEs may issue up to 3 SEs incapable of supporting i	issue a class res class events to d negotiated PD Cla	et event to perform etermine PD Class.
text and would make SuggestedRemedy See timing diagrams Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.7. (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type TR The specification of	<ul> <li>presentation (Lukacs)</li> <li><i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b></li> <li><b>2</b> <i>P</i> <b>97</b></li> <li>Philips</li> </ul>			Requirements and all SuggestedRemedy Replace sentence: "T mutual identification." With: "Type 3 and Ty Type 3 and Type 4 P reset event to clear th	Comment Status X owances for 4PID, class, and type 3 and Type 4 PSEs may pe 4 PSEs may issue up to 3 SEs incapable of supporting in the class and mark event court	issue a class res class events to d negotiated PD Cla	et event to perform etermine PD Class.
text and would make SuggestedRemedy See timing diagrams Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.7. Seboodt, Lennart Comment Type TR The specification of SuggestedRemedy	s presentation (Lukacs) <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>2</b> <i>P</i> <b>97</b> Philips <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> Autoclass in the Multiple-event s			Requirements and all SuggestedRemedy Replace sentence: "T mutual identification." With: "Type 3 and Ty Type 3 and Type 4 P reset event to clear th	Comment Status X owances for 4PID, class, and type 3 and Type 4 PSEs may pe 4 PSEs may issue up to 3 SEs incapable of supporting in the class and mark event court	issue a class res class events to d negotiated PD Cla	et event to perform etermine PD Class.
text and would make SuggestedRemedy See timing diagrams Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.7. Seboodt, Lennart Comment Type TR The specification of SuggestedRemedy	<ul> <li>presentation (Lukacs)</li> <li><i>Response Status</i> O</li> <li>2 P 97</li> <li>Philips</li> <li><i>Comment Status</i> X</li> </ul>			Requirements and all SuggestedRemedy Replace sentence: "T mutual identification." With: "Type 3 and Ty Type 3 and Type 4 P reset event to clear th	Comment Status X owances for 4PID, class, and type 3 and Type 4 PSEs may pe 4 PSEs may issue up to 3 SEs incapable of supporting in the class and mark event court	issue a class res class events to d negotiated PD Cla	et event to perform etermine PD Class.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Page, Line	

Page 20 d	of 55
5/2/2016	10:57:57 AM

Pa **98** Li **4** 

C/ 33	SC 33.2.5.12	P 98	L <b>4</b>	# 27	CI 33	SC 33.2.7.2	P <b>98</b>	L <b>25</b>	# 206
Darshan,	Yair	Microsemi			Yseboodt	, Lennart	Philips		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

We need to address the following use case (as an example):

When Type 3 PSE with available power of Type 1 or Type 2 connected to single signature PD class 5 or above and we need to report to the host what is the actual PD class and yet to supply the correct number of fingers (1 in case of 15.4W) to indicate the available PSE power.

For this purpose we need to allow class reset after 3 class event and issuing one class event.

### SuggestedRemedy

1. To add the following text at page 98 line 4:

"Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs may issue up to 3 class events to determine PD Class. Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs incapable of supporting PD Class may issue a class reset event to clear the class and mark event counts and may issue the lowest number of class events that is corresponding to the PSE available power."

2. No need to update PSE SM since it is optional feature similar to the text that "PSE can detect and not power" or PSE can use Type 4 class 7 current settings when operating Type 3 class 6 PDs or may other examples in the current spec including IEEE802.3-2012 version.

Proposed Response

Response Status **O** 

## On p.98. line 25 we have:

ER

Comment Type

"In the states CLASS\_EV1, CLASS\_EV1\_LCE, CLASS\_EV1\_LCE\_PRI, CLASS\_EV1\_LCE\_SEC, CLASS\_EV2, CLASS\_EV2\_PRI, CLASS\_EV2\_SEC, CLASS\_EV3, C LASS\_EV3\_PRI, CLASS\_EV3\_SEC, CLASS\_EV4, CLASS\_EV4\_PRI, CLASS\_EV4\_SEC, CL ASS\_EV5, CLASS\_EV1\_LCE\_RESET\_PRI, and CLASS\_EV1\_LCE\_RESET\_SEC, the PSE shall measure I Class after T Class and classify the PD based on the observed current."

Comment Status X

#### Followed on p99, line 5:

"All measurements of I Class shall be taken after T Class , as defined in Table 33-15. This measurement is referenced from the application of V Class min to ignore initial transients."

Long and tedious to read. Also, "classify the PD based on the observed current" is no longer really true.

### SuggestedRemedy

Replace both by inserting on p98, line 25:

"In all CLASS states except CLASS\_EV1\_AUTO, the PSE shall measure I Class after T Class. This measurement is referenced from the application of V Class min to ignore initial transients. "

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

C/ 33	SC 33.2.7.2	P <b>98</b>	L 38	# 207
Yseboodt,	Lennart	Philips		

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

"When the Type 2 PSE is in the state MARK\_EV2, the PSE shall provide to the PI or pairset V Mark . The timing specification shall be as defined by T ME2.

When the PSE is in the state MARK\_EV\_LAST, MARK\_EV\_LAST\_PRI and MARK\_EV\_LAST\_SEC, the PSE shall provide to the PI or pairset V Mark . The timing specification shall be as defined by T ME2."

Can be merged without changing meaning.

## SuggestedRemedy

"When the PSE is in the state MARK\_EV2, MARK\_EV\_LAST, MARK\_EV\_LAST\_PRI and MARK\_EV\_LAST\_SEC, the PSE shall provide to the PI or pairset V Mark . The timing specification shall be as defined by T ME2."

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa <b>98</b>	Page 21 of 55
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	Li <b>38</b>	5/2/2016 10:57:57 AM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line		

"If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the appropriate idle state."       SuggestedRemedy         CI 33 SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       C         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X       O         The following requirement is not described by the state machine. "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IClass_LIM.       Main the PI voltage at VClass for a period of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle."         SuggestedRemedy       - VClass should be VReset - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that are in the CLASS_RESE states.       - VClass should be VReset - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that are in the CLASS_RESE states.         SuggestedRemedy       - VClass is the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)."       - VClass Net Pore starting a new detection cycle. If the PSE is in any of the CLASS_RESET states, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VReset for a period of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle. If the PSE is in any of the CLASS_RESET states, it shall maintain the PI or pairset voltage at VReset for a period of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle. If the PSE is in any of the CLASS_RESE	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X         "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE_state." Most importantly, this list is missing a serial return to the IDLE_state. If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2 PSE shall return to the appropriate idle state."       "The PSE shall complete Multiple-Event Physical Layer class POWER_ON state without allowing the voltage at the PI or prime as the CLASS_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_RES					
"If any neasured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The appropriate iclass overcurrent should likely return to the IDLE state. If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2 PSE shall return to the appropriate icle state."       The PSE shall complete Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification and transition to POWER_ON state without allowing the voltage at the PI or pairset to go below VMark unless in the CLASS_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_SEC states."         Suggested/Remedy       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2 PSE shall return to the appropriate icle state."       Missing POWER_ON state without allowing the voltage at the PI or pairset to go below VMark unless in the CLASS_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RES	"If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state." Most importanity, this list is missing a serial comman. Failing that, SIMS state machines experiencing class overcurrent should likely return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall complete Multiple-Event Physical Layer class POWER_ON state without allowing the voltage at the PI or py unless in the CLASS_RESET_PRI OR CLASS_RESET_SEC :         SuggestedRemedy       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the tate machine.       SuggestedRemedy         Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L1       # 32         Cl Mark LIM."       SuggestedRemedy       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall limit mark event currents to IMark LIM."       Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1         SuggestedRemedy       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall intrum to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IMark LIM."       Comment Type       TR       Comment Type       TR       Comment Type are class for PSE shall maintaint the PI vortel to address existing "shall" requireme					
Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. "Most importantly, this list is missing a serial comma. Failing that, SISM state machines experiencing class overcurrent should likely return to their resident IDLE_PRI/IDLE_SEC state, and not the global IDLE state.       POWER_ON state without allowing the voltage at the PI or pairset to go below VMark unless in the CLASS_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_SEC states."         Suggested/Remedy       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2 PSE shall return to the appropriate idle state."       Missing POWER_ON state without allowing the voltage at the PI or pairset to go below VMark unless in the CLASS_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_SEC states."         Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       Comment Status X       The following requirement status X       Response Status O         Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       Comment Status X       Comment Status X         The following requirement is not described by the state machine.       "Hit was event currents to ILlass LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall iterum to the IDLE state. The PSE shall iteru mark event currents to IMark, LIM."       Suggested/Remedy         Suggested/Remedy       Add the following Editor Notes:       "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do it the massured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)."       .VClass should be VResetAlso, that same requirement holds for PSEs that are in t	Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. *       Most importantly, this list is missing a serial comma. Failing that, SISM state machines experiencing class overcurrent should likely return to their resident IDLE_PRI/IDLE_SEC state, and not the global IDLE state.       POWER_ON state without allowing the voltage at the PI or purchase states of the global IDLE state.         SuggestedRemedy       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2 PSE shall return to the appropriate idle state."       Missing POWER_ON state without allowing the voltage at the PI or purchase as well.         Proposed Response       Response Status O       Change to:         Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       Cl       33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       Microsemi       Cl       33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       Yseboodt, Lennart       Philips         Comment Type TR       Comment Status X       The following requirement is not described by the state machine.       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or IMark_LIM."       SuggestedRemedy       "It the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI void at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle."       . Vclass should be VReset - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that a states. <td></td>					
SuggestedRemedy         "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the appropriate idle state."         Proposed Response       Response Status         O       Class is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2 PSE shall return to the appropriate idle state."         Proposed Response       Response Status         O       Class is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to ILMark_LIM."         SuggestedRemedy       Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.2 P 99 L1 # [32]         O       Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.2 P 99 L11 # [245]         Comment Type TR Comment Status X       Comment Class is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to ILMark_LIM."         SuggestedRemedy       Add the following feditor Notes:       "Class should be VReset         "Editor Note: To address existing "shall" requirements that are not covered in the state machine."       -VClass should be VReset         "Editor Note: To address is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)."       -VClass should be VReset         "Editor Note: To address is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)."       -VClass should be IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI voltage at	SuggestedRemedy         "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the appropriate idle state."       SuggestedRemedy         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1         The following requirement is not described by the state machine.       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to ICl ass. LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IMark_LIM."       Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X         SuggestedRemedy       Add the following Editor Notes:       - Viciass should be VReset - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that are not covered in the state machine."       - Viciass should be VReset - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that are not covered in the state         "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the	airset to go below VMark mi				
Tetum to the IDLE state. If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the appropriate idle state."       The Proposed Response       Response Status 0         Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       C       C       33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       C       C       33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       C       C       33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X       C       C       C       33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 11       # 245         Vise of the following requirement is not described by the state machine.       "If the rollowing requirement is not described by the state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to ILMark_LIM."       Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X       "If the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VClass for a proof at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle."       . Viclass should be VReset       . Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that are in the CLASS_RESET state	The full in the IDLE state. If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the appropriate idle state." Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b> Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.2 P 99 L 1 # 32 Darshan, Yair Microsemi Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> The following requirement is not described by the state machine. "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to ICl ass. LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IMark_LIM." SuggestedRemedy Add the following Editor Notes: "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b> * States. Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b> Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.2 P 99 L 11 # 32 Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> * SuggestedRemedy * Add the following Editor Notes: "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b> * * Cl ass TReset min. * Comment Provide the State, it shall maintain the PI or pairset volta at least TReset min. * Change to: The States, it shall maintain the PI or pairset volta at least TReset min.*					
Projused Response       Response Status       0         Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       C       C       33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       C       C       33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 245         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X       C       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 11       # 245         The following requirement is not described by the state machine.       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IMark_LIM."       Comment Type       TR       Comment Type a new detection cycle."         SuggestedRemedy       Add the following Editor Notes:       - VClass should be VReset       - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that are in the CLASS_RESET       SuggestedRemedy         "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)."       - VClass Reset min before starting a new detection cycle. If the PSE is in any of the CLASS_RESET_SET states, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VReset for a perio at least TReset min."	Proposed Response       Response Status       0         Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       Cl       33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       Cl       33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       L 1         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       X       The following requirement is not described by the state machine.       The following requirement is not described by the state machine.       Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       Yseboodt, Lennart       Philips         Cl 202.SiggestedRemedy       Nad the following Editor Notes:       "Editor Note: To address existing "shall" requirements that are not covered in the state machine."       - VClass should be VReset       - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that a states.         SuggestedRemedy       - Viclass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)."       - VIClass Should be VReset       - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that a states.         Proposed Response       Response Status       O       - VIClass Should be VReset       - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that a states.         "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)."<					
CI 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P99       L1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       Microsemi       C//// 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P99       L11       # 245         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X       C//// 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P99       L11       # 245         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X       C//// 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P99       L11       # 245         The following requirement is not described by the state machine.       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IClass_LIM."       C////> SuggestedRemedy       R       Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X         SuggestedRemedy       Add the following Editor Notes:       -       -       VClass should be VReset       -       Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that are in the CLASS_RESE         "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)."       Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Proposed Response       Response Status       O       O       -       Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which says:       -         "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_SET_SET       - <td>Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       32         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X       P 99       L 1         The following requirement is not described by the state machine.       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IMark_LIM."       Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1         SuggestedRemedy       Add the following Editor Notes:       "Editor Note: To address existing "shall" requirements that are not covered in the state machine."       - VClass should be VReset       - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that a states.         Proposed Response       Response Status       O       "If the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI word at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle."         Proposed Response       Response Status       O       - Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which se "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PR</td> <td></td>	Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1       # 32         Darshan, Yair       Microsemi       32         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X       P 99       L 1         The following requirement is not described by the state machine.       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IMark_LIM."       Cl 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 1         SuggestedRemedy       Add the following Editor Notes:       "Editor Note: To address existing "shall" requirements that are not covered in the state machine."       - VClass should be VReset       - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that a states.         Proposed Response       Response Status       O       "If the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI word at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle."         Proposed Response       Response Status       O       - Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which se "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PR					
Darshan, Yair       Microsemi         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       X         The following requirement is not described by the state machine.       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall remut to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IMark_LIM."       C/ 33       SC 33.2.7.2       P 99       L 11       # [245]         SuggestedRemedy       Add the following Editor Notes:       "Editor Notes:       Teditor Notes:       - VClass should be VReset       - VClass should be VReset         "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)."       "If the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VReset for a prior of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle. If the PSE is in any of the CLASS_RESET states, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VReset for a prior of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle. If the PSE is in any of the CLASS_RESET states, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VReset for a prior of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle. If the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET states, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VReset for a prior of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle. If the PSE is in any of the CLASS_RESET states, it shall maintain the PI or pairset voltage at VReset for a prior of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle. If the PSE is in any of the CLASS_RESET states, it shall maintain the PI or pairset voltage at VReset for a prio	Darshan, Yair       Microsemi         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X         The following requirement is not described by the state machine.       "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IMark_LIM."       Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X         SuggestedRemedy       Add the following Editor Notes:       - VClass should be VReset       - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that a machine."         "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)."       Will be PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI v of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle. I CLASS_RESET states, it shall maintain the PI v of at least TReset min."         Proposed Response       Response Status       O					
Comment Type TR Comment Status X The following requirement is not described by the state machine. "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IMark_LIM." SuggestedRemedy Add the following Editor Notes: "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)" Proposed Response Response Status O The following the state state state of the PSE is in the state cLASS_RESET_SET_SET_SET_SET_SET_SET_SET_SET_SET	Comment TypeTRComment StatusXThe following requirement is not described by the state machine."If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IMark_LIM."C/ 33SC 33.2.7.2P 99L11SuggestedRemedy Add the following Editor Notes: "Editor Note: To address existing "shall" requirements that are not covered in the state machine."- VClass should be VReset - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that a states."Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)."Will the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI or of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle."Proposed ResponseResponse StatusOProposed ResponseResponse StatusOClass TReset min."- Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which s "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PR					
The following requirement is not described by the state machine. "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IMark_LIM." SuggestedRemedy Add the following Editor Notes: "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Proposed Response Response Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O	The following requirement is not described by the state machine. "If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IMark_LIM." SuggestedRemedy Add the following Editor Notes: "Editor Note: To address existing "shall" requirements that are not covered in the state machine." "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Proposed Response Response Response Status O The following Editor Notes: "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Proposed Response Res	# 245				
"If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IClass_LIM." SuggestedRemedy Add the following Editor Notes: "Editor Note: To address existing "shall" requirements that are not covered in the state machine." "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Orposed Response Response Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X "If the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VClass for a period of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle." Use the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VReset for a period at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle. If the PSE is in any of the CLASS_RESET states, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VReset for a period of at least TReset min." Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status X "If the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VClass for a period of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle. If the PSE is in any of the CLASS_RESET states, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VReset for a period of at least TReset min." - Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which says: "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_SET	"If any measured IClass is equal to or greater than IClass_LIM min, a Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shall return to the IDLE state. The PSE shall limit class event currents to IClass_LIM and shall limit mark event currents to IMark_LIM." SuggestedRemedy Add the following Editor Notes: "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Proposed Response Response Status <b>0</b> Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> "If the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI v of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle." - VClass should be VReset - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that a states. SuggestedRemedy "If the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI v of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle." - VClass should be VReset - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that a states. SuggestedRemedy "If the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI v of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle. I class_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Proposed Response Response Status <b>0</b> Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which s "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PR					
SuggestedRemedy       - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that are in the CLASS_RESE         "Editor Note: To address existing "shall" requirements that are not covered in the state machine."       - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that are in the CLASS_RESE         "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)."       - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that are in the CLASS_RESE         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         - Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which says:       - Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which says:         "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_SET	SuggestedRemedy       - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that a states.         Add the following Editor Notes:       "Editor Note: To address existing "shall" requirements that are not covered in the state machine."       - Also, that same requirement holds for PSEs that a states.         "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)."       - Miso, that same requirement holds for PSEs that a states.         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         - Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which s "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PR	oltage at VClass for a perio				
Add the following Editor Notes: "Editor Note: To address existing "shall" requirements that are not covered in the state machine." "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b> * Proposed Response Status <b>O</b> * Response Status <b>O</b> * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Add the following Editor Notes: "Editor Note: To address existing "shall" requirements that are not covered in the state machine." "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b> * Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which s "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PR	are in the CLASS RESET				
machine." "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Proposed Response Response Status <b>0</b> "If the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VReset for a period at least TReset min." - Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which says: "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_SE	machine." "Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Proposed Response Response Status O - Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which s "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PR					
"Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Proposed Response Response Status O - Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which says: "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_SE	"Editor Note: To address in the state machine the case of what should Type 2, 3 and 4 do if the measured IClass is within the range of IClass_LIM or use text only (preffered)." Proposed Response Response Status O - Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which s "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PR					
Proposed Response Canada Response Status O - Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which says: "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_SE	Proposed Response Catabox C - Remove the sentence on page 99, line 26 which s "When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PR	"If the PSE returns to the IDLE state, it shall maintain the PI voltage at VReset for a peric of at least TReset min before starting a new detection cycle. If the PSE is in any of the CLASS_RESET states, it shall maintain the PI or pairset voltage at VReset for a period o				
"When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PRI or CLASS_RESET_SE	"When the PSE is in the state CLASS_RESET_PR					
		or CLASS_RESET_SEC t				
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O					

