

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 30 SC 30.14.1 P 20 L 50 # 18
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 Missing period at end of sentence.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

Cl 30 SC 30.14.1.1.4 P 22 L 12 # 19
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 "typeAB" should read "typeB"
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

Cl 30 SC 30.14.1.1.5 P 22 L 28 # 20
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 "typeAB" should read "typeB"
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

Cl 30 SC 30.14.1.1.5 P 22 L 33 # 21
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 missing a space "...clause 104.4.1.This value..."
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

Cl 30 SC 30.14.1.1.5 P 24 L 33 # 22
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 there is no ERROR state. Should be OVERLOAD state.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

Search and replace on "ERROR state" and replace with "OVERLOAD state".
 Cl 30 SC 30.14.1.4 P 25 L 1 # 24
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 aPSECumulativeEnergy should read aPoDLPSECumulativeEnergy
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

Cl 30 SC 30.14.1.4 P 25 L 5 # 23
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 add punctuation to increment rate, ie 100,000 per second
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 45 SC 45.2 P 27 L 25 # 25
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 Bits b.5.15:11 are defined as Reserved w/ value always 0 and Bit m.5.12 is defined as Power Unit present. How can these definitions exist simultaneously?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change Reserved row from b 5.15:11 to b 5.15:13
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.a P 28 L 19 # 26
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 missing a space "Status 2register"
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.a1 P 28 L 26 # 27
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 missing a period "shown in Table 45-211f The default value"
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.a1 P 28 L 28 # 28
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 found the extra period. remove second period @ end of sentence
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.1.2 P 29 L 7 # 29
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 mr_pse_enable is not defined in 104.3.3.3 (or anywhere)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change PSE state machine variable 'pse_enable' to 'mr_pse_enable'.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.
 Search and replace "pse_enable" with "mr_pse_enable".

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.2 P 30 L 15 # 30
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type T Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 consider adding a PSE Status of "unknown" to match the available options in Section 30 (see P21, L32 for an example)
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.1 P 30 L 27 # 31
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 there is no ERROR state in the PSE SD. Should be OVERLOAD state.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.
 See comment 22.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.2 P 30 L 32 # 32
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 mr_valid_signature is not defined in 104.3.3.3 (or anywhere)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change PSE state machine variable 'valid_signature' to 'mr_valid_signature'
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.
 Search and replace "valid_signature" with "mr_valid_signature".

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.5 P 30 L 52 # 33
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 there is no ERROR state in the PSE SD. Should be OVERLOAD state here.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.
 See comment 22.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.8 P 31 L 15 # 34
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 PSE Status is (12.1.2:0), written incorrectly as (12.1.3:1)
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.9 P 31 L 21 # 35
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 PSE Status is (12.1.2:0), written incorrectly as (12.1.3:1)
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.9 P 31 L 23 # 36
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix
 There is no TEST_MODE or TEST_ERROR state defined in the PSE SD (figure 104-4 as referenced)
 SuggestedRemedy
 need to rewrite the paragraph to agree with the states, as the table was modified to agree with them (table for reference below):
 1 0 0 = Overload
 0 1 1 = Detecting
 0 1 0 = Delivering power
 0 0 1 = Sleeping
 0 0 0 = Disabled

Delete references to "TEST_MODE" and "TEST_ERROR" in 45.2.7a.2.9.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Editorial license granted to make changes as needed.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.9 P 31 L 25 # 37
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 error_condition is not defined in 104.3.3.3 (or anywhere)
 SuggestedRemedy
 propose changing this reference from "error_condition" to "overload_detected" in the text and table 45-211g
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.3.1 P 32 L 4 # 38
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 PSE Status is (12.1.2:0), written incorrectly as (12.1.3:1)
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

Cl 49 SC 49 P 49 L 32 # 50
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type E Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 Use '&' instead of '*' to denote a logical AND
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 104 SC 104.1.3 P 34 L 45 # 92
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 A PoDL system...is defined as Type A or Type B....A Type A+B system is....
 How can we have Type A+B if it has to be Type A or Type B?
 SuggestedRemedy
 change to: "is defined as either Type A, Type B, or Type A+B. This will match 104.4.1 as well."
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.2 P 35 L 38 # 93
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix
 This comment applies to Table 104-1.
 The VPD min voltages for the 12V unregulated class conflict with the signature enable/disable voltages in Table 104-4. If the PSE is only required to put out 5.6V, the PD may never reach the signature disable threshold (5.75V max). In addition, the if the enable threshold is between 3.6V and 5.75V (for example 4.5V), it may be tripped by a VPD min of 4.4V
 SuggestedRemedy

