C/ 33 SC 33.8.2.1 P 134 L 20 # 1 C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.3 P 55 L 52 # 3 Jones, Chad Jones, Chad Cisco Cisco Comment Type E Comment Status A **F**ditorial Comment Type Е Comment Status A **F**ditiorial "Contact point for enquiries about the PICS" - an approved maintenance comment There were complaints about this text in Manchester, trying to make it better: "In the changes enquiries to inquiries presence of an offset voltage up to Vos max and an offset current up to los max as specified in Table 33–5, a PSE shall accept as a valid PD detection signature a pair set SuggestedRemedy within a link section with both of the following characteristics: change enquiries to inquiries a) Signature resistance Roood, and b) Parallel signature capacitance Cgood." Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedv ACCEPT. note to comment editor: this is NOT an 'easy' bucket comment. ΕZ A pair set within a link section with the following characteristics: a) Signature resistance Rgood C/ 79 SC 79.5.2.1 P 172 L 20 # 2 b) Parallel signature capacitance Coood c) in the presence of an offset voltage up to Vos max, as specified in Table 33-5 Jones, Chad Cisco d) in the presence of an offset current up to los max, as specified in Table 33-5 Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial shall be accepted as a valid PD detection signature by a PSE. "Contact point for enquiries about the PICS" - an approved maintenance comment Response Response Status C changes enquiries to inquiries ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SugaestedRemedv Replace sentence with: change enquiries to inquiries Response Response Status C "A pairset with all of the characteristics specified in Table 33-5 shall be accepted as a valid PD detection signature by a PSE." ACCEPT. ΕZ Remove "tolerance" from items 3 and 4 in Table 33-5.

C/ 33	S	C 33.	1.4		P 22	Le	6	# 4		C/ 33	SC	33.3.1	P	80	L 4	7	#
Jones, Ch	ad			(Cisco					Jones, Ch	ad		Ciso	00			
Comment	Туре	, т		Comment St	atus X				Cabling	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Statu	s X			
Mainte TECH			quest #	1271, on beha	If of GEO	FF THOMPS	SON, GR	ACASI S.A./LI	NEAR	Mainte	enance	Request	#1274 on behalf of	Georg	e Zimmerma	in, CME	Consultin
										Text i	n the ex	tisting star	ndard is ambiguous	and is	s inconsisten	it with te	rminations

Move as much of the cabling specification to cabling documents as possible. (This RR was entered as a tracking mechanism for Thompson Comment #59 against P802.3REVbx/D2.0 during initial WG ballot. Resolution of this comment was given over to P802.3bt as they will have CI 33 open.)

SuggestedRemedy

See attached sheet for proposed new text.

(http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/maint 1271.pdf. page 2)

A number of these changes have already been adopted. The two remaining changes are: Replacing the first sentence in 33.1.4 with:

"A power system, consists of a single PSE, a single PD and the link section connecting them. A power system is

characterized as Type 1 or Type 2 by lowest type number of the PSE or PD in the system, see Table 33-1 "

and replacing the first paragraph of 33.1.4.1 with (as well as changing the title of the subclause to "Cabling requirements"):

"The supply of power over the data connection is intended to operate with no additional requirements to the cabling that is

normally installed for data usage. This is approximately true but may require some further attention. Power at Type 1

power levels may be transmitted over all specified premises cabling without further restrictions. Higher power levels may

require heavier gauge conductors than are found in Class C/Category 3 cabling and (more uncommonly) in some lighter

gauge Class D or better cable. The requirements for Type 2 are met by Category 5 or better cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A."

Proposed Response Response Status W

Waiting for Yair to review.

Yair to review by September, or these changes will be accepted.

To be held open.

Pres: PD PI tina/LTC

ons and usage commonly found in Ethernet equipment. The intent is to require PDs to be able to withstand application of common-mode PoE voltage. Application of 57V DC voltages in across the pins corresponding to the two pairs twisted differentially to form a balanced pair of the link segment would run a DC current across the transformer windings commonly found in BASE-T Ethernet equipment and burn them out.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: The PD shall withstand any voltage from 0 V to 57 V at the PI indefinitely without permanent damage.

To: The PD shall withstand any common-mode voltage from 0 V to 57 V applied to any two sets of two pins at the PI indefinitely without permanent damage. The two pins in each set shall correspond to the balanced twisted wire pairs of the connected link segment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

Waiting for Presentation

See comment 189, 145

							_		
C/ 33	SC 33.2.7	P 66	L 52	# 6	C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.6	P 41	L 23	# 7
Abramso	on, David	Texas Instrur	nents		Abramsor	n, David	Texas Instru	ments	
Commen	nt Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		PSE SD
This	comment applies	s to Table 33-11, item 4.				comment applies to onnection_check f	to the "invalid" entry for the v function.	variable "PD_Sig	nature" in the
Class	s 0-4 PDs have n	not correct for Type 3/4 PSEs no unbalance requirement and presented in item 4.			an op	en circuit on one	its definition are misleading pairset and something pluge		
Suggeste	edRemedy				return	"Dual".			
remo mode	•	' from middle row of item 4 so	that it applies to	both 2-pair and 4-pair			ection check does not do de r open) should be made her		
Add	"Class 5-8 only.	See 33.2.7.4." to additional in	formation row fo	or bottom row of item 4.	Suggeste	dRemedy			
Respons		Response Status C					n for PD_Signature varaible to Signature_Type.		
ACC	EPT IN PRINCIP	LE.			Response)	Response Status C		
Rem	nove "4-pair mode	e" from bottom row.			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.		
Dele	ete top row.				Delete	e Invalid.			
Make	e middle row (new	w top row) "All" Types.				ge the definition o ted on both pairse	of Open_Circuit to: Open_C	ircuit: An open c	ircuit has been
This	OBEs comment	112.			uelec		510.		
					Chan	ge name of variab	ole to "Signature_Type".		

CI 33 SC 33.2.5 P 52 L 45 # 8	CI 33 SC 0 PO LO # 10
Abramson, David Texas Instruments	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Comment Type TR Comment Status A PSE Power	Comment Type E Comment Status A Editor
The line:	There are still lingering occurences or "pair to pair" or other variants which need changing to "pair-to-pair".
"In any operational state, the PSE shall not apply operating power to a pair set until the PSE has successfully detected a valid signature over that pair set."	SuggestedRemedy Replace on
forbids turning a pairset off and back on in order to check disconnect. This behavior has consensus as something we want to allow.	- page 100, line 50 - page 101, line 5 - page 105, line 12
SuggestedRemedy	
As this is a new topic, I would like to prepare a presentation for September.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
For now, add:	EZ
"Editor's note (to be removed before D2.0): This sentence needs to be addressed as it forbids turning off and on a single pairset when connected to a SS class 0-4 PD."	C/ 33 SC 33.1.1 P 20 L 5 # 11
Response Response Status C	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
ACCEPT.	Comment Type E Comment Status A Edito
Cl 33 SC 33.3.8 P 102 L 36 # 9 Bennett, Ken Sifos Technologies, In	"Type 1 operation adds no significant requirements to the cabling. Type 2 operation requires ISO/IEC 11801:1995 Class D or better cabling, and Type 3
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Pres: MPS	operation requires ISO/IEC 11801:2002 Class D or better cabling, and a derating of the cabling
Item 1 in table 33-19, PD Maintain Power Signature, specifies an input resistance of 26.3k-	maximum ambient operating temperature."
Ohm max. The new DC MPS could enable average DC currents as low as 250uA, however the resistance requirement of 26.3k max. requires average currents on the scale	It is not clear if the derating refers to both Type 2 and Type 3, or only to Type 3.
of 2mA.	SuggestedRemedy
The 26.3k resistance requirement should be removed for Type 3 and 4 PD's so that the efficiency provided by the new DC MPS rules can be fully realized.	"Type 1 operation adds no significant requirements to the cabling. Type 2 operation requires ISO/IEC 11801:1995 Class D or better cabling, and Type 3
SuggestedRemedy	operation requires ISO/IEC 11801:2002 Class D or better cabling, both require a derating
In the additional information of item 1 table 33-19, add the following: Type 1 and Type 2 Only	of the cabling maximum ambient operating temperature."
Proposed Response Response Status W	Response Response Status C
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Waiting for presentation.	OBE by comment # 159.
5 · ·	

C/ 33 SC 33.1.4	P 22	L 17	# 12	C/ 33 SC 33.2.4		L 5	# 15
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips		
Comment Type E Table 33-1 caption"S parameters Vs Syste Inconsistent capitaliz		System Type" "Sy	Editorial vstem Power	SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A become very long and narrow.		Editoria
SuggestedRemedy "System power parar	meters vs system Type"			Table can be comp yseboodt_Table_33 <i>Response</i>	acted now that DLL permutatior 3.pdf Response Status C	ns are out. See	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT.			
EZ				C/ 33 SC 33.2.4 Yseboodt, Lennart	.6 P 42 Philips	L 37	# 16
C/ 33 SC 33.1.4 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 22 Philips	L 21	# 13		Comment Status A	Туре 2, Туре 3 о	<i>Editoria</i> r Type 4 PSE. This
Comment Type E Icable, A is not bold	Comment Status A		Editorial	function returns the comma is missing a SuggestedRemedy	sollowing variable:" as well as the Harvard comma.		
SuggestedRemedy Icable, A in bold text				,	rresponding to either a Type 1, following variable:"	Type 2, Type 3,	or Type 4 PSE. This
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
EZ				EZ			
C/ 33 SC 33.1.4. Yseboodt, Lennart	1 P 23 Philips	L 15	# 14	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 67 Philips	L 53	# 17
Comment Type E "with the additional re no space between 25	Comment Status A equirement that channel DC lo	op resistance sha	<i>Editorial</i> all be 250hm or less."		Comment Status A e 33-11 is not bold everywhere		Editoria
SuggestedRemedy 25 Ohm (add space)				SuggestedRemedy Make line bold.			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
E7				EZ			

ΕZ

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 68 Philips	L 45	# 18	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7. Yseboodt, Lennart	4a P 72 Philips	L 10	# 21
Comment Type E Table 33-11, item 17 C.	Comment Status A 7b, additional information, Pclas	ss 'class' not in su	<i>Editorial</i> ubscript and no capital	Comment Type E "The contribution of I the whole effective	Comment Status A PSE PI pair to pair effective re	esistance unbalanc	<i>Editorial</i> e(PSE_P2PRunb) to
SuggestedRemedy Replace by P_Class				Missing space betwe	en unbalance and (
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy			
ACCEPT.				Replace by "The contribution of I the whole effective	PSE PI pair to pair effective re	esistance unbalanc	e (PSE_P2PRunb) to
EZ				Response	Response Status C		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.		L 7	# 19	ACCEPT.			
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			EZ			
Comment Type E Stutter in the section "PSE PI Pair-to-Ppa	Comment Status A n title. ir-to-pairair resistance and curr	ent unbalance"	Editorial	<i>Cl</i> 33 <i>SC</i> 33.2.7. Yseboodt, Lennart	4a P 72 Philips	L 11	# 22
SuggestedRemedy "PSE PI Pair to Pair	resistance and current unbalar	nce."		Comment Type E " to the whole effect	Comment Status A		Editorial
Response	Response Status C			system end to end r	esistance/current unbalance (E2EP2PRunb),"	
ACCEPT IN PRINCI	IPLE.			E2EP2PRunb should	d stand for 'system end to end	l resistance unbala	nce'.
OBE by comment #2	232.			SuggestedRemedy Replace by " to the whole effect	tive		
	4- D 70	1.0	# 00	system end to end r	esistance unbalance (E2EP2I	PRunb),"	
Cl 33 SC 33.2.7. Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips	L 9	# 20	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Comment Type E "Type 3 and Type 4 4-pair is not used in	Comment Status A PSEs operating over 4-pair are rest of document	subject to"	Editorial	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7. Yseboodt, Lennart	4a P 72 Philips	L 19	# 23
SuggestedRemedy use four-pair				Comment Type E Space missing betwee	Comment Status A een number and 'ohm' symbol	l. 3 occurences.	Editorial
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Add space.			
EZ				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
				EZ			
	uired ER/editorial required GR				Comr	ment ID 23	Page 6 of 73

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

7/14/2015 5:34:35 PM

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4a		L 21	# 24	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.6 P74 L 6 # 27
Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E Annex 33B is for autocl SuggestedRemedy	Philips Comment Status A lass not P2P unbalance		Editorial	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips Comment Type E Comment Status A Edito Remove space at end of scentence Original text: " PSE may remove power from that pair set . The cumulative duration of TCUT-2P is measured with a sliding window of at least 1 second width."
Use Annex 33A. Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.			SuggestedRemedy " PSE may remove power from that pair set. The cumulative duration of TCUT-2P is measured with a sliding window of at least 1 second width."
Move Autoclass Annex	to 33C (and update reference	ce in text).		Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4a Yseboodt, Lennart	P 72 Philips	L 27	# 25	EZ
Comment Type E Ohm sign after formula	Comment Status A	er formulas.	Editorial	Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.7 P 74 L 16 # 28 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
SuggestedRemedy Ohm sign smaller and I	bottom right.			Comment Type E Comment Status A Edito a pai set is not correct
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy 'a pai set' should be 'a pair set'
EZ				Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4a Yseboodt, Lennart	P 72 Philips	L 33	# 26	"a pairset"
<i>Comment Type</i> E Rpair_min is italic	Comment Status A		Editorial	EZ
SuggestedRemedy Change Pair_min to no	on-italic			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			
EZ				

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 75 Philips	L 1	# 29	C/ 33 SC 33.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	2.7.8	P 75 Philips	L 54	# 31
Comment Type E The definitions of I_PSi variables that do not ex SuggestedRemedy Change Tcutmin-2P to Change Tcutmax-2P to Change Ilimmin-2P to I Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Editor has license to fix Line 1-37 on page 75 a	T_CUT-2P min T_CUT-2P max _LIM-2P min <i>Response Status</i> C E. (all similar errors.	ake use of	Editorial	from VPort_PSE- set . In addition, i <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> "The specification	e end of sce e specificati 2P to VOff t is recomm n for TOff in VOff of a p mmended t	on for TOff in Table 33 of a pair set with a tes ended that the" Table 33-11 shall app pair set with a test resis	t resistor of 320 k	attached to that pair
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 75 Philips	L 46	# 30	C/ 33 SC 33. Yseboodt, Lennart	2.7.10	P 76 Philips	L 14	# 32
when the pair set voltage no longer mee Tlim is lowercase letter	Comment Status A _ON state may remove power ts the V port_PSE-2P specif s, should be uppercase subs	ication."	<i>Editorial</i> It without regard to T lim	SuggestedRemedy Parentheses arou	ass power o	mment Status A lefined in 33.2.6 and E n number are unneede	,	<i>Editorial</i> r"
SuggestedRemedy T_LIM				Response ACCEPT IN PRI		sponse Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Editor to consult remove them from		and see whether the p on references.	arantheses are n	eeded or not. If not,
EZ				EZ				

C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 /seboodt, Lennart	P 78 Philips	<i>L</i> 1	# 33	<i>Cl</i> 33 <i>SC</i> 33.3.3 Yseboodt, Lennart	5 P 85 Philips	L 54	# 36
	Comment Status A w AC MPS for 4-pair."	8+4, this note is r	Pres: MPS	Comment Type E Figure caption is mis	Comment Status A		Editoria
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy "Figure 33-16 - PD s	tate diagram"		
Remove note.				Response	Response Status C		
esponse Re ACCEPT.	esponse Status C			ACCEPT.	nesponse otatas 😈		
Wait for presentation.				EZ			
7 33 SC 33.3.2 seboodt, Lennart	P 81 Philips	L 12	# 34	<i>Cl</i> 33 <i>SC</i> 33.3.5. Yseboodt, Lennart	1 P 89 Philips	L 50	# 37
	Comment Status A		Editorial	corresponding to cla 0, 1, 2, or 3 in	Comment Status A ng with a maximum power draw iss 0-3 respond to 1-Event clas	ssification by retu	Editoria
,				accordance with the	maximum power draw, PClass	s_PD."	
change to 'four-pair' Response Re	esponse Status C			accordance with the PClass_PD not in s		s_PD."	
Pesponse Re ACCEPT.	esponse Status C				ubscript.	s_PD."	
esponse Re ACCEPT. EZ				PClass_PD not in si SuggestedRemedy change 'P_Class_PI Response	ubscript.	s_PD."	
ACCEPT. EZ 33 SC 33.3.2	esponse Status C P 81 Philips	L 43	# 35	PClass_PD not in si SuggestedRemedy change 'P_Class_PI Response ACCEPT.	ubscript.)' to sub_script	s_PD."	
esponse Re ACCEPT. EZ / 33 SC 33.3.2 seboodt, Lennart	P 81	L 43	# 35 Editorial	PClass_PD not in si SuggestedRemedy change 'P_Class_PD Response ACCEPT. EZ	ubscript.)' to sub_script	s_PD."	
esponse Re ACCEPT. EZ / 33 SC 33.3.2 seboodt, Lennart	P 81 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> A ass signature of 4, 5, or 6 graph that describes Clas	6, while Type 4 F	<i>Editorial</i> Ds advertise a	PClass_PD not in si SuggestedRemedy change 'P_Class_PE Response ACCEPT.	ubscript.)' to sub_script	s_PD." L 10	# [<u>38</u> Editoria
esponse Re ACCEPT. EZ / 33 SC 33.3.2 seboodt, Lennart Seboodt, Lennart omment Type E C "Type 3 PDs advertise a class signature of 7 or 8." Because this is in the parage The sentence alone however	P 81 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> A ass signature of 4, 5, or 6 graph that describes Clas	6, while Type 4 F	<i>Editorial</i> Ds advertise a	PClass_PD not in si SuggestedRemedy change 'P_Class_PD Response ACCEPT. EZ C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	ubscript. D' to sub_script <i>Response Status</i> C P 95 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> A		
esponse Re ACCEPT. EZ / 33 SC 33.3.2 seboodt, Lennart omment Type E C "Type 3 PDs advertise a cla class signature of 7 or 8." Because this is in the parag The sentence alone however	P 81 Philips Comment Status A ass signature of 4, 5, or 6 graph that describes Clas er is incorrect.	5, while Type 4 F ss4+ PDs the int	<i>Editorial</i> Ds advertise a ent is clear.	PClass_PD not in si SuggestedRemedy change 'P_Class_PD Response ACCEPT. EZ C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E	ubscript. D' to sub_script <i>Response Status</i> C P 95 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> A ters PP		
Response Re ACCEPT. EZ C/ 33 SC 33.3.2 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type E C "Type 3 PDs advertise a class class signature of 7 or 8." Because this is in the parage The sentence alone however SuggestedRemedy "Such Type 3 PDs advertise class signature of 7 or 8."	P 81 Philips Comment Status A ass signature of 4, 5, or 6 graph that describes Clas er is incorrect.	5, while Type 4 F ss4+ PDs the int	<i>Editorial</i> Ds advertise a ent is clear.	PClass_PD not in set SuggestedRemedy change 'P_Class_PD Response ACCEPT. EZ Cl 33 SC 33.3.7 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type E V_PP is in capital let SuggestedRemedy	ubscript. D' to sub_script <i>Response Status</i> C P 95 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> A ters PP		# <u>38</u> Editoria

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 33 SC 33.2. Yseboodt, Lennart	4.7 <i>P</i> 46 Philips	L 5	# 39	C/ 33 Yseboodt,	SC 33.2.6 Lennart	P 59 Philips	L 15	# 42
Comment Type E Finding related sub	Comment Status D o diagrams is not easy in state d	agram Fig 33-9a	PSE SD	Comment Line v		Comment Status A 3-8-PSE classification configu	rations is incons	<i>Editorial</i> istent
SuggestedRemedy				Suggestee	dRemedy			
Add figure number	in the empty box of the sub stat	e diagrams		Make	this in the same	way as in the related table 33	3-15a (page 89)	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCI	Response Status W			Response ACCE		Response Status C		
C/ 33 SC 33.2. Yseboodt, Lennart	4.7 P 46 Philips	L 26	# 40		nay be due to rev	-		
	Comment Status D is a state, not a sub diagram. It	should a subdiag	PSE SD gram (dashed box)	EZ	and Lennart to c	IISCUSS.		
called "Power Denied" wir SuggestedRemedy	th Figure number 33-9e.			C/ 33 Yseboodt,	SC 33.2.6 Lennart	Р 60 Philips	L 22	# 43
,	refer to Figure 9e.			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		Pres: Autoclass
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCI	Response Status W			addre	ssed."	ement method and PSE mary y adopting comment to D1.1)	-	still need to be
C/ 33 SC 33.2.	5.0a P 53	L 41	# 41	See y	seboodt_Autocla	ss_measurement_baseline_v	/120.pdf (July me	eeting)
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Suggestee	dRemedy			
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		Connection Check	Remo	ove note.			
the PSE shall rese	ne PI, on either pair set, rises abo t g the voltage at the PI below V o				Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
Table reference is	s wrong.			Wait f	or presentation.			
SuggestedRemedy	0			CI 33	SC 33.2.7	P 66	L 33	# 44
Remove: 33-7 => 33-11.				Yseboodt,		Philips Comment Status A		Editorio
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status C				reference to the	new section on Tpud. [Table	33-7, Item 1b].	Editoria
Change 33-7 to 33				Suggestee Chang	•	rmation of item 1b to read "S	ee 33.2.7.TBD, 3	3.2.7.5"
Possible OBE by c	comment 209.			Response ACCE		Response Status C		
				EZ				
	quired ER/aditorial required CR	/				0	ont ID AA	Page 10 of 72

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 95 Philips	L 15	# 45	C/ 33 SC 33.4.9 Yseboodt, Lennart	9 P 110 Philips	L 32	# 47
Table 33-18, item 11, the a) and b) are not needed SuggestedRemedy Remove a) and b).		d inconsistent wit	<i>Editorial</i> h the other tables.	defined in Figure 3 specified in ."	Comment Status A of "channel" and "permanent link 3-24. Type 2, 3 and 4 Midspan F nse. Where are they specified?!		Editorial
Response Re ACCEPT.	esponse Status C			SuggestedRemedy			
EZ				Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C CIPLE.		
C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.3 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 96 Philips	L 39	# 46	Hey Mr. Smartass.	If you look at -2012 you will see	they are specifie	ed in 33.1.4.1.
Comment Type E C "Input inrush current at start pair set < 180 mF, as specif Cport is not defined in Table SuggestedRemedy	fied in Table 33-11."	if C_Port per	Pres: Inrush	add "33.1.4.1" after EZ C/ 33 SC 33.4.9	9.1.3 P 114	L 50	# [48
Cport is defined in Table 33	-18. Change reference. esponse Status W			SuggestedRemedy	Philips <i>Comment Status</i> A and of scentence. exceed the values specified in Ta- lues specified in Table 33-20." <i>Response Status</i> C	able 33-20 ."	Editorial