Pa **99** Li 11

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>99</b> Philips	L <b>20</b>	# 217	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>99</b> Philips	L <b>30</b>	# 208
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
original text: "Classific	ation events may appear on o	ne or both pairs	ets."	The item sorting in Table	e 33-15 has become confus	ing and seems a	arbitrary.
True for single-signatu Also problematic for T	ure, not for dual. Type 1 and Type 2 PSEs. hat sentence was to allow: for single-sig PDs ents between pairsets			SuggestedRemedy Sort Table 33-15 in the f Voltages: VClass Currents: IClass			
leave to do all of this. SuggestedRemedy	eal with applying Vclass alread e quoted sentence. Remove it		or pairset", granting	Cl <b>33</b> SC <b>33.2.7.2</b> Darshan, Yair Comment Type <b>ER</b>	P 99 Microsemi Comment Status X	L <b>50</b>	# 16
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			51	7 use the same number (6)		
CI 33 SC 33.2.7.2	P 99	L <b>28</b>	# 60	To renumber Table 33-1	5 items.		
Lukacs, Miklos	Silicon Labs			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Comment Type <b>T</b> A timing diagram shov text and would make th	Comment Status X wing the multiple event classifi the intent more clear.	cation would he	lp in understanding the	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.2	P 100	L 17	# 188
SuggestedRemedy				Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
See timing diagrams p	presentation (Lukacs)			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Table 33-15, Item 10 an SuggestedRemedy Change to "See 33.2.7.2	d 11, say "See section 33.2.	7.2".	
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>99</b> Philips	L <b>30</b>	# 209	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Comment Type ER Itemcount is wrong in	Comment Status X Table 33-15, item 6 is listed tv	vice.					
SuggestedRemedy							
Fix.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **100** Li **17** 

Yseboodt, Lennart	B P 101 Philips	L 10	# 210	C/ 33 SC 33.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	<b>7.3</b> <i>P</i> <b>101</b> Philips	L <b>43</b>	# 190
	Comment Status X ts Autoclass and the connecte eems a weird word here.	ed PD performs A	utoclass,".	Comment Type E "PAutoclass in Wa SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X	lural.	
SuggestedRemedy				Change to "PAuto	class in Watt"		
"If the PSE supports A classification,"	Autoclass and the connected F	PD requests Auto	class during	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						
Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.3	<i>P</i> 101	L 13	# 246	C/ 33 SC 33.2 Stover, David	8 P 101 Linear Teo	L <b>51</b> hnology	# 131
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X				to handle dual-signature PDs v e defined PSE implementation		lass/Type combinations
	AUTO_PSE2 timing is referer PARAMETERS state to the P			SuggestedRemedy			
	AMETERS state no longer exis			values in Table 33	e "PSEs powering dual-signatu -17 corresponding to the pairs		
	TAUTO_PSE2 timing is referer the POWER_ON state."	nced from the trai	nsition of the	Class." Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
POWER_UP state to							
	Response Status <b>O</b>			<i>Cl</i> <b>33</b> <i>SC</i> <b>33.2</b> Beia, Christian	8 P 102 STMicroel	L 32 ectronics	# 4
Proposed Response		L 33	# 189		STMicroel		# [4
Proposed Response		L 33	# 189	Beia, Christian <i>Comment Type</i> ER Table 33-17, Item	STMicroel Comment Status X		# [4
Proposed Response CI 33 SC 33.2.7.3 Yseboodt, Lennart	р Р 101	L 33	# 189	Beia, Christian Comment Type ER Table 33-17, Iteme Icon-2P-unb is rele	STMicroel Comment Status X		# 4
Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.3 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E	s P <b>101</b> Philips			Beia, Christian Comment Type ER Table 33-17, Itemi Icon-2P-unb is rele SuggestedRemedy	STMicroel Comment Status X Sevant to SS PD only.	ectronics	L.
Proposed Response CI 33 SC 33.2.7.3 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Autoclass margin form	P <b>101</b> Philips Comment Status <b>X</b>			Beia, Christian Comment Type ER Table 33-17, Item Icon-2P-unb is rele SuggestedRemedy Add "Single Signa	STMicroel Comment Status X Sevant to SS PD only. ture PD" on each line of Item6	ectronics	L.
Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.3 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Autoclass margin form SuggestedRemedy "P_ac_margin is the r to allocate enough po	P <b>101</b> Philips Comment Status <b>X</b>	fined in this sections of the section of the sectio	on. o P_Autoclass in order	Beia, Christian Comment Type ER Table 33-17, Itemi Icon-2P-unb is rele SuggestedRemedy	STMicroel Comment Status X Sevant to SS PD only.	ectronics	۲ <u>-</u>

Pa **102** Li **32** 

Cl 33 SC 33.2.8 Darshan, Yair	P <b>102</b> Microsemi	L <b>49</b>	# 29	Cl 33 SC Yseboodt, Lenna	<b>33.2.8</b> rt	P <b>104</b> Philips	L 13	# 211
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type	ER	Comment Status X		
incorrectly.	approved baseline additional ir		·	Additional inf wastage.	o for Table	33-17, item 17, TRise is too	long for this fie	ld causing vertical
	o linrush for dual-signature PD ions when PD using constant p			SuggestedReme	dy			
Von. Same applies to					Rise is refe	renced from 10 % to 90 % of		ference at the PI in
SuggestedRemedy						the beginning of POWER_U litional information field by "S		
See darshan_01_051	6.pdf for proposed remedy.			Proposed Respo	•	Response Status <b>0</b>	00 00.2.0.1	
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							
	<b>D</b>			CI 33 SC	33.2.8	P 105	L <b>32</b>	# 23
C/ 33 SC 33.2.8	P <b>103</b> Microsemi	L <b>30</b>	# 35	Darshan, Yair		Microsemi		
Darshan, Yair Comment Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status X			Comment Type	T Note #1	Comment Status X was addressed in D1.7.		
Table 33-17 item 12 c	lass 4 row, min value 0.684. as attached to the 0.684A for 1	ype 3 and 4 w	as lost after updating	SuggestedReme Delete Editor	dy			
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status 0		
Change "0.684A" to "0								
Add the following text "^2 Unbalance at class	after Table 33-17: s 4 is not restricted. The ILIM-2	P value is hig	her than the value for	C/ 33 SC	33.2.8	P 105	L 36	# 36
	4 PSEs operating with 4-pairs			Darshan, Yair		Microsemi		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X		
						n is important for the integrity	and protection	reliability of the PSE
C/ 33 SC 33.3 Schindler, Fred	P <b>103</b> Seen Simply, I	L <b>30</b> Broadco	# 85	under unbala Due to lake o To be discus	of time, this	on. subject was not resolved ye e group how to continue with	t. this item and y	et meet our time table.
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			SuggestedReme	dy			
	was edited to address D1.6 co		lowever, the footnote	See darshan	_04_0516.	odf for discussion details and	l possible reme	dy
	ss-4 row, Min. column is missir	ng.		Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy Add the missing footn "Unbalance at Class 4 Class 5."	ote, I is not restricted. The ILIM-2P	value is highe	r than the value for					
Proposed Response	Response Status O							
	ed ER/editorial required GR/g			/		Pa 10	_	Page 25 of 55

Li **36** 

5/2/2016 10:57:57 AM

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Page, Line

C/ <b>33</b> SC <b>33.2.8</b> Darshan, Yair	P <b>105</b> Microsemi	L <b>44</b>	# 22	C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.4 Yseboodt, Lennart	I P1 Philip		# 247
Comment Type <b>T</b> Delete Editor Note #3	Comment Status X . It was adressed in D1.7.			Comment Type <b>TR</b> There are several inc	Comment Status	X ntified in the PSE powe	r section.
SuggestedRemedy Delete Editor Note #3	. It was addressed in D1.7.			SuggestedRemedy Adopt yseboodt_02_0	0516_power.pdf		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.1	P 106	<i>L</i> 1	# 191	Cl 33 SC 33.2.8.4	¥ P1	06 L 27	# 50
'seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Johnson, Peter	Sifos	Technologies	
Comment Type E Class 1-4 is not allowe	Comment Status X ed.			<i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> This comment may b	Comment Status e OBE by presentation		
SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Class 1 to	o. 4"			One area where 33.2	.8.4 is written for 4-Pai	r (Type 3/4) PSE's only:	
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			diagram. These term	is have no meaning for	efined using terms from <sup>.</sup> 2-Pair powering cases. cluding those applicable	Iport-2P is then later
2/ <b>33</b> SC <b>33.2.8.2</b> eia, Christian	P 106 STMicroelectro	L 12	# 7	lport is defined earlie references 33.2.8.6.	r with the Type 1 and T	ype 2 state machine in	33.2.5.4. that in turn
Comment Type <b>TR</b> The resolution of com is missing.	Comment Status X ment 324 of Draft1.6 was only	partially impler	nented, and some text	SuggestedRemedy One remedy is to add	a specificity to lport-2	P definition:	
SuggestedRemedy Replace :					Primary Alternative of	Type 3 and Type 4 PSE e of Type 3 and Type 4	
	ut capacitance CPort min or CF e for input voltage transients w s.					Type 3 and Type 4 PSE of Type 3 and Type 4 PS	
With:				Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
allows PDs of any Typ	out capacitance CPort min or C be to operate for input voltage t than 30µs as specified in 33.3	ransients whicl					
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
	red ER/editorial required GR/g ispatched A/accepted R/rejected					Pa <b>106</b> Li <b>27</b>	Page 26 of 55 5/2/2016 10:57:57