The disable treshold needs to be lowered to 5.6V. I don't see any downside to this right now, but everything is interconnected...It would make the threshold between Vsig_disable and Vbad_hi only +/- 4%, but I don't believe there is anything wrong with disabling the signature below Vbad_hi.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Table 104-4 items 3 and 4
 Vsig_disable (min) = 4.6V
 Vsig_enable (max) = 4.3V

Cl 104 SC 104.3.3.1 P 36 L 28 # 94
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 "Prior to application of normal operating voltage..." What exactly is "normal"? Clause 33 just says "operating". Why have we added "normal"
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove "normal" throughout this section (and rest of draft if used in a similar manner).
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.3 P 37 L 42 # 95
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** fix
 The descriptions for TRUE/FALSE of "pi_powered" have the word shall in them.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change wording to match construction of similar variables such as pi_sleeping.
 TRUE: The PSE is applying operating voltage to the PI.
 FALSE: The PSE is not applying...
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.3 P 37 L 51 # 119
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** resubmit
 The difference between power_applied and pi_powered is not clear
 SuggestedRemedy
 Explain the difference or consolidate them into one variable and update state diagram accordingly.
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT.

Explain the difference better? Use new names that are unique to PoDL and are more meaningful. For example, power_stable?

PI_POWERED<=TRUE first occurs in POWER_UP state.

The definition of power_applied is:

TRUE: the PSE has begun steady state operation.
 FALSE: the PSE is either not applying full operating voltage or has begun applying full operating voltage but is still in the POWER_UP state.

These conventions were inherited from PoE.

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.3 P 38 L 1 # 118
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R** resubmit
 power_not_available is the only variable we use in the negative
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change power_not_available to power_available and update state diagram accordingly.
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 L 10 # 111
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R** resubmit
 This comment applies to figure 104-4, IDLE state.
 Why are we calling out pi_detecting and pi_powered as set to FALSE? There is no way to get to IDLE with those set to TRUE. We don't call out pi_discharge_en.
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove pi_powered and pi_detecting assignments from IDLE.
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 L 16 # 112
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** resubmit
 This comment applies to figure 104-4, DETECTION state.
 The "start Tdet" assignment is missing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add "start Tdet" to the DETECTION state.
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT.
 Change Figure 104-5 to Figure 104-4 cont'd.
 The tdet stop and start assignments were moved to the detection state machine shown in figure 104-5 on page 41.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 L 21 # 113
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status R reject

This comment applies to figure 104-4, DETECTION state.
The tdet_timer_done exit arc should go straight to idle. There is no reason for the 0.5s error delay in this case.

SuggestedRemedy

have exit arc go straight to IDLE (may need to add proper assignments back to the IDLE state). Change text in 104.3.4 so that the restart delay is not needed.

Response Response Status C

REJECT. TFTD.

Restart delay for this arc was retained so aPoDLPSEInvalidSignatureCounter max update rate was 2Hz. This allows counter to be potentially implemented outside of PSE, i.e. PSE is only required to provide invalid signature status bit.

Cl 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 L 24 # 120
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status D withdrawn

This comment applies to Figure 104-4.
Since pi_detecting is not set to false during classification, the separate detection state machine must be running during classification. The PSE detection output specs must still apply during classification, but the signature state machine doesn't need to run.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix the stand alone detection state diagram (Figure 104-5) so that it does not run in classification.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

TFTD.

What's currently in the state machine isn't broken (see below). We could add a pi_classifying variable to further clarify if needed.

The pi_detecting = TRUE condition causes the PSE to apply a voltage limited detection current at the PI which is needed for classification. Since the signature was valid before entering classification, the fact that the tdet_timer will expire during classification because the detection state machine is running doesn't matter.

