Cl 104 SC 104.6.3.1 Dwelley, David	<i>P</i> <b>30</b> LTC	L 14	# [1	Cl 104 SC 104.0  Dwelley, David	<i>P</i> <b>10</b> LTC	L 1	# 5
Comment Type <b>E</b> Unmatched tenses: "Th	Comment Status X e master shall then release it	s PI and goes ir	nto receive mode (RX)."	Comment Type <b>E</b> There are 2 page 10s	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy  Change to: "The master shall then release its PI and go into receive mode (RX)."				SuggestedRemedy Renumber pages			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Cl 104 SC 104.6.3.3 Dwelley, David	<i>P</i> <b>30</b> LTC	L 53	# [2	Cl 104 SC 104.1 Dwelley, David	<i>P</i> <b>12</b> LTC	L 8	# [6
Comment Type <b>E</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> Bad text inherited from 1-wire spec: "in order to find out the status"				Comment Type <b>E</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> Space missing between "Clauses" and "96"			
SuggestedRemedy Change to: "in order to	o determine the status"			SuggestedRemedy Add a space			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 104 SC 104.6.3.3 Dwelley, David	<i>P</i> <b>31</b> LTC	L 1	# [3	CI 104 SC 104.1.4  Dwelley, David	<i>P</i> <b>12</b> LTC	L <b>51</b>	# [7
Comment Type <b>E</b> Missing space	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Comment Status X "100BASE-T1 or 1000BASE-T1" references occur in several places. It's likely that in future there will be more data standards that use PoDL, and it would be convenient if they appear in only one place and are referred to by reference elsewhere. SuggestedRemedy Change "when used in conjunction with 100BASE-T1 or 1000BASE-T1 Ethernet" to "when used in conjunction with supported Ethernet data protocols". Add a new section titled "Supported Data Protocols" before 104.1.3: "1-pair PoDL supports use with 100BASE-T1 and 1000BASE-T1 data protocols." Replace explicit 100/1000BASE-T1 references with pointers to this new 104.1.x section where possible elsewhere in the document (eg, 104.3.1, 104.4.1).			
SuggestedRemedy "trec between"							
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
Cl 104 SC 104.6.3.3 Dwelley, David	<i>P</i> <b>31</b> LTC	L <b>2</b>	# 4				
Comment Type <b>E</b> Bad grammar: "by pulling	Comment Status X ag its PI port voltage low for the	NIT and then re	lease the port."	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Change to: "by pulling it	s PI port voltage low for tINI7	Γ and then relea	sing the port."				