C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.4	P 106	L 28	# 17	CI 33 SC 33.2.8.4 P107 L7 # 52
Darshan, Yair	Microsemi			Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment Type T Comment Status X
Comment #196 from [	01.6 was not implemented cor	rrectly		This comment may be OBE by presentation.
	P-other are the currents on the ed in Equation (33–5) **in and			Another area where 33.2.8.4 is written for 4-Pair (Type 3/4) PSE's only:
SuggestedRemedy				"A PSE is not required to support Icon-2P values greater than Icon-2P-unb. Icon is the total current of both pairs with the same polarity that a PSE supports. Icon-2P_unb is the
	P-other are the currents on the			maximum current the PSE supports over one of the pairs of the same polarity"
	ed in Equation (33–5) and in E	Equation (33–6)	."	SuggestedRemedy Replace this text.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			'
C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.4 Johnson, Peter	P <b>106</b> Sifos Technol	L <b>46</b> logies	# 51	<ul> <li>(New Paragraph)</li> <li>"When a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE is powering 4 pairs, that PSE is not required to support Icon-2P values greater than Icon-2P-unb. Icon is the total current of both pairs with the same polarity that a PSE supports. Icon-2P_unb is the maximum current the PSE supports over one of the pairs of the same polarity"</li> </ul>
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Proposed Response Response Status O
This comment may be	OBE by presentation.			
This comment may be	OBE by presentation.			
defines Icon = Pclass	Icon-2P = Pclass / Vpse whe / Vport-PSE-2P. If we assum 2SE-2P (defined in Table 3-17	e Vpse (defined	d in 1.4) is the really the	
Also, Pclass and Pclas Tables 33-11 and 33-1	ss-2P are really defined in EQ 12.	33-2 and EQ 3	33-3 respectively, not	
SuggestedRemedy				
Change Equation 33-7	' to:			
Icon-2P = Icon when in 2-pair				
	ir powering a single signature nen 4-pair powering a dual sig			
where Pclass is defined in Ec				
Pclass-2P is defined in	n Equation 33-3			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **107** Li **7** 

C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.4	P 107	L 12	# 53	C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.4	P 107	L 33	# 54	
Johnson, Peter	Sifos Technol			Johnson, Peter	Sifos Technol			
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X			
This comment may be (	OBE by presentation.			This comment may be O	BE by presentation.			
Another area where 33.	.2.8.4 is written for 4-Pair (Ty	rpe 3/4) PSE's or	nly:	There are 2 different equ	ations for Ipeak-2P_unb: E	EQ 33-9 and EQ	33-11.	
	on-2P and ICon-2P-unb as sp upport the following AC curre			EQ 33-9 describes IPeal port voltage and PD load	<-2P_unb as a function of Ip I.	beak that is in tu	rn a function of PSE	
	and IPeak-2P minimum for TC	CUT-2P minimun	n and 5 % duty cycle	of PSE port voltage or Pl sample calculation of Ipe	ak-2P_unb as a function of D load - it is a fixed value g eak-2P_unb for Class 6 (828	reater than ILIM-	2P_min. Also, my	
SuggestedRemedy				ILIM-2P_min (702 mA) fo	or Class 6.			
This section needs som three fundamental case	ne work. It probably should b es:	e re-written to in	dividually address the	Is EQ 33-11 indicating th	at ILIM-2P_min must be hig	gher than what is	s in Table 33-17 ??????	
<ol> <li>2-Pair Powering: Only need to define Ipe</li> </ol>	eak-2P using (Rchan) in quad	Iratic		SuggestedRemedy				
	gle Signature PD(where Ipea			Not sure what to do here				
Define Ipeak, Ipeak-2P,	, Ipeak-2P_unb using (Rcha	n/2) in the quadr	atic	One entire is to just align		if it is adding inf	a martine vale vant ta	
3) 4-Pair Powering Dua Define Ipeak-2P using (	al Signature PD (Rchan) and (PPeak_PD-2P)	) in the quadratic	;		One option is to just eliminate EQ 33-11. However, if it is adding information relevant to PSE behavior, we need to better capture that.			
wa waa a al Daawa waa a	Response Status <b>O</b>							
roposea kesponse	Response Status U			Proposed Response	Response Status 0			
roposea kesponse								
roposea Response				C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.4	P 107	L <b>45</b>	# 37	
oposea kesponse						L 45	# <u>37</u>	
roposea Response				Cl 33 SC 33.2.8.4	, Р 107	L <b>45</b>	# 37	
oposea Response				Cl 33 SC 33.2.8.4 Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR In 33.1.3 we have new d Equation 33-10 must use	, P <b>107</b> Microsemi	n-2P. required to use I		
roposea kesponse				Cl 33 SC 33.2.8.4 Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR In 33.1.3 we have new d Equation 33-10 must use	P 107 Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X efinitions: Rchan and Rcha e the Rchan-2P, so it is not	n-2P. required to use I		
roposed Response				Cl 33 SC 33.2.8.4 Darshan, Yair Comment Type TR In 33.1.3 we have new d Equation 33-10 must use not sufficiently specific a SuggestedRemedy 1. Change from "Rchan/2 2. Change "RChan is the	P 107 Microsemi <i>Comment Status</i> X efinitions: Rchan and Rcha e the Rchan-2P, so it is not	n-2P. required to use I r 33.1.3. n 33-10 in 4 loca te as defined in 3	Rchan/2 while Rchan is ations. 33.1.3"	

C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.4	.1 P 108 Philips	L <b>30</b>	# 192	2	CI 33 SC Johnson, Peter	33.2.8.4.1		P 109 Sifos Techno	L 1	# 44
					,	-			logies	
omment Type E "Type 3 and Type 4 P this section."	Comment Status X SEs operating over 4-pair are	e subject to unba	lance requirer	ments in				n PSE comm	on mode effecti n mode effectiv	ve resistance" and e resistance".
uggestedRemedy "This section describe over 4-pair."	es unbalance requirements fo	or Type 3 and Typ	be 4 PSEs tha	it operate			g and may infe table somew		e some maximu	um and minimum
I	_				SuggestedReme	dy				
roposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>				Change to:					
2/ 33 SC 33.2.8.4.	.1 P 108 Philips	L <b>39</b>	# 193	3	Rpse_min polarity.	is the lowe	st possible effe	ective resistar	nce in the power	red pairs of the same
comment Type E	Comment Status X				For a given F	Rpse_min,				
	fied for total channel common	n modo poir rocio	tanco from		Rose may	is the high	est nossible et	faciva rasista	nce in the nowe	ered pairs of the same
						io the high				and pairs of the same
					polarity.					
uggestedRemedy Change to:	for the total channel commor	·		from"	polarity. Proposed Respo	nse	Response S	tatus O		
SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Icon-2P-unb applies t		·		from"	Proposed Respo	33.2.8.5	,	<i>tatus</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>109</b> Philips	<i>L</i> 10	# <u>194</u>
uggestedRemedy Change to: "Icon-2P-unb applies t	for the total channel commor	·		from"	Cl 33 SC Yseboodt, Lenna Comment Type "POWER_UP and Type 2 F	<b>33.2.8.5</b> Int <b>E</b> P mode occ State on th PSEs that n	Comment S curs on each p nat pairset and	P 109 Philips <i>status</i> X airset betwee either the exp gacy powerup	n the PSE's tran biration of T Inru	nsition to the ush-2P or, for Type 1
uggestedRemedy Change to: "Icon-2P-unb applies f	for the total channel commor	·		from"	Cl 33 SC Yseboodt, Lenna Comment Type "POWER_UP and Type 2 F on that pairse The term "PO	<b>33.2.8.5</b> art <b>E</b> P mode occ P state on th PSEs that n et (see 33.3) OWER_UP identical to	Comment S curs on each p nat pairset and nake use of leg 3.7.3 and legat mode" is only the POWER_	P 109 Philips tatus X airset betwee either the exp gacy powerup ir used 3 times	n the PSE's tran piration of T Inru , the conclusion n 33.2.5.4)."	nsition to the ush-2P or, for Type 1 n of PD inrush currents n this section, and
uggestedRemedy Change to: "Icon-2P-unb applies t	for the total channel commor	·		from"	Cl 33 SC Yseboodt, Lenna Comment Type "POWER_UP and Type 2 F on that pairse The term "PO seems to be	<b>33.2.8.5</b> Int <b>E</b> P mode occ P state on th PSEs that n et (see 33.3 OWER_UP identical to lace by PO'	Comment S curs on each p nat pairset and nake use of leg 3.7.3 and legat mode" is only the POWER_	P 109 Philips tatus X airset betwee either the exp gacy powerup ir used 3 times	n the PSE's tran piration of T Inru , the conclusion n 33.2.5.4)." in the doc, all ir	nsition to the ush-2P or, for Type 1 n of PD inrush currents n this section, and
SuggestedRemedy Change to:	for the total channel commor	·		from"	Cl 33 SC Cl 33 SC Yseboodt, Lenna Comment Type "POWER_UP and Type 2 F on that pairse The term "PC seems to be If not => repl SuggestedReme Change "PO"	<b>33.2.8.5</b> Int <b>E</b> P mode occ P state on th PSEs that n et (see 33.3 OWER_UP identical to lace by PO' dy WER_UP r	Comment S curs on each p hat pairset and hake use of lea 3.7.3 and legat mode" is only the POWER_ WER_UP.	P 109 Philips tatus X airset betwee either the exp gacy powerup cy_powerup ir used 3 times UP state. Is t	n the PSE's tran piration of T Inru , the conclusion n 33.2.5.4)." in the doc, all ir	nsition to the ush-2P or, for Type 1 n of PD inrush currents n this section, and re ?

Pa **109** Li **10** 

C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.5 P109 L16 # 81	C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.5 P 109 L 20 # 28
Picard, Jean Texas Instruments	Darshan, Yair Microsemi
omment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
The following statement is incorrect in case where the PD is class 0-4, in which case a type 3 PSE is allowed to do inrush with only one 2P channel. "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs that apply power to both pairsets when connected to a single-signature PD shall reach the POWER_ON state on both pairsets within TInrush-2P max, starting with the first pairset transitioning into the POWER_UP state. The second pairset may transition to POWER_UP anytime within this time period."	In the following text, it is not clear when the PSE is following the template: "The PSE shall limit Ilnrush-2P and Ilnrush during POWER_UP per the requirements of Table 33-17. The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pairset shall not excee the per pairset inrush template in Figure 33-26 and Equation (33-13)." in Figure 33-26 and Equation (33-13) some PD implementations start to show linrush only after significant time (10-30msec) after the application of Vpd but still within Tinrus_min time duration but the template in figure 33-26 looks that it is relevant to iinrush appearance at t=0 only.
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Replace with this: "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs that have assigned Class 5 to 8 to a single-signature PD shall reach the POWER_ON state on both pairsets within TInrush-2P max, starting with the first	Change from: "The PSE shall limit IInrush-2P and IInrush during POWER_UP per the requirements of Table 33-17. The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pairset shall not excee the per pairset inrush template in Figure 33-26 and Equation (33-13)."
pairset transitioning into the POWER_UP state, whereas the second pairset transitions to POWER_UP anytime within this time period."	to: "The PSE shall limit IInrush-2P and IInrush during POWER_UP **state** per the requirements of Table 33-17. The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pairse shall not exceed the per pairset inrush template in Figure 33-26 and Equation (33-13) **for the duration of POWER_UP state**."
pairset transitioning into the POWER_UP state, whereas the second pairset transitions to POWER_UP anytime within this time period."	to: "The PSE shall limit IInrush-2P and IInrush during POWER_UP **state** per the requirements of Table 33-17. The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pairse shall not exceed the per pairset inrush template in Figure 33-26 and Equation (33-13) **for
pairset transitioning into the POWER_UP state, whereas the second pairset transitions to POWER_UP anytime within this time period."	to: "The PSE shall limit IInrush-2P and IInrush during POWER_UP **state** per the requirements of Table 33-17. The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pairse shall not exceed the per pairset inrush template in Figure 33-26 and Equation (33-13) **for the duration of POWER_UP state**."
pairset transitioning into the POWER_UP state, whereas the second pairset transitions to POWER_UP anytime within this time period."	to: "The PSE shall limit IInrush-2P and IInrush during POWER_UP **state** per the requirements of Table 33-17. The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pairse shall not exceed the per pairset inrush template in Figure 33-26 and Equation (33-13) **for the duration of POWER_UP state**." Proposed Response Response Status O CI 33 SC 33.2.8.5 P 110 L 9 # 195
pairset transitioning into the POWER_UP state, whereas the second pairset transitions to POWER_UP anytime within this time period."	to: "The PSE shall limit Ilnrush-2P and Ilnrush during POWER_UP **state** per the requirements of Table 33-17. The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pairse shall not exceed the per pairset inrush template in Figure 33-26 and Equation (33-13) **for the duration of POWER_UP state**." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.2.8.5 P 110 L 9 # 195 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X Equation 33-14 uses variable y1.
pairset transitioning into the POWER_UP state, whereas the second pairset transitions to POWER_UP anytime within this time period."	to: "The PSE shall limit IInrush-2P and IInrush during POWER_UP **state** per the requirements of Table 33-17. The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pairse shall not exceed the per pairset inrush template in Figure 33-26 and Equation (33-13) **for the duration of POWER_UP state**." Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.2.8.5 P 110 L 9 # 195 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X Equation 33-14 uses variable y1. Since there is neither a y0 or a y2, we can also rename it to 'i'.

Pa **110** Li 9

C/ 33         SC 33.2.8.5.1         P 110         L 32         #         212           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips	C/ 33         SC 33.2.8.5.1         P 110         L 37         # 196           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
Comment Type ER Comment Status X "A Type 4 PSE, when connected to a single signature PD with assigned Class 7 or Cla 8, may implement a minimum I Inrush lower than defined in Table 33-17, but not less th 0.4A respectively. When a Type 4 PSE is connected to a single-signature PD with	nan SuggestedRemedy
assigned Class 7 or Class 8 and uses a lower I Inrush than which is defined in Table 33 17, it shall successfully power up a single-signature PD comprised of a parallel combination of 360 mF and a Class 2 load within T Inrush-2p min without startup	B- Shorter: " during POWER_UP" Also on line 44
oscillations during the POWER_UP period, when connected to the PD through a chann resistance of 0.10hm to 12.50hm per pairset."	el Proposed Response Response Status O
First two sentences are very repetitive.	C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.5.1 P 110 L 39 # 213
SuggestedRemedy	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
"A Type 4 PSE, when connected to a single signature PD with assigned Class or Class 8, may implement a minimum I Inrush lower than defined in Table 33-17, but r less than 0.4A respectively. Such a PSE shall successfully power up a single-signature comprised of a parallel combination of 360 mF and a Class 2 load within T Inrush-2p m without startup oscillations during the POWER_UP period, when connected to the PD through a channel in the range of 0.1 ohm to Rch per pairset."	Not "A Type 4 PSE, when connected to a dual signature PD with assigned Class 5, may implement a minimum I Inrush and I Inrush-2P lower than defined in Table 33-17, but not
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	mF and a Class 2 (TBD) load within T Inrush-2p min without startup oscillations during thePOWER_UP period, when connected to the PD through a channel resistance of 0.10hm to 12.50hm per pairset."
Cl 33 SC 33.2.8.5.1 P 110 L 32 # 132	First two sentences are very repetitive.
Stover, David Linear Technology	SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type E Comment Status X	Shorter:
"single-signature" is hyphenated and not capitalized, per our convention. There are 2 locations where this convention is not followed.	"A Type 4 PSE, when connected to a dual signature PD with assigned Class 5, may implement a minimum I Inrush and I Inrush-2P lower than defined in Table 33-17, but not
SuggestedRemedy	less than 0.4A and 0.2A respectively. Such a PSE shall successfully power up a dual- signature PD comprised of a parallel combination of 110 mF and a Class 2 (TBD) load
Global search and replace "single signature" with "single-signature".	within T Inrush-2p min without startup oscillations during the POWER_UP period, when

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

Pa **110** Li **39** 

connected to the PD through a channel resistance of 0.1ohm to Rch per pairset."