Cl 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 L 27 # 114
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix

This comment applies to Figure 104-4.
I believe we need an exit from the classification state if the tclass timer expires

SuggestedRemedy

Add arc back to Restart from classification for the condition of tclass_timer_done

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Change "do_classification_done" to "tclass_timer_done+do_classification_done" in exit of CLASSIFICATION

Cl 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 L 28 # 117
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix

This comment applies to Figure 104-4.
!power_not_available needs to be anded with valid_class for the transition from classification_eval to power_up. Otherwise a valid class with power_not_available would branch in both directions at once.

SuggestedRemedy

change transition from "valid_class" to !power_not_available * valid_class.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See comment 7 and 118.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 L 37 # 115
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status D withdrawn

This comment applies to Figure 104-4.
 I believe the wrong timer is turned off inside POWER_ON.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "stop toff timer" to "stop tinrush timer"

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Stop toff_timer is correct since it is resetting the toff timer in preparation for the exit arc into the SETTLE_SLEEP state.

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 40 L 48 # 116
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit

This comment applies to Figure 104-4.
 Do we need to call out values for pi_sleeping and pi_powered if they haven't changed from the previous state? I think no.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove pi_sleeping and pi_powered assignments in the sleep state. The whole state machine should be checked for this situation. The overload state has the same problem.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Remove superfluous pi_sleeping and pi_powered assignments in SETTLE_SLEEP.

Remove pi_detecting and pi_powered in IDLE state.

Remove pi_powered and pi_sleeping from SLEEP state.

Retain assignments in OVERLOAD state since the overload_detected entry arc has multiple entry points.

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 42 L 30 # 7
 Dove, Daniel Dove Networking Solut

Comment Type TR Comment Status D withdrawn

The logic coming out of CLASS_EVAL will very likely exit immediately. !valid_class is probably true before tclass_timer_done is true, so this logic would immediately leave on that arc.

SuggestedRemedy

(tclass_timer_done * !valid_class) + power_not_available is probably a better logic set.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 42 L 33 # 8
 Dove, Daniel Dove Networking Solut

Comment Type T Comment Status R resubmit

This is a question: Currently we assign pi_powered<=TRUE in the POWER_UP state. Is there any issue with doing it here, vs the POWER_ON state where things are likely to be more stable?

SuggestedRemedy

Task force to discuss and resolve the question.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

See comment 119.

Assigning TRUE to pi_powered during POWER_UP state is consistent with what is done in PoE.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 42 L 48 # 11
 Dove, Daniel Dove Networking Solut

Comment Type TR Comment Status D withdrawn

Minor Nit: Coming out of OVERLOAD is a UCT, but I would argue that you will not come out of this state if overload_detected is true.

SuggestedRemedy

Therefore, suggest that you replace UCT with loverload_detected.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Once the OVERLOAD state has been entered, power is removed from the PI, and the only way to re-apply power is to follow the existing arcs. That is the intent, hence the UCT.

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 42 L 48 # 12
 Dove, Daniel Dove Networking Solut

Comment Type E Comment Status R resubmit

VERY Minor Nit: The arc logic from RESTART and RESTART_DELAY statements are too close to the boxes, causing the "_" characters to be partially obscured.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the arc statements up a tiddy bit.

Response Response Status C

REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 42 L 48 # 10
 Dove, Daniel Dove Networking Solut

Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix

In the OVERLOAD state, "stop ted_timer" is not appropriate. It looks like it was supposed to be deprecated when you renamed to tod_timer and added the OVERLOAD_DELAY state.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "stop ted_timer" from OVERLOAD state unless your objective is to clear the tod_timer_done conditions. If so, correct the name of the timer.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 104 SC 104.3.3.6 P 42 L 48 # 9
 Dove, Daniel Dove Networking Solut

Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit

In SLEEP state, pi_sleeping<=TRUE and pi_powered<=FALSE assignments are redundant. The SETTLE_SLEEP state asserts these values and there is no other way into the SLEEP state, so they are redundant.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove those two value assignments

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

OBE 116.

CI 104 SC 104.3.4.1 P 41 L 32 # 121
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit

Poor wording: "All detection currents at the PI shall be within the lvalid current range as specified in Table 104-2 with a valid PD detection signature connected as specified in Table 104-4.

SuggestedRemedy

Reword: "All detection currents at the PI shall be within the lvalid current range, as specified in Table 104-2, when connected to a valid PD detection signature as specified in Table 104-4."

