C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 # 1 C/ 104 P 36 L 28 # 6 L 1 SC 104.3.3.1 Abramson, David Texas Instruments Abramson, David Texas Instruments Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK There is a total of 20 comments that Andy Gardner will be resubmitting for me. These "Prior to application of normal operating voltage." What exactly is "normal"? Clause 33 comments (from D1.4 comments) are numbers: 92, 94, 119, 118, 111, 112, 116, 121, 98. just says "operating". Why have we added "normal" 108, 109, 99, 124, 126, 127, 128, 100, 105, 130, 131 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy remove "normal" throughout this section (and rest of draft if used in a similar manner). See proposed changes from resubmitted comments Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.3 P 38 L 1 The comments referred to above have been renumbered as 2-21 in this database. This Abramson, David **Texas Instruments** comment is accepted since it is just a pointer to those comments. Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK C/ 104 P 51 # 4 SC 104.4.6 L 41 power not available is the only variable we use in the negative Abramson, David Texas Instruments SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Change power_not_available to power_available and update state diagram accordingly. This comment applies to item 7 of table 104-6. Proposed Response Response Status W We need to reference section 104.4.6.1 for the inrush enable delay time (tpower dly) PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. SuggestedRemedy Add "104.4.6.1" to additional information column. C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 / 10 Proposed Response Response Status W Abramson, David Texas Instruments PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK This comment applies to figure 104-4. IDLE state. C/ 104 SC 104.1.3 P 34 L 45 # 5 Why are we calling out pi_detecting and pi_powered as set to FALSE? There is no way to Abramson, David **Texas Instruments** get to IDLE with those set to TRUE. We don't call out pi discharge en. Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK SuggestedRemedy A PoDL system.is defined as Type A or Type B..A Type A+B system is.. remove pi powered and pi detecting assignments from IDLE. How can we have Type A+B if it has to be Type A or Type B? Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. change to: "is defined as either Type A, Type B, or Type A+B. This will match 104.4.1 as well.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

Response Status W

C/ 104 SC 104.3.4.1 P 41 # 12 C/ 104 P 45 L 23 # 17 L 32 SC 104.3.6.4 Abramson, David Texas Instruments Abramson, David Texas Instruments Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D Poor wording: "All detection currents at the PI shall be within the Ivalid current range as "The specification for Tinrush in Table 104-3 applies to the PSE power up time allowed for specified in Table 104-2 with a valid PD detection signature connected as specified in a PD after completion of detection." The Tinrush timer does not start until after an optional classification cycle. Table 104-4. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Reword: "All detection currents at the PI shall be within the Ivalid current range, as Change sentece to: ".after completion of detection and optional classification." specified in Table 104-2, when connected to a valid PD detection signature as specified in Proposed Response Response Status W Table 104-4." PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.6.1 P 54 L 27 # 20 Abramson, David **Texas Instruments** C/ 104 SC 104.3.6 P 42 L 41 # 13 Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Abramson, David **Texas Instruments** We shouldn't call out a direct implementation. Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK SuggestedRemedy "prior to application offull operating voltage." Change "the master device" to "a master device" or "an example of the master device" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W add space in "of full" PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Change reference text to "the block diagram of a master device." C/ 104 # 21 SC 104.3.6 SC 104.6.3.1 P 55 L 38 C/ 104 P 43 L 7 # 14 Abramson, David Abramson, David **Texas Instruments** Texas Instruments Comment Status D OK Comment Status D OK Comment Type Comment Type ER This paragraph seems to have a different line spacing than the rest This comment applies to the additional information column in Table 104-3. Be consistant with the "and" when multiple sections/tables are referenced. Currently both SuggestedRemedy "and" and "&" are used. Fix if this is true. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Replace all "and"s and "&"s with commas. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Replace "&" with "and" throughout the tables.

C/ 30 SC 14.1.1.3 P 21 L 38 # 22 C/ 00 SC 0 Ρ L # 25 NXP Andrewartha, Mike Amason, Dale Microsoft Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Typo in definition of unknown attribute. Should be "true state not yet known". PDF document file bookmarks have extraneous entries that are not clause or subclause headings. For example under 104.3 the first 6 paragraphs appear as bookmarks. Typo repeated numerous times: 30.14.1.1.4, .5, .6 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Correct the paragraph types and regenerate the PDF file to eliminate the extraneous bookmark entries. Change "know" to "known" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ 00 SC 0 P **1** L 2 # 29 C/ 104 SC 6.4.3 P 59 L 12 Anslow, Pete Ciena NXP Amason, Dale Comment Type Comment Status D OK Comment Type Comment Status D OK This will be an amendment of IEEE Std 802.3-2015 Figure 104-12 Font size in certain blocks very small. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the variable base year to 2015 in all files in the book. This should set all Check font size against minimum allowed in IEEE Style Guide. Consider re-drawing figure instances of "IEEE Std 802.3-201x" and "IEEE Std 802.3-2012" to "IEEE Std 802.3-2015" to make font more easily readible. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 L 30 # 30 C/ 104 SC 104..3.5 P 42 L 41 # 24 Anslow. Pete Ciena Microsoft Andrewartha, Mike Comment Type E Comment Status D OK OK Comment Type ER Comment Status D The copyright year should be set to the year that the draft is published in. Typo: "offull" should be "of full" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the variable copyright_year to 2016 in all files in the book. Change "offull" to "of full" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. See comment 69. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

See comment 13.

C/ FM SC FM P 10 L 13 # 31 C/ 30 SC 30.2.3 P 18 L 1 # 34 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK The description of this amendment has to be completed Figure 30-3 is being changed by the P802.3br draft, which is likely to complete before P802.3bu. However, the replacement in this draft does not take the .3br changes into SuggestedRemedy account. Change "IEEE Std 802.3xxTM-201x" to: "IEEE Std 802.3buTM-201x" and fill in the SuggestedRemedy description of the amendment. Change the editing instruction to: "Replace Figure 30-3 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3br-Proposed Response Response Status W 201x) with the following:" PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Use the version in the P802.3br draft as the basis for the changes being made here. Proposed Response Response Status W See comment 386. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ 30 SC 30 P 17 L 4 # 32 C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 19 L 3 # 35 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena OK Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D OK The editing instruction needs to specify where to edit. P802.3br, which is likely to complete before P802.3bu, is already adding Tables 30-8 and SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Change the first paragraph of Clause 30 as follows: Also, the last sentence of the first paragraph of 30.2.5 refers to this set of tables and has to be modified to account for any extra tables. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Change the added table to Table 30-10. Show the last sentence of the first paragraph of 30.2.5 as changing from "... are specified C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P 17 L 13 # 33 in Table 30-1a through Table 30-9." to "... are specified in Table 30-1a through Table 30-Anslow, Pete Ciena 10." Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Proposed Response Response Status W For amended clauses, the usual practice is to include one of each level of heading above PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. an amended subclause. Here, 30.2 and 30.2.2 are missing. SuggestedRemedy Add the headings for 30.2 and 30.2.2

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Response Status W

C/ 30 SC 30.14 P 20 L 45 # 36 C/ 45 SC 45.2 P **27** L 13 # 39 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK There is no editing instruction for 30.14. P802.3bn, which is likely to complete before P802.3bu, is already allocating Device address 12 to "OFDM PMA/PMD". P802.3br, which is likely to complete before P802.3bu, is already adding 30.14. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert an editing instruction: "Insert 30.15 after 30.14 (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3br-Change the device address for "Power unit" to 13 and show the changes to Tables 45-1 and 45-2 with respect to the tables as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bn-201x. 201x) as follows:" Renumber 30.14 to be 30.15. Change 45.2.7a to 45.2.7b Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. See 260. See comment 323. C/ 30 Cl 45 SC 30.14.1.1.2 P 21 L 30 # 37 SC 45.2.7a P 28 L 15 # 40 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK "Clause 45" should be a cross-reference In Table 45-211e, the register names should not end in "register" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make "Clause 45" a cross-reference (with format ClauseNumber) here and throughout the Remove "register" from the three register names in Table 45-211e subclauses of 30.14 (10 instances) Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.6 P 31 L 6 # 41 P 22 C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.1.4 L 15 # 38 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena OK Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status D OK Comment Type "45.2" should be a cross-reference. When referencing other places in the standard, we do not include "subclause" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make "45.2" a cross-reference. Change "in subclause 104.3.1" to "in 104.3.1" Proposed Response Response Status W In 30.14.1.1.5, change "in subclause 104.4.1" to "in 104.4.1" PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

See 194.