C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.5.1 P 110	L <b>40</b>	# 227	C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.		L <b>48</b>	# 45
/seboodt, Lennart Philips			Johnson, Peter	Sifos Techno	logies	
Comment Type T Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
"When a Type 4 PSE is connected to a dual-signatu a lower Ilnrush-2P than those defined in Table 33-1 signature PD comprised of a parallel combination o within Tlnrush-2p min without startup oscillations du connected to the PD through a channel resistance of	7, it shall succe 110 uF and a ring the POWE	Ssfully power up a dual- Class 2 (TBD) load R_UP period, when	one definition, and g universal to all PSE relationship between	two places, 33.2.8.4 and then ven the present structure of the ypes and powering modes. B Iport-2P and Type 3/4 PSEs. aden the Iport-2P definition in 3	e standard, that oth 33.2.8.4 and	definition needs to be d 33.2.8.6 infer a
Unclear that this requirement applies per	pairset.			ve the Iport definition to 33.2.8.		
SuggestedRemedy Replace by: "When a Type 4 PSE is connected to a du and uses a lower IInrush-2P than those defined in T up a dual-signature PD comprised of a parallel com load ***on each pairset*** within TInrush-2p min wit POWER_UP period, when connected to the PD thro 12.5ohm per pairset." Proposed Response Response Status <b>0</b>	able 33-17, it s bination of 110 nout startup osc	hall successfully power uF and a Class 2 (TBD) cillations during the	Modify 33.2.8.6: Revise: "If IPort, the current s to "If IPort exceeds ICL Revise: "If IPort-2P, the curre PI, exceeds ICUT-2F to	ent supplied on a pairset by the P for longer" ICUT-2P for longer" In in 33.2.5.4: In (see 33.2.8.6)."	exceeds ICUT-2	2P for"

Pa **110** Li **48** 

C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.7 Picard, Jean	P 111 Texas Instrume	L 9 ents	# 82	C/ 33         SC 33.2.8.7         P 111         L 14         # 25           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi
There is an issue with allowin long as 4 seconds over 2P v class 4 or lower. That level of selected for this amount of e <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Require Type 4 PSEs to app Type 1-3 PD . This means the following se "For Type 4 PSEs, Figure 33 connected to Type 4 PD, oth	then powering a SS PD of f stress for so long can of nergy, for example the d ly the "Type 3 operating ntence: 29, Equation (33-17) a	with Class 6 or Jamage comp ata transforme current templa nd Equation (;	r lower or DS PD with onents that are not ers of Mag Jacks. ate" when powering a 33–20) apply when	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       X         Referring to the text (see darshan_05_0516.pdf for details):       "[**Part-1**] Power shall be removed from a pairset PI of a PSE before the pairset PI current exceeds the "PSE upperbound template" in Figure 33-14, Figure 33-14a, and Figure 33-14b.         [**Part-2**] When connected to a single signature PD, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE shoul (TBD) remove power from both pairsets before the current exceeds the "PSE upperbote template" on either pairset."         Due to the fact that for single-signature PD:         a)Each pairset is already protected by [**part-1**].         b)Shutting off both pairset doesn't add extra protection to the PD.         c)Forcing the PSE to shut off both pairset in case of fault, kills PD applications that was deviced to were accurate to work of both pairset in case of fault, stills PD applications that was deviced to were accurate to work of both pairset in case of fault, stills PD applications that was deviced to work of both pairset in case of fault, stills PD applications that was deviced by the towned to work of both pairset in case of fault, stills PD applications that was deviced by the towned to work of both pairset in case of fault, stills PD applications that was deviced by the part to work of the work of
apply. " Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>			designed to work at lower power in case of fault when 4-pairs is required for full power We don't need [**Part-2**] due to the fact that in single-signature PD if current over a pairset approaches the upper bound template, this pairset will be powered off, if the P was not designed to handle lower power mode, the whole current will flow through the remaining pairset and it will be disconnected as well, so there is no need for the redur text in [**Part-2**].	
				SuggestedRemedy Option 1: Delete: "When connected to a single signature PD, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE should (TBD) ren power from both pairsets before the current exceeds the "PSE upperbound template" Option 2: To address solution proposed by Chritian to be discussed by the group. The solution may be described in darshan_05_0516.pdf if we get a consensus on the wording of it prior the meeting.
				Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>

Pa 111 Li 14

C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 111 Philips	L 14	# 228	C/ 33         SC 33.2.8.7         P 111         L 14         #         6           Beia, Christian         STMicroelectronics         STMicroelectronics         STMicroelectronics         STMicroelectronics
	Comment Status X			
	a single-signature PD, a Type			Comment Type TR Comment Status X The following sentence, When connected to a single-signature PD, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE should (TBD) remove
uggestedRemedy	04 0516 pso4p pdf			power from both pairsets before the current exceeds the "PSE upperbound template" on either pairset.
See/adopt yseboodt_04_ Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			has severel weak points:
				<ul> <li>the (TBD) to be removed</li> <li>the "should" makes nobody happy: those who want the PSE to be able to go past a failure working on single pairset would ignore a reccomendation, and those who want the power to be removed from both pairsets don't have the assurance it will be implemented.</li> <li>the timing requirements for power removal can increase PSE complexity.</li> </ul>
				The main goal here should be avoiding that a PD that failed to work over 4-pairs, when powered on 2-pairs would exceed the current originally intended to flow on one pairset, potentially overstressing the magnetics.
				So, the requirement should allow the PSE to disconnect only one pairset only if the currer of thesecond pairset is below one-half of the assigned power (i.e. the current that was originally supposed to flow in that pairset). It ensures that the PD is still keeping control of its own current, and no damage occurred.
				See also Darshan_05
				SuggestedRemedy
				Replace: When connected to a single-signature PD, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE should (TBD) remove power from both pairsets before the current exceeds the "PSE upperbound template" on either pairset.
				With: When connected to a single-signature PD, a Type 3 or Type 4 PSE may remove power from one pairset and maintain power on the other pairset only if the PD power consumptic is below one half of the assigned Pclass (0.5*Pclass).
				Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>

Pa 111 Li 14 C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.7 P 112 L 12 # 46 C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.7 P114 # 49 L 16 Sifos Technologies Sifos Technologies Johnson, Peter Johnson, Peter Comment Type т Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Figures 33-28 and 33-29 include an ILIM parameter on the right vertical axis. But there is The list of variables beneath Equations 33-18, 33-19, 33-20 includes the term Icon-2P but it is 'Icon-2P min' that is used in the equations. no ILIM definition any more. Presumably, these should be removed. The definition for Icon-2P is okav. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove ILIM from Figures 33-28 and 33-29. Replace Icon-2P with 'Icon-2P min'. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status 0 C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.7 P 112 L 48 C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.7 P 114 L 22 # 47 # 197 Johnson. Peter Sifos Technologies Yseboodt. Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X References to equations are all off by one. "A PSE in the POWER\_ON state may remove power from a pairset without regard to T LIM when the pairset voltage no longer meets the V Port PSE-2P specification." SuagestedRemedv Replace with: T I IM does not exist. SuggestedRemedy "...described by Equation (33-15), Equation (33-16), Equation (33-17)..." "A PSE in the POWER ON state may remove power from a pairset without regard to T Proposed Response Response Status O LIM-2P when the pairset voltage no longer meets the V Port\_PSE-2P specification." Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.7 P113 / 31 # 48 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies C/ 33 SC 33.2.8.13 P 115 L 37 # 198 Comment Type Е Comment Status X Yseboodt. Lennart Philips The list of variables beneath Equations 33-15, 33-16, and 33-17 include 3 terms not used Comment Type E Comment Status X in those equations: PType max, VPSE, and Iport-2P-other. "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs, when connected to a single-signature PD, both pairsets shall reach the POWER ON state within T pon after detection on last pairset." Bad English. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove these terms. "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs, when connected to a single-signature PD, shall reach the Proposed Response Response Status 0 POWER ON state within T pon after completing detection on the last pairset." Proposed Response Response Status 0

# IEEE P802.3bt D1.7 4-Pair Power-over-Ethernet 10th Task Force review comments

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Page 35 of 55 5/2/2016 10:57:57 AM

Pa 115

Li 37

C/ 33 SC 33.2.10	P 116	L 14	# 214	C/ 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2	P 118	L <b>30</b>	# 55
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Johnson, Peter	Sifos Technol	ogies	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment Type T Cor	mment Status X		
"Figure 33-20 shows the Bad reference.	e PSE monitor state diagram	s."		It seems that this section is no 3). The rules for Type 3 and <sup>2</sup>	Type 4 PSEs are writte		
SuggestedRemedy				signature and dual signature I	PDs.		
"Figure 33-14 shows the 33-22 and Figure 22-23 PSEs."	e PSE monitor state diagram show the PSE monitor state	s for Type 1 and diagrams for Ty	I Type 2 PSEs. Figure /pe 3 and Type 4	SuggestedRemedy Revise:			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			"A Type 1 and Type 2 PSE:" t "A PSE powering with 2 pairs:			
<i>Cl</i> <b>33</b> <i>SC</i> <b>33.2.10.1</b> . <sup>-</sup> Yseboodt, Lennart	1 P117 Philips	L <b>25</b>	# 199	Revise: "A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE, wh "A PSE powering a single sign		, 0	" to
Comment Type E Table 33-18 is formattee	Comment Status X d differently from every other	Table in the do	2.	Revise: "A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE, wh "A PSE powering a dual signa		l-signature PD:"	to
	btable headers (eg. "AC sigr g to be numerical (1, 2, 3,)				ponse Status <b>O</b>		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			C/ 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>118</b> Philips	L 32	# 200
C/ 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2	2 <i>P</i> 118	L 26	# 248	Comment Type E Cor	mment Status X		
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			The DC MPS Type 1 and Typ		dashed list), still	say "the applicable" in
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			the first 3 items (line 32, 34 ar This is already stated abo		nere	
	he connected Type of PD, sh MPDO values as defined in		icable I Hold min, I	SuggestedRemedy Remove "the applicable" three			
Needs to mention I_	_Hold-2P.			Proposed Response Res	ponse Status <b>O</b>		
SuggestedRemedy				1,			
	he connected Type of PD an the applicable I Hold, I Hold-						
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **118** Li **32** 

CI 33	SC 33.2.10.1.2	2 <i>P</i> 118	L <b>40</b>	# 229	C/ 33	SC 33.2.10.1	.2 <i>P</i> 118	L <b>40</b>	# 230
Yseboodt	, Lennart	Philips			Ysebood	, Lennart	Philips		
Comment	Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Commen	t Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X		

"A Type 1 and Type 2 PSE: - shall not remove power from the PI when I Port is greater than or equal to I Hold-2P max continuously for at least T MPS every T MPS + T MPDO , as defined in Table 33-17."

This final shall is inconsistenly worded compared to the "do not remove power" shalls for Type 3 and Type 4.

See: hstewart\_01\_0116\_DC\_MPS\_Template\_v8.pdf for what the intent was.

#### SuggestedRemedy

#### Replace by:

"- shall not remove power from the PI when DC MPS has been present within the T\_MPS + TMPDO window."

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

Comment Type T Comment Status X "A Type 1 and Type 2 PSE: - shall not remove power from the PI when I Port is greater than or equal to I Hold-2P max continuously for at least T MPS every T MPS + T MPDO,

"A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE, when connected to a single-signature PD: -shall not remove power from the PI when DC MPS has been present within the T MPS + T MPDO window. This allows a PD to minimize its power consumption."

"A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE, when connected to a dual-signature PD: -- shall not remove power from a pairset when DC MPS has been present on both pairsets every T MPS + T MPDO ."

These shalls are essentially meaningless. PSEs may remove power for any reason. The PSE shall remove power in the case of overcurrent, or Vport-2P being out of spec.

This is to protect against bad MPS implementations that remove power when they shouln't.

#### SuggestedRemedy

as defined in Table 33-17."

Add a condition 'unless there is a non-MPS related reason to do so':

"A Type 1 and Type 2 PSE: - shall not remove power from the PI, unless there is a non-MPS related reason to do so, when I Port is greater than or equal to I Hold-2P max continuously for at least T MPS every T MPS + T MPDO, as defined in Table 33-17." (Note: merge the above with the other comment that touches this if adopted).

"A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE, when connected to a single-signature PD: -shall not remove power from the PI, unless there is a non-MPS related reason to do so, when DC MPS has been present within the T MPS + T MPDO window. This allows a PD to minimize its power consumption."

"A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE, when connected to a dual-signature PD: -- shall not remove power from a pairset, unless there is a non-MPS related reason to do so, when DC MPS has been present on both pairsets every T MPS + T MPDO ."