Response Response Status C

REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.3.4.1 P 41 L 38 # 96
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type ER Comment Status R reject

This comment applies to Table 104-2. Why is there an additional information column if we don't have anything in it.

SuggestedRemedy

Either delete the column, or add appropriate information.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Table is partially populated with references on the second page. Propose references for items 1-5.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 104 SC 104.3.4.1 P 41 L 42 # 97
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix

This comment applies to Table 104-2.
What is the purpose of the short circuit current in the detection state. The PSE must source a current less than 16mA in this state to be a valid probe current. In addition, the PD needs to be able to sink enough current during SCCP and allowing the PSE to source 30mA seems like a bad idea.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove item 2 from table. Add text that 16mA is the most the PSE is allowed to source while in the detection state.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Change item 2 max to 20mA in Table 104-2

CI 104 SC 104.3.4.2 P 42 L 19 # 110
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix

"A PSE shall accpet as a valid PD signature a link segment with a constant voltage in the range of Vgood_PSE for at least..."
Does the PSE really have to check if the voltage is absolutely constant? Don't we really mean the the voltage has to be in the range of Vgood_PSE for a certain amount of time?

SuggestedRemedy

remove the word "constant". Remove all similar uses of the word "constant".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Will delete 'constant' in 104.3.4.2 and 104.3.4.3.

CI 104 SC 104.3.5 P 42 L 41 # 13
Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor

Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit

Separate "offull" into "of" and "full"

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status C

REJECT. EZ.

See comment 98.

CI 104 SC 104.3.6 P 42 L 41 # 98
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit

"prior to application of full operating voltage..."

SuggestedRemedy

add space in "of full"

Response Response Status C

REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.3.6 P 42 L 51 # 122
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix

"The output of a PSE shall conform to the electrical requirements in Table 104-3 in both powered and unpowered modes to ensure that it does not present a valid PD detection signature."
This sentence seems to indicate the PSE must follow all the specs in 104-3 even when unpowered. That seems like an odd thing for a lot of the specs.

SuggestedRemedy

Reword: In all states, a PSE shall present an invalid PD signature as specified in Table 104-5.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"Under all conditions, a PSE shall present an invalid PD signature as specified in Table 104-5."

CI 104 SC 104.3.6 P 43 L 7 # 108
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit

This comment applies to the additional information column in Table 104-3.
Be consistant with the "and" when multiple sections/tables are referenced. Currently both "and" and "&" are used.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace all "and"s and "&"s with commas.

Response Response Status C

REJECT. EZ.

Replace "&" with "and" throughout the tables.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 104 SC 104.3.6 P 43 L 14 # 15
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** resubmit
 Item 3 references subclause 104.3.6.1 but there is nothing there relating to transients.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Reference 104.3.6.3 instead.
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.3.6 P 43 L 15 # 109
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R** resubmit
 This comment applies to Item 3 in Table 104-3.
 Section 104.3.6.1 (additional information column) doesn't mention anything about dV/dt.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add section to explain these specs (if needed) and correct the section referenced. Or
 remove the additional information reference.
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT.
 Should reference 104.3.6.3. Change subclause title to "PSE ripple and transients".
 Fix cross reference to be 104.3.6.3 and see 75 (do later).

CI 104 SC 104.3.6 P 43 L 38 # 16
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R** resubmit
 Fix indent on item 6.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.3.6 P 44 L 9 # 57
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D** prewithdrawn
 TMFVS min of 60ms is limiting for low power applications
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change TMFVS min to a smaller value, 6ms?
 Proposed Response Response Status **Z**
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 104 SC 104.3.6 P 44 L 13 # 99
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** resubmit
 This comment applies to Table 104-3 (continued).
 The MVFS threshold is the same same as for existing AT PoE, but the operating current
 can be more than twice as high (1.36A according to Table 104-1).
 In addition, even the new BT standard has doubled the MPS window width (4-14mA) for a
 maximum load current of 1.73A (1.27x larger than PoDL).
 I believe PDs need to drop their current to below 2mA in sleep mode (acutally Isleep_pd is
 100uA), so why not lower the minimum?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Increase the MVFS current range from (5mA to 10mA) to (2mA to 10mA).
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT.
 Discuss in room.
 2mA MFVS min may be too close Iwakeup max of 1.85mA. Is 3mA OK?