Comment ID 41

Page 5 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:52 AM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.8 P 31 L 15 # 42 C/ 104 P 34 L 1 # 45 SC 104.1.2 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type Comment Status D OK Many bit combinations are reserved for future use in Clause 45. This is shown in the According to the IEEE style manual figure titles should be "centered below the figure" (as tables and not listed in the text. per the 802.3 Frame template). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete the sentence "The combinations '1010' thru '1111' for bits 12.1.6:3 have been Move the titles for all figures to be centred below the figure. This applies to Figures 104-1 reserved for future use." through 104-13. Also, in 45.2.7a.2.9, delete the paragraph "The combinations '111' and '110' for bits Proposed Response Response Status W 12.1.2:0 have been reserved for future use." PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. P 35 C/ 104 SC 104.2 L 18 # 46 Anslow, Pete Ciena See 209. Comment Status D OK Comment Type # 43 C/ 104 SC 104.1.2 P 33 L 33 Subclause 1.2.6 is: Anslow, Pete Ciena 1.2.6 Accuracy and resolution of numerical quantities Unless otherwise stated, numerical limits in this standard are to be taken as exact, with the Comment Status D OK Comment Type Ε number of significant digits and trailing zeros having no significance. Space missing in "IEEE802.3" Consequently trailing zeros after the decimal point are generally not shown in 802.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE802.3" to "IEEE 802.3" On page 35 line 18 and page 62 line 19, change "6.0omega" to "6 omega" (use a non-Proposed Response Response Status W breaking space (Ctrl space) between the number and its unit). PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. In Tables 104-1, 104-3, 104-4, 104-6, 104-7, remove all trailing zeros after the decimal point. See 213. Proposed Response Response Status W SC 104.1.2 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ 104 P 33 L 6 # 44 Anslow. Pete Ciena C/ 104 P 35 SC 104.2 L 32 # 47 Comment Type Comment Status D OK Anslow. Pete Ciena http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html requires: Physical Layer (always capped) Comment Type E Comment Status D OK The footnotes to Table 104-1 are not according to the IEEE style manual. They should be SuggestedRemedy numbered a. b. etc. and be associated with the table. Change "physical layers" to "Physical Layers" page 33 lines 6 and 36, page 53 line 35 SuggestedRemedy Change "physical layer" to "Physical Layer" page 33 line 9 Change "Physical layer" to "Physical Layer" page 33 line 16 Place the insertion point at the position for the footnote (e.g. at the end of "VPSE(max) (V)"), then Special. Footnote will insert a suitable table footnote. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 47

Page 6 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:52 AM

C/ 104 SC 104.3.4.1 P 41 L 40 # 48 C/ 104 P 62 L 16 # 52 SC 104.7.4.1 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type Ε Comment Status D The IEEE style manual says: The order of columns in the tables in 104.7.4.1 through 104.7.4.7 is not the same as for the PICS in all other recent amendments and the 802.3 template. "An em dash (-) should be used to indicate the lack of data for a particular cell in a table." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert an em dash (Ctrl-q Shft-q) in all empty cells in the Min and Max column of Tables Move the Value/Comment column in the tables in 104.7.4.1 through 104.7.4.7 to be 104-2, 104-3, 104-4, 104-5, 104-6, 104-7 between the Subclause and Status columns. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. # 49 See comment 235. C/ 104 SC 104.7 P 61 L 2 Ciena Anslow, Pete C/ 104A SC 104A.1 P 71 L 12 # 53 Comment Status D OK Comment Type Ε Anslow. Pete Ciena The quoted clause title is incorrect in three places on Page 104.7 and in the title of 104.7.4 Comment Type E Comment Status D OK SuggestedRemedy It is a good idea to give all equations like this an equation number so that they can easily be referenced. In the title of 104.7, the first sentence of 104.7.1, in the Table in 104.7.2.2, and in the title of 104.7.4, change the text after "Clause 104." to "Single-Pair Power over Data Lines SuggestedRemedy (PoDL)" to match the title of Clause 104. Add equation number 104A-1 by applying paragraph tag "Aequation". Change "... Is given Proposed Response Response Status W by:" to "... Is given by Equation (104A-1):" where "Equation (104A-1)" is a cross-reference with format "EquationNumber" PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 104 SC 104.7.2.2 P 61 L 43 # 50 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Anslow. Pete Ciena C/ 104 SC 104 P 33 L 0 # 55 OK Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Brown, Matt APM "IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x" should be "IEEE Std 802.3bu-201x" Comment Type Comment Status D OK SuggestedRemedy Many figures use Calibri font. For graphics, the style manual recommends use of Arial or Change "IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3bu-201x" Times New Roman. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Change font to one of the recommended fonts in the following figures: Figure 104-{1,2,4,5,6,9,10,11,13} Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 55

Page 7 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:52 AM

C/ 104 SC 104 P 33 L 0 # 56 C/ 104 SC 104.6.2 P 55 # 59 L 6 APM Brown, Matt Carlson, Steven High Speed Design, In Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Many figure use font size that is too small read. Rendered at 4-6 pt. Style guide In Figure 104-8-SCCP slave block diagram, the same issues are present in the figure. recommends 8 pt. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please change the figure per the previous comment on Figure 104-7- SCCP master block Increase font size to at least 8 pt in the following figures: diagram. Remove the "and ROM" as this assumes a particular implementation. Figure 104-{7,8,12} Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 57. P 54 C/ 104 SC 104.6.1 L 29 # 57 C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.1 P 62 L 15 # 60 High Speed Design, In Carlson, Steven Carlson, Steven High Speed Design, In Comment Status D OK Comment Type ER Comment Type Comment Status D OK Figure 104-7- SCCP master block diagram The PICS table does not follow the standard column format of The figure looks more appropriate for an IC datasheet than a standards document. SuggestedRemedy Item Feature Subclause Value/Comment Status Support In Figure 104-7- SCCP master block diagram, remove the triangle symbol (presumably a SuggestedRemedy receiver buffer, but not explicitly called out as such) and label the input line SCCP RX. Label the transmit line SCCP TX and remove the SPST graphic. Re-arrange columns to Proposed Response Response Status W Item Feature Subclause Value/Comment Status Support PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.6 P 54 L 19 # 58 High Speed Design, In Carlson, Steven See comment 235. Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK C/ 01 SC 1.4.2 P 16 L 8 The current text. "SCCP is an open-drain, half-duplex bidirectional serial data bus." implies Chalupsky, David Intel Corp. a partiuclaur implementation. OK SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status D Change to: missing space between PoDL and Unregulated SuggestedRemedy SCCP is a current-sinking, wire-OR (e.g. open-drain or open-collector), half-duplex add space bidirectional serial data bus. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. See comment 79.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 64

Page 8 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:52 AM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.1 P 28 L 26 # 65 C/ 00 SC 0 Ρ L # 68 Chalupsky, David Chalupsky, David Intel Corp. Intel Corp. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK missing period and space in "Table 45-211f The default" inconsistent header between front matter and main body of document. Through page 14 header says ammendment to 802.3-201X. Page 15 on says ammendment to 802.3-2012. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy add period and space after 45-211f change header throughout to indicate draft is an amemndment to 802.3-2015. you may Proposed Response Response Status W just need to get the latest Framemaker template PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See 136. C/ 00 SC 0 Ρ C/ 45 SC 45.2.7a.1 P 28 L 28 # 66 # 69 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp. Chalupsky, David Intel Corp. OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D double period and end of sentence copyright date in footer (through page 14) says 201x. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy delete one change copyright to 2015 or 2016 depending upon release date of next draft. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See 137. C/ FM SC FM P **1** L 26 # 70 Chalupsky, David Intel Corp. SC 104.1.3 C/ 104 P 34 L 45 # 67 Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Chalupsky, David Intel Corp. cover page errors. First paragraph says 802.3-201X, and 'prepared for task force review' Comment Type Comment Status D OK Ε SuggestedRemedy the word "Ethernet" is not necessary to describe 100BASE-T1. it is not used for the other instances of PHY names in the paragraph. change 802.3-201X to 802.3-2015. replace 'task force review' with 'working group ballot' update copyright date line 29. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W delete the word Ethernet PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. See comment 29. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 104 SC 104.1.3 P 35 L 14 # 71 C/ 104 P 54 L 10 # 78 SC 104.5.3.1 Darshan, Yair DiBiaso, Eric TE Connectivity Microsemi Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK We need to add text the prevents DC from PSE to PHY and prevents data line signal to be Add plot to illustrate MDI return loss equation (104-3) for Type B PoDL system. loaded by PSE SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add Plot Add note below figure 104-3: Proposed Response Response Status W PSE interface elements that prevents loading the data signal by the PSE are not shown. PHY elements that prevents DC to be delivered from the PSE to the PHY are not shown. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 01 SC 1.4.2 P 16 L 8 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** P 42 # 75 C/ 104 SC 104.3.5 L 41 Comment Type E Comment Status D OK TE Connectivity DiBiaso, Eric "PoDLUnregualted" missing space. Comment Type E Comment Status D OK SuggestedRemedy Add Space to offull Change "PoDLUnregulated" to "PoDL Unregulated". Proposed Response Response Status W after detection and prior to application offull operating.... PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. SuggestedRemedy after detection and prior to application of full operating.... C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.1.4 P 22 L 11 # 80 Proposed Response Response Status W Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. OK Comment Type E Comment Status D "typeAB" is listed twice. The first instance should be "typeB". Also appears in 30.14.1.1.5 See comment 13. line 28. C/ 104 SC 104.5.3.1 P 53 L 48 # 77 SuggestedRemedy DiBiaso, Eric TE Connectivity On page 22 line 11 and line 28, change "typeAB" to "typeB". Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Proposed Response Response Status W Add plot to illustrate MDI return loss equation (104-2) for Type A PoDL system. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. SuggestedRemedy Add plot.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Response Status W