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

Pa **118** Li **40** 

C/ 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 P 118 L 52 # 249	C/ 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 P 119 L 22 # 26				
Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	Darshan, Yair Microsemi				
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X				
For Type 3 and 4 PSEs, connected to a single-signature PD, there are 2 'shalls' and a 'may' that determine if DC MPS component is either PRESENT, ABSENT or PRESENT OR ABSENT. These requirements should not overlap, ie, only one of those 3 conditions can be true at the same time. The 'may' statement overlaps with the two shalls for certain combinations of current.	False disconnect or false maintain power as a result of Short MPS under PSE transient need to be adrressed. We need to allow PSE system to decide what to do in this case when a PSE dv of up to 2V for a dt of 0.8ms to 20ms which result with distored of the short MPS pulse for at least one cycle of MPS+TMPDO for a specific time window.				
For example, if the Iport-2P currents are 1mA and 6mA respectively, the first 'shall' says MPS is PRESENT. The may statement however is also True, indicating that MPS may be PRESENT OR ABSENT.	SuggestedRemedy Add the following text to the end of section 33.2.10.1.2: Option 1: Type 3 and Type 4 PSE when supporting short MPS may fail to detect presence or absence of a short MPS pulse as a result of PSE dv/dt that may cancel or distorted or add				
To avoid overlap, the two shall statements need to be made more narrow.	MPS pulse. Type 3 and Type 4 PSE when supporting short MPS during PSE dv/dt for PSI				
SuggestedRemedy	voltage change dv of up to 2V and time duration dt of 0.8msec to 10msec for a sliding time window of 3 sec (TBD) may maintain the power or disconnect the power when presence o				
single-signature PD" needs to become and 'and': - change "or" to "and" on page 118, line 46					
- change "or" to "and" on page 118, line 49	Option 2: A PSE may ignore the current MPS status of a short MPS pulse once every 3 seconds, which permits PSEs to deal with seldom occurring transients that may distort the MPS signal. Proposed Response Response Status <b>0</b>				
- change "or" to "and" on page 118, line 49 Proposed Response Response Status O	A PSE may ignore the current MPS status of a short MPS pulse once every 3 seconds, which permits PSEs to deal with seldom occurring transients that may distort the MPS signal. Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>				
- change "or" to "and" on page 118, line 49 Proposed Response Response Status O S/ 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 P 119 L 19 # 231 Seboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type T Comment Status X	A PSE may ignore the current MPS status of a short MPS pulse once every 3 seconds, which permits PSEs to deal with seldom occurring transients that may distort the MPS signal.				
- change "or" to "and" on page 118, line 49 roposed Response Response Status O 7/ 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 P 119 L 19 # 231 seboodt, Lennart Philips romment Type T Comment Status X "A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE, when connected to a dual-signature PD: -may maintain power on a pairset if DC MPS has been present on that pairset every T MPS + T MPDO."	A PSE may ignore the current MPS status of a short MPS pulse once every 3 seconds, which permits PSEs to deal with seldom occurring transients that may distort the MPS signal. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.3.1 P 119 L 41 # 145 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X "Type 3 and Type 4 PDs shall be capable of accepting power on either pairset and shall be				
<ul> <li>- change "or" to "and" on page 118, line 49</li> <li>Proposed Response Response Status O</li> <li>Cl 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 P 119 L 19 # 231</li> <li>Seboodt, Lennart Philips</li> <li>Comment Type T Comment Status X <ul> <li>"A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE, when connected to a dual-signature PD: -may maintain power on a pairset if DC MPS has been present on that pairset every T MPS + T MPDO."</li> <li>Is inconsistent in describing the timing requirements.</li> </ul></li></ul>	A PSE may ignore the current MPS status of a short MPS pulse once every 3 seconds, which permits PSEs to deal with seldom occurring transients that may distort the MPS signal. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.3.1 P 119 L 41 # 145 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X "Type 3 and Type 4 PDs shall be capable of accepting power on either pairset and shall be capable of accepting power on both pairsets."				
<ul> <li>- change "or" to "and" on page 118, line 49</li> <li>Proposed Response Response Status O</li> <li>Cl 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 P 119 L 19 # 231</li> <li>Cl 33 SC 33.2.10.1.2 P 119 L 19 # 231</li> <li>Comment Type T Comment Status X</li> <li>"A Type 3 or Type 4 PSE, when connected to a dual-signature PD: -may maintain power on a pairset if DC MPS has been present on that pairset every T MPS + T MPDO."</li> </ul>	A PSE may ignore the current MPS status of a short MPS pulse once every 3 seconds, which permits PSEs to deal with seldom occurring transients that may distort the MPS signal. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 33 SC 33.3.1 P 119 L 41 # 145 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X "Type 3 and Type 4 PDs shall be capable of accepting power on either pairset and shall be				

Pa 119 Li 41

C/ 33 SC 33.3.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>120</b> Philips	L <b>31</b>	# 146	C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.5 Schindler, Fred	P <b>124</b> Seen Simply, E	L <b>3</b> Broadco	# 86
Comment Type <b>E</b> Table 33-20, column "Ot The word "other" in the h				Comment Type TR Co The remedy to D1.6, comme request should apply to lega	omment Status X ent 248 may not be comp		ed. I believe the
SuggestedRemedy Remove "other" in heade Proposed Response	er. Response Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy Implement the accepted solu "Replace all square brackets Proposed Response Re		e diagrams."	
Cl 33 SC 33.3.3 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type T Updates to the PD State SuggestedRemedy Adopt yseboodt_12_051 Proposed Response	5	L 13	# 232	Cl 33 SC 33.2.3.8 Schindler, Fred	P 127 Seen Simply, E comment Status X y_timer e 2 and Type 3 PDs from n Class 2 power during th ype 2 PDs in the Type 3 3	drawing more the ePSE's inrush p	eriod; see Tdelay-2F
C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.5 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E The PD legacy state made SuggestedRemedy	P 124 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> X chine has the issue that it is	L 1	# 147	SuggestedRemedy Replace the sentence with,"t A timer used to prevent Type PDs from drawing more than in Table 33–28."	tpowerdly_timer e 3 PDs from drawing mc		
See yseboodt_05_0516_	_pdsmlegacy.pdf <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>				sponse Status <b>O</b>		

Pa **127** Li **38** 

C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.10 P 129 L 8 # 42 Darshan, Yair Microsemi	C/ 33         SC 33.3.4         P 131         L 1         # 250           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
It is not clear that the state machine permits Tdelay also for Type 1. Technically there is no need for it since Type 1 current always < PSE Inrush_min however to simplify future PD chip designs we need to allow same behavior for all PD types regarding delaying the load current consumption by Tdelay.	A PD is either a single-, or a dual-signature device. The determination of single/dual impacts nearly every requirement. Yet the PD section offers zero guidance or requirements on what a PD needs to meet to be guaranteed to be correctly identified by connection check.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
See darshan_07_0516.pdf for proposed remedy.	Adopt yseboodt_03_0516_pdsig.pdf
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.10 P 129 L 41 # 18 Darshan, Yair Microsemi	C/ 33         SC 33.3.4         P 131         L 9         # 251           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
Comment Type ER Comment Status X Title of figure 33-33 need to be 33-2 SuggestedRemedy Change fig number to 33-2	Comment Type TR Comment Status X "A Type 2 PD presents a non-valid detection signature when in a mark event state per Figure 33-32." SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Change to: "A Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PD"
	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 33 SC 33.3.3.11 P 130 L 3 # 38 Darshan, Yair Microsemi	C/ 33 SC 33.3.4 P 131 L 9 # 88
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Schindler, Fred Seen Simply, Broadco
To add dual sig PD state machine.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
SuggestedRemedy See proposal for dual-signature state machine in darshan_06_0516.pdf	Existing sentence, "A Type 2 PD presents a non-valid detection signature when in a mark event state per Figure 33–32." should apply to all PDs that respond to multievent classfication. Note that the reference figure is incorrect and on reference is missing.
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	SuggestedRemedy
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Replace the sentence with, "A Type 2, 3 and 4 PDs presents a non-valid detection signature when in a mark event state per Figure 33–31 and Figure 33-33."

C/ 33 SC 33.3.4	P 132	L <b>3</b>	# 89	C/ 33 SC 33.3.4	P <b>132</b>	L 12	# 90
Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply	, Broadco		Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply	, Broadco	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	-22 do not use the same style	as other tables.			of Table 33-21 so that Min and umbers within each cell.	d Max columns a	are wide enough to
Column Unit should a	33-26 be used as a guide to ad also be relocated to match sty hk the Editor for exception this	le. Provide edito	or with license to fill in	SuggestedRemedy See comment for the Proposed Response	e solution. Response Status <b>O</b>		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ 33 SC 33.3.5 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>133</b> Philips	L 22	# 149
C/ 33 SC 33.3.4 Schindler, Fred	P 132 Seen Simply	L <b>5</b> , Broadco	# 91	Comment Type E	Comment Status X ass 1 to 3 Type 3 PDs" is hard	to read	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			••	••		
Related to a commer Symbol (indirectly) as	nt marked COMMENT-1. Tabl		3-22 use Rdetect as a	SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Type 1 PDs and Ty	pe 3 Class 1 to 3 PDs"		
Related to a commer Symbol (indirectly) as SuggestedRemedy	nt marked COMMENT-1. Tabl	ditions.	3-22 use Rdetect as a	Change to:	be 3 Class 1 to 3 PDs" Response Status <b>0</b>		
Related to a commer Symbol (indirectly) as SuggestedRemedy Replace the Rdetect	nt marked COMMENT-1. Tabl s a reference for different conc	ditions.	3-22 use Rdetect as a	Change to: "Type 1 PDs and Ty	Response Status O	L 23	# [150
Related to a commer Symbol (indirectly) as SuggestedRemedy Replace the Rdetect Proposed Response	nt marked COMMENT-1. Tabl s a reference for different conc in Table 33-22 with Rdetect_in	ditions.	3-22 use Rdetect as a # [148	Change to: "Type 1 PDs and Ty Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.5. Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E	Response Status O 1 P 133 Philips Comment Status X		
Related to a commer Symbol (indirectly) as SuggestedRemedy Replace the Rdetect Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.4 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E	nt marked COMMENT-1. Tabl s a reference for different cond in Table 33-22 with Rdetect_in <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b> <i>P</i> <b>132</b>	ditions. nvlaid.		Change to: "Type 1 PDs and Ty Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.5. Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E "Type 2 PDs, Class DLL classification."	Response Status 0 P 133 Philips Comment Status X 4 to 6 Type 3 PDs, Type 4 PDs		
Related to a commer Symbol (indirectly) as SuggestedRemedy Replace the Rdetect Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.4 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Table 33-21, column SuggestedRemedy	nt marked COMMENT-1. Tabl s a reference for different cond in Table 33-22 with Rdetect_in <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>132</b> Philips <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>	ditions. nvlaid.		Change to: "Type 1 PDs and Ty Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.5. Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E "Type 2 PDs, Class	Response Status 0 P 133 Philips Comment Status X 4 to 6 Type 3 PDs, Type 4 PDs		
Related to a commer Symbol (indirectly) as SuggestedRemedy Replace the Rdetect Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.4 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E	nt marked COMMENT-1. Tabl s a reference for different cond in Table 33-22 with Rdetect_in <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>132</b> Philips <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>	ditions. nvlaid.		Change to: "Type 1 PDs and Ty, Proposed Response Cl 33 SC 33.3.5. Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E "Type 2 PDs, Class DLL classification." Better to mention Ty SuggestedRemedy	Response Status 0 P 133 Philips Comment Status X 4 to 6 Type 3 PDs, Type 4 PDs	s, and dual-signa	ature PDs shall provid

CI 33 SC 33.3.	5.1 <i>P</i> 133	L <b>41</b>	# 151	CI 33 SC 33.3.7	P 138	L <b>29</b>	# 234
seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
	nd Type 4 PDs operating with a			Table 33-28, item 8	and 9 say "single-signature PD	only" and "dual-	signature PD only"
Class 4 or higher, i	respond to Single-Event classific	cation with a Clas	is 4 signature."	SuggestedRemedy			
Class 4	signature == class signature `4`.			Remove the word 'c	only'.		
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
	nd Type 4 PDs operating with a respond to Single-Event classified						
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ 33 SC 33.3.7		L <b>4</b>	# 153
				Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
C/ 33 SC 33.3.	5.3 <i>P</i> 136	L <b>44</b>	# 152	Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
/seboodt, Lennart	P 130 Philips	L <b>44</b>	# 152		E - (R Chan x I Port-2P)"		
					nt size than the rest of equation.		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X VPort_PD min" in column "Addit	ional information	' had larger feat aize	SuggestedRemedy			
(2x)			nau larger iont size	Change to correct f			
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Change font size.							
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
CI 33 SC 33.3.		L 1	# 233				
'seboodt, Lennart	Philips						
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X						
Layer classification	of pse_power_level is 3. After a n has completed the pse_power_ nk Layer classification has comp	_level is set to eit	her 3, 4, 6, or 8. After a				
Obvious	ly impossible.						
SuggestedRemedy							
	nce to: successful Data Link Layer class s set to either 3, 4, 6 or 8."	ification has com	pleted, the				
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **140** Li **4** 

C/ 33         SC 33.3.7.2.1         P 140         L 36         # 11           Bennett, Ken         Sifos Technologies, In	C/ 33         SC 33.3.7.3         P 141         L 7         # 133           Stover, David         Linear Technology
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> Until recently, Pport_PD only existed in 33.3.7.2.1. Pport_PD and Pport_PD_2P are now symbols for the input average power in Table 33-28 and in 33.3.7.2. The definitions of the Pport_PD and Pport_PD_2P variables in Section 33.3.7.2.1 are in conflict with the average power variables in the PClass_PD specification. They use a static (fixed) Vport_PD_2P value which is incorrect; The PD input Voltage changes dynamically with power variations in the PD (due to channel resistance).	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       X         PD input inrush current requirements are inconsistent with other sections of the text.         SuggestedRemedy         See stover_02_0516.pdf         Proposed Response       Response Status       O
Section 33.3.7.2.1 also doesn't seem to make sense. It is a subsection of 33.3.7.2-Input Average Power, and is entitled:	C/ 33         SC 33.3.7.3         P 141         L 7         # 215           Yseboodt, Lennart         Philips
<ul> <li>"System Stability Test Conditions During Start-up and Steady State."</li> <li>The content states Pport_PD and Pport_PD_2P "shall be defined by", and that's it. There IS no test condition mentioned. Pport_PD isn't even used anywhere else in the existing (.at) standard.</li> <li>Section 33.3.7.2.1 should be deleted. Alternatively, different symbols should be used for average power in table 33-28.</li> </ul>	Comment Type       ER       Comment Status       X         The PD inrush section is particularly troublesome. How many times have we tweaked this text. It doesn't seem to improve.         SuggestedRemedy       Completely new text, adopt yseboodt_10_0516_pdinrush.pdf         Proposed Response       Response Status       O
SuggestedRemedy         Delete section 33.3.7.2.1.         OR         Change Pport_PD and Pport_PD_2P in table 33-28 to Pavg_PD and Pavg_PD_2P.         Proposed Response       Response Status         O	C/ 33       SC 33.3.7.3       P 141       L 8       # 68         Picard, Jean       Texas Instruments         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       X         PD inrush section needs to be cleaned up to remove contradicting sentences and make
Cl 33       SC 33.3.7.2.1       P 140       L 50       # 154         Yseboodt, Lennart       Philips         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         PPort_PD-2P in equation 33-24 font size is larger than e.g. equation 33-23.	the spec simpler and clearer. SuggestedRemedy See yseboodt_10_0516_pdinrush.pdf Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
SuggestedRemedy Change to correct font size. [Note to self: all Eqs must be medium-size].	
Proposed Response Response Status O	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **141** Li **8** 