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 104 SC 104.3.6 P 44 L 14 # 17
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D withdrawn
 Items 16-19 reference subclause 104.3.6.2.2.1 but it should be 104.3.6.2.2.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
 EZ.
 OBE by 103.

CI 104 SC 104.3.6 P 44 L 15 # 103
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A fix
 This comment applies to Table 104-3.
 Section 104.3.6.2.2.1 is referenced in the additional information column for the sleep mode requirements. That section does not exist.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "104.3.6.2.2.1" to "104.3.6.2.2"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 104 SC 104.3.6.1 P 44 L 29 # 14
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type T Comment Status R resubmit
 Subclause 104.3.6.1 is referenced by item #1 in table 104-3 but there is nothing in 104.3.6.1 relating to VPSE(PON)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the following text to 104.3.6.1: "A PSE operating in the POWER_ON state shall apply a voltage in the range of PSE(PON) at the PI.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

CI 104 SC 104.3.6.2.1 P 45 L 4 # 123
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R reject
 "Measurements of Iport during a short circuit condition shall be made 1 ms after the initial transient to allow for settling."
 This sentence allows unlimited current flow for 1 ms. How can PDs be designed to handle the I²t if they don't know the I?
 SuggestedRemedy
 A template/equation/something is needed to allow PD designers to understand the transients.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 104.5.2 Fault tolerance
 "The PSE PI shall withstand without damage the application of short circuits between the wires within the cable for an indefinite period of time."
 PD faults are out of scope. A designer should design a PD to withstand an internal fault.

CI 104 SC 104.3.6.4 P 45 L 23 # 124
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 "The specification for Tinrush in Table 104-3 applies to the PSE power up time allowed for a PD after completion of detection."
 The Tinrush timer does not start until after an optional classification cycle.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change sentence to: "...after completion of detection and optional classification."
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 104 SC 104.4.3 P 46 L 33 # 42
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A fix
 Table 104-6 should be Figure 104-6
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Framemaker reference error.

CI 104 SC 104.4.3.1 P 46 L 44 # 43
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 tpwr_delay is not defined
 SuggestedRemedy
 change to tpowerdly
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.4.3.1 P 46 L 44 # 62
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 tpwr_delay' should be 'powerdly'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.4.3.3 P 47 L 22 # 126
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 variable POR is poorly defined.
 Is power-on reset defined somewhere? This is a data spec after all.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change variable to something like "pd_reset" as in PoE. See Clause 33 for proper text.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 Replace POR with pd_reset and define as in 802.3at:
 "An implementation-specific control variable that unconditionally resets the PD state diagram to the RESET state.
 Values:
 TRUE: The device has been reset.
 FALSE: The device has not been reset (default)."
 Editorial license to fix PD state machine accordingly.

CI 104 SC 104.4.3.3 P 47 L 26 # 127
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 The definitions of the "present_XXX" variables are poor.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change definition of TRUE and FALSE for present_det_sig, present_iwakep, and present_mvfs from "present the xxx signature" and "do not present the xxx signature." to: "the xxx signature is to be applied to the PD PI." and "the xxx signature is not to be applied to the PD PI."
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

CI 104 SC 104.4.3.3 P 47 L 51 # 44
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 PPD should be Ppd
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 104 SC 104.4.3.5 P 48 L 18 # 45
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A fix
 returns returns' should be 'returns'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 104 SC 104.4.3.6 P 49 L 22 # 47
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 sccp_watchdog_tmr' should be 'sccp_watchdog_timer'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.4.3.6 P 49 L 18 # 49
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type E Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 Use '&' instead of '*' to denote a logical AND
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 104 SC 104.4.3.6 P 49 L 26 # 128
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 This comment applies to Figure 104-6.
 The state diagram requires the pd_fault variable to be set to true when fault_detected occurs. What is fault_detected? How can I design a PD to do this?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add appropriate definitions for fault_detected and pd_fault.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 Change fault_detected TRUE definition to read as:
 "TRUE: the PD no longer requires power as the result of an implementation specific error condition."
 Example (not for inclusion): The PD has gone offline due to a thermal overload and needs to cool off.