ΕZ

Cl 33 SC 33.4.9.1.4c Yseboodt, Lennart	P 115 Philips	L 34	# 49	C/ 33 SC 33.5.1.1 Yseboodt, Lennart	l .1a P 118 Philips	L 42	# 52
Remove space after paren Original text: "Midspan PSI Clause 33.4.9.1) are additio SuggestedRemedy "Midspan PSEs intended for 33.4.9.1) are additionally re	Es intended for operation onally required to" or operation with 10GBAS			Comment Type E 4-pair not consistent SuggestedRemedy change to four-pair (t Response ACCEPT. EZ	Comment Status A hree times) Response Status C		Editoria
ACCEPT. EZ				C/ 33 SC 33.6.3.4 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 127 Philips	L 53	# 53
4-pair is not consistent in T SuggestedRemedy change to four-pair (two tim		<i>L</i> 10	# <u>50</u> Editorial	Comment Type E Table 33-23 "Attribute is not nicely separate SuggestedRemedy Move the whole table Response ACCEPT. EZ		ss-reference"	Editoria
EZ C/ 33 SC 33.5.1.1	P 118	L 10	# 51	C/ 33 SC 33A.4 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 153 Philips	L 13	# 54
Yseboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> E ("1 = Deny 4-pair power who 0 = Do not deny 4-pair pow	Philips Comment Status D en connection check retu	rn Dual	Management	Comment Type E Space between 3 and SuggestedRemedy Make 3 % => 3%. Response	Comment Status A		Editoria
Bad language. SuggestedRemedy "1 = Deny 4-pair power who 0 = Do not deny 4-pair pow				ACCEPT. EZ			
Proposed Response R PROPOSED ACCEPT IN F	Response Status W PRINCIPLE.	·					
OBE by comment 271							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC 33A. Yseboodt, Lennart	4 P 154 Philips	L 3	# 55	C/ 33	SC 33.2.7.4a	P 71 Philips	L 51	# 58
Comment Type E	<i>Comment Status</i> A d have spaces between number a	nd dimension.	Editorial	Comment Typ "The value	e ER e of K which is e 3 and Type 4 a	Comment Status A based on curve fit and is di system that operates as 4-p		<i>Editorial</i> ven by
Change 100m to 1	100 m.			Wrong Eq	uation reference	æ.		
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status C ICIPLE.			SuggestedRei Change to				
Editor to consult s	tyle guide to make sure this is cor	rect and then ac	t accordingly.	"The value	e of K which is 3 and Type 4	based on curve fit and is di system that operates as 4-p	,	ven by
There are other co	omments along this same line.			Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
C/ 33 SC 33.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	.2 P 28 Philips	L 28	# 56	EZ				
Comment Type ER	•		Editorial	CI 33 S Yseboodt, Ler	SC 33.2.4.6 mart	P 43 Philips	L 8	# 59
SuggestedRemedy Implement #28/D1					raph on line 8	Comment Status A through 12 uses the constru o become subscript.	uct x_sub_y as I	Editorial iteral text.
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRei Implemen	<i>medy</i> t subscripts.			
	oked line in figure Figure 33-5b.			Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
EZ				EZ				
C/ 33 SC 33.2 Yseboodt, Lennart	.4.4 P 39 Philips	L 5	# 57	C/ 33 Yseboodt, Ler	SC 33.2.4.7	P 47 Philips	L 1	# 60
Comment Type ER Comment #227 D	Comment Status A 1.0 partially implemented.		Editorial	Comment Typ		Comment Status A atemachine, we have T3 co	mina in without	PSE SD
SuggestedRemedy Remove column p See yseboodt_Tal	pse_dll_capable from Table 33-3. ble_33_3.pdf			SuggestedRe	medy	condition * (mr_pse_enable	Ū	
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
OBE by comment	15.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 /seboodt, Lennart	P 59 Philips	L 13	# 61	C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	7 .4 <i>P</i> 97 Philips	L 5	# 64
Comment Type ER Comment #42 Draft	Comment Status A		Editorial		Comment Status A je at the PI, c lass 6 or class	8 PDs in operating	<i>Editoria</i> condition, the peak
SuggestedRemedy Implement #42/D1.0.				power shall not exc P Class at the PSE cycle."	eed EPI for more than T CUT min	n, as defined in Tab	le 33-11 and 5% duty
Response	Response Status C			Bad phrasing + ext	ra space in 'class'.		
ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy			
EZ				the peak power sha			
Kousi and Lennart to	discuss.			P_Class at the PS duty cycle."	E PI for more than T_CUT mi	in, as defined in Ta	ble 33-11 and with 5%
C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.2 /seboodt, Lennart	2 P 62 Philips	L 21	# 62	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Comment Type ER "When connected to of the pair sets."	Comment Status A a single-signature PD, a PSE s	shall classify the PD	PSE Classification only once or both	EZ C/ 33 SC 33.3.7		L 54	# 65
SuggestedRemedy				Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips	L 34	# 05
				r Seboout, Eennart	i imp3		
	a single-signature PD, a PSE s	shall classify the PD	only once on one	Commont Tuno ED	Commont Status		Editorio
or both of the pair se	ts."	shall classify the PD	only once on one	Comment Type ER " shall not exceed	Comment Status A	,	Editoria
	ts." Response Status C	shall classify the PD	only once on one	" shall not exceed SuggestedRemedy	Icont-2Punb as specified"		Editorial
or both of the pair se Response	ts." Response Status C PLE.	shall classify the PD	only once on one	" shall not exceed SuggestedRemedy " shall not exceed	Icont-2Punb as specified"		Editoria
or both of the pair se Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF OBE by comment 10 C/ 33 SC 33.3.7	ts." Response Status C PLE. 9.	shall classify the PC	# 63	" shall not exceed SuggestedRemedy	Icont-2Punb as specified" I_con-2P-unb as specified <i>Response Status</i> C		Editoria
or both of the pair se Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF OBE by comment 10 C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 (seboodt, Lennart	ts." <i>Response Status</i> C PLE. 9. <i>P</i> 95 Philips		# [63	" shall not exceed SuggestedRemedy " shall not exceed Response	I Icont-2Punb as specified" I_con-2P-unb as specified <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE.		Editoria
or both of the pair se Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF OBE by comment 10 C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 /seboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER	ts." Response Status C PLE. 9. P 95 Philips Comment Status A			" shall not exceed SuggestedRemedy " shall not exceed Response ACCEPT IN PRINC OBE by comment 2	I Icont-2Punb as specified" I_con-2P-unb as specified <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE.		Editoria
or both of the pair set Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF OBE by comment 10 C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 /seboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER Table 33-18, item 11 This is a clash with ic	ts." <i>Response Status</i> C PLE. 9. <i>P</i> 95 Philips	L 15	# <mark>63</mark> Editorial	" shall not exceed SuggestedRemedy " shall not exceed Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	I Icont-2Punb as specified" I_con-2P-unb as specified <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE.		Editoria
or both of the pair set Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF OBE by comment 10 C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 /seboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER Table 33-18, item 11 This is a clash with ic	ts." Response Status C PLE. 9. P95 Philips Comment Status A defines V_On and V_Off. dentically named V_Off from Ta	L 15	# <mark>63</mark> Editorial	" shall not exceed SuggestedRemedy " shall not exceed Response ACCEPT IN PRINC OBE by comment 2	I Icont-2Punb as specified" I_con-2P-unb as specified <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE.		Editoria
or both of the pair set Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF OBE by comment 10 OBE by comment 10 OBE by comment 10 OBE by comment 10 Cl 33 SC 33.3.7 /seboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER Table 33-18, item 11 This is a clash with ic These Voffs do some SuggestedRemedy Rename Table 33-18 Rename Table 33-18	ts." Response Status C PLE. 9. P95 Philips Comment Status A defines V_On and V_Off. dentically named V_Off from Ta ething totally different.	<i>L</i> 15 able 33-11, Item 16.	# <mark>63</mark> Editorial	" shall not exceed SuggestedRemedy " shall not exceed Response ACCEPT IN PRINC OBE by comment 2	I Icont-2Punb as specified" I_con-2P-unb as specified <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE.		Editoria
or both of the pair set Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF OBE by comment 10 OBE by comment 10 OBE by comment 10 OBE by comment 10 Cl 33 SC 33.3.7 /seboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER Table 33-18, item 11 This is a clash with ic These Voffs do some SuggestedRemedy Rename Table 33-18 Rename Table 33-18	ts." Response Status C PLE. 9. P95 Philips Comment Status A defines V_On and V_Off. Jentically named V_Off from Ta ething totally different.	<i>L</i> 15 able 33-11, Item 16.	# <mark>63</mark> Editorial	" shall not exceed SuggestedRemedy " shall not exceed Response ACCEPT IN PRINC OBE by comment 2	I Icont-2Punb as specified" I_con-2P-unb as specified <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE.		Editoria
or both of the pair set Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF OBE by comment 10 C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 Seboodt, Lennart Comment Type ER Table 33-18, item 11 This is a clash with ic These Voffs do some SuggestedRemedy Rename Table 33-18 Rename Table 33-18 Rename Table 33-18 Change all reference	ts." Response Status C PLE. 9. P95 Philips Comment Status A defines V_On and V_Off. dentically named V_Off from Ta ething totally different. 8 V_On to V_On_PD. 8 V_Off to V_Off_PD. s to the PD V_Off and PD V_C	<i>L</i> 15 able 33-11, Item 16.	# <mark>63</mark> Editorial	" shall not exceed SuggestedRemedy " shall not exceed Response ACCEPT IN PRINC OBE by comment 2	I Icont-2Punb as specified" I_con-2P-unb as specified <i>Response Status</i> C IPLE.		Editoria

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC 33B Yseboodt, Lennart	P 155 Philips	L 1	# 66	C/ 33 SC 33.1.4.1 P 23 L 12 # 69 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
Comment Type ER Change bars are missin SuggestedRemedy	<i>Comment Status</i> A lg. and also in the other Annex	as where they ar	Editorial	Comment Type T Comment Status A Call "Type 2 operation requires Class D, or better, cabling as specified in ISO/IEC 11801:199 and Type 3 operation requires Class D or better cabling as specified in ISO/IEC 11801:2002" Specified in ISO/IEC Specified in ISO/IEC
Response ACCEPT. EZ	Response Status C		e missing.	Is inconsistent with Table 33-1 which refers to the 2002 version of ISO/IEC 11801 for Type 2. Note: if we choose for different cable requirements between Type 2 and Type 3 we hint to the user that these are not interoperable between Type 2 and Type 3. Probably no
C/ 79 SC 79.3.2.5 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 162 Philips	L 37	# 67	what we want. SuggestedRemedy TF to discuss how to make consistent.
Comment Type ER "Poweris the effective Space missing.	Comment Status A		Editorial	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
SuggestedRemedy "Power is the effective Response	." Response Status C			Change Type 2 in table 33-1 back to 1995 reference. C/ 33 SC 33.1.4.1 P 23 L 15 # 70 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips
ACCEPT. EZ				Comment Type T Comment Status A Call "Type 3 operation requires Class D or better cabling as specified in ISO/IEC 11801:2002 Does this not also apply to Type 4 ?
C/ 79 SC 79.3.2.6b Yseboodt, Lennart	P 164 Philips	L 2	# 68	SuggestedRemedy "Type 3 and Type 4 operation requires Class D or better cabling as specified in ISO/IEC
Comment Type ER Comment D1.0/#123 nc	Comment Status A ot implemented.		Editorial	11801:2002" Response Response Status C
SuggestedRemedy Implement D1.0/#123.				ACCEPT.
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			
EZ				

CI 33 SC 33.2.4.4 P 35 L 38 # 71 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 P 68 L 2 # [Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	73
Comment Type T Comment Status D Pres: PSE SD IPort-2P is also per pair set original text: "Ilnrush-2P Output current per pair set during POWER_UP (see Table 33-11 and Figure 33-13). IPort-2P Output current (see 33.2.7.6)." Output current (see 33.2.7.6)." IPort-2P	Comment Type T Comment Status A Items 13, 21, 23 and 24 only list Type 1 and 2. These all seem valid also for the new Types. SuggestedRemedy Change PSE Type to 'All'. Response Response Status C	PSE Powe
SuggestedRemedy	ACCEPT.	
"IPort-2P Output current per pair set (see 33.2.7.6)."	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 P 69 L 16 # [74
Proposed Response Response Status W	Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. We need to be careful. We should not change the Type 1/2 State Diagram variables if we are going to leave that diagram as is. We need to create new variables for Type 3/4.	Comment TypeTComment StatusATable 33-11, item 21.Tdbo is only defined for Type 1,2.It remains valid also with Type 3 and Type 4 endspans.	
Group to discuss.	SuggestedRemedy	
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.4 P 39 L 5 # 72 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	add Type 3,4 to this row. <i>Response Response Status</i> C	
Comment Type T Comment Status X Pres: Types	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
A Type 4 PSE is distinct from a Type 3 PSE in ways other than power (Vpse min, polarity, must implement 4P). A Type 4 PSE that is powering below class 7 should still be a Type 4 PSE. Currently Table 33-3 requires a Type 4 PSE to have class_num_events = 5, possibly restricting it to Class 7 and 8.	OBE by comment # 73	
(This is an updated version of the comment against D1.0).		
Presentation on this topic "Type 4 Classrange"		
SuggestedRemedy		
Add class_num_events 1, 2 and 4 also for Type 4.		
Proposed Response Response Status W Waiting for Presentation		

Cl 33 SC Yseboodt, Lennar	33.2.7.4 rt	P 71 Philips	L 26	# 75	C/ 33 Yseboodt,	SC 33.2.5.0a Lennart	P 53 Philips	L 41	# 76
there is no en pair-to-pair c the I Con-2P increase up t to I Con-2P a	nd to end ourrent unb may to the valu s	Comment Status A PSEs, I Con-2P as specifie alance. When end to end pa e of I Con-2P-UNB as speci 1, the PSE shall support the	air-to-pair current fied by Table 33-	unbalance is present, 11 item 4a. In addition	"In add specifi detern	nnection check, f lition, only tests t ed in Table 33-4 nine whether a si s section."	hat result in a voltage at the		(, ,
parameters p	er pair set he operati	, ng voltage range of V Port_I	Ū		"If the the PS	voltage at the Pl E shall reset	l, on either pair set, rises ab e voltage at the PI below V c		
	ICon-2P	and ICon-2P-unb as specifie	ed in Table 33-11	, the PSE shall support	Since this. Suggested		to use voltages > Vvalid(ma	x), we do not ne	ed to define
the following parameters, <i>Response</i> ACCEPT.		t waveform n the operating voltage rang <i>Response Status</i> C	ge of V Port_PSE	: .u :	Remov "If the " the PS	/e: voltage at the PI, E shall reset	on either pair set, rises abo voltage at the PI below V of		
EZ					Response REJEC		Response Status C		
					mean t	that the voltage r	ge is in the valid range when never left that range. For ex out the correct answer in th	ample, if a PD g	ot plugged in during the

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 /seboodt, Lennart	P 66 Philips	L 33	# 77	Cl 33 SC 3 Yseboodt, Lennart	3.3.7.4	P 97 Philips	L 2	# 79
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		PSE Power	Comment Type	т с	Comment Status A		Editoria
Tpud value is TBD. [Tab	le 33-7, Item 1b].					PI, and any PD operati	ng condition, wit	th the exception of class
SuggestedRemedy				6 or class 8 PD the peak powe	,	xceed P Class PD max	for more than T	CUT min, as defined in
Tdelay-2P = 80ms Tinrush-2p = [50ms - 75 Therefore a T_pud = 4m	-			Table 33-11" TCUT min is m		_		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			power shall not	exceed PSE PI for r	PI, c lass 6 or class 8 F more than T CUT min, a		•
Delete Item 1b ("Tpud")	from Table 33-11 (page 66,	, line 32).		SuggestedRemedy	0			
Add to 33.2.7.5 (page 72	2, line 50, after first sentend	e of first paragra	ph):	Change 'TCUT		IT-2P min'		
	s that apply power to both	nairsets when co	nnected to a single-	Response	R	esponse Status C		
"Type 3 and Type 4 PSE signature PD shall reach with the first pairset trans	Es that apply power to both POWER_ON on both pair sitioning into the POWER_I	sets within T_inru UP state."	ush-2P max, starting	Response ACCEPT. EZ	R	esponse Status C		
"Type 3 and Type 4 PSE signature PD shall reach with the first pairset trans	POWER_ON on both pair sitioning into the POWER_ P 96	sets within T_inru		ACCEPT. EZ	Ri 3.3.7.4	esponse Status C	L 6	# 80
"Type 3 and Type 4 PSE signature PD shall reach with the first pairset trans 2/ 33 SC 33.3.7.4 (seboodt, Lennart	n POWER_ÓN on both pair sitioning into the POWER_I <i>P</i> 96 Philips	sets within T_inru UP state."	ush-2P max, starting # <mark>78</mark>	ACCEPT. EZ			L 6	# 80
"Type 3 and Type 4 PSE signature PD shall reach with the first pairset trans 3 33 <i>SC</i> 33.3.7.4 (seboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> T	n POWER_ÓN on both pair sitioning into the POWER_I P 96 Philips Comment Status A I voltage when the PD is dr	sets within T_inru UP state." <i>L</i> 53	ush-2P max, starting # <u>78</u> <i>Editorial</i>	ACCEPT. EZ Cl 33 SC 3 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type "At any static v	3.3.7.4 T Coltage at the	P 97		# 80 Editorie
"Type 3 and Type 4 PSE signature PD shall reach with the first pairset trans 2/ 33 SC 33.3.7.4 (seboodt, Lennart <i>Comment Type</i> T "V Overload is the PD PI Voverload is missing -2F CuggestedRemedy	n POWER_ÓN on both pair sitioning into the POWER_I P 96 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> A I voltage when the PD is dr. 2.	sets within T_inru UP state." <i>L</i> 53	ush-2P max, starting # <u>78</u> <i>Editorial</i>	ACCEPT. EZ C/ 33 SC 3 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type "At any static v Extra space in	3.3.7.4 T Coltage at the 'c lass'.	P 97 Philips Comment Status D		
"Type 3 and Type 4 PSE signature PD shall reach with the first pairset trans 2/ 33 SC 33.3.7.4 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type T "V Overload is the PD PI Voverload is missing -2F	n POWER_ÓN on both pair sitioning into the POWER_I P 96 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> A I voltage when the PD is dr. 2.	sets within T_inru UP state." <i>L</i> 53	ush-2P max, starting # <u>78</u> <i>Editorial</i>	ACCEPT. EZ C/ 33 SC 3 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type "At any static v Extra space in SuggestedRemedy	3.3.7.4 T Coltage at the 'c lass'.	P 97 Philips Comment Status D		
"Type 3 and Type 4 PSE signature PD shall reach with the first pairset trans 33 SC 33.3.7.4 seboodt, Lennart comment Type T "V Overload is the PD PI Voverload is missing -2F cuggestedRemedy Change 'Voverload' to 'V cesponse	n POWER_ÓN on both pair sitioning into the POWER_I P 96 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> A I voltage when the PD is dr. 2.	sets within T_inru UP state." <i>L</i> 53	ush-2P max, starting # <u>78</u> <i>Editorial</i>	ACCEPT. EZ Cl 33 SC 3 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type "At any static v Extra space in SuggestedRemedy Change to 'class	3.3.7.4 T Coltage at the 'c lass'.	P 97 Philips Comment Status D PI, c lass 6 or class 8 F		
"Type 3 and Type 4 PSE signature PD shall reach with the first pairset trans Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.4 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type T "V Overload is the PD PI Voverload is missing -2F SuggestedRemedy	n POWER_ÓN on both pair sitioning into the POWER_I <i>P</i> 96 Philips <i>Comment Status</i> A I voltage when the PD is dr. P.	sets within T_inru UP state." <i>L</i> 53	ush-2P max, starting # <u>78</u> <i>Editorial</i>	ACCEPT. EZ C/ 33 SC 3 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type "At any static v Extra space in SuggestedRemedy	3.3.7.4 T Coltage at the 'c lass'. 'ss'. e Ro	P 97 Philips Comment Status D PI, c lass 6 or class 8 F esponse Status W		

Yseboodt, Lennart	P 99 Philips	L 15	# 81	C/ 33 SC 33.2. Yseboodt, Lennart	7 P 69 Philips	L 28	# 84
Comment Type T T_CUT min is not a defi	Comment Status A		Editorial	Comment Type TR Note 1:	Comment Status D	larity shall not exc	PSE Pow
SuggestedRemedy Change to T_CUT-2P n	nin			Port_PSE = 0.5*(P	Type /V Port_PSE_2P)*(1+a) Port_PSE_2P)*(1-a), where a is		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			pair resistance/curi	ent unbalance that the standard explicitly."	-	
EZ					roblems: , which is not appropriate for a r	note	
C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.5 /seboodt, Lennart	P 99 Philips	L 19	# 82	dynamically levere	al total current restriction that w d current limit over the two pairs	ets	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Editorial		m current according to this note argin to set the current cut-off in		h to deliver PType
	conditions in which the voltag	e at the PI is und	lergoing dynamic	SuggestedRemedy			
change, the PSE is responsible for limiting defined in Table 33-11." TLIM is not defined	the transient current drawn b	by the PD for at I	east T LIM min as	the same polarity v	y: tem, under normal operating co /ill not exceed Ptype/Vport_pse- (2*Icon_2P - Icon_2P_unb)"		current of pairs with
SuggestedRemedy Change TLIM to TLIM-2	2P.			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCE	Response Status W		
0	Response Status C			I would like to hear	group's opinion.		
Response				The note definitely	cannot have a shall in it.		
Response ACCEPT. EZ C/ 33 SC 33.2.3		L 12	# 83		cannot have a shall in it.		
Response ACCEPT. EZ C/ 33 SC 33.2.3 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type TR	Response Status C	L 12	# 83 Editorial	The note definitely	cannot have a shall in it.		
Response ACCEPT. EZ Cl 33 SC 33.2.3 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type TR In Table 33-2, header ro	Response Status C P 32 Philips Comment Status A pw, "Alternative B" is wrong.	L 12		The note definitely	cannot have a shall in it.		
Response ACCEPT. EZ C/ 33 SC 33.2.3 (seboodt, Lennart Comment Type TR In Table 33-2, header ro SuggestedRemedy Replace by "Alternative	Response Status C P 32 Philips Comment Status A ow, "Alternative B" is wrong. B(S)" Response Status C	L 12		The note definitely	cannot have a shall in it.		
Response ACCEPT. EZ Cl 33 SC 33.2.3 Yseboodt, Lennart Comment Type TR In Table 33-2, header ro SuggestedRemedy Replace by "Alternative Response	Response Status C P 32 Philips Comment Status A ow, "Alternative B" is wrong. B(S)" Response Status C	L 12		The note definitely	cannot have a shall in it.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC	C 33.2.7	P 70 Philips	L 54	# 85	C/ 33 Yseboodt, L	SC 33.2.7.5	P 72 Philips	L 48	# 87
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		PSE Power	Comment T	/pe TR	Comment Status R curs on each pair set bet	tween the PSE's tra	PSE Inrus
SuggestedRem	edy	2.7.x "Pair set power up delay	/".		POWEF pair set transitic	R_UP state on t " n to the POWE			
sets to		a single signature PD using l			SuggestedF 'transisi	<i>lemedy</i> on to the POWI	ER_ON state'		
pair set to F	POWER_U	with a maximum delay of T_µ P and cond pair set to POWER_UP		e transition of the first	Response REJEC	Г.	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN		Response Status C LE.			POWE	R_UP is correct			
OBE by cor	nment 77.								
CI 33 So Yseboodt, Lenn	C 33.2.7.4	P 71 Philips	L 40	# 86					
case value Rchan is n	ne channel of R Ch , d ot defined i	Comment Status A loop resistance as defined in defined in Table 33-1" in 1.4. ue depends on 2P or 4P powe		<i>Editorial</i> ameter has a worst-					
SuggestedRem									
case value	of R_Ch w	DC loop resistance; this para /hen powering using one pair air sets. Rch is defined in Tab	set and R_Ch/2						
Response ACCEPT IN		Response Status C LE.							
		DC loop resistance; this para Rch is defined in Table 33-1."		rst-					

C/ 33 SC 33.2.6	P 76	L 33	# 88	C/ 33	SC 33.2.6	P 77	L 33	# 89
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt,		Philips		
following the table.	Comment Status A	0			PSE shall monito	Comment Status A or either the DC MPS comp ype 3/4 PSEs to support r		•
that makes sense. SuggestedRemedy Insert a section with num	ber 33.2.7.12 "Type power	r" and bump up ti	ne following section		ine in yseboodt_	baseline_mps_ac_v100.pd	df (or updated versi	on).
a PSE of that Type can s			-		EPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE. eline_mps_ac_v101.pdf as	baseline text.	
lower.	uired to support P_Type if uired to support P_Type if	-		C/ 33 Yseboodt,	SC 33.3.5	P 87 Philips	L 3	# 90
lower."		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Comment		Comment Status A		4PID
"Type 4 PSEs shall not s for a duration longer than	ource more power than P_ 1 second."	_Type max as sp	ecified in Table 33-11	"A Ty		ual-signature PD shall pres	sent a valid detection	=
Response	Response Status C					4-pair power from Type 3 a	and Type 4 PSEs **	. "
numbers. Content: "P_Type (min) is the min a PSE of that Type can s Type 3 PSEs are not req lower. Type 4 PSEs are not req lower." "Type 4 PSEs shall not s	ber 33.2.7.12 "Type power imum power a PSE must s	support to enable they are restricte they are restricte	the highest class that d to class 5 power or d to class 7 power or	by sho signa clarity Suggester Strike Response ACCE	owing an invalid ature on the unpo '. dRemedy the part of the li			
	tional information column f 1) to new section numbers							

C/ 33 SC 33.3.5.2	P 91	L 12	# 91	C/ 33	SC 33.3.7	P 94	L 5	# 92
Yseboodt, Lennart	Philips			Yseboodt, Ler	nart	Philips		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		PD Classification	Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status A		PD Power
Table 33-16a does not There is no reason to c	have a row for Type 3 / CLa lisallow this.	ss 0 PDs.		0-5 + 7 sa	ys "Input av	lists two different parameter o erage power, Class x"	·	Pclass_PD:
SuggestedRemedy				6 + 8 says	s "Input guar	anteed available average pov	ver, Class y"	
Add row with following PD Type, Class, class 3, 0, 0, 0	_sig_A, class_sig_B			exceeding	the input a power is on	ble extended power, because verage power. ly allowed for PDs in Class 6		
Response	Response Status C					' may be confusing (are the o	thers not guaran	teed?)
REJECT.				SuggestedRe	nedy			
Lennart to submit com	ments next time to remove a	Il references to c	lass 0 for type 3/4.	- Strike th	a distinctio e word 'guar	n between 'extended' and 'no anteed' in Table 33-18 for Cla ion 33.3.7.2 also (remove 'gu	ass 6 and Class	
				- Extende - Relabel "Input av	distinction b d power rule parameter fo ailable aver	between 'extended' and 'norm s do NOT change, only allow or Item 4/Pclass_PD for ALL of age power, Class x" ion 33.3.7.2 also (remove 'gu	ed for Class 6+8 classes to:	
				Solution 3 - No chan				
				Comment	ers preferen	ce is solution 2.		
				Response		Response Status C		
				ACCEPT	IN PRINCIP	LE.		
				Implemen	t solution #2	in suggested remedy.		
				Would OE	E comment	147.		

<i>CI</i> 33 Ysebood		33.3.7	P 94 Philips	L 46	# 93	C/ 33 Yseboodt		33.2.4.6 +	P 43 Philips	L 8	# 94
is TE	e of Inpu	/pe 3 and	Comment Status D transient (absolute value) (T Type 4.	able 33-18, item	PD Power 8)	regard the at	ding to t dless of tached l	PD.	Comment Status R raph, a PSE is allowed to us e 4 PSE may allow currents		
and l differ value Repl Proposee	PD need rent e for Typ ace TBI d Respo	to interop be 3 and 4 D by 4.70 f	for Type 3 and Type 4 (and r <i>Response Status</i> W	vould be almost n	neaningsless to have a	This v Issue - The in this - Can - Wou - Curr	vould or s: channel exampl be of in ild allow	I may be i le) definite do the PD to	n under fault conditions obvious ncapable of supporting this	ously. current (Type 1 intial* power bud	channel would be valid Iget
						Suggeste	dRemec	ły			
						shoul	d protec	t	oorting much higher power, v tream PD.	hile not previou	sly a feature, PSEs now
						Delete	e the wh	ole stater	ment (lines 8 to 13).		
						"Whe requir Type Con,	n a Type ements 1 PSE, I LIM ,	e 2 PSE p of a but may o	k to the original: owers a Type 1 PD, the PSI choose to meet the electrica ee Table 33-11)."		
						(Type shall T_LIN see (Type_ The I If, ba Type	PSE), meet th 1-2P and Table 3 PD <= PD <= SE sha sed on t cannot b	the PSE e PI elect d PType 3-11), for PSE Type all use I_C the outcor be determ	the 4 PSE powers a PD of low rical requirements of the PD which the PSE shall meet the e <= Type_PSE. Con-2P, T_LIM-2P and PTyp me of physical layer classific ined, lowest Type the PD could be	Type (Type_PE e requirements e parameters fro ation and conne), except for I_Con-2P, of any PSE Type, om the same Type.
						Response)		Response Status C		
						REJE	CT.				
						0			ecause this is not necessary	the first data and the	- factoria and an there a

Comment is rejected because this is not necessary behavior and is a feature rather than a requirement. This allows PSEs to use a single current limit and not dynamically change it.