C/ 104 SC 104.5.2 P 53 # 83 C/ 104A SC 104A.1 P 71 L 16 # 90 L 26 Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK "See clauses 96 and 97", should "96" and "97" be external references? "L" and "Ppde(max)" are not listed/defined in this paragraph while all other variables are. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make "96" and "97" green external references. Please add "L" and "Ppde(max)" definitions to this paragraph to help the reader. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 296. C/ 104 SC 104.3 P 36 L 10 Dove, Daniel Dove Networking Solut C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.2 P 63 L 26 # 86 Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK Donahue, Curtis **UNH-IOL** The term "SCCP" appears here for the first time, yet it has never been defined. Comment Status D OK Comment Type E SuggestedRemedy PSE15 and PSE16 have a typo in SLEEEP_SETTLE. I recommend adding it to the definitions page. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change "SLEEP_SETTLE" to "SLEEP_SETTLE" PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Editor granted license to add defintion for SCCP. C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.2 P 64 L 14 # 87 C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.3 P 37 L 15 # 94 **UNH-IOL** Donahue, Curtis Dove, Daniel **Dove Networking Solut** Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK "PSE259" instead of "PSE29" The wording is not how I would suggest it. "A valid MFVS is present" lacks clarity on what a valid MFVS means. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "PSE259" to "PSE29" Remove "a valid", perhaps add "per 104.3.7.1" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.3 P 37 # 95 C/ 104 P 52 # 103 L 23 SC 104.4.6.1 L 4 Dove Networking Solut Dove Networking Solut Dove, Daniel Dove, Daniel Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK The variable is sccp enabled but the description says whether or not it is "supported". The terminology is a bit strange here. I know what it means, but think perhaps a better Supporting something, and enabling it are two different things. terminology is possible. "when fed by VPort PSE min to VPort PSE max SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy replace with "when a voltage Vpd is applied within" replace "supported" with "enabled". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.3.5 P 42 L 42 # 99 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.a2 P 30 L 15 # 113 Dove Networking Solut Linear Technology Dove. Daniel Gardner, Andrew OK Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D OK A missing space creates an offull opportunity for a pun. consider adding a PSE Status of "unknown" to match the available options in Section 30 (see P21, L32 for an example) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy add a space between of and full. see comment Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 13. C/ 104 SC 104.6.3.2 P 56 L 16 # 118 C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.1 P **52** L 3 # 102 Gardner. Andrew Linear Technology Dove. Daniel Dove Networking Solut Comment Status D Comment Type TR OK Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK No description or requirement for tssw is given. An errors and some lack of clarity are found in this sentence. "The PD shall turn on at a SuggestedRemedy voltage in the range of VOn after a delay greater than tpower dly as specified in Table 104-6. The PD shall turn off at a voltage greater than or equal to VOff." State that the slave shall sample the Vpd within the range of tssw during a write 1 or write 0 operation. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change to "The PD shall turn on when Vpd has remained in the range of VOn for a time greater than toower dly as specified in Table 104-6. The PD shall turn off at a voltage PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

greater less than or equal to VOff."

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

C/ 104 SC 104.6.3.2 P 56 L 23 # 119 C/ FM SC FM P 1 L 2 # 178 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type Т Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK The words 'release and then' appear to be superfluous. P802.3bu is working on amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2015. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete 'release and then' Please make sure that all dated references to 802.3 are to "2015" and not to "201x" or "20xx" Proposed Response Response Status W There are multiple instances in Front Matter of the document and then at least one per PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. page on the page header. Proposed Response Response Status W P 56 L 25 C/ 104 SC 104.6.3.2 # 120 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 29. Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Comment Type T Comment Status D OK C/ FM SC FM P 3 1 # 179 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** The words 'hold and then' appear to be superfluous. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Delete 'hold and then' Copyright on all pages references "201x" Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Change copyright year from "201x" to "2015" on all pages in the draft. This will need to be changed to 2016 down the road, as draff progresses through WG and Sponsor ballots C/ 104 SC 104.6.4.3 P 59 L 1 # 122 Proposed Response Response Status W Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 69. Comment Status D OK Comment Type TR C/ FM SC FM P **7** L 3 # 180 Figure 104-12 should be 'Address and Read_Scratchpad function command flowchart' Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** SuggestedRemedy OK Comment Type E Comment Status D see comment "the IEEE P802.3xx working group ballot." Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Change to "the IEEE P802.3bu working group ballot." - I believe the project designation should be well known by now Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 15 L 48 # 182 C/ 30 SC 30.2.3 P 17 L 30 # 186 **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK No need for 1.3 No editorial instructions for 30.2.3 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add editorial instruction: Change text in 30.2.3 as shown below" Subclauses are added as needed. No need to keep 1.3 as placeholder - numbering will not change anyway. Proposed Response Response Status W Please remove 1.3 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, FZ. C/ 30 SC 30.2.3 P 18 L 51 # 187 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 16 L 1 # 183 Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Figure 30-3 is already being replaced by P802.3br - any changes in PoDL should either Comment Type E Comment Status D OK account for changes in P802.3br, or alternatively NOT replace, but simply markup changes Definitions in 1.4 should be assigned tentative numbers at this time - we have base 802.3needed to add oPoDLPSE - the second approach is preferred 2015 to reference to and several projects already adding definitions to 1.4 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Replace Figure 30-3 with the following:" to Change Figure 30-3 by adding Please assign proper numbers to individual definitions. oPoDLPSE entity as shown in red below:" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. P 17 # 185 C/ 30 P 20 C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 L 21 SC 30.14 L 45 # 188 Haiduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Wrong markup changes to oPHYEntity No editorial instructions for 30.14 SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Current text reads: "managed object that contains the MAU, PAF, and PSE managed Add editorial instruction: "Insert new subclause 30.14 as shown below:" objects in a DTE." Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed text reads: "managed object that contains the MAU. PAF. PSE and PoDLPSE PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. managed objects in a DTE" Which means that there should be underlined comma after "PSE" to make sure there is See 260. serial comma in place, and also " and" shoull be underlined to mark insertion Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

See 320.

Comment ID 188

Page 14 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

C/ 30 SC 30.14.1 P 20 L 50 # 189 C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.1.4 P 22 # 193 L 11 **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK Missing "." at the end of line 50 Wrong designator SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Per comment "typeAB" is repeated twice. Change the first instance of "typeAB" to "typeB" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ See 153. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 372. C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.1.3 P 21 L 47 # 191 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.1.4 P 22 L 15 # 194 Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Haiduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Missing "see" in "(Figure 104-4)" Comment Type E Comment Status D OK SuggestedRemedy We usually do not spell out the word "subclause" Change "(Figure 104-4)" to "(see Figure 104-4)" SuggestedRemedy Same issue on page 23, line 20; page 23, line 34; page 23, line 50, and several other Change "subclause 104.3.1" to "104.3.1" locations in the draft (Clause 30 and 45) Similar change on page 22, line 33 There are also references to Figure 104-5 with the same issue Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.1.10 P 24 L 8 # 195 C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.1.3 P 22 L 2 # 192 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK OK Comment Type E Comment Status D "This counter has a maximum increment rate of 1.3 counts per second." counters by Missing "." at the end of line 2, page 22 definition are integer based, and it is not clear how 1.3 counts per second can be SuggestedRemedy represented in this fashion. Perhaps a better way would be indicate that the maximum rate is 13 counts per 10 seconds, to avoid floating point numbers. per comment Same issue on page 22, line 37; page 23, line 10; page 23, line 24; page 23, line 40; page SuggestedRemedy 24, line 2, and several other locations in Clause 30 Change "This counter has a maximum increment rate of 1.3 counts per second." to "This Proposed Response Response Status W counter has a maximum increment rate of 13 counts per 10 seconds." Similarly, on page 24, line 22, change "This counter has a maximum increment rate of 3.3 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. counts per second." to "This counter has a maximum increment rate of 33 counts per 10 seconds."