CI 104 SC 104.4.3.6 P 49 L 19 # 46
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 DO DETECTION' should be 'DO_DETECTION'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.4.3.6 P 49 L 29 # 48
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 sccp_watchdog_tmr' should be 'sccp_watchdog_timer'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 104 SC 104.4.4 P 49 L 42 # 86
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type T Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 The words 'A PD shall present a valid detection signature when Vpd drops below Vsig_enable...' are confusing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Suggest using 'A PD shall enable a valid detection signature subsequent to Vpd dropping below Vsig_enable...'
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.4 P 49 L 42 # 63
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D withdrawn
 A PD shall present a valid detection signature when Vpd drops below Vsig_enable unless it is asleep' should be 'A PD shall present a valid detection signature when Vpd drops below Vsig_enable.'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

OBE 125.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.4 P 49 L 42 # 125
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix
 "A PD shall present a valid detection signature when VPD drops below Vsig_enable unless it is asleep."
 What is "asleep"? How do we test that?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Define "asleep" in terms of the state diagram or other defined terms in the standard. OR remove "unless it is asleep".
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Delete "unless it is asleep" in referenced text.
 See comment 63.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.4 P 49 L 43 # 64
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 a PD shall removed the current draw of the detection signature.' is not quantified.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a limit to table 104-4 for Ipd when Vpd is greater than Vsig_disable and less than VON that can be tested for compliance (1mA max?)
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.4 P 49 L 46 # 65
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 Remove indent at beginning of line 46.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 104 SC 104.4.4 P 49 L 46 # 66
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 Add Vgood before 'per Table 104-4'.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.4 P 49 L 49 # 67
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 There are only two characteristics in table 104-5.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete 'at least' from sentence.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.4 P 50 L 5 # 68
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 Vconnector' should just be 'Vpd' in Table 104-4
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.4 P 50 L 6 # 100
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 This comment applies to Table 104-4.
 The PD must be capable of producing a "Vgood" shunt for a 17mA current (item 1 of the table), but must draw less than 20mA whenever the Voltage is less than Vsig_disable (Isignature_limit).
 This requires a current limit between 17mA and 20mA (+/- 8%). I believe this puts unnecessary requirements on the PD that will increase its cost.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change Isignature_limit to 22mA.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 Discuss in room.
 This limit does need to be increaseds since the probe current was increased.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.4 P 50 L 18 # 69
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 lconnector' should just be 'lpd' in Table 104-5
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 104 SC 104.4.6 P 50 L 44 # 106
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type ER Comment Status D withdrawn

This comment applies to Items 1 and 2 of Table 104-6.
The section referenced in the additional information column (104.4.6.3) do not mention dI/dt or dV/dt requirements at all.

SuggestedRemedy

Add section to explain these specs (if needed) and correct the section referenced. Or remove the additional information reference.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

See comment 75.

CI 104 SC 104.4.6 P 50 L 52 # 107
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type ER Comment Status A fix

This comment applies to Item 3 of Table 104-6.
The section referenced in the additional information column is the PSE section not the PD section.

SuggestedRemedy

Change reference from "104.3.6.3" to "104.4.6.3"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 104 SC 104.4.6 P 51 L 1 # 58
Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor

Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit

Table 104-6 title should have 'continued' at top of page 51

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status C

REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.4.6 P 51 L 41 # 105
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit

This comment applies to item 7 of table 104-6.
We need to reference section 104.4.6.1 for the inrush enable delay time (tpower_dly)

SuggestedRemedy

Add "104.4.6.1" to additional information column.

Response Response Status C

REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.4.6 P 51 L 49 # 104
Abramson, David Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix

This comment applies to Table 104-6.
Item 11 (sleep current) is never referenced in the specification text. It is definitely not it 104.4.7 which the additional information column points the reader to.
Isleep is referenced in 104.4.6.2. I believe that should be Isleep_pd

SuggestedRemedy

Change "ISleep" to "ISleep_PD" in section 104.4.6.2 and change reference in table 104-6 to this section.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See comment 73.