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 104 SC 104.1.3 P 13 L 8 # 8 C/ 104 P 15 L 41 # 11 SC 104.3.3 LTC Dwellev. David LTC Dwellev. David Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Figure 104-1: CSMA/CD reference is obsolete (also at line 26) A PSE does not "provide" state machine behavior SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "CSMA/CD LAN" with "Ethernet" Change "provide" to "implement" - also in the PD section on page 22. line 32 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 SC 104.2 C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.1 P 15 C/ 104 P 14 L 50 L 47 Dwelley, David LTC Dwelley, David LTC Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X This text also appears (in a clearer form) in section 104.3.6.5; "If the PSE cannot supply Table 104-1. Note 2: equation belongs in an informative annex. power within Tinrush, it shall initiate and successfully complete a new detection cycle SuggestedRemedy before applying power to its PI." Change note 2 to read: "RLoop is defined as the sum of the PSE source resistance. SuggestedRemedy RPSE, and link segment round trip resistance with the maximum Delete entire sentence resistance of the link segment wire pair. See Annex XX." Merge the equation and explanatory text from the rest of Note 2 with the text in annex 104A.1. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.3.4.1 P 18 L 34 # 13 C/ 104 SC 104.3.1 P 15 L 29 # 10 Dwelley, David LTC LTC Dwelley, David Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X The phrase "The forced detection current or currents at the PI shall..." is unnecessarily PSE (also PD) Types 1 and 2 are used in PoE - this has the potential to cause confusion verbose. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace with "All detection currents at the PI shall..." Change Types 1 and 2 to Types A and B to be unambiguously different from PoE. This change may end up in new section 104.1.x per my previous comment Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.3.4.3 P 19 L 11 # 14 C/ 104 P 19 L 39 # 17 SC 104.3.6 LTC Dwellev. David LTC Dwellev. David Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Overlapping Vgood and Vbad ranges make the spec hard to understand This concept has been confusing in PoE and has the potential to be that way in PoDL as well: "The output of a PSE shall conform to the electrical requirements in Table 104-5 to SuggestedRemedy ensure that it does not present a valid PD detection signature." Change item a) to read "...equal to Vbad\_lo max, or" SuggestedRemedy Change item b) to read "...equal to Vbad hi min, or" Change Vbad to Vbad lo in Table 104-5 on page 25, move 2.8 to max column Clarify by changing the sentence: "The output of a PSE shall conform to the electrical Add a new row to Table 104-5 to define Vbad hi. move 3.2 to min column requirements in Table 104-5 in both powered and unpowered modes to ensure that it does not present a valid PD detection signature ." Fix line 17 accordingly Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.3.6.2 P 20 # 15 L 6 # 18 C/ 104 SC 104.3.5 P 19 L 30 LTC Dwellev. David LTC Dwelley, David Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Table 104-3 is missing references to explanatory sections of text The acronym "SCCP" is a proper noun - no "the" is required SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "The" - also in several other places in the document Add references to text in "Additional Information" column (eg, 104.3.6.3 for item 6) Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 104 SC 104.3.6 P 19 L 41 # 19 SC 104 3 5 P 19 C/ 104 / 30 Dwellev. David LTC Dwelley, David LTC Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Add a reference to the SCCP section This text also appears (in clearer form) in section 104.3.6.7: "PClass is the minimum required PSE continuous output power for the relevant class" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Classification is performed using SCCP. See section 104.6." Remove entire sentence Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.3.6.8 P 21 # 20 C/ 104 P 25 L 6 # 23 L 35 SC 104.4.4 LTC Dwellev. David LTC Dwellev. David Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X This section could be clearer Text is longer and less clear than it needs to be: "When a PD presents a valid or non-valid detection signature, it shall present the detection signature at the PI. SuggestedRemedy When a PD becomes powered via the PI, it shall present a non-valid detection signature." Replace with: "The PSE and/or the PD may exhibit voltage instability due to negative SuggestedRemedy impedance typically seen at the PD input. See Annex 104A.1 for design guidelines regarding stable operation." Change to: "A PD shall present a detection signature, either valid or non-valid, at the PI, A PD shall present a non-valid detection signature when it is powered via the PI." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.4 P 22 L 11 # 21 C/ 104 SC 104.4.6 P 25 # 24 L 48 Dwelley, David LTC Dwelley, David LTC Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X This text is unnecessary and arguably false: "PD capable devices that are neither drawing Passive voice: "The power supply of the PD shall operate within the characteristics in nor requesting power are also covered in this sub-clause." Table 104-6." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove sentence Change to: "The PD shall draw power according to Table 104-6." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.4 P 22 L 14 # 22 C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.1 P 26 # 25 L 28 LTC Dwelley, David Dwelley, David LTC Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type This text was inherited from PoE but is not needed here: "Characteristics such as the This section is formatted as a note, is non-normative, and relates to loop stability losses due to voltage correction circuits, power supply inefficiencies, separation of internal circuits from external ground or other characteristics induced by circuits after the PI SuggestedRemedy terminals are not specified." Move to Annex 104A.1. The table entries can stand alone as normative here. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Delete sentence

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 104 P 26 L 45 # 26 SC 104.4.6.3 LTC Dwelley, David Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Title is too long SuggestedRemedy Change to "PD Stability" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.4 P 27 L 17 Dwelley, David LTC Comment Type Ε Comment Status X

Text could be more clear:

"The specification for ripple and noise in Table 104-6 shall be for the noise at the PD PI generated..."