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

Response Status W

C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.2 P 24 # 196 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a P 28 L 18 # 202 L 38 **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Wrong formatting for "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS:" Missing space in "Single-Pair PSE Status 2register" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Align it with the other keywords in 30.14.1.2 Change to "Single-Pair PSE Status 2 register" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See 135. C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.4 P 25 L 9 # 198 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** C/ 45 SC 45.2.7a P 28 L 21 # 203 Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Haiduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Wording improvement for behavior description OK Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Unnecessary editorial instructions Change to "A count of the cumulative energy supplied by the PoDL PSE, measured at the SuggestedRemedy MDI, and expressed in units of millijoules." Subclause 45.2.7a is already marked as being inserted in its entiritey - no need for Proposed Response Response Status W separate instructions for subclauses PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Same comment on page 29, line 10; page 31, line 30 Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 30 SC 30.14.2.1 P 25 / 19 # 199 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** See 326. OK Comment Type E Comment Status D "Same as aPoDLPSEAdminState" - it is better to just copy the text in here as well Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.1 P 28 L 25 # 204 SuggestedRemedy Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Copy definition of syntax states and replace "Same as aPoDLPSEAdminState" Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Proposed Response Response Status W Minor fixes. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. SuggestedRemedy missing "." after "is shown in Table 45-211f" SC 45.2 Cl 45 P 27 L 19 # 201 extra "." in line 28, page 28 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Network** Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type E OK Comment Status D PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Extra "." at the end of the sentence See 136. SuggestedRemedy Remoev extra "." Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

Comment ID 204

Page 16 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.2 P 30 L 5 # 206 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.8 P 31 L 15 # 209 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Missing space after "=" in PD Class bit definitions "The combinations '1010' thru '1111' for bits 12.1.6:3 have been reserved for future use." as long as they are marked in the table, no need to list them as reserved explicitly in the SuggestedRemedy Change "=Class code 9" to "= Class code 9" - just an example SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Strike this text PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. The same change on page 31, line 28 Proposed Response Response Status W Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.2 P 30 L 21 # 207 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** C/ 45 P 31 # 210 SC 45.2.7a.2.8 L 15 Comment Type E Comment Status D OK **Bright House Network** "LH = Latched High" should be "LH = Latching High" Haiduczenia. Marek Comment Type E Comment Status D OK SuggestedRemedy Misplaced "." Per comment Same on page 31, line 48 SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change "bits are reporting "delivering power," to "bits are reporting "delivering power"." Similar issue on page 32, line 4 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.5 P 30 / 51 # 208 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek C/ 00 SC 0 P 30 L 0 # 211 Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Network** enters the state 'ERROR' - figure out how you want to mark up state names and use it consistently at least within the draft - for now, in Clause 30, state names are not marked in OK Comment Type E Comment Status D any special way. In Clause 45, they are surrounded by " for markup "Draft Amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2012" - I think not SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove all " around state names in Clause 45 Update template to reference 2015 and not 2012 - this applies to all Clauses in the draft. Proposed Response Response Status W not just Clause 45 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 104 SC 104.1.2 P 33 # 213 C/ 104 SC 104.2 P 35 L 26 # 216 L 33 **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Space missing in "IEEE802.3" In Table 104-1, make sure the words "unregulated" and "regulated" aare positioned in the same way, i.e., under the numeric voltage value - it will look more consistent in this way SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Per comemnt Per comment Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.1.2 P 34 # 214 L 1 C/ 104 SC 104.2 P 35 L 38 # 217 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Comment Type T Comment Status D OK Figure captions go UNDER the figure, and not above it it is not clear why IPI(max) would be expressed in A, where most of the values are on SuggestedRemedy hundreds of mA range Please move all Figure captions below figures and use appropriate template for it. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change IPI(max) to mA values - these will be more meaningfull PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See 45. C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.3 P 38 C/ 104 SC 104.2 P 35 L 18 L 8 # 219 # 215 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D OK OK Unnecessary ".0" Empty lines 8-9 and 18-19 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "6.0" to "6" Please remove extra empty lines Also, need space before "Ohm" symbol Proposed Response Response Status W Similarly, Table 104-1 contains multiple numbers with ".0" or ".00" and no significant digits PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. afterwards Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.4 P 38 L 46 # 221 C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 41 L 3 # 225 **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK It is not "state machine" but "state diagram" Two state diagrams merged into a single figure SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change all instances of "state machine" to "state diagram" Separate Detection and MFVS state diagrams into separate figures - there is NO need to clump them together itno a single figure Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 # 223 L 3 C/ 104 SC 104.4.4 P 50 L 3 # 227 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK Comment Type Comment Status D OK Several issues with Figure 104-4 state diagram Empty fields in Table 104-4/5/6 - are they intended to be empty (in this case, either - or NA SuggestedRemedy would be welcome) or just missing (in this case, provide the missing value) 1. transition from state RESTART to RESTART-DELAY, and from RESTART_DELAY to SuggestedRemedy IDLE is from top of the state, which is not allowed. Transition branch should exit the state Per comment from the bottom. 2. transition from DETECTION to RESTART state and CLASSIFICATION EVAL to Proposed Response Response Status W RESTART are combined, but have different conditions. Separate them into wto PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. independent lines 3. incorrect timer start in POWER UP: is "start tinrush timer: and should be "start See comment 48. tinrush_timer" Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.5 P 53 / 1 # 228 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type E Comment Status D OK C/ 104 P 41 # 224 SC 104.3.3.6 L 3 Wrong style for NOTE Haiduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** SuggestedRemedy OK Comment Type ER Comment Status D Please pply correct style for NOTE There is no reason for such a small text in Figure 104-5 Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Change font size to match Figure 104-4 Proposed Response Response Status W See comment 293. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 228

Page 19 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

C/ 104 SC 104.5.3.1 P 54 # 229 C/ 104 P 59 # 232 L 2 SC 104.6.4.3.1 L 16 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Missing spaces between numeric value and unit in "from 2MHz to 600MHz (with a 100? Unclear value format: what is [CCh]"? reference impedance)" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy If these numbers are intended to be hexadecimal, please change to "[0xCC]" - there are Please scrub the draft and make sure there is always a space between a numeric value multiple instances in the draft right now where such change would be needed. and the unit Otherwise, define what "h" format is There are multiple instances within the draft Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. See comment 381. See comment 297. C/ 104 SC 104.6.4.4 P 59 L 35 # 233 C/ 104 SC 104.5.2 P 53 L 26 # 230 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK is "1110b" any different than "1110"? Is there any specific reason why this value is marked Wrong format of external reference: "(See clauses 96 and 97" as "b" versus values in "Class" field where no "b" is included for some reason? SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change to "(See Clause 96 and Clause 97)", make sure that "Clause 96" and "Clause 97" Remove "b" markers in "Type" field in Table 104-8 is marked as External tag Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.7 P 68 # 234 L 19 See comment 296. Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** C/ 104 SC 104.6.4.3.1 P 59 L 17 # 231 OK Comment Type E Comment Status D **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek Please align column sizes so that PICS number is not broken into two lines Comment Type E Comment Status D OK SuggestedRemedy Missing space before "[CCh]" Per comment SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Per comment PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.1 P 62 L 15 # 235 C/ FM Law, David Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK PICS Table do not follow standard format, i.e., Item Feature Subclause Value/Comment Status Support SuggestedRemedy Please use the following column order: Item Feature Subclause Value/Comment Status Support Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. C/ FM P 71 C/ 104A SC 104A.1 L 14 # 237 Law, David Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Missing Equation number SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 53. C/ 104 SC 104.3 P 35 L 52 # 238 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK The paragraphs from P35 L52 until P36 L23 generates unnecessary bookmarks in the PDF

The type of paragraph might be wrong.

The same problems are found at the following locations: P27 L6, P27 L18, P28 L1, P28 L8, P28 L22, P29 L10, P31 L30, P36 L21, P41 L24, P42 L24, P42 L27, P42 L29, P46 L14, P49 L42, P49 L46, P49 L49, P49 L51, P52 L3, P52 L6, P52 L11, P52 L24, P52 L40, P52 L46, P52 L48, P52 L50, P52 L52, P58 L29, P58 L31, P58 L32, P58 L34, P58 L38.

