Agenda for PoDL November 4th, 2014 (8:10 a.m.)

- · Welcome and introductions
- Recording secretary: Phillip Brownlee (Coilcraft)

Agenda approved motion

- Move: Chad Jones (Cisco), Second: Yair Darshan (MicroSemi)
- Approved by voice no opposition

Future meeting schedule reviewed:

• Atlanta, (I), Berlin (P) and Pittsburg (P)

September minutes motion

- No comments
- Approved by voice, no opposition

Chair (Dave Dwelley/LTC) notes that photography is not allowed.

David Abramson (T.I.) publicly reports a blog but stated would not be reporting on PoDL.

Chair (Dave Dwelley/LTC) shows the patent policy

Chair (Dave Dwelley/LTC) makes call for potentially essential patents results in no responses

Presentations:

- --Presentation #1 by Andy Gardner (LTC):
 - Review of Proposed Clause 96 Draft
- --Presentation/s #2 by Andy Gardner (LTC):
 - Review of initial draft D0.2
 - Serial Communication Classification Protocol (SCCP) for PoDL
 - -----Major comment by Dave Dwelley of burying a data + protocol within a data + protocol.

Break at 9:56 a.m.
Reconvene at 10:25 a.m.
Dave Dwelley we will have strow pell questions by Andy Cardner (LTC)
Dave Dwelley we will have straw poll questions by Andy Gardner (LTC)
Straw poll questions:
PoDL Straw Poll questions:
1. Who supports using the type 1, type 2, and type 1+2 terminology to describe PoDL compatibility
with 100BASE-T1 Ethernet, 1000BASE-T1 Ethernet, and both 100BASE-T1 Ethernet and 100BASE
T1 Ethernet, respectively?
a. Y: 6
b. N: 2
c. A: 8
2. Who supports using the type A, type B, and type A+B terminology to describe PoDL compatibilit
with 100BASE-T1 Ethernet, 1000BASE-T1 Ethernet, and both 100BASE-T1 Ethernet and 100BASE
T1 Ethernet, respectively?
a. Y: 6
b. N: 0
c. A: 9
3. Who supports defining the PI as the connectors + coupling network and making it part of the
MDI for PoDL?
a. Y:6
b. N:0
c. A:11
4. Who supports the proposed PoDL classification matrix scheme with note stating appropriate
wire gauge is required?
a. Y: 5
b. N: 0
c. A: 13
5. Who supports the proposed PD current-limited, constant-voltage signature scheme?
a. Y: 4
b. N: 0
c. A: 14
6. Who supports using the proposed SCCP scheme for PoDL classification?
a. Y: 5
b. N: 0
c. A: 13
7 Does PoDI need to support MPS?

a. Y: 1 b. N: 3 c. A: 17

Y: YES...N: NO...A: Abstain

Chair Dave Dwelley: Comment editor tool and comment processing tool will be reviewed in the 4 pair group

Comment by Chair (Dave Dwelley)...We now have the beginning of a draft

Can use xxx or xxxx

Motion to adjourn

Move: Dave Second: Fred Motion passes

P802.3 bu PODL Frek Force Nov 2014 AFFILIATION NAME LINEAR TECHNOLOGY DAVE DUELLEY Chad Jores CISCO / EISCA Matthias Wendt Philips / Philips PHILIPS / PHILIPS LEUNART YSEBOOT Dorshau Yair Microseni/Microsom BROADCOM 15 MAIL JORIO SESHA PANGULURI BROADCOM / BROADCOM. MAXIM / MAXIM GAOLING ZOY Parlial Rimborn microsamil microsemi MICROS GMI MICROS GMI SHAHAR FELDMAN COLLERAFT Phillip Brownlee CREE Low M. letich UNTITOL Alex Seiger NTP Hotony Joseph Texas Instruments David Abramson UNH-IOL Peter Scruton Brian Buchmeier Bel Stewart Counctor

Koussalya Balasulramanian cès co

John Nguya Cisco

grand.hyatt.com

NAME

THUYEN BINH
NEVEN PISCHL
Miklós Lukács
CHRISTIAN BELA
GEST Thompson

GRAND HYATT

AFFILIATION

PULSE BROAD COM

Silicon Labs

STricro dectronis Linear/Bosch