"The ripple and noise specification shall be for all operating voltages..."

"The PD shall operate correctly in the presence of ripple and noise generated by the PSE that appears at the PD PI. These levels are specified in Table 104-3. Limits are provided to preserve data integrity."

# SuggestedRemedy

#### Change to:

"The specification for ripple and noise in Table 104–6 apply to the noise at the PD PI generated..."

"The ripple and noise specification shall be met for all operating voltages..."

"The PD shall operate correctly in the presence of ripple and noise generated by the PSE that appears at the PD PI, as specified in Table 104-3. Ripple and noise limits are provided to preserve data integrity."

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 P 27 SC 104.4.7 L 34

Dwellev. David LTC

Comment Type Ε Comment Status X

"Require" is not exactly correct in this context, and the subsequent text is not helpful: "PDs in the powered state that no longer require power shall remove the current draw of the MPS."

## SuggestedRemedy

Change to: "PDs in the powered state that no longer want power shall provide an invalid MPS at the PI."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.6.2 P 29 # 29 L 25

Dwelley, David LTC

Comment Type Comment Status X

Too much information. This is a spec, not a design guide: "After the reservoir is charged to the normal operating voltage level, there will be some ripple voltage caused by recharging pulses restoring charge lost during bus logic low times...

### SuggestedRemedy

Remove the sentence.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.6.3.1 P 30 L 15 # 30

LTC

Dwelley, David

Comment Type т Comment Status X

It's not clear to me that a "shall" is appropriate here: "the master's pull-up current shall force the PSE PI port voltage high". The master \*shall\* provide the pull-up current (page 28 line 43), but the pull-up current \*will\* pull the line up - the master has no influence over this

#### SugaestedRemedy

Change "shall" to "will" - also on line 44 and page 31, line 6.

Proposed Response Response Status 0 # 28

C/ 104 SC 104.6.3.2 P 30 # 31 C/ 104 P 18 L 24 # 34 L 38 SC 104.3.4 Dwellev. David LTC Dwellev. David LTC Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Bad text inherited from 1-wire spec: "The master shall use a Write 1 time slot to write a The "may" in the first sentence makes this entire detection section non-normative: "The PSE may probe the PI in order..." logic 1..." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The master shall use a Write 1 time slot to transmit a logic 1..." Add the concept of "enabling" detection, and if detection is enabled, make this section normative: "If detection is enabled, the PSE shall probe the PI in order..." Proposed Response Response Status O Add an appropriate arc to the state machine to make the optional nature of detection clear for engineered systems (per the objectives). C/ 104 SC 104.6.4.3 P 33 L 23 Proposed Response Response Status O LTC Dwelley, David Comment Type Т Comment Status X SC 104.3.5 C/ 104 P 19 L 32 # 35 Figure 104-11: Bits are shown big-endian with the MSBs on the left. It would be more clear to show the LSBs on the left, since the SCCP protocol transmits LSB-first. Dwellev. David LTC SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status X Mirror Figure 104-11 A PSE with SCCP enabled must behave this way - a shall is appropriate. PSEs that don't include SCCP functionality can never have SCCP "enabled" and thus are exempt from the Proposed Response Response Status O shall. SuggestedRemedy Change "may" to "shall" C/ 104 SC 104.0 P 10 L 1 # 33 LTC Dwelley, David Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type Comment Status X Edits to Clauses 1, 30, 79, and possibly other clauses will be required. C/ 104 SC 104.3.6.6 P 21 L 19 SuggestedRemedy Dwelley, David LTC Add edits to affected clauses Comment Status X Comment Type T Proposed Response Response Status O 320kohm value is inherited from PoE and may not be appropriate here, especially with lower Vpse voltages SuggestedRemedy Recalculate appropriate resistance (maybe 100k?) Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.4.4 P 25 # 37 C/ 104 P 27 L 42 # 40 L 3 SC 104.5.1 Dwellev. David LTC Dwellev. David LTC Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X Bad text inherited from PoE: "A PD presents a valid detection signature while it is in the Legacy text inherited from PoE that isn't relevant to PoDL: "including those not used by the DO DETECTION state when it accepts power via the PI, but is not powered via the PI". It PD." All two MDI leads are used by PoDL. is not accepting power if it is not powered. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the text "including those not used by the PD" Change "accepts" to "wants" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.6 P 28 L 32 C/ 104 SC 104.4.4 P 25 # 38 L 9 Dwelley, David LTC Dwelley, David LTC Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X This text refers to a master entity inside the PSE: "The SCCP uses a single master at the PSE PI to control...". From the spec's point of view, the PSE is the master. This sentence borders on defining implementation: "The detection signature is a constant voltage as determined from one or more voltage measurements made during the application of a forced probe current or currents" Similar comment at line 37: "...where the master device sources..." SuggestedRemedy Similar comment at line 43: "The master device shall source..." Change to: "The detection signature is a constant voltage per Table 104-4 when measured SuggestedRemedy by the PSE" Change text to: "The PSE acts as the master during SCCP communications to control..." Proposed Response Response Status O Line 37: "...where the PSF sources..." C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.3 P 26 L 47 # 39 Line 43: "The PSF shall source..." Dwelley, David LTC Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status X Voltage range definition is unclear: "When the PD is fed by VPort PSE min to VPort PSE C/ 104 SC 104.6.3.1 P 30 L 8 # 42 max with RLoop in series..." Dwelley, David LTC SuggestedRemedy Change to: "When the PD is fed with any voltage between VPort\_PSE min and VPort PSE Comment Type т Comment Status X max and with RLoop max in series..." "All communication..." could be misinterpreted as including Ethernet communications Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change to: "All SCCP communication..." Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 42