SuggestedRemedy

Please avoid generating unnecessary bookmarks in the PDF file for these paragraphs.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

SC FM P **7** # 242 L 3 Hewlett Packard Enter Comment Type Comment Status D OK Suggest that '... at the beginning of the IEEE P802.3xx working group ballot.' Be changed to read '... at the beginning of the IEEE P802.3bu working group ballot.'. SuggestedRemedy See comment. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. See comment 180. SC FM P 1 L 26 # 243 Hewlett Packard Enter Comment Type Comment Status D OK The frontmatter currently states that 'Draft D2.0 is prepared for task force review.'. SuggestedRemedy Please update the frontmatter in further to reflect the current state. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 16 # 244 C/ FM SC FM P 9 # 246 L 3 L 3 Hewlett Packard Enter Law, David Hewlett Packard Enter Law, David Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Please provide detailed editing instructions as has been done in other IEEE 802.3 drafts Suggest that '... is not part of IEEE P802.3xx, IEEE Draft Standard ...' be changed to read '... is not part of IEEE P802.3bu, IEEE Draft Standard ...'. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete the text on line 3 that reads 'Insert the following new definitions into the list, in See comment. alphanumerical order:'. Insert new text on line 3 that reads 'Insert the following definitions after 1.4.330 "Physical Proposed Response Response Status W Signaling Sublayer (PLS)" as follows:'. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 180. Change the text on line 5 that reads '1.4.1 PoDL Regulated PSE: A PSE ...' to read '1.4.330a PoDL Regulated PSE: A PSE ...'. Change the text on line 8 that reads '1.4.2 PoDLUnregulated PSE: A PSE ...' to read C/ FM SC FM P**7** L 16 # 248 '1.4.330b PoDLUnregulated PSE: A PSE ...'. Law, David Hewlett Packard Enter Insert new text on line 10 that reads 'Insert the following definitions after 1.4.418 "Type 2 OK PSE" as follows:'. Comment Type Comment Status D Change the text on line 11 that reads '1.4.3 Type A PoDL System: A system ...' to read Please add voter list. '1.4.418a Type A PoDL System: A system ...'. SuggestedRemedy Change the text on line 15 that reads '1.4.4 Type B PoDL System ...' to read '1.4.418b Type B PoDL System ...'. See comment. Change the text on line 19 that reads '1.4.5 Type A+B PoDL System ...' to read '1.4.418c Proposed Response Response Status W Type A+B PoDL System ...'. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ FM SC FM P9L 29 # 245 Law, David Hewlett Packard Enter Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Suggest that 'At the date of IEEE Std 802.3xx-20xx publication ...' be changed to read 'At

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

the date of IEEE Std 802.3bu-20xx publication ...'

Response Status W

SuggestedRemedy
See comment.

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

C/ FM SC FM P 10 L 12 # 249 C/ 104 SC 104.1.1 P 33 L 29 # 251 Hewlett Packard Enter Cadence Design Syst Law, David Marris, Arthur Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Please add the project designation and complete the amendment descriptive text. Phrasing. This sentence does not read well. Delete "where appropriate" as it is redundant. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest the text: Delete "where appropriate" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.1 P 28 L 26 This amendment includes [complete] Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syst Comment Type Comment Status X OK Punctuation be changed to read: SuggestedRemedy Change: Table 45-211f The IEEE Std 802.3bu-201x To: Table 45-211f. The Amendment X-This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2015 to define a methodology for the provision of power via a single twisted pair to connected Data At end of paragraph delete extra period. Change: Terminal Equipment (DTE) with IEEE 802.3 interfaces. To: Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 386. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.7.2.2 P 61 L 42 # 250 See 136. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enter Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Suggest that '... conform to IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x.)' be changed to read '... conform to IEEE Std 802.3xx-201x.)'. SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

See comment.

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

Response Status W

Comment ID 252

Page 23 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

C/ 01 SC 1.4.1 P 16 L 5 # 256 C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P 17 L 21 # 258 Intel Corporation Ran, Adee Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Here there are definitions for "PoDL Regulated PSE" and "PoDLUnregulated PSE" (with a New text "and PoDLPSE" is not underlined. missing space) but these terms are never used. SuggestedRemedy Underline newly inserted text. However, the term "PoDL PSE" is used many times but there is no definition for it. Proposed Response Response Status W Does "PoDL PSE" stand for either regulated or unregulated? It does not seem obvious. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Regulation requirements can be addressed in the relevant clauses. See 320. SuggestedRemedy SC 30.2.3 Replace the definitions in 1.4.1 and 1.4.2 with a single definition for "PoDL PSE". Address C/ 30 P 17 L 31 # 259 regulation requirements in the relevant clauses when necessary. Ran. Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status D Alternatively, add a definition for "PoDL PSE" and insert the missing space in 1.4.2. Missing editorial instruction. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. SuggestedRemedy Insert "Change the first paragraph as follows:" A definition for "PoDL PSE" will be added, and the missing space in 1.4.2 will be added. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 01 SC 1.4.3 P 16 L 12 # 257 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Ran, Adee Intel Corporation C/ 30 SC 30.14 P 20 L 44 # 260 OK

Ran, Adee

Comment Type E

Missing editorial instruction.

TR Comment Status D Comment Type

The second sentence of this definition seems to be directly implied from the first one. If that is the case, it is redundant and confusing.

Same issue with the definitions in 1.4.4 and 1.4.5.

SuggestedRemedy

Either delete the second senetence of each definition, or reword to clarify what it is supposed to add to the definition.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

The second sentence will be deleted in 1.4.4 and 1.4.5.

SuggestedRemedy Insert "Insert new subclause 30.14". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 260

Intel Corporation

Comment Status D

Page 24 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

OK

OK

OK

C/ 30 SC 30.14.1 P 20 L 50 # 261 C/ 45 SC 45.2 P 27 L 19 # 266 Intel Corporation Adee, Ran Intel Corporation Ran, Adee Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Missing period after "actions" Editorial instructions includes swapped words "reserved row m.5.15:2 for" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a Period. Change to "reserved for m.5.15:2" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See 153. Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a P 28 L 25 # 267 Ran. Adee Intel Corporation C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.1.4 P 22 L 12 # 262 Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Ran. Adee Intel Corporation Missing period after "Table 45-211f" Superfluous period after "intervention" (end of this OK Comment Type TR Comment Status D paragraph).. "typaAB" apperas twice. SuggestedRemedy Also in 30.14.1.1.5. Use one period in both cases. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change the first occurrence to "typeB". PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.1.1 P 28 1 47 # 268 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Intel Corporation Ran. Adee See comments 193 and 372. Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK The second sentence starting with "A PSE may disable" suggests an option for a PSE P 26 # 265 C/ 30 SC 30.14.2.1 ("may equals is permitted to"). If the PSE disables something then it should be _indicated_ Ran, Adee Intel Corporation to management. But I assume this is not the intention. Comment Type Comment Status D OK Ε Was this supposed to be "PSE power classification may be disabled"? If so, the second Blank page. sentence could be deleted since it just repeats the first sentence. SuggestedRemedy In addition, the second paragraph uses "shall" which means it is a requirement, not an Remove page. option. This is confusing. Proposed Response Response Status W SugaestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. If this function is mandatory, delete the second sentence (which includes "may"). If it is optional, change "shall be" to "is" twice. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Classification is optional. The wording will be changed from "shall be" to "is".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 268

Page 25 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

C/ 104 SC 104.1.2 P 33 # 271 C/ 104 P 37 L 12 # 277 L 35 SC 104.3.3.3 Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type T Comment Status D OK "Single-Pair PoDL comprises an optional power entity when used..." I port is not a state diagram variable - no state diagram uses it. In addition, its definition isn't specific - does it have a data type, accuracy specification, etc.? "comprises" means "is composed of", but I don't see how the rest of the sentence fits this SuggestedRemedy meaning. Remove this variable from the list. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change "Single-Pair PoDL comprises an optional power entity when used" to "Single-Pair PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PoDL is an optional power entity to be used" Proposed Response Response Status W Iport is just IPSE. Hence the definition is superfluous. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.3.4 P 41 L 26 # 281 C/ 104 SC 104.1.2 P 34 L 4 # 272 Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK "Table 104-2" is not an active cross reference. Fonts in figure 104-1 seem to be stretched out horizontally and lines are thicker than in SuggestedRemedy figure 104-2. Add cross-reference. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Reformat figure 104-1 to fix font and line width. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.3.5 P 42 L 41 # 283 Ran, Adee Intel Corporation C/ 104 SC 104.3 P 35 L 52 # 274 Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Missing space in "offull" Comment Type Т Comment Status D OK SuggestedRemedy What is "end station equipment"? Change to "of full' SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Either define this term or replace it with another term. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 13. Reword sentence as follows:

"The PSE provides the power to the PD."