X 33         SC 33.3.7.3         P 141         L 16           Darshan, Yair         Microsemi	# 30	Cl         33         SC         33.3.7.3         P 141         L 35         #         92           Schindler, Fred         Seen Simply, Broadco
Comment Type TR Comment Status X		Comment Type TR Comment Status X
Addressing comments # 179 and others related to this clause as ela D1.6: The following proposed modifications are addressing the following of 1.Does PDs that are internally limiting their inrush current are require within TInrush-2P min per Table 33-17? 2.How we prevent that PD internal load during linrush period is less setting value to ensure successful POWER_UP? 3.Adding a note that explains why the PD PI current is not equal to a during POWER UP.	uestions: ed to end Inrush period than Inrush current	Text previously corrected was changed back to the same undesirable form. It is incorrect to state that a thing has human properties, liking seeing. SuggestedRemedy Existing text: CPort in Table 33–28 is the total PD input capacitance during the POWER_UP and POWER_ON states that a PSE sees as load when operating one or both pairsets, when connected to a single-signature PD. CPort-2P in Table 33–28 is the PD input capacitance during the POWER_UP and POWER_ON states that a PSE sees as load on each pairset
4.Adding text that addresses the new 110uF value for dual-signature	e class 1-4.	independently, when connected to a dual-signature PD.
SuggestedRemedy See darshan_02_0516.pdf for proposed remedy. Proposed Response Response Status O		Corrected: A PSE is connected to CPort in Table 33–28 during POWER_UP and POWER_ON states when connected to a single-signature PD. A PSE is connected to CPort-2P in Table 33–28, on each pairset, during POWER_UP and POWER_ON states, when connected to dual-signature PD.
/ 33 SC 33.3.7.3 P 141 L 22 seboodt, Lennart Philips	# 155	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type     E     Comment Status     X       "T delay-2P for each pairset starts when V PD crosses the PD power voltage, V On_PD ."     V PD has smaller font size than V On_PD.       SuggestedRemedy     Change to correct font size       Proposed Response     Response Status	er supply turn on	Cl 33       SC 33.3.7.4       P 141       L 49       # 56         Johnson, Peter       Sifos Technologies       56         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         This commment is a recommendation to separate concepts of extended power to class 6 and class 8 PDs and associated requirements to meet *PSE* output power rather than *PD* input power requirements from other more general and more widely applicable PD requirements. We also need to better qualify the cases where Class 6 and Class 8 PDs are not subject to Pclass_PD and Ppeak_PD limits.
7 <b>33</b> SC <b>33.3.7.3</b> P <b>141</b> L <b>23</b> seboodt, Lennart Philips	# 156	Rationale is that extended power will be applicable only in specialized systems that are engineered to allow certain PD's to operate above Pclass_PD and interoperate with standard compliant PSE's.
Comment Type E Comment Status X "This delay is required so that the Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 PD do	es not enter".	SuggestedRemedy Create new sub-sections 33.7.2.1 and 33.3.7.4.1.
Use "or" instead of "and". uggestedRemedy "This delay is required so that the Type 2, Type 3 or Type 4 PD doe	s not enter".	Re-locate Class 6 / Class 8 extended power text, formulas, and current templates into those respective sections.
		I will separately provide a document (baseline text) showing what this would look like in johnson_01_0516_Extended_Pwr_baseline_v1.docx.
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	Pa <b>141</b>	Page 44 of 55
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	Li <b>49</b>	5/2/2016 10:57:58 AM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line		

Bennett, Ken	P 142 L 2 Sifos Technologies, In	# 8	Cl 33 SC 33.3.7. Bennett, Ken	4 P 142 Sifos Techn	L <b>27</b> ologies. In	# 10
Comment Type E Co	mment Status X		Comment Type ER	Comment Status X	0	
51	ction figure, but it appears within the Pp	peak_PD section		he RMS current in this section	n.	
SuggestedRemedy			The symbol "Inort" is	now used extensively in the	standard in wave	that are not consistent
Place the figure within the Inr	ush section			definition. (Including instanta		
Proposed Response Res	sponse Status <b>O</b>		The RMS Current de instances of Iport.	finition should be apparent in	the symbol to dis	tinguish it from other
C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.3 Schindler, Fred	P 142 L 2 Seen Simply, Broadco	# 93				
Comment Type TR Co	mment Status X		SuggestedRemedy			
	hing has human properties, liking seeir	ng.	In section 33.3.7.4,			
SuggestedRemedy			Change Iport to Iport	RMS and change Iportmax to	IportRMSmax	
Figure 33-27 text uses "PSE	sees". Replace with, "PSE load capac	citance is".	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response Res	sponse Status <b>O</b>			·		
	P142 L 22	# 12				
Bennett, Ken	Sifos Technologies, In					
51	mment Status X					
limit in equation 33-26 is base	is in the Peak Power section, "allows" a ed upon average power and a fixed vol It's not clear that the "Allowed" RMS c	ltage, which is				
	c_ac) superimposed on the DC current power is less than or equal to PClass_l class 8 PDs."					
"Ripple current content (IPort ALLOWED" if the total input p the PSE PI for Class 6 and C	power is less than or equal to PClass_l					
"Ripple current content (IPort ALLOWED" if the total input p the PSE PI for Class 6 and C	power is less than or equal to PClass_l class 8 PDs."					
"Ripple current content (IPort ALLOWED" if the total input p the PSE PI for Class 6 and C SuggestedRemedy Insert the quoted text as show Ripple current content (IPort_ allowed if "Ppeak_PD require	power is less than or equal to PClass_l class 8 PDs."	PD max, or PClass at evel (IPort_dc) is ver is less than or equal				

Pa **142** Li **27** 

behasion, Peter       Sifes Technologies       Yseboodt, Lennart       Philips         Comment Type       T       Comment Status X       This comment may be QBE by another comment in submitting for 33.3.7.4.         Certain phrases are written as if all Class 6 and Class 8 PDs will benefit from extended power. This is contradictory with 33.3.7.2 and needs to be corrected.       Yseboodt, Lennart       Philips         Carmon Type       E       Comment Status X       "NOTE-PDs are required to meet Equation (33-27)", Equatio						
Comment Type T Comment Status X         This comment may be OBE by another comment I'm submitting for 33.3.7.4.         Certain phrases are written as if all Class 6 and Class 8 Dbs will benefit from extended power. This is contradictory with 33.3.7.2 and needs to be corrected.         Examples:         Line 47         The maximum IPort value for all PDs in Class 6 or Class 8, over the operating VPort*         SuggestedRemedy         Revise I hese phrases.         Line 47         The maximum IPort value for all PDs in Class 6 or Class 8, over the operating VPort*         SuggestedRemedy         Revise I hese phrases.         Line 47         The maximum IPort value for Class 6 or Class 8, over the operating VPort*         SuggestedRemedy         Revise I hese phrases.         Line 47         The maximum IPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC         resistance, over the operating VPortPD-2P range*         2/ 33 SC 33.3.7.4       P143       L 6         2/ 33 SC 33.3.7.6       P145       L 23         2/ 33 SC 33.3.7.6       P145       L 23 <td< td=""><td>C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.4 P 142 L 35 # 57</td><td>Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.5 P 143 L 46 # 157</td></td<>	C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.4 P 142 L 35 # 57	Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.5 P 143 L 46 # 157				
This comment may be QBE by another comment I'm submitting for 33.3.7.4. Crotrain phrases are written as if all Class 6 and Class 8 PDs will benefit from extended power. This is contradictory with 33.3.7.2 and needs to be corrected. Examples: Line 35 "The maximum iPort value for all PDs except those in Class 6 or Class 8" Line 47 "The maximum iPort value for all PDs in Class 6 or Class 8" Line 47 "The maximum iPort value for all PDs in Class 6 or Class 8" Line 47 "The maximum iPort value for all PDs in class 6 or Class 8" Line 35 "The maximum iPort value for PDs that operate across all possible channels, over the operating VPort" SuggestedRemedy Revise these phrases. Line 47 "The maximum iPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range" Proposed Response Response Status 0 (3 3 SC 33.3.7.4 P143 L6 # 58 comment Type E Comment Status X The final sentence in this section is 'really' hard to comprehend: "These equations may builted in Class 5 PD spectively." SuggestedRemedy Make it class to understand: "These equations may builted in Class PD and PPeak, PD-2P from PClass, PD and PClass, PD and PPeak, PD-2P from PClass, PD and PCla	Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips				
Certain phrases are written as if all Class 6 and Class 8 PDs will benefit from extended power. This is contradictory with 33.3.7.2 and needs to be corrected. Examples: Line 35 The maximum iPort value for all PDs except those in Class 6 or Class 8* Line 47 The maximum iPort value for PDs that operate across all possible channels, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range* Line 47 The maximum iPort value for DS that operate across all possible channels, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range* Line 47 The maximum iPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range* Line 47 The maximum iPort value for IDs that operate across all possible channels, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range* Line 47 The maximum iPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range* Line 47 The maximum iPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range* Line 47 The maximum iPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range* Vacance of X3 SC 33.3.7.4 P143 L 6 # 58 Comment Type E Comment Status X The final sentence in this section is "really" hard to comprehend: "These equations may to used to calculate PPeak, PD or PPeak, PD-2P from PClass, PD and PClass, PD are PD and PClass, PD are PD and PClass, PD are polymiced na battained in the date inte. *	Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type E Comment Status X				
Line 35       The maximum IPort value for all PDs in Class 6 or Class 8"       Make font size consistent.         Line 47       The maximum IPort value for all PDs in Class 6 or Class 8"       Proposed Response       Response Status 0         ViggestedRemedy       Cl 33       SC 33.3.7.6       P 145       L 11       # [235]         ViggestedRemedy       Cl 33       SC 33.3.7.6       P 145       L 11       # [236]         ViggestedRemedy       Cl 33       SC 33.3.7.6       P 145       L 11       # [236]         ViggestedRemedy       Comment Status X       The PD transients section contains many duplicate requirement text blocks which can be merged and the differences capture in a Table.       We low Tables.         Line 47       The maximum IPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range"       The PD transients section contains many duplicate requirement text blocks which can be merged and the differences capture in a Table.         ViggestedRemoty       Stos Technologies       Stos Technologies       Cl 33       SC 33.3.7.6       P 145       L 23       # [158]         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status X       The final sentence in this section is really hard to comprehend:       * a single-signature Type 4 PD with peak power draw that does not exceed P Class PD max and has an input capacitanee of 360m or tess requires no special considerations with regards to transi	Certain phrases are written as if all Class 6 and Class 8 PDs will benefit from extended	and current than results from Figure 33-38, Figure 33-39, Equation (33-27), Equation (33- 28) and Equation (33-29) ."				
In box       The maximum IPort value for all PDs except those in Class 6 or Class 8"       In e 47         "The maximum IPort value for all PDs in Class 6 or Class 8, over the operating VPort"       Cl 33 SC 33.37.6 P 145 L 11 # [235         Uggested/Remedy       Revise these phrases.       Dine 47         "The maximum IPort value for PDs that operate across all possible channels, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range"       Cl 33 SC 33.37.6 P 145 L 11 # [235         Line 47       "The maximum IPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range"       Cl 33 SC 33.37.6 P 145 L 11 # [235         Proposed Response       Response Status 0       Cl 33 SC 33.37.6 P 145 L 23 # [158         Cl 33 SC 33.37.4       P 143 L 6 # [58]       [168]         Comment Type ER Comment Status X       The final sentence in this section is "really" hard to comprehend:       Proposed Response       Response Status 0         "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak, PD 2P for Data Link Layer classification and for Autoclass by substituting PClass_PD with PDMaxPowerValue and PAutoclass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD are pectively, or from PDMaxPowerValue attized in Autoclass."       "P Class PD has no underline between "P Class" and "PD".         Suggested/Remedy       Make it easier to understand:       "P Class PD as no underline between "P Class" and "PD".         Suggested/Remedy       Make it easier to understand:       "P Class PD as	Examples:					
Line 47 "The maximum IPort value for all PDs in Class 6 or Class 8, over the operating VPort" UggestedRemedy Revise these phrases. Line 37 "The maximum IPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range" Line 47 "The maximum IPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range" C1 33 SC 33.3.7.6 P145 L11 # [235] Comment Type T Comment Status X The PD transients section contains many duplicate requirement text blocks which can be merged and the differences captured in a Table. We love Tables. C1 SuggestedRemedy Adopt yeboodt_09_0516_pdtransient.pdf Proposed Response Status 0 C1 S3 SC 33.3.7.6 P145 L23 # [158] Comment Type E Comment Status X The final sentence in this section is "really hard to comprehend: "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD or PPeak_PD-2P for Data Link Layer classification and for Autoclass_PD with PDMaxPowerValue and PAutoclass_PD respectively." SuggestedRemedy Make it easier to understand: "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD or PDMaxPowerValue Link Layer classification, or from PAutoclass_PD utilized in Autoclass."		Make font size consistent.				
The maximum IPort value for all PDs in Class 6 or Class 8, over the operating VPort" SuggestedRemedy Revise these phrases. Line 35 "The maximum IPort value for PDs that operate across all possible channels, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range" Line 47 "The maximum IPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range" Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.6 P145 L 11 # [235] Comment Type T Comment Status X The PD transients section contains many duplicate requirement text blocks which can be merged and the differences captured in a Table. We love Tables. SuggestedRemedy Adopt yseboodt_09_0516_pdtransient.pdf Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.6 P145 L 23 # [158] Comment Type E Comment Status X The final sentence in this section is "really" hard to comprehend: "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD or PPeak_PD-2P from PClass_PD respectively.* SuggestedRemedy Make it easier to understand: "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD and PPeak_PD-2P from PClass_PD-2P respectively, or from PDMaxPowerValue utilized in Data Link Layer classification, or from PAutoclass.*	"The maximum IPort value for all PDs except those in Class 6 or Class 8"	Proposed Response Response Status O				
SuggestedRemedy       Philips         Revise these phrases.       Yseboodt, Lennart       Philips         Line 47       The maximum Port value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range*       Yseboodt, Lennart       Philips         27/33       SC 33.3.7.4       P 143       L 6       # 58         26/33       SC 33.3.7.4       P 143       L 6       # 58         27/33       SC 33.3.7.4       P 143       L 6       # 58         20/33       SC 33.3.7.4       P 143       L 6       # 58         20/33       SC 33.3.7.4       P 143       L 6       # 58         20/33       SC 33.3.7.4       P 143       L 6       # 58         20/33       SC 33.3.7.6       P 145       L 23       # 158         20/33       SC 33.3.7.6       P 145       L 23       # 158         20/33       SC 33.3.7.6       P 145       L 23       # 158         20/33       SC 33.3.7.6       P 145       L 23       # 158         20/34       Setoion in this section is "really" hard to comprehend:       * single-signature Type 4 PD with peak power draw that does not exceed P Class PD max and has an input capacitance of 360mF or less requires no special considerations with regards to transients at the PD PI.*						
Revise these phrases.       Philips         Line 35       "The maximum iPort value for PDs that operate across all possible channels, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range"       The maximum iPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range"       The PD transients section contains many duplicate requirement text blocks which can be merged and the differences captured in a Table.         Vie love Tables.       SuggestedRemedy         Adopt yseboodt_09_0516_pdtransient.pdf         Proposed Response       Response Status 0         C/ 33       SC 33.3.7.6       P 145       L 23       # [158]         Comment Type       ER       Comment Status X       Comment Status X         The final sentence in this section is "really" hard to comprehend:       "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD or PPeak_PD-2P for Data Link Layer classification and for Autoclass_PD with PDMaxPowerValue utilized in Data Link Layer classification, or from PAutoclass_PD utilized in Autoclass."       Note of the sectore of	SuggestedRemedy					
The maximum IPort value for PDs that operate across all possible channels, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range" The maximum IPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range" The maximum IPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range" The generating VPort_PD-2P range" The final sentence in this section is "really" hard to comprehend: "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD or PPeak_PD-2P for Data Link Layer classification and for Autoclass_PD and PClass_PD and PCla	Revise these phrases.					
operating VPort_PD-2P range*       Image: Additional and the differences captured in a Table.         Line 47       "The maximum IPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC         Proposed Response       Response Status         O       C/ 33       SC 33.3.7.4       P 143       L 6       # 58         C/ 33       SC 33.3.7.4       P 143       L 6       # 58         C/ mment Type       ER       Comment Status       X         The final sentence in this section is "really" hard to comprehend:       *These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD or PPeak_PD-2P for Data Link Layer classification and for Autoclass by substituting PClass_PD with PDMaxPowerValue and PAutoclass_PD respectively."       *These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD or PPeak_PD-2P from PClass_PD and PClass_PD utilized in Autoclass."       *       Proposed Response       Response Status       O						
Line 47 "The maximum IPort value for Class 6 or Class 8 PDs that are aware of actual channel DC resistance, over the operating VPort_PD-2P range" Proposed Response Response Status 0  Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.4 P 143 L 6 # 58 Comment Type ER Comment Status X The final sentence in this section is "really" hard to comprehend: "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD or PPeak_PD-2P for Data Link Layer classification and for Autoclass by substituting PClass_PD with PDMaxPowerValue and PAutoclass_PD respectively." SuggestedRemedy Make it easier to understand: "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD and PPeak_PD-2P from PClass_PD and PClass_PD-2P respectively, or from PDMaxPowerValue utilized in Data Link Layer classification, or from PAutoclass_PD utilized in Autoclass."		merged and the differences captured in a Table.				
Adopt ysebodt_09_0516_pdtransient.pdf Proposed Response Response Status 0 Adopt ysebodt_09_0516_pdtransient.pdf Proposed Response Status 0 Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.4 P 143 L 6 # 58 Comment Type ER Comment Status X The final sentence in this section is *really* hard to comprehend: "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD or PPeak_PD-2P for Data Link Layer classification and for Autoclass by substituting PClass_PD with PDMaxPowerValue and PAutoclass. PD respectively." SuggestedRemedy Make it easier to understand: "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD and PPeak_PD-2P from PClass_PD and PClass_PD-2P respectively, or from PDMaxPowerValue utilized in Data Link Layer classification, or from PAutoclass." Adopt ysebodt_09_0516_pdtransient.pdf Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.6 P 145 L 23 # 158 Comment Type E Comment Status X "A single-signature Type 4 PD with peak power draw that does not exceed P Class PD max and has an input capacitance of 360mF or less requires no special considerations with regards to transients at the PD PI." "Proposed Response Response Status 0 "Description"						
Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Cl 33       SC 33.3.7.4       P143       L 6       # 58         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         The final sentence in this section is *really* hard to comprehend:       ************************************						
Cl 33       SC 33.3.7.4       P143       L 6       # 58         Cl 33       SC 33.3.7.4       P143       L 6       # 58         Cl moment Type       ER       Comment Status       X         The final sentence in this section is *really* hard to comprehend:       "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD or PPeak_PD-2P for Data Link Layer classification and for Autoclass by substituting PClass_PD with PDMaxPowerValue and PAutoclass_PD respectively."       Cl 33       SC 33.3.7.6       P145       L 23       # 158         SuggestedRemedy       Make it easier to understand:       "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD and PPeak_PD-2P from PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PPeak_PD-2P from PClass_PD and PClass_PD utilized in Autoclass."       P2 Class PD has no underline between "P Class" and "PD".         SuggestedRemedy       Add underline.       Proposed Response       Response Status       O						
Nohnson, Peter Sifos Technologies   Nohnson, Peter Sifos Technologies   Yseboodt, Lennart Philips   Comment Type ER   Comment Type E   Comment Type F   Comment Type F <tr< td=""><td>roposed Response Response Status O</td><td>Proposed Response Response Status O</td></tr<>	roposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O				
Comment Type       ER       Comment Status X         The final sentence in this section is "really" hard to comprehend:       "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD or PPeak_PD-2P for Data Link Layer classification and for Autoclass by substituting PClass_PD with PDMaxPowerValue and PAutoclass_PD respectively."       Comment Type       E       Comment Status X         SuggestedRemedy       Make it easier to understand:       "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD and PPeak_PD-2P from PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass."       PClass PD respectively, or from PDMaxPowerValue utilized in Data Link Layer classification, or from PAutoclass_PD utilized in Autoclass."       Comment Type       E       Comment Status X						
The final sentence in this section is *really* hard to comprehend: "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD or PPeak_PD-2P for Data Link Layer classification and for Autoclass by substituting PClass_PD with PDMaxPowerValue and PAutoclass_PD respectively." SuggestedRemedy Make it easier to understand: "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD and PPeak_PD-2P from PClass_PD and PClass_PD-2P respectively, or from PDMaxPowerValue utilized in Data Link Layer classification, or from PAutoclass_PD utilized in Autoclass."	-					
<ul> <li>"These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD or PPeak_PD-2P for Data Link Layer classification and for Autoclass by substituting PClass_PD with PDMaxPowerValue and PAutoclass_PD respectively."</li> <li>"SuggestedRemedy Make it easier to understand: "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD and PPeak_PD-2P from PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD utilized in Autoclass."</li> <li>"Inthese equations, or from PAutoclass_PD utilized in Autoclass."</li> </ul>						
and PAutoclass_PD respectively." "P Class PD" has no underline between "P Class" and "PD".  SuggestedRemedy Make it easier to understand: "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD and PPeak_PD-2P from PClass_PD and PClass_PD-2P respectively, or from PDMaxPowerValue utilized in Data Link Layer classification, or from PAutoclass_PD utilized in Autoclass." "P Class PD" has no underline between "P Class" and "PD". SuggestedRemedy Add underline. Proposed Response Response Status O	"These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD or PPeak_PD-2P for Data Link	max and has an input capacitance of 360mF or less requires no special considerations				
Make it easier to understand:       Add underline.         "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD and PPeak_PD-2P from PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD utilized in Autoclass."       Proposed Response       Response Status       O		"P Class PD" has no underline between "P Class" and "PD"				
Make it easier to understand:       Add underline.         "These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD and PPeak_PD-2P from       Proposed Response       Response Status       O         PClass_PD and PClass_PD-2P respectively, or from PDMaxPowerValue utilized in Data       Link Layer classification, or from PAutoclass_PD utilized in Autoclass."       Proposed Response       Response Status       O						
"These equations may be used to calculate PPeak_PD and PPeak_PD-2P from PClass_PD and PClass_PD and PClass_PD-2P respectively, or from PDMaxPowerValue utilized in Data Link Layer classification, or from PAutoclass_PD utilized in Autoclass."						
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	PClass_PD and PClass_PD-2P respectively, or from PDMaxPowerValue utilized in Data	Proposed Response Response Status O				
	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general				
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn			
SORT ORDER: Page, Line				