Page 7 of 16 5/5/2015 5:41:43 PM

C/ 104 SC 104.6.3.1 P 30 # 43 C/ 104 P 20 L 26 # 46 L 11 SC 104.3.6.2 LTC LTC Dwellev. David Dwellev. David Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The presence pulse isn't used to indicate power readiness: "...and it is ready to accept Table 104-3: Vport pse appears here and nowhere else - everywhere else it is Vpse SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to Vpse Change to: "...and it is ready to communicate using SCCP." Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O P 22 C/ 104 SC 104.4.3.1 L 40 C/ 104 SC 104.6.3.3 P 31 L 6 Dwelley, David LTC LTC Dwelley, David Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The PD signature must be disabled above Vsig\_disable but that is never called out. This is Missing information: "...shall release its Pl...' the appropriate place to do it. The text referring to PD power turning on is also unclear. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change to: "...shall hold the PI low for Tlow1 and then release its PI..." Change sentence to read: "When the input voltage exceeds Vsig disable, the PD shall remove the constant-voltage signature from the PI, and shall wait towr delay before Proposed Response Response Status O drawing power from the MDI. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 104 SC 104.1.3 P 12 L 52 # 45 Dwelley, David LTC C/ 104 SC 104.5.2 P 27 L 49 # 48 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Dwelley, David LTC SCCP doesn't work "subsequent to the application of power" Comment Type TR Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Wrong sections are referenced here - PoDL isn't relevent to clauses 14, 25, or 40. Replace sentence with: "Data may be transmitted and received between the PSE and PD SuggestedRemedy prior to the application and subsequent to the removal of power via the MDI using the serial communication classification protocol (SCCP) which is described in 104.6." Replace with relevent clauses, including 96 and 97 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O

Cl 104 SC 104.3.4.2 P19 L3 # 66

Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Text needs to reference Vgood line in table 104-4. Without the reference to Vgood, the text infers that a PSE must check every item in table 104-4 before accepting a PD as valid.

In addition the text makes it sound like the probing current is specified in Table 104-4, which it is not.

SuggestedRemedy

change text from:

A PSE shall accept as a valid PD signature a link segment with a constant voltage in response to a probing current as specified in Table 104-4.

change text to:

A PSE shall accept as a valid PD signature a link segment with a constant voltage in the range of Vgood as specified in Table 104-4 in response to a probing current in the range Ivalid as specified in Table 104-2.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 104 SC 104.3.6.5 P 21 L 13 # 67

Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The text does not match the state diagram for Tinrush because the optional classification is not stated in the text.

SuggestedRemedy

Change text from:

...Tinrush in Table 104-3 applies to the PSE power up time for a PD after completion of detection.

to:

...Tinrush in Table 104-3 applies to the PSE power up time for a PD after completeion of detection when SCCP is disabled.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.3.6.3 P 21

Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Text for turn on time consequences does not use standards language.

SuggestedRemedy

Change text from:

"If power is not applied as specified, a new detection cycle is initiated."

to:

"if power is not applied as specified, a new detection cycle shall be initiated before any subsequent application of power."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 104 SC 104.4.3.1 P 22 L 38 # 69

Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The text: "The SCCP may be used by the PSE to communicate with the PD while Vpd < Vsig\_disable."

A PSE behavior seems to be defined in the PD section. In addition it seems to imply that the PSE should know what Vpd is (which I imagine is not the intention).

SuggestedRemedy

Change text to:

"The SCCP may be used for communication between the PD and PSE when Vpd < Vsig\_disable".

Proposed Response Status O

# 68

L 14

Ab ========

# 71

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

There is a FAULT section of the PD state diagram, but no corresponding text.

What is meant by the "fault\_detected" transition?

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 104

Add text for fault\_detected variable.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This comment refers to Table 104-5.

SC 104.4.4

Vbad seems to be a superset of Vgood. Both are defined for the exact same conditions, Vgood is between 2.9 and 3.1 while Vbad is between 2.8 and 3.2.

P 25

L 36

The Vbad spec should be split into two lines to match the text in section 104.3.4.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Split Vbad spec into two lines:

Parameter Condition Min Max Unit

Vbad As is 2.8 V 3.2 V

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.4.6

P **25** 

L 49

# 72

Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The text "The power supply of the PD shall operate within the characteristics in Table 104-6." specifies a single part of the PD which we should not do. The PD is defined at the PI and all specifications should apply there. Furthermore, the specs listed in Table 104-6 apply to the entire PD, not just the power supply of the PD.

SuggestedRemedy

change text to:

"The PD shall operate within the characteristics in Table 104-6."

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 104 SC 104.4.7

P **27** 

L 29

# 73

Abramson, David

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Ihold\_pd(min) is not defined anywhere. Tmps is only defined in the PSE section (table 104-3).

**Texas Instruments** 

SuggestedRemedy

Either add Tmps\_pd and Ihold\_pd to Table 104-6 (preferred)

or

change text to Ihold(max) and refer to Table 104-3.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 73

Page 10 of 16 5/5/2015 5:41:43 PM

C/ 104 SC 104.4.7 P 27 # 74 L 34 Abramson, David **Texas Instruments** 

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

PDs that "no longer require power" should not be required to remove their current draw of the MPS.

This may rule out certain redundancy schemes that count on back up power being maintained.

Furthermore, the definition of "require power" is not obvious.

SuggestedRemedy

change shall to may.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.6.3.4 P 32 L 10 Abramson, David **Texas Instruments** 

Comment Type Comment Status X TR

This comment refers to items 3 and 4 in Table 104-7.

How can we measure Sink Current or Standby Current if we only have access to the PD PI?

Furthermore, IL (Sink current) needs to be at least 10mA if it is going to be guarenteed to pull down the PSE current source.

In addition these specs are not mentioned anywhere in the text.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove these specs and move them to an informative annex about SCCP.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 P 15 SC 104.3.3.1 Abramson, David **Texas Instruments** 

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Paragraph is unclear as to behavior of a PSE with or without SCCP enabled.

I believe the intention is to only require detection and class when SCCP is enabled on a PSE.

The text says that a PSE with SCCP disabled "shall initiate and succesfully complete a new detection cycle..." if it cannot suplly power within Tinrush.

These statements contradict each other in regards to requiring detection/class.

SuggestedRemedy

change text to:

"If a PSE with SCCP enabled cannot supply power within Tinrush, it shall initiate and succesfully complete..." and move to below line 50/51 on pg 15.

Add text: "If a PSE with SCCP disabled cannot supply power within Tinrush, it shall wait for Ted before attempting to apply power again." below line 46.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104 3 5 P 19 L 31

Abramson, David **Texas Instruments** 

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Text contradicts pg 15, line 50.

Text should say shall.

SuggestedRemedy

Change text from:

"A PSE with SCCP enabled mav..."

"A PSE with sCCP enabled shall..."

Proposed Response Response Status O # 76

1 47

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This comment relates to ites 4 and 5 of Table 104-6.

The table format does not adequately reflect what the specification actaully is. The table seems to indicate that the minimum turn on voltage is between 4 and the equation listed under the max column. However, the text in section 104.4.6.1 inidcates that the minimum turn on voltage is the maximum of 4 and the equation listed under the max column. This is a confusing way to present the spec.

The same applies for the Voff specification.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the "4" in the min column of Von with "max(4,sqrt(7.2\*Ppd\*Rloop))"

Replace the "3.75" in the min column of Voff with "max(3.75,sqrt(4\*Ppd\*Rloop))"

Remove the equations from the max column for both Von and Voff.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.4.6.1 P 26 L 17 # 79

Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This comment would overide my comment 17 if adopted.

Having turn on and turn off voltages defined by the PD power and Rloop is impractical. The PD cannot know the Rloop and cannot even know the power it is drawing without dramatically increasing cost.

Numbers should be used and the PD should be required to turn on by a certain when Vdd is rising and off by a certain voltage when Vdd is falling, (just as was the case for PoE).

In addition, the equation used here produces turn on voltages higher than the PSE minimum voltage requirements.

In order to do this, different specs will be needed for the different voltage classes.

SuggestedRemedy

See abramson\_01\_bu\_0515.pdf submission

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.1

P **12** 

L 8

# 80

Abramson, David

Texas Instruments

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Clauses96" needs a space between Clauses and 96

SuggestedRemedy

See above

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.1.3

P 13

L 7

81

Abramson, David

Texas Instruments

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Figures 104-1 and Figures 104-2 Should be swapped so that the PSE is first. The rest of the clause is written with the PSE first followed by the PD, this is the only place the reverse is true.

SuggestedRemedy

See above.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.5.3.1

P **28** L

L **23** 

Abramson, David

Texas Instruments

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Equation reference is wrong.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Equation 104-1" to "Equation 104-2"

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 104 SC 104.3.3.1 P17 L51 # 83

Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This comment applies to Figures 104-4 and 104-5. The MPS behavior in the state

This comment applies to Figures 104-4 and 104-5. The MPS behavior in the state diagrams does not match what is in the text and even contradicts itself. The same variable (!mr\_mps\_valid) is used to transition from POWER\_ON to POWER\_DENIED in Figure 104-4 and to move from MONITOR MPS to DETECT MPS in Figure 104-5.

SuggestedRemedy

The state diagram must be updated to match the text. My suggestion:

Replace "!mr\_mps\_valid" in Figure 104-4 with "tmpdo\_timer\_done" in the same way the PoE spec uses tmpdo timer done.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.2 P14 L 5253 # 85

Picard, Jean Texas Instruments

Comment Type T Comment Status X

VPSE is defined as open circuit voltage, while Rloop is defined as including Rpse.

That cannot work, what is the requirement for PSE equipment then?
Rpse (including series inductors) is the responsibility of PSE equipment vendor and should

not be part of the channel resistance, and it should guarantee the voltage range at PI from no to full load.

Rloop should exclude Rpse.

SuggestedRemedy

RLoop should not include Rpse. Vpse should be from no to full load.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.3.7.1 P 22 L 1 # 86

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

There is a missing space.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace: "APSE shall consider..."

With: "A PSE shall consider..."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 99 SC P2 L1 # 87

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The abstract text is missing. Also, should reference 802.3-2015.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an abstract and fix the reference date.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.1 P12 L8 # 88

General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

missing space: "Clauses96 and 97."

SuggestedRemedy

Wienckowski. Natalie

add missing space: "Clauses 96 and 97."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.1.1 P12 L 35 # 89

Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type E Comment Status X

c) A "T" is missing in "1000BASE-1"

SuggestedRemedy

Insert missing "T": "1000BASE-T1"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 104 SC 104.2 P 14 L 46 # 90 C/ 104 SC 104.3.4.3 P 19 L 19 # 93 Wienckowski. Natalie **General Motors** Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Comment Type Е Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Table 104-1 Incorrect table reference. SuggestedRemedy Inconsistent use of "." and "," to indicate fractional part of a number. Change: Table 200-4 SuggestedRemedy In VPD(min) row, column III(48V)replace "28,8" with "28.8" To: Table 104-4 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 0 Р C/ 00 L # 91 C/ 104 SC 104.3.7.1 P 21 L 46 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Note: All my comments use the page number at the bottom of the page, not the page MPS in TMPS should be a subscript. number shown, for page 10 and higher as page 10 is in the document twice. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make MPS in "...window TMPS wide..." a subscript. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 104.5.3.1 C/ 104 P 28 L 24 # 95 C/ 104 SC 104.3.3 P 15 L 6 # 92 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X There are random carriage returns in the Note 1 text. Figure 104-4 SuggestedRemedy The lable of "B" that goes into the IDLE box is turned on its side. Remove random carriage returns SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Change the B to be oriented correctly, e.g. turn it 90 degrees.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 104 SC 104 6 3 3 P 31 L 1 # 96 C/ 104 SC 104.6.3.4 P 32 L 10 # 99 General Motors Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors Wienckowski. Natalie Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X missing space uA is centered and all other Units are left justified. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: ...with tRECbetween slots. Left justify uA. Proposed Response Response Status O to: ...with tREC between slots. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.5.3.1 P 28 L 8 # 100 Wienckowski. Natalie General Motors C/ 104 SC 104.6.3.3 P 31 # 97 L 24 Comment Type T Comment Status X Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Section 104.5.3 says that this sections defines the electrical characteristics for both Comment Type E Comment Status X 100BASE-T1 and 1000BASE-T1; however, there are no requirements for 1000BASE-T1. In Figure 104-10, there are missing verticle lines in the Figure at the timing arrows for SuggestedRemedy Slave Aamples and Master samples. Add requirements for 1000BASE-T1. SuggestedRemedy Add missing verticle lines to figure. If there requirements are the same as those in the 1000BASE-T1 spec, add a reference to this spec. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 104 SC 104.6.3.4 P 31 L 49 # 98 C/ 104 SC 104.6.4.3 P 32 L 51 # 101 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors Wienckowski. Natalie **General Motors** Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type T Incorrect reference. Based on the description in the text, I assume the LSB is sent first and the MSB is sent SuggestedRemedy last; however, this is not explicitly stated. Change reference to Figure 104-7 SuggestedRemedy Add a statement that the 64-bit address code shall be transmitted LSB first. to reference to Table 104-7 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

# 102 C/ 104 SC 104.2 P 14 L 41 Wienckowski, Natalie General Motors

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Table 104-1

I thought the goal for the 12V battery system was to be able to supply the power down to 6 V. Also, The maximum voltage must be at least 16V, preferably 18V.

# SuggestedRemedy

The PSE needs to be able to source voltage (current) during an AutoStart event. Normal operation is expected during this vehicle controlled start.

Vehicle battery voltages can be up to 16V during cold temperaturs, the PSE needs to be able to source voltage (current) under all normal operating ranges.

Vehciles are generally expected to operate at 16 V to 18 V for one hour during an alternator failure. Some systems that use PoDL will have to operate under this condition.

Proposed Response Response Status O