CI 33 SC 33.2.6 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 58 Philips	L 12	# 95	C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.3 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 64 Philips	L 45	# 97
Comment Type TR "Rchan is the channel I Needs to be updated for	Comment Status A DC pair loop resistance."		PSE Classification	<i>Comment Type</i> TR There is no specification Autoclass.	Comment Status D on how a PSE is to measure	re the power cons	Pres: Autoclass sumed during
SuggestedRemedy "Rchan is the channel I	DC loop resistance."			SuggestedRemedy See yseboodt_Autoclass	_measurement_baseline_v	120.pdf (July me	eting)
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE.		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.6 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 58 Philips	L 18	# 96	Wait for presentation			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		PSE Classification	<i>Cl</i> 33 <i>SC</i> 33.2.7 Yseboodt, Lennart	P 65 Philips	L 44	# 98
Table 33-7. Comment #101 implem	nented incorrectly.			Comment Type TR "33.2.7 Power supply out	Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy Undo changes. Then: Add "," before "whichev Replace "less" with "lov				PSE behavior conforms Figure 33-10.	to the state diagrams in Fig power to the PI, it shall cor		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			To that effect we have in	LPS (Limited Power Supply troduced P_Type max for T and we need to introduce a	ype 4 at 99.9W	ment.
Comment 101 from D1	.0 clearly said:			If comment LEN1 is adop	oted, this comment is OBE.		
"Don't implement sugge	ested remedy.			SuggestedRemedy	- (5		
Add "," before "whichev	ver" in all entries.			Insert at the end of 33.2. "Type 4 PSEs shall not s for a duration longer than	ource more power than P_	Type max as spe	cified in Table 33-11
Replace "less" with "lov	wer" in all entries."			Response	Response Status C		
EZ				ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE			
				OBE by comment 88			

CI 33 Yseboodt,	SC 33.2.7 Lennart	P 66 Philips	L 33	# 99	C/ 33 Yseboodt,	SC 33.3.7 Lennart	P 95 Philips	L 20	# 100
Comment Page 7 "Powe	<i>Type</i> TR 4, line 15 says: shall be remov	Comment Status A	before the pair s	PSE Power set current exceeds	Comment No PI Suggeste	<i>Type</i> TR D Type in Table :	Comment Status A 33-18 for items 12 and 13		PD Power
This ov		a PSE to disconnect 1 pairse hen instantly be carried by the			Response ACCE	,	Response Status C		
		a PSE can synchronize the		pair sets perfectly,as	EZ				
	the maximum	parate controllers, but we sho time and try to limit thermal s		and PSE as much as	C/ 33 Yseboodt,	SC 33.3.7.4 Lennart	P 97 Philips	L 43	# 101
S <i>uggested</i> Add th	Remedy e following line t	to Table 33-11:			Comment Form		Comment Status D	PDs in class 6 c	<i>PD Power</i> or 8 and is TBD.
See 33	.2.7.TBD, 33.2.	/ between pair sets for single 7.5 of 6ms for T_pdd (=Tlim for T	u		S <i>uggeste</i> e Eq 33 I_port	-11a:	/ V_PSE (Ampere)		
Add a "A PSE a pair	new section to e that is powerir	explain item 1c (after the Tpue ig a single signature PD of cla n the remaining pair set off w	d section): ass 5 or higher a	nd turns	P_C	rtmax is the RMS lass is the alloca	S input current ated class power as defined in e at the PSE PI as defined in 1		ation 33-3
set." <i>Response</i> ACCEI	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C _E.			•	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
Add th	e following line	to Table 33-11:							
	ower down delay 3.2.7.TBD, 33.2.	/ between pair sets for single 7.5	-signature PDs, T	_pdd, s, , TBD, (3,4),					
"A PSE	that is powerir	explain item 1c (after the Tpue og a single signature PD of cla the remaining pair set off wit	ass 5 or higher a						

	33.3.7	P 98	L 13	# 102		C 33.2.7.5	P 72	L 50	# 104
Yseboodt, Lennar	t	Philips			Jones, Chad		Cisco		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status D		PD Power	Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		Pres: Inrus
33-18, the trai current drawn applies after in has complete Refer to pair SuggestedRemed "When the inp 33-18, the trai current drawn A dual-signat conditions.	nsient by the PE nrush d (33.3.7.3 sets rather y out voltage nsient by a sing ure PD sha n applies a	at the PI is static and in the O shall not exceed 4.70 mA/ B) and before the PD has dis than PI. at the PI is static and in the le-signature PD shall not ex all not exceed 4.70 mA/us ir fter inrush has completed (3	ms in either pola sconnected." a range of V Port ceed 4.70 mA/u n either polarity p	rity. This limitation _PD defined by Table s in either polarity. er pairset in the same	TInrush in s recommend legacy power The result of figure 33-13 damaging a currents dur PD. The recomm 4 PSE's in t PSE's. For However, for a pair set po	ecommenda section 33.2. dation again er-up can er of an early e a, and inrush in existing T ring PD Inru nendations he draft. Th reference, ti or practical i ersist for the	ennett: ation that POWER_UP mode .7.5 of the existing standard. st using LEGACY POWER_I nd POWER_UP mode prior to xit of POWER_UP mode is th n current could exceed expect ype 1 or Type 2 PD. Type 3 ish in this scenario, increasin used in the existing standard e suggested remedy makes he existing text is shown below mplementations, it is recomm a complete duration of TInrus conclusion of a PD's inrush b	Commensuratel UP in section 32 o the end of PD hat current is noi ted values for a and Type 4 PSE ig the probability I have been appl it a requirement two nended that the sh-2P, as the PS	y, there is a 2.4.4. This is because Inrush. I limited to the levels in PD, potentially 's could deliver higher of damage to a legacy ied to Type 3 and Type for Type 3 and Type 4 POWER_UP mode on
Proposed Respon PROPOSED		Response Status W			SuggestedRem Change the				
Cl 79 SC T Yseboodt, Lennard Comment Type Table 79-4 do We should de	79.3.2.4 t TR es not allo fine how th	P 161 Philips Comment Status A w a Type 3/4 PSE/PD to ide nese devices fill out the field		# 103 Editorial	However, fo 1 and Type be able to c	or practical in 2 PSE's pe correctly asc remain in P onse	mplementations, it is recomm rsist for the complete duratio ertain the conclusion of a PD OWER_UP mode until the T <i>Response Status</i> O entation.	n of TInrush-2P,)'s inrush behavi	as the PSE may not or. Type 3 and Type 4
SuggestedRemed Add to section "A Type 3 or 1	n 79.3.2.4	ice shall set the bits in 'pow	er type' to (TBD)	".					
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C							

HOLD VER for Lennart Ysebood:A Type 4 PSE is distinct from a Type 3 PSE in ways other than power (Vpse min, polarity, must implement 4P).We do not want to prevent Type 4 PSEs from providing also power below class 7. Currently Table 33-3 requires a Type 4 PSE to have class_num_events = 5, possibly restricting it to Class 7 and 8.SuggestedRemedy Add class_num_events 1, 2 and 4 also for Type 4.Proposed Response Response Response Status Z REJECT.This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.Replaced by comment #72.Chad, please withdraw this comment.Cl 33 Jones, ChadCl 33 C 33.3.7SC 33.3.7P 94 Jones, ChadComment Type TRTRComment Status XPress IntrushComment Type TRThe Comment Status XComment Type TRComment Type TRTRComment Status XPress IntrushComment Type TRThe Comment Status XComment Type TRThe Comment Status XThe Comme	C/ 33 SC 33.2.4 Jones, Chad	.4 P 39 Cisco	L 6	# 105	<i>Cl</i> 33 Jones, Ch	SC 33.3.7.3 ad	<i>P</i> 96 Cisco	L 48	# 107			
Suggested/Remedy Add class_num_events 1, 2 and 4 also for Type 4. Proposed Response Response Status REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Replaced by comment #72. Chad, please withdraw this comment. C1 33 SC 33.3.7 P 94 L 48 # 106 Jones, Chad Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Chad, please withdraw this comment. Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status X Pres: Inrush Mol DOVER for Dave Dwelley: Table 33-16, Item 9: Change to "per pair set capacitance" allows 360uF. We changed this to 180uF per Straw Poll 2 in Pittsburgh. Pres: Inrush Suggested/Remedy Change back to "PD capacitance" Change back to "PD capacitance" Chair note: This is done? It's now called "PI capacitance during MDI_POWER states" and "	HOLD OVER for Le A Type 4 PSE is dis must implement 4P We do not want to p Currently Table 33-3 restricting it to Class	nnart Yseboodt: tinct from a Type 3 PSE in way). orevent Type 4 PSEs from prov 3 requires a Type 4 PSE to hav	iding also power	ver (Vpse min, polarity, below class 7.	HOLD We do requir was e In son numb	0 OVER for Yair I on't want to wait ed due to measunded earlier. ne large mutiporter of ports and P	Darshan: 50- 75msec in Type 3 and 4 iring PD voltage/current/time systems time for all ports to	e profile by the PS o be ON is affecte	E and knowing that it d by Tinrush*N. N			
The dotac_funct_control of the function of the		onto 1, 2 and 4 alog for Type 4			Suggester	dRemedv						
Replaced by comment #/2. Chad, please withdraw this comment. Cl 33 SC 33.3.7 P94 L 48 # 106 Jones, Chad Cisco Pres: Inrush HOLD OVER for Dave Dwelley: Table 33-18, item 9: Change to "per pair set capacitance" allows 360uF. We changed this to 180uF per Straw Poll 2 in Pittsburgh. Pres: Inrush SuggestedRemedy Change back to "PD capacitance" Charge back to "PD capacitance" Pres: Inrush resonance: This is done? It's now called "PI capacitance during MDL_POWER states" and "C_port" Proposed Response Response Status W Wait for presentation Wait for presentation W Add the following text after line 36. The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pair set may exceed the per pair set	Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z	er.		To ad To ad 1. Sho 2. Fas	d Editor Note at dress the followin ortening Tinrush stening Tinrush b	ng issues: if PSE has the knowledge th y allowing higher linrush_m					
Chad, please withdraw this comment. Cf 33 SC 33.3.7 P 94 L 48 106 Jones, Chad Cisco Cisco Pres: Inrush HOLD OVER for Dave Dwelley: Table 33-18, item 9: Change to "per pair set capacitance" allows 360uF. We changed this to 180uF per Straw Poll 2 in Pittsburgh. Pres: Inrush Comment Type TR Comment Status D Pres: In SuggestedRemedy Change back to "PD capacitance" Chair note: This is done? It's now called "PI capacitance during MDI_POWER states" and "C_port" a)Reducing dynamic stress on the MOSFET during POWER UP and b)Reach faster startup with lower probability for startup oscillations () Handle different load behaviour during startup that is time dependent. Wait for presentation Wait for presentation SuggestedRemedy Motion of the presentation Cisco SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Wait for presentation Wait for presentation SuggestedRemedy Add the following text after line 36. The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pair set may exceed the per pair set may exceed the per pair set may exceed the per pair set POWER UP has started and shall not exceed LLM-2P maximum as specified by Table 33-11 item 9.	Replaced by comm	ont #72			Proposed	Response	Response Status W					
Iones, Chad Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X Pres: Inrush HOLD OVER for Dave Dwelley: Table 33-18, item 9: Change to "per pair set capacitance" allows 360uF. We changed this to 180uF per Straw Poll 2 in Pittsburgh. Pres: Inrush SuggestedRemedy Change back to "PD capacitance" Change back to "PD capacitance" Pres: Inrush Change back to "PD capacitance" Change back to "PD capacitance" MOLD OVER for Yair Darshan: It is usefull to allow higher Inrush current than 450mA after TBD time from POWER UP start for the following reasons: a)Reducing dynamic stress on the MOSFET during POWER UP and b)Reach faster startup with lower probability for startup oscilations c) Handle different load behaviour during startup that is time dependent. "C_port" Proposed Response Response Status W Wait for presentation W Add the following text after line 36. The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pair set may exceed the per pair set POWER UP has started and shall not exceed ILIM-2P maximum as specified by Table 33-11 item 9. Proposed Response Response Status Z PROPOSED REJECT. PROPOSED REJECT.	Chad, please withdr	aw this comment.										
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Pres: Inrush HOLD OVER for Dave Dwelley: Table 33-18, item 9: Change to "per pair set capacitance" allows 360uF. We changed this to 180uF per Straw Poll 2 in Pittsburgh. Dones, Chad Cisco SuggestedRemedy Change back to "PD capacitance" Dones, Chad Cisco Change back to "PD capacitance" Anne? It's now called "PI capacitance during MDI_POWER states" and "C_port" Dones, Chad Cisco Proposed Response Response Status W Add the following text after line 36. The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pair set may exceed the per pairs set Status all not exceed ILIM-2P maximum as specified by Table 33-11 item 9. Proposed Response Response Status Response Status Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. Response Status Response Status Proposed Response		Cisco	# 106	CI 33	SC 33.2.7.5	P 73	L 2	# 108				
Proposed Response Response Status W Wait for presentation Add the following text after line 36. The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pair set may exceed the per pair set may exceed	HOLD OVER for Da Table 33-18, item 9 to 180uF per Straw SuggestedRemedy Change back to "PE Chair note: This is c	e Dwelley: Change to "per pair set capacitance" allows 360 oll 2 in Pittsburgh. capacitance"		360uF. We changed this	Comment HOLD It is us start f a)Red b)Rea	Type TR OVER for Yair I sefull to allow hig or the following r lucing dynamic s ich faster startup	Comment Status D Darshan: her Inrush current than 450 easons: tress on the MOSFET durin with lower probability for sta	g POWER UP and artup oscilations	d			
Wait for presentation Add the following text after fine so. Wait for presentation The maximum inrush current sourced by the PSE per pair set may exceed the per					Suggestee	dRemedy						
PROPOSED REJECT.					The m PSE i	naximum inrush o nrush template ii	current sourced by the PSE n Figure 33–13 only TBD ms	sec after POWER	UP has started and			
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.						•	,	-				
					This c	comment was WI	THDRAWN by the commen	iter.				
Waiting for Yair's presentation.					Waitir	ng for Yair's pres	entation.					

C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 20 L 20 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies	# 109	C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.4 Johnson, Peter	P 35 Sifos Technol	L 52 ogies	# 111			
Comment Type E Comment Status A Typo - 'classify the PD only once or both of the pair sets.'	PSE Classification	Comment Type T Comment Status X Press legacy_powerup state variable definition.						
Replace 'or' with 'on'. SuggestedRemedy classify the PD only once on both of the pair sets. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace with "classify the PD only once. Classification events ma pairsets."	ay appear on one or both	802.3at PSE's whereb nominal range. This allowed to set Type-2 all PD's that delay or s resulting in effective ir	only implemented inrush beha y inrush is deemed completed behavior is not recommended parameters for Icut and Ilim uj stagger inrush loads might not irush currents at 684mA or hig rrents to Type-1 / Type-2 PD's s should be avoided.	as soon as por in 802.3at beca oon the complet experience inru her. Type-3 ar	rt voltage is in a use Type-2 PSE's are ion of inrush meaning sh current limiting at all nd Type-4 may allow			
See comment 227, 182, 62 Cl 33 SC 33.3.5 P 88 L 36 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies	# 110		es not support legacy power up recommended Type-1 and Ty Response Status W					
Comment Type E Comment Status A , Data Link Layer classification Add "DLL" here since that is the term used in the Table 33-15a SuggestedRemedy	Editorial	Wait for Yair's Inrush Cl 33 SC 33.2.7 Johnson, Peter	P 66 Sifos Technol Comment Status A	<i>L</i> 51 ogies	# <u>112</u>			
, Data Link Layer (DLL) classification Response Response Status C ACCEPT. EZ		Comment Type T Table 33-11 Item 4: All 3 versions of Icon- SuggestedRemedy Add 'See 33.2.7.4' to	2P specifications appear to ne	ed to reference	Editoria paragraph 33.2.7.4.			
		Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP OBE by comment 6. EZ	Response Status C LE.					

CI 33	SC	33.2.7	P 67	L 7	# 113	CI 33	SC	33.2.7.4	P 7	1	L 27	# 114
Johnson,	ohnson, Peter Sifos Technologies						Johnson, Peter Sifos Techno			Technol	ogies	
Comment	Comment Type T Comment Status X PSE Power						Туре	т	Comment Status	D		PSE Power
Table	Table 33-11, Item 4a., Icon-2P-unbal						For Type 3 and Tyep-4 PSEs, Icon-2P as specified in Table 33-11 shall be met when ther is no end to end pair-to-pair current unbalance. When end to end pair-to-pair current					
The s	The specified MAXIMUM value for Icon-2P-unb is actually less than Ilim_min and load					unbalance is present, the Icon-2P may incrase up to the value of Icon-2P-UNB"					on-2P-UNB"	

These sentences suggests that somehow the PSE KNOWS of the presence of end-to-end unbalance and then MAY increase Icon-2P UP TO Icon-2P-unb as a result. This is confusing and hard to interpret.

SuggestedRemedy

No replacement language is suggested at this time and the fix may require changes in Table 33-11.

If Icon were always enforced as a sum of all powered pair sets, then in terms of furnishing minimum required power (continuous output current) to a PD, there is no concern about pair-to-pair unbalance at all.

Beyond this, any means by which a PSE escalates Icon-2P to Icon-2P-unb needs to be clarified. For example, a PSE could 'KNOW' that pair-to-pair unbalance should be considered following a Single Signature connection check. Conversely, a Dual Signature PD with dissimilar class signatures might exempt the PSE from Icon-2P-unb escalation.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Need to discuss this as a group. Should Icon be a total current?

Are these in fact MINIMUM values? If so, then they are only applicable to one pair set and in accordance with footnote 1, the other pair must provide some value less than Icon-2P.

There is also a second problem that Icon-2P-unbal is an absolute value and not PSE voltage dependent like Icon and Pclass. This disparity undermines the benefit of specifying Icon and Pclass as formulas.

currents below Ilim_min can be sourced indefinitely by a PSE according to figure 33-14, the operating current template. So Icon-2P-unbal cannot be a MAXIMUM value for PSE

SuggestedRemedy

This is a tough one to solve given the current structure of Table 33-11.

source current, even in a perfectly balanced system.

One possibility would be to specify 'Icon' as the minimum total continuous current on all powered pair sets, noting that with Type-1 and Type-2 and perhaps certain cases of Type-3, there is only one powered pair set. In this case, the minimum for Icon is Pclass/Vport-PSE-2p regardless of pair-to-pair unbalance.

Then separately specify 'Icon-Pair-max' as the minimum total continuous current on a single pair set including effects of pair-to-pair unbalance. For 2-pair powering, this would be Icon but for 4-Pair powering, would be a formula used to compute maximum pair set current assuming Vport-PSE-2p and worst case system unbalance.

Proposed Response Response Status W

Yair to work on this week.