Page 46 d	of 55
5/2/2016	10:57:58 AM

Pa **145** Li **23** 

CI 33 SC 33.3.7.	.6 <i>P</i> 145	L 25	# 31	C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.6	P 145	L <b>40</b>	# 95
Darshan, Yair	Microsemi			Schindler, Fred	Seen Simply,	Broadco	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
We need to address value from 180uF to	the fact that we change dual-si	ignature class 1-	4 PD capacitance	Related to a comment	marked COMMENT-1.		
	TIUUF			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy	dy in darshan_03_0516.pdf						
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
rioposed Response	Response Status 0						
C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.	.6 <i>P</i> 145	L <b>30</b>	# 24				
Darshan, Yair	Microsemi						
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X						
	in D1.6 according to approved r ed in the following text:	emedy DARSHA	N_06_0316.PDF the				
	ut current shall not exceed the I						
38) after i Liivi min (s	see Table 33-17 for a Type TP3	SE) when the fol	lowing"				
,	see Table 33-17 for a Type 1 P	SE) when the fol	lowing"				
SuggestedRemedy Change to: 1. "A Type 1 PD inpu 33–38) after TLIM m	ut current shall not exceed the F nin (see Table 33–17 for a Type oh to the next paragraph starting	PD upperbound t 1 PSE) when th	emplate (see Figure e following"				
SuggestedRemedy Change to: 1. "A Type 1 PD inpu 33–38) after TLIM m 2. Align the paragrap Type 3 PD"	ut current shall not exceed the F iin (see Table 33–17 for a Type	PD upperbound t 1 PSE) when th	emplate (see Figure e following"				
SuggestedRemedy Change to: 1. "A Type 1 PD inpu 33–38) after TLIM m 2. Align the paragrag Type 3 PD" Proposed Response	ut current shall not exceed the F nin (see Table 33–17 for a Type oh to the next paragraph starting <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	PD upperbound t 1 PSE) when th g with "A Type 2	emplate (see Figure e following" or single-signature				
SuggestedRemedy Change to: 1. "A Type 1 PD inpu 33–38) after TLIM m 2. Align the paragrap Type 3 PD" Proposed Response	ut current shall not exceed the F hin (see Table 33–17 for a Type oh to the next paragraph starting <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> 6 <i>P</i> 145	PD upperbound t 1 PSE) when th	emplate (see Figure e following"				
SuggestedRemedy Change to: 1. "A Type 1 PD inpu 33–38) after TLIM m 2. Align the paragrap Type 3 PD" Proposed Response	ut current shall not exceed the F in (see Table 33–17 for a Type ph to the next paragraph starting <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>6</b> <i>P</i> <b>145</b> Philips	PD upperbound t 1 PSE) when th g with "A Type 2	emplate (see Figure e following" or single-signature				
SuggestedRemedy Change to: 1. "A Type 1 PD inpu 33–38) after TLIM m 2. Align the paragrap Type 3 PD" Proposed Response CI 33 SC 33.3.7. Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E	ut current shall not exceed the F hin (see Table 33–17 for a Type oh to the next paragraph starting <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> .6 <i>P</i> 145 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>	PD upperbound t 1 PSE) when th g with "A Type 2 <i>L</i> <b>31</b>	emplate (see Figure e following" or single-signature # 159				
SuggestedRemedy Change to: 1. "A Type 1 PD inpu 33–38) after TLIM m 2. Align the paragrap Type 3 PD" Proposed Response CI 33 SC 33.3.7. (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E "A Type 1 PD input of	ut current shall not exceed the F nin (see Table 33–17 for a Type oh to the next paragraph starting <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>.6</b> <i>P</i> <b>145</b> Philips <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> current shall not exceed the PD (see Table 33-17 for a Type 1 P	PD upperbound to 1 PSE) when th g with "A Type 2	emplate (see Figure e following" or single-signature # 159				
SuggestedRemedy Change to: 1. "A Type 1 PD inpu 33–38) after TLIM m 2. Align the paragrap Type 3 PD" Proposed Response C/ 33 SC 33.3.7. (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E "A Type 1 PD input of 38) after T LIM min ( applied." "T LIM" does not exit	ut current shall not exceed the F nin (see Table 33–17 for a Type oh to the next paragraph starting <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>.6</b> <i>P</i> <b>145</b> Philips <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> current shall not exceed the PD (see Table 33-17 for a Type 1 P	PD upperbound to 1 PSE) when th g with "A Type 2	emplate (see Figure e following" or single-signature # 159				
SuggestedRemedy Change to: 1. "A Type 1 PD inpu 33–38) after TLIM m 2. Align the paragray Type 3 PD" Proposed Response C/ 33 SC 33.3.7. Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E "A Type 1 PD input of 38) after T LIM min ( applied."	ut current shall not exceed the F hin (see Table 33–17 for a Type oh to the next paragraph starting <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>.6</b> <i>P</i> <b>145</b> Philips <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> current shall not exceed the PD (see Table 33-17 for a Type 1 P st anymore.	PD upperbound to 1 PSE) when th g with "A Type 2	emplate (see Figure e following" or single-signature # 159				

Pa **145** Li **40** 

CI 33	SC 33.3.7.6	P 145	L <b>42</b>	# 94
Schindle	r, Fred	Seen Simply, I	Broadco	

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Presentation, schindler\_1\_0915, provides an over view of this section and the details used to add new Types to this section. This section was created to prevent a PSE disconnecting a PD by providing requirements for PDs being subject to PSE transients. Legacy devices used associated Type with a class, and the PSE Type determined ILIM and TLIM limits that the PD need to remain below. New Types support legacy classes using different ILIM and TLIM values. It would be better to base operational requirements of ILIM and TLIM based on assigned PD class.

However, since D1.2, when the requirements we first created, the values of ILIM have changed. Type-3 ILIM moved down from 817 mA to 702 mA. Type-4 moved down from 1.162 A to 0.990 A. A rerun of the SPICE simulation for the Type-3 Extended PD using a 2,250V ramp shows the time to reach a point where the system current is below its limit has increased from 3.5 ms to 8 ms, which is acceptable. A rerun of the SPICE simulation for the Type-4 PD using a 2,250V ramp shows the time to reach a point where the system current is below its limit has increased from 3.5 ms to 8 ms, which is acceptable. A rerun of the SPICE simulation for the Type-4 PD using a 2,250V ramp shows the time to reach a point where the system current is below its limit has increased from 1.7 ms to 5.7 ms, which is acceptable. A rerun of the SPICE simulation for the Type-4 Extended PD using a 2,250V ramp shows the time to reach a point where the system current is below its limit has increased from 4.1 ms to a value that exceeds significantly TLIM, which is NOT acceptable.

### SuggestedRemedy

Replace text on line 42 on page 145, line 1 on page 146, line 12 on page 146, line 24 on page 146, and line 36 on lpage 146. "The PD shall not exceed the PD upperbound template beyond TLIM-2P min under worst-case current draw under the following conditions.", with

"The PD shall not exceed the PD upperbound template beyond TLIM-2P min and under worst-case current draw for the assigned PD class under the following conditions."

TFT discuss how to deal with the problem with Type-4 Extended power compliance. This could be called out as a concern that these PDs need to deal with by lowering PD bulk capacitance (~240uF appears to work). Recommend that the following sentence be added on page 145 line 24 before the sentence that starts with "A dual-signature..." with, "Type-4 single-signature PDs that consume more than class-8 PClass\_PD, see 33.3.7.2, shall meet these requirements for the PD bulk capacitance utilized.

Delete the Editor's note at the start of this section.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 33	SC 33.3.7.9	P 147	L 16	# 160
Yseboodt	, Lennart	Philips		

#### Comment Type E Comment Status X

"When V Port\_PD -2P max is applied across the PI at either polarity specified on the conductors for Mode A according to Table 33-19, the voltage measured across the PI for Mode B with a 100 kOhm load resistor connected shall not exceed V bfd max as specified in Table 33-28. When V Port\_PD-2P max is applied across the PI at either polarity specified on the conductors for Mode B according to Table 33-19, the voltage measured across the PI for Mode A with a 100 kohm load resistor connected shall not exceed V bfd max."

These two lines can be merged.

### SuggestedRemedy

"When V Port\_PD -2P max is applied across the PI at either polarity specified on the conductors of either Mode A or Mode B according to Table 33-19, the voltage measured across the PI for the other Mode with a 100 kOhm load resistor connected shall not exceed V bfd max as specified in Table 33-28."

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

C/ 33	SC 33.3.7.10	) P 147	L 25	# 161
Yseboodt, Ler	inart	Philips		
Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status X		
Section tit	le "33 3 7 10	PD PI pair-to-pair resistance	and current unb	alance"

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **147** Li **25** 

CI 33 SC 33.3.7.1	0 P 147	L <b>26</b>	# 13	CI 33	SC 33.3.8	P 148	L 41	# 164
Bennett, Ken	Sifos Techno	logies, In		Yseboodt, Ler	inart	Philips		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Typ	e E	Comment Status X		
requirements must be within the ranges mer	ohs are ambiguous. It's not cle e met for a single set of RSour ntioned, or if ICon_2P_unb, IC	ce and Vport_PS	SE values that fall	reduce T	MPS_PD in c	Ds that detect a long first class order to draw a lower standby		ange of T LCE_PD ma
Rsource and Vport_P	SE_2P ranges.				Does not say	y where to find T LCE_PD.		
The requirements for	ICon apply to the full Rsource	and Vport range	es, which correspond to	SuggestedRe				
	SE and Channel characteristi any length for extended power		I lcon_unb at short or	"Type 3 a defined in power."	nd Type 4 PE Table 33-26	Ds that detect a long first class , may reduce T MPS_PD in o	s event in the ra rder to draw a lo	ange of T LCE_PD, as ower standby MPS
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Res	nonco	Doononoo Statua		
See bennett_1_0516.	pdf			Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O							
				C/ 33	SC 33.3.8	P 149	L <b>29</b>	# 252
C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.1	0 <i>P</i> 148	L 1	# 162	Yseboodt, Ler	inart	Philips		
/seboodt, Lennart	Philips		102	Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type <b>E</b> Figure 33-40 has uncl SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X lear title			the maxim resistance	um allowed RCh). Such	be able to meet the IPort_MP port voltage droop (VPort_PS a PD should increase its IPo ver Signature."	E max to VPort	_PSE min with series
,	to-pair current unbalance test	setup"			We also nee	ed to mention IPort-MPS-2P fo	or dual-signatur	e PDs.
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRei	nedy			
, ,	•••••					be able to meet the IPort_MP		
	D / / 0	1.00	"			e maximum allowed port voltageries resistance RCh). Such a		
C/ 33 SC 33.3.8 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>148</b> Philips	L <b>26</b>	# 163			sions to meet the Maintain Po		
	·			Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status 0		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X naintain the MPS components	montioned abov	a may have its nower					
	nits of T MPDO as specified ir		e may have its power					
"mentioned above" is	a historic positional reference	that no longer n	nakes sense.					
SuggestedRemedy		C C						
Remove "mentioned a	above".							
Change to:								
	naintain the MPS components specified in Table 33-17."	may have its po	wer removed within the					
	•							
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **149** Li **29**  Page 49 of 55 5/2/2016 10:57:58 AM

33 SC 33.4	1.1.2	P 151	L 11	# 5		C/ 33	SC	33.4.2		P 151	L 28	# 96	
eia, Christian		STMicroelectr	ronics			Schindler,	Fred			Seen Simply	, Broadco		
comment Type TF	Comm	nent Status X				Comment	Туре	TR	Comment S	tatus X			
operation have to	switch the mo	OS PDs with a comm re negative conduct	or at least. This						comments 272				
	PSEs, but no	t for Environment B									PSE is subjected ain similar require		
uggestedRemedy						that th	ey cont	tinue oper	rating after a lin	k segment co	anductor open fau	It has been rer	noved.
Add after the seco	nd paragraph	of 33.4.1.1.2 the fol	llowing sentence	e:		Suggestea	-		U U	0			
		ports 4-pair power s	shall switch the	more negative		Add th	e follov	ving text b	pefore the third	paragraph of	the called out see	ction.	
oposed Response									Ds shall withstar mage when pow		re conductor oper PSE."	n failures withi	n the
						Proposed	Respor	nse	Response St	tatus <b>O</b>			
33 SC 33.4	2	P 151	L <b>26</b>	# 253	3								
eboodt, Lennart		Philips				C/ 33	50	33.4.9.1.	5	P 161	L 26	# 236	
omment Type TF	Comm	nent Status X				Yseboodt,				Philips	L 20	# 230	
		hout damage the ap				Comment		т	Comment S	•			
		or an indefinite perio I not exceed I LIM n						are new					
No longer correct	for the new Ty	vpes.									e propagation dela		
iggestedRemedy								device s	hall not exceed	2.5 ns from 1	1 MHz to the high	est referenced	
Replace second s	entence by:					freque	ncy.						
	I LIM-2P max 0.85A for Typ			e 1 and Type	2 PSEs	the Mid freque	dspan				s "The propagatio rom 1 MHz to the		
oposed Response	Respo	nse Status <b>O</b>				should	The I say so	e requiren	nent is the same on skew ?	e, with differe	ent value, and it se	ems that 33.4	.9.1.6
						Suggestea	Reme	dy					
						TFTD							
							ls t	his correc	rt?				
						Dueneed	<b>D</b>						

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

Pa **161** Li **26** 

Cl 33 SC 33.4.9.2 Darshan, Yair	P <b>162</b> Microsemi	L <b>30</b>	# 20	C/ 33         SC 33.6.3.2         P 170         L 33         # 134           Tremblay, David         Hewlett Packard Enter
Comment Type ER The Editor Note is not	Comment Status X required anymore. All the nec	essary parame	ters were defined.	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Inconsistent spelling of PD_DLLMAX_VALUE on line 170:
SuggestedRemedy Delete Editor Note.				Variables PD_DLL_MAX_VALUE, PD_INITIAL_VALUE, and PSE_INITIAL_VALUE, are quantized to fit the available resolution.
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy Change PD_DLL_MAX_VALUE to PD_DLLMAX_VALUE
C/ 33 SC 33.6.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	P <b>169</b> Philips	L <b>6</b>	# 165	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type E "Type 2, 3, and 4 PSEs	Comment Status X s shall send an LLDPDU cont	aining"		C/ 33         SC 33.6.3.3         P 172         L 35         # 97           Schindler, Fred         Seen Simply, Broadco         Fred         Seen Simply, Broadco
PSEs contai SuggestedRemedy Remove underline.	ins underline.			Comment Type ER Comment Status X Editor's notes use comment number references without reference to which draft was commented on.
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy From now on, please reference using style D1.6 #48, where this example references Dra 1.6 comment #48.
C/ 33 SC 33.6.3.2 /seboodt, Lennart	P <b>169</b> Philips	L <b>44</b>	# 166	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type E LLDP can support exte	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ended power in a better way.			
SuggestedRemedy Adopt yseboodt_01_05	516_lldpext.pdf			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			

Pa **172** Li **35** 

<b>33</b> SC <b>33.6.3.5</b> P <b>175</b> L <b>9</b> # <u>98</u>	C/ 33 SC 33.6.4.1 P 176 L 44 # 100
hindler, Fred Seen Simply, Broadco	Schindler, Fred Seen Simply, Broadco
omment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
The San Antonio 2014 meeting presentation, Mutual_ID_PD_updated, change variable	It is incorrect to state that a thing has human properties, liking seeing.
pse_dll_power_type to pse_dll_power_level and added variable pse_power_level for Type 3 and 4 state diagrams. This was probably done because Type no longer indicates the	SuggestedRemedy
power being provided.	Existing text:
Unfortunately, this change:	If the PD sees a change to the previously stored MirroredPSEAllocatedPowerValue or local system change is asserted by the PD so as to change its power allocation, it enters
1. Broke legacy DLL power control.	the PD POWER REVIEW state.
2. Broke DLL classification for new Types.	Corrected:
LLDP and the SD on p175 work together to provide LLDP field values. To reported PSE	If the PD previously stored MirroredPSEAllocatedPowerValue is changed or
Type and not class, we need access to variable that reports Type.	local_system_change is asserted by the PD so as to change its power allocation, it enter the PD POWER REVIEW state.
lggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
This comment may be covered in schindler_3bt_01_05_16.	
oposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	
	Cl 79 SC 79.3.2 P 203 L 27 # 101
<b>33</b> SC <b>33.6.4.1</b> P <b>176</b> L <b>31</b> # 99	Schindler, Fred Seen Simply, Broadco
hindler, Fred Seen Simply, Broadco	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
omment Type TR Comment Status X	Accepted draft 1.4 comments broke extended power operation using LLDP and DLL. An ad hoc meeting reviewed these concerns during D1.5 review cycle and a very busy perso
It is incorrect to state that a thing has human properties, liking seeing.	was not able to complete a solution for the D1.6 review cycle.
lggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Existing text: If the PSE sees a change to the previously stored MirroredPDRequestedPowerValue, it	A solution should appear in schindler_3bt_02_05_16 or other related presentation for this review cycle.
recognizes a request by the PD to change its power allocation.	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
Corrected: If the PSE previously stored MirroredPDRequestedPowerValue changes, a request by the PD to change its power allocation is recognizes.	
oposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	

Pa **203** Li **27**  C/ 79 SC 79.3.2 P 203 L 29 # 167 C/ 79 SC 79.3.2.6 P 206 L 49 # 170 Yseboodt, Lennart Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Philips Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X "These entities allow devices to draw/supply power over the sample generic cabling as The Editing instruction is missing the word 'Insert'. used for data transmission." (At one point something removed all the words "insert" from the draft it seems). SuggestedRemedy 'sample' should be 'same' ? Add 'Insert' before 'sections'. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 "These entities allow devices to draw/supply power over the same generic cabling as used for data transmission." Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 79 SC 79.3.2.6a.2 P 207 L 37 # 237 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips SC 79.3.2 C/ 79 P 203 / 36 # 168 Comment Type T Comment Status X Yseboodt, Lennart Philips The PSE power class field is described as: "The power class field shall contain an integer value for PSE Classes defined by Comment Type E Comment Status X 33.2.6. A TLV generated by a PD shall set the field to 0000." Figure 79-3 uses a different font than 79-2. This doesn't say if it should be assigned or requested Class. Assigned Class SuggestedRemedy seems logical. Change font and drawing style to match 79-2. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status **O** - Remove the underline and strikethrough - Change to read: "The power class field shall contain an integer value for the assigned Class by C/ 79 SC 79.3.2 P 203 L 53 the PSE as defined in 33.2.6. A TLV generated by a PD shall have the field set to 0000." # 169 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type E Comment Status X The second paragraph of 79.3.2 explains that Figure 79-3 is a revision of the original TLV defined in 802.1AG-2009 Annex F.3. We have now further revised this TLV with new capabilities. SuggestedRemedy Add the following after page 204. line 7: "The TLV in Figure 79-3 has been further revised to support additional capabilities offered by Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs and PDs as defined in Clause 33. Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs and PDs may use these additional fields." Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Page, Line

Pa **207** Li **37** 

C/ 79 SC 79.3.2.6b	.3 <i>P</i> 208	L <b>31</b>	# 238	CI 33	SC Annex B	P <b>232</b>	L <b>28</b>	# 21
seboodt, Lennart	Philips			Darshan, Ya	air	Microsemi		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	ype T	Comment Status X		
evolutions we made in a	tion 79.3.2.6b.3 the "PD PI" defining single and dual sign r be repurposed to make LL	ature PDs, this	bit no longer serves any			P_unb in step 6 and 7 confirm specification."	ns PSE RPSE_r	nax and RPSE_min are
uggestedRemedy				replace	"PSE" with "the	at"		
- Rename "PD PI" to "P	D Mode selection"			SuggestedR	Remedy			
"1 = PD requested pow	2 in Table 79-6b to read: er applies to Mode A pairset er applies to Mode B pairset					P_unb in step 6 and 7 confirn specification."	ns that RPSE_m	ax and RPSE_min are
	6b.3 to read: according to Table 79-6b to s the power type is PD. This f			Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			CI 33	SC 33B	P <b>232</b>	L <b>34</b>	# 254
				Yseboodt, L	ennart	Philips		
				Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status X		
C/79         SC 79.3.7.1           'seboodt, Lennart	P <b>211</b> Philips	L <b>23</b>	# 171			nd Equation (33-13) are spec 1 O to 12.5 O and worst case		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			ICon-2P	-unb is a minir	num.		
In Table 79-6f on PD m	easurements, Item 92:91 it i	efers to "Pairse	et Alternative A" and "B".	SuggestedR	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy Since this is the PD, it s	should be "Pairset Mode A" a	and likewise for	В.			ation (33-13) are specified fo to 12.5 O and worst case unb		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O		
C/ 33 SC 33.3.7	P 231	L <b>52</b>	# 216					
seboodt, Lennart	Philips							
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X	SE min which	provide adequate					
verification to Equation	(33-13) or control ICon-2P-u and as such are left to the de	inb value are de						
PARSE_ERF	ROR.							
SuggestedRemedy I don`t know where to b	egin. What does this mean '	?						
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>							

 Pa
 232
 Pag

 Li
 34
 5/2

C/ 33 S	SC 33B	P 232	L 36	# 172
Yseboodt, Len	nart	Philips		
Comment Type	e E	Comment Status X		

"When the PSE is tested for channel common mode resistance less than 0.1 O, i.e.  $0 < R ch_x < 0.1 O$ , the PSE shall be tested with (R load\_min - R ch\_x) and (R load\_max - R ch\_x) to meet I Con-2P-unb requirements and R PSE\_min and R PSE\_max conformance to Equation (33-13)."

Rch is the maximum channel resistance. Rchan is the actual channel resistance. Rch\_x is simply confusing.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Replace Rch\_x by Rchan.

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

Pa **232** Li **36**