C/ 104 SC 104.3.6 P 43 # 285 C/ 104 P 45 L 32 # 289 L 10 SC 104.3.6.5 Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Font size seems to be inconsistent wihin the table (parameter names, numbers "22", "200" "The specification, shall apply" is an unusual way of making a normative requirement. and other cells). Also in other tables. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Either: Fix all tables to use consistent font size. 1. Use the same wording as in 104.3.6.4: "The specification. applies to" 2. Change to "The discharge time from V PSE in the POWER ON state to V Sleep shall Proposed Response Response Status W be shorter than T Off" PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.3.6 P 43 L 38 # 286 Intel Corporation Ran. Adee C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.5 P 52 L 40 # 292 Comment Type Comment Status D OK Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Units in this table are very inconsistent - some values are in s, others in ms; also A and mA Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK are used interchangably. This is confusing. Is there a normative requirement here? It seems to be just a definition. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fix to use consistent units, preferably across the clause. Rephrase to state the definition (which is unconditional), and then the conditions and Proposed Response Response Status W normative requirements if any. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Response Status W Proposed Response Change all time and current units to ms and mA. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.3.6.2.1 P 45 L 4 # 287 See 405. Ran, Adee Intel Corporation C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.5 P 53 # 293 L 1 Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Ran. Adee Intel Corporation missing space in "1ms" Comment Type Е Comment Status D OK SuggestedRemedy Inconsistent font size change to "1 ms" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Fix it. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

OK

C/ 104 SC 104.5.2 P 53 # 296 C/ 00 SC 0 P 17 L 26 Remein, Duane Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D Clauses 96 and 97 are not included in IEEE Std 802.3-2015 - they are part of amendments Undefined TLAs - "PSE" & "PD" 802.3bw and 802.3bp that is not listed in the introduction. While I'm sure all you poodles are breed to know the meaning of this term, the rest of us Ethernet dogs aren't. The fault tolerance requirements for both clauses are in 96.8.3. It would be a good service SuggestedRemedy to the reader to point to the specific subclause. Spell out 1st instance of use in each clause <OR> In addition, reference to subclauses that are not part of this draft should be in forest green. Add to CI 1.5 Abbreviations SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Add a listing of amendments 802.3bp and 802.3bw in the introduction (assuming they are

Change the "of the appropriate specifying clause. (See clauses 96 and 97)" to "in 96.8.3." C/ 00 Remein, Duane

with numbers in forest green. Proposed Response Response Status W

C/ 104 SC 104.5.3.1 P 53 L 40 # 297 Ran, Adee Intel Corporation Comment Type Ε Comment Status D

Numbers and units should be separated by a non-breaking space. They are unseparated in several places in this subclause.

SuggestedRemedy

Add nbsp between values and units.

expected to be published earlier).

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

Comment Type TR Comment Status D I don't see any state "ERROR" in figure 104-4 Same issue exists at line 52 and in Cl 30 pg 24 line 13 SuggestedRemedy Point to a valid state in a state diagram. (Preferred) map this MDIO bit to a defined SD variable. Proposed Response Response Status W

P 30

Huawei Technologies

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

SC 0

See 131. Map this MDIO bit to the OVERLOAD state.

L 21

L 26

300

301

OK

OK

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 16 # 302 C/ 104 P 49 L 24 # 307 L 2 SC 104.4.3.6 Remein, Duane Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK L3 headers should identify where in the list the definition should go. IEEE Style manual (Table 1 & Figure 1) recommends Times New Roman and Arial fonts in figures of at least 8 points with a 6 point minimum. Vpd>Vsig disable looks marginal For example 1.4.1 PoDL Regulated PSE should be 1.4.330a per current template. Editing instruction should ref. existing definition as in current template: (maybe OK, check in FM). "Insert the following new definition after 1.4.x <name>:" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Align with Style manual for all figures. Update 1.4.x headers as per current template. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Add new/changed editing instructions per current template. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 104 P 49 L 22 # 308 SC 104.4.3.6 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 L 2 # 303 Comment Type Comment Status D OK sccp watchdog tmr used in Figure 104-6-PD state diagram not formally defined. Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Status D OK Comment Type ER Same issue with tpowerdly_tmr Figure titles should appear at the bottom of the figure and not at the top as specified in the current template. However sccp watchdog timer & tpowerdly timer are. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Scrub the draft for errant figure titles and align with paragraph tag and style per current Change template. sccp watchdog timer to sccp watchdog tmr (1x) and tpowerdly_timer to tpowerdly_tmr (2x) Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. P 47 C/ 104 SC 104.4.3.3 L 6 # 306 C/ 104 SC 104.4.4 P 50 L 5 # 311 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D OK Comment Type Е Comment Status D OK enable_mdi_power never set to TRUE (set to FALSE in state RESET Figure 104-6-PD state diagram). If never set to TRUE is probably not needed and can be removed. Table 104-4 Fgood row what is a "RESETstate" (hyphenated to two lines in pdf)? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change enable mdi power to enable mdi pwr (2x) Add missing space between RESET and state. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 311

Page 29 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

C/ 104 SC 104.4.4 P 50 # 312 C/ 104 SC 104.4.7 P 53 L 5 # 315 L 9 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D OK Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK The meaning of "rising" & "falling" under conditions in Table 104-4 escapes me. If "PD Maintain Full Voltage" should be "PD maintain full voltage" Vconnector is at 4.0 V and stavs there for an hour is Vsig disable still not TRUE? Unless you mean the defined proper noun "Maintain Full Voltage Signal" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy replace rising and falling with "less than" and "greater than" resp. Change to lower case or add Signal. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.4 P 67 L 5 # 313 C/ 104 SC 104.5.3.1 P 53 L 49 # 316 Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies OK Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type Е Comment Status D OK PIC Item crosses line "COMEL1" Impressive equation editing. Is it native FrameMaker? Same issue in PSE Electrical, and SCCP tables. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Kudos to the Editors if it is. Adjust the table column so the Item is on a single line. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Yes, it is. P 53 C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.5 L 1 # 314 To be honest, I lifted a similar equation from the bt draft and modified it since I couldn't do Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies it from scratch myself. Comment Type Comment Status D OK Ε C/ 104 SC 104.6.4.3 P 59 / 1 # 318 Note appear to be text paragraph tag Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status D OK Change to paragraph tag Note per current template. IEEE Style manual (Table 1 & Figure 1) recommends Times New Roman and Arial fonts in Proposed Response Response Status W figures of at least 8 points with a 6 point minimum. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. CCh BRDCAST ADDR COMMAND AAh SCRATCHPAD READ See comment 293. Bus Master RX CLASS TYPE INFO **Bus Master RX CRC-8** All appear to be less than the minimum of 6 point. Other text in figure appear marginal SugaestedRemedy Align Figure 104-12 with Style manual. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 318

Page 30 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P 17 # 320 C/ 45 SC 45.2 P 27 L 5 # 323 L 21 Remein, Duane Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK mark-up only shows deleted text and does not indicate added text "and PoDLPSE" Sorry but MDIO MMD 12 has already been claimed by EPoC. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Show additions with proper (underline) mark-up. Change Editing Instruction to: "Insert row to add Power Unit Registers to Table 45-1 as changed by P802.3bn as shown Proposed Response Response Status W (unchanged rows not shown):" PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Change MMD 12 to 13 in text and Table 45-1. Change new row to underlined text in Table 45-1 Change stricken 12 to stricken 13 in Table 45-1 C/ 30 P 17 SC 30.2.3 L 30 # 321 In section 45.2.7a change all instances of "12." to "13." Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Missing Editing Instruction for para 30.2.3. The same issues exists at: Cl 45 SC 45.2 P 27 L 28 # 324 30.14 pg 20 ln 45 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies SuggestedRemedy OK Comment Type Comment Status D Add appropriate instruction such as "Change the first paragraph of 30.2.3 as shown:" and "Insert sub-clause 30.14 as shown:" All added text in modified Cl 45 tables should be shown in underlined text. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Show added text (row m.5.12) in Table 45-2 as underlined Proposed Response Response Status W See 186. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 20 # 322 L 1 Remein, Duane # 326 Huawei Technologies C/ 45 SC 45.2.7a.1 P 28 L 21 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies OK Comment Type ER Comment Status D Table without reference. It is customary to include at least on text referencing each table Comment Type E Comment Status D OK and figure. Extraneous editing instruction. "Insert the following subclauses to add Single-Pair PSE Control Register as shown:" SuggestedRemedy The instruction that inserts 45.2.7a (which of course will become 7b) can cover all sub-Add text referencing new Table 30-8. clauses. Proposed Response Response Status W SugaestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. strike here as pg29 ln 10 and pg 31 ln 30. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 326

Page 31 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.1 P 28 L 25 # 327 Cl 45 P 31 # 334 SC 45.2.7a.2.7 L 9 Remein, Duane Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK Missing period "Table 45-211f The default" This definition lacks a clear mapping of this enumeration to the three PSE Types of Type A. Type B. and Type A+B. Are the bits shown in Table 45-211g 7. 8. & 9 or 9. 8 & 7? SuggestedRemedy Except for Type A PSE this would make a difference. Clearly delineate sentence with period. "Table 45-211f. The default" Same issue exists for bit 12.1.6:3 and 12.1.2:0 and in Table 45-211h bits 12.2.2:0 Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. In table 45-211g clearly indicate bits 7, 8, & 9 in the description field. SC 45.2.7a.2.1 Cl 45 P 30 L 26 # 328 Proposed Response Response Status W Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Comment Type Т Comment Status D OK Add the following to 45.2.7a.2.7: While this information about the power denied bit is interesting it leaves one with a question concerning the Power removed bit. When read as '000', bits 12.1.9:7 indicate a Type A PSE, when read as '001' a Type B PSE "The Power Denied bit shall be implemented with latching high behavior as defined in 45.2." is indicated, and when read as '010' a Type A+B is indicated. SuggestedRemedy Use similar text for PD class field and Table 45-211h bits 12.2.2.0. Change "Power Denied" to "Power Removed" Proposed Response Response Status W Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.3 P 31 L 35 # 335 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK C/ 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.1 P 30 L 26 # 330 Cannot find "Table 45.2.7a.3.1h" Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Change to "Table 45-211h" Dead link "(Figure 104-4)" Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. All xreferences should be live links. Scrub the clause for instances of dead links and make live. "Figure 104-4" and "Figure 104-5" seem to be prevalent cases. C/ FM SC FM P 5 / 54 # 336 Proposed Response Response Status W Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Copy write date should be 2016 for next draft. Para Style for copy write statement is incorrect (should be centered) SuggestedRemedy Change to copyright_year variable to 2016 in each clause. Align styles throughout the draft to current 802.3 template. Proposed Response Response Status W

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 336

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. See comment 69.