1 The total port current to both pairs of the same polarity shall not exceed PType/Vport_PSE = 0.5" (PType/Vport_PSE_2P)"(1+a) + 0.5" (Ptype/Vport_PSE_2P)"(1-a), where a is the effectTable 33-15aThis is not a true. A PSE may furnish up to llim-2P_min continously according to Figure 33- 14, the operating current template. Ilim-2P_min is greater than 0.5" (PType/Vport_PSE_2P) that really represents the minimum required output power of a PSE port operating at Vport_PSE_2P min.SuggestedRemedy Replace 33-15aWhile we have improved the PSE portion of this table, the PD portion has become contusing now that it is separate. It can be simplified.SuggestedRemedy The solution here depends on any structural changes to lcon-2P and lcon-2P-unb that might be forthcoming.TypeClassClassClassSignatureDLL1.30-3see Table 33-16Mandatory35-6see Table 33-16Mandatory35-6see Table 33-16Mandatory35-6see Table 33-16Mandatory35-6see Table 33-16Mandatory35-6see Table 33-16Mandatory35-6see Table 33-16Mandatory35-7see Table 33-16Mandatory47-8see Table 33-16Mandatory35-6see Table 33-16Mandatory47-8see Table 33-16Mandatory35-6see Table 33-16Mandatory47-8see Table 33-16Mandatory5Sort active to solve the physical layer requirements from this table. There are other err as w	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7	P 69 L 28		# 115	CI 33	SC 33.	3.5		P 89	L 1	# 117
1 The total port current to both pairs of the same polarity shall not exceed Prype/YoorLPSE = 0.5"(PTYpe/YoorLPSE = 2.5"(PTYpe/YoorLPSE = 2.5"(PTYPE/T) at the ally repaired of the same polarity shall not exceed Pclass/VPSE 7 as so 3 3.2.7.4 P72 L17 # [16] The sum of the current of all pairs with the same polarity shall not exceed Pclass/VPSE Dubalance Response Status C	Johnson, Peter	Sifos Technol	ogies		Johnson, F	Peter			Sifos Technolo	ogies	
PType/Vport_PSE = 0.5*/PType/Vport_PSE_2P)*(1+a) + 0.5*/Ptype/Vport_PSE_2P)*(1+a), where a is the effect While we have improved the PSE portion of this table, the PD portion has become confusing now that it is separate. It can be simplified. This is not a true. A PSE may furnish up to Ilim-2P_min is greater than 0.5*(PType/Vport_PSE_2P_min. SuggestedRemedy The solution here depends on any structural changes to Icon-2P and Icon-2P-unb that might be forthcoming. One option is to simply remove the footnote altogether. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Mandatory Iknow Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. C1 33 SC 33.27.4 PT2 L 17 # [16] Comment Type T Comment Status D Unbelance Cisco Systems Cisco Systems Chass VPSE This would remove the physical layer requirements from this table. There are other err as well. Chass VPSE Cisco Systems Cisco Systems Also, VPSE's is not a defined parameter in Table 33-11. SuggestedRemedy Chass Cisco Systems SuggestedRemedy Chass Cisco Systems Ec Pair set is missing an 't. SuggestedRemedy Chass (C is a pair set' Response Response Response Status W Ec Comment Type E	21				Comment	Туре Т	Com	nment S	Status R		PD Classificatio
While we have improved the PSE portion of this table, the PD portion has become continuusly according to Figure 33- 14, the operating current template. Illm-2P_min is greater than 0.5° (PType/Vport_PSE_2P] that really represents the minimum required output power of a PSE port operating at Vport_PSE_2P_min.While we have improved the PSE portion of this table, the PD portion has become contuing now that it is separate. It can be simplified.Suggested/Remedy The solution here depends on any structural changes to Icon-2P and Icon-2P-unb that might be forthcoming.While we have improved the PSE portion of this table, the PD portion has become contuing now that it is separate. It can be simplified.Suggested/Remedy The solution here depends on any structural changes to Icon-2P and Icon-2P-unb that might be forthcoming.TypeClassClassSignature DL1,30-3see Table 33-16Mandatory35-6see Table 33-16Mandatory35-6see Table 33-16Mandatory47-8see Table 33-16Mandatory9PROPOSED REJECT.ItofItof1ItofItof1Stors TechnologiesItobalanceThe sum of the current of all pairs with the same polarity shall not exceed Pclass/VPSEPCSiges StatusC1Sc 33.22.77P74L16Itof11Siggested/RemedyClass SignatureItof23Sc 33.22.77P74L16Itof1Siggested/RemedyClassSiggested/Remedy2Siggested/RemedySiggested/					Table	33-15a					
14. the operating current template. SiggestedRemedy 0.5'(Typey Port,PSE_2P) that really represents the minimum required output power of a PSE port operating at Vport_PSE-2P_min. SiggestedRemedy The solution here depends on any structural changes to lcon-2P and lcon-2P-unb that might be forthcoming. No SiggestedRemedy One option is to simply remove the footnote altogether. 13 0-3 see Table 33-16 Mandatory 2,3 4 see Table 33-16 Mandatory 3 5-6 see Table 33-16 Mandatory 2,3 4 response Status W Remove footnote from Table 33-16a Mandatory 2,3 4 rest as the same polarity shall not exceed PCIAss Class Mandatory 2,3 4 response Response Status W Remove footnote from Table 33-16a Mandatory 2,3 A See Table 33-16 Mandatory 3 S-6 Response Status C 2,3 A See Table 33-16a Mandatory 3 S-6 Response Status C 2,3 A See Table 33-16a Mandatory 3 S-6 Response Status C 2,3 A See Table 33-16a Mandator	where a is the effect		, , , ,								n has become
0.5 (PType/Vpoir, PSE_2P) that really represents the minimum required output power of a PSE port operating at Vpoir, PSE_2P_min. Replace 33-15 a with: Suggested/Remedy The solution here depends on any structural changes to Icon-2P and Icon-2P-unb that might be forthcoming. 1,3 0-3 see Table 33-16 a Mindatory One option is to simply remove the footnote altogether. 1,3 0-3 see Table 33-16 a Mindatory <i>Troposed Response Response Status</i> W PROPOSED REJECT. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. <i>Remove</i> following sentence "Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 PDs implement* as it is completely redurant with the table now. 2/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4a P72 L 17 # [116] 0.The sum of the current of all pairs with the same polarity shall not exceed <i>D</i> (Datalance) <i>Response Response Status</i> C Pclass/VPSE To is statement is not true. At the PSE interface, current can continuously be sourced up to the value of lim_min-2P as shown in Figure 33-14, the operating current template. <i>P</i> (2 33 SC 33.2.7.7 <i>P</i> 74 <i>L</i> 16 # [118] Bullock, Chris Cisco Systems Cisco Systems <i>Comment Status</i> A <i>Ec P</i> (2 is not a defined parameter in Table 33-11. <i>SuggestedRemedy Change</i> "a pal set" to "a pais				according to Figure 33-	Suggested	Remedy					
SuggestedRemedy The solution here depends on any structural changes to Icon-2P and Icon-2P-unb that might be forthcoming. One option is to simply remove the footnote altogether. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4a P72 L 17 # 116 Ohnoson, Peter Sitos Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status D Pclass/VPSE: Unbalance The sultion of the current of all pairs with the same polarity shall not exceed Pclass/VPSE: Fis melnimum required current capacity at the PSE interface, given a particular Pclass_PD. Also, "VPSE" is not a defined parameter in Table 33-11. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Camment Status A Remove this statement. Response Response Response Status C Also, "VPSE" is not a defined parameter in Table 33-11. SuggestedRemedy Change "a pai set" to "a pair set" Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	0.5*(PType/Vport_PSE	_2P) that really represents the		uired output power of a	·						
The solution here depends on any structural changes to Icon-2P and Icon-2P-unb that might be forthcoming. 1,3 0-3 see Table 33-16 Mandatory One option is to simply remove the footnote altogether. 0 Mandatory 3 5-6 see Table 33-16 Mandatory Proposed Response Response Status W 4 7-8 see Table 33-16 Mandatory PROPOSED REJECT. Iknow Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. Remove footnote from Table 33-15a. Remove footnote from Table 33-15a. C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4a P72 L17 # 116 Timestament is not true. C The sum of the current of all pairs with the same polarity shall not exceed Pclass/VPSE Dubblance This statement is not true. At the PSE interface, current can continously be sourced up to the value of lim_min-2P as shown in Figure 33-11. C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7 P74 L16 # 118 Bullock, Chris Cisco Systems Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Type I Comment Type E Comment Type I SuggestedRemedy Change * apaiset* C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status W W SuggestedRemedy Change * apaiset* to * apair set* C <					• •		Class Si	gnature	e DLL		
One option is to simply remove the footnote altogether. Or opside Response Response Status PROPOSED REJECT. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. C/3 3 SC 33.2.7.4a PT2 L 17 L 17 # [16] Comment Type T Comment Status D Unbalance Response Status Comment Type T Comment Status D Pclass/VPSE Unbalance This statement is not true. At the PSE interface, current can continously be sourced up to the value of lim_min-2P as shown in Figure 33-14, the operating current template. Pclass/VPSE: is the minimum required current capacity at the PSE interface given a particular Pclass_PD. Also, "VPSE" is not a defined parameter in Table 33-11. SuggestedRemedy Remove this statement. Proposed Response Response Response Status Change "a pai set" to "a pair set" Response Response Status Comment Type Response Status Class "VPSE" is the minimum required current capacity at the PSE interface given a particular Pclass."PD. Also, "VPSE" is not a defined parameter. </td <td></td> <td>nds on any structural change</td> <td>es to Icon-2P an</td> <td>d Icon-2P-unb that</td> <td>1,3</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		nds on any structural change	es to Icon-2P an	d Icon-2P-unb that	1,3						
One option is to simply remove the footnote altogether. 4 7-8 see Table 33-16a Mandatory Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. Iknow Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. C////////////////////////////////////	might be forthcoming.				,				,		
Proposed Response Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. Remove following sentence "Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 PDs implement" as it is completely redundant with the table now. C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4a P72 L 17 # 116 Iohnson, Peter Sifos Technologies C Response Status C Comment Type T Comment Status D Unbalance Response Response Status C This statement is not true. At the PSE interface, current can continously be sourced up to the value of Ilim_min-2P as shown in Figure 33-14, the operating current template. Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.7 P74 L 16 # 118 Bullock, Chris Cisco Systems Comment Status A Economent Type E Comment Status A<	One ontion is to simply	remove the footnote altoget	her								
PROPOSED REJECT. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.4 P 72 L 17 # 116 Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies Unbalance Remove following sentence "Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 PDs implement" as it is completely redundant with the table now. Comment Type T Comment Status D Unbalance The sum of the current of all pairs with the same polarity shall not exceed Pclass/VPSE This statement is not true. At the PSE interface, current can continously be sourced up to the value of Illim_min-2P as shown in Figure 33-14, the operating current template. P 74 L 16 # 118 Bullock, Chris Cisco Systems Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status A Economent Type E Also, "VPSE" is not a defined parameter in Table 33-11. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Pair set is missing an 'r'. SuggestedRemedy Response Status W Change "a pai set" to "a pair set" C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		0			7	10			Mandatory		
I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that would address this. I know Yair and Jean are working on a new figure for Type 4 that the following on the current of all pairs with the same polarity shall not exceed Pclass/VPSE Interview of the current of all pairs with the same polarity shall not exceed Pclass/VPSE is the minimum required current capacity at the PSE interface given a particular Pclass_PD. Interview of the prove the phylical layer requirements from this table. There are other err as well. SuggestedRemedy Response Trop FE Comment Type		Response Status w			Remov	ve footnote	from Table 3	3-15a.			
Johnson, Peter Sifos Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status D The sum of the current of all pairs with the same polarity shall not exceed Pclass/VPSE This statement is not true. At the PSE interface, current can continously be sourced up to the value of Ilim_min-2P as shown in Figure 33-14, the operating current template. Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.7 P74 L 16 # 118 Bullock, Chris Cisco Systems Pclass/VPSE Sites the minimum required current capacity at the PSE interface given a particular Pclass_PD. Pair set is missing an 'r'. Also, "VPSE" is not a defined parameter in Table 33-11. SuggestedRemedy Change "a pai set" to "a pair set" Response Response Status W	I know Yair and Jean a	re working on a new figure fo	or Type 4 that we	ould address this.						pe 4 PDs imple	ment" as it is
Idohnson, Peter Sifos Technologies REJECT. Comment Type T Comment Status D Unbalance The sum of the current of all pairs with the same polarity shall not exceed Unbalance This would remove the phylical layer requirements from this table. There are other err as well. This statement is not true. At the PSE interface, current can continously be sourced up to the value of Ilim_min-2P as shown in Figure 33-14, the operating current template. Pclass/VPSE is the minimum required current capacity at the PSE interface given a particular Pclass_PD. C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7 P74 L 16 # 118 SuggestedRemedy Remove this statement. Comment Type E Comment Status A Ec Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status K C	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4a	P 72	# 116	Response		Resp	onse S	status C			
The sum of the current of all pairs with the same polarity shall not exceed Pclass/VPSE This statement is not true. At the PSE interface, current can continously be sourced up to the value of Ilim_min-2P as shown in Figure 33-14, the operating current template. Pclass/VPSE is the minimum required current capacity at the PSE interface given a particular Pclass_PD. Also, "VPSE" is not a defined parameter in Table 33-11. SuggestedRemedy Remody Remove this statement. Proposed Response Response Status W	Johnson, Peter	Sifos Technol	ogies		REJEC	CT.					
Pclass/VPSE Pclass/VPSE This statement is not true. At the PSE interface, current can continously be sourced up to the value of llim_min-2P as shown in Figure 33-14, the operating current template. Pclass/VPSE is the minimum required current capacity at the PSE interface given a particular Pclass_PD. Also, "VPSE" is not a defined parameter in Table 33-11. SuggestedRemedy Remove this statement. Proposed Response Response Status W	Comment Type T	-			This w	ould remov	ve the phyisca	al layer	requirements f	rom this table.	There are other errors
This statement is not true. At the PSE interface, current can continously be sourced up to the value of Ilim_min-2P as shown in Figure 33-14, the operating current template. Pclass/VPSE is the minimum required current capacity at the PSE interface given a particular Pclass_PD. Also, "VPSE" is not a defined parameter in Table 33-11. SuggestedRemedy Remedy Remove this statement. Proposed Response Response Status W		nt of all pairs with the same p	of all pairs with the same polarity shall not exceed		as wel	Ι.			-		
the value of Ilim_min-2P as shown in Figure 33-14, the operating current template. Pclass/VPSE is the minimum required current capacity at the PSE interface given a particular Pclass_PD. Also, "VPSE" is not a defined parameter in Table 33-11. SuggestedRemedy Remove this statement. Proposed Response Response Status W	FCI855/VF3E				CI 33	SC 33.	2.7.7		P 74	L 16	# 118
Pclass/VPSE is the minimum required current capacity at the PSE interface given a particular Pclass_PD. Comment Type E Comment Status A Pair set is missing an 'r'. Also, "VPSE" is not a defined parameter in Table 33-11. SuggestedRemedy Change "a pai set" to "a pair set" Remove this statement. Response Status W					Bullock, Cł	nris			Cisco Systems	6	
Also, VPSE is not a defined parameter in Table 35-11. Change "a pai set" to "a pair set" SuggestedRemedy Change "a pai set" to "a pair set" Remove this statement. Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Pclass/VPSE is the mir							nment S	Status A		Editoria
SuggestedRemedy Change "a pair set" to "a pair set" Remove this statement. Response Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Also "VPSF" is not a d	efined narameter in Table 33	8-11		Suggested	Remedy					
Remove this statement. Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					Chang	e "a pai se	t" to "a pair se	et"			
Proposed Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	•••				Response		Resp	onse S	tatus C		
					•				-		
					OBE b	y commen	t 28				
Yair to work on this this week. EZ	Yair to work on this this	week.			EZ						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC 33A.3 P 153 L 10 # 119 Bullock, Chris Cisco Systems	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5.5 P 56 L 51 # 121 Bullock, Chris Cisco Systems
Comment Type E Comment Status A The section defines Intra pair resistance unbalancenot Inter pair resistance unbalance	Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editoria Reference to table is wrong. Ropen is defined in Table 33-6, not Table 33-4.
SuggestedRemedy Change "Inter Pair Resistance Unbalance" to "Intra Pair Resistance Unbalance"	SuggestedRemedy Change "Ropen as defined in Table 33-4," to "Ropen as defined in Table 33-6,"
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
I agree that this should be Intra Pair. Where did "Inter" come from?	OBE by comment 204.
Would OBE comment 196	EZ
C/ 33 SC 33.2.3 P 32 L 5 # 120 Bullock, Chris Cisco Systems Cisco Systems Disco Systems	C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4a P 72 L 7 # 122 Bullock, Chris Cisco Systems
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial A PSE device may provide power via one or both the of two valid four-wire connections. Editorial Editorial	Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editoria "PSE PI Pair-to-Ppair-to-pairair" should be "PSE PI Pair-to-pair"
The words "the of" should be "of the" SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Change "PSE PI Pair-to-Ppair-to-pairair" to "PSE PI Pair-to-pair"
Replace: A PSE device may provide power via one or both the of two valid four-wire connections.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
With: A PSE device may provide power via one or both of the two valid four-wire connections.	OBE by comment 232.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	EZ
EZ	

IEEE P802.3bt D1.1	4-Pair Power over Ethernet 4t	h Task Force review comments
--------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------

Cl 33 SC Bullock, Chris	33.2.5.1	P 55 Cisco Systems	L 8	# 123	C/ 33 Picard, Jean	SC 33.3.7.3	Р 96 Texas Instrum	L 47	# 125
	TD	Comment Status A		Commontion Choole		о т р	Comment Status D	lonto	
Comment Type Table 33-4: Voc and Isc	TR should also	o apply to connection check sta	ate.	Connection Check			clarifications, Cport is the cap	pacitance the PS	PD Inrush E will see during
For Item 1 ar	nd 2, chang	ge Additional information colur	nn to include (Connection Check.	SuggestedRe	nedy			
							e port capacitance seen by ar on two twisted pairs.	n attached PSE	during startup and
SuggestedReme	dv				Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status W		
00		tate only" to "In Detection state	e or Connectio	n Check state"	PROPOS	ED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
Response ACCEPT. EZ		Response Status C				•	e port capacitance seen by ar on a pair set.	n attached PSE	during startup and
C/ 33 SC Bullock, Chris	33.2.4.6	P 41 Cisco Systems	L 22	# 124					
	ection state	Comment Status D performed prior to detection, a e. As such, an result of "open_ esult.							
SuggestedReme replace "oper		n one of the pair sets" to "oper	n_circuit on bo	th of the pair sets"					
Proposed Respo PROPOSED		Response Status WIIN PRINCIPLE.							

OBE by comment # 7.

CI 33	SC 33.1.4	P 23	L 13	# 126		C/ 33	SC 33.1.4
Shariff, Mas	ood	CommScope				Shariff, Maso	bod
Comment T	ype T	Comment Status A			Cabling	Comment Ty	vpe T
requirer Additior	ment on DC loo nally, specifica r, if they are al	ectly identifies ISO/IEC 11801:20 op resistance, this applies to ISO tion does not imply which requir I the same.	O/IEC 11801:	1995, but not 2		studied a	on initial infor and specified with all 4 pair I effort.
00	as follows:					SuggestedR	emedy
						Adjust th	ne maximum
with the These r ANSI/TI 568-C.2 11801:2	additional req equirements a IA/EIA-568-A a 2. Type 3 oper 2002. These re	uires Class D or better cabling as juirement that channel DC loop are also met by Category 5 cable and Category 5e or better cablin ration requires Class D or better equirements are also met by Ca	resistance sh and compor g component cabling as sp	all be 25 ohms nents as specifie s specified in A pecified in ISO/I	or less. ed in NSI/TIA- EC	Response	Icont-2p=865 F IN PRINCIF Note to:
compon	ients specified	I in ANSI/TIA-568-C.2.				Onange	
Response		Response Status C				Editor's this draft	Note: Type 3
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIP	LE.				These n	umbers shou
Replace	e with:						
with the These r ANSI/TI 568-C.2 ISO/IEC	additional req equirements a IA/EIA-568-A a 2. Type 3 and C 11801:2002.	uires Class D or better cabling as quirement that channel DC loop are also met by Category 5 cable and Category 5e or better cablin Type 4 operation requires Class These requirements are also n cified in ANSI/TIA-568-C.2.	resistance sh e and compor g component s D or better o	all be 25 ohms nents as specifie s specified in A cabling as speci	or less. ed in NSI/TIA- fied in	Liason li	nderway with nks ound at http://
See cor	nments 248, 1	60.					

ormation received from IEEE 802.3bt, the maximum current per pair ed in drafts ISO/IEC TR 29125 Ed2 and TIA TSB 184-A are 1000 mA irs powered. Repeating the work with higher currents will take a lot of

Icont-2p_unb from 1087 mA to 1000 mA in the Editors note:

5mA, Icont-2p_unb=1087mA

Response Status C

IPLE.

3 and Type 4 current for extended power are presently under study in

uld converge to lcon-2p_unb in Table 33-11.

th TIA and others to study the effect of unbalance on temperature rise.

://www.ieee802.org/3/bt/public/mar15/Liaisons.pdf

C/ 33 Shariff, Ma	SC 33.1.1 Isood	P 20 CommScope	L 5	# 128	C/ 33 S Darshan, Yair	SC 33.2.7	P 68 Microsemi	L 3439	# 130
Comment	Tvpe T	Comment Status D		Cabling	Comment Type	e ER	Comment Status A		Editorial
The se Type 2 operat	entence below is 2 operation requi ion requires ISC	confusing and does not includ res ISO/IEC 11801:1995 Clas /IEC 11801:2002 Class D or b ient operating temperature.	s D or better c	ations. abling, and Type 3	This comn Table 33-1 The text: " of the sam	nent was acc 1 item 17, a The pair set e polarity ar	cepted in D1.0 and was not ex dditional information column, with highest current" is not cle id we care of the pair with the ind negative pairs of a pair se	line 12 ear since we are highest current a	looking at two pairs and not the pair-set
Suggestea	lRemedy				SuggestedRen	nedy			
Rewrit	e the sentences	as shown below:			Change to	"The pair w	ith highest current" in two loca	ations	
Type	operation requi	res Class D or better cabling a	es specified in	ISO/IEC 11801-1005	Response		Response Status C		
with th	e additional requ	irement that channel DC loop	resistance sha	all be 25 ohms or less.	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPL	-E.		
ANSI/	ΓΙΑ/ĖΙΑ-568-Α a	e also met by Category 5 cabl nd Category 5e or better cabli ation requires Class D or bette	ng components	s specified in ANSI/TIA-	This is fror	n comment	347 D1.0: Don't implement, in	nstead:	
11801 compo		quirements are also met by C	ategory 5e or b	petter cable and	Change to	:			
Proposed		Response Status W			"Applies to	highest cur	rent pair."		
PROP	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			CI 33 S	C Annex 3	3C <i>P</i> 155	L 13	# 131
Langu	age still under c	onsideration			Darshan, Yair		Microsemi		
Ũ	0				Comment Type	e T	Comment Status A		Editorial
	SC 33.A.4	ombine this with comment 159	•				t cycle D1.0 we have accepte .pdf. Page 4 (Annex C) was n		
<i>CI</i> 33 Shariff, Ma		P 153 CommScope	L 31	# 129	SuggestedRen	nedy			
Comment Draft I	<i>Type</i> T SO/IEC TR 2912	Comment Status D 25 Ed2 and TIA TSB-184-A bo				age 4 from .ieee802.org	g/3/bt/public/jun15/darshan_0	1_0615_rev_013	a.pdf to PAGE 55 after
		nce values and for consistency	/ annex 33A sł	ould reflect the same.	Response		Response Status C		
Suggestea	,				ACCEPT.				
use pa Rcont_	ir to pair resista	RUNB from 7.5 % to 7 % glob nce unbalance. Hopefully this l87 mA to 1000 mA bringing th NTSB 184-A?	may change th	ie 1087 mA	EZ				
IR 29									
Proposed	Response	Response Status W							

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7 Darshan, Yair	P 94 Microsemi	L 37	# 132	Cl 33 SC Darshan, Yair	33.3.7.3	P 96 Microsemi	L 27	# 134
Comment Type T Table 33-18 item 7: In June we have chan	Ged eq-33-12a to be used for a ble 33-18 item 7 accordingly.	all classes abov	PD Power re class 4.	Comment Type 33.3.7.3 Inpu Inrush currer pair set com before TInrus	nt per pair-s pliant with ' sh-2P min current thre	Comment Status X	s defined in Tab h-2P min, the Pl	le 33-18, and ending
parameter name: Cha Max value: Change fro PD Type: change to 3	n the parameter: Peak operatin nge to: Peak operating power, mm 1.11xPclass_PD to 1.05xP 4. s of item 7 for classes 6, 7, an <i>Response Status</i> C	class 5, 6, 7 ar class_PD		The time poi It is only a fu Cport betwee POWERUP equivalent to See detailed titled: "Only I	nt when PE nction of th en 5uF to 1 phase, it ha Tinrush_n analysis ir PD affects	D Inrush is ending is not funct the PD internal design that reg 80uF e.g. for Type 1 and 2 at as to complete linrush within s nin at Table 33-11 which is a darshan_01_0715.pdf, PD POWERUP Tinrush max	ardless of the ch nd load current of 50msec which is PSE requiremer	noices it has to use of up to 350mA during s the number nts.
	P 36	L 49	# 133	SuggestedReme See detailed	•	nd updated suggested remed	lv in darshan 01	0715 pdf
Darshan, Yair	Microsemi	2 45	<i>"</i> 135				y in duronan_or	
set B. The current text says pair set A it is sufficier What about the status		means that if w		pair set com "Inrush curre pair set com when Vport_	nt per pair pliant with ' nt per pair pliant with ' PD-2P rea TInrush-2F	set is drawn beginning with th /port_PD-2P requirements as set is drawn beginning with th /port_PD-2P requirements as ches steady state within time P min, the PD shall not excee	s defined in To: he application of s defined in Tab duration TInrusl	input voltage at the le 33–18, and ends h-2P min per Table
overload condition (se	the PSE output current over a e 33.2.7.6) for" the PSE output current over 1	·		Proposed Respo waiting for pi		Response Status W		
an overload condition Proposed Response waiting for presentatio	Response Status W							

C/ 33	SC 33.3.7.3	P 96	L 48	# 135	C/ 33	SC 33.3.7	P 94	L 48	# 137
Darshan, Y		Microsemi	∠ 40	# 133	Darshan, `		P 94 Microsemi	L 40	# 137
We do require was ei In som numbe behav	ALSO IN D1.0 (on't want to wait is ed due to measu nded earlier. ne large mutiport er of ports and P rior.	Comment Status X COMMENT #334) 50- 75msec in Type 3 and 4 s iring PD voltage/current/time p systems time for all ports to SE power supply power capa	profile by the PS be ON is affecte	E and knowing that it d by Tinrush*N. N	The cu require Cport- If Type than fo Type 4 Dual S Type 2	33-18 item 9: urrent values i ements as in 2P_min need e 1/2 Cportmin or SS PD: 3 needs total 4	may not adress the need to ke Type 2 etc for Type 3 and 4. to be defined for Type 3 and 4 n=5uF 4P input capacitance 10uF. 4P input capacitance 10uF. will need: r set.		-
	Response ng for presentatio SC 33.2.7.5	Response Status W on. P73	L 15	# 136	(There try to c	e are two poss define what is	aning need to be specified in a sible interpretations for 33.3.7.3 Cport.) an_04_0715.pdf : Table 33-18	3 lines 39-40 and l	
Darshan,		Microsemi			Suggested				
Comment		<i>Comment Status</i> X her Inrush current than 450m	A after TBD tim	Pres: Inrush	Make	,	updates for Table 33-18 item 9 odf	and related text p	per page 5 of
start fo	or the following r	easons:			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
b)Han issue high ir I does	dle different load of some PDs that oput capacitance	with lower probability for star I behaviour during startup tha at turn ON full power during Po- to reach steady state faster. en on PSE as PSE move from 5.pdf	t is time depend OWERUP. e.g.2	: Supports PDs with	Wait f	or presentatio	n		
Suggested	dRemedy								
Add th	ne following text	after line 36.							
PSE ir	nrush template ir	current sourced by the PSE p n Figure 33–13 only TBD mse 2P maximum as specified by	c after POWER	UP has started and					
Proposed	Response	Response Status W							

I asked for a presentation on this for July. Is there one?

CI 33 SC 33.	.2.4.4	P 35	L 45	# 138	CI 33	SC 33.2.7	.4b	P 72	L 40	# 140
Darshan, Yair		Microsemi			Darshan, Ya	air		Microsemi		
Comment Type T	TR Co	omment Status D		PSE Inrush	Comment T	ype TR		Comment Status X		Pres: Unbalance
output and use t The above text s intent: lines 46-47 says Using only the P SuggestedRemedy Repalce The tex information" with:	ariable is pro that informat should matcl s: Pl pair set vo ct " for PSE	ovided for PSEs that (onl	e the word "only" e insufficient" air set voltage of	which is the correct	conditio 1. In pro- relation (see eq As we a worst c Due to define e So far w 6 and 8 expand 2.In ord effective	ns for comp evious drafts ship in order uation 33-4k Iready know ase condition h efact that quation 33- ve have sup e have sup and we need ng equation er to check e resistance	letion we ac to gua). r, E2E the for E2EP the for blied the d to co 33-4b for cor to ens	TBD in clause 33.2.7.4b. If the infrastructure work need dd the equations needed for arantee compliance with sy P2P_lunb is function of po aximum system operating 2P_lunb is decreased whe each operating class. he requirements for Type 3 omplete it for class 5 and 7 to include requirements for npliance, we need test set sure that the PSE under test which is a normative Anney	ded for PSE PI or designing Rpa vstem E2EP2PI wer level and w power class lev n load power is and Type 4 ma as well. This p or class 5 and 7 up that will inclust meets the rec	P2PRUNB. air_max/min unb/Runb objectives e care only for the el. increased, we need to aximum power i.e. class art will be addressed by
Proposed Response	e Re	sponse Status W			Suggested	•	EX D \			
PROPOSED RE	EJECT.					-	the s	uggested remedy at pages	2-5 at darshan	06 0715.pdf for
than the output v	voltage. Thu	r, then this variable would us, your PSE would not b			updated The title	l comment a of this pres	nd su entatio	ggested remedy. on/attachment is: PSE PI Pair-to-Pair Resist		
think this is what	t you want.				Proposed R			Response Status W		
C/ 33 SC 33. Darshan, Yair	.3.7.3	P 90 Microsemi	L 43	# 139	waiting	for presenta				
Comment Type T	TR Co	omment Status X		Pres: Inrush						
The following co supported by PS the same comm	omment adre SE linrush. S nent. darshan_02_	osses linrush in Table 33 ince both parameters ar 0715.pdf titled: Type 3 a	etied together, th	ney are adressed at						
SuggestedRemedy										
2014.	nce valuse fo	1 item 5a linrush. It is in r Type 3 and 4 for SS ar		rk done on September						
Proposed Response Waiting for prese		sponse Status W								
	ontotion									

	C 33.2.4.6	P 42	L 12	# 141	C/ 33	SC 33.2.5.6		P 60	L 12	# 143
Schindler, Fred		Seen Simply	/		Schindler, Fr	ed		Seen Simply		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A			Comment Ty	vpe TR	Comment	Status A		PSE Classification
returned by performing	en_circuit: 1 a PSE perf detection ov	The PSE has detected an op orming detection using Alte ver each pair set, if either pa	rnative B, or by T air set yields an c	ype 3 and 4 PSEs	Therefor power re	e, PSEs powe	ering both pair	sets need to id , PDs with isola	tion values on e entify the PD cla ated loads will n	ass to meet the PD
Limits imple	entations tha	at want to power one or both	n pair sets.		SuggestedR	emedy				
SuggestedRem	nedv				Strike the	e "(TBD)" in th	ne draft senten	ce on line 12.		
"Values: op detection fo value is opt will used th	pen_circuit: or PSE Type tionally retur is informatio	xt called out with, The PSE has detected an c is that will use this informati ned by PSE Types perform in to power only on one pair is used for detection for Type	on to power only ing detection usir set. The PSE h	on one pair set. This ng Alternative B, that as detected an open	classifica classifica classifica and Type	ation using at ation; or Multip ation. Both pa	least one of the ble-Event Physic ir sets attached will deliver 4-p	e following: Mu ical Layer clas d to a Dual-sigr pair power.	Itiple-Event Phy sification and D	
		both pair sets."			Response	-	Response	Status C		
Response		Response Status C			ACCEPT	Ι.				
ACCEPT IN	N PRINCIPL	E.			C/ 33	SC 33.2.7.7		P 74	L 16	# 144
This walks		le is optional and is only us		alvaff tim an Thia	Schindler, Fr	ed		Seen Simply		
behavior ap	oplies to any	PSE that sees an open cire e 3/4 text added to open_ci	,	is overridden by valid_A.	Comment Ty Typo "pa	, ai".	Comment	Status A		Editoria
behavior ap Remedy: F	oplies to any	PSE that sees an open circ e 3/4 text added to open_ci	,	is overridden by valid_A. Ild restore original text)	Typo "pa SuggestedR	ai". emedy	Comment	Status A		Editoria
behavior ap Remedy: F	oplies to any Remove Typ C 33.2.4.7	PSE that sees an open cire	rcuit value. (shou	is overridden by valid_A.	Typo "pa SuggestedR Replace	, ai".				Editoria
behavior ap Remedy: F C/ 33 S(Schindler, Fred	oplies to any Remove Typ C 33.2.4.7	PSE that sees an open circ e 3/4 text added to open_ci <i>P</i> 52 Seen Simply	rcuit value. (shou	is overridden by valid_A. Ild restore original text) # [142	Typo "pa SuggestedR Replace Response	, emedy with "pair".	Response			Editoria
behavior ap Remedy: F Cl 33 So Schindler, Fred Comment Type	oplies to any Remove Typ C 33.2.4.7 ER	PSE that sees an open circ e 3/4 text added to open_ci P 52 Seen Simply <i>Comment Status</i> A	L 19	is overridden by valid_A. Ild restore original text) # 142 PSE SD	Typo "pa SuggestedR Replace Response	ai". emedy	Response			Editoria
behavior ap Remedy: F Cl 33 SC Schindler, Fred Comment Type The Editor's decided to	C 33.2.4.7 ER s note reference keep the leg	PSE that sees an open circ e 3/4 text added to open_ci P 52 Seen Simply Comment Status A ences figure 33-9, will not be jacy Type 1 and Type 2 PSI	<i>L</i> 19 <i>L</i> 19 modified becau E state diagram.	is overridden by valid_A. Ild restore original text) # 142 PSE SD se the Task Force Variables	Typo "pa SuggestedR Replace Response ACCEPT	, emedy with "pair".	Response			Editoria
behavior ap Remedy: F C/ 33 St Schindler, Fred Comment Type The Editor's decided to deny_dual_	C 33.2.4.7 ER s note refere keep the leg _sig_4p_pow	PSE that sees an open circ e 3/4 text added to open_ci P 52 Seen Simply Comment Status A ences figure 33-9, will not be jacy Type 1 and Type 2 PSI ver and maintain_4pair_pow	<i>L</i> 19 <i>L</i> 19 modified becau E state diagram.	is overridden by valid_A. Ild restore original text) # 142 PSE SD se the Task Force Variables	Typo "pa SuggestedR Replace Response ACCEPT OBE by	, emedy with "pair". Γ IN PRINCIP	Response			Editoria
behavior ap Remedy: F Cl 33 So Schindler, Fred Comment Type The Editor's decided to deny_dual_ state diagra	C 33.2.4.7 ER S note reference keep the leg sig_4p_pow am needs to	PSE that sees an open circ e 3/4 text added to open_ci P 52 Seen Simply Comment Status A ences figure 33-9, will not be jacy Type 1 and Type 2 PSI	<i>L</i> 19 <i>L</i> 19 modified becau E state diagram.	is overridden by valid_A. Ild restore original text) # 142 PSE SD se the Task Force Variables	Typo "pa SuggestedR Replace Response ACCEPT	, emedy with "pair". Γ IN PRINCIP	Response			Editoria
behavior ap Remedy: F C/ 33 So Schindler, Fred Comment Type The Editor's decided to deny_dual_ state diagra SuggestedRem	C 33.2.4.7 ER s note refere keep the leg sig_4p_pow am needs to pedy	PSE that sees an open circ e 3/4 text added to open_ci P 52 Seen Simply Comment Status A ences figure 33-9, will not be pacy Type 1 and Type 2 PSI ver and maintain_4pair_pow be developed.	<i>L</i> 19 <i>L</i> 19 e modified becau E state diagram. ver do not exist au	is overridden by valid_A. Ild restore original text) # 142 PSE SD Se the Task Force Variables nymore. The 4PID	Typo "pa SuggestedR Replace Response ACCEPT OBE by	, emedy with "pair". Γ IN PRINCIP	Response			Editoria
behavior ap Remedy: F C/ 33 So Schindler, Fred Comment Type The Editor's decided to deny_dual_ state diagra SuggestedRem	C 33.2.4.7 ER s note refere keep the leg sig_4p_pow am needs to pedy	PSE that sees an open circ e 3/4 text added to open_ci P 52 Seen Simply Comment Status A ences figure 33-9, will not be jacy Type 1 and Type 2 PSI ver and maintain_4pair_pow	<i>L</i> 19 <i>L</i> 19 e modified becau E state diagram. ver do not exist au	is overridden by valid_A. Ild restore original text) # 142 PSE SD Se the Task Force Variables nymore. The 4PID	Typo "pa SuggestedR Replace Response ACCEPT OBE by	, emedy with "pair". Γ IN PRINCIP	Response			Editoria
behavior ap Remedy: F C/ 33 So Schindler, Fred Comment Type The Editor's decided to deny_dual_ state diagra SuggestedRem Replace the Editor's Not	c 33.2.4.7 C 33.2.4.7 C 33.2.4.7 ER s note reference keep the leg sig_4p_pown am needs to hedy e Editors not te: The Stat	PSE that sees an open circ e 3/4 text added to open_ci P 52 Seen Simply Comment Status A ences figure 33-9, will not be pacy Type 1 and Type 2 PSI ver and maintain_4pair_pow be developed.	L 19 L 19 e modified becau E state diagram. ver do not exist au nding on line 40, v 33-9(TBD) needs	is overridden by valid_A. Ild restore original text) # 142 PSE SD Se the Task Force Variables nymore. The 4PID with	Typo "pa SuggestedR Replace Response ACCEPT OBE by	, emedy with "pair". Γ IN PRINCIP	Response			Editoria
behavior ap Remedy: F Cl 33 So Schindler, Fred Comment Type The Editor's decided to deny_dual_ state diagra SuggestedRem Replace the Editor's Not	c 33.2.4.7 C 33.2.4.7 C 33.2.4.7 ER s note reference keep the leg sig_4p_pown am needs to hedy e Editors not te: The Stat	PSE that sees an open circ e 3/4 text added to open_ci P 52 Seen Simply Comment Status A ences figure 33-9, will not be lacy Type 1 and Type 2 PSI ver and maintain_4pair_pow be developed. te starting on line 29 and en- te diagram shown in Figure	L 19 L 19 e modified becau E state diagram. ver do not exist au nding on line 40, v 33-9(TBD) needs	is overridden by valid_A. Ild restore original text) # 142 PSE SD Se the Task Force Variables nymore. The 4PID with	Typo "pa SuggestedR Replace Response ACCEPT OBE by	, emedy with "pair". Γ IN PRINCIP	Response			Editoria
behavior ap Remedy: F C/ 33 St Schindler, Fred Comment Type The Editor's decided to deny_dual_ state diagra SuggestedRem Replace the Editor's Not 4PID requir	c 33.2.4.7 C 33.2.4.7 C 33.2.4.7 ER s note reference keep the leg sig_4p_pown am needs to hedy e Editors not te: The Stat	PSE that sees an open circle e 3/4 text added to open_circle P 52 Seen Simply Comment Status A ences figure 33-9, will not be jacy Type 1 and Type 2 PSI ver and maintain_4pair_pow be developed. te starting on line 29 and en- te diagram shown in Figure care also covered in section	L 19 L 19 e modified becau E state diagram. ver do not exist au nding on line 40, v 33-9(TBD) needs	is overridden by valid_A. Ild restore original text) # 142 PSE SD Se the Task Force Variables nymore. The 4PID with	Typo "pa SuggestedR Replace Response ACCEPT OBE by	, emedy with "pair". Γ IN PRINCIP	Response			Editori
behavior ap Remedy: F Cl 33 St Schindler, Fred Comment Type The Editor's decided to deny_dual state diagra SuggestedRem Replace the Editor's Not 4PID requir Response	Remove Typ C 33.2.4.7 E ER s note refere keep the leg sig_4p_pow am needs to needy e Editors not te: The Stat	PSE that sees an open circle e 3/4 text added to open_circle P 52 Seen Simply Comment Status A ences figure 33-9, will not be jacy Type 1 and Type 2 PSI ver and maintain_4pair_pow be developed. te starting on line 29 and en- te diagram shown in Figure care also covered in section	L 19 L 19 e modified becau E state diagram. ver do not exist au nding on line 40, v 33-9(TBD) needs	is overridden by valid_A. Ild restore original text) # 142 PSE SD Se the Task Force Variables nymore. The 4PID with	Typo "pa SuggestedR Replace Response ACCEPT OBE by	, emedy with "pair". Γ IN PRINCIP	Response			Editori

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 33	SC 33.3.1	P 80	L 47	# 145	C/ 33	SC 33.3.7	P 94	L 16	# 147
Schindler,		Seen Simply	L 41	π 145	Schindler,		Seen Simply		π 147
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X		Pres: PD PI	Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		PD Power
The PI		ed to accept up to 57V on ead d any voltage from 0 V to 57 V			It is u	sed in Table 33-18	means a formal assurance 3 item 4 in two places. On p	age 95, line 52 a	and on page 96 line 3.
Type 1 set ind	ce the Draft text I and Type 2 PD lefinitely without	with, is shall withstand any voltage permanent damage. Type 3 V on both pair sets indefinite	and Type 4 PD	s shall withstand any	exten others powe powe	ded power that ma s (see Draft 1.0 #1 r, Class 5" min is 4 r, Class 6" min is 5	lifferentiate between averag ay be exceeded. This word 72). For example, a reader 40.0 W but the next line say 51.0 W. Now I am worried t to the Class 6 minimum valu	has caused con of Table 33-18 s "Input guarant hat the Class 5	fusion for me and sees "Input average eed available average has less commitment to
Proposed I	Response	Response Status W			The			20	
Waitin	g for Presentation	on			l belie	eve this word was	ovided this guidance for #17 added as part of the Extend se classes with extended po	ed Power work a	
See co	omment 189, 5					-			
C/ 33	SC 33.3.2	P 81	L 43	# 146			will result by striking the wo .7.2, which provides the ser		. Table 33-18 already
Schindler,		Seen Simply			If suc	h a PD has additio	onal information and does no	ot cause the PS	E to source more than
Comment		Comment Status A	The second strength of the				ne maximum input guarante		
		t support all Type 3 variants.	-				e same details. Designers ted out in section 33.3.7.2.	that want to use	extended power may
greate	r implement bot	h Multiple-Event Physical Lay	er classification	(see 33.3.5.2) and	Suggeste				
		fication (see 33.6). Type 3 PI dvertise a class signature of 3		ass signature of 4, 5, or		•	teed" in all Draft locations.		
Suggested	IRemedy				Response	9	Response Status C		
	ce the Draft sent				ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPL	Ξ.		
greate Data L	r implement bot ink Layer classi	is operating with a maximum h Multiple-Event Physical Lay fication (see 33.6). Type 3 PI e 4 PDs advertise a class sig	ver classification	(see 33.3.5.2) and ass signature of	OBE	by comment 92			
Response		Response Status C							
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	•							
OBE b	y comment 35.								
Those	other variants a	re covered in text above the	cited text.						
EZ									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC 33.9 Schindler, Fred	5.1.1.1	P 118 Seen Simply	L 42	# 148	C/ 33 Schindler, Fr	SC 33.3.7.6 ed	P 99 Seen Simply	L 48	# 150
Comment Type E Section reference The variable den	e is 33.5.1.1.1	nment Status D la eleted, and referencing	text should be	Management fixed.	Comment Ty New PD modified	Types need to	Comment Status D b have their current demands	constrained. Th	PD Powe he text region to be
The provision of a inhibited by settir deny_dual_sig_4 deny_dual_sig_4	dual-signatu 4-pair power g bit 11.6 to pair_power to pair_power to 8-21 bit(s) 11 R/W column <i>Resp</i>	re PD 4-pair power to dual-signature PDs b one. Writing a one to th o true, and writing a zero o false. 6 name column with re	is register bit s to this registe	hall set er bit shall set	with rega exceed f special o requirem 33–18) a TLIM mi A current limited v current	rd to transien PClass_PD ma onsiderations ents shall cor e 1 PD input of fter n (see Table 3 bltage source	t capacitance of 180 μ F or les is at the PD PI. A Type 2 PD ax and has an input capacitan with regard to transients at th nply with the following: current shall not exceed the P 3–11 for a Type 1 PSE) when is applied to the PI through a 33–14) and the voltage ramps	with peak powe ce of 180 µF or e PD PI. PDs th D upperbound t the following ir RCh resistance	r draw that does not less requires no hat do not meet these emplate (see Figure nput voltage is applied. • (see Table 33–1). The
Cl 33 SC 33. Schindler, Fred Comment Type E Draft text, "Class 6 or Class 33-18."	R Con	P 98 Seen Simply nment Status A operate below the PD o	L 17	# 149 PD Power late defined in Figure	a) The P upperbo driven fre	PD shall me D input currer und template (om 50 V to 52	et both of the following: t spike shall not exceed 2.5 A see Figure 33–18) within 4 m 5 V at greater than 3.5 V/µs, current greater than 2.5 A.	s. During this te	est, the PD PI voltage is
exists. SuggestedRemedy Add a period to th sentence after the	ne sentence of e corrected s	use not context is provid on line 19 ending in Figu entence. uss 6 and Class 8 PD al	ure 33-18. The		case cur from VP and the SuggestedR Replace	rent draw und ort_PSE min t voltage source emedy referenced Di	ceed the PD upperbound tem er the following conditions. The o 56 V at 2250 V/s, the source limits the current to MDI ILIN aft text starting on line 48 with	e input voltage e impedance is l per Equation (n,	source drives VPD RCh (see Table 33–1), 33–14).
Response ACCEPT. EZ	Resp	oonse Status C			with rega with pea of 180 µ PDs that - The inp shall not Table 33 current li	rd to transien c power draw or less requi do not meet t ut current for exceed the P -11 for Type mited voltage	t capacitance of 180 μ F or less is at the PD PI. Type 2, Type that does not exceed PClass_ res no special considerations hese requirements shall comp Type 1 and Type 3 PDs consi D upperbound template (see I 1 and Type 3 PSEs) when the source is applied to the PI thr cets Equation (33-14) and the	3, and Type 4 F PD max and ha with regard to t bly with the follo uming less than Figure 33-18) af following input ough a RCh res	PDs, as an input capacitance ransients at the PD PI. owing: class-4 power levels, fter TLIM min (see voltage is applied. A

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 150

Page 40 of 73 7/14/2015 5:34:40 PM

VPort_PSE max at 2250 V/s.

A Type 2, Type 3 PDs consuming more than class-4 power levels, and Type 4 PDs, shall meet both of the following:

a) The PD input current spike shall not exceed 2.5 A and shall settle below the PD upperbound template (see Figure 33-18) within 4 ms. During this test, the PD PI voltage is driven from 50 V to 52.5 V at greater than 3.5 V/ μ s, a source impedance of 1.5 [ohms], and a source that supports a current greater than 2.5 A.

b) The PD shall not exceed the PD upperbound template beyond TLIM min under worstcase current draw under the following conditions. The input voltage source drives VPD from VPort_PSE min to 56 V at 2250 V/s, the source impedance is RCh (see Table 33-1), and the voltage source limits the current to MDI ILIM per Equation (33-14).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace referenced Draft text starting on line 48 with,

A Type 1 PD with input capacitance of 180 μ F or less requires no special considerations with regard to transients at the PD PI. Type 2, Type 3, and Type 4 PDs, with peak power draw that does not exceed Pclass_PD max and has an input capacitance of 180 μ F (TBD) or less requires no special considerations with regard to transients at the PD PI. PDs that do not meet these requirements shall comply with the following:

- The input current for Type 1 and Type 3 PDs consuming less than class-4 power levels, shall not exceed the PD upperbound template (see Figure 33-18) after TLIM min (see Table 33-11 for Type 1 and Type 3 PSEs) when the following input voltage is applied. A current limited voltage source is applied to the PI through a RCh resistance (see Table 33-1). The current limit meets Equation (33-14) and the voltage ramps from Vport_PSE min to Vport_PSE max at 2250 V/s.

Type 3 PDs consuming more than class-4 power levels, and Type 4 PDs, shall meet both of the following:

a) The PD input current spike shall not exceed 2.5 A and shall settle below the PD upperbound template (see Figure 33-18) within 4 ms. During this test, the PD PI voltage is driven from 50 V to 52.5 V at greater than 3.5 V/ μ s, a source impedance of 1.5 [ohms], and a source that supports a current greater than 2.5 A.

B) The PD shall not exceed the PD upperbound template beyond TLIM min under worstcase current draw under the following conditions. The input voltage source drives VPD from Vport_PSE min to 56 V at 2250 V/s, the source impedance is RCh (see Table 33-1), and the voltage source limits the current to MDI ILIM per Equation (33-14).

C/ 33	SC 33.3.8	P 102	L 31	# 151
Schindler, Fre	d	Seen Simply		
Comment Typ	e ER	Comment Status A		Editorial

The legacy table 33-19 had lport_MPS removed and then added to Table 33-19a. The note below Table 33-19 references the current moved to Table 33-19a.

SuggestedRemedy

Either combine Table 33-19 and 33-19a to create Table 33-19 or move the note, NOTE—A Type 1 or 2 PD with Cport > 180 μ F or a Type 3 PD with Cport > TBD uF PDs may not be able to meet the IPort_MPS specification in Table 33–19 during the maximum allowed port voltage droop (VPort_PSE max to VPort_PSE min with series resistance RCh). Such a PD should increase its IPort min or make other such provisions to meet the Maintain Power Signature.

If the note is moved, correct the Table reference "Table 33-19" to "Table 33-19a".

Response	Response Status	С
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE		

Move note to below Table 33-19a and change reference to "-19a" in the note.

ΕZ

C/ 33	SC 33.4.1	P 104	L 13	# 152	C/ 33		3.5.1.1.4	P 119	L 36	# 154
chindler,	Fred	Seen Simply			Schindler	, Fred		Seen Simply		
Comment		Comment Status X		References	Commen		TR	Comment Status D		Manageme
(witho		ade to reference the latest standard refers to both stan			PSE	ng bits 11 Pinout Alt	ernative B	' shall allow the PSE to use simultaneously." Some PSE will not power Al		
it is no	ot clear which stand	e sections referenced have lard the IEEE is referencing not changed then the older	to meet the IE	EE requirements. If the	Suggeste Strike	-		ously" in the referenced sen	tence.	
Suggested The T	•	eview the new specification	to determine if	changes have been				I 11.3:2 Description, referen Alternative B."	ice 11,which is	"Reserved" with, "PSE
should	d review whether th	nced sections. If these sect e changes are acceptable f and" from the Draft.			Proposed PRO	l Respons POSED A		Response Status W		
new s	tandard is necessa	are the same the group sho ry. More legacy IEC specif	ications exist th		CI 33 Schindler		3.5.1.2	P 120 Seen Simply	L 11	# 155
Inere	fore, i would prefer	that the Draft strike "and IE	C 62368-1°.		Commen	t Type	TR	Comment Status D		Managemer
	Response roup needs to discu	Response Status W						rer all required options for ne provide a complete solution.		, C
					Suggeste	dRemedy				
CI 33 Schindler,	SC 33.3.7.3 Fred	P 96 Seen Simply	L 46	# 153				33-22 requires new fields to ad to provide the required de		ypes and features.
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status D		PD Inrush	Alterr	natively, h	ave the Ta	sk Force provide the definit	ions.	
	D inrush requireme D section.	ents are dependent on PSE	operations that	are not disclosed in	Proposed PRO	•		Response Status W		
Suggested	dRemedy				-			-		
Add th	ne following note ab	oove the existing note on lin	e 46.		Add I	Editor's no	te sugges	ted.		
PD in		ected to PSE POWER_ON is 99% of steady state or w								
Proposed	Response	Response Status W								
PROF	POSED ACCEPT IN	I PRINCIPLE.								
Add th	ne following note ab	oove the existing note on lin	e 46.							
PD in		ected to PSE POWER_ON as 99% of steady state or w								
COMMEN		atched A/accepted R/reject		I T/technical E/editorial G/g NSE STATUS: O/open W/w		ed Z/withc	Irawn	Comme	nt ID 155	Page 42 of 73 7/14/2015 5:3

7/14/2015 5:34:40 PM

Cl 33 SC 33 Schindler, Fred	.3.4 P 86 Seen Simply	L 54	# 156		C/ 33 Schindler,		33.3.4		P 87 Seen Simpl	L 4	# 157
Comment Type The existing set "When a Type detection signat	Comment Status A	ia the PI, it shall is not drawing p	ower. A Type 3 or	Туре	Comment Fix typ Suggested	Type oo "varia IRemed	ER ble 4P-ID" y 'variable P	1	ent Status A	,	Editoria
order to receive	4-pair power from Type 3 and Type power on both pair sets using LLD	e 4 PSEs. Any PI	D may indicate the	9	Response ACCE				se Status C		
Does not comp SuggestedRemedy	ete address all PD Types and some	e text may confus	se the reader.		EZ						
Replace the ser "When a Type ?	or Type 2 PD or Type 3 or Type 4				<i>CI</i> 33 Balasubrar		33.3.8 Koussalya	a	P 102 self	L 41	# 158
not drawing pov signature on the	Il present a non-valid detection signer. A Type 3 or Type 4 dual-signat unpowered pair. Any PD may indid LDP variable 4P-ID in Table 79-6b	ture PD shall pres	sent a valid detect	tion		ote belo	E w Table 33 h lport_mp	3-19 refer		s doesnt belong	Editoria there as Table 33-19
"When a Type '	better option could be used, or Type 2 PD or Type 3 or Type 4 Il present a non-valid detection sign					the note	y below Tal	ble 33-19	а		
not drawing pov present a valid	er. A Type 1 or Type 2 PD, or Typ letection signature on the unpower on both pair sets using LLDP varia	e 3 or Type 4 du ed pair. Any PD r	al-signature PD sh may indicate the a	nall	Response ACCE		RINCIPLE	'	se Status C		
Response	Response Status C				OBE b	y comm	nent 151.				
ACCEPT IN PR	INCIPLE.				EZ						
Looking for bett	er language, but the following text s	eems to be tech	nically correct.								
Replace the ser	tence with,										
pairset shall pre signature Type	2, or single-signature Type 3 or Ty sent a non-valid detection signature 3 or Type 4 PD that is powered ove ure on the unpowered pairset."	e on the unpower	ed pairset. A dua	ıl-							
	may indicate the ability to accept p	ower on both pai	rsets using LLDP								

				.1 4-Pair Power over E		ask fuice i	eview comments		
CI 33 SC 33	8.1.1	Р	L	# 159	CI 33	SC 33.1.4.1	P 23	L 24	# 161
Balasubramanian, k	Koussalya	self			Balasubran	nanian, Koussa	alya self		
Comment Type	ER Com	nment Status A		Editorial	Comment 7	Type ER	Comment Status A		Cabling
				pperating temperature" s applies to both Type 2	Туре 4	details are mi	ssing.		
and 3.	y with the full s	entence, doesnt imp	iy clearly that this	s applies to both Type 2	Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy					Add an	editor's note t	o include Type 4 details.		
Make the last st clear.	tatement "derat	ting" separate sent	ence and include	e type 3 and 2 to be	Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C PLE.		
		. class D or better ca		g of the cabling and Type 3 operation".	OBE by	y comment 70			
Response		onse Status C			CI 33	SC 33.2.3	P 32	L 10	# 162
ACCEPT.		-			Balasubran	nanian, Koussa	alya self		
EZ					Comment 7 Colum	51	Comment Status A le 33-2 is not in sync with Tab	le 33-2a	Editoria
C/ 33 SC 33	8.1.4.1	P 23	L 15	# 160	Suggested	Remedy			
Balasubramanian, k	Koussalya	self			Change	e title of 4th co	lumn in Table 33-2 to Alterna	tive B(S) to be in	sync with Table 33-2a
Comment Type	ER Com	nment Status A		Cabling	Response		Response Status C		
				oop resistance shall be	ACCEF	РТ.			
250hms or less 2 and Type 3.	" when read ald	ong with full sentence	e is not clear that	it applies to both Type	EZ				
SuggestedRemedy					C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.6	6 P 43	L 8	# 163
				nce shall be 250hms or 7. The new sentence	Balasubran	nanian, Koussa	alya self		
would be - "The	additional requ	uirement that channe	el DC loop resista	ince shall be 250hms	Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status R		Editoria
		and Type 3 operation	on".		New va	riables Type_	sub_PSE and Type_sub_PD	are used without	definition.
Response	•	onse Status C			Suggested	Remedy			
ACCEPT IN PR	INCIPLE.				Define	new variables	Type_sub_PSE and Type_su	ıb_PD.	
OBE by comme	ent # 126.				<i>Response</i> REJEC	T.	Response Status C		

The definition is contained within the sentence.