CI 104 SC 104.4.6 P 51 L 51 # 70
Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor

Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit

See 104.4.6.2' is not linked

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Response Response Status C

REJECT. EZ.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6 P 51 L 51 # 39
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 "See 104.4.6.2" is not linked
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.1 P 52 L 4 # 51
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 The PD shall turn off at a voltage greater than or equal to Voff' should be 'The PD shall turn off at a voltage less than Von(min) and greater than or equal to Voff min as defined in Table 104-6'.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.1 P 52 L 6 # 72
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 Change Vport_PSE to just Vpse for consistency.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.1 P 52 L 6 # 71
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type T Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 The words 'startup', 'and within the first trial' appear to be superfluous in the sentence on line 6.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.1 P 52 L 20 # 129
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A fix
 We should avoid using numbers in the text, but rather create parameters to reference. VPI has a direct range in the text (3.1 to 3.5V).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either create a parameter fo rthis voltage range, or reference the PSE sleep voltage (but its not quite the same due to cable drop).
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change 104.4.6.2 text as follows:
 "A PD that requires ... when Vsleep_PD min < Vpd < Vsleep max as specified in Tables 104-4 and 104-6, respectively."
 See comments 55, 68 regarding usage of Vpd.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.2 P 52 L 16 # 52
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix
 SLEEP_PENDING' and 'SLEEP' should be 'DISCONNECT' and 'PD_SLEEP', respectively.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 104 SC 104.4.6.2 P 52 L 17 # 53
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** *fix*
 'Isleep' should be 'Isleep_PD'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.

CI 104 SC 104.4.6.2 P 52 L 19 # 74
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **R** *resubmit*
 Twakeup_PD shouldn't wrap at the end of the line.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.4.6.2 P 52 L 17 # 73
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D** *withdrawn*
 Change 'Isleep' to 'Isleep_PD'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status **Z**
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
 OBE by 104.

CI 104 SC 104.4.6.2 P 52 L 19 # 54
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** *fix*
 Twakeup_PD is not defined in table 104-6
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add Twakeup_pd to table 104-6 with a min of 0.2ms
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.
 See comment 40.

CI 104 SC 104.4.6.2 P 52 L 19 # 40
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D** *withdrawn*
 There is no Twakeup_pd in table 104-6
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add Twakeup_pd to table 104-6 with a min of 0.2ms
 Proposed Response Response Status **Z**
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
 See comment 54.

CI 104 SC 104.4.6.3 P 52 L 24 # 75
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A** *fix*
 Consider replacing 'noise' with 'transient' in this subclause.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace 104.4.6.3 with
 104.4.6.3 PD ripple and transients
 The specifications for ripple and transients in Table 104–6 apply to the voltage at the PD PI generated by the PD circuitry. The ripple and transient specifications shall be met for all operating voltages in the range of VPort_PD, and over the range of input power of the device.
 The PD shall operate correctly in the presence of ripple and transient voltages generated by the PSE that appears at the PD PI. These levels are specified in Table 104–3. Ripple and transient limits are provided to preserve data integrity.
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.
 See comment 106.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.3 P 52 L 26 # 76
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** resubmit
 Replace 'input power of the device' with just 'Ppd'.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.3 P 52 L 26 # 55
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **R** resubmit
 Vport_PD' should be 'Vpd'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT. EZ.
 See 68.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.4 P 52 L 35 # 77
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** resubmit
 Replace 'Pclass_PD' with just 'Ppd'.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.4 P 52 L 35 # 41
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** resubmit
 reference to Pclass_pd in table 104-1. There is no Pclass_pd but there is a Ppd. Are these the same?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change text from Pclass_pd to Ppd.
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT. EZ.
 Use Ppd throughout.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.6.5 P 52 L 44 # 78
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **R** resubmit
 Remove all instances of 'port_' from the subscripts used by Equation 104-1.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status **C**
 REJECT.

Cl 104 SC 104.4.7 P 53 L 10 # 56
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** fix
 TMFVDO_PD is not defined.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change parameter for item 8 in Table 104-6 to "PD Maintain Full Voltage signature duration" and change "TMFVDO_PD" to "TMFVDO min" and add reference to table 104-3 item 13 in subclause 104.4.7.
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

CI 104 SC 104.5.1 P 52 L 18 # 79
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R resubmit
 A PD shall provide DC isolation...' is not quantified making a compliance test meaningless.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Propose "A PD shall ... all MDI leads of greater than 1 megaohm for voltages up to 60V".
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

CI 104 SC 104.6.1 P 54 L 27 # 130
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 We shouldn't call out a direct implementation.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "the master device" to "a master device" or "an example of the master device"
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.
 Change reference text to "the block diagram of a master device."