Page 32 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

C/ FM SC FM P3L 1 # 337 C/ 104 P 45 L 45 # 341 SC 104.3.6.5 Huawei Technologies Seen Simply Remein, Duane Schindler, Fred Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK "Draft Amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-201X" should be 2015 Existing text, "A PSE may remove power from a PD that causes the PSE to source more than PClass." SuggestedRemedy should be improved. change to header "Draft Amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2016" in all clauses. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Replace the text with. "A PSE may remove power from the PI when more than PClass is sourced." PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 69. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ FM SC FM P **7** L 35 # 338 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies C/ 104 P 46 SC 104.3.7.1 L 8 # 342 Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Schindler, Fred Seen Simply Missing WG voter list. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status D OK Existing sentence, Add voter list as determined by WG Chair. "Voltage shall be reduced to the range of VSleep at the PI when the MFVS has been Proposed Response Response Status W absent for a duration greater than TMFVDO." PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ, See comment 248. should be improved. SuggestedRemedy C/ 104 SC 104.2 P 36 L7 # 340 Replace with, Schindler, Fred Seen Simply "The PSE-PI Voltage shall be reduced to the range of VSIeep when the MFVS has been absent for a duration greater than TMFVDO." Comment Status D OK Comment Type ER Proposed Response Response Status W Existing text, "To remove normal operating voltage when no longer requested or required, transitioning to PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. the SLEEP state" should be inproved. C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.3 P 38 17 # 345 SuggestedRemedy University of New Ham Scruton, Peter Replace text with, Comment Type Comment Status D OK "To remove normal operating voltage when no longer required or when transitioning to the missing period SLEEP state" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W add period PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 345

Page 33 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

C/ 104 SC 104.3.5 P 42 L 42 # 346 C/ 104 P 47 L 35 # 349 SC 104.4.3.3 Scruton, Peter University of New Ham Scruton, Peter University of New Ham Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK typo: offull "TRUE: apply the MFVS" missing period SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy of full supply period Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 13. C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.2 P 63 L 49 # 351 C/ 104 SC 104.3.6.5 P 45 L 33 # 347 Scruton, Peter University of New Ham Scruton, Peter University of New Ham OK Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Ε OK PSE22 references 104.3.6.2.1, but looks like it should reference 104.3.6.2.2. "In addition, it is recommended that the PI be discharged when the PSE in not enabled." SuggestedRemedy It looks like the final "in" of this sentence should be "is". change to 104.3.6.2.2 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W change to: PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. In addition, it is recommended that the PI be discharged when the PSE is not enabled. C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.2 P 64 14 # 352 Proposed Response Response Status W Scruton, Peter University of New Ham PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Comment Type E Comment Status D OK C/ 104 SC 104.4.3.3 P 47 L 27 # 348 PSE24 appears to inadvertently reference 104.3.6.5 instead of 104.3.6.4 Scruton, Peter University of New Ham PSE25 appears to inadvertently reference 104.3.6.5 instead of 104.3.6.4 PSE26 appears to inadvertently reference 104.3.6.6 instead of 104.3.6.5 Comment Status D OK Comment Type Ε SuggestedRemedy "TRUE: present the detection signature at the PI" missing period update references: PSE24 to 104.3.6.4 SuggestedRemedy PSE25 to 104.3.6.4 supply period PSE26 to 104.3.6.5 Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 352

Page 34 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.7 P 69 L 4 # 353 C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.2 P 63 L 1 # 357 Scruton, Peter University of New Ham Scruton, Peter University of New Ham Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK Comment Type ER Comment Status D SCCP22 PSE9 value/comment condition c not currently present in referring section (104.3.4.3). Value/Comment: "Only after issuing an appropriate an appropriate address command" SuggestedRemedy remove condition c extra "an appropriate" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Value/Comment: "Only after issuing an appropriate address command" PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.3 P 65 L 41 # 358 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Scruton, Peter University of New Ham C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.7 P 69 L7 # 354 Comment Type ER Comment Status D University of New Ham Scruton, Peter PD13 This appears to have been affected by an update to the draft. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK SCCP23: Text in 104.4.6.2 currently states: reference is currently 104.6.4.3, but appears it may have moved to: During operation in the DISCONNECT and PD SLEEP states, the PD shall not draw 104.6.4.3.1 current in excess of ISleep PD as specified in Table 104-6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy update reference to: Change to: 104.6.4.3.1 PD13 Feature: Input current while in DISCONNECT and PD_SLEEP states Proposed Response Response Status W Value/Comment: Drawn current not to exceed ISleep_PD as specified in Table 104-6. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.3 P 65 L 49 # 355 Scruton, Peter University of New Ham Comment Type Ε Comment Status D OK PD15 In Value/Comment: "... Table 104-6for ..." missing space

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy add space Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

Response Status W

OK

OK

C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.3 P 66 L 13 # 359 C/ 104 P 40 L 21 # 362 SC 104.3.3.6 Scruton, Peter University of New Ham Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK PD19 In Figure 104-4 the exit from DETECTION has a potential conflict when both valid signature and tdet timer done assert at the same time. It appears that this is not in sync with the current draft. SuggestedRemedy The value/comment states: Add !tdet time done to the exit paths to CLASSIFICATION and POWER UP "... no longer than TMFVDO PD" Proposed Response Response Status W The reference in this draft 104.4.7 states: PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. "... no longer than TMFVDO min" SuggestedRemedy C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.4 P 38 L 28 # 363 Change the value/comment to refer to TMFVDO min Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type Comment Status D OK PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. The timers are listed and stated what their used for, but the durations are scattered around Clause 104 in several different tables. C/ 104 SC 104.7.4.7 P 68 L 29 # 360 SuggestedRemedy Scruton, Peter University of New Ham Add a pointer reference for each timer to it's appropriate Table Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Proposed Response Response Status W SCCP13: PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Value/Comment: "Initiate by pulling PI port voltage low and then pulling up the PI port voltage within tW0L" C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 L 27 # 364 104.6.3.3 states: Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies The master device shall initiate a read time slot by pulling its Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK PI port voltage low and then pulling-up the PI port voltage within tW1L. In Figure 104-4 the exit from POWER_UP could have a conflict of exit condition if both SuggestedRemedy tin_rush_timer and power_applied assert at the same time. update to tW1L SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Add "* !power_applied" to the exit path from POWER_UP to RESTART PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 364