Cabling

Editorial

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.4 Balasubramanian, Koussalya	P 97 self	L 45	# 164	<i>CI</i> 00 Walker, Dy	SC (0	P Cisco	L	# 166
Comment Type TR Comment Comment #370 on D1.0 chang to class 0 through 4. I believe th	ment Status A es original text which		<i>PD Power</i> 3-12 only for Class 4	Comment	, <i>Type</i> ve please		Comment Status A ider the use of "pair set"?		Editoria
SuggestedRemedy Go back to original text.				Replac	ce all ins	stances	of "pair set" with "pairset" or	"pair-set", which	ever the TF prefers.
U	onse Status C			Response ACCE	EPT IN P	RINCIPI	Response Status C LE.		
Remove "0 through" from line 4	5.			The ta	ask force	e would li	ike to use "pairset".		
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.7	P 51	L 2	# 165	C/ 33 Walker, Dy	SC 3 ylan	33	P 19 Cisco	<i>L</i> 1	# 167
Balasubramanian, Koussalya Comment Type TR Comm Figure 33-9g starts with off pag	self ment Status D e connectors A A1	etc - which are n	PSE SD	<i>Comment</i> Sectio		ER er wound	Comment Status A I up with "Autoclass" inserted	d within "Depend	Editorial ent" somehow.
moved this figure over and call SuggestedRemedy				"33. D (MDI)"		ninal Eq	uipment (DTE) Power via M	edia DepAutocla	ssendent Interface
Connections A, A1 need to be	defined for Figure 33	3-9g.		Suggested	dRemedy	У			
	onse Status W			Replao (MDI)"		'33. Data	a Terminal Equipment (DTE)	Power via Media	a Dependent Interface
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRIN	ICIPLE.			Response	•		Response Status C		
State Diagram is being continuation latest version.	ally updated. This n	eeds to be addres	ssed to match the	ACCE	PT.				
No changes to the text at this p	oint. State diagram	work to continue.		EZ					

C/33 SC 33.1.1 P 20 L 5 # 168	Cl 33 SC 33.1.4 P 22 L 27 #	170
Valker, Dylan Cisco	Walker, Dylan Cisco	
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial	Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Cabling
This sentence is a bit confusing. "Type 2 operation requires ISO/IEC 11801:1995 Class D or better cabling, and Type 3	In Table 33-1, we specify the Minimum Cabling Type for Type 2 to be Class D (11801:2002), but we specify ISO/IEC 11801:1995 in Section 33.1.1 and Section in alignment with legacy text.	
operation requires ISO/IEC 11801:2002 Class D or better cabling, and a derating of the cabling maximum ambient operating temperature."	SuggestedRemedy	
SuggestedRemedy	Update Table 33-1 to reflect Class D (ISO/IEC 11801:1995) for Type 2.	
To keep the legacy Type 2 requirement clear, separate into 2 sentences.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
"Type 2 operation requires ISO/IEC 11801:1995 Class D or better cabling and a derating of the cabling maximum ambient operating temperature. Type 3 operation requires ISO/IEC 11801:2002 Class D or better cabling and a derating of the cabling maximum ambient	EZ	
operating temperature."	CI 33 SC 33.2.2 P 25 L 40 #	171
Response Response Status C	Walker, Dylan Cisco	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type E Comment Status A	Editoria
OBE by comment # 159.	Misplaced comma in "A Midspan PSE that results in a link that can support 100 and 10GBASE-T operation and optionally support 10BASE-T and 100BASE-TX (see Figure 33–7)."	
C/33 SC 33.1.3 P 21 L 45 # 169 Valker, Dylan Cisco	SuggestedRemedy	
Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial There is a change bar that I cannot trace back to 2012. E E E	Replace with "A Midspan PSE that results in a link that can support 1000BASE 10GBASE-T operation, and optionally support 10BASE-T and 100BASE-TX op Figure 33–7)."	
SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C	
Since there were missing change bars in D1.0, would like to ask the editor to double-check if this is an isolated anomaly.	ACCEPT.	
Response Response Status C	EZ	
ACCEPT.		
It may be because we inserted something after this sentence.		
EZ		

IEEE P802.3bt D1.1 4-Pair Power over Ethernet 4th	Task Force review comments
---	----------------------------

C/ 33 SC 33.2.2 Walker, Dylan	P 28 Cisco	L 17	# 172	CI 33 SC 3 Walker, Dylan	3.2.4.4	P 34 Cisco	L 40	# 174
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A SE-T/100BASE-TX Alternativ	e A and Alternat	<i>Editorial</i> ive B Endpoint PSE	Comment Type Values for varia		Comment Status A signature" do not match the inction (see page 41, line 14		
Alternative B."	we've used "4-Pair" in the title	instead of "Alte	native A and	SuggestedRemedy	,	id" to "Open_circuit" as follo	,	J. J
SuggestedRemedy				"Open_Circuit:	Open cire	cuit detected on both pairset	s."	
Rename Figure 33-5a "Figure 33–5a—10BA	:: SE-T/100BASE-TX 4-Pair Er	idpoint PSE loca	tion overview"	Also, modify th over a single particular		Single" to be the default case	e and applicabl	e to PDs that operate
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C LE.					on check has not been perfo ed through one or both of the		
OBE by comment # 2	50.			*Corresponding with DW1*	g commei	nt entered against the variab	le values within	n the function flagged
C/ 33 SC 33.2.3 Valker, Dylan	P 32 Cisco	L 12	# 173	Response		Response Status C		
<i>Comment Type</i> ER Table 33-2 "Alternativ	Comment Status A e B" column header does not	match Table 33-	Editorial 2a.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Do not implement suggested remedy.				
SuggestedRemedy Update Table 33-2 "A	Iternative B" column to "Altern	native B(S)".		Remove "PD_Signature" from variable section since it is in the Functions section under "do_connection_check".				
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C LE.							
OBE by comment 162	2.							
EZ								

Nellier Dilen	6 P 41 Cisco	L 17	# 175	C/ 33	SC 33.2.5.	Da	P 53 Cisco	L 12	# 177
Walker, Dylan				Walker, D		0			
Comment Type TR Values for variable " the values shown in	Comment Status D PD_signature" within the do_co Section 33.2.4.4 (see page 34	onnection_check , line 40).	PSE SD function do not match			ge 52, line 50)		s stated: "In the fo	Connection Chec ollowing subclauses,
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy				
Delete the "Invalid"	value.			Repla	ce:				
Change the value "C	Open_circuit" as follows:								elow Vvalid(max) as signature or dual-
"Open_Circuit: Oper	n circuit detected on both pairs	ets."		signat	ture is attached	to the two pair	sets in the link	section."	
Modify the value "Single pairset:	ngle" to be the default case an	d applicable to PE	Os that operate over a	With:					
	ection check has not been perf nected through one or both of t			specif		-4 shall be use	ed to determine		elow Vvalid(max) as signature or dual-
Corresponding corr	ment entered against the varia	able values flagge	d with DW1	Response)	Response	Status C		
Proposed Response	Response Status W	isie values nagge		ACCE	PT.				
PROPOSED ACCEI				EZ					
OBE by comment #	7.			<i>CI</i> 33 Walker, D	SC 33.2.5 .	Da	P 53 Cisco	L 34	# 178
C/ 33 SC 33.2.4 . Valker, Dylan	3 P 34 Cisco	L 29	# 176	Comment		Commen	t Status X		Pres: PSE Si
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		Pres: PSE SD		ole 33-3a, unde ected to a single			m 2, it's stated that	at "Applies only when
detection, a new cor	hat perform connection check be natant is needed to define the c agram and their associated tim	lisparate pathway		This r	-	if we allow cor	nection check	to occur between	the 2 detections and
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy				
Add constant "PSE_	CC_DET_SEQ" as follows:			Prese	ntation forthcor	ning to cover th	nis and other as	spects of connecti	ion check.
		PSE performs cor	nnection check and	1	Response for presentation	•	Status W		
detection. Values: 1: Connec 2: Connection che 3: Connection che	tion check and detection perforce in which the first of the sequence in which the first of the sequence is the sequence in the sequence is the	I	ısly						
A constant indicati detection. Values: 1: Connec 2: Connection che 3: Connection che	tion check and detection perfo ck performed prior to detection ck performed between detection	I	ısly						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC 33.2. Walker, Dylan	5.3 <i>P</i> 55 Cisco	L 52	# 179	<i>CI</i> 33 Walker, Dyla	SC 33.2.6 In	P 60 Cisco	L 20	# 181
	Comment Status A doesn't read well. We don't need 50) states it won't be for clarity.	d to mention the li	<i>Editorial</i> nk since section 33.2.5	Comment T "A PSE		Comment Status A ot to power dual-signature F	PDs."	PSE Power
SuggestedRemedy				This is r	edundant. A P	SE can deny power for any	reason irrespectiv	e of PD architecture.
Replace:				SuggestedF Remove				
specified in Table	f an offset voltage up to Vos may 33–5, a PSE shall accept as a va n with both of the following chara	alid PD detection		Response ACCEP	г.	Response Status C		
With:	f an offset voltage up to Vos ma	and an offset cu	rrent up to los may (as	C/ 33 Walker, Dyla	SC 33.2.6.2 In	P 62 Cisco	L 21	# 182
	33–5), a PSE shall deem a PD d			Comment T Misspel	,	Comment Status A		PSE classification
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status C CIPLE.			SuggestedF Replace				
OBE by comment	# 3.					single-signature PD, a PSE	shall classify the	PD only once or both
Cl 33 SC 33.2. Walker, Dylan	6 P 57 Cisco	L 37	# 180	of the pa	airsets."			
				With:				
Comment Type ER Move the DLL acro	Comment Status A	ie.	Editorial	"When of the pa		single-signature PD, a PSE	shall classify the	PD only once on both
SuggestedRemedy Replace:				Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C _E.		
"There are two forr classification (DLL	ns of classification: Physical Lay)."	er classification a	and Data Link Layer	OBE by	comment 109			
With:								
"There are two forr (DLL) classificatior	ns of classification: Physical Lay ۱."	er classification a	and Data Link Layer					
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							
EZ								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Walker, Dylan	<i>P</i> 68 Cisco	L 46	# 183	C/ 33 Walker, Dy	SC 33.2.7.4 a an	P 72 Cisco	L 7	# 185
Comment Type T Table 33-11, Item 17b,	Comment Status D Max column		PSE MPS	Comment 7 33.2.7.		Comment Status A ling has a duplicate "pair-to	p-pair" randomly in	<i>Editoria</i> serted.
After rounding, the DC I which looks a little stran	MPS max for the sum is not nge.	double the per p	airset max of 0.005A,	Suggestedl Replac	-			
SuggestedRemedy Change 0.009 to 0.010.				"33.2.7	.4a PSE PI Pair	-to-Ppair-to-pairair resistar	nce and current un	balance"
Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z			With:	4a PSE PI Pair	-to-Pair resistance and cu	rent unbalance"	
This comment was WIT	HDRAWN by the commenter	er.		Response		Response Status C		
The 9mA was chosen to	o add margin to the PD that	only has to sourc	ce 10mA.	OBE by EZ	/ comment 232			
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.4 Walker, Dylan	P 71 Cisco	L 45	# 184	<i>Cl</i> 33 Walker, Dy	SC 33.2.7.7	P 74 Cisco	L 16	# 186
Comment Type ER K is not italicized.	Comment Status A		Editorial	Comment 7	ype ER	Comment Status A		Editoria
SuggestedRemedy Italicize K to match the	other variable names.			Misspe Suggested	Remedy			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				shall be remov	ed from a pai set of a PSE	before the pair se	t current exceeds the
EZ				"PSE u With:	pperbound tem	plate" in Figure 33–14."		
						ed from a pairset of a PSE plate" in Figure 33–14."	before the pair se	et current exceeds the
				Response ACCEF	YT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C E.		
				OBE by	/ comment 28			
				EZ				

C/ 33 SC 33.2.9.1		L 23	# 187	C/ 33	SC 33.2.5	P 52	L 46	# 190
Walker, Dylan	Cisco			Walker, Dyl	an	Cisco		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		PSE MPS	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status	K	PSE Power
on page 66, line 9.	e is redundant and should be			LLDP, t	hey should b	e-signature PD agree to tr e allowed to transition bac as the other pairset has no	ck to 4-pair power - a	gain via LLDP - without
	e power from both pair sets if TMPDO on either pair set."	the DC MPS has	s been absent for	SuggestedF After:	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Allel.				
Delete the sentence.						tate, the PSE shall not ap		
Response	Response Status C			PSE ha	is successful	ly detected a valid signatu	ure over that pair set."	'
ACCEPT.				Insert:				
C/ 33 SC 33.2.9.1 Walker, Dylan	Cisco	L 32	# [188	power o without	over LLDP, 4 another dete	-signature PD have agree pair power may subseque ction as long as power ha	ently be resumed via	negotiation over LLDP
Comment Type ER Table 33-12 pertains t	Comment Status A o AC MPS, not DC MPS.		Editorial	the inte Proposed R		Response Status	N	
SuggestedRemedy				,	'	group's opinion on this.		
Relocate Table 33-12	to within Section 33.2.9.1.1.				00.00.00			"
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			<i>CI</i> 33 Walker, Dyl	SC 33.3.6 an	P 93 Cisco	L 5	# 191
ACCEPT.				Comment T	ype ER	Comment Status	ĸ	PD Classification
EZ						nce seems to imply that "p its default value of 1.	ose_power_level" mus	st be set to 2, 3, or 4,
C/ 33 SC 33.3.1	P 80	L 47	# 189	Suggested	Remedy			
Walker, Dylan	Cisco			Change):			
Comment Type TR The following sentenc	Comment Status X e is ambiguous:		Pres: PD PI	"After a successful Multiple-Event Physical Layer classification or Data Link Layer classification has completed, the pse_power_level is set to either 2, 3 or 4."				
"The PD shall withstan permanent damage."	nd any voltage from 0 V to 57	V at the PI indef	initely without	To:				
SuggestedRemedy Presentation forthcom	ing.					/lultiple-Event Physical La mpleted, the pse_power_		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed R	Response	Response Status	N	
Waiting for Presentati	•			I would	like to hear t	he groups opinion as this	changes the original	sentence.

See comment 5, 145

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 191

IEEE P802.3bt D1.1 4-Pair Power over Ethernet 4th	Task Force review comments
---	----------------------------

C/ 33 SC 33.5.1.1 Walker, Dylan	P 118 Cisco	L 10	# 192	C/ 33 SC Walker, Dylan	33.5.1.1	P 118 Cisco	L 24	# 194
Comment Type TR Table 33-21.	Comment Status D		Management	Comment Type Table 33-21	TR , bits 11.1:0, v	<i>Comment Status</i> D value "10 = Force Power T	est Mode"	Manageme
	nature PD 4-pair Power" doe ason, irrespective of PD archi		t since a PSE can	There aren't are of value.	0	dings to specify pairset sp	ecific Force Pov	ver Test Modes, which
	-			where: 11 = Both Al 10 = Alterna 01 = Alterna	ternative A and tive B powere tive A powere	bits to create a "Force Po nd Alternative B powered v ed when Force Power Test ed when Force Power Test	when Force Pow Mode enabled	
C/ 33 SC 33.5.1.1 Walker, Dylan	P 118 Cisco	L 19	# 193	00 = Reserv Proposed Respo PROPOSED	onse	Response Status W		
Comment Type TR Table 33-21. The value of "11" for bi Alternative A and Altern SuggestedRemedy Under Description for b		ed to reflect PSE	Management	Walker, Dylan <i>Comment Type</i> Grammar. A	ER Iso, "will neve gest deleting	P 119 Cisco Comment Status A er be assigned" was prove it.	L 40 n false by this Ta	# 195 <i>Manageme</i> ask Force for value
Replace: "1 1 = Rese				SuggestedReme Change:	edy			
With: "1 1 = PSE pinc Response	out Alternative A and B" Response Status C			"The combir be assigned		r bits 11.3:2 are reserved a	and will never	
ACCEPT.				To:				
EZ				"The combin	nation '00' for	bits 11.3:2 is reserved."		
				Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
				EZ				

CI 33A SC 33A.3	P 153	L 11	# 196	C/ 1	SC 1.4	P 18	L 17	# 198	
Walker, Dylan	Cisco			Dwelley, Da	vid	Linear Tech	nology		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		Editorial	Comment T	rpe ER	Comment Status A			
"33A.3 Inter Pair Resi	istance Unbalance"					le with "pair set". "Pair" and ' hing them this way is non-uni			
This section describes pairs.	s resistance unbalance within	a twisted pair, no	t between twisted	errors. 7 better. I	he original m prefer the ter	otion in September 2014 cal m "pairset" - it's a new, uniqu	led out "pair-set", ie word and isn't li	but that isn't much kely to be mistaken for	
SuggestedRemedy					•	arch of 802.3-2012 finds zero	instances of "pai	rset".	
"33A.3 Intra Pair Resi	istance Unbalance"			SuggestedR		pairset" throughout the draft.			
Response	Response Status C			•	pail set to				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	PLE.			Response		Response Status C			
OBE by comment 119	9.			ACCEP		LE.			
C/ 00 SC	Р		# 407	OBE by	comment # 1	66.			
Dwelley, David	P Linear Techno	L ology	# 197	EZ					
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		PD Power	CI 33	SC 33.1.4	P 22	L 34	# 199	
Resubmitted commen	nt from D1.0:			Dwelley, Da	vid	Linear Tech	nology		
an AT device that clai	symbols have -2p added to th ims to meet Vport_pd will not f air set" can stay, as all valid Al	ind a spec with t	hat name anymore.			Comment Status A se Section 33.1.4.2. See info ance.	mative annex 33A	Cablin	
SuggestedRemedy				Channel unbalance is important but doesn't belong in this note - this note covers Cabling Type, not cabling parameters. Section 33.1.4.1 (Cabling requirements) does belong in this note.					
Remove -2p suffixes f	from Table 33-18, Items 1-3								
Proposed Response	Response Status Z			SuggestedR	emedy				
REJECT.					•	e Sections 33.1.4.1 and 33.1	.4.2.		
This comment was W	ITHDRAWN by the commenter	er.		Response ACCEP	г.	Response Status C			
I would like to hear the	e group's opinion on this.								
Straw Poll:									
Would you be willing t technical changes whe	to remove "-2p" from the af/at en removed?	parameters that	Dave shows makes no						

Y: 10 N: 2

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 199

CI 33 Dwelley, D	SC 33.1.4 David	P 22 Linear Techno	L 35 blogy	# 200	C/ 33 Dwelley,		33.2.4.1	P 33 Linear Techn	L 41 ology	# 202
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Cabling	Commen	t Type	т	Comment Status A		Editorial
		Type 3 and Type 4 operation ir system resistance unbaland		pair set might be	as sp	ecified i	n Table 33	d, the PSE turns on power a –11. If the PSE cannot supp a new detection cycle befor	bly power within	Tpon, it initiates and
"might	t" isn't strong end	ough, and the reference is too	narrow		N.4''		1		-1	
Suggested	dRemedy					0		of these behaviors are mand	atory.	
Change Note 2 to: "In Type 3 and Type 4 operation, the current per pair set will be impacted by pair-to-pair system resistance unbalance. See Section 33.2.7.4a." (fix						dReme	•			
	ted by pair-to-pa nce when finalize		ce. See Section	33.2.7.4a." (fix				If power is to be applied, the pon as specified in Table 33		
Response		Response Status C			withir		t shall initia	ate and successfully comple		
ACCE	ΡΙ.				Response	Э		Response Status C		
CI 33	SC 33.2	P 25	L 4	# 201	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
Dwelley, D						nt impler	ment suga	ested remedy. The shalls a	re implied in PIC	SPSE4 but we
Comment	Type T	Comment Status A		Editorial		Do not implement suggested remedy. The shalls are implied in PICS PSE4, but we shouldn't change them as we will change Type 1/2 PICs.				
Note 3: "1-Event Classification of Type 3 is different from Type 1. Please refer to Table 33–10 items 11, 12 and Section 33.2.6.1					No ch	No changes to the text result from this comment.				
for det	tails."				C/ 33	SC	33.2.4.1	P 33	L 43	# 203
Margir	nal grammar, and	d Section 33.2.6.1, while cove	ering 1-event cla	ssification, doesn't	Dwelley, I	David		Linear Techn	ology	
make	any mention of t	he differences between Type	s 1 and 3		Commen	t Type	Е	Comment Status A		Editorial
Suggested	dRemedy				"See	"See section 33.2.7.12 for complete details." Details in 33.2.7.12 are not anywhere near complete on this subject SuggestedRemedy				
	ge Note 3 to: "1-E items 11 and 12	Event Classification differs be	tween Types. P	lease refer to Table	Detei					
33-10										
or a	dd explanatory te	ext to Section 33.2.6.1.								
Response	Pesponse Response Status C					Remove "complete"				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					Response ACCI		Response Status C			
	ge Note 3 to: "1-E items 11 and 12	Event Classification differs be	tween Types. P	lease refer to Table	EZ					

IEEE P802.3bt D1.1 4-Pair	r Power over Ethernet 4th	Task Force review comments
---------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------

C/ 33 SC 33.2.4.1 P 34 L 1 # 204 Dwelley, David Linear Technology Example 1 Example 2 Example 2	C/ 33 SC 33.2.5 P 52 L 50 # 206 Dwelley, David Linear Technology
Comment Type E Comment Status A Editoria "If a PSE performing detection using Alternative B detects an open circuit (see 33.2.5.5) on the link section, then that PSE may optionally omit the detection backoff." Editoria	Comment Type T Comment Status A PSE Detection "The PSE PI is connected to a PD through a link segment."
33.2.5.5 repeats this text almost identically and refers to table 33-4, which is a broken link.SuggestedRemedyChange reference to: "(see Table 33-6)". Delete section 33.2.5.5 entirely.	Should be "link section" SuggestedRemedy Change "segment" to "section". Also, this paragraph should probably be swapped with the one above it.
Alternately, fix section 33.2.5.5 (including correcting link to point to Table 33-6).	Note: this is an old error from AT and may need to be submitted as a maintenance request
Note: this is an old error from AT and may need to be submitted as a maintenance requestResponseResponse StatusC	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Cl 33 SC 33.2.5.0a P 53 L 7 # 207
Do no implement suggested remedy.	Dwelley, David Linear Technology
In Section 33.2.5.5 Change "Table 33-4" to "Table 33-6".	Comment Type T Comment Status A Connection Che "Type 3 and Type 4 PSEs that operate over both pair sets shall complete"
On page 34, line 1: Change sentence to: "If a PSE performs detection using Alternative B see 33.2.5.5."	"operate over" is somewhat ambiguous - does it mean that the PSE is about to operate over both pair sets, or that is contains hardware capable of operating over both pair sets? A PSE should not need to complete Connection Check if it is not preparing to provide 4P
Cl 33 SC 33.2.4.1 P 34 L 1 # 205	power.
Dwelley, David Linear Technology	SuggestedRemedy Change "operate over" to "preparing to deliver 4-pair power"
Comment Type T Comment Status A Editoria If a PSE performing detection using Alternative B detects an open circuit (see 33.2.5.5) on the link section, then"	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Link section is old AT language - the new BT term "pair set" is better SuggestedRemedy Change "link section" to "pair set"	Change "operate over both pair sets" to "will deliver power on both pairsets"
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Change "link section to "pairset".	
EZ	

IEEE P802.3bt D1.1 4-Pair Power over Ethernet 4th	Task Force review comments
---	----------------------------

C/ 33 SC 3 Dwelley, David	3.2.5.0a	P 53 Linear Techno	L 16	# 208	<i>Cl</i> 33 Dwelley, I		33.2.5.1	P 55 Linear Tech	L 4	# 210
Comment Type		mment Status A be completed before cl	0.	Connection Check	Comment Most	<i>Type</i> of the pa		Comment Status A n Table 33-4 are not per p		PSE Detection
that is what we	want	check should finish bef	ore classification	n finishes - I don't think	Suggeste	dRemed	y	specs do not. table title. Add "per pair s	et" to parameter 2	2: "Short circuit current
SuggestedRemedy Change senter performed on a	nce to: "The co	nnection check shall b	e completed bef	fore classification is	Response		RINCIPLE	Response Status C		
the group want	s. An alternate enters POW	rom the existing text - w e fix would be: "The cor ER_UP." This is more f	nnection check s					tudy in his "-2p" presentati ing this comment.	on for September	r.
Response ACCEPT.	Res	sponse Status C			C/ 33 Dwelley, [33.2.9.1.2	P 78 Linear Tech	L 23 nology	# 211
Cl 33 SC 3 Dwelley, David Comment Type "If the voltage a	3.2.5.0a TR Co at the PI, on e reset the PD b	P 53 Linear Techno mment Status A ther pair set, rises abo y bringing the voltage a	L 41 blogy ve Vvalid max, c		durati Redu Suggeste Remo Response	PSE may on great Indant tex IdRemed ve sente	er than TÑ ๙ in light o У	Comment Status A nower from both pair sets i PDO on either pair set." f page 66 line 7. Response Status C	f the DC MPS has	s been absent for
uggestedRemedy Change senter Table 33–4) du	nce to: "If the v ring connection	oltage on either pair se	I reset the PD by	y bringing the voltage at	OBE	oy comm	nent 187.			
Response ACCEPT IN PF Change senter Table 33–4) du	Res RINCIPLE. Ince to: "If the v uring connection	ponse Status C	et rises above V	valid max, (defined in y bringing the voltage at						

IEEE P802.3bt D1.1 4-Pair Power over Ethernet 4th Task Force review of	comments
--	----------

P 89 Linear Technolog Status A	L 32 gy	# 212	CI 33	00	33.3.5.2	P 90	L 48	
			Dwelley, D	David			echnology	# 214
alus A		Editorial	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		Editoria
ement both Multip cation (see 33.6).		s signature (see			lvertised c	over each pair set is the	total power requeste	d by the PD over that
					al" is unne	ecessary and could be r	nisleading - it implies	the total power for the
					dv			
		t class signature (see	00		•	ss advertised over each	nair set is the nower	requested by the PD
· /								
			Response			Response Status C		
			ACCE	PT.				
			EZ					
P 90 Linear Technolog	L 16	# 213	C/ 33	SC	33.3.6	P 92	L 50	# 215
	5)	PD Classification	Dwelley, D	avid		Linear To	echnology	
		TD Classification	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		PD Classificatio
ge 61 line 42			be able	e to ide	entify the F	PSE Type as Type 1, Ty	pe 2, or Type 3 if it is	class 5 or 6 PD."
					e doesn't o	quite say what we want	t to. It would be bette	er split into two
tatus C			Suggestea	Remed	dy			
			Response ACCE		PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
			Replac	ce 1st 3	3 paragrap	ohs of section 33.3.6 wit	n:	
			A PD s	shall ide	entify a PS	SE Type as a Type lowe	r or equal to its own	Type.
	cation (see 33.6). Il implement both cation (see 33.6). Ctatus C	cation (see 33.6). ["] Il implement both Multiple-Even cation (see 33.6)." <i>P</i> 90 <i>L</i> 16 Linear Technology <i>Status</i> A ge 61 line 42	cation (see 33.6)." I implement both Multiple-Event class signature (see cation (see 33.6)." <i>Etatus</i> C <i>P</i> 90 <i>L</i> 16 <i>#</i> 213 Linear Technology <i>Etatus</i> A <i>PD Classification</i> ge 61 line 42	cation (see 33.6)." pair set I implement both Multiple-Event class signature (see Suggested cation (see 33.6)." Delete cation (see 33.6)." Delete </td <td>cation (see 33.6)." I implement both Multiple-Event class signature (see cation (see 33.6)." The word "toi whole PD SuggestedRemeet Delete "total" over that pair Response ACCEPT. P90 L16 # 213 Linear Technology Status A PD Classification ge 61 line 42 Cl 33 SC Dwelley, David Comment Type "A Type 3 PE De able to ide This sentences. SuggestedRemeet Change to: "/2. A Type 3 C Response ACCEPT IN I Replace 1st C</td> <td>cation (see 33.6)." pair set." I implement both Multiple-Event class signature (see cation (see 33.6)." SuggestedRemedy itatus C Delete "total": "The class over that pair set." P90 L16 # [213] Linear Technology Cl 33 SC 33.3.6 Dwelley, David Comment Type T ge 61 line 42 "A Type 3 PD shall ider be able to identify the F This sentence doesn't or sentences. SuggestedRemedy Change to: "A Type 3 Class 5 or Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Replace 1st 3 paragrap Replace 1st 3 paragrap</td> <td>cation (see 33.6)." pair set." I implement both Multiple-Event class signature (see cation (see 33.6)." SuggestedRemedy tratus C Delete "total": "The class advertised over each over that pair set." P 90 L 16 # [213] Linear Technology C Status A PD Classification ge 61 line 42 PD Classification ttatus C Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Status A "A Type 3 D shall identify the PSE Type as eight to identify the PSE Type as of the able to identify the PSE Type as for the able to identify the PSE Type as for the able to identify the PSE Type as the able t</td> <td>cation (see 33.6)." pair set." I implement both Multiple-Event class signature (see cation (see 33.6)." The word "total" is unnecessary and could be misleading - it implies whole PD SuggestedRemedy Delete "total": "The class advertised over each pair set is the power over that pair set." reading to the second sec</td>	cation (see 33.6)." I implement both Multiple-Event class signature (see cation (see 33.6)." The word "toi whole PD SuggestedRemeet Delete "total" over that pair Response ACCEPT. P90 L16 # 213 Linear Technology Status A PD Classification ge 61 line 42 Cl 33 SC Dwelley, David Comment Type "A Type 3 PE De able to ide This sentences. SuggestedRemeet Change to: "/2. A Type 3 C Response ACCEPT IN I Replace 1st C	cation (see 33.6)." pair set." I implement both Multiple-Event class signature (see cation (see 33.6)." SuggestedRemedy itatus C Delete "total": "The class over that pair set." P90 L16 # [213] Linear Technology Cl 33 SC 33.3.6 Dwelley, David Comment Type T ge 61 line 42 "A Type 3 PD shall ider be able to identify the F This sentence doesn't or sentences. SuggestedRemedy Change to: "A Type 3 Class 5 or Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Replace 1st 3 paragrap Replace 1st 3 paragrap	cation (see 33.6)." pair set." I implement both Multiple-Event class signature (see cation (see 33.6)." SuggestedRemedy tratus C Delete "total": "The class advertised over each over that pair set." P 90 L 16 # [213] Linear Technology C Status A PD Classification ge 61 line 42 PD Classification ttatus C Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Status A "A Type 3 D shall identify the PSE Type as eight to identify the PSE Type as of the able to identify the PSE Type as for the able to identify the PSE Type as for the able to identify the PSE Type as the able t	cation (see 33.6)." pair set." I implement both Multiple-Event class signature (see cation (see 33.6)." The word "total" is unnecessary and could be misleading - it implies whole PD SuggestedRemedy Delete "total": "The class advertised over each pair set is the power over that pair set." reading to the second sec

CI 33	SC :	33.3.7.3	P 96	L 28	# 216	C/ 33	SC	33.3.7.10	P 100	L 51	# 218
Dwelley, Da	avid		Linear Techn	ology		Dwelley, I	David		Linear Tech	nology	
Comment 7	Гуре	TR	Comment Status D		PD Powe	r Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A		Editoria
corresp PDs ar	oonding e limite	to its clas	r, not current, in POWER_0	·		meet speci	the follo fied in 3	owing requi	ass 5 and above and Type rements when tested usir The current measured at item 4a."	g the test setup a	nd test conditions
Suggested	Remed	У					ard phr	Ũ			
			sh-2P min, a single-signatu	e PD shall not e	xceed the power level,	Suggeste		•			
"After	- Finrush	-2P min, a	ng to its class level." a dual-signature PD shall no						and higher PDs shall not en tested according to 33		unb (Table 33-11, item
			ng to the class level adverti	sed at that pair s	et."	Response	;		Response Status C		
Proposed F	,		Response Status W			ACCE	EPT IN I	PRINCIPLE	Ξ.		
PROP	OSED /	ACCEPT.							and higher PDs shall not		unb (Table 33-11, item
C/ 33	SC :	33.3.7.6	P 100	L 8	# 217	4a) o	n either	pair set wh	en tested according to 33	.3.7.10.1."	
Owelley, Da	avid		Linear Techn	ology		EZ					
Comment 7	Гуре	т	Comment Status D		PD Powe	r CI 33	50	33.3.7	P 94	L 23	# 219
"The ci	urrent li	mit per pa	ir set at the MDI (MDI ILIM-	-2P) is defined by	/ Equation (33–14):"	Dwelley, I		33.3.1	Linear Tech		# 219
MDI sh	ould be	e PI				Comment		TR	Comment Status D		Pres: Inrusl
Suggested	Remed	У							s places a new inrush requ	irement on Type	
Replac	e MDI	with PI thr	ough line 15						PSE - can't do this		
Note: t	his is o	ld text from	n AT and may need to be s	ubmitted as a m	aintenance request	Suggeste	dReme	dy			
Proposed F			Response Status W						a, add PD Type "3,4"		
		REJECT.				Resit	re origi	nal item 5 f			
This sh	. ما اما ام					Proposed	Respor	nse	Response Status W		
i nis sr		e a mainte	nance request.			PRO	POSED	REJECT.			
						400m	A total t	to 400mA p	ement as we have now ind er pairset (800 total). Ho E inrush numbers.		
									MA per pairset, they will v st 400mA over a single pa		Type 1 and Type 2

C/ 33 Dwelley, Da	SC 33.3.7 avid	P 94 Linear Techn	L 25 ology	# 220	C/ 33 Dwelley, Da	SC 33.2.6 vid	P 59 Linear Techno	L 8 blogy	# 223
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		PD Inrush	Comment T	ype T	Comment Status D		PSE Classification
Table 3	33-18 item 6: "In	rush to operating state delay	v per pair set"		"A PSE	shall meet one	of the allowable classification	n permutations	listed in Table 33–8."
		ement suggests a SS PD mu SS PD may not be able to te		2nd pair set has	Lennart SuggestedF	•	Table 33-8 immensely, but no	ow it is virtually i	dentical to Table 33-3.
Suggested	Remedy				Change	reference to T	able 33-3. Delete Table 33-8.		
_	_2p text to item (e item 6 to origin	6a, add new condition "Dual nal AT text.	Signature PDs or	nly"	Proposed R	,	Response Status Z		
Response		Response Status C			REJEC ⁻	Γ.			
ACCE	PT.				This cor	mment was WI	THDRAWN by the commente	er.	
CI 33 Dwelley, Da	SC 33.2.5.6 avid	P 57 Linear Techn	L 20 ology	# 221					
Comment 3	Туре Е	Comment Status A	0,7	4PID	OBE by	comment that	adopts new Table 33-3.		
"4PID :	shall be initially	(TBD) determined as a logica native B pair sets, the result.			Do not i	mplement.			
					CI 33	SC 33.2.6.1	P 60	L 32	# 224
		rnative B" are redundant here	e		Dwelley, Da	vid	Linear Techno	ology	
Suggested	Remedy								
Domo		and Alternative D"			Comment T		Comment Status A		PSE Classification
	ve "Alternative A	and Alternative B" Response Status C			"The PS in Table same as	E shall provide 33–10 only for	to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detection Port_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and tir	ion signature. P	IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the
Response ACCEI	ve "Alternative A				"The PS in Table same as by Tpdo	E shall provide 33–10 only for defined for VI in Table 33–1	to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detection Port_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and tir	ion signature. Poning specification	IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the
Response ACCEI Needs	ve "Alternative A	Response Status C	L 20	# 222	"The PS in Table same as by Tpdo	E shall provide 33–10 only for defined for VI in Table 33–1 t appears in 33	to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detect ort_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and tir)."	ion signature. Poning specification	IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the
Response ACCEI Needs Cl 33	re "Alternative A PT. to be combined SC 33.2.6	Response Status C with comment 262.	-	# 222	"The PS in Table same as by Tpdc This tex SuggestedF	E shall provide 33–10 only for s defined for VI in Table 33–1 t appears in 33 <i>Remedy</i>	to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detect ort_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and tir)."	ion signature. Poning specification	IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the
Response ACCEI Needs C/ 33 Dwelley, Di	re "Alternative A PT. to be combined SC 33.2.6 avid	Response Status C with comment 262.	-	# 222 PSE Power	"The PS in Table same as by Tpdc This tex SuggestedF	E shall provide 33–10 only for s defined for VI in Table 33–1 t appears in 33 <i>Remedy</i>	to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detect Port_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and tir)." .2.6.1 but should apply to 33.	ion signature. Poning specification	IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the
Response ACCEI Needs C/ 33 Dwelley, Da Comment	re "Alternative A PT. to be combined SC 33.2.6 avid <i>Type</i> E	Response Status C with comment 262. P 58 Linear Techn	ology	PSE Power	"The PS in Table same as by Tpdo This tex SuggestedR Move te Response	E shall provide 33–10 only for s defined for VI in Table 33–1 t appears in 33 <i>Remedy</i>	to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detect Port_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and tir)." 2.6.1 but should apply to 33. rhaps near page 57 line 45) <i>Response Status</i> C	ion signature. Poning specification	IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the
Response ACCEI Needs Cl 33 Dwelley, Da Comment This fe word h	re "Alternative A PT. to be combined SC 33.2.6 avid Type E els like it's alrea ere	Response Status C with comment 262. P 58 Linear Techn Comment Status R	ology	PSE Power	"The PS in Table same as by Tpdo This tex SuggestedR Move te Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPL	to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detect ort_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and tir)." 2.6.1 but should apply to 33. rhaps near page 57 line 45) <i>Response Status</i> C E.	ion signature. Po ning specificatio 2.6.2 as well	IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the
Response ACCEI Needs Cl 33 Dwelley, Di Comment This fe word h Suggested	re "Alternative A PT. to be combined SC 33.2.6 avid Type E els like it's alrea ere Remedy	Response Status C with comment 262. P 58 Linear Techn Comment Status R dy been wordsmithed to dea	ology	PSE Power	"The PS in Table same as by Tpdc This tex SuggestedR Move te Response ACCEP Move te	T IN PRINCIPI xt with followin	to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detect Port_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and tir)." 2.6.1 but should apply to 33. rhaps near page 57 line 45) <i>Response Status</i> C E. g change to 33.2.6 (page 57,	ion signature. Poning specification 2.6.2 as well line 45):	IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the ons shall be as defined
Response ACCEI Needs Cl 33 Dwelley, Di Comment This fe word h Suggested	re "Alternative A PT. to be combined SC 33.2.6 avid Type E els like it's alrea ere Remedy	Response Status C with comment 262. P 58 Linear Techn Comment Status R	ology	PSE Power	"The PS in Table same as by Tpdo This tex <i>SuggestedR</i> Move te <i>Response</i> ACCEP Move te "The PS	T IN PRINCIPL xt with followin SE shall provide s defined for VI in Table 33–1 t appears in 33 <i>Remedy</i> Xt to 33.2.6 (pe T IN PRINCIPL xt with followin SE shall provide	to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detect Port_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and tir)." 2.6.1 but should apply to 33. rhaps near page 57 line 45) <i>Response Status</i> C E. g change to 33.2.6 (page 57, to the PI VClass with a curre	ion signature. Poning specification 2.6.2 as well line 45):	IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the ons shall be as defined IClass_LIM, as defined
Response ACCEI Needs Cl 33 Dwelley, Da Comment This fe word h Suggested Chang	re "Alternative A PT. to be combined SC 33.2.6 avid Type E els like it's alrea ere Remedy e "supported" to	Response Status C with comment 262. P 58 Linear Techn Comment Status R dy been wordsmithed to dea	ology th, but "supported	PSE Power d" feels like the wrong	"The PS in Table same as by Tpdo This tex <i>SuggestedR</i> Move te <i>Response</i> ACCEP Move te "The PS in Table same as	T IN PRINCIPL xt with followin SE shall provide s defined for VI in Table 33–11 t appears in 33 <i>Remedy</i> xt to 33.2.6 (pe T IN PRINCIPL xt with followin SE shall provide 33–10 only for s defined for VI	to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detect Port_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and tir)." 2.6.1 but should apply to 33. rhaps near page 57 line 45) <i>Response Status</i> C E. g change to 33.2.6 (page 57,	ion signature. Poning specification 2.6.2 as well line 45): ent limitation of light	IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the ons shall be as defined IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the
Response ACCEI Needs Cl 33 Dwelley, Da Comment This fe word h Suggested Chang	re "Alternative A PT. to be combined SC 33.2.6 avid <i>Type</i> E els like it's alrea ere <i>Remedy</i> e "supported" to ately, change to	Response Status C with comment 262. P 58 Linear Techn Comment Status R dy been wordsmithed to dea "available" (also in Note 1).	ology th, but "supported	PSE Power d" feels like the wrong	"The PS in Table same as by Tpdo This tex <i>SuggestedR</i> Move te <i>Response</i> ACCEP Move te "The PS in Table same as	T IN PRINCIPL xt with followin SE shall provide s defined for VI in Table 33–11 t appears in 33 <i>Remedy</i> xt to 33.2.6 (pe T IN PRINCIPL xt with followin SE shall provide 33–10 only for	to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detect Port_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and tir)." 2.6.1 but should apply to 33. rhaps near page 57 line 45) <i>Response Status</i> C E. g change to 33.2.6 (page 57, to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detect	ion signature. Poning specification 2.6.2 as well line 45): ent limitation of light	IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the ons shall be as defined IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the
Response ACCEI Needs Cl 33 Dwelley, D Comment This fe word h Suggested Chang Alterna Response REJEC	re "Alternative A PT. to be combined SC 33.2.6 avid <i>Type</i> E els like it's alrea ere <i>Remedy</i> e "supported" to ately, change to	Response Status C P 58 Linear Techn Comment Status R dy been wordsmithed to dea "available" (also in Note 1). "Minimum power level the PS Response Status C	ology th, but "supported	PSE Power d" feels like the wrong	"The PS in Table same as by Tpdo This tex <i>SuggestedR</i> Move te <i>Response</i> ACCEP Move te "The PS in Table same as	T IN PRINCIPL xt with followin SE shall provide s defined for VI in Table 33–11 t appears in 33 <i>Remedy</i> xt to 33.2.6 (pe T IN PRINCIPL xt with followin SE shall provide 33–10 only for s defined for VI	to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detect Port_PSE-2P in 33.2.3 and tir)." 2.6.1 but should apply to 33. rhaps near page 57 line 45) <i>Response Status</i> C E. g change to 33.2.6 (page 57, to the PI VClass with a curre a pair set with a valid detect	ion signature. Poning specification 2.6.2 as well line 45): ent limitation of light	IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the ons shall be as defined IClass_LIM, as defined olarity shall be the

Dwelley, David Linear Technology Comment Type E Comment Status A "The PSE shall measure IClass and classify the PD based on the ob according to Table 33–9." This text appears three times in this section (lines 5, 20, and 27) SuggestedRemedy Remove all three lines. Add a new sentence near line 29: "In all CLA PSE shall measure IClass and classify the PD based on the observe Table 33–9." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove all three lines and add: "In states CLASS_EV1, CLASS_EV2, and CLASS_EV3, the PSE shall at line 29. C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 61 L 47 Dwelley, David Linear Technology Comment Type T Comment Status A "The class events shall meet the IClass_LIM current limitation. The r the IMark_LIM current limitation." This is the PSE section but these sound like PD requirements.	SS_EVn states, the ed current according to nall measure IClass	of the p Typo, l Suggested "When either of Response ACCEL OBE b C/ 33 Dwelley, D Comment	a connected to a pair sets." but even when f <i>Remedy</i> connected to a or both of the pa PT IN PRINCIP by comment 109 <i>SC</i> 33.2.7 avid	Comment Status a single-signature PD, fixed, the meaning is r a single-signature PD, air sets." Response Status LE. 0. P6	a PSE shall classi not completely clea a PSE shall classi C 6 <i>L</i> 17 r Technology	fy the PD only once, using # 228
SuggestedRemedy Remove all three lines. Add a new sentence near line 29: "In all CLA PSE shall measure IClass and classify the PD based on the observe Table 33–9." Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove all three lines and add: "In states CLASS_EV1, CLASS_EV2, and CLASS_EV3, the PSE shand classify the PD based on the observed current according to Table at line 29. C/ 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 61 L 47 Dwelley, David Linear Technology Comment Type T Comment Status A "The class events shall meet the IClass_LIM current limitation. The r the IMark_LIM current limitation."	ed current according to nall measure IClass le 33–9."	Suggested "When either Response ACCE OBE b C/ 33 Dwelley, D Comment	Remedy a connected to a or both of the pa PT IN PRINCIP by comment 109 SC 33.2.7 avid	a single-signature PD, air sets." <i>Response Status</i> LE.). <i>P</i> 6 Linea	a PSE shall classi C 6 <i>L</i> 17 r Technology	fy the PD only once, using # 228
Remove all three lines. Add a new sentence near line 29: "In all CLA PSE shall measure IClass and classify the PD based on the observer Table 33–9." Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove all three lines and add: "In states CLASS_EV1, CLASS_EV2, and CLASS_EV3, the PSE shand classify the PD based on the observed current according to Tab at line 29. Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 61 L 47 Dwelley, David Linear Technology Comment Type T Comment Status A "The class events shall meet the IClass_LIM current limitation. The r the IMark_LIM current limitation."	ed current according to nall measure IClass le 33–9."	"When either Response ACCE OBE b C/ 33 Dwelley, D Comment	PT IN PRINCIP by comment 109 SC 33.2.7 avid	air sets." <i>Response Status</i> LE. 9. <i>P</i> 6 Linea	C 6 <i>L</i> 17 r Technology	# 228
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove all three lines and add: "In states CLASS_EV1, CLASS_EV2, and CLASS_EV3, the PSE sh and classify the PD based on the observed current according to Tab at line 29. Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 61 L 47 Dwelley, David Linear Technology Comment Type T Comment Status A "The class events shall meet the IClass_LIM current limitation. The r the IMark_LIM current limitation."	le 33–9."	ACCE OBE b C/ 33 Dwelley, D Comment	y comment 109 SC 33.2.7 avid	LE.). P 6 Linea	6 <i>L</i> 17 r Technology	
"In states CLASS_EV1, CLASS_EV2, and CLASS_EV3, the PSE sh and classify the PD based on the observed current according to Tab at line 29. Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 61 L 47 Dwelley, David Linear Technology Comment Type T Comment Status A "The class events shall meet the IClass_LIM current limitation. The r the IMark_LIM current limitation."	le 33–9."	Dwelley, D Comment	avid	Linea	r Technology	
Cl 33 SC 33.2.6.2 P 61 L 47 Dwelley, David Linear Technology Comment Type T Comment Status A "The class events shall meet the IClass_LIM current limitation. The r the IMark_LIM current limitation."	# 226	Resub	••	Common Clarao	D	PSE Powe
Dwelley, David Linear Technology Comment Type T Comment Status A "The class events shall meet the IClass_LIM current limitation. The r the IMark_LIM current limitation."	# 226		mitted commen	t from D1.0:		
the IMark_LIM current limitation."	PSE Classification	an AT	device that clair tles with "per pa	ms to meet Vport_pse	will not find a spe	reaks continuity with AF/AT - c with that name anymore. es operated over a single
This is the PSE section but these sound like PD requirements.	nark events shall meet	Suggested Remov	IRemedy ve _2p suffixes f			8-11 title to "PSE output
				s per pair set for all PI		"
SuggestedRemedy Change sentences to: "The PSE shall limit class event currents to IC	lass I IM and shall	Proposed I REJEC	•	Response Status	Z	
limit mark event currents to IMark_LIM." Note: this is old text from AT and may need to be submitted as a ma		Dave [D. has been ass	signed homework.		
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 228

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Dwelley, David	P 69 Linear Techr	L 12 hology	# 229	C/ 33 Dwelley, Dav	SC 33.2.7.4	P 71 Linear Technol	L 26 ogy	# 231
Comment Type T Comm Table 33-11 item 20: "Current un unbalance specs now. SuggestedRemedy Change parameter title to "Inter-r		·		there is r unbalanc	e 3 and Type	Comment Status A 4 PSEs, ICon-2P as specified i pair-to-pair current unbalance. he ICon-2P may increase up to 11 item 4a."	When end to	end pair-to-pair current
Response Respon ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change parameter title to "Intra-p	se Status C pair current unbala	ance" to match An	nex 33A-3 title	SuggestedR	e <i>medy</i> o sentences to	belong in section 33.2.7.4a (wh the beginning of section 33.2.		,
See comment 119, 196.	P 69	L 28	# 230		IN PRINCIPL			
Dwelley, David Comment Type T Comm Note 1: "The total port current of PType/VPort_PSE = 0.5*(PType/ a), where a is the effect of syster	· /VPort_PSE_2P)*(ame polarity shal (1+a) + 0.5*(PTyp	e/VPort_PSE_2P)*(1-	unbaland Do not: i	e sections. mplement sug	n section 33.2.7.4 which is the gested remedy. 3.2.7.4a to 33.2.7.4.1 and .4b		
is not specified in the standard e: "Shall" in a note is not normative SuggestedRemedy				Cl 33 Dwelley, Dav Comment Ty		P 72 Linear Technol Comment Status A	L 7 ogy	# 232 Editoria
Delete Note 1. Move text to secti already points) - perhaps near pa Proposed Response Respon PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINC	ige 72 line 13. se Status W	re Additional Info	mation for item 4a	Typo: "P SuggestedRo Fix	air-to-Ppair-to- emedy	pairair"		
THE OSED ACCEPTINERING	·II LL.			Response ACCEP1	_	Response Status C		

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7 P74 L 15 # 233	Cl 33 SC 33.2.7.11 P76 L 26 # 235
Dwelley, David Linear Technology	Dwelley, David Linear Technology
Comment Type T Comment Status A PSE Power "A PSE may remove power from the PI if the PI current meets or exceeds"	Comment Type T Comment Status A "33.2.7.11 Current unbalance"
I believe this should be per pair set, not sum of all pairsets (which is what PI implies). SuggestedRemedy Change to: "A PSE may remove power from the PI if the current on a pair set meets or exceeds"	We have more than one kind of current imbalance now. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change title to: "33.2.7.11 Inter-pair current unbalance"
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
The current draft is confusing because Icut-2p is a pairset spec and the lowerbound template in Figure 33-14 has a TBD in it, but the goal was to be able to police the PD by total power drawn (as well as per pairset). I would prefer to see the other things fixed and this left alone (or cleaned up to show the true intention).	Change title to: "33.2.7.11 Intra-pair current unbalance"
This results in no changes to the draft.	
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.8 P 76 L 3 # 234 Dwelley, David Linear Technology Linear Technology	
Comment Type T Comment Status A Editorial "as long as the average voltage across the pair set is VOff." Editorial Editorial	
Voff is a range. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> "as long as the average voltage across the pair set is the range of VOff."	
Alternate fix: "as long as the average voltage across the pair set is below VOff_max." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Replace with:	
"as long as the average voltage across the pair set is below VOff max."	
EZ	

C/ 33 SC 33.3.7.3 P 96 L 39 # 236 Yseboodt, Lennart Philips	CI 33 SC 33.2.6 P 59 L 8 # 237 Beia, Christian STMicroelectronics						
Comment Type ER Comment Status D Pres: Inrush The following three statements in D1.1 are correct but highly misleading: "Input inrush current at startup is limited by the PSE if C_Port per pair set < 180 mF, as specified in Table 33-11."	Comment Type E Comment Status A Editoria The text has to be updated since Table 33-8 title has changed SuggestedRemedy Change: C						
Inrush_PD per pair set max is satisfied." "NOTE C port per pair set is the C port seen by an attached PSE on two twisted pairs" The note changes the technical meaning of the first two statements.	A PSE shall meet one of the allowable classification permutations listed in Table 33–8. With A PSE shall meet one of the allowable classification configurations listed in Table 33–8. Response Response Status C						
SuggestedRemedy "For single-signature PDs, the input inrush current at startup is limited by the PSE if C_Port < 180 uF, as specified in Table 33-11." "For dual-signature PDs, the input inrush current at startup is limited by the PSE if C_Port	ACCEPT. EZ						
per pair set < 180 uF, as specified in Table 33-11." "A single-signature PD with C_Port > 180uF, or a dual-signature PD with C_Port > 180uF shall limit the input inrush current below I Inrush PD-2P max."	Cl 33SC 33.2.6.3P 65L 11# 238Beia, ChristianSTMicroelectronicsComment TypeTComment StatusAAutoclass						
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Table 33-10a Item 3 Autoclass margin definition has a lot of sub-cases, which may confuse the reader The margin seems to be quite linear with the power per pair set , so I suggest to simplify the table referring to that.						
Wait for presentation "For single-signature PDs, the input inrush current at startup is limited by the PSE if C_Port	SuggestedRemedy Replace Item 3 Autoclass marin, all rows with:						
< 180 uF, as specified in Table 33-11." "For dual-signature PDs, the input inrush current at startup is limited by the PSE if C_Port per pair set < 180 uF, as specified in Table 33-11." "A single-signature PD with C_Port > 180uF, or a dual-signature PD with C_Port per pair	Item Parameter Symbol Units Min Max Additional Information 3 Autoclass Margin, 2 pair % 0.14*Pclass 3 Autoclass Margin, 4 pair % 0.07*Pclass						
set > 180uF shall limit the input inrush current below I_Inrush_PD-2P max."	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.						

Cl 33 SC 33.3.8 Beia, Christian	P 103 STMicroelectror	L 34 ics	# 239	Cl 33 SC Beia, Christian	33.3.5.1	P 90 STMicroelectro	L 16 onics	# 241
	to change the MPS from cy of the pulses and chan be 3,4 TMPDO_PD was s esign complexity to the F	Type 1,2 timi ge the duty cy et to 318ms u D.	/cle. Intil Draft 1.0.	Comment Type Table 33-16 The minimu event discha As a worst c	m Class 0 o arging the F ase, the m	Comment Status A current for Type 3 PDs ensure PD port voltage after Class ev ax input PD capacitance (120 in (10.1V) in less than Tme m	es the proper re rent. DnF) has to drop	0
SuggestedRemedy Restore Table 33-19a, last ro MAX: 318 ; PD Type 3,4 ; if lo Proposed Response Res Christian and Dylan are work	ong first class event (TLC	F)	iod TMPDO_PD)	complete the The calculat Choosing Icl classificatior	e discharge ion gives lo lass min=1 n timings w	take some time to filter the V in less than 2ms. class=Cin*(Vclass-Vmark)/Tdi mA, Tdischarge becomes 1.2 ith no added complexity.	ischarge=624u/	۹.
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7.7	P 74	L 17	# 240	SuggestedReme Replace "TP	,	e 33-16 line 2, column 3, with	1 00	
Beia, Christian	STMicroelectror		11 240	Response		Response Status C	1.00	
Comment Type TR Co	mment Status X	Pi	es: PSE Power Removal	ACCEPT.				
When connected to an overloop PSEs remove power from bottemplate on one pair set. This avoids increasing the turn with the whole 4-pair current to Note that is not required that times don't exceed Tcut-2P m See presentation.	th pair sets before the cu rn-off time of the overload flowing into a single pair the 2 pair sets turn off to	rrent exceeds led PD, with t set. gether if the s	PSE upperbund he additional time spent um of the two turn-off	Will be OBE	by comme	ent 213		
·								
SuggestedRemedy Add the sentence: When connected to a single s pair sets before the current e								
•	sponse Status W							

C/ 33 SC 33.2.9.1.1	P 77	L 35	# 040	C/ 33	SC 33.3.8	P 102	L 26	# 040
Beia, Christian	STMicroelectr		# 242	Beia, Christi		STMicroelect		# 243
	mment Status A th between a condition a condition where those PD to reboot, it may be onent.	where the AC Mere	are absent. Since there take advantage of the	Comment T It is very requirer is no ea absence	ype TR hard for a PI nents are pres sy way for a fi e of a DC MPS	Comment Status D to swith between a condition ent, to a condition where thos oze up PD to reboot, it may be	where the AC Me requirements e convenient to	are absent. Since there take advantage of the
only. See also the relevant presen				only.	the relevant			
SuggestedRemedy Change the sentence: The PSE shall monitor either With: Type1 and Type2 PSEs shal component, or both. Type3 and Type4 PSEs shal AC MPS component.	I monitor either the DC	MPS componer	nt, the AC MPS	Powere impeda PI shou With Powere remove	e the text: d PDs that no nce componer d rise above 2 d PDs that no the current dr	longer require power shall ren its of the MPS. To cause PSE Zac2 as specified in Table 33- longer require power, and ider aw and impedance componen moval, the impedance of the F	power removal 12 ntify the PSE as ts of the MPS.	, the impedance of the Type 1 or Type 2, shall To cause Type 1 and
Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. OBE by comment 89.	sponse Status C			in Table Powere shall rei the MPS Proposed R PROPC	33–12 d PDs that no nove the curre S. esponse	longer require power, and iden ent draw component and may <i>Response Status</i> W F IN PRINCIPLE.	ntify the PSE as	Type 3 or Type 4,

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Beia, Christian	P 69 STMicroelecti	L 28 ronics	# 244	C/ 33 Zimmermai	SC 33.1.1 n, George	P 20 CME Consu	L 5 Iting, Inc.	# 246
Comment Type TR Table 33-11 Footnote 1: "The total port current PType/VPort_PSE= 0.)*(1-a), where a is the that is not specified in introduces a "shall" red It should be just an ex SuggestedRemedy Modify the footnote 1 a The total port current of PType/VPort_PSE= 0.)*(1-a), where a is the	Comment Status X of both pairs of the same pole .5*(PType/VPort_PSE_2P)*(1 effect of system end to end p the standard explicitly" quirement and at the same tim plicative note instead.	arity shall not ex +a)+ 0.5*(PType air-to-pair resistance ne leaves the "a rity can be calula +a)+ 0.5*(PType	e/VPort_PSE_2P ance/current unbalance " parameter undefined. ated as e/VPort_PSE_2P	operation cabling Change maximu Suggested Rewrite "Type 2 operation operation operation temper Proposed F REJEC	Type T 2 operation requires ISC maximum amb e inadvertently um operating te Remedy e as two senten 2 operation requires ISC on requires ISC on requires ISC on additionally ature." Response CT.		lass D or better or r better cabling, a that Type 2 requinant lass D or better or r better cabling. ling maximum ar	and a derating of the ires reduction in cabling, and Type 3 Type 2 and Type 3
See comment 84, 230 Cl 33 SC 33.3.2.6. Beia, Christian Comment Type TR		L 24 ronics	# 245 PD Classification	OBE by EZ C/ 33 Zimmermai	y comment # 1	59. <i>P</i> 22 CME Consu	L 34	# 247
Draft0.4, so the TBDs SuggestedRemedy	ication timings (85ms min an can be removed le 33-10, item 12, column Mir <i>Response Status</i> C		-	Comment 7 (note 2 to-pair The firs Referen find the Suggested Strike " to-pair Replac	Type T)"In Type 3 and system resistant st sentence of t nce to 33-11 lac ere. <i>Remedy</i> 'In Type 3 and ' system resistant e "See details i	Comment Status A Type 4 operation, the current the note gives no guidance, the cks proper identifier (>>Table Type 4 operation, the current the unbalance. " n 33-11 item 4a." with the current and an and an	nt per pair set m n 33–11 item 4a. he column alread e<< 33-11), and t per pair set mig	dy says nominal. Information as to what to ht be impacted by pair-
				Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C	adie 33-11 item	4a.

Comment ID 247

	33.1.4.1	P 23	L 13	# 248	C/ 33	SC 33		P 23	L 19	# 249	
Zimmerman, Geo	0	CME Consult	ing, inc.		Zimmerma	, 0	e	CME Consul	ing, inc.		
IEC 11801:19 ISO/IEC 1180 be 25ohms o	995, and ⁻ 01:2002, v r less. Th as specifi	Comment Status A irres Class D, or better, cablin Type 3 operation requires Cla with the additional requireme ese requirements are also m ed in ANSI/TIA-568-C.2; or C EIA-568-A."	ass D or better cannel D nt that channel D et by Category 5	abling as specified in DC loop resistance shall ie or better cable and	the ma energiz operati Additic	r worst-ca aximum ar zed at ICa ing tempe	mbient able (se erature ambie	Comment Status D ditions, Type 2 and Type 3 c operating temperature of the e Table 33–1), or a 5 °C rec of the cable when half of the nt operating temperature gui in ISO/IEC TR 29125 [B49] ⁴	cable when all uction in the ma cable pairs are delines for Type	cable pairs are aximum ambient energized at ICable. e 2, Type 3, and Type 4	
(this applies t type 3 which	o ISO/IE0 are now o	es ISO/IEC 11801:2002 as la C 11801:1995) and additiona different (one is ISO 1995 on ecs is reversed from the ISO	lly confuses reque is 2002) further	uirements for type 2 and r, the ordering of the	cabling Secon	g standard d, Does T	ds (TIA ype 2 d	specifying the installation co TSB-184-A and the ISO TR operation, which is 2 pairs in t half the cable pairs?			
SuggestedRemed	dy				Suggested	Remedy					
"Type 2 opera IEC 11801:19 25 <i>f</i> Ç or less. specified in A specified in IS	Rewrite as separate sentences, replacing as follows: "Type 2 operation requires Class D, or better, cabling as specified in ISO/ IEC 11801:1995, with the additional requirement that channel DC loop resistance shall be $25f\zeta$ or less. These requirements are also met by Category 5 cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A. Type 3 operation requires Class D or better cabling as specified in ISO/IEC 11801:2002. These requirements are also met by Category 5e or				Replace as follows: "Reduction in the maximum ambient operational temperature may be required for Type 2 and Type 3 operation. When half the cable pairs are energized, as is the case in 2 pair operation, a less reduction is required. For details on the effects of installation conditions and currents on cable temperature rise associated with Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 operation, see ISO/IEC TR 29125 [B49]1 and TIA TSB-184 [B61]."						
	and comp	onents as specified in ANSI/	TIA-568-C.2."		Proposed I	Response)	Response Status W			
Response		Response Status C			PROP	OSED RE	EJECT.				
ACCEPT IN I	-							before this project. All we h y change Type 1/2 behavior.		d Type 3 (and eventually	
					This can be filed as a maintenance request.						
				Cl 33 Zimmerma	SC 33		P 28 CME Consul	L 17 ing, Inc.	# 250		
					Comment Type TR Comment Status A Edite "Figure 33–5a—10BASE-T/100BASE-TX Alternative A and Alternative B Endpoint PSE location overview" Title of figure 33-5a is inconsistent with other titles, (33-5b, 33-7a, and 33-7b), shoud reference 4 pair operation.						
					Suggested	IRemedv	•				
					Chang	e title of fi		3-5a is to be consistent with 6E-T/100BASE-TX 4-Pair En			
					Response ACCE	РТ		Response Status C			

ΕZ

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 250

C/ 33 SC 33.2.		L 26	# 251	C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.1		P 33	L 45	# 253	
Zimmerman, George	CME Cons	sulting, Inc.		Zimmerma	in, George		CME Consult	ing, Inc.		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		4-Pair Power	Comment	Туре Т	Comment S	Status D		4-Pair Pow	
	be capable of both Alternative native A and Alternative B on t							ements Alternati ched to the same	ve A and one that link segment."	
	PSEs still have the striken re			This a	pplies only to tw	o-pair PSEs.				
	ally, reference to 'link segmen e pinouts, the link section, has		inaccurate. The	Suggestea	lRemedy					
SuggestedRemedy		no pinout.		insert '	"two-pair" so it s	ays "It is possit	ole that two se	parate two-pair P	'SEs".	
Reinstate as:				Proposed	Response	Response S	tatus Z			
	be capable of both Alternative	B, Type 1 and Type 2	PROP	OSED REJECT						
	erate both Alternative A and Al operate simultaneously on bo		neously. Type 3 and	This co	omment was WI	THDRAWN by	the commente	er.		
Response	Response Status C									
ACCEPT.										
C/ 33 SC 33.2.	4 P 33	L 31	# 252	This applies to all PSEs. Two 4-Pair PSEs could end up attached to the same cable (Alt from one and Alt B from the other). They could still work.						
Zimmerman, George	CME Cons	• •	# 232			in the other). T		WORK.		
, 6		laning, mo.	DOE Otata Diamana		0 1	pletely informat	ive and only e	xplains the reaso	on for the next	
Comment Type TR	ovide the behavior of the state	diagrams shown i	PSE State Diagram	paragr	apn.					
33–9 continued, ar		ulagranis shown ii	i i igule 55–9, i igule	C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.4		P 35	L 8	# 254	
				Zimmerma	in, George		CME Consult	ing, Inc.		
	w applies only to Type 1 and T t it doesn't apply to Type 3 & 4		ow what behavior	Comment	Type ER	Comment S	Status A		Editori	
	& 4 yet, but a statement is need								o power up. Language	
SuggestedRemedy					suggests 4PID age consistent w			ntators are encou	uraged to provide	
	1 and Type 2 PSEs shall prov			langua		III 4FID by pov	ver-up.			
	Type 4 PSEs shall provide th	e behavior of the s	state diagrams shown in	Langu	age above has t	peen modified to	o not mention	classification, so	the issue is fixed.	
Figures (TBD)."				Suggestea	lRemedy					
Response	Response Status C			Delete	Editor's note.					
ACCEPT.				Response		Response S	tatus C			
EZ				ACCE	PT.					
				EZ						

CI 33 SC 33.2.4.4 P 33 L 43 #	255	C/ 33	SC 33.2.4.4	P 37	L 4	# 256	
Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.		Zimmermar	n, George	CME Cons	ulting, Inc.		
Comment Type T Comment Status X "legacy_powerup: This variable is provided for PSEs that monitor the PI per pair set voltage out that information to indicate the completion of PD inrush current during POWE operation. Using only the PI pair set voltage information may be insufficient to the true end of PD inrush current; use of a fixed TInrush-2P period is recomm variable that is set in an implementation-dependent manner. Values:TRUE:The PSE supports legacy power up; this value is not recommended equipment use this value." Doesn't this only apply to 2 pair PSEs? At a minimum, there should be no legating the provided of the provided be completed on the provided be completed on the provided be completed on the provided of the provided o	R_UP o determine hended. A nded. d that new	A contr indicate Values 2: PD is 3: PD is 4: PD is	power_type ol variable outpu ss the type of PI 1: PD is a Type a Type 2 PD a Type 3 PD a Type 4 PD"	Comment Status A t by the PSE power contro a advertised through Da 1 PD (default)	ata Link Layer clas	sification.	
up 4pair PSEs. SuggestedRemedy insert "two pair" so it reads, "This variable is provided for two-pair PSEs" Add to TRUE: (after 'not recommended'), "and is not allowed for 4-pair PSE of Proposed Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy Add Editor's note reminding that mutual identification will require a similar variable "pd_power_type", or, if mutual ID is adopted, add the variable as follows: "pd_power_type A control variable determined by mutual identification that indicates the type of PD." Values:1: PD is a Type 1 PD (default) 2: PD is a Type 2 PD						
Wait for Yair's Presentation.		3: PD is 4: PD is <i>Response</i> ACCEF Add the	s a Type 3 PD s a Type 4 PD" PT IN PRINCIPL e editor's note su		hip.		

Comment ID 256

CI 33 SC 33.2.4		L 4	# 257		SC 33.2.4.7	P 45	L 40	# 259
Zimmerman, George	CME Consu	Ilting, Inc.		Zimmerman,	George	CME Consu	lting, Inc.	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		Editorial	Comment Ty	pe ER	Comment Status A		Editoria
	ssification not complete" in abo to above paragraph during rev		eds to be clear. Team to	"Figure 3	3–9–PSE sta	ate diagram (continued)"		
				Title sho	uld follow that	of Figure 33-9- Type 1 and	Type 2 PSE state	e diagram"
l ext doesn't refer to (it wasn't in 1.0 eith	o above text, the term does not er)	appear in that te	xt or has been modified.	SuggestedRe	emedy			
SuggestedRemedy	,			Change f (continue		-ig 33-9: "Figure 33–9— Тур	be 1 and Type 2 I	PSE state diagram
Delete editor's note	·•			Response		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCEPT				
ACCEPT IN PRINC	JPLE.			EZ				
The note should sa accordingly.	y "Mutual identification not con	nplete". Please c	hange the note					
CI 33 SC 33.2.4	4.6 <i>P</i> 43	L 8	# 258					
Zimmerman, George	CME Consu	Ilting, Inc.						
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		Editorial					
(Type_sub_PSE), t PD Type(Type_sub	ers a PD of lower Type (Type_s he PSE shall meet the PI elect o_PD), except for ICon-2P, ILIN 'SE shall meet the requirement _Sub_PSE."	rical requirements 1-2P, TLIM-2P, ar	s of a Type 1 PSE the nd PType (see Table 33-					
	ate subscripts. also wording of y PSE Type" is odd.	"for which the PS	SE shall meet the					
SuggestedRemedy								
implement subscrip	ots indicated by _sub_							
	nt so that it makes sense, "for v type or a lesser type such that							
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							

C/ 33 SC 33.2.	4.7 <i>P</i> 52	L 30	# 260	C/ 33	SC 33.2.5.	.6	P 57	L 19	# 262
Zimmerman, George	CME Const	ulting, Inc.		Zimmerm	an, George		CME Consult	ting, Inc.	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		PSE SD	Comment	Туре Т	Comment S	Status A		4PID
 Process to do co After connection 	e diagram shown in figure 33- onnection check following DET pd_4pair_candidate = (valid_A	ECT_EVAL and p	rior to any classification.	Altern	ative A and Alt	ly (TBD) determir ternative B pair se ults of other syste	ets, the result	of connection ch	detection state of both eck as described in
(PD_signature = Dual) * (!deny_dua	l_sig_4p_power)].	, it = 0		mutua inform		is obviously need	ded, and is orr	nitted from this lis	t of specific
 Set maintain_4p Add an addition; 	air_power to initial value of pd al exit condition - !maintain_4pa	_4pair_candidate	at POWER_UP state.	Suggeste	dRemedy				
the POWER_ DENIED state. Cha "power_not_availal	ange exit D from POWER_ON ble*!short_detected*! do_timer_not_done*!option_vp	state to		"4PID Altern	shall be initiall ative A and Alt		ned as a logicated ts, the result of	al function of the of connection che	detection state of both ck as described in nation."
maintain_4pair_po	wer is false then power must b	e removed from a	t least one pair set."	Response)	Response S	status C		
	een overtaken by other change & 3 no longer apply, item 1 is i		g to deal with deleted	ACCE	PT.				
SuggestedRemedy	a e ne lenger appij, leni i le			CI 33	SC 33.2.7		P 65	L 48	# 263
Replace lines 29 to	33 with:			Zimmerm	an, George		CME Consult	ting, Inc.	
1) Process to do co	e diagram shown in figure 33- onnection check following DET neck set variable pd_4pair_can , lines 34 to 40).	ECT_EVAL and p	rior to any classification.	Figure	behavior confo e 33–10."		diagrams in Fi		PSE Power e 33–9 continued, and int to Type 1 and Type
Response	Response Status C					ay need an additi	onal statemen	it for Type 3 & 4	PSEs to point to TBD
ACCEPT IN PRINC	CIPLE.				diagram.				
OBE by comment	# 142			Suggeste			NT b a b a c d a c c		ata dia mana in Fimuna
C/ 33 SC 33.2.		L 24	# 261			ontinued, and Fig			ate diagrams in Figure
Zimmerman, George	CME Const	ulting, Inc.							forms to the state
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		Editorial			rms to the state d			Type 3 and Type 4
	em, the implementor should m been globally changed to "imp			Response	e PT IN PRINCI	Response S	Status C	5 ()	
SuggestedRemedy				ACCE		PLE.			
Change "implemer	tor" to "implementer" througho	out document.						onforms to the st	ate diagrams in Figure
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			33–9,	Figure 33–9 co	ontinued, and Fig	ure 33–10."		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

ΕZ

C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Zimmerman, George	P 66 CME Consult	L1	# 264		CI 33 Zimmermar	SC 33.2.7.12	P 76 CME Consul	L 40	# 266
	Comment Status A	ing, inc.					Comment Status A	ung, me.	
Comment Type ER "Editor's Note: Update diagram is complete."	e the above sentence to refere	ence Type 3/4 sta	ate diagram when	state		pe 3 and Type 4	PSEs, when connected to R_ON state within Tpon after		
No need to wait if you	know it needs to be done, just	t put in the TBD	s where needed.		"must"?	shouldn't this l	be "shall"?		
SuggestedRemedy Delete editor's note.					Suggestedl change	Remedy "must" to "shall	μ		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				Response ACCEF	РТ.	Response Status C		
See comment 263.					EZ				
C/ 33 SC 33.2.7 Zimmerman, George	P 70 CME Consult	L 1 ing, Inc.	# 265		C/ 33 Zimmermar	SC 33.2.9.1.2 h, George	2 P 78 CME Consu	L 23 Iting, Inc.	# 267
Comment Type E "4Item 17b applies to set currents of the sar	Comment Status A PSEs that implement MPS de me polarity."	etection by meas		<i>ditorial</i> air		SE may remove	Comment Status A power from both pair sets in MPDO on either pair set."	f the DC MPS has	PSE MPS been absent for
Note 4 is on new page	e - should be with table and p	evious notes.			additior	al restatement	of permission to remove po	wer from both pair	sets.
0 0	notes to keep with next for not	es 1-3, note 4 do	oesn't need keep v	vith	Suggestedl delete	Remedy sentence.			
next. Response	Response Status C				Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT.						T IN PRINCIPL	E.		
"keep with next" is an	attribute in Frame to keep thin	ngs together.			EZ	comment for.			
					C/ 33 Zimmermar	SC 33.4.9.1 a, George	P 113 CME Consu	L 20 Iting, Inc.	# 268
					Comment 7 "10GB#		Comment Status X r or telecom outlet Midspan	PSE"	AES
					what is	a '10GBASE-T	connector'? is it the 10GBA	SE-T MDI connec	ctor?
					Suggestedl				
					°,	'connector' to 'N			
					Proposed F Need s		Response Status W owledge in this area to answ	ver this.	
	red ER/editorial required GR/ lispatched A/accepted R/reje t ID				jeneral		0	nent ID 268	Page 72 of 73 7/14/2015 5:34

Cl 33 SC 33.4 Zimmerman, George	9.1 P 113 CME Consul	L 38 ting, Inc.	# 269	C/ 33 Darshan,	SC 33.3.4 Yair	P 86 Microsemi	L 54	# 272
•	Comment Status D SE-T operation, NEXT loss for N e 1000BASE-T, but exclude 10G	•		detec	ext: n a Type 1 or Ty	Comment Status A	ia the PI, it shall	4Pr
SuggestedRemedy Replace "for up to rates". Proposed Response PROPOSED ACC	1000BASE-T operation" with "Fr Response Status W EPT.	or operation with	1000BASE-T and low	ver In ord that s	er to maintain in upports invalid s ements need to	teroperability with all PSEs ar ignature on the un powered p be applied for all PDS.		
should be for rates 802.3bz is expecte SuggestedRemedy	CME Consul <i>Comment Status</i> D operation, insertion loss" is up to 1000BASE-T, inclusive. ed to also use these rates, so op BASE-T operation, " with "For ot <i>Response Status</i> W	eration other tha	n 10G would be ok to	Wher detec NES from To Wher via th not du <i>Response</i> ACCE	ion signature or which it is not dra a Single Signat PI, it shall pres awing power"	ure PD Type 1 or Type 2 PD sent a non-valid detection sigr <i>Response Status</i> C LE.	or Type 3 or Typ	be 4 becomes powered
Cl 33 SC 33.5 Zimmerman, George		L 10 ting. Inc.	# 271					
Comment Type TR Table 33-21 (regis	Comment Status D ter 11), bit 6, "Deny dual-signatu was supposed to set was remove	re PD 4-pair Pov		nent				
SuggestedRemedy No change needed Delete row for bit Reinstate the rese	d to Table 33-21 11.6 rved bits as 11.15:6 n 33.5.1.1.1a Deny dual-signatur <i>Response Status</i> W	e PD 4-pair pow	er (lines 40-47)					