CI 104 SC 104.6.3.1 P 55 L 38 # 131
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 This paragraph seems to have a different line spacing than the rest
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fix if this is true.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.6.3.2 P 56 L 16 # 84
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 No description or requirement for tssw is given.
 SuggestedRemedy
 State that the slave shall sample the Vpd within the range of tssw during a write 1 or write 0 operation.
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 104 SC 104.6.3.2 P 56 L 18 # 80
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 Change 'slots' to 'slot' in this sentence.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

CI 104 SC 104.6.3.2 P 56 L 23 # 82
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type T Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 The words 'release and then' appear to be superfluous.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete 'release and then'
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 104 SC 104.6.3.2 P 56 L 25 # 83
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type T Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 The words 'hold and then' appear to be superfluous.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete 'hold and then'
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 104 SC 104.6.3.4 P 57 L 40 # 81
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 Specifications for rise time and fall time are absent from Table 104-7.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add specifications for fall time and rise time with maximums of 100us and 230us, respectively based on timing proof from presentation stewart_3bu_1_1015.pdf.
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 104 SC 104.6.3.4 P 57 L 47 # 85
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 Add 'voltage' to the parameter descriptions for items 2 and 3 in table 104-7.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.6.3.4 P 57 L 50 # 102
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix
 This comment applies to Table 104-7.
 "Vport < 0.8V" in the additional information column for "Sink Current" does not seem right. How can the Sink Current have a minimum when the PI voltage is 0? There will be no current drawn then.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Should the "<" be a ">"? I think that is what was meant...
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Change < to >.
 This parameter may need to be replaced with a VOL<0.8V specification instead while sinking 16mA.

Cl 104 SC 104.6.3.4 P 57 L 50 # 101
 Abramson, David Texas Instruments
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix
 This comment applies to Table 104-7.
 The minimum sink current needs to be updated as the maximum probe current is now 16mA
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change minimum Sink Current from 10mA to 18mA to include the 16mA sourcing current and some margin.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Use 16mA
 See comment 100.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 104 SC 104.6.3.4 P 58 L 9 # 87
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status R resubmit
 Add 'time' to parameter descriptions for items 9-15
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT. EZ.

Cl 104 SC 104.6.4.3 P 59 L 1 # 88
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 Figure 104-12 should be 'Address and Read_Scratchpad function command flowchart'
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 104 SC 104.6.4.4 P 59 L 50 # 89
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 Add note explaining R/W and RO
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 104 SC 104.7.4 P 62 L 1 # 90
 Chabot, Craig UNH-IOL
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A fix
 The changes from D1.3 to D1.4 have consequently necessitated changes to the PICS (some shall have either been added, removed, or altered). I have drafted a new, corrected version of the PICS tables.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See chabot_3bu_1_1115
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 104 SC Table 104-1 P 32 L 21 # 91
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology
 Comment Type T Comment Status R resubmit
 The assumption that the reference channel resistance is 15m of 26 AWG is limiting for PoDL.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Consider changing the reference channel to 15m of 22 AWG.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 Discuss in room. See comments 60 and 93.

Cl 104 SC Table 104-1 P 35 L 22 # 59
 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor
 Comment Type E Comment Status D prewithdrawn
 Table 104-1 should be enclosed within its own subclause 'System class power requirements'
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a new subclause 'System class power requirements' and include Table 104-1.
 Proposed Response Response Status Z
 REJECT.
 This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

IEEE802.3bu D2.0 One Pair Power over Datalines 9th Task Force review comments

Cl 104 SC Table 104-1 P 35 L 34 # 60
Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor

Comment Type TR Comment Status A fix
Class 0 VPSE(min) is less than VON(min) in Table 104-6

SuggestedRemedy

Add a new row to Table 104-1 that describes VPSE(min) with no load or increase VON(min) for this class

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See comment 93.

Cl 104 SC Table 104-1 P 35 L 36 # 61
Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Cor

Comment Type TR Comment Status D prewithdrawn
IPI (max) can be exceeded during inrush

SuggestedRemedy

Add a new footnote 3 that states that IPI(max) may be exceeded during inrush.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.