Page 36 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 L 46 # 366 C/ FM SC FM P **7** L 13 # 370 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S. A. Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D OK In Figure 104-4 the exit from SETTLE SLEEP has a potential conflict if both vsleep valid Dove is missing as Phase II TF Chair and toff timer done assert at the same time. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Demote Dwelley to Phase I TF Chair. Add Dove as Phase II TF Chair Add "*!toff timer done" to the exit from SETTLE SLEEP to SLEEP Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.1.4 P 22 L 11 C/ 104 SC 104.4.3.6 P 49 L 49 # 367 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S. A. Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK OK Comment Type TR Comment Status D Typo/cut-paste error. Label for Type B PoDL PSE is incorrect In Figure 104-6 the exit from DO DETECTION has a potential conflict when a SuggestedRemedy sccp reset pulse occurs when Vpd exceeds Vsig disable Change label from "Type AB" to "Type B" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Add !sccp reset pulse to the exit from DO DETECTION to MDI POWER1 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 193. C/ 104 SC 104.4.3.6 P 49 L 37 # 369 C/ 104 SC 104.1 P 33 L 12 # 374 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S. A. Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK Comment Type Comment Status D OK Exit from PD SLEEP could have potential exit conflict when both wakeup and We do not specify the power supply, only its characteristics (on the load side) Vpd>Vsig_disable occur at the same time. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "The characteristics of a power source to add power to the 100 single Add "* Vpd < Vsig_disable" to the transition from PD_SLEEP to DO_DETECTION balanced twisted-pair cabling system." Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 104 SC 104.1 P 33 L 14 # 375 C/ 104 SC 104.3.5 P 42 L 41 # 378 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S. A. Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S. A. Comment Status D Comment Type OK Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK Improve grammar Space missing/typo SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "...required at each end of the link..." Change "offull" to read "awful" (whoops, no I mean "of full") Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. This is an editorial comment! C/ 104 SC 104.1.2 P 33 # 376 L 36 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S. A. P 22 C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.1.5 L 28 # 379 Comment Type ER Comment Status D OK Thompson, Geoff GraCaSLS, A. Sentence 2 does not make it clear that the data being referenced is out-of-band to OK Comment Type TR Comment Status D Ethernet data. Typo/cut-paste error. Label for Type B PoDL PSE is incorrect SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change sentence to read: "Data which is out of band to normal Ethernet traffic may be transmitted and received between the PSE and PD prior..." Change label from "Type AB" to "Type B" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. See comment 262. C/ 104 SC 104.1.3 P 35 # 377 L 35 GraCaSI S. A. Thompson, Geoff C/ 104 SC 104.6.4.3 P 59 L 12 # 381 Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent Diagram does not depict MDI/PI. Comment Type E Comment Status D OK SuggestedRemedy I am guessing "CCh" and "AAh" are intended to represent hexadecimal numbers. If so, clause 1.2.5 indicates the format should be 0xCC and 0xAA. Add 2 instances of the MDI/PI to diagram 104-3. SuggestedRemedv Proposed Response Response Status W Change to 0xCC and 0xAA in Figure 104-12 and headings of 104.6.4.3.1 and 104.6.4.4 PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 381

Page 38 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

C/ 104 SC 104.6.4.4 P 59 # 382 C/ FM SC FM P 9 L 31 # 385 L 36 CME Consulting/LTC Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent Zimmerman, George Comment Type Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D The "b" suffix on binary numbers doesn't appear elsewhere in the standard, or even lower IEEE Std 802.3-201X should be IEEE Std. 802.3-2015 in the same table where the 8-bit values are represented SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace 802.3-201X with 802.3-2015 Change 1110b to 1110 and 1101b to 1101 for Type A and Type B in the first row of Table Proposed Response Response Status W 104-8 PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. C/ FM SC FM P 10 L 13 # 386 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/LTC SC FM P9L 4 C/ FM # 383 Comment Type ER Comment Status D Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/LTC This amendment includes [complete] needs to be completed with a description of the new Comment Status D OK Comment Type E clauses added "Amendment title (SHALL match PAR)" needs to be filled in with the amendment title in the SuggestedRemedy PAR fill in as: This amendment includes new clause 104 and annex 104A definining single pair SuggestedRemedy power over data lines power sources and powered devices, as well as amendments to See comment - replace text with amendment title from the PAR Clauses 1, 30, and 45 to support the definition and management of these single pair power systems. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. C/ FM SC FM P 9 L 29 # 384 C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.1.3 P 21 L 51 # 387 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/LTC CME Consulting/LTC Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Comment Type T Comment Status D IEEE Std. 802.3xx-20xx should be the amendment 802.3bu Clause 30 definition of "searching" status is inconsistent with Clause 45 definition. Clause SuggestedRemedy 30 defines searching as everything other than the defined states - could include states See comment, replace here and globally OVERLOAD or OVERLOAD_DELAY (errors) Clause 45 defines searching as DETECTION, which isn't sufficient either. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Make clause 30 and 45 definitions consistent. Recommend adopting clause 45 definition (DETECTION) into clause 30, and absorbing the other states into "unknown" - change line 38 to be "unknown" initializing, error, or true state unknown", change line 51 to read "searching" indiicates the PoDL PSE State diagram is in either the DETECTION. CLASSIFICATION, CLASSIFICATION EVAL, or POWER UP states.

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 387

Response Status W

Page 39 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:53 AM

OK

OK

OK

C/ 104 SC 104.1 P 33 L 14 # 389 C/ 104 P 40 # 392 SC 104.3.3.6 L 11 CME Consulting/LTC CME Consulting/LTC Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Type TR Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Clause 104 does not define an MDI as is stated here. It only defines the return loss of the pi powered, and many other bottom lines in Figure 104-4 are too close to the bottom of the state diagram boxes to easily discern the underscores. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Extend boxes of IDLE, DETECTION, CLASSIFICATION, RESTART, POWER UP, Change (c) to read: "Certain electrical paramters of the medium dependent interface which may be different from that specified in the PHY clause when power is simultaneously POWER ON, OVERLOAD, SETTLE SLEEP and OVERLOAD DELAY slightly further transmitted with data." down so that underscores can be easily read Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. P 41 C/ 104 SC 104.3 P 36 L 13 # 390 C/ 104 SC 104.3.4.1 L 33 # 395 CME Consulting/LTC CME Consulting/LTC Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Comment Type T Comment Status D OK A PSE is specified by its behavior observed at the PI, not via the PI. "All detection currents at the PI shall be . with a valid PD detection signature" - appears that the requirement somehow puts a requirement on the signature as well - I believe what SuggestedRemedy is meant is that the requirement is to apply WHEN a valid PD detection signature is change "via" to "at" connected. (leaving the question of whether there is a limit on currents when something other than a valid PD signature is connected? - or is it meant that the current is always in Proposed Response Response Status W range of Ivalid?) PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. SuggestedRemedy replace "with" with "when" (see comment, as intended meaning is unclear and perhaps C/ 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.9 P 31 L 22 # 391 problematic). CME Consulting/LTC Zimmerman, George Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type T Comment Status D OK PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. searching is more than just the DETECTION state. Yes - the detection current shall be in the range of Ivalid *when* a valid PD detection SuggestedRemedy signature is connected. Change "in the state DETECTION" to "in any of the following states: DETECTION. CLASSIFICATION, CLASSIFICATION EVAL, or POWER UP" C/ 104 SC 104.3.4.3 P 42 L 32 # 397 Proposed Response Response Status W CME Consulting/LTC Zimmerman, George PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Comment Type E Comment Status D OK The wording reads like the criteria for whether the PSE should accept or reject is in Table 104-2, where I believe what is meant is that the voltages are specified in that table. SugaestedRemedy Change "Vbad_hi_PSE min as specified in Table 104-2." to read "Vbad_hi_PSE min. The values of these voltages are specified in Table 104-2."

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 397

Response Status W

Page 40 of 42 1/15/2016 11:05:54 AM

C/ 104 SC 104.3.5 P 42 L 41 # 398 C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.5 P 52 # 405 L 41 CME Consulting/LTC CME Consulting/LTC Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Comment Type T Comment Status D OK missing space "offull" "shall" should be "is" - this is a statement of fact, a definition of an equation, not a testable requirement on the device. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy replace "offull" with "of full" Change "shall" to "is" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. See comment 13. C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.5 P 53 L 1 # 406 C/ 104 SC 104.3.6.2.1 P 45 L 2 # 400 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/LTC CME Consulting/LTC Zimmerman, George OK Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D OK Something funny is going on with the font size on line 1 "power removal from the PI shall begin within TLIM." - within TLIM of what event? Initiation SuggestedRemedy of the current limit? Detection of it? Beat on frame and corrrect font sizes in the NOTE. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W insert, after TLIM, "of the initiation of current limiting." PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ. See comment 293. # 401 C/ 104 SC 104.3.6.3 P 45 L 18 C/ 01 SC 1.5 P 16 L 28 # 407 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/LTC Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/LTC Comment Type E Comment Status D OK Comment Type E Comment Status D OK "The limits specified" - which limits? It doesn't look like this applies to all of them (for Add MFVS Maintain Full Voltage Signature to the abbreviations example the short circuit limits). Is it just the Tripple and noise limits in (4)? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment change "The limits specified in Table 104-3" to "The ripple and noise limits specifed in Proposed Response Response Status W Table 104-3, item (4)," PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT, EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

OK

C/ 104 SC 104.4.7 P 53 # 408 L 7 CME Consulting/LTC Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Status D

Maintain Full Voltage Signature (MFVS) is defined (thankfully consistently) several times in clause 104. this is just once. Recommend jst using MFVS without the full spell out.

SuggestedRemedy

delete "Maintain Full Voltage Signature" and the parentheses around MFVS. Editor to scrub clause 104 and only spell out MFVS at the first instance.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

L 42 C/ 104 SC 104.5.3 P 53 # 409 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting/LTC

Comment Status D

"and at all times when the PHY is transmitting data or control symbols" would exclude startup training. Also applies on P54 L3. Having the MDI return loss change during training could be disastrous. Since I'm not sure what the restriction is supposed to exclude (which would be the better fix), I suggest adding in the training times.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type TR

add, ", and during PHY training" after "symbols". (2 times).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. EZ.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID